



k





\*





# NEW ABRIDGMENT

OF THE

LAW.

By a GENTLEMAN of the Middle Temple.

VOL. III.

In the SAVOY:

Printed by E. and R. Nutt, and R. Gosi ing, (Affigns of E. Sayer, Efq;) for Benry Lintot. M.DCC.XL.

# TABLE

OF THE

# Several TITLES,

WITH THEIR

## DIVISIONS.

### VOL. III.

### Habeas Coppus. Page 1.

F the Nature and several Kinds of Writs of Habeas Corpus.

(B) Of the Habeas Corpus ad subjiciendum: And herein,

1. What Courts have a Jurisdiction of granting it.

2. To what Places it may be granted.

3. In what Cases it is to be granted, and where it is the proper Remedy.

4. How far the Courts have a discretionary Power in granting or denying it; and therein of the Habeas Corpus Act.

5. Of the Manner of suing it out, and the Form of the Writ.

6. To whom it is to be directed.

7. By whom to be returned.8. Of the Manner of compelling a Return, and the Offence of a false Return.

9. What Matters must be returned together with the Body of the Party.

10. Where the Return shall be said to be sufficient, and to warrant the Commitment.

Vol. III. [a]

- 11. Whether the Party can fuggest any Thing contrary to the Return.
- 12. Whether any Defect in the Return may be amended.
- 13. What is to be done with the Prisoner at the Return; and therein of bailing, discharging or remanding him.

(C) Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum.

#### Heir and Ancestoz. Page 16.

(A) Of the Nature of the Relationship between Heir and Auserior.

(B) Of the feveral Kinds of Heirs: And herein,

1. Of the Heir apparent.

- 2. Of the Heirs General, or Heir at Common Law.
- 3. Of the Special Heir, or Issue in Tail.

4. Of the Customary Heir.

5. Of the Hæres factus.

- (C) Of what Conditions, Covenants, &c. of the Ancestor's shall the Heir tuke Advantage.
- (D) What Conditions, Covenants, &c. Shall extend to him, so as to bind him.
- (E) What Actions may be commence and profecute in Right of his Ancestor. (F) Where the Heir shall be said to be bound to answer his sincestor's Debts and Contracts.

(G) How to be proceeded against where he is bound.

(H) Where he shall be liable himself, and the Judgment general or special: And herein,

1. Where he shall be liable for his false Pleading.

2. Where by his Promife to pay or discharge the Debt of his Ancestor.

(I) What shall be Assets in his Hands.

What Things shall go to the Heir, and not to the Executor, vide Tit. Executors and Administrators.

### Herely, and Offences against Religion. Page 34.

- (A) Of Herefy: And herein,
  - 1. What it is.
  - 2. By whom it is cognizable.

3. How punished.

(B) Of Witchcraft, and how punished.

- (C) Of Offences against Religion as punishable by the Common Law.
- (D) Of Offences by Statute against Religion: And herein,

Of the Offence of prophaning the Lord's Day.
 Of the Offence of Swearing.
 Of the Offence of Drunkenness.

- 4. Of the Offence of Reviling the Sacrament. 5. Of Offences against the Common Prayer.
- 6. Of the Offence of teaching School without conforming to the Church.

7. Of the Offence in not coming to Church: And berein,

- 1. What Forfeitures of Money, Lands or Goods, fuch Offenders incur.
- 2. In what Manner they are to be proceeded against for those Forfeitures.
- 3. What other Inconveniences they are subject to.

4. By what Means they may be discharged.

5. How far a Perfon is punishable for suffering such Absence in others.

8. Of Offences against the established Church by Protestant Disfenters.

Of the Offence of professing or incouraging the Popish Religion,

vide Tit. Popish Recusants.

Of the Offence of holding an Office without conforming to the Established Religion, vide Tit. Office.

#### Heriot. Page 47.

(A) Of the Original and Nature of Heriots.

(B) Of the several Kinds, and where an Heriot shall be said to be due: And herein,

1. Where an Heriot shall be faid to be due by Custom.

- 2. Where an Heriot shall be faid to be due by Tenure or Refervation.
- (C) Of the Remedies to be purfued for the Recovery of an Heriot where it is due.

#### Highways. Page 53.

(A) Of the several Kinds, and what shall be said a Highway.

(B) To whom the Highway and Soil belong.

(C) Who hath a Right to a Way, and how he must claim it.

(D) Whether a Highway may be changed.

(E) Of Stopping a Highway, and other Nusances therein.

(F) Who are obliged to repair a Way by the Common Law; and therein where a Person shall be said liable by Reason of Inclosure, Tenure or Prescription.

(G) Of the Provision for repairing the Highways by several Acts of Parlia-

(H) How the Parties obliged to repair are to be proceeded against, and what Defence they may make.

#### Hue and Cry. Page 61.

(A) Hue and Cry at the Common Law, or Suit of the King: And herein,

1. By whom Hue and Cry is to be levied.

2. In what Manner it is to be levied.

3. In what Manner to be purfued.

4. What the Persons may justify doing who pursue it.5. How the Omission or Neglect of not doing it is punished.

- (B) Of raising Hue and Cry pursuant to the several Statutes which declare in what Manner the Hundred shall be chargeable: And herein,
  - 1. What Kind of Robbery it must be, so as to make the Hundred liable, and how far it is necessary that it be done on the Highway.
  - 2. On what Day, or Time of the Day, it must be committed.

3. What Hundred shall be faid to be liable.

4. What Person is to bring the Action, and make Oath of the Robbery.

5. Of the Notice to be given of the Robbery.

- 6. Where the Party must give Bond for Payment of Costs, in case he does not prevail.
- 7. Of the Oath to be taken of the Robbery, and before whom the fame must be.
- 3. At what Time the Action is to be brought.

 What Evidence will maintain it; and therein of the Witneffes for and against it.

10. What shall excuse the Hundred; and therein of apprehend-

ing the Robbers.

11. How the Money is to be levied, and each Hundred to contribute to the Charges.

### Ideots and Lunaticks. Page 79.

(A) What Perfons are efteemed such, so as to come within the Protestion of the Law.

(B) How they are to be found fuch.

(C) Who hath an Interest in, and Jurisdiction over them; and therein of appointing them proper Curators and Committees, and the Power and Duty of such Committees.

(D) How far their Want of Understanding shall be said prejudicial to them

in Civil Respects.

(E) How far the Want of Understanding will excuse in Criminal Cases.

(F) How far their Ads are good, void, or voidable.

(G) How they are to sue and defend.

### Indiament: Page 91.

(A) Of the Nature of an Indistment, and how far it is considered as a Prosecution at the Suit of the King.

(B) Where it is necessary, or the Party may be tried for a Capital Offence

without it.

- (C) By whom it is to be found; and therein who may and ought to be Indictors.
- (D) Whether the Indictors or Grand Jury may find Part of a Bill brought before them true, and Part false.

(E) What Matters are indistable.

(F) Within what Place the Offence inquired of must arise.

- (G) What ought to be the Form of the Body of an Indiament at Common Law: And herein,
  - 1. How the Body of an Indictment at Common Law ought to fet forth the Substance and Manner of the Fact.

2. How the Persons mentioned or referred to in it.

3. How the Thing wherein the Offence was committed.

4. How the Circumstances of Time and Place.

5. Where the Offence indicted may be laid jointly, and where feverally, and where both jointly and feverally, and where the Offences of feveral Persons may be laid in one Indictment.

6. Whether the Words Vi & Armis be in any Case necessary.

7. Whether it be necessary to lay the Words contra Pacem.

8. Whether it be necessary to lay it contra Coronam & Dignitatem

9. Whether it be necessary to lay it in contemptum Regis.

10. Whether necessary to lay it illicite.

- 11. Whether a Defect in any of these Particulars be amendable.

  (H) What ought to be the Form of an Indiament upon a Statute: And
  - 1. Whether it be necessary that such Indictment recite the Statute whereon it is grounded.

2. What Mis-recitals of such Statutes are fatal.

3. How far it is necessary to bring the Osence indicted within the very Words of the Statute.

4. Whe-

- 4. Whether an Indichment grounded on a Statute that will not maintain it, may be good as an Indictment at Common Law.
- 5. How far it is necessary to conclude eoutra formant Statuti.

(1) What ought to be Form of the Caption of an Indicament.

(K) Where an Indiament may be quashed.

### Infancy and Age. Page 117.

(A) Who are Infants; and therein of the several Ages and Periods between which the Law distinguishes as to several Purposes.

(B) To whom the Privilege of Infancy extends, or who are to be confidered as Minors.

(C) How far the Law regards and takes Notice of Infants in Ventre sa mere.

(D) How Infancy is to be tried.

(E) Of what Things an Infant is eapable in relation to the Publick, and in which he shall answer for his Negled.

(F) Of what Things capable, being for his own Advantage.
(G) How far the Law takes Care of his Interest, so as not to let him suffer by his Laches; and herein where he must take Notice of and perform Conditions, &c.

(H) II here punishable for Crimes and Injuries committed by him.

(1) Of the Ads of Infants, as they are good, void, or voidable: And herein,

1. Of his Contracts for Necessaries.

2. Of Judicial Acts, or Acts done by him in a Court of Recorde

3. Of his Acts in Pais, where void, or only voidable.

- 4. Where void, or voidable, as to the Infant, shall yet bind
- 5. At what Time voidable Acts are to be avoided.

6. By whom to be avoided.

7. In what Manner they are to be avoided.

8. Of the Confirmation of voidable Acts.

- (K) Of the Privilege of Infants in Suits and Asions by and against them: And herein,
  - 1. How far the Courts take Care of the Interests of Infants.

2. How they are to appear when they fue or are fued.

(L) Of the Privilege of Infancy as to the Parol's demurring: And berein,

1. In what Actions shall the Parol demur.

2. Where the Parol shall demur without any Plea pleaded.

3. Upon what Plea pleaded shall the Parol Demur.

- 4. For the Nonage of what Person shall the Parol demur.
- 5. In respect to what Estate and Interest shall the Parol demur.

6. Where for the Nonage of the Vouchee.7. Where for the Nonage of the Prayee in Aid.

8. In what Cases if the Parol demur against one it shall be against another.

9. In what Cases the Demurrer of the Parol for Part shall be for all.

10. Of the Prayer of Age and Counter-plea.

#### Informations. Page 164.

(A) Of the Nature and several Kinds of Informations:

(B) In what Cases they lie.

(C) In what Manner they are to be laid.

(D) Of filing an Information, the Proceedings thereon, and the Provisions made berein by Statute.

Vol. III.

[b]

Injunation.

### Injunction. Page 172.

(A) The several Kinds of Injunctions, and when to be granted.

(B) What shall be a Breach thereof, and how punished.

(C) How diffolved.

### Juns and Junkcepers. Page 178.

(A) Inns, by what Authority erected, and how far within the Statutes concerning Alehouses.

(B) Who shall be faid a common Innkeeper; and therein of the Privileges

allowed bim by Law.

(C) Of the Duties enjoined Innkeepers by Law: And berein,

1. To what Things the Duty of an Innkeeper extends.

- 2. Of the Offence of felling corrupt Commodities, or at exorbitant Prices.
- 3. Of the Offence of refusing to harbour or entertain a Guest.
- 4. In what Cases chargeable for Things stolen or lost.

5. Who is fuch a Guest as may charge an Innkeeper.

6. Of the Manner in which he is to be charged.

(D) Of the Innkecper's Remedies against his Guests.

### Jointenants and Tenants in Common. Page 187.

(A) Of the Nature of their Estates; and therein of the Difference between fointenants and Tenants in Common.

(B) What Persons may be Jointenants or Tenants in Common.

(C) Of what Things there may be a Jointenancy or Tenancy in Common.

(D) How a Jointenancy is created.

(E) How a Tenancy in Common is created.

- (F) What Words create a Jointenancy, and not a Tenancy in Common, & & Converso.
- (G) Of the Duration and Continuance of the Estate, whether given jointly, or in Common; and therein where the Inheritance shall be faid to be joint or several.

(H) Of the joint and distinct Interest of Jointenants and Tenants in Com-

mon, as to Asts done by or to them: And herein,

1. In what Acts they must all join.

- 2. When the Acts of one will be equally advantageous as if done by both.
- 3. When the Acts of one will bind the other, whether to his Advantage or Prejudice.

(I) Of Severance and Survivorship: And herein,

- 1. Of the Right of Survivorship, and what Things will survive.
- 2. At what Time the Right of Survivorship is to take place.
  3. What Disposition will work a Severance, and defeat the Right
- 3. What Disposition will work a Severance, and defeat the Right of Survivorship: And berein,
  - 1. What Disposition with a Stranger will work a Severance.
  - 2. What Disposition or Conveyance by one Jointenant or Tenant in Common, with his Companion, will work a Severance.
  - 3. At what Time such Disposition must be made to take Effect.
  - 4. What shall be a total Severance, or but for a limited Time.
  - 5. How far the Charges or Incumbrances of one Jointenant shall affect the Survivor.

6. Of Severance by Operation of Law,

7. Of Severance by Compulsion of Law; and therein of the Writ de Partitione facienda.

(K) Jointenants and Tenants in Common bow to fue, and be fued; and

therein of Summons and Severance. .

(L) Of the Remedies which Jointenants and Tenants in Common have against each other.

### Jointure. Page 220.

(A) The Original and first Introduction of this Provision.

(B) Of its being a Bar of Dower; and therein of the 27 H. S. and the Rules to be observed in making a good Jointure, and such a one as will be an effectual Bar of Dower: And berein,

1. That the Estate must take Effect immediately after the Death

of the Husband.

- 2. That it must be for Term of the Wife's Life, or greater E-
- 3. That it must be made to herself, and not to others in Trust for her.

4. That it must be in Satisfaction of her whole Dower.

5. That it must be expressed to be in Satisfaction of her Dower; and therein how far a collateral Recompence shall be a Bar of Dower or Jointure.

6. That it must be made during Coverture.

(C) How far her own or her Husband's Att may defeat her of this Provision. (D) How far a Jointress is intitled to the Aid and Assistance of a Court of Equity.

Of Discontinuances by Women of their Husbands Estates, vide

Tit. Discontinuance.

#### Juries. Page 230.

(A) Of the several Kinds of Juries and particular Inquests; and therein of the Number such Juries must consist of.

(B) Of the Jury Process, and Manner of Convening the Jury; And herein, 1. Of the Necessity of fuch Process, and where a Panel may be returned by a bare Award without any Precept.

2. Of the feveral Kinds of Jury Process, and Manner of com-

pelling a Jury to appear.

3. By whom such Processes are to be executed, and the Jury convened.

4. In what Time fuch Processes are returnable.

5. Where the Jury must appear.

6. What Number are to be returned.

7. Of awarding Process by Proviso.

8. Necessity of returning a Panel into Court, and where a Prifoner may demand a Copy of it.

9. Of the Trial's going off pro defectu Juratorum; and therein of drawing a Juror.

(C) In what Cases and in what Manner a Tales is grantable.

(D) In what Cases and in what Manner special Juries are appointed.

(E) Who are to be returned; and therein of the Qualifications and several Causes for which they may be challenged: And berein;

1. Of Challenges to the Array or to the Polls; and herein where the Insufficiency or Partiality of the Sheriff or returning Officer is a principal Caufe of Challenge, or to the Favour.

2. Where

2. Where Infufficiency and not being Liber homo is a good Caufe of Challenge to the Polls.

3. Where the Want of Freehold, or a competent Estate, is a

good Cause of Challenge.

4. Where the Jury's not, being convened from a right Place is a

good Cause of Challenge.

5. Where Partiality in the Juror is a good Cause of Challenge; and therein, where it shall be said a principal Cause of Challenge, or to the Favour.

6. Where the Quality of the Juror is a good Caufe of Challenge; and herein who are exempt from ferving on Juries.

7. Where from the Quality of either Party it is a good Caufe of Challenge, that a Knight is not returned.

8. Of Trials per Medietatem Lingua, where an Alien is Party.

9. Of peremptory Challenges.

10. Of Challenges by the Crown.

- 11. At what Time a Challenge is to be taken.
- 12. How fuch a Challenge is to be tried.

(F) How Jurors are to be impanelled and sworn.

(G) How to be kept and discharged.

(H) In what Cases and in what Manner to have a View.

(1) What Irregularities and Defects in convening, or in the Qualifications of the Jurors, are amendable and aided after Verdict.

(K) What Irregularities or Defects in convening, or in the Qualifications of the Jurors, are aided by Confent.

(L) Il hen and by whom to be paid.

(M) For what Misdemeanors punishable: And herein,

1. Where punishable by Attaint.

2. How otherwise punishable.

3. Where Abuses by others in relation to them are punishable; and therein of the Offence of Embracery.

### Justices of Peace.

(A) Of the antient Officers, called Confervators of the Peace.

(B) Of the first Institution, and general Statutes which give Justices of Peace a Jurisdiction.

(C) Of their Commission, and Manner of appointing them.

(D) Who are qualified for the Office.

(E) Of their Authority and Jurisdiction pursuant to their Commission, and the general Statutes relating to them: And herein,

> 1. What Jurisdiction they have in relation to Treason and Misprision of Treason.

2. What in relation to Felonies.

3. What in relation to inferior Offences.

4. How far they have Power to proceed on Indichment not taken before themselves.

5. By what Justice the Jurisdiction must be exercised; and therein how far a Justice of a County may act out of it, or within a Liberty.

#### Leales and Terms for Wears. Page 295.

(A) Of what Things Leafes may be made for Years.

(B) Of the Persons by whom Leases may be made; and therein, 1. Of Leases by Infants.

- (C) Of Leases made by Husband and Wife: And herein,
  1. Of Leases made by the Husband and Wife by the Common
  - 2. Of Leases made by them purfuant to the Statute of 32 H. 8.

(D) Of Leases by Tenant in Tail: And herein,

- 1. What Leafes Tenant in Tail might have made by the Com-
- 2. What Leafes Tenant in Tail may now make to bind his Issue, fince the 32 *H*. 8.
- 3. When and in what Cafes the Issue in Tail, or Strangers, shall be bound by voidable Leases made by Tenant in Tail.

(E) Of Leases for Lives or Tears by Ecclesiastical Persons: And herein,
1. What Leases they might have made by the Common Law, and of the feveral enabling and disabling Statutes, with some general Observations on them.

2. Of the Rules to be observed, and Qualifications requisite to the Perfection of such Leases: And therein,

Rule 1. Where an Indenture or Deed is necessary. Rule 2. When such Leases are to begin: And herein,

1. When fuch Leafes as have no Date at all, or a void

or impossible Date, are to begin.

- 2. Such Leases as have a good Date, and are delivered on the same Day; in what Cases the Day of the Date or Delivery is to be taken inclusive, and in what Cases exclusive.
- 3. Such Leases as have a good Date, but are not delivered till a Week or Month, &c. after, when they are to begin, and how the Declaration on fuch Leafes is to be framed.
- Rule 3. Within what Time the old Lease is to be furren-
- dered; and therein of concurrent Leases. Rule 4. That such Leases are not to exceed three Lives or twenty-one Years.
- Rule 5. Of what Things Leafes may be made to bind the
- Rule 6. What shall be faid a usual Letting to Farm upon the feveral Statutes, and by what Perfons.
- Rule 7. What Rent is to be referved: And berein,
  - 1. That there must be a Rent reserved.
  - 2. That this Rent must continue due, and be payable to the Lessors and their Successors.
  - 3. That fuch Rent must be the same, or more in Quantity than hath been referved within twenty Years next before fuch Lease made: And herein,
    - 1. What shall be said to be the ancient Rent where Variety of Rents have been referved, or something formerly referved now omitted or varied.
    - 2. In what Manner such Reservation is to be made.
    - 3. Where the Addition of more Land, with or without the Addition of more Rent, shall avoid such
    - 4. Where a Refervation of the whole Rent, or only pro Rata on a Lease of Part, should be good.

Rule 8. That fuch Leases must be made without Impeachment of Waste.

(F) Of Leases by Parsons, Vicars and others, with respect to other Qualifications.

- (G) Of the Consent or Consirmation of others to Leases made by Ecclesiastical Persons: And berein,
  - 1. Where Confirmation is necessary, either in respect of the Leases or Estates made, or of the Persons making the same.
  - 2. What Persons are to confirm such Leases or Estates, and in what Manner.
  - 3. What Estates they who make such Confirmation are to have.

4. At what Time fuch Confirmation is to be made.

5. How far Regard is to be had to the true naming of the Corporation or Persons who do confirm.

(H) Of void or voidable Leases by Ecclesiastical Persons: And berein,

- 1. Against whom Leases not purfuant to the Statutes, or other-wife defective, are void or only voidable.
- 2. By what Means and in what Cafes fuch voidable Leafes may be made good.
- 3. The Manner of avoiding such Leases as are only voidable.
  (1) Of Leases made by those who have but a particular Estate or Interest in the Lands leased: And herein,

1. Of Leases made by Tenant in Dower or Curtesy.

2. Of Leafes made by Tenant for Life.

3. Of derivative Leales, or by one who is but a Lessee for Years himself.

4. Of Leases made by a Disseisor or Disseisce.

5. Of Leases made by Jointenants or Tenants in Common.

6. Of Leafes made by Copyholders.

- 7. Of Leafes made by Executors or Administrators.
- 8. Of Leafes made by a Bailiff of a Manor.

9. Of Leafes made by a Guardian.

ro. Of Leases made pursuant to Authority.

11. Of Leafes made pursuant to Powers in private Conveyances and Settlements.

(K) By what Form of Words Leases may be made.

(L) What Certainty is requisite to Leases for Tears as to their Beginning, Continuance and Ending: And herein,

1. With Regard to the Date of the Leafe.

- 2. With Regard to other Circumstances taken Notice of in the Deed of Lease, whereby to ascertain the Commencement thereof.
- 3. The Certainty of Leafes for Years as to their Continuance.
- 4. The Certainty of Leases for Years as to their Duration and Ending.
- (M) In what Cases and to what Respects an Entry by the Lessee is requisite to the Personnance of his Lease.
- (N) Leafes for Tears, when to take Effect as a Reversion, when as a future Interest, and when neither the one nor the other.
- (O) Leases for Years by Estoppel, how far and against whom such Leases are good.
- (P) Leases for Years and future Interests, how far they may be barred or destroyed, and how far not, and where an Entry before the Term begun is a Dissertion.

(Q) How far and by what Means Leases for Years in Trust to attend an Inheritance may be harred or destroyed.

(R) Leases for Years, when merged by Union with the Freehold or Fee. (S) Of Surrenders of Leases for Years: And herein,

- 1. Of Surrenders in Fact or express: And berein again,
  - 1. By what Words fuch Surrender may be made.
    2. Upon what Estate such Surrender may operate.

- 3. Of Surrenders in Law, or implied Surrenders: And therein,
  - 1. With Regard to Leafes in Possession.

2. With Regard to Leases in futuro.

3. With Regard to the Thing it self so surrendered. (T) Leases, when determined by Cancelling the Deed.

#### Legacies. Page 465.

(A) What a Legacy properly is.

(B) Where a Legacy shall be faid to be well given: And herein,
1. What Words make a good Bequest.

- 2. What shall be sufficient Description of the Person to take.
- 3. What shall be sufficient Description of the Thing given, and what shall be faid to be bequeathed.

(C) What shall be an Ademption or Extinguishment of a Legacy.

(D) Where a Legacy shall be presumed to be a Satisfaction of a Debt or Duty owing from the Testator.

(E) Of Legacies vested or lapsed: And berein,

- 1. Where it shall be a lapsed Legacy by the Legatee's dying in the Life-time of the Testator, and where in such Case it shall vest in another Person, to whom it is limited over.
- 2. Where a Legacy shall be faid to be vested or lapsed, being to be paid at a future Time, to which the Legatee did not arrive.
- (F) Of conditional Legacies, and how far the Condition must be complied with, otherwise the Legacy will be forfeited.
- (G) Of specifick and pecuniary Legacies, and the Difference between them.

(H) Of abating, refunding, and giving Security for that Purpofe.

(I) Of residuary Legacies and Legatees.

(K) Of the Payment of Legacies: And herein,

1. What shall be a good Payment, and to whom to be made.

2. At what Time a Legacy is to be paid.

3. Where the Legatee shall have Interest, and Maintenance in the mean Time.

(L) Of the Executor's Affent to a Legacy.

(M) Legacies, in what Court, and how properly recoverable.

#### Libel. Page 490.

(A) What shall be said a Libel: And berein,

- 1. How far it is necessary that it should be in Writing. 2. What Degree of Defamation will amount to a Libel.
- 3. What Certainty in the Matter and Application will make it a Libel.
- 4. Whether any Proceedings in a Court of Justice will amount to a Libel.
- 5. Whether any Thing of this Kind can be justified.

(B) Who shall be said a Libeller: And herein,

1. Who shall be faid the Author or Composer of a Libel.

2. Who the Publisher.

(C) The Offenders how punished.

#### Limitation of Actions. Page 499.

- (A) Of the Limitation of Actions at Common Law, and before the Statute 32 H. 8.
- (B) Of the Limitation of real Actions pursuant to 32 H. 8. and 21 Jac. 1.
- (C) Of the Limitation of Time in regard to Actions on Penal Statutes.
- (D) Of the Limitation of Time in regard to personal Actions, pursuant to 21 Jac. 1. And herein,
  - 1. Of Actions of Affault and Battery.
  - 2. Of Actions of Slander.
  - 3. Of Actions arifing upon Contract and founded in Maleficio: And herein,
    - 1. Of what Nature or Degree the Action must be, so as to be barred by the Statute.
    - 2. Whether a Trust or equitable Demand be within the
    - 3. At what Time the Right of Action shall be faid to have accrued, before which the Statute can be no Bar.
    - 4. In what Court the Demand must be made, or what Courts are bound by the Statute.
- (E) Of the Exceptions in the Statute 21 Jac. 1. cap. 16. and what will fave a Bar thereof: And herein,
  - 1. What Actions are within the Savings of the Statutes.
  - 2. Of the Exception in relation to Infants, &c.
  - 3. Of the Exception in relation to Accounts between Merchants.
  - 4. Of the Exception in relation to Perfons beyond Sea.
  - 5. Where no Executor or Administrator to sue or be sued.
  - 6. Where no Jurisdiction to sue on, or hindered by some Au-
  - 7. Where the Suing out a Writ will fave the Bar of the Statute.
  - 8. Where a Debt barred by the Statute shall be said to be revived.
- (F) Of the Manner of Pleading and taking Advantage of the Statute of Limitations.

### Maihem. Page 519.

- (A) What it is.
- (B) How punished.

### Maintenance, and the Offence of Buying or Selling a pretended Title.

- (A) What shall be said to amount to an AI of Maintenance.
- (B) In what Respects some such Acts may be justified: And herein,
  - 1. How far they are justifiable in Respect of an Interest in the Thing in Variance.
  - 2. How far in Respect of Kindred or Affinity.
  - 3. How far in Respect of other Relations; as that of Lord and Tenant, Mafter and Servant.

  - 4. How far in Respect of Charity.5. How far in Respect of the Prosession of the Law.
- (C) How Maintenance is restrained and punished by the Common Law.
- (D) How restrained and punished by Statute.
- (E) Of the Offence of Buying or Selling a pretended Title.

#### Mandamus. Page 527.

(A) Of the Nature of the Writ; and berein of the Suggestion and Manner of awarding thereof.

(B) Of the Form thereof, and for what Irregularities it may be quashed or

superseded.

(C) In what Cases to be granted: And herein,

- 1. Where it lies to restore or admit a Person to an Office, and what shall be faid such a Publick Office for which a Mandamus will lie.
- 2. Where the Party's having another Remedy is a fufficient Foundation to deny it; and therein of granting Mandanus's to restore Members of Colleges, &c.

3. What Removal or turning out of an Officer will intitle him

to a Mandamus.

- (D) Where it lies to inferior Courts, and Magistrates, to oblige them to do
- that Justice, which the Publick Good requires and the Law enjoins.
  (E) Of the Authority by which it Issues; and therein of the discretionary Power in the Court of Granting or Refusing it.

(F) To whom to be directed.

(G) By whom to be returned. (H) Of the Manner of enforcing Obedience to the Writ, and compelling a

(I) What shall be said a good Return.

(K) Of traverfing the Return, and taking Issue thereon.

(L) Of the Party's Remedy for a false Return.

(M) Of awarding a peremptory Return.

#### Master and Servant. Page 544.

(A) Of the Manner of Hiring and Binding a Perfon Servant or Apprentice.

(B) Who may serve or are capable of binding themselves Servants or Ap-

prentices.

(C) Of the Jurisdiction of Justices of Peace in binding out Apprentices, in obliging Masters to provide for them, in compelling them to refund the Money had with them, and in discharging Apprentices from their Masters.

(D) Of the Necossity of serving an Apprenticeship, as a Qualification to fol-

low a Trade within the 5 Eliz. And herein,

- 1. What shall be said a Trade, which a Person is prohibited to follow, within the Statute.
- 2. What Manner of following or exercifing a Trade shall be faid within the Statute.
- 3. What Kind of Service will be a fufficient Qualification within the Statute.
- 4. By whom the Offence of following a Trade without a Qualification is cognizable.
- 5. Of the Form of the Proceedings in order to a Conviction, for following a Trade without being qualified.

(E) Of assigning and turning over Apprentices to other Masters.

(F) Of making Apprentices free.

(G) How Apprentices are to be taken Care of when their Masters happen

(H) Of Servants Wages, how recoverable.

(I) What Acts of the Servant are deemed the Master's, of which the Master may take Advantage.

Vol. III. [d] (K) What

(K) What Alls of the Servant shall be deemed the Master's, for which the Master shall answer and be bound.

(I.) For what Asts of his shall the Servant himself answer to others.

(M) For what Asts of his shall the Servant answer, and he responsible to bis Master: And berein,

> 1. Where by an implied Trust or Confidence a Servant shall anfwer in a Civil Action.

2. Where Servants and Apprentices shall be punished criminally, for Acts done in relation to their Masters.

(N) Of the Master's Authority over his Servant, and how far he may correst and punish him.

(O) Of the Master's Remedies against others for inticing away, and other Injuries done, in relation to his Servant.

(P) What a Master or Servant may justify doing in each other's Defence.

#### Marriage and Divoice.

(A) What Persons may marry within the Levitical Degrees.

(B) Of Espousals and Marriage Contracts; and therein of the Difference between Contracts in præsenti and futuro, and the Remedies for the Violation thereof.

(C) Of the Solemnization and Ceremonies requisite to a compleat Marriage; and therein of the Offence of performing the Ceremony without due Authority or Licence.

(D) Of Offences against the Rights of Marriage: And herein,

1. Of the Offence of a forcible Marriage.

2. Of the Offence of marrying an Infant Female under the Age of fixteen, without Consent of Guardian.

3. Of the Offence of procuring an improvident Marriage; and therein of Marriage-Brokage Contracts and Agreements.

(E) Marriage how long to continue; and therein of the several Kinds of Divorces: And herein,

i. Of Elopement.

- 2. Of the Offence of taking away a Wife, and of criminal Conversation.
- 3. Of the feveral Kinds of Divorces.

### Merchant and Merchandize. Page 583.

(A) Of Alien Merchants.

- (B) Of Principals and Factors.(C) Of Partners and joint Traders.
- (D) Of Owners and Masters of Ships.
  (E) Of Mariners.
  (F) Of Average.

- (G) Of Hypothecation.
- (H) Of Charter-Parties.

- (I) Of Policies of Insurance.
  (K) Of Bottomry Bonds.
  (L) Of Bills of Exchange: And herein,
  - 1. Of the Nature and different Kinds of Bills of Exchange and negotiable Notes: And herein,
    - Of Foreign Bills.
       Of Inland Bills.

    - 3. Of promissory and negotiable Notes.

2. What shall be faid a Bill of Exchange, or negotiable Note, within the Custom of Merchants.

3. Who shall be faid liable to the Payment thereof, and therein of suing the Drawer, Indorsor, or Acceptor.

4. Who shall be faid intitled to the Money.

5. Of the Indorsement.

6. Of the Acceptance: And berein,

1. What shall be faid a good Acceptance.

2. Where Acceptance shall bind.

3. Whether an Acceptance may be qualified.

7. Of the Protest: And berein,

1. Of the Necessity and Validity of the Protest.

2. At what Time to be made, and therein of giving Notice to the Drawer; of the Drawer's Refusal, so as to intitle the Party to Principal, Interest and Costs.

8. Of the Action and Remedy on a Bill of Exchange, and Man-

ner of declaring and pleading therein.

#### Milnomer and Addition. Page 615.

(A) What Names are the same, and may or may not be missaken.

(B) What Names and Additions are required by Law, and must be truly inserted: And herein,

1. Of the Difference between the Christian Name and Sur-

name

2. Of the Addition of the Estate or Degree.

3. Of the Addition of the Mystery.

4. Of the Addition of the Town, Hamlet, Place or County.

5. Of Additions which are only Conveyances to the Action.

(C) Where the Name is truly put at first, and afterwards varied from.
(D) Of the Difference between a Mistake in Grants, Obligations, &c. and judicial Proceedings.

(E) At what Time the Mistake must be taken Advantage of, and how the

fame is falved.

(F) Of the Manner of taking Advantage of, and pleading a Missiomer, or Want of Addition.

(G) Who may take Advantage thereof.

#### Monopoly. Page 626.

(A) Monopoly, what it is, and how restrained by the Common Laws

(B) How restrained by Statute.

#### Mortgage. Page 630.

(A) Of the Original and several Kinds of Mortgages.

(B) What shall be deemed a Mortgage, or Estate redeemable.

(C) Of the Nature of a Mortgage as to the distinct Interests of the Mortgagor and Mortgagoe.

(D) Of the legal Performance of the Condition.

(E) Of the Equity of Redemption and Foreclosure: And herein,

1. Who may redeem, and by whom the Mortgage-money shall be paid.

2. To whom the Mortgage-money shall be paid.

3. Of the Precedency and Right of Redemption, where there are feveral Mortgagees or Incumbrancers; and therein of their Remedies against each other, as well as against the Mortgagor.

4. How far the Purchasing in a precedent Mortgage or Incumbrance will protect fuch Purchaser, and intitle him to a Precedency of Redemption.

5. Of the Equity which must be done by him, who would redeem, to the Person against whom a Redemption is prayed.

6. At what Time the Redemption must be.

7. Of the Manner of redeeming and foreclofing.

(F) Mortgagees and their Assignees, how to Account, and what Allowances to make.

#### Murder and Homicide. Page 661.

(A) In what Cases a Man may be said to kill another.

(B) Who are fuch Persons, by killing of whom a Person may be said to commit Murder.

(C) What shall be deemed Murder: And herein,

- 1. Where it shall be said to be express Murder, and of Malice prepense.
- 2. Where the Malice shall be faid to be implied, or by Presumption of Law: And herein,
  - 1. Where the Homicide being voluntarily committed, and without Provocation, the Law implies Malice.

2. When done on an Officer or Minister of Justice.

- 3. When done by Persons in the Execution of some other unlawful Act.
- (D) Of Manslaughter, and therein of Manslaughter exempt from Clergy by the Statute of I Jac. 1.

(E) Of justifiable Homicide: And herein,

- r. As it happens in the due Execution and Advancement of publick Justice.
- 2. As it happens in the Defence of a Man's Person, House or

(F) Of excusable Homicide: And berein,

- 1. Of Homicide per infortunium, or Chance-medley.
- 2. Of Homicide se defendendo.

#### Ronsuit. Page 678.

(A) Of the Nature thereof, and how it differs from a Retraxit.

(B) Who may be nonfuit.

(C) In what Adions there may be a Nonfuit.

(D) At what Time a Nonsuit may be.

(E) How far the Nonfuit of one shall be the Nonsuit of another.

(F) How far a Nonsuit for Part of the Thing in Demand shall be a Nonfuit for the whole.

(G) Of the Effect of a Nonsuit; and therein of its being a peremptory Bar.

### Page 683.

(A) What shall be said a Nusance.

(B) How far the Indicament must charge it to be an Annoyance to all the King's Subjects. 2

(C) How a Nusance is to be removed or abated.

(D) How the Offence is punishable.

For Nusances relating to the Highways, vide Title Highways.

For those relating to Bridges, Title Bridges.

For those relating to Publick Houses, Title Inns and Innkeepers.

#### Dbligations. Page 689.

(A) Of the Nature of the Security, called a Bond or Obligation.

(B) What Words create fuch a Security.

(C) Of the Ceremonies requisite to a Bond or Olligation; and herein of Signing, Sealing, Date and Delivery.

(D) Of the Parties to the Obligation: And herein,

1. Who may bind themselves, or be Obligors. 2. Who may take fuch Security, or be Obligees.

3. Who shall be faid the Obligee; and therein of making several Obligees.

4. Where there are feveral Co-obligors or Sureties; and herein, where they shall be said to be jointly and severally bound, and of the Obligee's Remedy against all or any of them.

5. Of their Remedies against each other.

(E) Of the Condition and Consideration of the Obligation: And herein,

1. Of possible and impossible Conditions.

2. Of repugnant Conditions.

3. Lawful and unlawful Conditions.

(F) Of the Breach and Performance of the Condition of an Obligation: And berein,

1. What shall be a Breach or sufficient Performance.

2. Where there are disjunctive Conditions, how to be performed.

3. By and to whom to be performed.

4. At what Time to be performed.

5. At what Place to be performed.

6. What the Obligee must do in order to intitle him to take Ad-

vantage of the Breach; and herein of Notice, Request, &c. 7. How the Breach must be affigned and set forth, and the Manner of pleading Performance, and in Bar.

#### Offices and Officers. Page 718.

(A) Of the Nature of an Office, and the several Kinds of Offices.

(B) Offices, by what Authority created.

(C) Who hath a Right of granting or assigning an Office; and therein of one Office's being incident to another.

(D) Of the Grant of Offices by Ecclefiaftical Persons.

(E) Of the Ceremony requisite to a compleat Creation or Grant, and of the Oaths required by Statute.

(F) Of the Offence of buying or felling an Office, and what Offices are prohibited to be thus dispesed of.

(G) What Remedies a Person having a Right to an Office must pursue, to be let into the Enjoyment of it, and how a Disturbance is punishable.

(H) Of the Nature of Offices as to their Duration and Continuance; and therein of their being grantable in Fee, for Life, Years, at Will and Re-

(I) Offices by whom to be executed, and who are incapable thereof.

(K) Of the Manner of executing them; and therein of Offices that are incompatible, and where an Office may be executed by two or more Perfens.

(L) Of the Execution of an Office by Deputy; and therein of Superiors being answerable for their Deputies.

(M) Of the Forfeitures of an Office.

(N) Where for Corruption and oppressive Proceedings officers are punishalle; and therein of Bribery and Extortion.

#### Dutlawip. Page 745.

(A) In what Cases Process of Outlawry lies.

(B) By what Jurisdiction such Processes are to iffue.

(C) Against whom Process of Outlawry may be awarded: And herein,

1. Whether it may be awarded against a Peer.

- 2. Whether Process of Outlawry may be awarded against an
- 3. Of awarding Process of Outlawry against a Feme sole or covert, and the Proceedings thereon.
  4. Of awarding Process of Outlawry against several Defendants,

and the Proceedings thereon.

5. Of awarding Process against Principal and Accessary.

(D) What Forfeitures and Difabilities an Outlawry fulfects the Party to: And herein,

1. Where it is of the same Effect with a Sentence or Judgment.

2. Of the Forfeiture as to Lands, Goods, &c. and therein of the Difference between Outlawries in criminal and civil Cases, and of the King's and Party's Interest at whose Suit the Outlawry was had; and berein,

1. Of the Difference between the Forfeiture in a Criminal

and Civil Case.

2. What Things are forfeited by the Outlawry.

3. To what Time the Forseiture thall relate.

4. Of the King's and Party's Interest at whose Suit the Outlawry was had, in the Estate and Essects of the Party outlawed, and their Remedies for the fame.

3. Of the Party's Difability to bring any Action.

4. What further Difabilities outlawry subjects the Party to.

(E) Of the Regularity of the Proceedings on an Outlawry, and for what Errors it may be reversed: And berein,

1. Where, for want of fuch Process as required by Law, the

Outlawry may be reverted.

- 2. Where for Want of Form in such Processes the Outlawry may be reverfed.
- 3. Where for Variance in fuch Processes the Outlawry may be reverfed.
- 4. Where for a defective Execution and Return the Outlawry may be reversed: And herein,

1. To whom such Process is to issue and be executed.

2. To what Process the Place is to issue; and therein of the Quinto exactus, and Proclamations on an Outlawry.

3. What shall be faid a good Execution and Return.

- (F) Of the Manner of Reverfing an Outlawry; and therein of the Difference between Errors in Fact and in Law.
- (G) What the Party must do in order to intitle him to a Reversal: And herein,
  - 1. Of appearing in Person or by Attorney.

2. Of giving Bail.

3. Of fuing out a Scire facias.

(H) The Effects and Consequences of a Reversal; and herein,

1. Where the Proceedings on the Reverfal are in the same Plight as if an Outlawry had been.

2. To what the Party shall be restored on Reversal of the Out-

### Papilis and Popilly Reculants.

(A) The Difabilities, Restraints, Forscitures and Inconveniencies which popilh Recujants are subject to: And nerein,

1. Of their Disability to bring any Action.

2. Of bearing any publick Office or Charge.

3. Of claiming any Part of a Husband's Personal Estate.

4. Of claiming an Estate by Curtefy or by way of Dower, after a Marriage against Law.

2. Of the Restraints they are put under: And herein,

1. From going five Miles from home.

2. From coming to Court.

3. From keeping Arms.

4. From coming within ten Miles of London.

3. Of the Forfeitures they are liable to: And herein,

1. That of two Parts of a Jointure or Dower.

2. That of 201. for not receiving the Sacrament yearly after Conformity.

3. That of 100 l. for an unlawful Marriage.
4. That of 100 l. for an Omission of lawful Baptism.
5. That of 20 l. for an unlawful Burial.

- 4. Of the Inconveniencies they are subject to: And herein,
  1. That their Houses may be searched for Reliques, whether they be Men or Women.
  - 2. If they be women, and married, that they may be com-
- (B) Of the Offence of not making a Declaration against Popery, and the Refiraints it subjects them to: And herein,

1. From fitting in Parliament.

2. Holding a Place at Court.

3. From living within ten Miles of London.

4. From keeping Arms.

- (C) Of the Offence in promoting or professing the Popish Religion; And herein,
  - 1. Of the Offence of faying or hearing Mass or other Popish
  - 2. Of giving or receiving Popish Education.

3. Of buying or felling Popith Books.

4. Of keeping School.

- 5. Of with-holding a competent Maintenance from a Protestant Child.
- 6. Of the Disability of those professing the Popish Religion to present to a Church.

7. Of their Disability to purchase.

#### Pardon. Page 8cr.

(A) By whom to be granted.

(B) In what Cases, and for what Offences it may be granted.

(C) Where a Pardon is grantable of common Right.

(D) Of the Validity of a Pardon; and therein by what Words, Treafon, Murder, Felony, and other Offences may be pardoned; and herein of Pardons by Implication, and where the King shall be faid to be deceived in his Grant thereof.

(E) Whether a Pardon may be conditional.

- (F) Who may take Advantage of a Pardon, and to whom it shall be faid to extend.
- (G) In what Manner a Pardon is to be taken advantage of: And herein,

  1. In what Manner a General Pardon by Parliament is to be taken advantage of.

2. In what Manner a particular Pardon under the Great Seal is to be taken advantage of.

(H) The Effects and Consequences of a Pardon, and to what the Party shall be restored.

#### Pauper. Page 811.

(A) Of the Right to fue in forma pauperis, and the Manner of Admittance.
(B) Whether a Defendant may be allowed to defend, as well as a Plaintiff to fue, in forma pauperis.

(C) In what Cases to be so admitted.

(D) In what Cases to be dispaupered and to pay Costs.

#### Page 814.

(A) What it is by the Common Law, and how restrained and punished.

(B) How restrained and punished by Statute.

Piracy. Page 819.

# Habeas Corpus.

- (A) De the Pature and several kinds of Writs of Habeas Corpus.
- (B) Df tije Habeas Corpus ad Subjiciendum: And hereing
  - 1. What Courts have a Jurisdiction of granting it.

2. To what Places it may be granted.

- 3. In what Cases it is to be granted, and where it is the proper Remedy.
- 4. How far the Courts have a Discretionary Power in granting or denying it: And therein of the Habeas Corpus Act.
- 5. Of the Manner of fuing it out, and the Form of the Writ.

6. To whom it is to be directed.

7. By whom to be returned.

- 8. Of the Manner of compelling a Return, and the Offence of a false Return.
- 9. What Matters must be returned together with the Body of the Party.
- 10. Where the Return shall be faid to be sufficient, and to warrant the Commitment.
- 11. Whether the Party can fuggest any Thing contrary to the Return.
- 12. Whether any Defect in the Return may be amended.
- 13. What is to be done with the Prisoner at the Return: And therein of bailing, discharging, or remanding him.
- (C) Df the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum.

#### the Nature and several Kinds of (A) DE Wirits of Habeas Corpus.

HEREVER a Person is restrained of his Liberty by Vaugh. 136. being confined in a common Gaol, or by a private Person, Bushes's Case, whether it be for a Criminal or Civil Cause, he may regularly by Habeas Corpus have his Body and Cause removed the most unitarity and the state of the most unitarity and the state of t to some superior Jurisdiction, which hath Authority to examine the Le- sual Remedy gality of fuch Commitment, and on the Return thereof either Bail, toberelieved Discharge, or Remand the Prisoner.

wrongfulIms-The prisonment.

The Habeas Corpus ad Subjiciendum is that which issues in Criminal 2 Inft. 55. 4 Inft. 182. Cases, and is deemed (a) a Prerogative Writ, which the King may issue (a) Cro. Fac. to any Place, as he has a Right to be informed of the State and Con-543. 2 Red. Abr. 69. dition of the Prisoner, and for what Reasons he is confined. It is also (b) That it is in Regard to the Subject deemed his Writ of (b) Right, that is, fuch a an ancient one as he is intitled to (c) ex debito fusitive, and is in Nature of a Writ and legal of Error to examine the Legality of the Commitment; and therefore Writ. Cro. commands the Day, the Caption, and Caufe of Detention to be returned. Car. 466. But it is no original Writ. Carter 221. per Vaughan. (c) 4 Inft. 290.

The Habeas Corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum issues (d) only in Civil Infra.

(d) If upon this Writ a Civil Action, and is willing to have the Cause determined in some inferior Jurisdiction, and is willing to have the Cause determined in some superior Court, which hath Jurisdiction over the Matter; in this Case the Body and also a Matter of Crime be re-

turned; as if a Person be arrested for Debt, and also charged with a Warrant of a Justice of Peace for Felony. 1. If it appear to the Judge or Court, that the Arrest for Debt, or other Civil Action, is fraudulent, they may remand him. 2. If it be found Real, they may commit him to the King's Bench with his Causes, the they are Matters of Crime; for that Court hath Conusance as well of the Crime as of the Civil Action; but then in the Term the Court may take his Appearance or Bail to the Civil Action, and remand him, if they see Cause, as to the Crime to be proceeded on below; but upon the Wilt ad faciendum recipiendum, there ought not singly a Matter of Crime to be returned, for that belongs to the Habeas Corpus ad Subjiciendum. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 145. ride 6 Mod. 133.

There is likewise a Writ of Habeas Corpus ad respondendum, where a Tyer 197. a. 249. pl. 84. Person is confined in Gaol for a Cause of Action accruing within some 296, 307. inferior Court; and a third Person hath also a Cause of Action against 1 Mod. 235. him; in which Cafe he may have this Writ in order to charge him in Styl. Pract. fuch superior Court; for inferior Courts being tied down to Causes Regist. 330. arifing within their own Jurisdiction, the Party would be without Re-(e) If one in medy, unless allowed to sue him in another Court; (e) but it seems, that Prison in the regularly a Person confined in B. R. cannot be removed to the C. B. by Counter be this Writ, nor vice versa; for in these Cases there can be no Defect of removed into the King's Justice, as these Courts have (f) Conusance as well of Local as Transi-

Habeas Corpus, and intending to go over to the Fleet, procures him some Friend to bring a Habeas Corpus to remove him thither, he shall not be removed thither till be has answered to the Cause in B. R. for he shall not compel the Plaintist to follow after a Rolling Defendant; and so vie versa of the Common Pleas, each Court shall retain the Defendant where he is first attached, and after he has answered there, he may be carried any where. 1 Salk. 350. (f) And therefore this Writ lies not to a County Palatine. 1 Salk. 354—nor to the Cinque Ports, unless the Action be local, so that they cannot have Conusance of it. 1 Mod. 20.

There are also besides these (g) other Writs of Habeas Corpus, as a

tory Actions.

Bench by

(p) There is

2,

of Habeas

Corpus ad fa
tista iendum after a Judgment; and on this Writ the Attorney for the Plaintiff must indorse the Number-Roll of the Judgment on the back of the Writ. Styl. Regist 331.— Habeas Corpus upon a Cepi, where the Party is taken and in Execution in the Court below.— So upon an Attachment out of Chancery, and a Cepi Corpus returned by the Sheriff, the next Step is a Habeas Corpus; for the Sheriff having executed the Command of the Writ of Attachment by taking the Body, he cannot carry him out of the County without the King's Writ.— There is also a Writ of Habeas Corpus ad testificand, which is to remove a Person in Confinement in order to give his Testimony in some Court of Justice; for which vide Styl. 119, 126, 230. 3 Keb. 51. Comb. 17, 48. (b) A Person committing a Crime in Barbades, and apprehended here, may be sent thither by Habeas Corpus and tried. 3 Keb. 560, 568. Warner's Case.—Also since the Habeas Corpus Act, a Person committing a criminal Offence in Ireland being here, may be sent to Ireland and tried there. 2 Vent. 314. Colonel Lundy's Case.—Also Justices of Gaol-Delivery may send Prisoners by Habeas Corpus to the Sheriff of another County, and a Precept to the Sheriff of that other County to receive them, namely, for a Felony committed in that County, tho' that County be out of the Circuit of the Justice that tends them. 2 Hale's Hist. P. C. 37.—

That if any Hibers Corius come to receive a Prisoner from another Gaol, the Gholer to take Notice

Person to the proper Place or County, where he committed some Crimi- of the Ofnal Offence.

stood committed at the other Gaol, and to inform the Court, that if he shall happen to be acquitted, or have his Clergy, he may yet be remanded to the former Gaol, if there be Caufe. Kelynge 4.

And that if any Habeas Corpus come to the Guolers to remove a Prifoner, that with the Prifoner they also certify the Cause for which he stood there committed. Kelynge 4.

#### (B) Of the Habeas Corpus ad Subjiciendum: And herein,

#### 1. What Courts have Jurisdiction of granting it.

T is clear, that both by the Common Law, as also by the Statute, 2 Inft 55. T is clear, that both by the Common Elm, as also by the Link. 190. the Courts of Chancery and King's Bench have Jurifdiction of award. 4 lnft. 190. ing this Writ of Habeas Corpus, and that without any Privilege in the <sup>2</sup>/<sub>2</sub> And. 297. Perfon for whom it is awarded; but it feems, that by the Common 17. Law the Court of King's Bench could only have awarded it in Termtime, but that the Chancery might have done it as well out as in Term, because that Court is always open.

If the Habeas Corpus issues out of Chancery, and on the Return thereof 2 Hal. H ft. the Lord Chancellor finds that the Party was illegally restrained of his P. C. 147. Liberty, he may discharge him, or if he finds it doubtful he may bail 2 Hawk f.C. him; but then it must be to appear in the Court of King's Bench, for the Chancellor hath no Power to proceed in Criminal Causes; or the Chancellor may commit the Party to the Fleet, and in Term-time may Propriis manibus deliver the Record into the King's Bench, together with the Body; and thereupon the Court of King's Bench may proceed to bail, discharge, or commit the Prisoner.

If the Hakeas Corpus, and also a Certiorari, be granted returnable in 2 Hal. Hist. Chancery, and the Cause and Body be returned there, they may be sent P. C. 147 8. into the King's Bench; if the Body only be returned with his Caufes, by Habeas Corpus into the Chancery, and delivered over into the King's Bench, they may proceed to the Determination of the Return, and either by Procedendo remand him, or grant a Certiorari to certify the Record also, and thereupon commit or bail the Prisoner, as there shall be

But the fending an Haleas Corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum by the 2 Hal Hift. Chancellor for Persons arrested in Civil Causes, especially being in Exe- P. C. 148. cution, is neither warrantable by Law nor ancient Usage, and particularly forbidden by the Statute 2 H. 5, cap. 2. as to Persons in Execution.

There are feveral strong Opinions, that no Habeas Corpus ad Subjicien- Dyer 175. b. There are leveral itrong Opinions, that no Haveas Corpus an Subjection-pl. 26.

dum could by the Common Law issue of the Courts of Exchequer or 2 Infl. 55. Common Pleas, unless it were in the Case of Privilege, because these 3 Love. 18. Courts are confined to Civil Causes meerly; and therefore unless the 4 Infl. 70, Party were an Attorney, or intitled to the Privilege of the Court as an 182, 290. Officer, &c. or unless there had been a Suit commenced against him in 2 Mod. 198. those Courts, they could not grant a Habeas Corpus ad Subjiciendum, tho' Vaugh. 155, they might any other Writ of Habeas Corpus.

But notwithstanding these Opinions it was holden in Bushel's Case, 2 vent. 22. that the Court of Common Pleas may iffue a Habeas Corpus ad Subjicien- and feveral dum, and that if it appeared on the Return thereof that the Party was Precedents of imprisoned and detained against Law, the Court might, tho' there was Write of Hazno Privilege in the Case, discharge him; for that to remand him would this kind out be an Act of Injustice in the Court, and contrary to Magna Charta.

of the Court Alfo of C B.

Hal. Hift.
 P. C. 144.

Also by the Statute of 16 Car. 1. cap. 10. they have an original Jurisdiction to bail, discharge, or commit, upon an Habeas Corpus for one committed by the Council-Table, as well as the King's Bench, and that alshe' there have a Privilege for the Person committed

altho' there be no Privilege for the Person committed.

Also by the Habeas Corpus Act, 31 Car. 2. any of the said Courts in Term-time, and any Judge of either Bench, or Baron of the Exchequer, being of the Degree of the Coif, in the Vacation, may award a Habeas Corpus for any Prisoner whatsoever, and on the Return thereof discharge him, if it shall clearly appear that the Commitment was against Law, as being made by one who had no Jurisdiction of the Cause, or for a Matter for which no Man ought by Law to be punished; or bail him, if it shall be doubtful whether the Commitment were legal or not; or remand him, according to the Nature and Circumstances of the Case.

#### 2. To what Places it may be granted.

2 Rol. Abr. 69. It hath been already observed, that the Writ of Habeas Corpus is a Cro. Ja. 543. Prerogative Writ, and that therefore by the Common Law it lies to any Part of the King's Dominions; for the King ought to have an Account why any of his Subjects are imprisoned, and therefore no Answer will fatisfy the Writ, but to return the Cause with Paratum babeo Corpus, &c.

Hence it was held, that this Writ lay to (a) Calice at the Time it was

(a) Error of subject to the King of England.

a Judgment in the King's Bench in Ireland; it was suggested, that the Plaintiff was in Execution upon the Judgment in Ireland; and the Court seemed to be of Opinion, that a Habeas Corpus might be sent thither to remove him, as Writs Mandatory had been awarded to Calais, and now to Fersey, Guernsey. 1 Vent. 357.— A Habeas Corpus granted to Fersey. 1 Sid. 386.

2 Rol. Abr. 69. Wetherley and Wether-ley.

Palm. 54.

It hath been held, that this Writ lies to the Marches of Wales, as it does to all other Courts which derive their Authority from the King, as all the Courts exercising Jurisdiction within his Dominions do, and that it being a Prerogative, it does not come within the Rule Brevia Domini Regis non current, &c. for that must be understood of Writs between Party and Party.

(b) But a Habeat Corpus Ports, to Berwick, altho' objected to have been Part of Scotland, and to

ad faciendum the (d) County Palatine.

dum does not lie to the Cinque Ports. t Sid. 431. (c) Palm. 54-5. 96. Bourne's Case. Cro. Fac. 543. S. C adjudged. 2 Rol. Abr. 69. (d) Latch 160. Folson's Case. 3 Keb. 279.

Also by the Habeas Corpus Act, 31 Car. 2. cap. — par. 11. it is enacted and declared, 'That an Habeas Corpus, according to the Intent and true

- Meaning of the Act, may be directed and run into any County Palatine, the Cinque Ports, or other privileged Places within the Kingdom
- of England, Dominion of Wales, or Town of Berwick upon Tweed,

and the Isles of Jersey or Guernsey, any Law, &c.

## 3. In what Cases it is to be granted, and where it is the proper Remedy.

Paugh. 136. A Habeas Corpus is a Writ of Right, which the Subject may demand, and is the most usual Remedy by which a Man is restored again to his Liberty, if he hath been against Law deprived of it.

By the 31 Car. 2. cap. 2. par. 9. it is enacted, 'That if any Subject of this Realm shall be committed to any Prison, or in Custody of any 6 Officer or Officers whatfoever, for any criminal or supposed criminal Matter, that the faid Person shall not be removed from the faid Prison and Custody into the Custody of any other Officer or Officers, unless it be by Habeas Corpus, or some other legal Writ; or where the Prifoner is delivered to the Constable, or other inferior Officer, to carry fuch Prisoner to some common Gaol; or where any Person is sent by 6 Order of any Judge of Affife, or Justice of the Peace, to any common Work-house or House of Correction; or where the Prisoner is re-6 moved from one Prison or Place to another within the same County, in order to a Trial or Discharge by due Course of Law; or in case of fudden Fire or Infection, or other Necessiry, upon Pain, that he who makes out Signs or Counterfigns, or obeys or executes fuch Warrunts, shall forfeit to the Party grieved One hundred Pounds for the • first Offence, Two hundred Pounds for the second, &c.

If a Party be imprisoned against Law, tho' he is intitled to a Habeas Fitz. corpus Corpus, yet may he have an Action of falle Imprisonment, in which he cum causa 25 shall recover Damages in Proportion to the Injury done him.

10 H. 7. 17. 5 Co. 64. March 117. 11 Co. 98, 99.

But it was held in Buffel's Case, who together with the other Jurors 1 Mod. 119. appointed to try an Indichment for a Riot between the King and Pen 3 Keb. 322, and Mead, were fined at the Old Baily, because they found a Verdict 358. contra plenam evidentiam & directionem curix in Materia Legis; and for Non-payment of the Fine, divers of them being committed to Prifon, who brought their Habeas Corpus in C. B. and the Imprisonment (a) held illegal; (a) Vaugh. in several Conferences with all the Judges, that yet no Action lay against 153. the Commissioners, because they acted as Judges and Commissioners of 1 Sid. 273. Oyer and Terminer, can no more be punished for an erroneous Commitment, than they can be for an erroneous Judgment; and the highest Remedy the Party in this Case can have is a Writ of Habeas Corpus.

If a Husband confine his Wife, she may have a Habeas Corpus; but the 2 Lev. 128.

Judges on the Return of it cannot remove the Wife from her Husband.

A Motion was made for a Habeas Corpus to the Lord Leigh, for having Lady Leigh's in Court the Body of his Wife; and the Case was, the Parties were 26 Care. 2. in married in 1669, and because they were both within Age, no Settle-B. R. ment was made in 1671; Lord Leigh persuades his Wife to levy a Fine 2 Lev. 128. of some Lands of 900 l. per Ann. whereof she had the Inheritance, to 3 Keb. 4330 him and his Heirs; and because she prayed to advise with her Friends, he confined her until her Mother had petitioned the King and Council, and there the Matter was referred to three Lords of the Council; and they made an Award, which the Lady Leigh was ready to perform; but the Lord Leigh brought to her an Instrument to be sealed, upon which she made the same Request as before, that she might advise with her Friends, but he refused to permit it, and presently compelled his Wife to go with him to his House in the Country, where he made her his Prisoner; and tho' by the barbarous Usage of her Husband she fell Sick, yet he would not let her have Physicians or Servants to attend her, or to be visited by her Friends; & per Cur. a Habeas Corpus was granted, for this is a Writ of Right, which the Subject may demand, and the King ought to have an Account of his Subject; and tho' it was objected that here was no Affidavit but of fuch Complaint as the Lady Leigh had made in a Letter to her Mother, yet the Habeas Corpus shall go to put the Lady in a Condition to make Oath of this Matter herfelf, and to exhibit Articles against her Husband; for here is sufficient Matter to compel him to find Sureties of the Peace, and of his good Behaviour also; for this Treatment the Lady may sue out a Divorce propter sevi-Vol. III.

tiam; and in a like Case between Sir Philip Howard and his Wife a Habeas Corpus was granted; and in this Case an Attachment may be granted against my Lord Leigh, if he refuses Obedience to the Writ, for

being a Contempt, a Peer has no Privilege.

4 Inft. 290.

If a Person be taken in the Manner within a Forest killing or chasing Deer, &c. and the Officer upon Tender of sufficient Sureties resuses to bail him, he may have a Habeas out of the Courts at Westminster, which Courts may bail him to appear at the next Eyre holden for the Forest; and this the rather, because Justice-Seats are but seldom holden, and the Party, without this Remedy, might be obliged to continue a long Time in Confinement.

I Vern. 24. Dominus Rex

If a Person be excommunicated, and the Significavit does not express that the Cause of Excommunication is for any of the Offences within ver. Souler; the Statute 5 Eliz. the Remedy expressy appointed upon that Statute is a Habeas Corpus, and upon the Return of it the Parties shall be dis-1 Keb. 683. charged.

If the Chief Justice of the King's Bench commit one to the Marshal 1 Salk. 349. per Holt C. J. by his Warrant, he ought not to be brought to the Bar by Rule, but

by Habeas Corpus.

Cro. Car. 176.

A Person convicted of Horse-stealing, and in Gaol at St. Albans, was brought by Habeas Corpus and Certiorari to B. R. and the Court demanded of him what he could fay why Execution should not be done upon the Indictment; and because he could not shew good Cause to stay the Execution, he was committed to the Marshal, who was commanded to

do Execution, and the next Day he was hanged.

2 Hal. Hift. 210, 211. 1 Salk 352. Comb. 2.

If a Person be in Custody, and also indicted for some Offence in the inferior Court, there must, beside the Habeas Corpus to remove the Body, be a Certiorari to remove the Record; for as the Certiorari alone removes not the Body, fo the Habeas Corpus alone removes not the Record it felf, but only the Prisoner with the Cause of his Commitment; and therefore, altho' upon the Habeas Corpus, and the Return thereof, the Court can judge of the Sufficiency or Infufficiency of the Return and Commitment, and bail or discharge, or remand the Prisoner, as the Case appears upon the Return; yet they cannot upon the bare Return of the Habeas Corpus give any Judgment, or proceed upon the Record of the Indictment, Order or Judgment, without the Record it felf be removed by Certiorari; but the same stands in the same Force it did, tho' the Return should be adjudged insufficient, and the Party discharged thereupon of his Imprisonment; and the Court below may issue new Process upon the Indictment.

1 Sulk. 352.

But it is otherwise in an Habeas Corpus in Civil Causes, which suspends the Power of the inferior Court; so that if they proceed after, their Proceedings are coram non judice.

4. How far the Courts have a Discretionary Power in granting of denying it: And therein of the Habeas Corpus Att.

4 Inft. 290. 3 Bulf. 27.

Notwithstanding the Writ of Habeas Corpus be a Writ of Right, and what the Subject is intitled to, yet the Provision of the Law herein being in a great Measure illuded by the Judges being only enabled to award it in Term-time, as also by an imagined Notion in the Judges, that they had a Discretionary Power of granting or refusing it; but especially by the Art and Contrivance of Officers, to whom it was directed, who used great Delays in making any Return to it.

By the 31 Car. 2. cap. 2. commonly called the Habeas Corpus Act, reciting, 'That great Delays had been used by Sheriffs, Gaolers, and

other Officers, to whose Custody the King's Subjects had been committed for Criminal or fupposed Criminal Matters, in making Return of Writs of Habeas Corpus, by standing out an Alias and Pluries, and sometimes more, and by other Shifts, to avoid their yielding Obedience to fuch Writs, contrary to their Duty and the known Laws of the Land, whereby many Subjects had been detained in Prison in such Cases, where by Law they were bailable.

Thereupon it is enacted, 'That whenfoever any Person shall bring any Habeas Corpus, directed unto any Person whatsoever, for any Per-6 fon in his Custody, and the faid Writ shall be served on the faid 6 Officer, or left at the Gaol or Prison with any of the Under-Officers, 4 Under-Keepers, or Deputy of the faid Officers or Keepers, that the faid 6 Officer or Officers, his or their Under-Officers, Under-Keepers, or Dee puties, shall within three Days after such Service thereof, (unless the 6 Commitment were for Treason or Felony, plainly and specially ex-6 pressed in the Warrant of Commitment) upon Payment or Tender of the Charges of bringing the faid Prisoner, to be ascertained by the Iudge or Court that awarded the same, and endorsed on the said Writ, 6 not exceeding 12 d. per Mile, and on Security given by his own Bond to pay the Charges of carrying back the Prisoner, if he should be remanded, and that he will not make any Escape by the Way, make 6 Return of fuch Writ, and bring or cause to be brought the Body of the Party so committed or restrained unto or before the Lord Chanecellor, or the Lord Keeper, or the Judges or Barons of the Court from which the faid Writ shall issue, or such other Persons before 6 whom the faid Writ is made returnable, according to the Command thereof; and shall then likewise certify the true Causes of his Detainer or Imprisonment, unless the Commitment be in a Place beyond twenty 6 Miles Distance, &c. and if beyond the Distance of twenty, and not ' above one Hundred Miles, then within the Space of ten Days; and if 6 beyond the Distance of one Hundred Miles, then within the Space of 6 twenty Days. And it is further enacted, par. 3. 'That all fuch Writs shall be

6 marked in this Manner, Per stat'um tricesimo primo Caroli Secundi Regis; 6 and shall be figured by the Person that awards the same; and if any Per-6 fon shall be or stand committed or detained as aforesaid for any Crime, 6 unless for Treason or Felony, plainly expressed in the Warrant of 6 Commitment, in the Vacation-time, it shall be lawful for such Person 6 fo committed or detained, (other than Persons convict or in Execution by legal Process) or any one on his Behalf, to complain to the Lord 6 Chancellor, or Lord Keeper, or any Justice of either Bench, or Baron of the Exchequer, of the Degree of the Coif; and the faid Lord 6 Chancellor, &c. Justice or Baron, on View of the Copy of the Warrant 6 of the Commitment, or otherwise on Oath that it was denied, are 6 authorized and required, on Request in Writing, by such Person, or any in his Behalf, attested and subscribed by (a) two Witnesses who (a) One Wizwere present at the Delivery of the same, to grant an Habeas Corpus ness with an

under the Seal of the Court, whereof he shall be one of the Judges, Affidavit to be directed to the Officer in whose Custody the Party shall be, rether is sick turnable immediate before the said Lord Chancellor, &c. Justice or is sufficient.

Baron; and on Service thereof as aforesaid, the Officer, &c. in whose Comb. 6. 6 Custody the Party is, shall, within the Times respectively before limited, bring him before the faid Lord Chancellor, Justice, or Baron, be-6 fore whom the faid Writ is returnable; and in case of his Absence, before any other of them, with the Return of fuch Writ, and the true 6 Causes of the Commitment and Detainer; and thereupon, within two 6 Days after the Party shall be brought before them, the said Lord Chancellor, Justice, or Baron, before whom the Prisoner shall be brought as aforefaid, shall discharge the said Prisoner from his Imprison-

6 ment, taking his Recognizance, with one or more Sureties, in any Sum, according to their Discretions, having Regard to the Quality of the Prisoner, and Nature of the Offence, for his Appearance in the King's Bench the Term following, or in fuch other Court where the 6 Offence is properly cognizable, as the Case shall require; and then 6 shall certify the faid Writ, with the Return thereof, and the Recognie zance into such Court, unless it be made appear to the said Lord

Chancellor, &c. that the Party fo committed is detained upon a legal Process, Order or Warrant, out of some Court that hath Jurisdiction of Criminal Matters, or by some Warrant signed and sealed with the

Hand and Seal of any of the faid Justices or Barons, or some Justice or Justices of the Peace, for such Matters or Offences, for the which by

Law the Prisoner is not bailable.

But it is provided, par. 4. 6 That if any Person shall have wilfully e neglected, by the Space of two whole Terms after his Imprisonment, 6 to pray a Habeas Corpus for his Enlargement, he shall not have a Habeas Corpus to be granted in Vacation-time in Pursuance of this Act.

And it is further enacted by the faid Statute, par. 6. 'That no Person, who shall be fet at large upon any Habeas Corpus, shall be again imprisoned for the same Offence by any Person whatsoever, other than by the legal Order and Process of such Court, wherein he shall be 6 bound by Recognizance to appear, or other Court having Jurisdiction

of the Cause, on Pain of 500 l.

And it is further enacted, par. 7. 'That if any Person, who shall be committed for Treason or Felony, plainly and specially expressed in the Warrant of Commitment, upon his Prayer or Petition in open (a) Need not Court the (a) first Week of the (b) Term, or the first Days of the Seffions of Oyer and Terminer, or General Gaol-Delivery, to be brought first Week, if to his Trial, shall not be indicted some Time in the next Term, Seffions of Oyer and Terminer, or General Gaol-Delivery, after fuch Commitment, the Justices of the faid Court shall, upon Motion in open 6 Court, the last Day of the Term, or Sessions, set at Liberty the Prifoner upon Bail, unless it appear upon Oath, that the Witnesses for the Habeas Corpus & King could not be produced the same Term; and if such Prisoner upon his Prayer, &c. shall not be indicted and tried the second Term or the Power of & Sessions, he shall be discharged from his Imprisonment.

Time. I Salk. 103. (b) That to this Purpose the Grand Sessions of Wales is in the Nature of a Term. fo that the Party entring his Prayer there on the Want of Profecution for a Term, B. R. may bail

him. Comb. 6.

(c) And therefore

Prayer the

there be an

A& of Par-

which fufocuds the

takes away

Provided, par. 8. 6 That nothing in this Act shall extend to discharge out of Prison any Person charged in Debt, or other Action, or with 6 Process in any Civil Cause, but that after he shall be discharged of his Imprisonment for such his Criminal Offence, he shall be kept in Custody 6 according to Law for fuch other Suit.

And it is further enacted, par. 10. 'That it shall be lawful for any Frisoner, as aforesaid, to move and obtain his Habeas Corpus, as well out of the Chancery or Exchequer, as the King's Bench or Common ' Pleas; and if the faid Lord Chancellor, or Lord Keeper, or any Judge or Judges, Baron or Barons, for the Time being, of the Degree of the

'Coif, of any of the Courts aforesaid, in the (c) Vacation-time, upon Wiew of the Copy of a Warrant of Commitment or Detainer, or on this Statute Oath made that such Copy was denied, shall deny any Writ of Habeas

Judges liable ' Corpus by this Act required to be granted, being moved for as aforesaid, to an Action they shall severally forfeit to the Party grieved the Sum of 500 l. at the Suit of

the Party grieved in one Case only, which is the Refusing to award a Habeas Corpus in Vacation-time, but leaves it to their Discretion, in all other Cases, to pursue its Directions in the same Manner as they ought to execute all other Laws, without making them subject to the Action of the Party, or to any other express Penalty or Forsciture. 2 Hawk. P.C. 92.

Ιt

It is provided, par. 18. 'That after the Affises proclaimed for that County where the Prisoner is detained, no Person shall be removed from the common Gaol upon any Habeas Corpus granted in Pursuance of this Act, but upon fuch Habcas Corpus shall be brought before the Judge of Affise in open Court, who thereupon shall do what to Justice shall " appertain.

But it is provided, par. 19. 6 That after the Assises are ended, any <sup>6</sup> Person detained may have his Habeas Corpus, according to the Direction

In the Construction of this Statute it was held by two Judges, in the Cafes in Law Absence of one, and contrary to the Opinion of the other, that Persons and Equity committed by Rule of Court are not intitled to the Benefit of this Act; and that none are intitled to make their Prayer but fuch as are committed by a Warrant of a Justice of Peace, or Secretary of State, and not those committed by Rule of Court, for that is not within the Meaning of the Act, which speaks of a Commitment by Warrant.

### 5. Of the Manner of fuing it out, and form of the Cilcit.

By the (a) 1 & 2 Ph. & M. cap. 13. 'No Writ of Habeas Corpus or (a) And the \* Certiorari shall be granted to remove any Prisoner out of any Gaol, or jupra, every to remove any Recognizance, except the same Writs be (b) signed Habeas Corpus with the proper Hands of the Chief Justice, or in his Absence, of one pursuant to that Statute of the Justices of the Court, out of which the same Writ shall be a shall be warded or made, upon Pain that he that writeth any fuch Writs, not marked in being figned, as is aforefaid, to forfeit for every fuch Writ 5 l. Per Catus

tum triccfino primo Caroli Secundi Megis, and shall be figned by the Person that awards the same .tor the Form of the Writ vide 2 Inft. 53-4 (b) Vide 1 Salk. 150. pl. 19.

A Habeas Corpus was prayed to the Gaoler of the County Gaol of Mich. 26 Car. Morcester, to remove one Fox in B. R. to assign Errors in Person, upon 2. Fox's Case. the Record of his Conviction of a Premunire for Recufancy; but this was not granted till the Writ of Error was brought into Court under Seal, and the Record certified.

Every Habeas Corpus ad Subjiciendum must in Term-time be awarded on 2 Mod 306. Morion and Leave of the Court, but a Habeas Corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum is usually granted without Motion, as it relates to a Civil

Affair only.

So where Debt was brought against Husband and Wife on an Obliga- 1 Lev. 1 tion fealed by them both, and both being taken by Capias, it was moved Slater and for an Habeas Corpus to bring them into Court, to the Intent that the Husband only might be committed in Custody, and the Wife discharged; and it was held by the Court, that this Habeas Corpus for removing the Bodies might have been for them without Motion, but where the Party is committed for a Crime, there it ought to be on Motion.

#### 6. Co whom it is to be directed.

Wherever a Person is imprisoned by any Person whatsoever, whether Godb. 44. he be one concerned in the Administration of Justice, as a Sherist, Gaoler, €c. or a private Person, such as a Doctor of Physick, who confines a Person under Pretence of curing him of Madness, &c. the Habeas Curpus must be directed to him.

A Habeas Corpus was directed to the Chancellor of Durham, by which Hill 25 80 26 he was directed to make a Precept to the Sheriff to have the Body of B. R. 3 Keb. Vol. III. 7. S. 279. S. C.

J. S. with the Cause of his Commitment, coram Domino Rege apud Westim'; the Chancellor returned, that he made a Precept to the Sheriff to have his Body before him, with the Cause of, Bc. who accordingly returned the Cause and the Body before him, and sets out the Cause, & bæc est Causa detentionis; & per Hale C. J. A Habeas Corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum directed in this Manner is good; fecus of a Habeas Corpus ad Subjiciendum; for the King may fend his Writ to whom he pleases, and he must have an Answer of his Prisoner wherever he be; there is a great deal of Difference between a Habeas Corpus ad Subjiciendum and other Habeas Corpus; for this is the Subject's Writ of Right, in which Case the County Palatine hath no Privilege; in 31 E. 1. a Habeas Corpus ad Subjiciendum was directed to the Bishop of Durham, who returned, that he was a Count Palatine, and therefore was not bound to Answer the Writ, for which he was fined 4000 l. Hill. 17 Car. 1. a Habeas Corpus was directed to the Bishop of Durham to return the Body of one Rickoly; and resolved, that the Writ did well run thither: In this Case the Writ is directed to the Chancellor, to command the Sheriff to have his Body here; but he commands him to have the Body before himself, which is ill; again, the Chancellor doth not return the Body to us, for here is no Cujus Corpus Parat' babeo; it is not enough for him to fay, that the Sheriff returned the Body to him, but he ought to return it to us here; we have nothing before us, therefore he must be remanded, for he is brought up without a Warrant.

I Salk 350. per curiam.

A Habeas Corpus directed in the Disjunctive to the Sheriff or Gaoler is wrong; but where a Man is taken on a Warrant of the Sheriff, in Pursuance of a Writ to the Sheriff, the Habeas Corpus ought to be directed to the Sheriff, for the Party is in his Custody, and the Writ it self must be returned; otherwise it is where one is committed to the Gaoler immediately, as in Cases Criminal.

### 7. By whom it is to be returned.

This Writ must be returned by the very same Person to whom it is directed.

Pasch.26 Care 2. Peck and Cresset.

A Habeas Corpus was awarded to the Sheriff of — who before the Return leaves the Office, and a new Sheriff is made, who returns Languidus; this Return is not good, but it ought to be returned by them two, the first that he had the Body, and had delivered it to the new Sheriff, and the new Sheriff may then return Languidus.

### 8. Of the Manner of compelling a Return, and the Offence of a falle Return.

The Method to compel a Return to a Habeas Corpus is by taking out an Alias and Pluries, which if disobeyed, an Attachment issues of Course; also the Court may make a Rule on the Officer to return his Writ, and if disobeyed, the Court may proceed against such Disobedience in the fame Manner as they usually do against the Disobedience of any other Rule.

And by the 31 Car. 2. cap. 2. par. 2. it is enacted, 'That if any Officer, & &c. shall neglect or refuse to make Returns, as by the Act is directed,

- or to bring the Body of the Prisoner, according to the Command of the Writ, or shall not within fix Hours after Demand deliver a true Copy
- of the Commitment, &c. he shall forfeit for the first Offence 100 l for the second Offence 200 l and be made incapable to hold his Office.

A Habeas Corpus went to the Stannary Court, to which an infufficient 1 Salk. 350. Return was made, and therefore disallowed; & per Cur. the Warden of the Staniaries must be amerced, and you may go to the Coroners and ger it affeered, and escheat it, and an Alias Habeas Corpus must go for the Insufficiency of the Return of the first, and upon that the Body and Cause must be removed up; if another Excuse be returned, we will grant

And as a Gaoler, &c. is obliged to bring up the Prisoner at the Day 2 Jon. 178. prefixed by the Writ, it is no Excuse for not obeying of a Writ of Ha- March 89. beas Corpus ad Subjiciendum, that the Prisoner did not tender the Fees 1 Keb. 272, due to the Gaoler; nor yet is the Want of fuch Tender an Excuse for 2 Skow. 172. not obeying a Writ of Habeas Corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum; but if the Gaoler bring up the Prisoner by Virtue of such Habeas Corpus, the Court will not turn him over till the Gaoler be paid all his Fees.

For a false Return there is regularly no Remedy against the Officer, 6 Mod. 90. but an (a) Action on the Case at the Suit of the Party grieved, and an 1 Salk 349. Information or Indichment at the Suit of the King.

Action lies

until the Return be filed. 1 Salk. 352.

But it has been held, that if a Gooler return one Languidus when the Party himself brings his Halleas Corpus, and is in good Health, an Attachment shall issue against him; fecus if the Habeas Corpus was brought by another.

#### 9. Alhat Matters must be returned together with the Body lof the Party.

As upon the Return of the Writ the Court is to judge, whether the Vaugh. 157. Cause of the Commitment and Detainer be according to Law or against it; fo the Officer or Party, in whose Custody the Prisoner is, must, according to the Command of the Writ, certify on the Return thereof the Day, Caufe of Caption and Detainer.

A Habeas Corpus was directed to remove one  $\tilde{f}$ . S. to which no Re-Hill 25 & 29 turn was made; then an Alias was granted, and it was returned quod Car. 2. in traditur in ballium ante adventum ifius Brevis; and the Truth of the Cafe B. R. State of the Carlot of the Cafe State of the Party was bailed. Ed per State of the Cafe State of the Party was bailed. was, that between the first and second Writ the Party was bailed; & per Slade. Cur. after an Habeas Corpus delivered, the Party cannot be bailed; and if it happens otherwise, yet the Cause of the Commitment ought to be returned, tho' the Body cannot be brought into Court; and in this Cafe the Officer having on the first Writ of Habeas Corpus taken 51. to have the Body in Court, and yet making no Return, the Court granted an Attachment against him.

Where a Commitment is in Court to a proper Officer there prefent, I Salk. 349. there is no Warrant of Commitment; and therefore to a Habeas Corpus he cannot return a Warrant in hee verba, but must return the Truth of the whole Matter, under Peril of an Action; but if he be committed to one that is not an Officer, there must be a Warrant in Writing, and where there is one it must be returned; for otherwise it would be in the Power of the Gaoler to alter the Case of the Prisoner, and make it either better or worse than it is upon the Warrant; and if he may take upon him to return what he will, he makes himself Judge; whereas the Court ought to judge, and that upon the Warrant it self.

If a Person in Custody on an Excommunicato capiendo brings a Hateas 1 Salk, 350, Corpus, the Writ of Excommunicato capiendo it self must be returned, as well as the Sheriff's Warrant for taking him, because the Warrant may be wrong when the Writ is right; and tho' the Warrant be wrong, yet if the Writ is right, the Party is rightfully in Custody of the Sheriff.

Upon

Pafeh. 18 Car.

Upon a Habeas Corpus directed to the Constable of Windsor-Castle, to 2. Talyor's remove the Body of one Mr. Taylor a Barrister, at the Day of the Return of the Writ, a Soldier brought in the Prisoner into Court, and the Writ, and the Warrant by which he was committed; but the Court held it no Manner of Return, for it ought to be entred in Latin, and engroffed in due Form.

#### 10. Colhere the Return Hall be said to be certain and fufficient to warrant the Commitment.

Vaugh. 137.

It is faid in general, that upon the Return of the Habeas Corpus the Cause of the Imprisonment ought to appear as specifically and certainly to the Judges, before whom it is returned, as it did to the Court or Person authorized to commit.

But for this Commitment. Cafes, and v Hal. Hift. P. C. 584. Skin. 676. 12 Co. 130-1.

For if the Commitment be against Law, as being made by one who ride Head of had no Jurisdiction of the Cause, or for a Matter for which by Law Head of Bail no Man ought to be punished, the Court are to discharge him, and in Criminal therefore the Certainty of the Commitment ought to appear; and the Commitment is liable to the same Objection where the Cause is so loofely fet forth, that the Court cannot adjudge whether it were a reafonable Ground of Imprisonment or not.

Trin. 22 Car.

Rudyard an Attorney of C. B. being committed to Newgate by my in C. B. Rud- Lord Mayor and Sir John Robinson, for refusing to give Security for his gard's Case. good Behaviour, was brought by Haleas Corpus to the C. B. and it was returned as the Cause of his Commitment, that whereas he had been complained of to my Lord Mayor and Sir John Robinson for several Misdemeanors, particularly for inciting his Majesty's Subjects to the Difobedience of his Majesty's Laws, more particularly of an Act of Parliament made in the 22d Year of his Reign, against seditious Conventicles; and whereas he had been examined before them for abetting fuch as abetted feditious Conventicles, contrary to the Statute 22 Car. 2. and upon his Examination they found Caufe to suspect him, therefore they requested Sureties of him for his good Behaviour, and for Refusal committed him. Wild, Justice, was of Opinion, that by abetting such as frequented feditious Conventicles, must be intended abetting them in that Particular, and fignifies as much as encouraging them to frequent such Conventicles, and finding Cause to suspect him, &c. (which cannot now be questioned, for the Return is admitted) they may well send him to Prison, and therefore he ought to be remanded. But Vaughan C. J. Tyrrel, and Archer, were of a contrary Opinion: 1. Because it does not appear but that he might abet the Frequenters of Conventicles in a Way which the Law allows, as by folliciting an Appeal for them, or the like. 2. To fay that he was complained of, or that he was examined, is no Proof that he was guilty; and then to fay, that they had Caufe to suspect him, is too cautious; for who can tell what they may count a Cause of Suspicion, and how can that ever be tried? At this Rate they would have Arbitrary Power, upon their own Allegation, to commit whom they pleafed, whereas they cannot require Sureties for any Man's Behaviour, and consequently not commit for Refusal, unless the Justices have any Thing against him of their own Knowledge, or by Proofs of Witnesses that tend to a Breach of the Peace; upon this Return Archer declared his Opinion to be, that he should not be remanded, but give his own Recognizance to appear in Court the next Term, to answer any Thing that should be alledged against him; but Vaughan and Tyrrel were for his absolute Discharge; for seeing by the Return it did not appear there was any Cause for his Commitment, they thought they had no

Reason to require a Recognizance of him. Thereupon Wild moved, that he could not be discharged, there being but two for it. But Archer replied, that it had been several times ruled, that where there were three Opinions, that was taken to be per Cur. which had two of the Judges for it: And accordingly Rudyard was discharged. Vaughan and Tyrrel made another Objection to the Return, viz. that they should have expressed the Sum in which they required him to give Security, (which they had not done;) for they said that those Persons, that might be willing to be bound for him in 401, might not be willing to be bound for him in 1001. Ec. and therefore till he knew the Sum he could not know whom to provide. But as to this it was said, that Rudyard had resused absolutely to give any Security, and therefore it was to no purpose to tell hun of the Sum; if he had consented to give Security, then the Justices ought to have told him the Sum.

### 11. Whether the Party can suggest any thing contrary to the Beturn.

It feems to be agreed, that no one can in any Case controvert the Coeliz 821. Truth of the Return to a Habeas Corpus, or plead or suggest any Matter 5 Co. 71. b. 2 Hawk. P.C. avoid such a Return by admitting the Truth of the Matters contained in it, and suggesting others not repugnant, which take off the Essect of them.

Upon a Habeas Corpus it was returned, that Swallow, a Citizen of Lon- Paf b. 18 Car. don, was fined for Alderman, and was committed for his Fine by the 2. in B. R. Judgment of the Court in London. Swallow alledged, that he was an Swallow's Officer of the Mint, and by an antient Charter of Privilege granted to 287. Officer of the Mint, and by an antient Charter of Privilege granted to  ${}_{2}S_{7}$ , the Minters or Moneyers he ought to be exempted. It was at first doubted  ${}_{2}K_{eb}$ , 50, 54, whether he might not plead this to the Return, it being a Matter con- &c. fistent with it. Upon the Statute IV. 2. it is held the Parties may come in and plead, and so upon 5 Eliz. but here there is a Difference; for he might have pleaded this in the Court below, but now that is past, and here is a Judgment and Execution. Another Day Swallow brought into Court a Writ of Privilege upon that Charter, and the Recorder prayed that it might not be allowed against the antient Customs of the City; for if fuch a Way might exempt Men, they should have little Benefit by Fines in such Cases: But per Cur. the Privilege ought to be allowed, for it is very antient, and it appears he has an Office of necessary Attendance elfewhere, which makes the Privilege reasonable. The King may by his Charter exempt from Juries, if there be enough besides, much more here; and if there be not fufficient befides, upon shewing of that, the Privilege ought to be suspended; and Swallow may be discharged by this Court now as well as he could at first, or as if he had taken upon him the Aldermanship. This Court is supreme and mandatory in such Cases. And he was accordingly discharged.

Also the Court will sometimes examine by Affidavit the Circumstances 5 Med 3233 of a Fact, on which a Prisoner brought before them by an Habeas Corpus 454 hath been indicted, in order to inform themselves, on Examination of the 2 fon. 2222 whole Marter, whether it be reasonable to bail him or not: And agreeably hereto (a), where one fackson, who had been indicted for Piracy before (a) Trin 4 the Sessions of Admiralty on a malicious Prosecution, brought his Habeas Georg 1. Corpus in the said Court, in order to be discharged or bailed, the Court examined the whole Circumstances of the Fact by Affidavits; upon which it appeared that the Prosecution himself, if any one, was guilty, and carried on the present Prosecution to skreen himself: And thereupon the Court, in Consideration of the Unreasonableness of the Prosecution, and Vol. III.

the Uncertainty of the Time when another Sessions of Admiralty might be holden, admitted the faid Jackson to Bail, and committed the Prosecutor till he should find Bail to answer the Facts contained in the Assidavits.

### 12. Whether any Defect in the Return may be amended.

7 Mod. 102. 103.

7 Mod. 102.

It feems that, before the Return is filed, any Defect in Form, or the Want of an Averment of a Matter of Fact may be amended; but this must be at the Peril of the Officer, in the same manner as if the Return were originally what it is after the Amendment.

But after the Return is filed it becomes a Record of the Court, and

cannot be amended.

Hill. 26 8 27 Car. 2. in B. R. I mertan ver. Sir Rob. S. C. 3 Mod. 164. S. C. cited.

So after a Rule to have the Return filed; as where a Hibeas Corpus, Alias & Pluries was directed to Sir Robert Viner, Mayor of London, to have the Body of Bridget, Daughter and Heir of Sir Thomas Hyde, de-Viner, 2 Lev. ceased; and upon the Pluries he returned quod tempore receptionis bujus 128. 3 Keb. Brevis nec unquam postca non suit infra custodiam meam; and the Counsel of the Lord Mayor expounded this Return that she was within the House of the Lord Mayor, but not detained in Custody prout per Breve suppositur; & per Cur. this is an insufficient Return; for he ought to fay not only tempore receptionis bujus Brevis, sed alicujus, upon Return of a Pluries. Then a Question was if the Return could be amended; for tho' a Rule was made that the Return should be filed, yet this was not actually done; but per Cur. this is filed by the Rule of the Court, and after cannot be amended; and this Return the Court held to be equivocal; for it is well enough known that she is not detained in Ferris; but tho' the hath the Liberty of the House, if the cannot go out of the House, or not without a Keeper, she is within his Custody; and the Court shall adjudge what fort of Custody is intended by the Writ.

### 13. What is to be done with the Prisoner at the Return: and therein of bailing, discharging, or remanding him.

5 Med. 22. Upon the Return of the Habeas Corpus the Prisoner is regularly to be Styl. 16. discharged, bailed, or remanded; but if it be doubtful which the Court ought to do, it is faid that the Prisoner may be bailed to appear de Die in Diem till the Matter is determined.

By the Petition of Right, or (a) 17 Car. 1. cap. 10. the Court must (a) By the Habeas Corpus within three Days after the (b) Return of the Habeas Corpus either dif-Act 31 Car. charge, bail, or remand the Prifoner. But it feems that a Commitment 2. cap. 2. par. but le Court of King's Book, to the Margalice is a Remarding being 3. the Lord by the Court of King's Bench to the Marshalsea is a Remanding, being Chancellor, an Imprisonment within the Statute.

within two Days after the Return of the Habeas Corpus take Order, &c. and bail or remand the Prifoner. (b) That is, after the Return filed, for before then there is nothing before the Court-5 Mod. 22.

1 Vent. 330. Also it hath been ruled, that the Court of King's Bench may, after the (c) As was Return of the Habeas Corpus is filed, remand the Prisoner to the (c) same done in Rob. Gaol from whence he came, and order him to be brought up from time Peyton's Cafe, to time, till they shall have determined whether it is proper to bail, diswho was re-manded to charge, or remand him absolutely. the Tower. 1 Vent 346.

And tho' in doubtful Cases the Court is to bail or discharge the Party 1 Salk. 348. 5 Mod 19,200 on the Return of the Habeas Corpus; yet if a Person be convicted, and 2 the

the Conviction on the Return of the Haleas Corpus appears only defective in Point of Form, it is at the Election of the Court either to dif-

charge the Party, or oblige him to bring his Writ of Error.

If on the Return of the Illieas Corpus it appears that the Contest re- 3 Keb 520-lates to the Right of Guardianship, tho' the Court will not determine 2 Leo. 128-that Point, yet will it set the Infant at Liberty, so as to let him chuse where he will go till that Matter is determined; or if there le any Danger of Abuse, will order him into such Hands as will take effectual Care of him.

# (C) Of the Habeas Corpus ad faciendum & recipiendum.

HE Halees Corpus ad faciendam & recipiendum is used only in Civil 2 Mod. 233.

Cautes, and lies for removing Suits out of an inferior to some superior Court, at the Application of the Defendant, who may imagine himself injured by the Proceedings of such inferior Court.

This Writ suspends the Power of the Court below; so that if they 1 Salk 352, proceed after, the Proceedings are (a) void, and coram non Judice. (a) That as-

Writ of Habeas Corpus it is Error to proceed after. Cro. Car. 261. Ellis ver. Johnson. 2 Jon. 209. S. P. adjudged. That if a Habeas Corpus be directed to an inferior Court returnable two Days after the End of the Term, yet the inferior Court cannot proceed contrary to the Writ of Habeas Corpus. 1 Med. 195

By this Writ the Proceedings in the inferior Court are at an End; for Skin. 244. the Perfor of the Defendant being removed to the superior Court, they have lost their Jurisdiction over him, and all the Proceedings in the superior Court are de novo, and (b) Bail de novo must be put in in the superior Court.

yet if in the inferior Court special Bail was requisite, there shall be special Bail in the Court above. But for this vide Tit. Bail, Letter B.

And altho' this Writ be a Writ of Right, yet where it is to abate a 1 Salk. 8. rightful Suit the Court may refuse it; as where an Action of Debt was brought against a Feme Sole in the Palace Court, who, after Appearance and Plea pleaded, married, and then removed the Cause by Habeas Corpus to B. R. where she pleaded her Coverture in Abatement; and the Court held, that if this Matter had been moved on the Return of the Habeas Corpus, they would have granted a Procedendo; but that now the Plea in Abatement must be held good; for the Proceedings are de novo, and the Court takes not Notice of the Proceedings below, or of what preceded the Habeas Corpus.

After an Interlocutory, and before final Judgment in an inferior Court, 1 Salk. 352 a Habeas Corpus cam Caufa was brought; before the Return of the Writthe Defendant died, and a Proceedendo was awarded; because by the 8 & 9 W. 3. cap. 11. the Plaintiff may have a Scire facias against the Executors, and proceed to Judgment, which he cannot have in another Court; and by this means he would be deprived of the Effect of his Judgment, which would be unreasonable.

If an Action be brought in London for calling a Woman Whore, this 2 Rol. Abr. cannot be removed by Habeas Corpus, because the Words not actionable 69 & vode elsewhere; and if allowed to be removed, the Custom would be de-Carth. 75. stroyed.

## Heir and Ancestoz.

- (A) Of the Pature of the Relationship between Peir and Ancestor.
- (B) Of the several hinds of Deirs: And herein,

1. Of the Heir Apparent.

- 2. Of the Heirs General, or Heir at Common Law.
- 3. Of the Special Heir, or Issue in Tail.
- 4. Of the Customary Heir.
- 5. Of the Peres Factus.
- (C) Of what Conditions, Covenants, &c. of the Anscends's hall the Heir take Advantage.
- (D) What Conditions, Cobenants, &c. thall extend to him to as to bind him.
- (E) What Actions may he commence and profecute in Kight of his Ancelor.
- (F) Where the Peir Hall be said to be bound to answer his Ancestor's Debts and Contracts.
- (G) How to be proceeded against where he is bound.
- (H) Where he wall be liable himself, and the Judgment general or special: And herein,
  - 1. Where he shall be liable for his false Pleading.
  - 2. Where by his Promise to pay or discharge the Debt of his Ancestor.
- (1) What hall be Allets in his Hands.

What Things shall go to the Heir, and not to the Executor, vide Tit. Executors and Administrators.

### (A) Df the Nature of the Relationship between Heir and Ancestoz.

Co. Lie. 7. b. 3 Co. 12. b.
(a) But by the Civil Law Hares ex Te-

N Heir, faith my Lord Coke, in the legal Understanding of the (a) Common Law, is he to whom Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments, by the Act of God and Right of (b) Blood do descend, of some Estate of (c) Inheritance.

flamento succedit in universum jus Testatoris; so that by taking the whole Fstate, whether it be real or personal, by the Will he is made Heir, and called only by that Name. Godol. h. Orph. Leg. 119 (h) and therefore Heir and Ancestor are always applied to natural Persons, as Predecessor and Successor are to Bodies Politick and Corporate. Co. Lit. 78. h. (c) For a Man cannot be Heir to Goods or Chattels; for H. res dictur ab Hareditate. Co. Lit. 8. a. vel dictur ab harendo, quia Hareditas sibi haret. Co. Lit. 7. h.

Tl.e

2

The Word Heir in the Notion of it implies, that the Party hath all those legal (a) Qualifications which our Laws require in all Persons that (a) Co. Lit 9. represent or stand in the Place of another, and is of such Importance, For these, that regularly without the Word Heir no Fee-simple can be created.

and that an Heir at Law

is to be favoured, vide Tit. Defcent, & vide Tit. Eftate in Fee-simple, and Tit. Devife-

### (B) Of the several kinds of Heirs: And herein,

### 1. Of the Heir Apparent.

TERE we must observe, that no Person can be Heir until the Death Co Lit. 3. do of his Ancestor, according to the Rule, Nemo est Hæres Viventis; yet in common Parlance he, who stands nearest in Degree of Kindred to the Ancestor, is called, even in his Life-time, Heir Apparent.

Also the Law takes notice of an Heir Apparent so far as to allow the 3 Co. 37. Rate-Father to bring an Action of Trespass for taking away his Son and Heir, colff's Case. quare Filium & Hæredem rabuit, the Father being Guardian by Nature to Dyer 189.

his Son where any Lands descended to him.

Alfo a Person may take by Purchase or Descriptio Personæ by the I Vent. 311, Name of Heir even in the Life-time of his Ancestor; as where a Man 334. Raym. devised Lands to A. and his Heirs during the Life of B. in Trust for B. 330. 2 Lev. and after the Decease of R. to the Heirs Males of the Rody of B. 232. Eurobet and after the Decease of B. to the Heirs Males of the Body of B. now and Durdant. living, it was held that by this Devise the Remainder was immediately But for this vested in the Son, and that the Words Heirs Males now living in a Will, vide Tit. Dewere a full Description of the Son, who then was the Heir Apparent of vife, Let.(L). B. and known by the Devisor to be so.

But the Son and Heir hath no Power over the Inheritance during the Kelw. 84. Life of the Ancestor: Therefore if a Son and Heir bargains and fells the Co. Lit. 2650 Inheritance of his Father, this is void, because he hath no Right to trans-

fer; so if he (b) releases, the Law is the same.

(b) Bur ir feems that if

the Son releases with Warranty, he and his Heirs are for ever after barred by the Rebutter. Co. Lit. 265. a.

But if the Son makes a Feoffment of the Inheritance of his Father, this Co. Lit. 265 a. passes an Estate during the Son's Life; for it is a Disseisin to the Father, and the Son after the Father's Death cannot avoid it: For no Man can

alledge an Injury in any voluntary Act of his own.

Neither is there that Privity between the Heir Apparent and his An- 2 Inft. 523. Neither is there that Privity between the rien Apparent and the Art. 3 Co. 89. 40 ceftor, as to make a Fine levied by the Ancestor a Bar within the 4 H. 7. 3 Co. 89. 40 Heb. 353. as if the Heir Apparent be seised of Lands, and the Father levies a Fine, and dies, it shall not bar the Heir; because he does not claim or derive any Title to the Land from his Father, and therefore in that respect shall have five Years to preserve himself from the Fine: For the Privies underflood and intended by the Act are those who are privy not only in Blood, but likewise in Estate and Title to the Land of which the Fine was levied, that is, those who must necessarily mention the Conuzor, and convey themselves thro' him, before they can make out their Title to the Estate.

16.

### 2. Of the Beir General, or Beir at Common Law.

That he must' The Heir at Common Law is he who after his Father or Ancestor's be of the whole Blood, Death hath a Right to, and is introduced into all his Lands, Tenements, not a Ba and Hereditaments.

ftard, Alien, &c. vide Tit Descents, and Tit. Coparceners.

Co. Lit. 14 A. None but the Heir General, according to the Course of the Common Cro. Fac. 217, Law, can be Heir to a Warranty, or sue an Appeal of the Death of his 218. Vide Ancestor.

Tit. Appeal, If a Condition be annexed to Borough English or Gavelkind Lands, Letter (C). Cro. Eliz. 204. and the Condition is broken, the Heir at Common Law shall enter; Piorv. 28. for the Condition is a Thing of new Creation, and collateral to the Land: Co. Lit. 11,12. But when the eldest Son enters, the Heir or Heirs by Custom shall enjoy the Land; for by Breach of the Condition they are restored to their antient Estate.

Hob. 25. If a Man seised of Fee-simple Lands, as also of Lands of the Nature Co. Lit 376. of Gavelkind and Borough English, acknowledges a Statute, and dies, the Heir at Law shall make the Special or Customary Heirs contribute in Proportion, because all of them come in as Heirs to the Land descended, and are equally chargeable with the Debts of the Ancestor.

3 Co. 13. a. So if A, binds himself in a Recognizance or Statute, and after his Death 2 Co. 25. b. fome of his Lands descend to the Heir of the Part of the Father, and some to the Heir of the Part of the Mother, both Heirs shall be equally charged; and if the Conuzee loads one only, he shall have Contribution.

The Heir at Law is bound by his Ancestor's (b) Alienations and Dispo-Man covefitions, as also by his Covenants and Conditions, as far as he hath Assets. nants that

after his Death his Heir at Law shall stand seised to the Use of his youngest Son, this is void. Heb. 313. per Hobart.

2 Vern. 215. Also if the Ancestor agrees to convey or sell Lands, and receives Part Abr. Eq. 265. of the Purchase-Money, but dies before a Conveyance is executed, and a Bill is brought against the Heir, he will be decreed to convey, and the Money shall go to the Executor, especially if there are more Debts due than the Testator's personal Estate is sufficient to pay.

So if a Father conveys to a younger Son by a defective Conveyance, Vide 1 Vern. and dies, the Heir at Law in two Cases shall be compelled to make it good. 1. Where there is a Covenant for further Affurance, binding the Heir; because the Heir is bound by the Covenant. 2. Where there is a Provision made by the Father in his Life-time for the Heir, or he hath fuch Provision by Descent from the Father.

Also the Heir at Law is bound by a Decree obtained against the Ancestor; which may be carried into Execution two Ways. Ift, If the Decree is enrolled, the Party may fue out a Subpana Scire Facias against the Heir, to shew Cause against the Decree: But this is only after an Enrollment, and not before: And the Party must, at the Return of the Sub-

pana, shew Cause, if he hath any, against the Decree.

2d/y, The Plaintiff may bring his Bill of Revivor, to carry the Decree into an Execution: And this is the surest and safest Way; for where the Decree was obtained against the Ancestor, and his Heir does not claim under that Title, but by virtue of another Title paramount, there the Decree can never be carried into Execution against him; as where an Estate is decreed against a Man, and his Heir insists his Father had no Title thereto, or was only Tenant for Life thereof, the Decree in that Case can never be carried into Execution against him; he is at Liberty to controvert the Justice and Validity of that Decree; he may make a

new Defence from what his Ancestor did, and vary his Case as he shall be advised, and the Parties go into a new Examination of the Matter, and hear the Cause de novo, and the Court judge whether the Decree is

right or not, and may affirm or reverse it at their Pleasure.

But where one Man obtains a Decree against another for a Real Estate, and the Party dies before the Plaintiff is put into Possession, in that Case if the Heir at Law claims the Estate by Descent under his Ancestor, or as Devifee under him, he shall never controvert the Justice of the Decree tho' his Ancestor should have mistaken his Desence; nor shall he be at Liberty to make a new Defence, or enter into new Proof, so as to overthrow the former Decree, especially where it appears to the Court that the Decree hath been of an ancient Standing.

### 3. Of the special Beir, or Isue in Cail.

The Issue in Tail claims per (a) formam doni, and as the Statute de Lit. Sect. 613. Donis preserves the Estate to him, his Ancestor cannot Grant or Alien, therefore the nor make any (1) rightful Estate of Freehold to another, but for Term Rule of Pefof his own Life.

sessio fratris

tend to Lands in Tail; for as to them a Man must claim as Heir per formam doni. Co. Lit. 15. vide Tit. Descents, Letter (C). (b) How sar he may discontinue, vid. Tit. Descents, Letter (B). That by the 32 H. S. cap. 28. he may make Leafes for three Lives, or 21 Years, to bind his Iffue, but not those in Reversion or Remainder, vide Tit. Leafe.

If the Issue in Tail be attainted of Felony in the Life of his Father, Plowd. 557. and is pardoned, upon the Death of the Donee, the Donor cannot enter; 8 Co. 166. 40 for the' the Difability to take by Descent remains after the Pardon, yet the Donor cannot enter against his own Gift while there is any Issue in Being; and tho' the Issue cannot by Reason of such Disability claim as Heir to the Donee, yet he may enter as a special Occupant, for the Gift is still a good designatio Persona, who shall take upon the Death of the Donee; but then the Issue must take it subject to the Charges of his Father, because he is to take it as the Tenant left it, and consequently is to make good all Charges which he left upon it.

### 4. Of the Customary Heir.

A Custom in particular Places varying the Rules of Descent at Com- Vid. Tit. Dea mon Law is good; fuch as the Custom of Gavelkind, by which all the (D). Tit. Bo-Sons shall inherit, and make but one Heir to their Ancestor; but the rough English general Custom of Gavelkind Lands extends to Sons only, but a special and Gavel Custom, that if one Brother dies without Issue, all his Brothers may inherit, is good.

But if a Remainder of Lands of the Nature of Gavelkind be limited Co. Lit. 10. to the right Heirs of J. S. the Heir at Common Law shall take it, and Hob. 31. not the Heirs in Gavelkind; for this Remainder being newly created, cannot be reckoned within the Custom.

So the Custom of Borough English, that the youngest Son only shall Co. Lit. 110. inherit, is good; but the youngest Brother shall not inherit, by Force of 2 Lev. 158 this Custom, unless there shall be a particular Custom to that Purpose allo.

### 5. Of the Hæres factus.

An Heres factus is only a Devisee of Lands, being made so by the Will 3 Co. 42. a. of the Testator, and has no other Right or Interest than the Will gives him.

x Vern 36 7. It has been held in Chancery, that fuch an Heir shall have the Aid of the Personal Estate in discharging the Debts of the Testator.

Preced. Chan. But this must be understood of an Hæres factus of the whole Estate, who shall have the Benefit of the Personal Estate, but a Devisee of particular Lands shall not.

### (C) Of what Conditions, Covenants, &c. of the Ancestor's shall the Heir take Advantage.

43 E. 3. 4. 1 And. 55. **Onditions** (a) and Covenants Real, or fuch as are (b) annexed to Listates, shall descend to the Heir, and he alone shall take Advan-(a) That Conditions tage of them. can only be

reserved to the Feoffor, Donor or Lessor, and their Heirs, but not to any Stranger. Lit. Sect. 347. Co. Lit. 214. (1) Secus of Covenants in Gross. Palm. 558. - Also for a Breach in the Time of the Covenantee, the Action shall be brought by his Executor, tho' the Covenant was with him, his Heirs and Assigns only. 1 Vent. 175 2 Lev. 26. adjudged.

Y Rol. Abr. And this not only where there are express Words, but also where there 407, 472. are none; for the Law by Implication referves the Condition to the Heir of the Feoffor, &c. for being prejudiced by the Disposition, it is but reasonable t'at he should take the same Advantages that his Ancestor whom he represents might.

If a Man seised of Lands in Right of his Wife, makes a Feoffment in Ca Lit. 202. a. Fee upon Condition, and dies, and after the Condition is broke, the Heir 336. b. of the Husband shall enter; for tho' no Right descended to him, yet the Title of Entry by Force of the Condition, which was created upon the Feoffment, and referved to the Feoffor and his Heirs, descended.

Co. Lit. 162. b. The Heir shall take Advantage of a Nomine Pana, for being incident to the Rent, it shall descend to the Heir, being a Security or Penalty to engage the Payment of the Rent; whoever therefore has a Right to the Rent, ought in Reason to have the Penalty which is to oblige the Tenant

If an Abbot and Covent covenant to fing for the Covenantee and his Heirs in fuch a Chapel, his Heirs at all Times shall have a Writ of Covenant for the not doing thereof.

If a Man leafes for Years, and the Leffee covenants with the Leffor, his Executors and Administrators, to repair and leave it in good Repair at the End of the Term, and the Lessor dies,  $\mathfrak{S}c$ . his Heir may have an Action upon this Covenant, for this is a Covenant which runs with the Land, and shall go to the Heir, tho' he is not named; and it appears, that it was intended to continue after the Death of the Lessor, in as much as his Executors, &c. are named.

The Plaintiff as Heir declared, that his Ancestor per Indenturam fuam, cujus alteram partem Sigillo of the Lessee (omitting Sigillat') bic in curia profert, did demise, and that the Lessee covenanted to repair from Time to Time, and to leave in Repair, and then shewed that his An-

8 Co. 43.

2 H. 4. 6. b. 5 Co. 18.

2 Lev. 92.

Williams.

8kin. 305. S. C. cited.

Lougher ver.

£ Salk. 141. Violan ver. Cuti pion.

cestor died Anno 10 IV. 3. and for Breach assigned quod primo Apr. anno tertio Regine nunc, & per 10 Annos ante tune, the Premisses were out of Repair; after Verdict for the Plaintiff, it was moved in Arrest of Judgment; 1. That the Word Sigillat' is wanting; 2. That Part of the ten Years incurred in the Life of the Ancestor, and that this was a hard Action; & per Holt C. J. the Want of Sigillat' is cured by the Verdict and Pleading over; 2. If the Premisses were out of Repair in the Time of the Ancestor, and continued so in the Time of the Heir, it is a Damage to the Heir, and the Jury give as much in Damages as will put the Premisses in Repair; but hereby no Damages are given in Respect of the Length of Time they continued in Decay, but in Respect of what it will cost at the Time of the Action brought to put the Premisses in Repair; therefore per decem Annos was frivolous; and he faid, that this is not a hard Action, and good Damages are always given in these Cases; because the Damages recovered ought to be applied to the Repair of the Premisses.

If A. infeoffs B. upon Condition, that if the Heir of A. pays to B. Co. Lizza 14. b.  $\mathcal{C}_c$ . 20 s. then he and his Heirs may re-enter; this is a good Condition, of which the Heir of A, may take Advantage, and yet A, himself never

7. S. having Issue three Sons, William his eldest, Nathaniel his second, Mah 5Georg, and Daniel his third; Hilliam dled in the Life-time of his Father, leav- 1. between ing Issue only a Daughter; afterwards the Father devises the Estate in Marks and Marks, in Question to Anne his Wife for her Life, and after her Death to his Son Canc. Daniel and his Heirs; provided, that if Nathaniel do within three Months after the Death of my Wife pay to Daniel, his Executors or Administrators, the Sum of 500 % then the faid Lands shall come to my Son Nathaniel and his Heirs; the Wife lived several Years after, and during her Life Nathaniel died, leaving the Plaintiff his Heir; and the Wife afterwards dying, the Plaintiff brought his Bill within three Months after her Death, praying that upon Payment of the 5001. he might have a Conveyance of the Estate; and the principal Point of the Case was, whether this 500 l. being to be paid by Nathaniel within a limited Time, and he dying before that Time came, whether his Heir at Law could now on Payment of the Money make a Title to these Lands; for it was agreed that he was not Heir at Law to the Testator; and it was insisted upon that he could not; that this was a Condition precedent and meerly Personal in Nathaniel, who had neither Jus in re, nor ad rem, and could neither have devifed, or releafed, or extinguished this Condition; and being a bare Possibility, and he dying before it was performed, his Heir could not make it good; and tho the Word Heirs be used in the Devise to Nathaniel, yet that is not designed to give them any Estate Originally, but to denote the Quantity of Estate which Nathaniel was to take; and for this were cited the Cases in the (a) Margin. On the (a) to Co. other Side it was infifted, that this was like the common Case in (b) Lampet's Co. Lit. where a Feoffment is made on Condition that the Feoffor shall Pleased. Bret before such a Day, &c. there if the Feoffor die before the Day, his Heir and Rigden. may perform the Condition, for the Reasons there mentioned, and that (h) Co. Lit. it being so at Law, it should still be construed more liberally in Equity, 205. 219. b. where the Letter of a Condition is not always required to be strictly performed; and for this were cited the Cases in the (e) Margin, that (e) 1 Chanthe Possibility of performing this Condition was an Interest or Right, Ca. 89. or Scintilla juris, which vested in Nathaniel himself, that he survived the Rertie and Testator; and therefore this differed from Bret and Rigden's Case, that Fakland. consequently such Right, Possibility, or Interest, descended to his Heir, and might be performed by him, as before the Statute De donis, the Possibility of Reverter descended to the Heir of the Donor; and for this were also cited the Cases in the (d) Margin; the Cause being first heard  $\frac{(d)}{Pu}$  so and

by the Master of the Rolls, was thought by him a Matter of great Difficulty, and therefore he appointed the Counsel to speak to it when the Court was full; afterwards it was decreed by thy Lord Chancellor, with the Assistance of the Master of the Rolls, for the Plaintiff, on Lit. Sect. 334, 335. and my Lord Chancellor said, that tho' a Condition in Strictness of Law was not devisable, yet since the Statute of Uses, the Devisee may take Benefit of it by an equitable Construction, &c. and that Nathaniel might have released or extinguished this Condition.

# (D) What Conditions, Covenants, &c. shall extend to the Heir so as to bind him.

1 Rol. Abr.
421.
(a) Shall be bound by Conditions in Law as well as ex-

As the Heir at Law is the proper and only Person, who can take Advantage of Conditions, &c. annexed to the real Estate, so shall he be bound by (a) all such Conditions, &c. which (b) run with the Land, whether such Conditions were annexed to the Estate by the original Feossor, Grantor, or his immediate Ancestor.

press Conditions. Co. Lit. 233. S Co. 44. Hard. 11. — And tho' an Infant, shall be bound to perform them; but for this vide Tic. Infants. (b) If the Ancestor levies a Fine of ancient Demession Lands to the Prejudice of the Lord, an Astion of Deceit lies against the Heir. I Salk. 210.

Co.Lit. 163. b. If a Gift be made in Tail on Condition, that the Donee should not discontinue, and the Donee hath Issue two Daughters, and one of them discontinues, the Donor shall enter and evict them both, because it was the original Condition annexed to the whole Estate, that no Part of it should be discontinued.

i⁄id. Head of E∏ates tail.

But here we must take Notice, that neither Tenant in Tail nor his Issue can be restrained from aliening by Fine and Recovery, tho' they may be restrained from aliening by Feossment, or other tortious Acts which amounts to a Discontinuance.

1 Vent. 321. 3 Keb. 787. Piers and Winn. So where one devised Lands to  $\mathcal{A}$  and the Heirs Male of his Body, provided, that if he does attempt to alien, that then immediately his Estate shall cease, and B shall enter, and A makes a Feossment in Fee, and thereupon B enters; and it was adjudged against B and that the Condition was void, because non constat what shall be adjudged an Attempt, and how it should be tried.

Dyer 316. 10 Co. 41. 1 Vent. 199. Also where a Condition is annexed to the Estate given to the Heir, and which goes in Abridgment and Restraint thereof, the same shall in some Cases be construed a Limitation; for if it were a Condition, no Body could take Advantage of it but the Heir himself.

Cro. Eliz. 204. Wellock and Hammond. 3 Co. 20-1. 2 Leon. 114. S. C.

As if a Copyholder in Borough English surrenders to the Use of his Will, and after devises to his Wife for Life, Remainder to his eldest Son, paying 40 s. to each of his Brothers and Sisters within two Years after the Death of his Wife, &c. this is a Limitation, and not a Condition; for if it should be a Condition, it would extinguish in the Heir, and there would be no Remedy for the Money.

Cro. Eliz. 833, 919.
Moor 644.
pl. 891.
Noy 51.
Haynfworth
and Pretty
adjudged.
Vaugh 271.
2 Mod 26.
5. C. cited.

So where one seised of Lands in Fee, having Issue two Sons and a Daughter, devised to his youngest Son and Daughter 201. a-piece, to be paid by his eldest Son, and devised his Lands to his eldest Son and his Heirs, upon Condition, that if he did not pay the said Sums, that then the Land should remain to his youngest Son and Daughter and their Heirs, and dies, the eldest Son enters, and does not pay the Money; and it was adjudged that the youngest Son and Daughter should have the Land; for 1. This Devise to the eldest Son and Heir, being no more than what the Law gave him without such Devise, was void. 2. If this

should be a Condition, it would be defeated by the Descent upon the eldest Son, who was to perform it; therefore 3. It was held to be a Devife to the eldeft Son only, or no longer than till he failed to pay the faid Sums, and then to the youngest Son and Daughter, which gives them the Land by way of Limitation, upon his failing to pay the faid Sums.

One devises Lands to A. his Heir at Law; and devises other Lands 2 Mod. 7to B. in Fee, and if A. molest B. by Suit, or otherwise, he shall lose Statistically what is devised to him, and it shall go to B. and dies; A. enters into the and Barber. Lands devised to R, and claims them; and it was held, r. That this was a sufficient Breach to give Title to R. 2. That if this should be a Condition, it would by the Descent thereof to A. who was to perform it, and also enter for the Breach thereof, be merged and defeated; therefore it was held to be a Limitation, which determined the Estate of A. and cast the Possession upon B. without Entry.

But wherever the Ancestor makes a Conveyance or Disposition on 8 Co. France's Condition, which goes in Restraint and Abridgment of the Estate of the Case. Heir, he must have Notice of it; for having a good Title by Descent, he is not obliged to take Notice of such Condition at his Peril, as (a) (a) This Diothers must de.

verfity is a-

greed in the Case of Fry and Porter, 1 Vent. 199. 1 Med. St. 2 Lev. 21. Raym. 236.

As where A. feifed of Lands in Fee, and having Issue only one 3 Mod. 28. Daughter named B. by Lease and Release conveys his Lands to the Use 1 Lutw. 800, Charles for Life and after his Death to the Use of R in Tail pro of himself for Life, and after his Death to the Use of B. in Tail, pro- Malloon at Fitzgerald. vided that the married, with the Consent of the Trustees, or the major Part of them, fome Person of the Family and Name of Fitzgerald, or who should take upon him that Name immediately after the Marriage; but if not, then the Trustees to raise a Portion out of the said Lands for B. and the Lands to remain to C. afterwards A. dies, and B. marries one who neither was nor took upon him the Name of Fitzgerald; and the only Point upon which Judgment was given was the want of Notice in B. of the Settlement, without which being Heir at Law, and so having a Title by Descent, she was not bound ex officio to take Notice of the Condition.

### (E) What Adions may be commence and pio= fecute in Right of his Ancestoz.

T is clear that the Heir may bring any Real Action, or Action Co. Lit. 164, 👢 Droitural, in Right of his Ancestor, but cannot regularly bring any Personal Action, because he has nothing to do with the Assets or Personal Contracts of his Ancestor.

Also if an erroneous Judgment be given against the Ancestor, by 1 Rol. Abr. which he loseth the Lands, the Heir may bring (a) a Writ of Error.

Godb. 337. (b) That Error and Attaint always descend to such Person, to whom the Land should descend, if no such Recovery or false Oath had been. 1 Leon. 261.

And if one hath Lands on the Part of his Mother, and loseth by 1 Leon. 261. erroneous Judgment, and dies, the Heir of the Part of the Mother shall 2 Sid. 56. have the Writ of Error.

Owen 68. So the younger Son, when intitled to the Land by the Custom of Borough English, shall bring the Writ of Error, and not the Heir at 4 Leon. 5. adjudged; & Common Law, for this Remedy descends with the Land. vide Bridgm. 79. 1 Rol. Rep. 311.

747.

So if there be an erroneous Judgment against Tenant in Tail Female, 1 Leon. 261. the Issue Female, and not the Son, shall bring a Writ of Error.

Dyer Sq. 3 Lev. 36.

So if a Man settles Land to the Use of himself and the Heirs of his Cro. Eliz 469. Body, the Remainder to his own right Heirs, and dies, leaving Issue only a Daughter, who levies a Fine, and dies without Issue, and J. S. brings a Writ of Error as Cousin and Collateral Heir to the Daughter, yet he shall never reverse the Fine, for there could no Right descend to him from the Daughter, because she had but an Estate-tail, which determined by her Death without Issue; and it does not appear that the Remainder in Fee was in the Daughter as right Heir, wherefore 7. S. shall not reverse the Fine, quia de non apparentibus & non existentibus eadem est ratio, especially in a Court of Judicature, where the Judges can take Notice of nothing that does not come judicially before them, and appear in the Pleading.

Styl. 38, 39. White and Thomas, per Roll.

If 7. S. binds himself and his Heirs in a Bond, and thereupon Judgment is obtained against J. S. and J. S. makes his Will, and his Heir at Law Executor, and dies, leaving Lands which descend to his Heir, yet he shall not have a Writ of Error as Heir, for he is not privy to the Judgment; and when an Extent is made upon him, it is as Tertenant, but after the Lands are taken in Execution, he may have a Writ of Error.

11 H. 6. 15. 19 H 6 41. Co. Lit. 162. a.

Also the Heir at Law may, in Right of his Ancestor, maintain an Action of Debt for Rent referved on a Leafe made by his Ancestor, for the Rent is Part of the Lands, and incident to the Reversion; but for Arrears of Rent incurred in the Life-time of the Ancestor, neither the (a) But now Heir nor (a) Executor could by the Common Law maintain any Action; for as to the Heir, they were confidered as Part of the Personal Estate, and as to the Executor, he could not represent his Testator as to any Contracts relating to the Freehold and Inheritance.

by 32 H. S. cap. 37. an Executor may maintain an Ac-

tion of Debt for such Arrears; for which vide Tit. Debt, Letter (C).

Co. Lit. 18. b. for this vid. I Rol. Abr. 625. Noy 104. Godh. 200. Cro. Fac.367. 2 Bulf. 151.

If a Nobleman, Knight, Esquire, &c. be buried in a Church, and have his Coat of Arms, and Pennons with his Arms, and fuch other Enfigns of Honour as belong to his Degree or Order, fet up in the Church, or if a Grave-stone or Tomb be laid or made, &c. for a Monument of him; in this Case, albeit the Freehold of the Church be in the Parson, and that these be annexed to the Freehold, yet cannot the Parson, or any, take them or deface them, but he is subject to an Action to the Heir and his Heirs, in the Honour and Memory of whose Ancestor they were set up.

### (F) Tuhere the Heir Hall be said to be bound to answer his Ancestoi's Debts and Con= trads.

THERE the Ancestor binds himself and his Heirs in an Obliga- Plow. 4416 tion, the Obligee may fue the Heir (a) or Executor at his Elec- 3 Co. 12. a. tion, and may have Execution of the Land descended to the Heir; for Cro. Fac. 450. the Common Law having allowed the Action of Debt against the Heir, (a) 1 And. 7.
Or the Adhe could have no Benefit by the Action, unless he were permitted to ministrator have Execution of the Lands which descended to the Heir. ceffor.

3 Lev. 189. adjudged on Demurrer. - May fue the same Person, being both Heir and Executor; also may sue the Executor for Part, and the Heir for the Residue; but if the Heir or Executor pay the Whole or Part, and afterwards the other is sued, there shall be Relief in an Audita Querela.

3 Lev 303-4-5. — Where the Heir being likewise Administrator, and having Real Assets by Detecnt, disharged a Bond Debt, in which he was bound, which he insisted was out of the Personal Estate; but the Court of Chancery would not admit of this Construction, to the Deseating of the simple Contract Creditors. Abr. Eq. 144.

But the Body of the Heir is protected, for it would be most unreason- Dier 81.7162. able to subject the Heir to the Payment of his Ancestor's Debts, any 207 pl. 15. farther than to the Value of the Assets descended.

Also the Heir must be (b) expressly named, otherwise he is not 2 Inst. 19. chargeable; and the Reason why the Heir is not chargeable in this Case, Plow. 440. as the Executor is in Case of a Bond entred into by the Testator, without Hob 60. & being named, is this; by the Common Law only the Goods and Chatte's cution, Letter of the Debtor, and the Annual Profits of the Land as they arose, and (A). not the Land it felf, were liable to Execution for Debt or Damages, be- (b) And cause these being the Security the Creditor depended upon, they were therefore ro liable in the Hands of his Representative, or Executor, as well as in the lie against Hands of the Debtor himself; and hence it was, that the Executor was the Heir for bound to answer the Debt of the Testator, so far as he had Chattels or the Escape Affets, tho' he was not named in the Contract; but the Land was not of one in Execution liable to Execution, because it was preserved from the Personal Contracts suffered by and Engagements of the Tenant, that he might be the better able to an- the Ancestor, Iwer the feudal Duties to the Lord, which were the Life and Support of nor for any the Government; and therefore the Land not being originally liable to Tort or the Demand in the Hands of the Obligor, must be much less liable in his; also if the Hands of the Heir, who was not comprehended in the Contract.

Moor, 11. 20.3. Co. Lit. 103:

demned in an Obligation and die, Execution shall be taken out by Elegit, and not of all the Lands descended. Dyer 271. a. pl. 25.

But if A. had granted for him and his Heirs to B. and his Heirs, such 1 Rol. Abr. a Rent out of his Lands; in this Case, the Heirs being comprehended in 226. Peph. 87. the Contract are bound to make good the Grant, so far as they have Hob. 58. Assets by Descent from the Grantor; and this was allowed at Common Dyer 344 b. Law, because the Grantee of the Rent had the Land originally in View Co. Life 144 to for his Security, and by the Grant it felf having it in his Power to distrain the Land for the Rent, it was equal to the Heir whether the Land was to answer the Rent by Distress, or by an Execution upon a Judgment in a Writ of Annuity.

If the Ancestor bind himself in a Statute, Recognizance, &c. the Heir 3 Co. 12. Sir is liable not only as Tertenant, but also as Heir, otherwise he could not William Herhave his Age; and cannot oblige a Purchasor, whether for valuable bert's Cate. Confideration, or without, to contribute, but one Heir may oblige another to contribute; as if a Man seised of two Acres, the one descendi-Yol. III.

ble according to the Course of the Common Law, the other in Borough English, acknowledge a Statute, &c. the Heir at Law shall oblige the Heir in Borough English to contribute: So one Coparcener shall oblige the other to contribute; or if the Conuzor hath Lands, some descendible to the Heirs of the Father, and some descendible on the Heirs of the Mother, the Heir on the Part of the Father shall compel the Heir on the Part of the Mother to contribute; & fic vice versa.

Co. Lit. 102. By the Common Law, if the Heir before an Action brought against (a) Upon a him had aliened the Affers, the Obligee was without (a) any Remedy; Motion for a new Trial, but if he only aliened, hanging the Writ, the Lands, which he had by Tew siden said, Descent at the Time of the (b) Original purchased, had been liable. That in his

Practice the Heir in an Action of Debt against him upon a Bond of his Ancestor pleaded Riens per Discent: The Plaintiff knew the Desendant had levied a Fine, and at the Trial it was produced; but because they had not a Deed to lead the Uses, it was urged, that the Use was to the Conuzor and his Heirs, and so the Heir in by Descent; whereupon there was a Verdict against him; and being a just Debt, they could never after get a new Trial. 1 Mod. 2. (b) Or filing a Bill in B. R. which to this Purpose has been held as effectual as an original Writ. Carth. 245.

Carth. 245. North's Opiwho first obtains Judgment shall be fatisfied. denied to be Law.

Carth. 246. fer Cur.

In Confequence of this Doctrine, that the Lien shall have Relation to Gree and Oli- the Time of the Original purchased, it hath been adjudged, that if there the two Creditors to J. S. whose Heir is bound, viz. A. and B. and A. files an Original in C. B. and hath Judgment thereon, Trin. Term. 2 Jac. 2. nion, 1 Mod. by Default, and thereupon a general Elegit iffues against all the Lands of 253 that he the Heir, and a Moiety thereof is delivered to A. and B. on a Bill filed in B. R. 1 & 2 Jac. 2. has a special Judgment against the Assets confess'd by the Heir, Trin. Term. 3 fac. 2. tho' B.'s Judgment be subsequent to A.'s, yet it appearing that his Bill or Original was filed before A.'s, the Judgment shall have Relation thereto, and therefore he must be first satisfied.

So it feems in the above Cafe, that tho' A.'s Judgment had been on an Original actually filed before B.'s, that B. must have been preferred, because his Judgment was general against the Heir, and the Execution a general and common Execution by Elegit, and not against the Assets only by way of Extent; and therefore such a general Judgment will not operate by way of Relation to the Original, but binds only as in common Cases from the Time of the Judgment given.

(c) A Bill brought in Chancery against the Heir and his relieved, tho' it was objected. of a new Law, the ought to have been at Common Law. Abr. Eq. 149.

But to prevent the Wrong and Injury to Creditors by Alienation of the Lands descended, &c. by the (c) 3 & 4 W. & M. cap. 14. it is enacted, That in all Cases, where any Heir at Law shall be liable to pay the Debt of his Ancestor, in regard of any Lands, Tenements, or Heredie ments descending to him, and shall fell, alien, or make over the same Alience, and before any Action brought or Process sued out against him, that such the Creditor & Heir at Law shall be answerable for such Debt or Debts in an Action or Actions of Debt to the Value of the faid Land fo by him fold, ' aliened, or made over; in which Cases all Creditors shall be preferred, that the Sta- 6 as in Actions against Executors and Administrators, and such Executute being introductive of a new continued introductive bis own proper Debt or Debts; faving that the Lands, Tenements, Relief on it and Hereditaments bong fide aliened before the Action brought, shall onot be liable to fuch Execution.

> ' Provided, That where any Action of Debt upon any Specialty is 6 brought against any Heir, he may plead Riens per Discent at the Time of

> the original Writ brought, or the Bill filed against him; any thing herein contained to the contrary notwithstanding: And the Plaintiff in such

> 6 Action may reply, that he had Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments from 6 his Ancestor before the original Writ brought, or the Bill filed: And if,

upon Issue joined thereupon, it be found for the Plaintiff, the Jury shall inquire of the Value of the Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments so descended, and thereupon Judgment shall be given, and Execution shall be awarded, as aforesaid: But if Judgment be given against such Heir, by Confession of the Action without confessing the Assets descended, or upon Demarrer, or Nihil dicit, it shall be for the Debt and Da-mages, without any Writ to inquire of the Lands, Tenements, or He-

 reditaments fo descended. Also if, before this Statute, the Ancestor had devised away the Lands, Abr. Eq. 149, a Creditor by Specialty had no Remedy either against the Heir or

But now, by the faid Statute 3 & 4 W. 3. cap. 14. reciting that feveral Perfons having by Bonds or other Specialties bound themselves and their Heirs, and have afterwards by Will disposed of their Lands, with an Intent to defraud their Creditors; it is Enacted, 'That all Wills and 'Testaments, Limitations, Dispositions, or Appointments of or concerning any Manors, Messuages, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments, or of any Rent, Profit, Term, or Charge out of the same, whereof any Person or Persons at the Time of his, her, or their Decease shall be 6 seised in Fee-simple, in Possession, Reversion, or Remainder, or have 6 Power to dispose of the same by his, her, or their last Wills or Testa-6 ments, shall be deemed and taken (only as against such Creditor or \* Creditors as aforefaid, his, her, and their Heirs, Successors, Executors, Administrators, and Assigns, and every of them,) to be fraudulent, and clearly, absolutely, and utterly void, frustrate, and of none Effect; (any Pretence, Colour, seigned or presumed Consideration, or any other Natton or Things of the contraction of the contr other Matter or Thing to the contrary notwithstanding.)

And for the Means that fuch Creditors may be enabled to recover ' their faid Debts, it is further Enacted, That in the Cases before mentioned every fuch Creditor shall and may have and maintain his, her, and their Action and Actions of Debt, upon his, her, and their said Bonds and Specialties, against the Heir and Heirs at Law of such Obli-

gor or Obligors, and such Devisee and Devisees jointly, by virtue of this Act; and such Devisee or Devisees shall be liable and chargeable

' for (a) a false Plea by him or them pleaded, in the same Manner as any (a) Vide 29 'Heir should have been for any false Plea by him pleaded, or for not Car. 2. cap. 3. par. 10, 11. 6 confeshing the Lands or Tenements to him descended. by which al-

tho' the Heir of the Cessui que Trust is made liable to answer, &c. yet by reason of any kind of Plea, or other Matter, he shall not be chargeable to pay the Condemnation out of his own Estate.

Frovided, That where there hath been or shall be any Limitation or Appointment, Devise or Disposition of or concerning any Manors, Messuages, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments for the raising or Payment of any real or just Debt or Debts, or any Portion or Portions, Sum or Sums of Money for any Child or Children of any Person, other than the Heir at Law, according to, or in Pursuance of any Marriage Contract or Agreement in Writing bona fide made before fuch Marriage, the same, and every of them, shall be in sull Force, and the same Manors, Messuages, Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments shall and may be holden and enjoyed by every fuch Person or Persons, his, her, and 5 their Heirs, Executors, Administrators, and Assigns, for whom the faid Limitation, Appointment, Devise, or Disposition was made, and by his, her, and their Trustee or Trustees, his, her, and their Heirs, Executors, Administrators, and Assigns, for such Estate or Interest, as 6 shall be so limited or appointed, devised or disposed, until such Debt or Debts, Portion or Portions shall be raised, paid, and satisfied; any thing contained in this Act to the contrary notwithstanding. ' And it is further Enacted by the faid Statute, That all and every

Devisee and Devisees made liable by this Act, shall be liable and charge-• able e able in the same Manner as the Heir at Law, by Force of this Act, onotwithstanding the Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments to him or

them devised shall be aliened before the Action brought.

Abr. Eq. 149. Weedon.

In the Construction of this Statute it hath been holden, that tho' a Man Parflow ver. is prevented thereby from defeating his Creditors by Will, that yet any Settlement or Disposition he shall make in his Life-time of his Lands, whether voluntary or not, will be good against Bond-Creditors; for that was not provided against by the Statute, which only took Care to secure fuch Creditors against any Imposition, which might be supposed in a Man's last Sickness; but if he gave away his Estate in his Life-time, this prevented the Descent of so much to the Heir, and consequently took away their Remedy against him, who was only liable in respect of the Lands descended; and as a Bond is no Lien whatsoever on Lands in the Hands of the Obligor, much less can it be so when they are given away

Carth. 353. Re. Ibaw and Hefther adjudged, 5 Mod. 122. S C. Comb. 544. S. C. anjudged, and that the Statute was made nor to create, but to prevent Difficulties in pleading.

In Debt against an Heir, who pleaded Riens per Discent on the Day of the Bill, the Plaintiff replied specially, that the Obligor (Father of the Defendant) died on such a Day, and that the Defendant (after the Death of his Father) and before the Day of the Bill, viz. on such a Day, which was a Day after the Death of the Obligor, had Lands by Descent from his Father in Fee-fimple, unde prædiet' (the Plaintiff) de Debito prædicio satisfecisse potuit, viz. apud H. pr.edict. & boc parat' est verificare, unde petit Judicium, according to the above Statute. To this the Defendant made a frivolous Rejoinder; and thereupon the Plaintiff demurred. The Question was, if the Replication was good in Pursuance of the Statute; for it was objected that it was ill, because the Plaintiff had put the Value of the Lands in Issue by these Words, unde, &c. de Debito prædicto satisfecisse potuit, which ought to have been omitted; because the Statute is express, that after the Issue tried the Jury shall inquire of the Value; so that it is Matter of Inquest only ex Officio, and not to be the Point of the Issue; and by this Statute the Plaintiff is only to recover pro tanto against the Defendant with respect to the Value of such aliened Assets, and is not to have a general Judgment against the Heir, as at Common Law upon a false Plea; sed per Cur. upon Debate, this Replication was held good and as it ought to be, and that if unde, &c. de Debito prædicto satisfecisse potuit had been left out, it might have been a good Cause of Objection; for the Statute doth not give Occasion to alter any more of the Form of the Replication common in fuch Cases, but only as to the Time concerning Affets by Descent; and the Conclusion, which (before the Statute) was to the Country, must now be with an Averment only, because the Defendants may have an Opportunity to answer the new Matter alledged in the Replication.

Trin 32 Car. 2. in C. B. between Baron Weston and Danby adjudged. (a) But the Heir of an Heir is liathis vide 2 Chan. Cafes

It feems that neither before nor fince this Statute the (a) Executor or Administrator of the Heir are liable; for the Person of the Heir is not chargeable, but with respect to the Land; and if, before the Statute, the Heir had aliened before Action brought, he should not be charged for the Profits he received; which is evident from the Plea of Riens per Difcent the Day of the Writ purchased; much less could his Executor (b), nor can he yet, unless some Statute make him so: For an Executor is but in ble; but for nature of a Trustee for the Personalty, and not at all privy to the Inheritance.

175. I Vern. 400. and Dyer 344. a Precedent cited in the Book of Entries, where Debt was brought against the Executor of an Heir upon a Bond made by the Ancestor, which is also mentioned 1 Plow. 441. 2 Leon. 11. 3 Leon. 70. (b) But guare, & vide 2 Vern. 62. where it is said, that if the Heir aliens the Land to prevent the Creditors of Satisfaction of their Debts, Equity will follow the Money into the Hands of the Heir or his Executor.

1 Lev. 30. Raym. 26. 1 Keb. 92. S. C. Edfar and Smart.

If there be Judgment in Debt against two, and one dies, a Scire Facias lies against the other alone, reciting the Death, and he cannot plead that the Heir of him that is dead has Assets by Descent, and demand Judament

Judgment if he ought to be charged alone: For at (a) Common Law the [a] So ad-Charge upon a Judgment being (b) personal survived, and the Statute judgel i E. of *Hestim*. 2. that gives the *Elegit* does not take away the Remedy of the  $\frac{5 \cdot 13 \cdot pl \cdot 41 \cdot pl}{3 \cdot E \cdot 3 \cdot pl}$ . Plaintiff at the Common Law, and therefore the Party may take out his 37. & was Execution which Way he pleases; for the Words of the Statute are, fit 29 Allie, pl. in Electione: But if he should, after the Allowance of this Writ and Re- 37. 29 E.3. vival of the Judgment, take out an Elegit to charge the Land, the Party the Differmay have Remedy by (c) Suggestion, or else by Andita Querela.

and personal Execution, and that a personal Execution will survive, tho' a real one will not, vide 3 Co. 14. Yelv. 209. Raym. 153. 2 Keb. 3. 331. 4 Mod. 315. 3 Keb. 295. 1 Salk. 319, 320. 1 Show. 402. (c) For this vide F. N. B. 166. 44 E. 3. 10.

If there be a Sequestration for a Personal Duty against the Ancestor I Vern. 143. where the Heir is not bound, and the Defendant dies, there is an End 3 Lev. 355. of the Sequestration, and it cannot be revived against the Heir; because 88-9. neither the Heir nor the Lands are bound by such Decree: But if the Decree were upon a Covenant that bound the Heir, and the Defendant died, such Decree might be revived by Subpana Scire Facias against the Heir to shew Cause against the Decree, if the Decree be inrolled of Record, or if not, by Bill of Revivor; and when revived against Heir and Executor, (which is the usual and regular Way,) the Sequestration also will be revived on Motion, if, upon coming into Court, Cause is not shewn why the Decree should not be revived: And in this Case it hath been refolved, that the Decree should have the same Authority to bind the Personal Affets as a Judgment at Law, and therefore shall go Pari passu to be paid off and discharged; but the Lien of the Judgment upon Lands came in by the Statute, which only gives an Elegit for a Moiety of the Land in Satisfaction of the Debt, and therefore that could give no Authority to lay a Sequestration on the Real Estate for a meer Per-Sonal Duty, where the Heir is not bound in the Covenant.

### (G) How to be proceeded against Where he is bound.

F the Heir be fued upon a Bond or Covenant in which he is bound, it Bulk. 355. But for this need not be shewn how he is Heir; for the Plaintiff is a Stranger, and v.Caseof Kelit would be hard to compel him to fet forth another's Pedigree: But lowv.Rowden, where the Heir fues, he must shew his Pedigree, and Coment Hares; for Carth. 126. it lies in his proper Knowledge.

3 Mod. 25. 1 Show. 248. 3 Lev. 286.

It must be alledged, that the Heir was bound; and therefore, where a 1 Vern. 180. Bill was brought by the Obligee in a Bond against the Heir of the Obli- Crosseing ver. gor, alledging, that he having Affets by Descent, ought to fatisfy this Honor. Bond, the Defendant demurred, because the Plaintiff had not expresly alledged in the Bill, that the Heir was bound in the Bond; and tho' it was alledged, that the Heir ought to pay the Debt, yet that was held infufficient, and the Demurrer was allowed.

If an Action is brought against the Heir upon the Bond of his An- 5. Co. 36. a. cestor, and in which the Heir is bound, it must be in the (a) Debet and Plow. 440. Detinet; because he hath the Assets in his own Right, and therefore is to pl. 6. 1 Jon. be fued as if it were his own proper Bond.

130. Cro. Eliz.

712. S. P. and the Reason there given, because he is bound by special Words in the Obligation, & vide 2 Leon. 11. 2 Brownl. 204-5. Cro. Eliz. 350. Like Point. (a) But if in the Detinet only, it is good after Verdict, by 16 and 17 Car. 2. Comber and Watton, 1 Lev. 224. adjudged, 1 Sid. 342, 375. S. C. Vol. III. (H) Where

# (H) Where he thall be liable himself, and the Judgment general or special: And herein,

### i. Where he wall be liable for his false Pleading.

HE Heir at Law, tho' bound by his Ancestor, shall yet, as has been observed, be chargeable no further than he has Assets from such Ancestor, unless by his false Pleading he make himself so: And therefore if an Action of Debt be brought against him, and he confesses the Action, and also sets forth in Certainty what Assets he hath, he shall be charged no further, and neither his Goods, (a) Body, or other Lands shall be liagled. Bell. Abr. 70. ble; but the Judgment in such Case shall be special, to recover the Debt and the same of the Lands descended.

Point admitted in all the Modern Books. (a) And therefore an Heir at Law is not to be held to special Bail, because the Demand is not on the Person, but on the Assets of the Deceased. 2 Fon. 82. & vide Tit. Bail, Letter (B).

Vide the Authorities fup. gation of his Ancestor, in which he is bound, and he plead Riens per Diand 1 Rol. Abr. 269.

1 Rol. Rep. ver the Debt, which he must pay out of his own Pocket for his false 234. (b) But Plea.

Diversity between an Action of Debt and a Scire Facias against the Heir upon a Judgment had against his Ancestor; for if in a Scire Facias the Heir plead a salse Plea, and it is sound against him, yet the Judgment shall be of the Lands descended only; for the Execution in such Case shall be upon the siret Judgment against the Ancestor, and not upon the Judgment in the Scire Facias, quod habeat Executionem, because such Judgment did not alter nor inlarge the first Judgment. Bowyer v. Rivett adjudged, Pas. 33. 3 Bulst. 317. 1 fon. 87. Cro. Car. 296. Carth. 93. S. C. eited.

Plow. 440. So if an Action of Debt be brought against the Heir, who confesses the 2 Rol. Abr. 70. Action, but does not set forth the Assets in Certainty, the Judgment shall be general; for he is charged in the Debet as well as Detinet, and Assets shall be presumed.

Davis and So if an Action be brought against the Heir on the Bond of his AnPepis adjudg- cestor, and there is Judgment against him by Default, Non sum Informatus,
ed, and cited
and agreed
to be Law in be charged de Bonis propriis.

So if an Action be brought against the Heir on the Bond of his AnPepis adjudg- cestor, and there is Judgment against him shall be general, and he shall
to be Law in be charged de Bonis propriis.

Carth. 93.

So where Debt was brought against an Heir, who pleaded in Bar that Brandlin and Milbank adjudged, and said, that the Money; which being sound against him, it was held, that the Judgment should be general, and he for his saise Plea chargeable de Bouis propriis.

Case supra of Davis and Persys. Plow. 440. Comb. 162. S. C. adjudged.

Salk. 354. Smith & Ux. ver. Angel. Farefl. 40. S. C.

So where the Heir pleaded that his Ancestor was seised in Fee of three fourth Parts of such and such Tenements, and that he demised the same for 500 Years to A. who entered, and that the said Reversion descended, & Riens ultra, and that at the Time of the Action brought he had no Tenements in Fee-simple by Descent præterquam the said Reversion; and that afterwards there was a Bill in Chancery exhibited against him by the Ancestor's Wise for Dower, and a Decree obtained against him for the third Part of these Fourths for the Wise's Life; & boc, &c. and in this Case a general Judgment was given against the Heir; and it was held by Holt C. J. 1st, That an Heir could not plead a Term for Years in De-

lay of present Execution, but ought to confess Assets; and that the Common Law had no Regard for a Term for Years, and that there is no Mischief in this Case; for tho' in Consequence a Levin: Excias may go, yet the Lessee may maintain himself against an Ejectment by Virtue of his Leafe. 2. As to the Decree in Chancery, he held it plain that there was no Estate or Interest vested in the Wife by that, so that the Plea in this Respect is nought and most apparently falle.

And it is faid, that in these Cases the Court cannot give, a, special 2 Rol. Abr. 72 Judgment without the Assent of the Plaintiss; as where Debt was brought against the Heir, who pleaded Riens, per Discents, which was found for the Plaintiss; and there being Judgment to recover the Debt, 1651. Damages and Costs of the Lands descended; and not knowing what Land descended, a Writ awarded to inquire what Land descended; the Court held this Judgment erroneous, because by Law the Judgment dught to be general, which cannot be altered without the Plaintiff's Confent, which d.d. not appear here.

So in an Action of Debt against an Heir, if he pleads Riens per 2 Rol. Abr. 713 Discent, which is found against him; and it is further found by the Pafeb. 1652. Jury, that he had certain Lands by Descent, upon which Judgment Snelgrive and Bolivile. is given that the Plaintiff shall recover his Debt, Damages and Costs, of the Lands descended; this is an erroneous Judgment, because it ought to have been general; also it is said, that upon this Issue could not in-

quire of the Assets descended.

But if in a Wriciof Annuity the Plaintiff declares for the Arrearages, 2 Rol. Abr. 71. &c. against the Heir, upon the Grant of his Ancestor, and the Heir Frank ver. pleads that it is not the Deed of his Ancestor, which is found against him; in this Case the Judgment may be Special, without the Consent of the Plaintiff; for being in the Case of an Annuity, which is always executory, it is at least in the Election of the Plaintiff to have Execution of all the Lands d'escended; whereas on a general Judgment he can only have a Moiety of all the Heir's Land in Execution; also in this Case the Entring a special Judgment is for the Heir's Advantage, and therefore he cannot affign it for Error.

Also, if upon Pleading Riens per discent it be found against the Heir, 2 Rol. Abr 71. or if he confesset the Action without setting forth the Assets, or if there be a general Judgment upon these, or upon a Non, sum Informatus, Nihil dicit, &c. against him, the Execution may be general of a Moiety of all the Lands of the Heir.

But if in an Action of Debt brought against the Heir, the Defendant 2 Rol. Abr. 71. acknowledges the Action, and shews in Certainty the Affets, upon which there is Judgment that the Plaintiff shall recover, and that the Debt shall be recovered of the Assets descended, here the Plaintiff shall have Execution to levy the Debt of all the Land descended, and to have a

Moiety only, as on an Elegit.

Also in Case of a general Judgment against the Heir, altho' the Plain- 2 Rol. Abr. 71, tiff may have Execution by Elegit of a Moiety of all the Heir's Lands; 72yet may he also at his Election surmise that the Heir hath such and such Land by Descent, and pray Execution thereof; for were it otherwise, the Plaintiff might be a Lofer by this general Judgment, in which he is only intitled to a Moiety of the Land, in as much as the Heir might not have any other Lands, except those descended.

### 2. Where by his Promise to pay or discharge the Debt of his Ancestor.

1 Rol. Abr. 28. If a Man binds himself and his Heirs in an Obligation, and dies, and Lord Gray's after the Obligee sues the Heir upon the Obligation, who had no Assets descended to him; and the Heir says to him, that if he will not sue 3 Leon. 6. him, that then he will pay him the Money; this is no Consideration so as to maintain an Action, because he was not chargeable (a) without riam.

Assets

(a) But it is held, that in Assumptive against an Executor on his Promise it is not necessary to alledge Assets, and that Forbearance is a good Consideration. Vid. Tit. Executors and Administrators, Letter (M). —— And Note, by the Statute of Frauds the Promise must be in Writing.

Barker and So in an Assumpsit against an Heir upon such a Promise, it must be Fox, 2 Sand. expressly shewn that the Heir was bound, else it shall not be intended, tho' after a Verdict.

adjudged; Hunt and Swain, 1 Sid. 248. Raym. 12. 1 Lev. 165. 1 Keb. 890: S. P. adjudged.— And Keeling said, to charge an Executor upon his Promise you need not lay Assets, (tho without them he shall not be bound) because we will intend Assets, but we cannot intend the Heir was bound, but in this Case must look upon him as a meer Stranger.

1 Sid. 31. 1 Lev. 165. & vid. Yelv. 56. But in such a Case where the Plaintist declared, that the Descadant in Consideration the Plaintist would deliver the Bond to him and discharge the Debt, promised, &c. it was held a good Declaration, and that it should be intended he was liable, or at least that the Discharge should be made to him who was so.

M 1 1 12

### (I) What Hall be Affects in his Hands.

Vid. Bro. Tit.

Assets.

Fit. Tit. Assets.

Rol. Abr.

Therever the Ancestor binds himself and his Heirs, all his Lands of (b) Freehold, and which descend in (c) Free-simple, are Assets.

Rol. Abr.

fets by Descent, and shall be liable, as far as they extend, to answer the 269. Tit. Asset Ancestor's Obligations.

(b) But if a Copyhold descends to an Heir, this shall not be Assets, because 'tis an Inheritance created by Custom, and the Common Law directs the Descent; but not that it shall have any other collateral Qualities which do not concern such Descent, and which other Inheritances at Common Law have. 4 Co. 22. a.— But Lands by Descent in ancient Demesse shall be Assets, 7 H. 4. 14. Bro. Tit. Assets 11.— So an Advowson is Assets, and may be extended at the Rate of a Shilling for every Mark of the yearly Value of the Living. Co. Lit. 374. b. (c) Must be Lands in Fee-simple, 42 E. 3. 10. b.— For what shall be Assets to make a lineal Warranty a Bar to an Estate-tail, vid. Co. Lit. 374. b. 2 Inst. 293. Kelw. 104. b. 124. 2 Rol. Abr. 774.

A Reversion after a Lease for Years made by the Ancestor is present Farest. 42. Assertion after a Lease for Years made by the Ancestor is present and the Assertion Execution Execution

320. (d) In Debt against the Heir if he pleads Riens per Discent, the Plaintist may have Judgment prefently, and a Scire facias when Assets descend. S Co. 134. in Mary Sheply's Case, which Point is held to be Law; likewise in Case of an Executor, in Hob. 199. t Vent. 94-5. t Sid. 448. contrary to the Case of Dorchester and Webb. Cro. Car.——So in a Warrantia Charte against an Heir, who pleads Riens per Discent, or that the Plaintist is not impleaded, the Plaintist may pray Judgment presently, F. N. B. 134. S Co. 154. 1 Vent. 94. and Hob. 199. S. P. and that the same may be done in the Case of an Executor; but if the Plaintist will proceed to prove Assets presently, and that he found against him, he shall be barred for ever; and yet there was a Debt due, and that in Estate contents.

Execution of the Rent and Reversion, (a) tho' the Plaintist cannot have fessed. Hob. Benefit of the Reversion till the Leafe be determined.

(a) Where z Man obtains a Judgment against an Heir who has a Reversion in Fee descended to him, the Judgment is only of Assets quando acciderent, and the Creditor car not by a Bill in Equity compel the Heir to tell the Reversion, but must expect until it falls. 2 Vern. 134. Fortrey and Fortrey.

So a Reversion expectant upon the Determination of an Estate for Life Carth. 129. is quasi Affets, and ought to be pleaded specially by the Heir, and the Per Holt. Plaintiff in such Case may take Judgment of it cum acciderit.

But a Reversion in Fee expectant upon an Estate-tail is not Assets, be- 6 Co. 58. cause it I.es in the Will of the Tenant in Tail to dock and bar it at his I Rel. Abr. Pleafure. 269.

2 Rol. Reb. 129. S P. 3 Lev. 287. 3 Med. 257. Carth. 129. S. P. agreed, and that it shall not charge the Heir upon the general Issue, Reins per Def ent. - But after the Tail is spent, it is Alleis. 3 Mod. 257.

If A. hath Issue B. and C. and conveys Lands to the Use of himself Carth. 127. for Life, the Remainder to B. in Tail Male, the Remainder to his own 3 Lev. 286. right Heirs, and A. dies, and the Reversion descends to B. his Son, and 5 Med 253. S. C. K Hory B. dies seised, and the Reversion descends to his Son, who dies without ver. R. widen, Issue, so that the Tail is spent, and C. enters, these Lands shall be Assets to answer the Debt of his Father.

The Lands, as has been observed, must descend to the Heir; and Cro. Eliz 431. therefore it was (b) formerly held, that if he took by Furchafe, as if the 2 Mod 256.

Total devised them to him paying to much or if he devised I and (b) But now Testator devised them to him paying so much, or if he devised Lands by 3 & 4 W. to one or two, and his Heir at Law jointly, that those Lands were not 3. which vid. Affets; but if he devifed one Part to A another to B, and another to the Devifed his Heir at Law, this third Part was Assets.

Heir are chargeable. By the Statute of Frauds and Perjuries it is enacted, that if Lands 29 Car. 2. come to the Heir by Reason of a special Occupancy, they shall be charge- cap 3. that able in his Hands as Assets by Descent, as in Case of Lands in Fee- it was not able in his Hands as Affets by Descent, as in Case of Lands in Icc-fimple; and in Case there be no special Occupant thereof, it shall go to fore, 10 Co. the Executors or Administrators of the Party that had the Estate thereof 93. a. by Virtue of the Grant, and shall be Assets in their Hands.

Also by the said Statute, par. 10. & 11. where Lands are settled in Vid. 2 Veras Trust, and descend in Fee to the Heir of Cost up que Trust, the same shall 243. be Affets in the same Manner as Lands in Possession, but he shall not, by Reason of any Plea or other Matter, be chargeable to pay the Condemnation out of his own Estate.

An Equity of Redemption of an Inheritance is Assets, for the Heir 4 Chan Car. having a Right in (e) Equity, that ought in Equity to be liable to fa- 748. tisfy a Bond Debt.

(c) But the Equity of

Redemption of a Mortgage that is forfeited is not Affets at Law, for at Law there is no Redemptions 2 Vern. 61. - and there it is made a Quere, whether an Heir being Creditor by Bond or Judgment may not retain, the Reason being the same in the Case of an Heir as it is of an Executor, for neither can sue himself.

Tenant in Tail fuffers a Recovery to let in a Mortgage of 500 Years, Pre ed. Chanand then limits the Land to the old Uses, and makes his Will, and de- 39. Fosser and vifes all his Lands for the Payment of his Debts, the Redemption was limited to him, his Heirs and Assigns; and the Court thought that the Equity of Redemption of this Mortgage should be Assets to satisfy Creditors, or a subsequent Grantee of an Annuity.

A Right without any Estate in (d) Possession, Reversion or Re- 6 Co. 58. mainder is not Assets till it be recovered and reduced into Possession. (d) If a Rentfeck defeends

to an Heir, it is not Assets till he hath gained Seisin, 6 Co. 58. b. - But if Lands descend to an Heir, this is Assets before Entry, for he may enter when he will, 42 E. 3. 10. b. 1 Rol. Abr. 269.

Vol. III.

K

Derely

# Herely, and Offences a-gainst Religion,

- (A) Of Derefy: And herein,
  - 1. What it is.
  - 2. By whom it is cognizable.
  - 3. How punished.
- (B) Of Mitchcraft, and how punished.
- (C) Of Offences against Beligion as punishable by the Common Law.
- (D) De Offences by Statute against Keligion: And herein,
  - 1. Of the Offence of prophaning the Lord's Day.
  - 2. Of the Offence of Swearing.
  - 3. Of the Offence of Drunkenness.
  - 4. Of the Offence of reviling the Sacrament.
  - 5. Of Offences against the Common Prayer.
  - 6. Of the Offence of teaching School without conforming to the Church.
  - 7. Of the Offence in not coming to Church: And herein,
    - 1. What Forfeitures of Money, Lands or Goods fuch Offenders incur.
    - 2. In what Manner they are to be proceeded against for those Forseitures.
    - 3. What other Inconveniencies they are subject to.
    - 4. By what Means they may be discharged.
    - 5. How far a Person is punishable for suffering such Absence in others.
  - 8. Of Offences against the Established Church by Protestant Dissenters.
    - Of the Offence of professing or incouraging the Popish Religion, vide Tit. Popish Recutants.
    - Of the Offence of holding an Office without conforming to the Established Religion, vide Tit. Date.

### (A) Df Herefy: And herein,

### 1. What it is.

repugnant to some Point of Doctrine clearly revealed in Scripture, and either absolutely essential to the Christian Faith, or at riently under the general Name of Hermannian Faith.

refy there have been comprehended three Sorts of Crimes; 1. Apostacy, when a Christian did apostatize to Paganism or to Judaism. 2. Witcherast. 3. Formal Heresy, which seems to be an Apostacy from the Established Religion; for which, and the several Ways of determining, punishing, and the Difference between the Civil and Imperial Laws, Popish Canons, and the Laws of England concerning Heresy, side a large Account in 1 Hal. Hist. P. C. 383 to 410.

It feems (b) difficult precifely to determine what Errors shall amount i Hirch P to Herefy, and what not; but the Statute i Eliz. cap. 1. which creeked (b) And it is the High Commission Court, having restrained it to such as are either determined by Scripture, or by one of the four first General Councils, Lord Hale, or by some other Council, by express Words of Scripture, or by Parliament, with the Assential of the Convocation; these Rules are at present pal Canonits generally thought the best Directions concerning this Matter.

The property of the Familian polyment in the Difference of the Ordinary to determine it, that there is searce any the smallest Deviation from them but may be reduced to Herety, according to the great Generality, Latitude and Extent of their Desinitions and Descriptions, from whence he observes, how miserable the Servitude of Christians was under the Papal Hierarchy, who used so arbitrary and unlimited a Power to determine what they pleased to be Herefy, and then, omniappellatione postpositia, subjecting Mens Lives to their Sentence. 1 Hal. Hist. P. C. 383, 389.

### 2. By whom it is cognizable.

According to the Common and Imperial Law, and generally by 1 Hal. High, other Laws in Kingdoms and States where the Canon Law obtained, P. C. 384. the Ecclefiastical Judge was the Judge of Heresies, and hereby they obtained a large Jurisdiction touching them.

Hence it is, that by the Common Law with us, the Convocation of Ero. Tit. Hethe Clergy, or Provincial Synod, might and frequently did proceed to the Sentencing of Hereticks, and when convicted, left them to the Secular Power, whereupon the Writ of Heretico comburendo might issue.

Also it is agreed, that every Bishop may convict Persons of Heresy within his own Diocese, and proceed by Church Censures against those 12 Co 56,579 who shall be convicted; but it is said, that no Spiritual Judge, who is 3 Inst. 40 not a Bishop, hath this Power; and it has been (c) questioned, whether Gilf. Codex a Conviction before the Ordinary were a sufficient Foundation whereon to ground the Writ de Hæretico comburendo, as it is agreed that a Conviction before the Convocation was.

State Trials,

(e) Lord C. J. Hale seems to be of Opinion, that if the Diocesan convict a Man of Heresy, and either upon his Resulat to abjure, or upon a Relapse, decree him to be delivered over to the Secular Power; and this being signified under the Seal of the Ordinary into the Chancery, the King might thereupon by special Warrant command a Writ de Exercise conburerdo to issue, tho' this were a Matter that lay in his Diserction to grant, suspend or resule, as the Case might be circumstantiated. I Hali Hist. P. C. 392.

But it feems agreed, that regularly the Temporal Courts have no 27 H.S. 14.5 Conuzance of Herefy, either to determine what it is, or to punish the 5 Co. 58. Heretick as such, but only as a Disturber of the Publick Peace; and that therefore, if a Man be proceeded against as an Heretick in the Spiritual

Court pro falute animæ, and think himself aggrieved, his proper Remedy is to bring his Appeal to a higher Ecclesiastical Court, and not to move for a Prohibition from a Temporal one.

3 Irst. 42. 1 Rol. Rep. 110. 2 Bulf. 300. Yet a Temporal Judge may incidentally take Knowledge, whether a Tenet be heretical or not; as where one was committed by Force of 2 II. 4. cap. 5. for faying, that he was not bound by the Law of God to pay Tithes to the Curate; another for faying, that tho' he was excommunicate before Men, yet he was not so before God; the Temporal Courts on an Habeas Corpus in the first Case, and an Action of salse Imprisonment in the other, adjudged neither of the Points to be Heresy within that Statute, for the King's Courts will examine all Things which are ordained by Statute.

5 Co. 58. 1 And. 191. 3 Leon. 199. 3 Lev. 314. Also in a Quare Impedit, if the Bishop plead that resused the Clerk for Heresy, it seems that he must set forth the particular Point, that it may appear to be heretical to the Court wherein the Action is brought, which having Conuzance of the original Cause, must by Consequence have a Power to all incidental Matters necessary for the Determination of it, and without knowing the very Point alledged against the Clerk, will not be able to give Directions concerning it to the Jury, who (if the Party be dead) are to try the Truth of the Allegation.

### 3. Pow puniched.

F. N. B. 269. 3 Inft. 43. Doctor and Student, lib. 2. cap. 29. 1 Hawk. P. C. 4, 5.

C. 4, 5. 12 Co. 44. 1 Hawk. P.

C. 5.

By the Common Law, one convicted of Herefy, and refusing to abjure it, or falling into it again after he had abjured it, might be burnt by Force of the Writ de haretico comburendo, which iffued out of Chancery upon a Certificate of fuch Conviction; but he forfeited neither Lands nor Goods, because the Proceedings against him were only prosalute animæ.

But at this Day the faid Writ de hæretico comburendo is abolished by 29 Car. 2. cap. 9. and all the old Statutes, that gave a Power to arrest or imprison Persons for Heresy, or introduced any Forseiture on that Account, are repealed; yet by the Common Law, an obstinate Heretick being excommunicate is still liable to be imprisoned by Force of the Writ de excommunicato capiendo, till he make Satisfaction to the Church.

being excommunicate is still liable to be imprisoned by Force of the Writ de excommunicato capiendo, till he make Satisfaction to the Church. Also by the 9 & 10 W. 3. cap. 32. it is enacted, That if any Person having been educated in, or having made Profession of the Christian Religion within this Realm, shall be convicted in any of the Courts of Westminster, or at the Assists, of denying any of the Persons in the Holy Trinity to be God, or Maintaining that there are more Gods than one, or of Denying the Truth of the Christian Religion, or the Divine Authority of the Holy Scriptures, he shall for the first Offence be adjudged uncapable of any Office, and for the second shall be disabled to sue any Action, or to be a Guardian, Executor or Administrator, or to take by any Legacy or Deed of Gift, or to bear any Office Civil or Military, or Benefice Ecclesiastical for ever, and shall also suffer Imprisonment for three Years, without Bail or Mainprize,

6 from the Time of fuch Conviction.

### (B) Of Witchcraft, and how punished.

Vitcheraft, or Sortilegium, was by the ancient Laws of England of 3 Inf. 44.

Cro Eliz. 5716.

Out Abjuration, or Relapse after Abjuration, was punishable with Death

Out Abjuration of Relapse after Abjuration, was punishable with Death

Writ de beretico communendo.

1 Hal. Hift.

P. C. 3831

(a) Also it is faid, that Offenders of this Kind may be condemned to the Pillory, &c. upon an Indulment at Common Law. 1 Hawk. P. C. 5.

Also by an Act of Parliament 1 Jac. 1. cap. 12. it was made Felony, without Benefit of Clergy, to Use any Invocation or Conjuration of any evil Spirit, or to consult or covenant with any evil Spirit, or to exercise any Witcherast, Inchantment, Charm, or Sorcery, whereby any Person shall be killed, destroyed, consumed or lamed in his Body, &c.

But by the 9 Georg. 2. cap. 5. the abovementioned Statute is repealed; and it is thereby enacted, 6 That no Profecution, Suit, or Proceeding, 6 That he commenced or carried on against any Person or Fersons for 6 Witcheraft, Sorcery, Inchantment or Conjuration, or for charging 6 another with any such Offence in any Court whatsoever in Great 6 Britain.

But for the more effectual preventing and punishing of any Pretences to fuch Arts or Powers as are before mentioned, whereby ignorant Persons are frequently deluded and defrauded, it is enacted by the said Statute, 9 Geor. 2. 'That if any Person shall pretend to Exercise or Use any Kind of Witcheraft, Sorcery, Inchantment or Conjuration, or undertake to tell Fortunes, or pretend from his or her Skill, or Know-6 ledge, in any occult or crafty Science, to discover where or in whit Manner any Goods or Chattels, supposed to have been stolen or lost, e may be found; every Person so offending, being thereof lawfully convicted on Indictment or Information in that Part of Great Britain called England, or on an Indictment or Libel in that Part of Great Britain called Scotland, shall for every such Offence suffer Imprisone ment by the Space of one whole Year, without Bail or Maintrize; and once in every Quarter of the faid Year, in some Market-Town of the proper County, upon the Market-Day, there stand openly on the e Pillory by the Space of one Hour, and also shall (if the Court, by which fuch Judsment shall be given, shall think fit) be obliged to give Sureties for his or her good Behaviour, in fuch Sum, and for fuch 'Time, as the faid Court shall judge proper, according to the Circumftances of the Offence; and in such Case shall be surther imprisoned " until fuch Sureties be given.

### (C) Of Offences against Religion as punishable by the Common Law.

A Lthough Offences against Religion are, strictly speaking, of Eccle- 1 Hawk. P.C. fiastical Conusance, yet where a Person, in Maintenance of his 6, 7. Errors, sets up Conventieles, or raises Factions, which may tend to the Disturbance of the publick Peace, or where the Errors are of such a Nature as subvert all Religion or Morality, which are the Foundation of Government, they are punishable by the Temporal Judges with Fine Vol. III.

and Imprisonment, and also such corporal infamous Punishment, as to the Court in Difcretion shall seem meet, according to the Heinousness

1 Vent. 293. 3 Keb. 607, of the Crime, ne quid detrimenti res Publica capiat.

Such as all Blatphemies against God, as denying his Being or Providence, and all contumelious Reproaches of Jesus Christ.

3 Hawk. P. C. 7.

Alfo all prophane Scoffing at the Holy Scriptures, or exposing any Part thereof to Contempt or Ridicule.

1 Hawk. P. C. 7.

Impostors in Religion, as falfely pretending to extraordinary Commissions from God, and terrifying or abusing the People with false Denunciations of Judgments, &c.

1 Sid. 168. 1 Keb. 620.

All open Leudness grossly scandalous, such as was that of those Perfons who exposed themselves naked to the People in a Balcony in Covent-Garden, with most abominable Circumstances.

Seditious Words in Derogation of the Established Religion are (a) 2 Rol. Abr. indictable, as tending to a Breach of the Peace; as these, your Religion 1 Hawk. P. is a new Religion, and Preaching is but Pratling, and Prayer once a Day (a) But not is more edifying.

before Justices of the Peace. Cro. Fac. 44.

### (D) Of Offences by Statute against Religion: And herein,

### 1. Df the Offence of Prophaning the Lord's Day.

PY the 1 Car. 1. cap. 1. it is enacted, 'That there shall be no Assembly of People out of their own Parishes on the Lord's Day for any Sport whatfoever, nor any Bull-baiting, or Bear-baiting, Interludes, common Plays, or other unlawful Exercises and Pastimes used by any · Persons in their own Parishes, on Pain that every Offender shall sorfeit 3 s. 4 d. to the Use of the Poor, E3c.

By the 29 Car. 2. cap. 7. it is enacted, 'That all Persons shall every Lord's Day apply themselves to the Observation of the same, by exercifing themselves in Duties of Piety and true Religion publickly and privately, and that no Tradesman, Artificer, Workman, Labourer, or other Person whatsoever, shall do or exercise any worldly Labour, ' Business, or Work of their ordinary Callings, upon the Lord's Day, or any Part thereof; (Works of Necessity and Charity only excepted) and that every Person being of the Age of sourceen Years, or upwards, offending in the Premisses, shall for every such Offence forfeit 6 the Sum of 5 s. and that no Person shall publickly cry, shew forth, or expose to Sale any Wares, Merchandizes, Fruit, Herbs, Goods or 6 Chattels whatsoever, upon the Lord's Day, or any Part thereof, upon 6 Pain that every Person so offending shall forseit the same Goods so

cryed, or shewed forth, or exposed to Sale. But by 11 😂

And it is further enacted, par. 2. 'That no Drover, Horse-Courser, 12 W. 3. cap. Waggoner, Butcher, Higler, their or any of their Servants, shall 21. forty come into his or their Inn or Lodging upon the Lord's Day, or any Watermen may be ap-Part thereof, upon Pain that each and every fuch Offender shall forpointed by 6 feit 20 s. for every such Offence; and that no Person or Persons shall of Water Use, Employ, or Travel upon the Lord's Day with any Boat, Wherry, men to ply Lighter or Barge, except it be upon extraordinary Occasion, to be

on the River Thames. -- And by the 9 Ann. cap. 23. Hackney-Coachmen and Chairmen are permitted to work within the Bills of Mortality on Sunday.

allowed

allowed by some Justice of Peace of the County, or Head Officer, or some Justice of the Peace of the City, Borough, or Town Corporate where the Fact shall be committed, upon Pain that every Person so offending shall forfeit and lote the Sum of five Shillings for every such Offence; and that if any rerson offending in any of the Premisses shall be thereof convicted before any Justice of Peace of the County, or the chief Officer or Officers, or any Justice of the Peace of or within any City, Borough, or Town Corporate, where the faid Offence shall be committed, upon his or their View, or Confession of the Party, or Proof of any one or more Witnesses by Oath, (which the said Justices, chief Officer, or Officers, is by this Act authorised to administer,) the faid Justice or chief Officer or Officers shall give Warrant under his or their Hand and Seal to the Constable or Churchwardens of the Parish or Parishes, where such Offence shall be committed, to seife the said Goods cried, shewed forth, or put to Sale as aforesaid, and to sell the same, and to levy the said other Forseitures or Penalties by way of Diffress and Sale of the Goods of every such Offender distressed, rendering to the faid Offenders the Overplus of the Monies raifed thereby; and in Default of such Distress, or in case of Insufficiency or Inability of the said Offender to pay the said Forseitures or Penalties, that then the Party offending to be fet publickly in the Stocks by the Space of two Hours: And all and fingular the Forfeitures or Penalties aforefaid shall be employed and converted to the Use of the Poor of the Parish where the faid Offences shall be committed; saving only that it shall and may be lawful to and for any such Justice, Mayor, or Head Officer or Officers, out of the said Forfeitures or Penalties, to reward any Person or Persons, that shall inform of any Offence against this Act, according to their Discretions, so as such Reward exceed not the third Part of the Forfeitures or Penalties.

Frovided, That this Act shall not extend to the Prohibiting of dressing of Meat in Families, or dreffing or felling of Meat in Inns, Cooks Shops, or Victualling Houses, for such as otherwise cannot be provided, nor to the Crying or Selling of Milk before Nine of the Clock in the Morning,

or after Four of the Clock in the Afternoon.

Frovided also, That no Person shall be impeached, prosecuted, or molested for any Offence before mentioned in this Act, unless he or they be profecuted for the same within ten Days after the Offence committed.

Also it is enacted by the said Statute, par. 6. That no Person upon the Lord's Day shall serve or execute, or cause to be served or executed

(a) any Writ, Process, Warrant, Order, Judgment, or Decree, (ex- (a) It hash cept in Cases of Treason, Felony, or Breach of the Peace,) but that the that not-

Service of every fuch Writ, Process, Warrant, Order, Judgment, or withstanding

Decree shall be (1) void to all Intents and Purposes whatsoever, and the this Statute,

Person or Persons so ferving or executing the same shall be as liable to a Person the Suit of the Party grieved, and to answer Damages to him for doing ken upon a

thereof, as if he or they had done the same without any Writ, Process, Judges, War-

Warrant, Order, Judgment, or Decree at all.'

escaping our

of Prison on a Sunday. 5 Mod 95. Parker ver. Sir William Moor. 2 Salk. 626. S. C. — So a Citation may be sued out of the Spiritual Court on a Sunday, notwithstanding this Act. Carth. 504. Alonson and Brockbank. 5 Mod. 449. S. C. But an Indiament cannot be taken on a Sunday. 2 Keb. 731. 1 Vent. 107. 2 Sand 290. (b) In Salk 78. it is said, that the Arrest is void, so that the Party may have an Action of salse Imprisonment for it. — And in 5 Mod. 95. it is said, that the Court would not discharge the Party on Motion, but directed him to bring an Action of false Imprisonment. - And in 6 Mod. 93. it is said by Holt C. J. that if the Court will relieve from such an Arrest, it must be by Audita Querela; for it being on a Sunday, is a Fact traversable: But the other Judges held, that it could be done on

### 2. Of the Offence of Swearing.

By the 21 Jac. 1. cap. 10. and 6 & 7 W. 3. cap. 11. every Servant, Day-Labourer, Seaman, or Soldier convicted of profane Curfing or Swearing forfeits one Shilling, and every other Person two Shillings, to the Use of the Poor, to be levied by Distress; and in case the Party is unable to pay, to be set in the Stocks for the Space of an Hour for every single Offence, and for any Number of Offences two Hours; but Persons under the Age of Sixteen, unable to pay, to be whipt. The Justice neglecting his Duty in executing the Act forseits five Pounds. The Prosecution to be within ten Days next after the Offence committed.

And by the 13 Car. 2. cap. 9. all Persons in the King's Pay at Sea for profane Oaths, &c. shall be punished by Fine and Imprisonment, as the

Court Martial shall think sit.

### 3. Di the Officnee of Ogunkennels.

By the Statutes 4 Jac. 1. cap. 5. and 21 Jac. 1. cap. 7. all Persons whatsoever convicted of Drunkenness by the View of a Justice, Oath of one Witness, or Party's Confession, shall forfeit five Shillings to the Use of the Poor, to be levied by Distress and Sale of Goods; and for Want of a Distress, Party to be set in the Stocks six Hours.

By the 13 Car. 2. cap. 9. Seamen are to be punished by Fine, &c. as the

Court Martial shall think fit.

### 4. Of the Offence of Revilling the Sacrament.

By the 1 E. 6. cap. 1. Reviling the Sacrament is an Offence for which the Party shall be imprisoned, fined, and ransomed; and this Statute, which was repealed 1 Mar. cap. 2. is again revived by 1 Eliz. cap. 1. and is now in Force.

### 5. Of Officues against the Common Prager.

By the 2 & 3 E. 6. cap. 1. and 6 E. 6. cap. 1. (which were repealed by 1 M. cap. — and revived by 1 Eliz. cap. 2.) the Common Prayer Book was first established, under severe Penalties; but the same Penalties being repealed and enlarged by 1 Eliz. cap. 2. and 13 & 14 Car. 2. cap. 4. which enacts the Use of the same Common Prayer, with some Alterations, those Statutes of Ed. 6. seem at this Day to be of little Use.

By the 1 Eliz. cap. 2. par. 4. 'If any Parson, Vicar, or other whatsoever Minister, that ought to say the said Common Prayer, &c. shall resuse

- ' to use it in such Church, &c. or other Place where he should use to mi-
- nifter the fame, or wilfully or obstinately standing in the same, use any
   other Form, or speak any thing in Derogation of the said Book, or any
- 6 other Form, or fpeak any thing in Derogation of the faid Book, or any 6 thing therein contained, he forfeits for the first Offence one Year's Pro-
- fit of all his spiritual Promotions, and shall suffer six Months Imprison-

6 ment, and for the second Offence shall be deprived.

In the Construction hereof it hath been resolved,

That under the Words Parson, Vicar, or other whatsoever Minister that ought or should say the said Common Prayer, &c. those Clergymen, who have no Cure, are included as much as those who have one, and that

Dyer 203.

they are punishable for using any other Form, &c. inasmuch as by their Ordination they are obliged to officiate in the Offices of the Church, E.c. and it is faid that they are fufficiently shewn to be in Holy Orders by the Word Clericus in an Indictment.

That this Statute being not only in the Affirmative, but also expresly 5 Co. 5, 6, faving the Jurisdiction of the Ecclefiastical Courts, does not restrain them Cawdry's from proceeding against those Offenders in their own Methods as Disturb- $P_0$  by 59. ers of the Unity and Peace of the Church, and confequently that such 2 Rol. Altr.
Persons may be deprived by the said Court, according to the Ecclesiastical 222.

Law, for the first Offence.

And it is further enacted by 1 Eliz. cap. 2. par. 9. That if any Person fhall in Plays, Songs, or other open Words speak any thing in Derogation, Depraving, or Despising of the said Book, &c. or by open Fact compel, or otherwise procure or maintain any Minister to say any 6 Common Prayer openly, &c. in other Form, or shall by any of the said 6 Means let any Minister to say the said Common Prayer, &c. he shall 6 forfeit one hundred Marks for the first Offence, and four hundred for

the fecond, &c. (which if he pay not (a) within fix Weeks after Con- (a) Whether viction, he shall suffer fix Months Imprisonment for the first Offence, if the Part die within

and twelve for the fecond,) and for the third Offence shall forfeit all his fix weeks,

Goods and Chattels, and shall suffer Imprisonment for Life.'

the faid For-

not discharged; since by the A& of God the Election of paying it, or suffering Imprisonment in lieu of it, is taken away; quare, & vide Dyer 203, 231.

### 6. Of the Offence of teaching School without conforming to the Church.

By the 23 Eliz. cap. 1. par. 6 & 7. it is Enacted, 6 That if any Person or Persons, Body Politick or Corporate, shall keep or maintain any

Schoolmaster who shall not repair to Church according to the Form of

the faid Statute, or be allowed by the Bishop or Ordinary of the Diocese, (who shall not take any thing for the said Allowance,) they shall

forfeit for every Month ten Pounds; and fuch Schoolmaster prefuming

to teach contrary to the faid Act, and being thereof convict, shall be

difabled to be Teacher of Youth, and shall suffer Imprisonment without

Bail or Mainprize for one Year.

And by the 1 Jac. 1. cap. 4. par. 9. it is Enacted, 6 That no Person 6 shall keep any School or be a Schoolmaster out of the Universities or · Colleges of this Realm, except it be in some publick or free Grammar 6 School, or in some such Nobleman's or Noblewoman's, or Gentleman's or Gentlewoman's House, as are not Recusants, or where the same

Schoolmaster shall be specially licensed thereunto by the Archbishop,

Bishop, or Guardian of the Spiritualities of that Diocese, upon Pain that as well the Schoolmaster, as also the Party that shall retain or main-

tain any fuch Schoolmaster contrary to the Meaning of the said Statute,

6 shall forfeit each of them, for every Day so wittingly offending, forty

Shillings."

And note; These Statutes are still in Force as to Persons not within the As for Popists Benefit of the Toleration Act; but as to such Persons they seem to be Schoolmaimpliedly repealed by that Act, and 12 Ann. cap. 7. which obliged School- fters, vide mafters to subscribe the Declaration concerning the Liturgy, and to have Recusant. a Licence from the Bishop, is repealed by 5 Georg. 1. cap. 4.

### 7. Of the Offence in not coming to Church: And herein,

### 1. What forfeitures of Money, Lands, or Goods fuch Diffenders ineur.

By the T Eliz. cap. 2. it is Enacted, 'That all Perfons inhabiting in any of the (7) King's Dominions, having no reasonable Excuse to be (a) An Inabsent, shall endeavour to refort to their Parish Church, &c. or on Let Suit on this thereof to some usual Place where Common Prayer, &c. shall be used, statute need not shew supon every Sunday and Hobday, and then and there orderly abide (b) that the Par- supon every Sunday and Hobday and then supon every Sunday and Hobday, and then and there orderly abide (b) that the Par- supon every Sunday and Hobday and then and there orderly abide (b) that the Par- supon every Sunday and Hobday and then and there orderly abide (b) ty was an Church, and Twelvepence for every Offence. Inhabitant

of the King's Dominions, or that he had no reasonable Excuse to be absent; but the Defendant, if he hath any Matter of this kind in his Favour, must shew it himself. 2 Leon 5. Godb. 148. — Nor need the Offence be alledged in the County where the Party was in truth at the Time, because a meer Nonfeazance, and properly speaking not committed any where. I And. 139. H.b. 251. & vide Leon. 167. (b) A Misbehaviour at Church, or Absence from Morning or Evening Service, is equally punishable with a total Absence; also he who is absent from his own Parish Church shall be obliged to prove where he went to Church. 1 Rol. Rop. 93 Godbolt 148. 1 Sid. 230. (c) If the Spiritual Court ground its Proceedings on this Statute, and refuse to allow a reatonable Excuse, it shall be prohibited; but not where it proceeds meetly on the Canons of the Church. 2 Rol. Rep. 438, 455. 1 Bulf. 159. Gulf. Cod. 358.

> By the 23 Eliz. cap. 1. par. 5. it is Enacted, 'That every Perfon above the Age of fixteen Years, who shall not repair to some Church, Chapel, or usual Place of Common Prayer, but forbear the same contrary to the Tenor of the faid Statute of 1 Eliz. and being thereof lawfully (d) convicted, shall forseit to the King, for every (e) Month which he or she

no more than what ' shall (f) so forbear, (g) twenty Pounds. the Law im-

plies, and therefore there must be a Judgment on the Conviction to cause a Forfeiture. Dyer 160. pl. 40. 11 Co. 57. b. 59. b. 1 Rol. Rep. 89. 233. 3 Bulf. 87. Lutw. 162. — A Condemnation by Demurrer or Nil dicit is as much within the Statute as a Conviction by Verdict. 11 Co. 58. 1 Rol. Rep. 89, 90. (e) Which is to be understood a Lunar Month, or 28 Days, according to the common Rule of expounding Statutes which speak generally of a Month. Telv. 100. Cro. Eliz. 835. 2 Rol. Abr. 521. (f) One fick for Part of the Time shall not be excused, if it be proved that he was a Recusant before and after. Cro. Jac. 529. (g) This Forfeiture of twenty Pounds dispenses not with that of Twelvepence given by I Eliz.

(b) That this perly called, c 3 Lev. 333. Lutav. 203. 2 Mod. 240. and. 294.

(This is

By the (b) 28 Eliz. cap. 6. and 3 Jac. cap. 4. it is Enacted, 6 That every Statute is 6 Offender being convicted of not coming to Church, contrary to the 28th, and 6 Purport of the Statutes above-mentioned, shall pay twenty Pounds for not the 29th, Purport of the Statutes above-mentioned, man pay twenty Pounds for as it is some- every Month after such Conviction, until he shall conform himself, and come to Church; and that if the Offender shall have made Default of Payment of the twenty Pounds both for every Month contained in the 6 Conviction, and also for every Month subsequent during which he shall 6 not conform himself to the Church, the King shall seife, take, and 6 enjoy all his Goods, and two Parts of his Hereditaments, Leases, and Farms, leaving the third Part only of the same Hereditaments, Leases, and Farms to and for the Maintenance and Relief of the same Offender, 6 his Wife, Children, and Family, notwithstanding any prior Conveyance thereof made by such Offender, with Power of Revocation, or to the Use of himself or his Family: Also by the said Statute of 3 Jac. 1. the King may refuse the Penalty of twenty Pounds a Month, tho' it be

6 tendered according to Law, and thereupon feife two Parts of all the 6 Hereditaments, Leafes, and Farms which at the Time of fuch Seizure fhall be, or afterwards shall come to any fuch Offender, or to any other

6 to his Use, or in Trust for him, or at his Disposition, or whereby or in 6 Confideration whereof he or his Family shall be relieved, maintained, or kept, leaving unto him his chief Mansson-House as Part of his third

6 Part.

In the Construction of these Statutes it hath been holden,

1. That the King by making his Election given him by 3 Jac. 1. to 1 Jones 24. scife the Offender's Hereditaments, &c. waves the Benefit of the twenty Cawley 1710 Pounds a Month, and the Power of seising the Offender's Goods.

2. That Bonds, Recognizances, &c. taken in the Offender's own Name, 12 Co. 1, 2. or in the Names of others to his Use, come within the Words all his 1 Leon 98.

Goods, &c.

3. That no Copyhold Lands are within either of the Statutes, by Owen 37. reason of the Prejudice that would accrue thereby to the Lord of the 1 Leon. 97.

4. That the it may be doubtful on the Statute 28 Eliz. whether Lane 105. Lands conveyed in Trust by some Friend for the Recusant may be seifed, Couley 169 yet it is clear that such Lands may be seized by 3 Jac. 1. which expresly 12 Co. 1, 2. provides, that the King upon his waving the Forfeiture of twenty Pounds a Month may feife two Parts of all the Hereditaments, &c. which shall come to any fuch Offenders, or to others to their Use or in Trust for them.

5. But that the King cannot seise Lands of which the Offender is seised Lane 39. in Trust for another, altho' the Statute hath made no express Provision Earl. 466.

for Cestui que Trust.

6. That the Profits of the Lands seised by the King by Force of 29 Cro. Eliz. 845. Eliz. for the Non-payment of the twenty Pounds a Month, ought not 2 Red Rep 25. to be applied to the Satisfaction thereof, but that the Lands ought to  $\frac{Pa.m. 41}{1.7cmes}$  remain in the King's Hands by way of Pledge, till the whole Forfeiture  $\frac{Pa.m. 41}{1.4cwk}$ . P.C. be paid some other Way: But this Construction of the Statute seeming 15. over severe, it was provided by 3 Jac. 1. that the Profits of the said Lands should go towards the Satisfaction of the twenty Pounds.

### 2. In what Manner they are to be proceeded against for those forseitures.

As to the Forfeiture of Twelvepence, it is by the I Eliz. cap. 2. and 3 Fac. 1. cap. 4. Enacted, 'That the faid Forfeiture of Twelvepence for the Absence of a Sunday or Holiday may, on the Confession of the · Party, or Oath of one Witness, &c. be levied on the Goods of the 6 Offender, &c. by the Warrant of a Justice of Peace to the Churchwarden of the Parish where the Party dwells, and employed to the

• Use of the Poor.

As to the Forseiture of twenty Pounds for a Month's Absence by the For the Ex-23 Eliz. cap. 1. 28 Eliz. and 3 Jac. 1. cap. 4. The fame may be reco-position of vered by Indictment not only in the Court of King's Bench, but also of these States before Justices of Over, Assiste, Gaol-Delivery, and Quarter-Sessions of these States the Peace: And by the 3 fac. 1. cep. 4. par. 7. it is Enacted, That Mack P.C. upon an Indictment at the Affiles, Gaol-Delivery, or General Seffions 16, 17. 6 of the Peace, Proclamation shall be made, that the Offender render · himfelf to the Sheriff before the next Assises, Gaol-Delivery, or Sessions; and that if he shall not then appear, of Record upon such Default recorded, the same shall be a Conviction in Law, as if a Trial by Vcrfidict on the Indictment had been recorded: And by the faid Statute every such Conviction shall be certified into the Exchequer. By the 35 Eliz. cap. 1. par. 10. it is Enacted, 'That all and every the 6 faid Pains, Duties, Forfeitures, and Payments shall and may be reco-

e vered and levied to her Majesty's Use, by Action of Debt, Bill, Plaint, "Information, or otherwife, in any of the Courts commonly called the 6 King's Bench, Common Pleas, or Exchequer, in fuch fort and in all 6 respects, as by the ordinary Course of the Common Laws of this Realm any other Debt due by any fuch Person in any other Case should or

may be recovered, or levied, wherein no Effoin, Protection, or Wager of Law shall be admitted or allowed.

By the 20 Eliz. cap. 6. and 3 fac. t. Every such Offender, being once convicted, shall for every Month after such Conviction, without any other Indictment or Conviction, pay into the Exchequer twice in the Year, viz. in every Easter and Michaelmas Term, as much as

's shall then remain unpaid, after the Rate of Twenty Pounds for every 6 Month after a Conviction; and that for a Default herein, the King 6 may feife all the Goods and two Parts of the Hereditaments of such

an Offender, &c.

### 3. What other Inconveniencies they are subject to.

By the 1 7ac. 1. cap. 4. par. 8. it is enacted, That no Recusant conwict shall practife either the Common or Civil Law, or Physick, or use the Trade of an Apothecary, or be Judge or Minister of any Court, or bear any Office in Camp, Troop, or Company of Soldiers,

or in any Ship or Fortress, but shall be utterly disabled for the same,

and forfeit for every such Offence 100 L.

And it is further enacted, par. 22. That fuch Recufants as shall be convicted at the Time of the Death of the Testator, or at the Time of granting of any Administration, shall be disabled to be Executors or Administrators, and that no such Persons shall be Guardians

to any Child.

And by the 23 Eliz. cap. 1. it is enacted, 6 That every Person, for-6 bearing the Church twelve Months, shall on Certificate thereof into 6 the King's Bench, by the Ordinary, a Justice of Assise and Gaol-Deli-6 very, or a Justice of Peace of the County where such Offender shall

' dwell or be, be bound with two sufficient Sureties in the Sum of Two 6 Hundred Pounds, at the least, to the good Behaviour, and so con-

6 tinue bound until such Offender shall conform himself, &c.

### 4. By what Means they may be discharged.

By the 23 Eliz. par. 10. it is enacted, 'That every Person guilty of the abovementioned Offences, who shall, before he be thereof indicted, or at his Arraignment or Trial before Judgment, submit and conform

6 himself before the Bishop of the Diocese where he shall be resident,

or before the Justices where he shall be indicted, arraigned or tried, 6 (having not before made like Submission at any his Trial, being in-

6 dicted for his first like Offence) shall, upon his Recognition of such 6 Submission, in open Assises or Sessions of the County where such Person

6 shall be resident, be discharged of all and every the said Offences against the said Statute, &s.

And by the 29 Eliz. cap. 6. par. 6. 'That whenfoever any fuch Of-<sup>6</sup> fender shall make Submission, and become conformable according to the

Form limited by the abovementioned Statute of 23 Eliz. cap. — or 6 shall fortune to die, that then no Forseiture of 201. for any Month,

or Seisure of the Lands of the same Offender, from and after such Submission and Conformity, or Death, and full Satisfaction of all the

' Arrearages of twenty Pounds Monthly, before such Seisure due or ' payable, shall ensue, or be continued against such Offender, so long as the same Person shall continue in coming to Divine Service, according

to the Intent of the faid Statute.

By the I Jac. I. cap. 4. it is enacted, & That Recufant conforming humself according to the Meaning of the abovementioned Statutes, &c.

finall, during fuch Conformity, be (a) discharged of all Penalties which (a) And may

he might otherwise sustain by reason of his Recusancy.

plead his Conformity

to a Suit either by the Informer or King, and even after Judgment may have an Audita Querela against the Informer; also he may plead it after a Judgment for the King, before Execution awarded; but after Execution hath been awarded for the King, or the Profits of his Lunds on a Scifure have been actually taken to the King's Use, he hath no other Remedy but by Petition to the King. Raym. 391. 2 Jon. 187. 1 Mod. 213.

If the Heir of a Recufant be a Conformist, he is discharged by 1 Jac. 1. cap. 4. as to all Penalties happening by reason of his Ancestor's Recu-sancy, unless two Parts of his Lands were seised by the King in his Ancestor's Life, in which case they shall continue in the King's Hands till the whole Debt be levied.

#### 5. How far a Person is punishable soz suffering such Absence in others.

By the 1 7ac. 1. cap. 4. 6 Whoever shall keep in his Service, Fee or Livery, or willingly maintain, &c. in his House any Servant, Sojourner

or Stranger, (except a Parent, wanting, without Fraud, other Habita-

• tion or Maintenance, and except a Ward, &c. who shall forbear going

6 to Church, &c. for a Month, shall for every such Month forfeit 101.

#### 8. Of Offences against the Established Church by Protefant Dissenters.

By 31 Eliz. cap.1. Obstinate Nonconformists were compellable to 6 abjure the Realm, and were also subject to other Penalties; and Dis-

fenters were farther restrained by 17 Car. 2. tap. — & 22 Car. 2. cap. 1. but at this Day by 1 W. & M. cap. 13. all Perions diffenting from the

Church, (except Papists, and those who shall in Preaching or Writing deny the Doctrine of the Trinity) are exempted from all Penal Laws

6 relating to Religion, except 25 Car. 2. cap. 2. (by which all Officers of Trust are bound to receive the Sacrament according to the Usage of

6 the Church of England, and also to take the Oaths of Allegiance and 6 Supremacy, and the Test;) and also, except 30 Car. 2. cap. 1. (by 6 which the Members of both Houses of Parliament, and all the King's

6 fworn Servants, are bound to make a Declaration against Transubstan-

stiation, and the Invocation of Saints, and the Sacrifice of the Mass)

e provided such Dissenters take the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, and make the said Declaration against Transfubstantiation, &c. and

6 come to some Congregation for Religious Worship in some Place Re-

' gistered, either in the Bishop's Court, or at Sessions, the Doors where-

6 of shall neither be locked, barred nor bolted.

Also by the said Statute 1 W. & M. 'Dissenting Teachers are tolerated, ' if they take the faid Oaths, &c. at the General or Quarter-Seffions, to

be held for the Place where fuch Perfons live, and fubferibe the Thirty-

' nine Articles of the Church of England, except those few scrupled ones concerning Church Government and Infant Baptism; and by 10 Ann.

6 cap. 2. they may qualify themselves as well during a Prosecution upon

e any Penal Statute as before, and being qualified in one County may Vid Salk 572. 6 officiate in another, upon producing a Certificate, and taking the faid

Oaths, &c. if required.

And by the Statute 1 W. & M. 'Those who scruple the taking of any

- Oath are within the like Indulgence, provided they subscribe the afore-
- 6 faid Declaration, and also a Declaration of Fidelity to the King, and against the deposing Doctrine and Papal Supremacy, and also profess
- their Faith in God the Father, and Jesus Christ his eternal Son, the true God and the Holy Spirit, one God for evermore; and acknow-

- e ledge the Holy Scriptures of the Old and New Testament to be given

by Divine Inspiration.

3 Lev. 376.

It has been holden, fince this Statute, a Prohibition lies to the Spiritual Court proceeding against Persons for Incontinency who have been married in a licenfed Conventicle.

By the 5 Geor. 1. cap. 4. it is enacted, 'That if any Magistrate shall be knowingly present at any publick Meeting for Religious Worship, other than the Church of England, in the peculiar Habit of, or at-

- tended with, the Enfigns belonging to his Office, he shall be disabled
- 6 to hold fuch Office, and adjudged incapable to bear any publick Office
- or Employment whatfoever.

# Heriot.

- (A) Of the Oxiginal and Nature of Heriots.
- (B) Of the several Kinds, and where an Beriot hall be faid to be due: And herein,
  - 1. Where an Heriot shall be faid to be due by Custom.
  - 2. Where an Heriot shall be faid to be due by Tenure or Refervation.
- (C) Of the Remedies to be purfued for the Recovery of an Heriot where it is due.

## (A) Of the Diginal and Nature of Heriots.

HE Heriot Duty is thought by our best Antiquaries to be far S. elm. 287. more ancient, and to differ from (a) Relief, the Original (a) Relief bewhereof feems to be thus.

Manner;

when the Feuds were only for Life, yet if the Tenant had any Son or Relation fit for the Service, the Tenant would recommend him to the Lord, and the Lord would generally let him in on better Terms than any other; and thus began the Payment of Money on new Admittances, which when the Feud became inheritable, was turned into a Sum certain, and was called a Relef, being originally a charitable Benignity to the Heir to admit him tho he paid not the full Value of the Land. See Wright of Tenures 97, &c. — And Fleta' thus defines a Heriot: Heriettum est quedam prestatio uli tenens liber, vel Servus in morte sua Dominum suum respicit de meliori averio suo vel de Secundo meliori, que quidem prestatio magis sit de gratia quam de jure, & nullum babet comparationem ad relevium, eo quod lected non contingit quia sastum est Anteressoris. Fleta, lib. 4. cap. 18. — My Lord Coke says, that Heriots are very ancient, and that they were preferred to Mortuaries, the Lord being intitled to the best Reast, and second was due as a Mortuarie. Beast, and second was due as a Mortuary. Co. Lit. 185. b.

Anciently when the Tenures were Military and for Life only, the Stelm. Glef. Arms and War-Horse of the Tenant, upon his Death, went, together 287. with the Land, to the Lord, being due to him, as having been purchased for 60. out of the Profits of the Land, or as having been originally granted by Britton 178. the Lord for publick Defence, and therefore belonged to the Lord that he might bestow them on the succeeding Tenant for the like Service; but when the Feud became inheritable, the Reason of the Heriot ceased, and then the Arms and War-Horse went to the Heir who succeeded to the Land; yet in some Manors the Custom of the Heriot was by particular Agreement retained, or the Lord referved it as Parcel of his Tenure; and tho' originally the Heriot was the (b) best Horse, yet it came in (b) That in Time to be the best Beast; for the Tenants, to disappoint their Lords, the Saxon Language would often fell their Arms and Horses, and then of Necessity a Law the Word was made that the Lord might take the best Beast in lieu of them, and Heriot sig-

mour, Weapons or Provision, and was a Tribute of old given to the Lord of a Manor for his better Preparation towards War; and therefore at their first Institution were paid in Arms and Habiliments of War. Fortesc. in the Preface to Absolute and Limited Monarchy 57.

so the Heriot came to be esteemed the best Beast ever after; and as it arose by Custom, or Tenure, after the Feud became inheritable; hence (a) Vide we find in fome Manors a Cultom of paying it in (a) Goods, and in Kitchin 133. fome in Money.

Spelm. 287. an Account of thefe Laws, and amongst otina fierit n-

It appears not only from Spelman's Conjectures, but likewise from (b) In Lam- the (b) Laws themselves of King Canntus, that the Danes were the first best we have Inventers of Heriots, and that it was a Political Institution of theirs, whereby the Danish Tenants were to hold by Military Service, and their Arms and Horses, at their Deaths, to revert to the Publick; and by that Means putting the whole Strength and Defence of the Kingdom into thers that which follows: Si quis provement of the Nation to the English; tho' thereby they enjoyed greater Freedom and Immunities in their Tenures than the Danish Tenures the Danish Tenures the Danish Tenures the Danish T

tessat' Mortuus, Dom nus tamen nullam rerum suarum partem (praterquam qua de jure debetur Herriotti no-nine) sibi Assaulte, verum eas judicio suo unori, Liberis & Cognatione Prezimis juste pro suo cuique sure d stri-buito. Lamb. Sax. Luws 119. —— And in Co. Lit. 185. b. the same Law cited.

## (B) Of the several Kinds, and where an he= riot shall be said to be due: And herein,

#### 1. Where an Priot hall be said to be due by Custom.

Dyer 109. b. Bro. Tit. Heriot 2, 3.

S to the feveral Kinds of Heriots, some are due by Custom, some As to the leveral kinds of Fiction, some by Tenure, and some by Reservation on Deeds executed within Time of Memory; those due by Custom are the most frequent, and arose by the Contract or Agreement of the Lord and Tenant, in Confideration of some Benefit or Advantage accruing to the Tenant, and for which an Heriot, as the best Beast, best Piece of Houshold Furniture, &c. became due, and belonged to the Lord either on the Death (1) That an or (c) Alienation of the Tenant, and which the Lord may feife either

within the Manor or without, at his Election. Heriot may be as well due by Custom upon an Alienation of the Tenant, as by his Death. 8 Co. 106. a. Palm. 342.

Dyer 199. b. Dav. 33. 2 And. 153. I Rol. Abr. 561.

But tho' a Custom, that the Lord shall have the best Beast, &c. of his Tenant who dies is good, yet a Custom or Prescription to have a Heriot of every Stranger dying within such a Manor is void, because it cannot have a reasonable Commencement between the Lord and a Stranger, 4 Mod. 43th tho' it may between him and his Tenants.

Moor 16. pl. N. Bendl.

So a Custom or Prescription to have a Heriot, viz. the best Beast of 58. adjudged his Tenant, and if it be effoigned before the Lord (d) feises it, that then he may take the Beast of any other Person Levant and Couchant upon 112. 11. 147. the Land, is unreasonable and void.

adjudged. 4 Mod. 431. eired. (d) But the Cattle of a Stranger may be distrained, the not seised. N. Bendl. 302. pl. 294. Dalf. 61. Owen 146. March 165. & vide infra, Letter (C).

7 H. 6. 26 b. riot, 3. Bro. Cuftoni, 22. 1 Rol. Abr.

567. S. C.

If the Custom be, that the Lord ought to have the best Beast as an Bro. Tit. He Heriot of him that dies his Tenant, and the Parson of the Parish the fecond best Beast as a Mortuary; if the Tenant holds two several Tenements of the Lord, subject to the Custom within the Parish, the Lord shall have the two best Beasts within the Intent of the Custom, and the Parson the third.

A

A Copyholder for Life, where the Custom is, that if the Tenant died March 23. feifed a Heriot should be paid, dies disseised or ousted, the Lord having Norrice and first granted the Seignory to A. for 99 Years, if the Tenant should so increase, 2 Rol. Abr. 720 long live, Remainder to B for 4000 Years; and herein two Questions S. C. were made: 1st, Whether any Heriot should be paid, because the Copyholder did not die seised: And as to this, the Court held clearly, that a Heriot was due and payable; for notwithstanding the Ouster and Disseifin, he still continued legal Tenant, and such Disseisin might have been by Combination to defeat the Lord of his Heriot. The fecond Question was (a), to whom the Heriot should be paid: And as to this, the Court Heriot shall held clearly, that the Remainder Man for 4000 Years could have no Right go with the to it, because the Copyholder was never his Tenant; and as to the Reversion, Grantee for 99 Years, Barkley Justice was of Opinion, that it belonged Winch 57. to him; but hereof Jones Justice (they two only being in Court) doubt- and always ed, because that co instante the Tenant died, eadem instante the Estate of thereto, the Grantee for 99 Years was determined.

If by the Custom of a Copyhold Manor the Lord may grant a Copy- 6 Med 63. Fr. hold to three Fersons, to hold to them successive ficut nominantur in Charta, 1 Salk 185. & non alibi, for their Lives, and that on the Death of every Tenant the S. C. Lord should have his best Beatt for an Heriot, and a Grant is made to Penhallow. 7. S. and his Assigns, to hold to him for his own Life and the Lives of two others; this at least is a good Grant for the Life of 7. S. tho' not ftrictly purfuant to the Custom, and the Lord on his Death shall have an Heriot; but he cannot have an Heriot on the Death of the Cefful que  $V_{tes}(b)$ , because they were never his Tenants.

a Bill was

exhibited in Chancery to discover the best Beast of Costai que Trust of a College Lease, and the Defendant demurred thereto, because the best Bealt of Ceffui que Trust could not be taken for a Heriot; alfo it appeared by the Plaintiff's own shewing, that the Tenants who had the Estate in Law in them were still living; and the Demurrer was allowed. 1 Vern. 441.

If a Copyholder for Life, on whose Death the Lord is intitled to a 1 Salk. 1894 Heriot, becomes a Bankrupt, and the Copyhold is assigned to the Creditors, this Transmutation of the Tenant by Act of Parliament shall not work a Prejudice to the Lord; but the Lord shall, on the Death of the (c) Copyholder, have an Heriot.

Death of the Assignee, & Mod. 63. but anare-

If an Heriot be due by Custom of the Manor, viz. that upon the 8 Co. 106. Death of every Tenant of the Manor the Lord shall have an Heriot, if 2 Brown 296. the Lord purchases Parcel of the Tenancy it shall not extinguish the Custom; because the Lord has only purchased Part, and the Tenant, on account of the Residue, is still within the Lord's Homage, and Tenant of his Manor; and consequently upon his Death, as upon the Death of every other Tenant of the Manor, the Lord is intitled to the Heriot.

But if the Heriot were due by Tenure or Heriot-Service, and the § Co. 104. Lord had purchased Parcel of the Tenancy, the whole Heriot-Service 6 Co. 1. had been extinct; for being intire, it cannot, from the Nature of the Co.Lit. 149 a. Thing, be apportioned, and the Tenant shall be discharged from the Payment of it: For the whole Tenancy being equally chargeable with the Payment of such Service, the Lord by his own Act shall not discharge Part, and throw the whole Burden upon the Residue, for his own private Benefit and Advantage.

Tenant aliens Part of the Tenancy, the Alienee shall hold by a distinct Co.Lit. 149 b. Tenant aliens Part of the Tenancy, the research multiplied; and (d) That if
the Tenure

be by Homage, Fcalty, and a Horse, Hawk, or Spur, if the Tenant aliens Part, the Services shall multiply, and both Feoffer and Feoffee shall pay each of them a Horse and a Spur to the Lord; but if the Tenure had been by any corporal Service, as to be Butler to the Lord, Steward or Bailist of his Manor, or to cover or repair his Houle, or to reap or thresh his Corn, in all these Cases upon Alienation of Part, such personal Services shall not multiply. Co. Lit. 149. 6 Co. 1. Bruerton's Case, Plow. 243. b. Vol. III. Vol. III.

2 Lutev. 1367.

(a) So where

if after fuch Alienation the Lord purchases the Residue of the Tenancy, only the Heriot-Service due from the first Tenant shall be extinguished; because by the Alienation each held his Proportion by a separate and distinct Tenure; and therefore if the Lord purchases one Tenancy, that can no way affect the Services of his other Tenant; but if the Lord, before the Tenancy had been separated and had been held by two distinct Tenures, had purchased Part of it, the whole Heriot-Service had been extinct, for the Reasons above-mentioned.

Palm. 342.

If by the Custom of a Manor every Copyholder, upon his Alienation Snag ver. Fax. and Surrender, is to pay a Heriot to the Lord, and a Copyholder furrenders Part of his Copyhold to one, and Part to another, and retains Part in his own Hands, the Heriots in this Case shall be multiplied; and as to the first Alienation, the Heriot shall be paid by the Copyholder who aliened, because he still continued Tenant to the Lord, and so upon the Alienation of every other Tenant totres quoties; for otherwise it might be in the Power of the Copyholder intirely to defeat the Lord of his Heriot.

6 Co. 37. Cro. 7.1. 76, 77. Alvor 759. Dean and Chapter of 64. S. C. cited.

The Dean and Chapter of Worcefter were seised of the Manor of H. in Fee in Right of their Church, of which Manor one G. was Copyholder for Life under the antient Rent of 8 s. and 8 d. payable at the four Quarter-Days of the Year, and Heriotable at the Death of the Worcester. Tenant, and the Copynoids of that trained and Chapter by Indenture under their com-A. B. and C. and the Survivor of them, rendering 8 s. and 8 d. halfyearly, and without Refervation of any Heriot; and after this Leafe made the Dean dies, and his Successor and the Chapter enter to avoid this Lease, upon the 13 Eliz. (amongst other Reasons) because the antient Rent was not referved, by reason of the Loss of the Heriot: But the Leafe was adjudged good, and that it should bind the Successor. For the 13 Eliz. does not avoid any Leafe, if the accustomed Rent or more be referved; and here the accustomed Rent is referved, and the Omission or Loss of the Herior is not material, because that was not a Thing annual or depending upon the Rent, but perfectly casual and accidental.

#### 2. Where an Periot Wall be faid to be due by Tenure or Reservation.

Ploco. 96. Brs. Tit. Heriot 2.

It has been already observed, that when the Feud became inheritable the Heriot was still continued by Custom, or the Lord referred it as Parcel of his Tenure, and then he might either seise or distrain for the fame as he might do for any other Feudal Service.

Keilw. 84. pl. 8. (a) But by Dodderidge it does not become due by the Death of the Wife, because the Wife can

If a Feme Tenant by Fealty, certain Rent, and Heriot-Service dies, leaving a Husband Tenant by the Curtefy, the Heriot becomes due by the Death of the (a) Wife, tho' the Lord need not distrain for it till after the Death of the Husband; but if he distrains for it after the Death of the Husband, it is not fufficient for him to alledge Seifin of the Services by the Hands of the Tenant by the Curtefy; for fuch Seifin can no more bind the Heir, than the Seisin of any other Tenant for Life, who has no Body's Estate but his own.

have no Property. 4 Leon. 234.

I Mod. 216. 2 Mod. 93. S. C. Ingram and Tothil.

A Man made a Leafe for 99 Years, if A. B. and C. should so long live, rendering an Heriot after the Death of each of them successively as they were all three named in the Deed; the last named died first; and if an Heriot should be paid, was the Question. It was objected, that the

Refervation being upon the Death of the three fuccessively, the Lessor was contented to trust to that Contingency: But as to this Point the Court gave no Opinion; but Judgment was given against the Ayowant

for other Faults in the Fleadings.

In Covenant the Plaintiff sets forth a Lease made to the Defendant for 2 Sand. 161. 90 Years, if 7. and S. should so long live, which Lease was to commence 1 Vent. 9, 91, 1 Lev. 294. atter the End, Forseiture, Surrender, or other Determination of another 1 Sid. 437. I case for 99 Years, if A. and B. did so long live, & post Principium 2 Keb. 677. inde reddendo & folvendo 10 l. Rent per Ann. and also one Capon every S.C. between Christmas, ac etiam reddendo & folvendo to the Lord the chief Rent, and Kerne. also rendering and paying at the Death of  $\mathcal{F}$ , or  $\mathcal{S}$ . or either of them,  $3\mathcal{L}$  in the Name of an Heriot, and also doing several Days Work with his Team at such Days in the Year as was therein appointed; he saith, that 7. is dead, and that S. is living, and that the Defendant according to his Covenants hath not paid the 3 l. &c. and, upon Demurrer, the Question was, whether the 3 l. was payable before the Lease took Effect. Keling C. J. was of Opinion, 1st, That a Reservation being in lieu of the Profits, the other Reservations (tho' there had been no such Thing expressed as post Principium inde) they must not have begun till the Lease had come into Possession. 2dly, That this 3 l. is a Sum in gross, and could not have been distrained for, being only an Agreement of the Parties that a Sum of Money shall be paid at the Death of J. and S. or either of them, like an Agreement to pay a Fine; and being such an Agreement shall be paid, tho' the Lease never take Effect; neither is it material what other Refervations it comes in Company with, for no Body shall make an Interpretation of the express Words of the Party. But the other three Judges were of Opinion, that the 3 l. in the Name of an Heriot was not to be paid upon any Death that fell out before the Lease came into Possession; for tho' it be appointed to be paid after the Death of 7. and S. or either of them, yet that must be understood secundum subjectam materiam, viz. if their Death happen within the Term; for till the former Lease expire, this is a future Interest, and then the Lessor hath no Reversion, and the Lessee has no Term; and how then can a Heriot be payable? for a Heriot by Refervation is in the Nature of a Rent, and may be diffrained for as well as any other. 2dly, (a) Covenants must be expounded according to the Intentions of the (a) For this Parties, which are to be collected from the Nature of the Grant on which vide Hob. 275, they depend, and of other Covenants which come in Company with Dyer 371. them; and therefore the Refervation of 3 l. in the Name of an Heriot 377. pl. 57. being upon Account of the Term, and the Term not being yet come in 10 Co. 107. Effe, and also being joined with other Reservations, none of which were to begin till post Principium of the Term, this must have the same Construction too, and must not commence before the Term.

If to an Avowry for Heriot Custom or Service the Party pleads in Hob. 176. Bar, that the Tenant at the Time of his Death nulla habet Animalia, Hutt. 4, 5 this, as to its being a good Plea, is left a Quere by Hobert and Hutton; Shaw tho' the latter Book feems to hold it a good Plea, and that it will bar the Lord, especially (b) if there was no fraudulent Disposition to defeat the (b) The Lord Lord of his Heriot; in which Case he has his Remedy by Force of the shall have Statute (c) 13 Eliz.

nant devises away all his Goods. Co. Lit. 158. b. (c) An Action brought on this Statute by the Lord against a Person being Party to a fraudulent Disposition, in order to defeat a Lord of his Heriot-2 Leon. 8.

## (C) Df the Remedies to be pursued for the Recovery of an Heriot When it is due.

Bro. Tit. Heriot, 2, 2. Keilav. Sz.

I T feems to have been always agreed, that for an Heriot-Custom the Lord might seise the best Beast of the Tenant, or whatever else was due as an Heriot, wherever he could find it.

Doffer and logue 2. cap. 9. jl. 47. Keilw. S2.

But according to fome antient Opinions, the Lord could only distrain, Student, Dia- but not seise for an Heriot-Service; because, say they, it lies in Render, N. Eendl. 30. and not Prender; also the Form of Pleading is, that he was seised thereof by the Hands of his Tenant, which would be abfurd, if the Lord had fuch a Property therein that he might feife it as his own.

Plow. 96. adjudged. Cro. Eliz 589. Odiham and Smith, S.P. acjudged in B. R. on a Writ of Error of a Judgment to the contrary in C.B.

But it hath been folemnly adjudged, that for a Heriot-Service, or for a Heriot referved by way of Tenure, the Lord may either feife or distrain; for when the Tenant agrees that the Lord shall on his Death have his best Beast, &c. the Lord hath his Election which Beast he will take, and by feifing thereof reduces that to his Bossession, wherein he had a Property at the Death of the Tenant, without the concurring Act of any other Person; and it is not like the Case where the Lessor reserves 20 s. or a Robe, for there the Lessee has his Election which he will pay, and being to do the first Act, the Lord cannot seife, but must distrain.

Meer 540. S. C. adjudged accordingly in B. R. on a Conference with the Judges of the Court of C. B. 1 And. 298. S. C. as adjudged in C. B.

Cro Car. 260. Also the Lord may either seise or distrain for an Heriot-Service, (a) This must vet he can only seife the (a) proper Beast of the Tenant; but he may be the very (b) distrain any Man's Beasts which are upon the Land, and retain them Beaft of the until the Heriot be paid.

3 Med 231. (b) For this vide Dalf. 61. Owen 146. March 165. N. Bendl 302. pl. 294. Lit. Rep. 35.

So it hath been ruled, that for a Heriot-Custom or Service the Lord 1 Salk 356. Austin and may feife as well in the Manor as out (c); but if he distrains, it must be Bennet per in the Manor. Cur. 1 Show.

S1. S. P. 3 Mod. 231. S. P. arguendo. (1) For a Herior-Custom the Lord may seise in the Highway, for that is no Distress, but a Scizure; but he cannot distrain for a Heriot-Service there. 2 Infl. 132.

1 Stown SI. But it is faid, that this Liberty must be understood to be annexed to 3 Mod. 231. S. C. ad-

antient Tenures, on which the Lords had many Privileges, and not to (d) 2 Lutw. particular Refervations; and therefore (d) where a Lease was made of 1366. Oshorne Land for no Vegre if A and D for the land for the land of the land be extended to those which are created within Time of Memory, upon 1366. Oshorne Land for 99 Years, if A. and B. should so long live, reserving a yearly 3 Mod. 230. Rent and an Heriot, or 40 s. in lieu thereof, after the Death of either of them, provided that no Heriot shall be paid after the Death of A. journed into living B. and A. and B. being both dead, and consequently the Lease quer, & vide (e) determined; the Court was divided in Opinion, whether the Lessor Mod. 217. could (f) distrain for the Heriot or not.

2 Mod. 93. (e) That after the Determination of the Lease the Lessor cannot distrain, Co. Lit. 47. 6 Co 64. but for this vice Title Rents, and the Statute of the S Anne. (f) But may have an Action of Covenant. 2 Sand. 165.

If the Tenure be by Rent and Heriot-Service, viz. to have the best Cro Car. 260. Major and Beaft after the Death of the Tenant, and the Lord diffrains for the He-Brandewood

adjudged, and two Precedents cited to the same Purpose, 1 Jones 300. S. C. adjudged, and the same Precedents taken Notice of; but there faid, that there were divers Precedents in which the best Beast is precifely avowed, and this by the Reporter is faid to be the best Way, when it can be known, tho the other is fufficient: - But in Hob. 176 Shaw and Taylor, for this Incertainty in the Avowry Judgment was given against the Lord. Hutt. 4. S. C. and S. P. adjudged as in Hobart.

riot,

riot, he need not in his Avowry shew which was the best Beast which he was intitled to, nor of what Value it was; for the Tenant might have essoigned the Cattle, and thereby it might be impossible for the Lord to know which was the best Beast; and the Tenant at his Peril

is to render the best Beast, or sufficient Recompence.

If in Replevin the Defendant avows for an Heriot upon a Lease made Cro. Car. 3130 by Indenture to A. his Executors and Assigns, for 99 Years, if the said Randal and A. B. and C. or any of them, should so long live, rendering Rent, and Scory: But rendering and paying after the Death of the said A. his Executors and as reported Assigns, his or their best Beast for an Heriot, or 50 s. at the Election of in Hetley 57, the Lessor, his Heirs or Assigns, and A. assigns to J. S. and dies, on and 2 Rol. whom the Lessor distrains; and upon Oyer of the Indenture it appears, Abr. 451 that the Clause for the Heriot was rendering, and paying to the Lessor, his Heirs and Assigns, after the Death of the said A. B. and C. and every of them, his or their best B ass in the Name of an Heriot, or 50 s. &c. This Variance is satal; for tho' the Lessor be intitled to an Heriot on the Death of A. B. or C. yet ought he to have set it forth according to the Indenture, and not to have avowed for an Heriot after the Death of A. his Executors and Assigns, when there are no Words which make an Heriot payable on the Death of the Executors or Assigns.

If an Heriot be due by Custom from every Tenant dying seised, the 1 Bulf. 101

Lord need not alledge what Estate the Tenant died seised of.

But where a Person would intitle himself as Devisee of a Reversion Cro. El. 2. 5300 after a Lease on which an Heriot is reserved, he ought to shew of what Dyer 229. Estate the Devisor was seised at the Time of making his Will, and (a) 1 Sid. 265. that he died seised of such Estate; for if disseised before his Death, the 217. Will could not operate.

# Highways.

- (A) Of the several Kinds, and what Gall be said a Pighway.
- (B) To whom the Highway and Soil belong.
- (C) Who hath a Right to a Way, and how he must claim it.
- (D) Whether a Highway may be changed.
- (E) Of Stopping a Pighway, and other Pulances therein.
- (F) Who are obliged to repair a May by the Common Law; and therein where a Person Wall be said stable by reason of Inclosure, Tenure, or Prescription.
  - (G) Of the Provision for Repairing the Pighways by several Acts of Parliament.
- (H) How the Parties obliged to repair are to be proceeded against: and what Defence they may make.

Yol. III. P. (A) Df

## (A) Of the several Kinds, and what shall be said a Highway.

(a) That without any Refervation of Tenure, &c. the Trinoda Necessitas lay upon Contribu-

T feems that (a) antiently there were but four Highways in England, which were free and common to all the King's Subjects, and thro' which they might pass without any Toll, unless there was a particular Confideration for it; all others, which we have at this Day, are supposed to have been made thro' private Persons Grounds, on a Writ of Ad quod Damnum, &c. which being an Injury to the Owner of all Lands in the Soil, it is (b) faid that they may prescribe for Toll without any spe-England, viz. cial Confideration.

tions against Invasions, to the Highways, and to Bridges. (b) 1 Mod. 231. 2 Med. 143.

Co. Lit. 56. a.

There are, fays my Lord Coke, at this Day three Kinds of Ways: 1. A Footway, called in Latin Iter. 2. A Pack and Primeway, which is both a Horse and a Footway, called in Latin Adus. 3. A Cartway, called in Latin Via or Aditus, which contains the other two, and also a Cartway, and is called Via Regia, if it be common to all Men; and Communis Strata, if it belong only to some Town or private Person.

Palm 389. 664. 1 Vent. 189. 208. 3 Keb. 28. Co. Lit. 56. 6 Mod. 255 I Hawk. P.C.

But notwithstanding these Distinctions, it seems that any of the said Cro. Eliz. 63. Ways which is common to all the King's Subjects, whether it laid directly to a Market-Town, or only from Town to Town, may properly be called a Highway, and that any fuch Cartway may be called the King's Highway; and that a River common to all Men may also be called a Highway; and that Nusances in any of the said Ways are punishable by Indictment; for otherwise they would not be punished at all: For they are not actionable unless they cause a special Damage to some particular Perfon; because if such Action would lie, a Multiplicity of Suits would enfue. But it feems that a Way to a Parish Church, or to the common Fields of a Town, or to a Village, which terminates there, may be called a Private Way, because it belongs not to all the King's Subjects, but only to the particular Inhabitants of fuch Parish, House, or Village, each of which, as it feems, may have an Action for a Nusance therein.

If Paffengers have used Time out of Mind, when the Roads are bad, to go by Outlets on the Land adjoining to a Highway in an open Field, Cro. Car. 366. fuch Outlets are Parcel of the Highway; and therefore if they be fown with Corn, and the Tract foundrous, the King's Subjects may go upon

the Corn.

I Rol. Abr.

## (B) To whom the Highway and Soil belong.

HO' every Highway is faid to be the King's, yet this must be understood so as that in every Highway the King and his Subjects may 2 E. 4. 9 A Rol. Abr. pass and repass at their Pleasure. 392.

But the Freehold, and all the Profits, as Trees, &c. belong to the (b) 1 Rol. Abr. Lord of the Soil, or to the Owner of the Lands on both Sides the Way. 392. (b) That if

Trees grow upon the Highway, he to whom the Seignory of the Leet of the same Place doth belong, shall have the Trees. I Rol. Abr. 392.

8 E. 4. 9. Also the Lord or Owner of the Soil shall have an Action of Trespais I Rol. Abr. for digging the Ground. 392. But

But the Lord of a Rape, within which there are ten Hundreds, may 1 Rol. Abr. prescribe to have all the Trees growing within any Highway within this 392.

The property of the Manner of Soil adjoining belongs to question For Highest Brownl. 42, Rape, tho' the Manor or Soil adjoining belongs to another: For Ufage Kelw. 141. to take the Trees is good Badge of Ownership.

## (C) Who hath a Right to a Way, and how he muse claim it.

Man may have a Way either by Prescription, by Grant, by Reser- 1 Vent. 2-4. k vation, by Implication, or by Owelty of Partition, and shall not in 2 Lev. 148. a Car. Claudend, be obliged to shew which Way he claims it; but it will 3 Kek. 528, be sufficient for him to alledge debet 88 solet 88c, but in a Bar or Barl: 531. St. foin be sufficient for him to alledge debet & folet, &c. but in a Bar or Repli- ver. Moody. cation he must shew his Title precisely.

But he who prescribes for a Way, must shew in certain (a) whether it tel. 163. adis a Foot, Horse, or Cartway. Demurier.

(a) If a Man prescribes habere Viam tam Pedestrem quam Equestrem pro omnibus Carriagiis, by this he shall not have a Cartway; for every Prescription is Stricti Juris, per 3 Lein. 13. per Leonard Prothonotary, which Dyer conceived to be Law, and therefore said it was good to prescribe habere Viam pro omnibus Carriagiis generally, without speaking of Horseway, Cartway, or other Way.

If in Bar of an Action of Trespass the Desendant pleads that J. S. Yelv. 163. and all those whose Estate he hath in certain Lands, for themselves and adjudged. their Servants, Time out of Mind have had and used a Way in, per & trans the Place where, &c. (b) to the faid Lands, this is no good Plea, (b) Where because it is not (c) shewed (d) a quo Loco the said Way is claimed, and that prethe rather, because it is claimed by Prescription, which ought not to be way us que

Way us que laid in certo Loco. talem Clau-

fum muit shew his Interest in the Close; but otherwise where be prescribes for a Way usque talem Campum, for that may be intended a common Field. Latch 160. (c) In an Indistment for an Incroachment upon, or not repairing the Highway, it need not be shewn, fer 2 Keb. 715, 728.—but vide 2 Rol. Ahr. 81. pl. 18. cont. and that it is a common Exception, and several Indistments have been qualited for it; - fo it need not be shewed where in pleading an Highway is named only as an Abuttal, and is not the Foundation of the Plea. Palm. 421. (d) It must be shewed a quo loso ad quem, because you must not go over any Ground but to the right Place. Hoh. 190. yet vide 2 Rol. Rep. 134. and such Desect is helped where Issue is joined and tried upon the Right of the Way. Hob. 189, 190. Hutton 10. 1 Vent. 13. 2 Keb. 480, 488. adjudged, & vide 1 Brownl. 6.

If A be feifed in Fee of a Backfide in a Town, and the High-street is 1 Rol. Abr. next adjoining thereto of the East, and there is a Gate in the Backside 391. which incloses it from the Street, the Gate being in the East next to the Holman.

Street, and A is also seised in Fee of a McGuaga and Biggs of the Holman. Street, and A. is also seised in Fee of a Messuage and Piece of Land next adjoining to the Backfide of the North of the Backfide, and by Deed infeoffs B. of the Meffuage and Piece of Land which are of the North of the Backfide, and by the fame Deed further grants to him and his Heirs liber, ingressum, egressum, & regressum in, ad & extra eadem concessa pramissa in, per & trans pradict. Januam and Backside, by Force of this Grant B. may go from the Street thro' the Gate, and over the Backfide, to the Meffuage or Piece of Land of which he is infeoffed; but he cannot go thro' the faid Gate and Backfide to other Places, or from other Places, to the Street, without coming to the faid Meffuage or Piece of Land; for the Liberty is granted to him of Ingress and Egress in, ad & extra eadem concessa pramissa; so that this is made appurtenant to the Premisses before granted.

In Trespass for breaking his Close, if the Defendant justifies going 1 Rol. Ab. over this Close, because he hath used Time out of Mind to have a Way 391. Sanders over it from D. to Blackacre, and the Plaintiff replies, that at the Time 1 Mod. 199.

of 1 Mod. 190,

### Highlbays.

of the Trespass the Defendant went with his Carriages from D. to Blackacre, & debine to a Mill; this will not maintain his Action, for when the Defendant was at Blackacre, he might go whither he would.

1 Rol. Abr. 391.

But it seems, that if a Man hath a Way for Carriages from D, to Blackacre over my Close, and after he purchases Land adjoining to Blackacre, he cannot use the said Way with Carriages to the Land adjoining, for then it may be very prejudicial to my Close; but it seems, if I will help my felf, I must shew the special Matter, and that he used it for the Land adjoining.

Yelv. 159. (a) But it A Way must not be claimed as (a) Appendant or Appurtenant to a House, because it is only an Easement, and no Interest.

may be quaft Appendant thereto, and as fuch pass by Grant thereof. Cro. Fac. 190.

Yelv. 163. adjudged.

If in Bar to an Action of Trespass the Desendant pleads, that J. S. and all those whose Estate he hath in certain Lands, Time out of Mind, for themselves and their Servants, have had and used Passagium in, per & trans the Place where, &c. and so justifies as Servant, this is no good (b) For this Plea, for (b) Passagium is (c) properly a Passage over Water, and not vine o (0.40 b) over Land; and he ought to have prescribed in the Way, and not in tentment in a the Paffage, and should have used such Words as are (d) proper and Leet for di- known in Law.

verting the King's Highway is meetly void, for the Word Divert may be applied to a Course of Water, and a Way may be obstructed or stopped, but it is not diverted and stopt, and another made in another Place. I And. 234 adjudged. (d) So a Man cannot prescribe for a Way trans Cometry, for that is a Greek Word, and fignifies only Commune Dormitorium, quia Corpora illic ufque ad diem refurrectionis cormiunt. Jenk, Cent. 142.

Palm. 387, 2 Rol Rep. 397. Man hath a

A Man may prescribe for a Way from his House thro' a certain Close, &c. to Church, (e) tho' he himfelf hath Lands next adjoining to his faid House, thro' which of Necessity he must first pass; for the general Pre-(e) So if a scription shall be applied only to the Lands of others.

Way over a common Field, Part whereof doth belong to himself, for the Infinitness of the Case he may prescribe generally. Palm. 388. 2 Rol. Rep. 398.

A Man cannot alledge that he cannot use his Way as well as he Godb. 52, 53. could before, but must plead that he could not use the Way at all.

Ò

## (D) Whether a Highway may be changed.

Cro Car 266. Vaugh. 341. Yelv. 141. ı Hawk. P. C. 201-2.

N ancient Highway cannot be changed without an Inquisition found on a Writ of Ad quod dammum, that fuch Change will be no Prejudice to the Publick; and it is faid, that if one change a Highway without fuch Authority, he may stop the new Way whenever he pleases; neither can the King's Subjects, in an Action brought against them for going over fuch new Way, justify generally as in a common Highway, but ought to shew specially, by way of Excuse, how the old Way was obstructed, and a new one set out; neither are the Inhabitants bound to keep Watch in fuch new Way, or to repair it, or to make Amends for a Robbery committed in it.

22 Aff. 93. I Rol. Abr. 390.

But it hath been holden, that if a Water, which hath been an ancient Highway, by Degrees changes its Courfe, and goes over different Ground from that whereon it used to run, yet the Highway continues in the new Channel in the fame Manner as in the old.

### (E) Of Stopping a Highway, and other Nufances therein.

T is clearly agreed to be a Nusance to dig a Ditch, or make a Hedge Kitchen 341 over-thwart the Highway, or to erect a new Gate, or to lay Logs 1 Hawk. F. of Timber in it, or generally to do any other Act which will render it C. 212. less commodious.

Also it is a Nusance for an Heir, and for which he may be indicted, I Hawk. P, to continue an Incroachment, or other Nusance to a Highway, begun by C. 214. his Ancestor, because such a Continuance thereof amounts in the Judgment of Law to a new Nusance.

Also it is agreed, that it is no Excuse for him who lays Logs in the 2 Rol. Abr. Highway, that he laid them only here and there, so that the People 137-might have a Passage thro' them by Windings and Turnings.

It is a Nusance to suffer the Highway to be incommoded by reason of the Foulness, &c. of the adjoining Ditches, or by Boughs of Trees hanging over it, &c. and it is said, that the Owner of Land next ad-Dalt. cap. 26, joining to the Highway, ought of common Right to scour his Ditches; I Hawk. P. but that the Owner of Land, next adjoining to such Land, is not bound by the Common Law so to do without a special Prescription; also it is said, that the Owner of Trees hanging over an Highway, to the Annoyance of Travellers, is bound by the Common Law to lop them; and it is clear that any other Person may lop them, so far as to avoid the Nusance.

But it is no Nusance for an Inhabitant of a Town to unlade Billets, <sup>2</sup> Rol. Abr. &c. in the Street before his House, by reason of the Necessity of the <sup>137</sup>. Case, unless he suffer them to continue there an unreasonable Time.

Any one may justify pulling down, or otherwise destroying a common <sup>2</sup> Rol. Abr.

Nusance, as a new Gate or House erected in a Highway; and it hath Cro. Car. 184, been of late holden, that there is no need, in Pleading such Justification, 1 fon. 221.

to shew that as little Damage was done as might be.

2 Rol. Abr.
144.
Cro. Car. 184,
2 Salk. 458.

Also besides that all Nusances are punishable by Indictment with Fine 2 Rol. Abr. S4. and Imprisonment, it is said, that one convicted of a Nusance to the 1 Hawk. P. Highway, may be commanded by the Judgment to remove it at his own Costs, &c.

(F) Who are obliged to repair a Way by the Common Law; and therein, where a Person thall be faid liable by reason of Inclosure, Tenure, or Prescription.

F common Right, the general Charge of Repairing Highways lies <sup>1</sup> Rol. Abr. on the (a) Occupiers of Lands in the Parish wherein they lie; March 26. but it is faid, that the Tenants of the Lands adjoining are bound to <sup>1</sup> Vent. 90, fcour their Ditches.

183.

8 H. 7. 5.

(a) But if there be no Occupier by the Owner's letting the Lands lie fresh, he must repair them himself. 2 Rol. Rep. 412. Palm. 389.

Also if a Parish is Part in one County and Part in another, and the 1 Med. 112. Highways in one County are out of Repair, the whole Parish shall con- 1 Vent. 256. Vol. III.

Q tribute 3 Keb. 301.

tribute to the Repair; but there may be an Agreement between the Inhabitants, that the one shall repair one Part, and the other the other; and fuch Agreement is good between themselves, and for Breach the one may have an Action upon the Cafe against the other, but in an Indichment they shall take no Advantage of these Agreements, for as to the King they are equally liable.

1 Vent. 256. 1 Mod. 112. 3 Keb. 301.

Therefore if the Indicament is general against all the Parish, all the Parish shall be charged; but if it be intended to charge one Part or Precinct of the Parish to repair all the Ways within the Parish, it must be alledged in Pleading, that by special Prescription, or ratione tenura, such a Part of the Parish de temps dont, &c. have been charged with the Re-

paration of the Ways.

1 Rol. Abr. 390. Cro. Car. 366. 1 Sid. 464.

But the the Parish be obliged of common Right to repair the Highways in it, yet it is certain that particular Perfons may be bound to repair the Highway, by reason of Inclosure or Prescription; as where the Owner of Lands not inclosed, next adjoining to the Highway, incloses his Lands on both Sides of it; in which Case he is bound to make a perfect good Way, and shall not be excused by making it as good as it was before the Inclosure, if it were then any way defective, because by the Inclosure he takes from the People the Liberty of going over the Lands adjoining to the common Track.

1 Sid. 464.

Also it is said, that if one inclose Land on one Side, which hath anciently been inclosed of the other Side, he ought to repair all the Way; but that if there be not such an ancient Inclosure of the other Side, he ought to repair bur Half the Way.

1 Sid. 464. 2 Keb. 665. 2 Sand. 157.

Therefore if there be an old Hedge, Time out of Mind, belonging to A. on the one Side of the Way, and B. having Land lying on the other Side, makes a new Hedge, there B. shall be charged with the whole

1 Sid. 464. 2 Keb. 665. 2 Sand. 160. But if A makes a Hedge on the one Side of the Way and B on the

other, they shall be chargeable by Moieties.

But it feems clear, that wherever a Person makes himself liable to repair a Highway by reason of Inclosure, that by throwing of it open again, he thereby frees himself of the Burthen of any further Reparation.

27 Aff. 8. 21 E. 4 38. Bro. Prescription 49, 78. Latch 206. 202-3.

Particular Persons may be bound to repair a Highway by Prescription; and it is faid, that a Corporation aggregate may be charged by a general Prescription, that it ought and hath used to do it, without shewing any Keilw. 52. a. Consideration in respect whereof they had used to do it, because such a Corporation never dies, neither is it any Plea, that they have done it out 1 Hawk. P.C. of Charity; but it is faid, that fuch a general Prescription is not sufficient to charge a private Person, because no Man is bound to do a Thing which his Ancestors have done, unless it be for some special Reason; as having Lands descended to him holden by such Service, &c. but it seems, (a) Also an that an Indictment charging a Tenant of Lands in (a) Fee with having Occupier as used of Right to repair such a Way ratione tenure terre suc, without will only, is adding that his Ancestors, or those whose Estate he hath, have so done, fuch, tho' at

is fufficient, for 'tis implied. indictable for fuffering

a House standing upon the Highway to be ruinous, &c. and the Words ratione tenura, &c. if added, are Surplus. 1 Salk. 357.

1 Mod. 112. 3 Keb. 301. 1 Vent. 256.

And it feems certain in all those Cases, whether a private Person be bound to repair a Highway by Inclosure or Prescription, that the Parish cannot take Advantage of it on the general Issue, but must plead it specially; and that therefore if to an Indicament against the Parish, for not Repairing a Highway, they plead Not guilty, this shall be intended only that the Ways are in Repair, but does not go to the Right of Reparation.

## (G) Of the Provision for Repairing the Highways by several Acts of Parliament.

HE Statutes for this Purpose are very numerous and too long to Which ende be here inserted, such as the 13 E. 1. called the Statute of Win-collected 1 Hawk P.C. chester, cap. 5. 2 & 3 Ph. & Mar. cap. 6. 5 Eliz. cap. 13. 18 Eliz. cap. 10. 203, &c. 22 Car. 2. cap. 12. 3 & 4 W. & M. cap. 12. 7 & 8 W. 3. cap. 29. 8 & 9 W. 3. cap. 15. 6 Ann. cap. 29. 1 Georg. 1. cap. 52. 7 Georg. 2. cap. 9.

In the Construction whereof it hath been holden, 1. That Clergymen 476. are within these Statutes in respect of their Spiritual Possessions, as much 1 Vent. 273. as any other Persons in respect of any other Possessions.

1 Hawk. P.C.

2. That he who keeps a Draught, ought to fend a Team tho' he oc- Raym. 186, cupy no Land in the Parish; and in like Manner, he who occupies a (b) 356.

Da't. cap. 26. Plough-Land ought to fend a Team tho' he keep no Draught.

2 Keb. 617.

- (b) Which by 7 & S W. 3. cap. 29. is taken for Woodland, or other Land, to the Value of 50 %. per Annum.
- 3. That notwithstanding the Words of the Statute 2 & 3 Ph. & Mar. Palm. 389, cap. 6. extend only to the Occupiers of Land, yet if the Owner neither 2 Rol. Rep. occupy them, nor let them, but suffer them to lie fresh, he shall be 412. charged as much as if he had occupied them, for the Publick ought not to fuffer for his Negligence.

4. That he who keeps a Draught and but two Horses, ought to at- Dalt. cap. 26. tend with them at the Times appointed, and to carry fuch Loads as they

5. That it is no Excuse for Parishioners indicted at Law for not Re- Dalt. cap. 26. pairing their Highways, that they have done their full Work required by Statute; for the Statute being in the Affirmative, doth not abrogate any Provision of this Kind by the Common Law.

6. That the King's Charter, or Letters Patent, discharging certain 3 Mod. 96. Lands from the Duty of contributing to the Repair of the Highways, are Whitchet. Highways, which by the Statute Ph. & Mar. and other fubsequent Statutes, are chargeable to fend Men for that Purpose.

7. That the Justices ought to fix the particular Days, and not gene- 1 Salk 347.

rally to appoint fix Days between such and such a Day.

8. That the by the express Provision of the Statutes no Presentment, Hawk. P.C. Indictment, or Order, shall be removed by Certiorari, yet if the Quarter-Sessions, under Pretence of the Jurisdiction given them by those Statutes, take upon them to do a Thing manifestly exceeding their Authority, as to make an Order on Surveyors of the Highways to make up their Accounts before a Special Sessions, their Proceedings may be removed by Certiorari into the King's Bench, and there quashed; for the Quarter-Sessions have no Manner of Power given them to intermeddle originally with fuch Accounts, but only by way of Appeal.

## (H) how the Parties obliged to repair are to be proceeded against, and What Defence they may make.

T feems clear, that no one ought to be punished for any Offence against the Highways, without being first and all a Keilw. 34. gainst the Highways, without being first called upon to answer for Crom. 131. Dalt. cap. 26. himself, except in the Case of a Presentment in a Court-Leet, and, as (a) 5 H. 7. 4. a. some say, in the Case of a Presentment by a Justice of Peace on his Dyer 13. b. View; and even in the Case of a Presentment in a Court-Leet, if it 14. pl. 64. touch a Man's Freehold, as by charging him with being bound to Repairs 1 Keb. 256, 291, 829. in respect of the Tenure of his Land, it may so far be traversed in the 2 Keb. 715, King's Bench, being removed thither by Certiorari; also it may be tra-728. versed where a Defendant in Trespass justifies under it. Raym. 182.

(b) So held by Holt; but the other Justices cont. because such a Presentment cannot be a greater Estoppel than the finding of a Grand Jury, who are upon Oath. Carth. 212-3.

Upon a Certificate and Affidavit that the Highway is in good Repair, 1 Hawk. P.C. 219. Exceptions to the Form of the Indictment may be taken, but not easily without fuch Certificate and Affidavit; and the Exceptions of this Kind

1. That the Indictment doth not certainly shew a Locus a quo, and a 2 Rol. Abr. S1. pl. 15. Locus ad quem, but there is no Need to shew that a Highway leads to a Palm. 389, 420. 2 Keb. Market-Town.

715, 728. 1 Brownl. 6. 2 Rol. Abr. St. 3 Keb. 644.

Cro. Fac. 324. 2 Rol. Abr.

So, S1.

2. That it is repugnant to it felf, in shewing where the Nusance was done; as where it fets forth, that a Man stopped a Way at D. leading from D. to E.

3. That it doth not certainly show to what Part of the Highway the

Nusance extended; as where it only says, that a certain Part of the King's Highway at K. was stopped, without shewing how much; or where it says, the Place nusanced contained so many Foot in Length, and so many in Breadth, by Estimation.

4. That it doth not shew with sufficient Certainty, that the Place nu-1 Salk. 359. 6 Mod. 255. fanced was a Way common to all the King's People; as where it only Cro. Eliz. 63. calls it a Horseway, or having called it a common Footway to the Church 1 Vent. 208. of D. adds, for all the Inhabitants of D. Poph. 206. 2 Keb. 728.

5. That an Indictment for not Repairing a Highway, which the De-Noy 93. 3 Keb. 855. fendant ought to repair ratione tenura, doth (b) omit the Word fux. (b) But this Exception hath been of late over-ruled. I Hawk. P. C. 220.

6. That an Indicament against J. S. Bishop of A. for not Repairing a Highway, &c. doth not shew in what Capacity he ought to do it.

1 And. 234. 7. That the Nusance is not expressed in proper Terms; as where the Indictment is, that the Defendant diverted the Highway, which cannot be, because a Highway cannot be diverted, must always continue in the fame Place where it was, howfoever it be obstructed, and a new Way made in another Place.

8. That an Indictment against several Persons for not Repairing is laid 2 Rol. Abr. 79, jointly and feverally; but it is no Exception, that a Presentment of such a Highway's being out of Repair by the Default of the Inhabitants, &c. doth not name any Persons in certain, or that a Presentment against a Man for Stopping a Highway in his own Land, which is well proved by the Evidence of Ploughing it, doth not lay the Offence vi & armis.

9. That

3 Keb. 58.

31.

1 Vent. 4.

4

9. That the Defendants cannot plead quod non debent reparare, without 1 Sid. 140. Carth. 203.

And Note; the Defendant shall not be discharged by submitting to a T Salk. 358. Fine, but a Distringus shall go ad infinitum, till he repair the Way.

S. P. agreed.

T. Salk. 358.

6 Mod. 163.

## Hue and Cry.

Town till he be taken, which all who are present when a ling. Town till he be taken, which all who are present when a ling. Institute In

Crompt. 178. (a) That it is the old Common Law Process after Felons and such as Have dangerously wounded any Person. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 98. — And therefore Brast. says, Quod omnes tam Milites quam alii, qui sunt quindecim annorum & amplius, Jurare debent quod Utlagatos, Murdratores, Robbatores & Eurglatores non receptabant, nec iis consentirent, nec corum receptatoribus, & si quos tales noverint ecs attachiari sacient, & boc vi.ecomiti & ballivis suis monstrabunt, & si huesium vel clamorem de talibus audiverint, statim Audiv clamore sequentur cum samilia & hominibus de terra sua. Brast. lib. 3. cap. 1. (b) May be by a Horn or by the Voice. 2 Inst. 172.

As the Raifing of Hue and Cry is injoined by the Common Law, which may be called a Raifing of it at the Suit of the King, as well as by feveral Acts of Parliament, which may be called a Raifing of it at the Suit of a private Perfon, in as much as those Statutes make the Hundred answerable to the Party robbed, if they Neglect to pursue the Hue and Cry, and apprehend the Robbers; therefore we shall consider,

- (A) Hue and Cry at the Common Law, or Suit of the King: And herein,
  - 1. By whom Hue and Cry is to be levied.
  - z. In what Manner it is to be levied.
  - 3. In what Manner to be purfued.
  - 4. What the Perfons may justify doing who pursue it.
  - 5. How the Omission or Neglect of not doing it is punished.
- (B) Of Bailing Hue and Cry pursuant to the several statutes which declare in what Manner the Hunsdard hall be chargeable: And herein,
  - 1. What Kind of Robbery it must be so as to make the Hundred liable, and how far it is necessary that it be done on the Highway.
  - 2. On what Day, or Time of the Day, it must be committed.

Vol. III.

R

3. What

3. What Hundred shall be faid to be liable.

4. What Person is to bring the Action, and make Oath of the Robbery.

5. Of the Notice to be given of the Robbery.

6. Where the Party must give Bond for Payment of Costs, in Cafe he does not prevail.

7. Of the Oath to be taken of the Robbery, and before whom

the fame must be.

S. At what Time the Action is to be brought.

9. What Evidence will maintain it; and therein of the Witneffes for and against it.

10. What shall Excuse the Hundred; and therein of appre-

hending the Robbers.

11. How the Money is to be levied, and each Hundredor to contribute to the Charges.

## (A) Hue and Cry at Common Law, or Suit of the King: And herein,

1. By whom Huc and Cry is to be levied.

2 Inft. 172. 3 Inft. 116. ı Hal. Hift. P. C. 464.

IT feems to be clearly agreed, that a private Person who hath been robbed, or who knows that a Felony hath been committed, is not only authorized to levy Hue and Cry, but is also bound to do it under Pain of Fine and Imprisonment.

2 Hal Hift. P. C. 99.

From hence it follows, that altho' it is a good Course, as my Lord Hale fays, to have a Frecept or Warrant from a Justice of Peace for raifing of Hue and Cry, yet it is neither of absolute Necessity, nor sometimes convenient, for the Felons may escape before the Justice can be found; also Hue and Cry was Part of the Law before the Statute of I E. 3. cap. 16. which first instituted Justices of the Peace.

2 Hal. Hift.

And altho' also, says he, it is especially incumbent upon Constables P C. 99, 100. to pursue Hue and Cry, when called upon, and they are severely punishable if they neglect it; and it prevents many Inconveniencies if they be there, for it gives a greater Authority to their Pursuit, and enables the Pursuants, in his Affistance, to plead the general Issue upon the Statutes of 7 & 21 Jac. 1. without being driven to special Pleading; and therefore to prevent Inconveniencies that may happen by Unruliness, it is most advisable that the Constable be called to this Action; yet upon a Robbery, or other Felony committed, Hue and Cry may be raifed by the (a) Country, in the Absence of the Constable; and in this there is

(a) And is no Inconveniency, (b) for if Hue and Cry be raised without Cause, therefore

called Cry de they that raise it are punishable by Fine and Imprisonment. paiis, 2 Hal.

Hist. P. C. 100. — Of the Manner of Raising it according to the Law of the Forest, vide 4 Inst. 294. (b) 29 E. 3. 39. Fitz. Trespass 252. Cromp. 179. 21 H. 7. 28. a. — As Disturbers of the King's Peace. 2 Inft. 172.

#### 2. In what Manner it is to be levied.

The regular Method of levying Hue and Cry, is for the Party to 3 Infl. 116. go to the Constable of the next Town and declare the Fact, and (a) de-Dalt. Juffices feribe the Offender, and the Way he is gone; whereupon the Constable Cromp. 178. ought immediately, whether it be Night or Day, to raise his own Town, 2 Hawk.P.C. and make learch for the Offender; and upon the not finding him, to 75. fend the like Notice, with the utmost Expedition, to the Constables of (a) Ought, if all the Neighbouring Towns, who ought in like Manner to fearch for he knows it, the Offender, and also to give Notice to their Neighbouring Constables, Name, deand they to the next, till the Offender be found.

Person, Ha-

bit, Horse, and such other Circumstances, that he knows, which may conduce to his Discovery, 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 100.

#### 3. In what Manner to be pursued.

The Constable is not only to make search in his own Vill, but is 2 Hal. H st. also to raise all the Neighbouring Vills, who are all to pursue the Hue  $^{P.\,C.\,101}$ , and Cry with Horsemen as well as Footmen, until the Offender be taken.

#### 4. What the Persons may justify doing who pursue it.

For the Understanding hereof we shall here insert what my Lord Chief Justice Hale apprehends to be the Law in this Matter.

1. That in Cafe of Hue and Cry once raised and levied upon Supposal 2 Hal. Hist. of a Felony committed, tho' in Truth there was no Felony committed; P. C. 151. yet those, who pursue Hue and Cry, may arrest and proceed as if a Felony had been really committed.

And therefore the Justification of an Imprisonment by a Person upon 5 H. 7. 5. a. Suspicion, and by a Person, especially a Constable, upon Hue and Cry 21 H.7. 28. ds levied, do extremely differ; for in the former there must be a Felony for Rede. averred to be done, and it is issuable, but in the latter, viz. upon Hue 29 E. 3. 39. and Cry, it need not be averred, but the Hue and Cry levied upon In- 2 left 173. formation of a Felony is fufficient, tho' perchance the Information were 2 Hal. Hifs. false; and therefore an Averment of a Felony committed, in case of a Justification of an Imprisonment upon Hue and Cry, is not necessary; the Reasons whereof are, 1. Because the Constable cannot examine the Truth or Falshood of the Suggestion of him who first levied it, for he cannot administer him an Oath; and if he should forbear his Pursuit of the Hue and Cry till it be examined by a Justice of Peace, the Felon might escape, and the Pürsuit would be lost and fruitless. 2. By several Acts of Parliament compellable to pursue Hue and Cry, and is punishable, as those of the Vill, if they do it not. 3. Because he that first raised a Hue and Cry where no Felony is committed, viz. the Person that giveth the false Information, is severely punishable by Fine and Imprisonment, if the Information be false; and therefore if he raise a Hue and Cry upon a Person that is innocent, yet they that pursue the Hue and Cry may justify the Imprisonment of that innocent Person, and the Raifer is punishable; and by the same Reason, if he give Notice of a

Felony committed when there was in Truth none.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 102.

2. If Hue and Cry be raifed against a Person certain for Felony, tho' possibly he is innocent, yet the Constables, and those that follow the Hue and Cry, may arrest and imprison him in the common Gaol, or carry him to a Justice of the Peace.

7 E. 3. 16. b. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 102.

3. If the Person pursued by Hue and Cry be in a House, and the Doors are shut, and resused to be opened, upon Demand of the Constable, and Notice given of his Business, he may break open the Doors; and this he may do in any Case where he may arrest, tho' it be only a (a) 5 Co. 92. Suspicion of Felony, for it is for the King and Commonwealth, and (a) Semain's therefore a virtual Non omittas is in the Case; and the same Law is upon a dangerous Wound given, and a Hue and Cry levied upon the Offender.

Cafe.

1 Hal. Hift.

And it seems in this Case, that if he cannot be otherwise taken, he may be killed, and the Necessity excuseth the Constable.

P. C. 102. Dalt. cap. 28. 2 E. 4. S. b. Cronip. de pace 178. 2 Hal. Hift.

4. Upon Hue and Cry levied against any Person, or where any Hue and Cry comes to a Constable, whether the Person be certain or uncertain, the Constable may fearch in suspected Places within his Vill, for the apprehending of the Felons.

P. C. 103. 2 Hal Hift. P. C. 103.

But tho' he may fearch suspected Places or Houses, yet his Entry must be per osia aperta, for he cannot break open Doors barely to search, unless the Person against whom the Hue and Cry is levied be there, and then it is true he may; therefore in case of such a Search, the Breaking open the Door is at his Peril, wz. justifiable if he be there; but it must be always remembered, that in Cafe of Breaking open a Door, there must be first a Notice given to them within of his Business, and a Demand of Entrance, and a Refusal, before Doors can be broken.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 103.

3. If the Hue and Cry be not against a Person certain, but by Defeription of his Stature, Person, Clothes, Horse, &c. the Hue and Cry doth justify the Constable, or other Person, following it, in apprehending the Person so described, whether innocent or guilty, for that is his Warrant; it is a kind of Process that the Law allows, (not usual in other Cases) viz. to arrest a Person by Description.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 103.

6. But if the Hue and Cry be upon a Robbery, Burglary, Manslaughter, or other Felony committed, but the Person that did the Fact is neither known nor describible by Person, Clothes, or the like, yet such a Hue and Cry is good, as hath been faid, and must be pursued, tho' no Person certain be named or described.

2 E. 4. S. b. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 103.

And therefore in this Case, all that can be done is, for those who purfue the Hue and Cry, to take fuch Persons as they have probable Cause to suspect; as for Instance, such Persons as are Vagrants, that cannot give an Account where they live, whence they are, or fuch suspicious Perfons as come late into their Inn or Lodgings, and give no reafonable Account where they had been, and the like.

2 Hal Hift. P. C. 104.

And here the Justification of the Imprisonment is mixed partly upon the Hue and Cry, and partly upon their own Suspicion; and therefore, 1. In respect that it is upon Hue and Cry, there needs no Averment that the Felony was done, yet it must be averred that an Information was given that the Felony was done, if the Arrest be by that Constable that first received the Information, and so raised the Hue and Cry; or if the Arrest were made by that Constable, or those Vills to whom the Hue and Cry came at the second Hand, it must be averred that such a Hue and Cry came to them, purporting such a Felony to be done; but 2. Alfo in as much as the Hue and Cry neither names nor describes the Perfon of the Felon, but only the Felony committed; and therefore the Arrest of this or that particular Person, and so applied, is left to the Suspicion and Discretion of the Constable, or the People of the second or third Vill; he, that arrests any Person upon such general Hue and Cry, must aver that he suspected, and shew a reasonable Cause of Suspicion.

But now by the Statute of 7 Jac. t. cap. 5. the Constable, or any 2 Hal. Hist. that come in his Assistance, even in this Case of Hue and Cry, may P. C. 100. plead the general Issue, and give the whole Matter of the Justification in Evidence; for the Pursuit of Hue and Cry, tho' performed by others as well as the Constable, is principally the Act of the Constable of the Vill, and the others are but his Deputies or Affiftants within the Precincts of his Constable-wick.

#### 5. How the Omission or Aeglect of not doing it is pus millied.

There can be no Doubt but that both by the Common Law, as also 2 Hall Hist. by the feveral Statutes which enjoin the Levying of Hue and Cry, they P. C. 104. who neglect to levy one, (whether Officers of Justice, or others) or who neglect to pursue it when rightly levied, are punishable by (a) In- (a) That it dictment, and may be fined and imprisoned for such Neglect. is one of the Offences

which may be inquired of and punished in the Sheriff's Torn or Lect. Dalt. Sheriff 394. 2 Hawks P. C. 67.

And now by the 8 Geor. cap. 16. it is enacted, 'That every Conftable of the Hundred, and every Constable, Bosholder, Headborough, or Tythingman of any Town, Parish, Village, Hamlet, or Tything, within the Hundred, or the Franchises within the Precinct thereof, wherein the Robbery shall happen, as soon as the same shall come to 6 his Knowledge, either by Notice from the Party or Parties robbed, or from any other Person or Persons, to whom Notice shall be given 6 thereof, pursuant to this or any other Statute, shall, with the utmost Expedition, make, and cause to be made, fresh Suit and Hue and Cry f after the Felon or Felons by whom fuch Robbery shall be committed; s and if any Constable, Bosholder, Headborough, or Tythingman, shall offend in the Premisses, by refusing or neglecting to make, or cause to 6 be made, fuch fresh Suit and Hue and Cry, every such Offender shall, for every fuch Refusal or Neglect, forfeit 51.

### (B) Of Railing Hue and Cry purluant to the several Statutes Which declare in What Manner the Hundred Chall be chargeable for Robberies.

THE Levying of Huc and Cry is, as has been already observed, enjoined by several Acts of Parliament, and to this Purpose it is enacted by (b) Westm. 1. cap. 9. That all be ready and apparel'd at (b) That those the Summons of the Sheriff & a cry de pais, to pursue and arrest some imagined that Felons, as well within Franchises as without; and if they do it not, Hue and Cry to be a provided a current they are to be measured. and be thereof Attaint, le Roy prendra a eux grevement, they are to be was ground- indicted and fined for the Neglect. ed on this my Lord Cole says, that it was used long before, as appears even by this Statute, which, instead of

introducing a new Law, enforces Obedience to that which was founded on the ancient Laws of the Realm. 2 Inft. 171.

Vol. III.

By the Statute of 4 E. 1. de Officio Coronatoris, Hue and Cry shall be levied for all Murders, Burglaries, Men slain or in Peril to be slain,

' as otherwhere is used in England; and all shall follow the Hue and Steps

as near as they can; and he that doth not, and is convict thereof, shall

• be attached to be before the Justices in Eyre.

By the Statute of Winton, or 13 E. I. cap. I. it is Enacted, 6 That from thenceforth every Country shall be so well kept, that immediately upon Robberies and Felonies committed fresh Suit shall be made from 'Town to Town, and from Country to Country. And cap. 2. of the faid Statute, 6 If the Country will not answer for the Bodies of such 6 manner of Offenders, the Pain shall be such, that every Country, that is to wit, the People dwelling in the Country, shall be answerable for

the Robberies done, and also the Damages; so that the whole Hundred where the Robbery shall be done, with the Franchises being within the

6 Precinct of the same Hundred, shall be answerable for the Robberies 6 done: And if the Robbery be done within the Division of two Hun-

6 dreds, both the Hundreds, and the Franchises within them, shall be answerable: And after that the Felony or Robbery is done, the Country 6 shall have no longer Space than (a) Half a Year, within which Half-Year

(a) My Lord in all have no longer opace than (b) Lord it shall behave them to agree for the Robbery or Offence, or else that

that this Sta- ' they will answer for the Bodies of the Offenders. tute expresly gives Half a Year, and not forty Days, as mentioned in an Edition of the Statutes then lately pub-

lished; but that the forty Days are given by the Statute 28 E. 3. cap. 11. 2 Infl. 569. — But in 3 Lev. 320. it is said, that upon Search of the Parliament Roll it appears that the Statute of Winton gives only forty Days to the Country, and that the Statute 28 E. 3. is but a Confirmation thereof; and accordingly it was adjudged, where the Plaintiff brought an Action on the Statute of Winton, and declared that he was robbed, and none of the Robbers taken within forty Days, according to the faid Statute; and with this the modern Precedents agree, as Raft. Ent. 406. Co. Ent. 351. Herne 215. The. Erev. 141. 2 Sand. 376.

The (b) Statute of Winton (c) gives the Action against the Hundred; (b) That this is not a penal but by subsequent Statutes, such as 27 Eliz. cap. 13. 8 Georg. 2. cap. 16. Law, fo that the Statute feveral Alterations and Additions have been made therein, which we shall confider under the following Heads. of Jeofail extends to

Actions brought thereupon, but is a Law made for the Peace of the Kingdom, and Advancement of Justice, Cro. Jac. 496. (c) And therefore the best Way for the Plaintiff to conclude his Declaration is contra Formam Statuti, because the Statute of Winton only gives the Action, Cro. Jac. 187-8. Yelv. 116. Noy 125. I Show. 94.

#### 1. What kind of Robbery it must be so as to make the Hundled liable, and how far it is necessary that it be done on the Highway.

7 Co. 6, 7. 2 Salk. 614. and Servant conspired to rob him. Styl. 427.

It feems to be admitted, that no kind of Robbery will make the Hundred liable, but that which is done openly, and with Force and Violence; (d) Where a and that therefore the (d) private stealing or taking any Thing from the Carrier's Son Party does not come within the Statutes which make the Hundred liable, because the Hundred is not liable because they did not prevent the Robbery, but because they did not apprehend the Robbers, which in private Felonies, and of which they had no Notice, it would be difficult, if not impossible, for them to do.

Also it hath been adjudged, and is admitted in all the Books which Sendil's Case. speak of this Matter, that a Robbery in a House, whether it be by Day or by Night, does not make the Hundred liable: The Reasons whereof Cro. Frc. 496. are, that every Man's House is in Law esteemed his Castle, which he Cro. Eliz. 753. himself is obliged to defend, and into which no Man can enter, to see what is doing there, without his Leave; also being done in a House, the Inhabitants of the Hundred cannot be prefumed to have Notice of it, fo as to be able to apprehend the Offenders.

7 Co. 6. a. Moor 620. 3 Leon. 262. I Bulf. 146. 2 Inft. 569. 2 Salk. 614.

Farest. 157.

private Way,

But if a Person be assaulted in the Highway, and carried into a House, 1 Sid. 263. and there robbed, it feems the Hundred shall be liable; for otherwise the sale 614. Provision made by the Statute would be eluded.

Also it does not feem necessary that the Robbery should be committed Farest, 159. in the Highway, nor alledged to have been to by the Plaintiff in his may be in a Declaration.

-may be in a Coppice; and in both Cases the Hundred shall be chargeable. 2 Salt. 614.

Therefore where upon the Statute of Hue and Cry the Plaintiff de- Carth. 71. clared, gued quedam l'ersone ignote, &c. apud quendam locum ex Australi 3 Med. 258. parte cujusdam Januæ, vocar Fair-Mile Gate, infra Parochiam, &c. vi & Comb. 150. armis assulted him, and robbed him of so much Money, and there S. C. adjudgbeing a Verditt for the Plaintiff, it was moved in Arrest, that apud quen- ed between dam Locum might be meant of a Robbery committed in a House, Garden, Toung and the Inhabitor Wood, for which the Hundred is not liable, being only obliged to tants of the guard the Highways: But it was held, that the Declaration was good, Hundred of especially after Verdict, because it must be intended that this was given Tolscomb. in Evidence, otherwise the Plaintiff would have been nonfuited: Also the Court held, that without the Help of a Verdict, this Declaration had been good, and that it was not necessary for the Plaintiff to alledge, that the Robbery was committed on the Highway, more than that it was committed by Day, and not by Night, and that all the antient Precedents were accordingly.

#### 2. On what Day of Cime of the Day it must be committed.

It hath been refolved by three Judges against one, that a Robbery on Cre. Fac. 496. the Sabbath Day should charge the Hundred, and that the Pursuing of Waite versus Robbers who violate the Sabbath was so far from being a Profanation of The Hundred that Day, that it was a Work of Charity and Justice; also that several of Perfons, such as Physicians, Chirurgeons, Midwives, &c. were necessi- s. P. admittated to travel on that Day, and it was but reasonable that they should ted. be protected in their Journey.

But now by the 29 Car. 2. cap. 7. par. 5. it is Enacted, 6 That if any Person or Persons whatsoever, which shall (a) travel upon the Lord's (a) This Sta-

Day, shall be then robbed, that no Hundred, or the Inhabitants thereof, sure does not seem to exfhall be charged with, or answerable for, any Robbery so committed; tend to Per-

but the Person or Persons so robbed shall be barred from bringing any sons in the · Action for the faid Robbery, any Law to the contrary notwithstand- Country ri-

ing. Nevertheless the Inhabitants of the Counties and Hundreds (after to Church,
Notice of any such Robbery to them or some of them given, or after nor to Physi-Hue and Cry for the same to be brought,) shall make or cause to be cians, Chi-

made fresh Suit and Pursuit after the Offenders with Horsemen and rurgeons, Footmen, as by the 27 Eliz is provided, upon Pain of forfeiting to the under a Ne-

King's Majesty, his Heirs and Successors, as much Money as might costing of have been recovered against the Hundred by the Party robbed, if this travelling on

Law had not been made.

It is clearly agreed, that for a Robbery committed in the Night the  $_7$   $C_0$ . 6. b. Hundred is not chargeable, because they cannot be presumed to have Milhorn's Ca. Notice thereof, so as to be able to apprehend the Robbers.

But yet it is not necessary that the Robbery should be committed after 7 Co. 6. a. Sun-rife, and before Sun-fet, and that therefore if there be as much Appele's Cafe. Day-light at the Time that a Man's Countenance might be differred Cro. Jac. 106. thereby, tho' it be before Sun-rise or after Sun-set, the Hundred shall be 1 Leon. 57. liable.

Also it is not necessary for the Plaintiff to alledge in his Declaration, Carth. 71. that the Robbery was committed in the Day-time, and not in the Night: Comb. 150-

But 3 M.d. 258.

1 Show. 60. S. P. admitred.

But it feems, that if upon the Evidence it turns out to have been committed in the Night, he cannot have a Verdict.

Sid. 263. Farefl. 159.

Also it hath been held, that if Robbers drive or oblige the Waggoner to drive his Waggon from the Highway by Day, but do not rob or take any Thing till Night, that yet this is a Robbery in the Day-time fo as to charge the Hundred.

#### 3. What Hundred Wall be said to be liable.

By the Statute of Winton it is Enacted, 'That if the Robbery be done (a) An Action ' within the Division of two Hundreds, both the (a) Hundreds and the Franchises within them shall be answerable. may be

brought against the Inhabitants in Dimidio Hundredi de W. and this Half Hundred the Court will intend a Hundred of itself, especially after Verdick; and that if it were otherwise, it should have been so pleaded or given in Evidence; and that it is the same Thing as an Action brought against the Inhabitants of the Hundred of W. commonly called the Half-Hundred of W. Hob. 246. Constable's Case. 1 Brown 156. S. C.

Hutton 125. Dean's Case, per Cur.

If Robbers affault a Person with an Intent to rob him in one Hundred, and he escapes and flies into another, whither he is pursued by the Robbers, and there robbed, the last Hundred shall be liable.

2 Salk. 614. Faresl. 157. S. C. Cowper versus The Hundred of Basing stoke.

So where by special Verdict it was found, that the Plaintiff was travelling in the Highway in the Hundred of A. where he was fet upon and carried into the Hundred of B. and robbed in a Copfe in the Highway of this Hundred, and it was adjudged that the Hundred of B. should be liable, for that there the Robbery was committed, and not before.

2 Salk. 615.

If one be taken in the Hundred of A, and carried into the Hundred of B. into a House there, viz. a Mansion-House, and robbed, or taken in the Day-time in A. and carried to B. and there robbed in the Night, it is faid that there is no Remedy against either Hundred, these Cases not being provided for by the Statute.

By the 27 Eliz. cap. 13. par. 2. reciting that the Inhabitants of Hundreds do not profecute the Hue and Cry brought to them, because those Hundreds only are liable in which the Robberies have been committed, it is Enacted, ' That the Inhabitants and Resiants of every or any such 6 Hundred (with the Franchises within the Precinct thereof,) wherein 6 Negligence, Fault or Defect of Pursuit and fresh Suit after Hue and 'Cry made shall happen to be, shall answer and satisfy the one Moiety 6 or Half of all and every fuch Sum or Sums of Money and Damages, as shall be recovered or had against or of the said Hundred, with the Franchises therein, in which any Robbery or Felony shall at any time hereafter be committed or done; and that the same Moiety shall and may be recovered by Action of Debt, Bill, Plaint, or Information in any of the Queen's Majesty's Courts of Record at Westminster, by and

- in the Name of the Clerk of the Peace for the Time being, of or in
- every fuch County within this Realm, where any fuch Robbery and Recovery by the Party or Parties robbed shall be, without naming the
- 6 Christian Name or Surname of the faid Clerk of the Peace; which
- 6 Moiety so recovered shall be to the only Use and Behoof of the Inha-6 bitants of the faid Hundred where any fuch Robbery or Felony shall be
- 6 committed or done.

#### 4. What Person is to bying the Action, and make Dath of the Robbery.

If a Servant be robbed, in the Absence of his Master, of his Master's Cro. Car. 31. Money, it is clear that the Master may maintain an Action for it against Handred of the Hundred; but then the Servant must make Oath that he knew not Oking, adany of the Robbers.

judged.

Cro. Car. 336. S. P. adjudged, and that the Servant was the proper Person to make the Oath. Styl. 156. S. P. admitted. Latch 127. S. P. and that the Master or Servant may bring the Action.— But the Oath must be by the Servant, when robbed in the Absence of his Master. Cro. Eliz. 142. Green's Case, adjudged 1 Leon. 323. S. C. adjudged. — For the Statute of 27 Eliz. cap. 13. which requires that the Party robbed shall make Oath within twenty Days next before the Action brought, that he knew not the Robbers, &c. was made, 1st, That the Person robbed should enter into a Recognizance to prosecute the Robbers, if he knew them, or any of them. 2dly, That the Hundred might be excused upon the Conviction of such Person or Persons. 3dly, To prevent a Robbery by Fraud. 3 Mod. 288 For if the Robbery be by Combination, the Party cannot recover. 1 Show. 94.

Also the Servant being robbed in his Master's Absence, may himself 2 Salk 613.4 maintain an Action against the Hundred, and may (a) declare that he 4 Mod. 303. was possessed at de Bonis suis propriis, &c. And tho' the Jury find that he Comb. 203 S. C. Comb. was robbed of his Master's Money, yet shall he recover; for the Servant versus The is possessed ut de Bonis propriis against all, and in respect of all, but him Hundred of that hath the very Right.

The same Law if a Carrier be robbed. (a) Where a Carrier being robbed declared of Good, and Chattels taken out of his Possession; and for Want of alledging that he had a Property in them, acjurged that as to those Goods he could not recover. 2 Sand. 379. Pinkney versus The Inhabitants of East Hundred in Com' Rotel.

The Servant being robbed, may bring an Action against the Hundred: # Brown 153. And the fury find that Part of the Things belonged to the Master, 3 Mod. 250. and Part to the Servant, yet shall he recover for the whole.

If a Servant be robbed in the Presence of the Master, the Master must 2 Salk 613. fue; and the Oath of the Master is sufficient.

per Cur.

By special Verdict it was found, that the Plaintiff sent his Scrvant to Carth. 145. Smithfield Market with fat Cattle, where he fold them for 108 l. and 2 Salk. 613. fealed up 106 l. in four Bags, and delivered them to J. S. a Quaker, 1 Show. 94. who travelled with him towards home, and they were both robbed; \$ \frac{3}{3} \times \text{Cod. 287.} \frac{3}{5} \text{Cod. 287.} that the Servant made Oath of the Robbery, according to the Statute; versus Tie but that the Quaker refused to be sworn; and in Action brought by the  $H_{imdred \ cf}$ Master it was held, that as to the 40 s. taken from the Servant, he should Elikern recover; but that as to the 106 l. taken from the Quaker, he could not, for Want of an Oath according to the Statute; and that the Oath being enjoined meerly for the Benefit of the Hundreds, who were oppressed by pretended Robberies, the Court could not depart from the express Words of the Statute.

But it feems, the Servant who delivered the 106 l. to the Quaker, and Carth 146. was present at the Robbery, might maintain the Action in his own Name for Holt C. J. for all the Money; and that his own Oath would be fufficient; and that winen in Carth, is faid he might declare upon the taking away the Money from the Quaker as to have been his Servant, who, in Truth, was fo for this Time.

ing to the

Chief Justice's Advice; but in 3 Adod. 288 9, tho' the S. P. is admitted, yet it is said that it could not have been done, because the Year was expired within which the Action must be brought.

One Jones, and his Wife and Servant, travelling together, were all Carth. 146. robbed of his Money, and Joues alone brought the Action for the whole Hundred of Bronley, and Servant as from his own Person, and he alone, without his Wife or cited. Servant, made Oath of the Robbery; all which Matter being found on Vol III.

a special Verdict, it was adjudged, that his Oath alone was sufficient within the Intent of the Statute; and altho' it was further found, that the Servant of Jones, who was robbed with his Master, knew one of the Robbers, whose Name was Lenoe, yet Jones had his Judgment.

Carth. 147. Bird verfus Hundred of Offulftrue, cited.

So where one Bird, a Laceman of Colliton in Devonshire, in coming to London, with his Servant, they left the usual great Road between Brentford and Hammersmith, and rode thro' a By-Lane near Serjeant Maynard's House, to avoid the Dust, and in that Lane the Servant was robbed, in the Prefence of his Master, of a Box of Lace which was behind him on the Back of the Horse, to the Value of 1200 l. and Bird the Master alone made Oath of the Robbery, and brought the Action; and by the Opinion of the C. J. Helt the Oath of the Master was sufficient, because being present, the Goods were in his Possession; for the Possession of the Servant in the Presence of his Master, is the Master's Possession; and in this Case Bird recovered 1000 l. and had Execution.

Dyer 370. a. pl. 59.

If A, and B, travelling together are robbed of a Sum of Money, to which they are both jointly intitled, they may both join in an Action against the Hundred; fecus if they had separate and distinct Interests.

#### 5. Of the Potice to be given of the Robbery.

By the 27 Eliz. cap. 13. par. 11. it is Enacted, 'That no Person or e Persons that shall happen to be robbed shall have or maintain any

· Action, or take any Benefit of the Statutes which make the Hundred

bable, except the same Person and Persons so robbed shall, with as

much convenient Speed as may be, give Notice and Intelligence of the faid Felony or Robbery so committed unto some of the Inhabitants of

fome Town, Village, or Hamlet, near unto the Place where any fuch

· Robbery shall be committed.'

In the Construction of this Clause of the Statute it hath been holden,

That if a Person be robbed in a Highway in Divisis Hundredorum, he Cro. Fac. 675. Foster versus need not give Notice to the Inhabitants of each Hundred, but Notice to The Hundreds either of them is sufficient. of Speckor

and Illeworth, adjudged.

Cro Car. 41. adjudged. (a) Or in a different Hundred. Cro. Car. 37.9. adjudged. Cro Car. 41. adjudged.

That alledging Notice to have been given at a Village near to where the Robbery was committed is fufficient, tho' fuch Village happens to be in a different (a) County; for that Strangers are not obliged to take Notice of the Division of Counties.

That tho' it be the best Course to alledge, that Notice was given at the Place where the Robbery was committed, or at fome Village near the Place, yet that Notice near the Hundred, or near the Division of the Hundreds where the Robbery was committed, is sufficient; and that the shall not be intended the most remote Part of the Hundred, especially after a Verdict.

1 Show. 94.

If feveral Persons are in Company at the Time of the Robbery, it is faid, that Notice given by any one of them is sufficient.

March 11. Sir John Compton's Cafe.

It hath been refolved, that tho' the Notice given be five Miles from the Place where the Robbery was committed, that it is sufficient; the Reason whereof is, because that the Party, who is a Stranger to the Country, cannot have Conuzance of the nearest Place or Town.

(b) March 11. 2 I con. S2. S.P. agreed ser Cur.

Also if the Party robbed give Notice with as much convenient Speed as may be, tho' he be otherwise remiss in (b) not pursuing the Robbers, or refuses to lend his Horse for that Purpose, yet shall he not lose his Action for this, nor the Hundred be excused.

And

And now by the 8 Georg. 2. cap. 16. it is further Enacted, ' That no Person shall have or maintain any Action against any Hundred, or take any Benefit by Virtue of the Statutes of Winton or 27 Eliz, or either of them, unless he, she, or they shall, over and besides the Notice already required by the last of the above-mentioned Statutes to be given of any Robbery, with as much convenient Speed as may be, after any Robbery on him, her, or them committed, give Notice thereof to one of the Constables of the Hundred, or to some Constable, Borsholder, Headborough, or Tithingman of some Town, Parish, Village, Hamlet, or Tithing, near unto the Place wherein fuch Robbery shall happen. or shall leave Notice in Writing of such Robbery at the Dwelling-· House of Such Constable, &c. describing in Such Notice to be given or left as aforesaid, so far as the Nature and Circumstances of the Case will admit, the Felon or I clons, and the Time and Place of the Robbery, and also shall, within the Space of twenty Days next after the • Robbery committed, cause publick Notice to be given thereof in the Len-6 don Gazette, therein likewife describing, so far as the Nature and Circumstances of the Case will admit, the Felon or Felons, and the Time and Place of fuch Robbery, together with the Goods and Effects. whereof he, the, or they was or were robbed.'

## 6. Where the Party mult give Bond for Payment of Colls, in case he does not prevail.

To this Purpose by the S Georg. 2. eap. 16. it is Enacted, 'That be fore any Action commenced the Party shall go before the chief Clerk, or Secondary, or the Filazer of the County wherein fuch Robbery fhall happen, or the Clerk of the Pleas of that Court wherein fuch Action is intended to be brought, or their respective Deputies, or before the Sheriff of the County wherein the Robbery shall happen, and enter into a Bond to the High Constable or High Constables of the Hundred in which the Robbery stall be committed, in the penal Sum of 100 l. with two fufficient Sureties to be approved of by fuch chief Clerk, Secondary, Filazer, or Clerk of the Pleas, or their respective Deputies, or the Sheriff of the said County, with Condition for fecuring to fuch High Conftable or High Conftables (who are hereby impowered and required to enter or cause to be entered an Appearance, and also to defend such Action,) the due Payment of his or their Costs, after the same shall be taxed by the proper Officer, in case that 6 he, she, or they (the Plaintiss or Plaintiss in such Action) shall happen 6 to be nonsuited, or shall discontinue his, her, or their Action, or in case that Judgment shall be given against such Haintiff or Plaintiffs on Demurrer, or that a Verdict shall be given against him, her, or them. And it is further Enacted by the faid Statute, ' That when any fuch Bond as abovementioned shall be entered into before the faid Sherisf, fuch Sheriff shall immediately certify the same in Writing to the chief Clerk or Secondary in the Court of King's Bench, or his or their Deputy, or to the Filazer of that County wherein fuch Robbery shall be committed, or his Deputy; in case the Action be intended to be brought in the Court of Common Pleas; or if in the Court of Exchequer, to the Clerk of the Pleas, or his Deputy; which Certificate shall be delivered by the Party or Parties robbed to the said chief Clerk or Secondary, or his or their Deputy, or to fuch Filazer, or his Deputy, before any Process shall issue for the Commencement of such Suit as aforesaid; and such chief Clerk, Secondary, Filazer, or Clerk of the Pleas, or their respective Deputics, or the said Sheriff, shall not take 6 any greater Fee or Reward for making fuch Bond than five Shillings

over and above the Stamp-Duties, nor shall any Sheriff take any greater Fee or Reward for making, nor shall any such chief Clerk, Secondary,

Filazer, or Clerk of the Pleas, or their respective Deputies, take any

greater Fee or Reward for receiving and filing such Certificate, than
Two Shillings and Sixpenses an Two Shillings and Sixpence; and fuch chief Clerk, Secondary, Filazer,

or Clerk of the Pleas, or their respective Deputies, and Sheriff, as aforesaid, are hereby required to deliver over gratis (upon reasonable

Request made for that Purpose) all and every such Bonds to be by

them respectively taken pursuant to this present Act, to the High

Constable or High Constables to whose Use the same shall be taken as aforefaid.'

#### 7. Of the Dath to be taken of the Robberg, and before whom the same must be.

By the 27 Eliz cap. 13. par. 11. it is Enacted, 'That the Party cobbed shall not have any Action except he or they shall first, within twenty Days next before such Action to be brought, be examined upon his or their corporal Oath, to be taken before some Justice of the

Peace of the County where the Robbery was committed, inhabiting within the faid Hundred where the Robbery was committed, or near

unto the fame, whether he or they do know the Parties that commutted the faid Robbery, or any of them; and if, upon Examination, it

be confessed that he or they do know the Parties that committed the

6 faid Robbery, or any of them, that then he or they so confessing shall, before the faid Action be commenced or brought, enter into fufficient

Bond by Recognizance before the faid Justice before whom the faid Examination is hid, effectually to profecute the same Person and Per-

' fons fo known to have committed the faid Robbery, by Indictment or

6 otherwise, according to the due Course of the Laws of this Realm.'

In the Construction of this Clause of the Statute the following Points have been holden,

March 11.

That if the Party does not know the Robbers at the Time of the Robbery committed, tho' he happens to know them afterwards, it is not

Noy 21. Bateman's Cafe. 3 Lev. 328.

It was holden by three Judges against one, that the Party's swearing that he did not know the Robbers, without adding, nor any of them, is not sufficient; because not pursuant to the Statute, and because on such equivocal Oath the Party cannot be punished for Perjury.

S. P. by J.
Powel versus

J. Rokeshy, who held, that if a Person swears that he was robbed by four Persons unknown to him, all the four must be unknown to him.

1 Fones 239. Helier verlus Hundred de Benhurft.

(a) And as

It hath been adjudged, that the Oath may be taken before a Justice of the County, tho' not in the County at the Time of administering it; as where a Robbery was committed in Berks, and a Justice of that County residing in London, the Party was sworn before him according to the Statute in London, and it was held sufficient; for the Justice acts only as (a) a ministerial Officer, and as appointed by the Statute, and not in a judicial Capacity as a Justice of the Peace.

his Office herein is purely ministerial, it is said, that if he refuses to take the Oath or Examination of the Party, an Action on the Case will lie against him. 1 Leon. 323.

If in an Action on the Statute of Hue and Cry it be alledged, that Vide 2 Sid. 45. the Oath was taken before a Justice of Peace of Torkshire, this will be sufficient, altho' objected, that there is no such Justice; because that in every Riding they have feveral Commissions.

#### 8. At what Cime the Action is to be brought.

By the 27 Eliz. cap. 13. p.ar. 9. it is enacted, 'That no Perfon or Errons robbed shall take Advantage of the Statutes, to charge any

Hundred where any fuch Robbery shall be committed, except he or

they so robbed shall commence his or their Suit or Action within one

Sear next after fuch Rolbery committed.

In the Construction whereof it hath been holden:

That if a Person be robbed the 9th of Octob. 13 Fac. and so laid, and Hob. 139, 140. the Teste of the Wrt be the 9th of Ocicle. 14 Jac. that this is not purfuant to the Statute; and that in this Action, which is Penal against 1 Browns. the Hundred, there is no Reason to exclude the Day on which the 156.8. C. Fact was done, nor to make such Construction as is done in Pro- Norris vertections and the Involument of Deeds, which have always received a Gawiry.

In an Action on the Statute of Hue and Cry, the Plaintiff made Oath I Sed 139. according to the Statute, and within twenty Days brought a Writ, and 1 Keb. 495. because it was vicious, let it fall; and after the twenty Days took out S. C. Newman ver. Ina new one, without making any Oath a-new, or entering any Conti- habitants of numces between the faid Writ and that; and the Court held clearly, Strofford. that the second Writ was not brought according to the Statute; for fo they faid, that Provision in the Statute would be to no Manner of Purpofe.

An Action was brought by the Mafter, on the Statute of Hinton, for a 3 Lev 347. Robbery committed on his Servant, in which he declared of an Affault Beare reft and Battery done to himself, (tho' then 50 Miles from the Place,) also dred of Berrathat he made Oath that he did not know any of the Persons; the Issue fam and was entred of Record, and the Jury appeared at the Bar ready to try Sume. it; but being for other Bufinel's adjourned to another Day, the Plaintiff observing his Mistake moved to amend, by declaring of a Robbery on his Servant, &c. and it appearing that the Year in which the Action must be brought was expired, and confequently the Action must be lost if not allowed, the Court, after long Debate and Confideration of former Precedents, admitted him to amend.

#### 9. What Chidence will maintain the Action; and therein of the Witnesses for and against it.

It feems that from the Necessity of the Case, the Party himself that 2 Leon. 12. was robbed is to be admitted as a Witness, but then his Testimony must be corroborated by collateral Proof and Circumstances, and such as may induce a Jury to believe that a Robbery was actually committed, that the Party lost what he declared for.

But it was held, that in an Action against the Hundred, no Inhabi- I Vent. 351tant of the Hundred could be a Witness, because he was concerned in <sup>1</sup>/<sub>2</sub> Keb. 73. Interest,

But now by the 8 Geor. 2. cap. 16. reciting, that by the Laws then in being, the Person or Persons rob ed may be admitted, in any Action to be brought against the Hundred, as a Witness to prove the Robbery, and the Money, Goods or Effects whereof he, she, or they, was or were robbed; and yet no Person inhabiting within the said Hundred, can be admitted as a Witness for or on Behalf of the said Hundred, by reason of the Interest he or the may have in the Consequences of the said Ac-Vol. III.

tion, which is commonly very inconfiderable; therefore it is enacted, 'I hat in any Action already brought, or to be brought, against any ' Hundred, any Person inhabiting within the said Hundred, or any

Franchise thereof, shall be admitted as Witness for or on Behalf of the

' said Hundred, in the same Manner as if he or she were not an Inhabi-

tant thereof, but refided in any other Hundred whatfoever.

#### 10. Alliat hall excuse the Hundred; and therein of appres hending the Robbers.

z Infl. 569. 3 Lev. 320. Dyer 370. a. 1 Sid. 11.

By the Statutes of Winton 13 E. I. cap. I. & 28 E. 3. cap. II. the Robbers must be taken within forty Days after the Robbery committed; also by the said Laws it was necessary that all the Robbers should be

taken, to excuse the Hundred.

But now as to this latter Matter, by the 27 Eliz. cap. 13. par. 8. it is enacted, 'That where any Robbery is, or shall be hereafter committed by two, or a greater Number of Malefactors, and that it happen any one of the said Offenders to be apprehended by Pursuit, to be made ac-6 cording to the faid former mentioned Laws and Statutes, or according to this Act, that then, and in such Case, no Hundred or Franchise ' shall in any wife incur or fall into the Penalty, Loss or Forseiture ' mentioned either in this present Act, or in any the said former Sta-' tutes, altho' the Residue of the said Malesactors shall happen to escape and not be apprehended, any Thing in this Statute, or in the faid former Statutes, to the contrary notwithstanding.

i Vent. 118, 325. Raym. 221. 2 Lev. 4. S. C. Methavin of Thisleworth.

I Vent. 118.

If a Robbery be committed, and Hue and Cry made, and afterwards, within the forty Days, an Inhabitant of the Hundred finds one of the Robbers in the Presence of a Justice of the Peace, who charges him with the Robbery, and the Justice promises that he shall appear and be forthver. Hundred coming, this is a Taking within the Statute; for being in the Presence of the Justice, it must be understood that he was in his Custody and Power, and therefore not necessary to lay hold on him.

If Hue and Cry be made towards one Part of the County, and an 119. Per Hale Inhabitant of the Hundred apprehends one of the Robbers within ano-

ther, this is a Taking within the Statute.

By the 8 Georg. 2. cap. 16. it is enacted, 'That no Hundred, or Franchife therein, shall be chargeable, by Virtue of any of the Statutes, if any one or more of the Felons, by whom such Robbery shall be com-6 mitted, be apprehended within the Space of forty Days next after pub-6 lick Notice given in the London Gazette, as by the Statute is provided.

And by the said Statute 8 Geor. 2. to the Intent that Hue and Cry may be made with more Diligence and Effect, and other Persons incou-

raged to take such Felon or Felons, it is enacted, 'That any Person or Ferfons, who shall apprehend such Felon or Felons within the Time herein before limited for that Purpose, whereby the Hundred hath 6 been actually indemnified or discharged from any such Action as aforefaid, shall, upon due Proof thereof, upon Oath made before two 4 Justices of the Peace, (which Oath the faid Justices are hereby also 6 impowered and required to administer,) be intitled to the Reward of 6 101. which Sum shall be raised upon the Hundred by a Taxation and 6 Affeffment, to be made, and to be levied, and collected in the same 6 Manner as the other Sums of Money, by this present Act appointed to

6 be raised upon the Hundred, are directed to be assessed, levied and collected; and fuch Sum of 101. which shall be so rated, assessed, le-' vied and collected as aforefaid, shall be paid unto such two Justices of

6 the Peace, within ten Days next after the same shall be so levied and 6 collected, to the Use of the Person or Persons who shall be thereunto 6 intitled, as a Reward for having so apprehended fuch Felon or Felons

as aforeind; and fuch Justices shall, upon reasonable Request made for that Purpose, pay over and deliver the said Sum to such Person or Persons accordingly, in such Shares and Proportions as the said Justices shall think reasonable; Provided always, that such Person or Fersons so intalled to such Reward, shall not thereby be rendered uncapable to be a Witness in any such Action.

## 11. How the Money is to be levied, and each Hundredor to contribute to the Charges.

By the 27 EEz. cap. 13 par. 14. reciting, that altho' the whole Hundred, where Robberies and Felonies are committed, with the Liberties within the Frecinct thereof, are charged by the former Statutes with the answering to the Party robbed his Damages; yet nevertheless the Recovery and Execution, by and for the Party or Parties robbed, is had against one or a very sew Persons of the said Inhabitants, and he and they so charged have not heretofore had any Means or Ways to have any Contribution of or from the Residue of the said Hundred where the said Robbery is committed, to the great Impoverishment of them against whom such Recovery or Execution is had;

Par. 5. of the said Statute it is enacted, 6 That after Execution of Damages by the Party or Parties fo robbed had, it shall and may be 6 lawful (upon Complaint made by the Party or Parties so charged) to and for two Justices of the Peace (whereof one to be of the Quorum) of the same County, inhabiting within the said Hundred, or near unto 6 the same where any such Execution shall be had, to Assess and Tax for rateably and proportionably, according to their Discretions, all and fevery the Towns, Parishes, Villages and Hamlets, as well of the said 6 Hundred where any such Robbery shall be committed, as of the Liberties within the faid Hundred, to and towards an equal Contribution, to be had and made for the Relief of the Inhabitant or Inhabitants, against whom the Party or Parties robbed before that Time had his or their Execution; and that after such Taxation made, the Constables, or Constable, Headboroughs, or Headborough, of every such Town, Parish, Village and Hamlet, shall, by Virtue of this present Act, have full Power and Authority, within their feveral Limits, rateably and proportionably, to tax and affefs, according to their Abilities, every Inhabitant and Dweller in every fuch Town, Parish, Village and Hamlet, for and towards the Payment of such Taxation and Affess ment, as shall be so made upon every such Town, Parish, Village and Hamlet, for and towards the Payment of such Taxation and Assessment as shall be so made upon every such Town, Parish, Village and Hamlet; as aforesaid, by the said Justices: And that if any Inhabitant of any such Town, Parish, Village or Hamlet, shall obstinately resuse and deny to pay the faid Taxation and Affessment, so by the faid Constables, Constable, Headboroughs, or Headborough, taxed and assessed, 6 that then it shall and may be lawful to and for the said Constables and Headboroughs, and every of them, within their feveral Limits and Jurisdictions, to distrain all and every Person and Persons so refusing and denying, by his and their Goods and Chattels, and the same Distress to fell, and the Money thereof coming to retain to the Use aforesaid; and if the Goods or Chattels so distrained and sold shall be of more Value than the faid Taxation shall come unto, that then the Residue of " the faid Money over and above the faid Taxation shall be delivered unto the faid Person or Persons so distrained.

And it is further enacted, par. 6. That all and every the faid Confitables and Headboroughs, after that they have within their feveral

Limits and Jurisdictions levied and collected their said Rates and

Sums of Money fo taxed, shall, within ten Days after such Collection, 6 pay and deliver the same over unto the said Justices of Peace, or one of them, to the Use and Behoof of the said Inhabitant or Inhabitants, 6 for whom such Rate, Taxation and Assessment shall be had or made as 6 aforefaid; which Money fo paid shall by the Justices, or Justice, fo 6 receiving the same, be delivered over (upon Request made) unto the 6 said Inhabitant or Inhabitants, to whose Use the same was collected. And it is further enacted, par. 7. 'That the like Taxation, Affest-6 ment, Levying by Distress and Payment as aforesaid, shall be had and 6 done within every Hundred where Default or Negligence of Purfuit; and fresh Suit shall be for and to the Benefit of all and every Inhabi-

6 tant and Inhabitants of the same Hundred where such Default shall be, 6 that shall at any Time hereafter, by Virtue of this present Act, have 6 any Damages or Money levied of them, for or to the Payment of the one Moiety, or Half of the Money, recovered against the said Hun-

dred where any Robbery shall be committed.

It hath been adjudged, that a Person occupying Lands in an Hun-2 Sand. 423. I eigh ver. dred, altho' he hath no House nor Dwelling there, is an Inhabitant Chapman. within the Meaning of the Statute, for that otherwise the Statute might

March 11. but Hutt. 125. S. P.

cont.

It is faid, that a Person, tho' not an Inhabitant at the Time of the Robbery committed, but becoming one before the Judgment, shall contribute to the Charges.

And now for the more equal Rating and Levying the Money, for which the Hundreds are chargeable, by the 8 Geor. 2. cap. 16. it is enacted, 6 That no Process for Appearance in any Action to be brought upon the faid Statutes, or either of them, against any Hundred, shall be 6 ferved on any Inhabitant thereof, fave only upon the High Constable, or High Constables of the Hundred wherein the Robbery shall happen, ' who is and are hereby required to cause publick Notice thereof to be given in one of the principal Market-Towns within fuch Hundred, on 6 the next Market-Day, after he or they shall be served with such Pro-6 cess; or if there shall happen to be no Market-Town within such Hun-6 dred, then in some Parish Church within the Hundred, immediately after Divine Service, on the Sunday next after his or their being ferved with fuch Frocess; and he or they is and are also impowered and re-6 quired to enter, or cause to be entered, an Appearance in the said Action, and also to defend the fame for and on Behalf of the Inhabitants of the faid Hundred, as he or they shall be advised; and in case the Plaintiff or Plaintiffs in fuch Action shall recover and obtain Judgment therein, that then no Process of Execution shall be served on any particular Inhabitant or Inhabitants of the faid Hundred, or any Franchise within the Precinct thereof, nor on the faid High Constable, or High Constables; but the Sheriff, or his Officer, shall, upon the Receipt of any "Writ or Writs of Execution to him directed, in Pursuance of the said ' Judgment, (instead of serving the said Writ or Writs on any Inhabitant or Inhabitants) cause the same to be produced, and shewn gratis, unto two Justices of the Peace of the County, Riding or Division, (whereof one to be of the Quorum) and refiding within the faid Hundred, or near " unto the fame, who shall thereupon, with all convenient Speed, cause 6 fuch Taxation and Affessiment to be made, and to be levied and col-6 lected in such Manner as is prescribed in and by the Statute 27 Eliz. in which Taxation and Assessinent there shall be provided for and in-

4 cluded, over and above what the Costs and Damages, recovered by the 6 Plaintiff or Plaintiffs in fuch Action, shall amount to, all such just and 6 necessary Expences, which any High Constable, or High Constables of

any Hundred, hath, or have been, or shall be at, in having defended 6 any fuch Action as aforefaid, Claim being made thereto by fuch High

Constable,

Constable, or High Constables, before the faid Justices, upon due Notice being given to him or them by the faid Justices for that Purpose; and the Sums of Money fo to be levied and collected shall be paid over and delivered, (by fuch Officer or Officers as by the faid Statute 27 Eliza are to levy and collect the same, ) within ten Days after such Collection, oro the Sheriff of the County wherein the Robbery shall happen, to the " Use and Behoof of the Plaintiff or Plaintiffs in such Action, for so 6 much as the Coths and Damages by him, her, or them recovered shall s amount to, and to the Use and Behoof of the said High Constable on 6 High Constables, for so much as his or their Expences in defending the ' faid Action shall amount to, of which the said High Constable or High Constables shall give in an Account, and make due Proof upon Oath, to the Satisfaction of the said Justices, before any such Taxation and Affessiment shall be made for the Reimbursing such High Constable or High Constables, (which Oath the faid Justices are hereby impowered and required to administer, ) and shall in such Expences have no further Allowance toward paying an Attorney to defend the faid Action, than what fuch Attorney's Bill feall be taxed at by the proper Officer of that Court where fuch Action shall be brought, which the faid High 6 Constable or High Constables shall cause to be taxed for that Purpose. And it is further Enacted by the faid Statute, 'I hat the Sum or Sums 6 of Money which shall be paid over and delivered to the Sheriff of the ' County, as herein before mentioned, shall (upon reasonable Request made) te by him paid and delivered over to the feveral Parties who s shall be intitled to receive the same, without any Deduction, Fee, or Reward whatfocver.

And that sufficient Time may not be wanting for such Taxation and Assessment to be duly made, and for the Money to be collected and levied thereupon, after such Writ or Writs of Execution shall be shewn to such Justices, and before the Sheriff shall be obliged to make a Return thereof, it is Enacted, 'That no Sheriff shall be called upon or required ro make any Return to any such Writ or Writs of Execution, as shall issue or be made out upon any Judgment which shall be recovered in any Action brought against any Hundred by virtue of the above-mentioned Statutes, or either of them, until after the Expiration of sixty Days next after the Day whereupon such Writ or Writs shall be delivered to the said Sheriff, who is hereby required to indorse on the Back thereof

6 the Day on which he received the same.

And whereas it is reasonable that the said High Constable or High Constalles should be indemnified as to all Charges, which he or they shall necessarily expend in defending any Suit in Pursuance of this present Act, and that Provision should be made for Reimbursing him or them not only of fuch Expences as shall be over and above the taxed Costs, to be paid by the Flaintiff or Plaintiffs, in case of a Nonsuit, Discontinuance, or Judgment on Demurrer against him, her, or them, or Verdict for the Defendants as aforefaid, but even fuch taxed Costs also, in case the Plaintiff or Plaintiffs, and his, her, or their Sureties who shall be bound for the Payment thereof, shall happen to become infolvent; it is therefore Enacted, 'That if any Plaintiff or Plaintiffs in any Action to be 6 brought against any Hundred shall be nonsuited, or shall discontinue his, her, or their Action, or shall have a Judgment on Demurrer given, or a Verdict pass against him, her, or them, it shall and may be lawful for any two Justices of the Peace, (such as herein before-mentioned) upon Complaint to them made for that Purpose, and upon an Account e given in by fuch High Constable or High Constables, and Proof made upon Oath, to the Satisfaction of the said Justices, of such Expences e necessarily laid out as aforesaid, (which Oath the said Justices are 6 hereby impowered and required to administer,) to make and cause such Taxation and Assessment to be made, and to be levied and collected Vol. III.

in fuch Manner, as is directed in and by the above-mentioned Statute 6 of 27 Eliz. in order thereby to reimburse such High Constable or 6 High Constables all fuch Charges, as he or they shall have necessarily expended in defending fuch Action, wherein fuch Plaintiff or Plaintiffs fhall have been nonfuited, or shall have discontinued his, her, or their Action, or against whom Judgment shall have been given upon De-murrer, or a Verdick shall have been given, over and above the Costs in those Cases to be taxed as aforesaid; and in case it shall be made appear upon Oath to the said Justices of the Peace, (which Oath the 6 faid Justices are hereby also impowered and required to administer,) 6 to their Satisfaction, that fuch Plaintiff or Plaintiffs, and also his or their Sureties, is and are infolvent, fo that the faid High Constable or 6 High Constables can have no Relief as to fuch taxed Costs by them expended in such Desence as aforesaid, (save only by the Power herein after given to the faid Justices,) it shall and may be lawful to and for fuch two Justices of the Peace to make and cause a Taxation and Affessiment to be made, and to be levied and collected in the same Manner, as is directed in and by the aforefaid Statute made 27 Eliz. in order thereby to reimburse such High Constable or High Constables fuch taxed Costs, as by reason of such Insolvency he or they shall not be able to recover and receive of and from the Plaintiff or Plaintiffs in the Action, or his or their Sureties, as aforefaid.

And it is further Enacted, 'That the feveral Sum or Sums of Money, which shall be so rated and affessed, and levied and collected as aforesaid, for the Reimbursement of the Expences necessarily sustained by any High Constable or High Constables in Desence of any Action brought against the Hundred upon the Statutes above-mentioned, or either of them, in case of any Judgment given against the Plaintiff or Plaintiffs, shall be paid within ten Days after such Collection, unto the faid Justices, or one of them, to the Use and Behoof of such High

And it is further Enacted, 'That the Justices of Peace, by whom

Constable or High Constables, to whom the said Justices shall, upon Request, pay and deliver over the same.

fuch Taxations and Affessiments as aforesaid shall, in Pursuance of the faid Statute made in 27 Eliz. and also of this present Act, be made, shall limit and appoint, at their Discretion, some certain reasonable. Time within which such Taxations and Assessiments shall be levied and collected, which Time shall not exceed thirty Days; and also that is any such Officer or Officers, who are to levy and collect such Taxations and Assessiments as aforesaid, shall resuse or neglect to levy and collect the same within such Time, as shall be limited and appointed by the said Justices of the Peace for their doing thereof, or shall resuse or neglect to pay and deliver over the Sums of Money so, levied and collected to the said Sheriss, and also to the said Justices, in such Manner as the same in the several Cases herein before mentioned are respectively directed to be paid, within the respective Times herein before limited for such Payment thereof, every such Officer shall for every such Resultation Neglect forseit double the Sum appointed to be by him levied and collected as aforesaid.

## Ideots and Lunaticks.

(A) What Persons are essemed such, so as to come within the Protection of the Law.

(B) How they are to be found such.

- (C) Who hath an Interest in, and Jurisdiction over them; and therein of appointing them proper Curatoes and Committees, and the Power and Duty of such Committes.
- (D) How far their Want of Anderstanding shall be said prejudicial to them in Civil Respects.
- (E) How far the Want of Anderstanding will excuse in Criminal Cases.
- (F) How far their Acts are good, void, or voidable.
- (G) Bow they are to sue and desend.

## (A) Tuhat Persons are esecuted such, so as to come Within the Protection of the Law.

HE more general Description of a Person, who, from his Want Co. Lit. 246.

of Reason and Understanding, comes within the Protection 4 Co. 124. of the Law, is that of Non Compos Mentis. There are, fays my Lord Coke, four Kinds of Men who may Co. Lit. 247. be faid Non compos: 1. An Ideot, who is Non compos from his Nativity. 4 Co. 124 2. One made fuch by Sickness. 3. A Lunatick, qui aliquando gnadet Vide I Hale lucidis Intervallis, who is Non compos only for the Time that he wants Hift. P. C. Understanding. 4. One that is drunk; which last is fo far from coming 30 to 37 within the Protection of the Law, that his Drunkenness is an (a) Aggra- (a) Plow 19. vation of whatever he does amiss.

ebrietas incendit & detegit.

1. An Ideot is a Fool or Madman from his Nativity, and one who Pyer 25. never has any lucid Intervals; therefore the King has the Protection of Meer4. pl 12. him and his Estate, during his Life, without rendering any Account; Bro. Ideat 1because it cannot be presumed that he will be ever capable of taking F. N. B. 233-Care of himself or his Affairs: And such a one is described a Person that cannot number twenty, tell the Days of the Week, does not know his Father or Mother, his own Age, &c. But these are mentioned as

Instances only; for Ideot or not, being a Question of Fact, must be tried by Jury or Inspection.

But tho' an Ideot must be so a Nativitate, yet if by Inquisition it be 3 Med 43,42 found, that A. is an Ideot not having any lucid Intervals per spatium 2 Show. 17th. offo Annorum, this is a sufficient Finding; for the Inquisition having S.C. Prodgers found the Party an Ideot, the Adding per spatium of o Annorum is Sur- and Lady

plufage, and shall be rejected.

## Adeots and Lunaticks.

I Hale Hift. P. C. 30.

2. One made fuch by Sickness, which my Lord Hale calls Dementia assidentalis vel adventitia, and which he again distinguishes into a total and a partial Infanity, from its being more or less violent, is such a Madness as excuseth in Criminal Cases; and tho' the Party also in every thing else be intitled to the same Protection with an Ideot, and tho' his Disorder seem permanent and fixed, yet as he had once Reason and Understanding, and as the Law sees no Impossibility but what he may be restored to them again, it makes the King only a Trustee for the Benefit of fuch a one, without giving him any Profit or Interest in his Estate.

4 Co. 125. T Hale Hift. P. C. 31.

3. A Lunatick; this is also Dementia accidentalis vel adventitia, and Co Lit. 247. takes its Name from the great Influence which the Moon has in all Diforders of the Brain; and tho' fuch a one hath Intervals of Reason, yet during his I hrenzy he is intitled to the fame Indulgency as to his Acts, and stands in the same Degree with one whose Disorder is fixed and per-

Plow. 19 a. Cromp. Just. 29. 11. Co. Lit. 247. 1 Hale Hift. P. C. 32.

4. One made mad by Drunkenness, which is called Dementia effectava; and tho', as has been faid, such a Person be not intitled to the Protection of the Law, yet if a Person by the Unskilfulness of his Physician, or by the Contrivance of his Enemies, eat or drink fuch a Thing as causeth Fhrenzy, this puts him in the same Condition with any other Phrenzy, and equally excufeth him; also if by one or more such Practices an habitual or fixed Fhrenzy be caused, tho' this Madness was contracted by the Vice and Will of the Party, yet this habitual and fixed Phrenzy thereby caused puts the Man in the same Condition, as if the

fame was contracted involuntarily at first.

But tho' this Subject of Madness may be spun out to a greater Length, and branched into feveral Kinds and Degrees, yet it appears that the prevailing Distinction herein in Law is between Ideocy and Lunacy; the first a Fatuity a Nativitate, vel Dementia naturalis, which excuseth the Party as to his Acts, and intitles the King to the Receipt of the Rents and Profits of his Estate during his Life, without being obliged to render any Account for the same; the other accidental or adventitious Madness, which, whether permanent and fixed, or with lucid Intervals, (a) 400.125.a. goes under the general Name of Lunacy, and (a) equally excufeth with Ideocy, as to Acts done during the Phrenzy; but hercin they differ, that in the latter Case the King, as has been said, is only a Trustee for the Lunatick, and accountable to him, if he happens to be restored to his Understanding, or to his Representatives, if it happen otherwise: But in what Things they further differ, will be feen by that which follo "s.

## (B) How they are to be found such.

1 Hale Eift. P. C. 33.

PVERY Person of the Age of Discretion is in Law presumed to be of sound Mind and Memory, unless the contrary appear; and this Rule holds as well in Civil as Criminal Cases.

9 Co. 31. a. 4 Co. 126. And for this

The Trial of Ideocy, Madness, or Lunacy in Civil Cases, and in order to the Commitment or Custody of the Person and his Estate, which belongs to the King, either to his own Use and Benefit, as in Case of ota inquiren-do, vide Fitz. nacy, is by Writ or Commission to the Sheriss, or Escheator, or particu-N. B. 232 3. lar Commissioners, both by their own Inspection and by Inquisition to inquire, and return their Inquisition into the Chancery; and thereupon a Grant or Commitment of the Party and his Estate ensues: And in case the Party or his Friends find themselves injured by the finding him a Lunatick or Ideot, a special Writ may issue to bring the Party before the Chancellor, or before the King, to be inspected; and if, on Examination, it appear that the Party is no (a) Ideot, the whole Commission (a) That and Office shall be discharged without any Traverse or Monstrans de lideocy may be tried by Inspection,

because it may be discerned; but not Lunacy without taking out a Commission of Lunacy, Skin. 5

Also the Party sound an Ideot or Lunatick may traverse the Inqui-Skin. 178. fition, as may any other Person having a Title to the Land; and therefore it is said, that by the Statute 18 II. 6. there ought to be a Month's Time between the Return of the Inquisition and the Grant of the Custody and Lands, in order for the Parties to come in and tender such Traverse.

If by Inquisition a Person be sound a Lunatick, and the Custody 2 Sid 124. granted to J. S. and the Party thus sound brings a Scire Facias to set Susan Them asside the Inquisition, the Committee of the Lunatick cannot plead nor join Issue in such Scire Facias; for he can have no Interest in the Estate of the Lunatick, being only in the Nature of a Bailist to the King; and therefore his Duty is to inform the King's Attorney General of the Nature of the Affair, who is the proper Person to contest the Matter in Behalf of the King.

As to Ideocy, Lunacy, or Madness, which excuses in capital Cases, it 26 Ass. It 25 Ass. It 26 Ass.

Also in case a Man in a Phrenzy happen by some Oversight, or by 1 Hale Right means of the Gaoler, to plead to his Indictment, and is put upon his P. C. 35, 36. Trial, and it appears to the Court upon his Trial that he is mad, the Judge in Discretion may discharge the Jury of him, and remit him to Gaol to be tried after the Recovery of his Understanding, especially in case any Doubt appear upon the Evidence touching the Guilt of the Fact, and this in Eavovem Vit.e; and if there be no Colour of Evidence to prove him guilty, or if there be a pregnant Evidence to prove his Infanity at the Time of the Fact committed, then, upon the same Favour of Life and Liberty, it is sit it should be proceeded in the Trial, in order to his Acquittal and Enlargement.

So if a Person during his Infanity commit a capital Offence, and reco- 1 Hale History his Understanding, and being indicted and arraigned for the same, P. C. 36. pleads Not guilty, he ought to be acquitted; for, by reason of his Incapacity, he cannot act selleo animo.

(C) Who hath an Interest in, and Invisdiction over them: And therein of appointing them proper Curators and Committees, and the Power and Duty of such Committees.

T feems to be agreed at this Day, that the King as Parens Patrix Starf. Prer q. hath the Protection of all his Subjects, and that in a more peculiar manner he is to take Care of all those who, by reason of their Imbecility 4 Co. 126. a. Vol. III.

and Want of Understanding, are incapable of taking Care of themselves; this, in some Books, is called a Prerogative in the Crown, and in others a Regium Munus, or Duty which the King owes his Subjects in Return to their Subjection and Allegiance to him.

2 Inft. 14. 4 Co. 126.

My Lord Coke in his 2 Inst. is of Opinion, that by the Common Law the King had no Prerogative in the Custody of an Ideot's Lands, but that the same belonged to the Lords of whom the Lands were holden, and that the fame was given to the King by fome Act of Parliament after the making of Magna Charta, and before the Statute de Prarogativa Regis 17 E. 2. eap. 9. but in 4 Co. Beverlye's Cafe, he fays, that this Prerogative was by the Common Law, and that the Statute de Præregativa Regis is only Declarative thereof.

But however that may be, now, by the Statute de Prierogativa Regis, or 17 E. 2. cap. 9. it is enacted, 'That the King shall have the Custody (a) And also of the (a) Lands of natural Fools, raking the Profits of them, without ' Waste or Destruction, and shall find them their Necessaries of whose ' Fee foever the Lands be holden; and after the Death of fuch Ideots, he shall render it to the right Heirs, so that such Ideots shall not alien, ' nor their Heirs shall be disinherited.

Chattels. 4 Co 148.

of their

Goods and

And cap. 10. of the faid Statute, ' Also the King shall provide when ' any (that before Time hath had his Wit and Memory) happen to fail ' of his Wit, and there are many per Lucida Intervalla, that their Lands ' and Tenements shall be fafely kept without Waste and Destruction, and

6 that they and their Houshold shall live and be maintained competently ' with the Profits of the same, and the Residue, besides their Sustenta-6 tion, shall be kept to their Use, to be delivered unto them when they

come to right Mind; fo that fuch Lands and Tenements shall in no ' wife be aliened, and the King shall take nothing to his own Use; and

' if the Party die in such Estate, then the Residue shall be distributed

for his Soul, by the Advice of the Ordinary.

This Distinction, established by this Statute, between the King's Interest in the Lands of an Ideot and Lunatick, is laid down and admitted (b) Bro. Ideal in all the (b) Books which speak of this Matter; and on this Foundation it hath been (c) resolved, that the King may grant the Custody of an (d) Ideot and his Lands to a Person, his Heirs and Executors, and that Moor 4. pl. 12. he had the same Interest such a one as he had in his Ward by the 1 And. 23. Common Law.

4 Co. 127. Co. L.t. 247.

4, 5.

Dyer 25.

(c) 3 Mod. 43 4. Skin. 5, 177. 2 Show. 171. 1 Vern. 9. Prodgers and Lady Frazier. (d) But the King cannot grant the Custody of the Body and Lands of a Lunatick to one to take the Profits to his own Ule. Moor 4. pl. 12. adjudged.

4 Co. 127. 2 Chan. Ca. 239.

But tho' a Lunatick is by Commission to be under the Care of the Publick, and fuch Committee is to be appointed for him by the Lord Chancellor, whose Acts are subject to the Controul and Correction of the Court of Chancery; yet fuch a one, whether so appointed, or whether he of his own Head take upon him the Care and Management of the Estate of a Lunatick, is but in Nature of a Bailiss or Trustee for him, and accountable to him, his Executors or Administrators.

1 Vern. 262. Foster ver. Merchant.

And as the Committees of a Lunatick have no Interest, but an Estate during Pleasure, it hath been ruled, that they cannot make Leases, nor any ways incumber the Lunatick's Estate, without a special Order from the Court of Chancery, where the Profits are not sufficient to maintain the Lunatick.

1 Vern. 262, 263.

2

Also where a Lunatick, before he became such, made a Mortgage of good Part of his Estate for 501. and the Committee transferred this Mortgage, and took up 3 or 400 L more upon it, and it was held, by my Lord Keeper, that the Mortgage should stand but a Security for the 50 l. only.

And

And as to Improvements and Buildings on the Lunatick's Estate, it I Vern. 263. has been held, that upon his Death the Heir must be let into the Estate

without making any Allowance for fuch Improvements.

The Committee of a Lunatick having invested Part of the Lunatick's 2 Vern. 192. Personal Estate in a Purchase of Lands, made in the Lunatick's Name to Awdley vershim and his Heirs, the Question was, whether the Committee had not exceeded his Power by changing the Personal Estate into a Real Estate, and thereby defeating the next of Kin, in Favour of the Heirs at Law; and after great Debate, and upon Reading the Statute made touching the granting the Custody of the Lunatick, whereby it is provided, that the Surplus shall be fasely kept and delivered to him, if he recover; if not, upon his Death, to be employed for the Benefit of his Soul, &c. the Court decreed an Account of the Personal Estate, and the Lands purchased to be fold, and the Money to go and be divided, as Personal Estate, amongst the next of Kin.

Also the Care and Management of all Assairs relating to Ideots and Preced Chan-Lunaticks is fo peculiarly under the Power and Direction of the Court 203 of Chancery, that all Abuses in Relation to them, as taking them out 216r. Eq. 278, of the Custody of their Committees, Marrying them, &c. are punishable

as Contempts to that Court.

But it seemeth, that the 17 E. 2. which giveth the Wardship of Ideots 4 Co. 126. b. Lands to the King, he finding them convenient Maintenance out of the Co. Co. yhold. Profits thereof, extends not to Copyhold Lands, for the Prejudice that 152. would thereby enfue to the Lord; but yet all Alienations made by an Ideot of his Copyhold Lands after Office found, shall be avoided by the King.

And yet it hath been held, that tho' the King cannot have the Custody Noy 27of an Ideot or Lunntick Copyholder, by reason of the Prejudice that Hob. 215. per Hobart. might accrue to the Lord thereby, that yet the Lord of a Manor de communi jure hath not the Custody of a Lunatick's Lands, but that there

must be a Custom to warrant it.

But it hath been refolved, that the Lord should have the Custody of Cro. Fac. 105. one that is Matus & furdus, without alledging any Custom for it; and the Reason given why the Lord should have the Custody is, because otherwise he would be prejudiced in his Rents and Services, which Reafon extends as well where there is no Custom, as where there is; and if the Custody of one that is Matus & furdus of common Right belongs to the Lord, by the same Reason of one that is a Lunatick.

And tho' the King, as has been faid, has the fole Direction and Ma- 2 Rol. Abt. nagement of Ideots, &c. yet a private Person may confine a Friend who 546, is mad, and bind and beat him, &c. in such a Manner as is proper in

fuch Circumstances.

Also by the 12 Ann. cap. 23. reciting, that whereas there are sometimes in Parishes, Towns and Places, Persons of little or no Estates, who by Lunacy, or otherwife, are furiously Mad, and dangerous to be permitted to go Abroad, and by the Laws in Being, the Justices of Peace and Officers have not Authority to restrain and confine them, it is enacted, 'That it shall and may be lawful for any two or more Justices of the Peace where fuch Lunatick, or mad Person, shall be found, by Warrant under their Hands and Seals, directed to the Constables, 6 Church-wardens and Overfeers of the Poor of fuch Parish, Town or Elace, or some of them, to cause such Person to be apprehended and 6 kept fafely locked up in fuch fecure Place within the County where ' fuch Parish or Town shall lie, as such Justices shall, under their Hands and Seals, direct and appoint; and (if fuch Justices find it necessary)

to be there chained, if the last legal (a) Settlement of such Person (a) That an fhall be in any Parish, Town or Place within such County; and if such Ideot gains a

like any other poor Child, and that the Father ought to maintain him; but if he cannot, the Parish or Place where he is settled. 2 Salk. 427.

Settlement

- Settlement shall not be there, then such Person shall be sent to the Place
  of his or her last legal Settlement, as Vagrants by this Act are directed
  to be sent, (Whipping excepted) and shall be kept safely locked up or
  chained, as aforesaid; and the Charges of Keeping and Maintaining such
- Perfon during such Restraint, (which shall be for and during such
  Time only as such Lunacy or Madness shall continue,) shall be sutisfied
- and paid by Order of two or more Justices of the Feace for the County,
- 'Town or Place where fuch Settlement shall be, out of the Estate of fuch Person, if such Person bath an Estate to pay and satisfy the same
- over and above what shall be sufficient to maintain his Wife and Chil-
- dren, if he hath any; and if he hath not such an Estate, then the Charges of keeping and maintaining such Person, during such Re-
- firant, shall be satisfied and paid by such Ways and Means as the
- Foor of such Parish, Town or Place, are by the Laws in Being to be provided for.
- 'Provided that this Act, or any Thing contained therein, shall not extend, or be construed to extend, to restrain or abridge the Preroga-
- tive of the Queen, or the Power or Authority of the Lord Chancellor, Lord Keeper, or Commissioners of the Great Seal for the Time being,
- or of the Chancellor, or Vice-Chancellor of the County Palatine of
- 6 Lancafter for the Time being, or of the Chamberlain, or Vice-Cham-
- berlain of the County Palatine of Chefter for the Time being, touching
- 6 or concerning the Prem.sses.

# (D) How far their Want of Understanding shall be said prejudicial to them in Civil Respects.

N Ideot, or Person Non compos, may inherit, because the Law, in Compassion to their natural Infirmities, presumes them capable of Property.

Co. Lit. 2. 2 Vent. 203.

Perk. 365.

Also an Ideot, or Person of Non sane Memory, may purchase, because it is intended for their Benefit; and if after Recovery of their Memory they agree thereto, they cannot avoid it; but if they die during their Lunacy, their Heirs may avoid it, for they shall not be subject to the Contracts of Persons who wanted Capacity to contract; so if after their Memory recovered, the Lunatick, or Person Non compos, die without Agreement to the Purchase, their Heirs may avoid it.

Co. Lit. 31. a. If an Ideot or Lunatick marry, and die, his Wife shall be endowed; 4 Co. 124, 125. for this works no Forfeiture at all, and the King has only the Custody of the Inheritance in one Case, and a Power of providing for him and

his Family in the other; but in both Cases the Freehold and Inheritance is in the Ideot or Lunatick; and therefore (a) if Lands descend to an Plow. 263. b. Ideot or Lunatick after Marriage, and the King, on Office sound, takes those Lands into his Custody, or grants them over to another, as Committee, in the usual Manner; yet this seems no Reason why the Husband should not be Tenant by the Curtesy, or the Wise endowed, since their Title does not begin to any Purpose till the Death of the Husband or

Wife, when the King's Title is at an End.

A Lunatick shall be Tenant by the Curtesy, and shall have Dower; fo tho' a Woman, being a Lunatick, kill her Husband, or any other, yet she shall be endowed, because this cannot be Felony in her, who was deprived of her Understanding by the Act of God.

If

2

If a Person Non compos be diffeised, and a Descent cast, this, it is Lit. Sect. 405. faid, takes away his Entry, but not the Entry of his Heir; for regularly, Co. Lit. 247. the Non compos in this Case cannot alledge the Disability in himself, because he cannot be supposed conscious of it, nor is he allowed ever, at any Time, to alledge it, for when he is once  $\Lambda_{on}$  compos, there is no certain Time when he can be adjudged to recover that Difability, unlefs where he is legally committed, and then the Acts during his Lunacy will be fet aside and discharged, and afterwards the Commission superfeded; for in no other way can the Non compos be legally restored to his Right, and to his Capacity of acting.

A Person Non compos, being Lord of a Copyhold Manor, may make 4 Co. 23. 6. Grants of Copyhold Estates, for such Estates do not take their Perfection Co. Copyholder from any Power or Interest in the Lord, but from the Custom of the 79, 107. Manor, by which they have been demifed and demifable Time out of

Ideots and Lunaticks are both by the Civil Law, and likewise by the Godolph. Orphs. Common Law, incapable of being Executors or Administrators; for these Leg. 86. Difabilities render them not only incapable of executing the Trust reposed in them, but also by their Infanity, and Want of Understanding, they are incapable of determining whether they will take upon them the Execution of the Trust or not.

Therefore it hath been agreed, that if an Executor become Non compos, I Salk. 36. that the Spiritual Court may, on Account of this natural Disability, com-

mit Administration to another.

An Ideot, or Person Non compos, being robbed, shall be (a) bound by 2 Inst. 713.

(a) Not

(a) Not a Sale of his Goods in a Market-Overt. bound by &

Fine and Non-claim, vid. Tit. Fines and Recoveries, and 2 Inft. 516 .- Cannot bring an Appeal of the Death of his Ancestor. 2 Hawk. P. C. 162.

## (E) how far the Want of Understanding Will crcuse in Criminal Cases.

T is laid down as a general Rule, that Ideots and Lunaticks, being Hawk. Riby reason of their natural Disabilities incapable of judging between Good and Evil, are punishable by no Criminal Profecution whatsoever.

And therefore a Person, who (b) loses his Memory by Sickness, Infir- 3 Infi. 54. mity, or Accident, and kills himself, is not a Felo de se. (b) But if a

Lunatick in a lucid Interval kill himself, he is a Felo de se. I Hal. Hist. P. C. 412.

So if a Man give himself a mortal Stroke while he is Non compos, and I Hal. Hift, recovers his Understanding, and then dies, he is not Felo de fe; for tho' P. C. 412, the Death compleat the Homicide, the A& must be that which makes the Offence.

But it is not (c) every Melancholy or Hypochondriacal Distemper that 1 Hal. Hist. denominates a Man Non compos, for there are few who commit this Of- P. C. 412. fence, but are under such Infirmities; but it must be such an Alienation (c) In 3 Mode of Mind that readers of min of Mind that renders them to be Madmen, or Frantick, or destitute of faid to be the Use of Reason. the prevail-

ing Opinion, that a Person who kills himself must be Non compos of Course; on this Supposition, that it is impossible a Man in his Senses should do a Thing so repugnant to Reason and Nature. - But in 1 Hawk, P. C. 67. this Notion is exploded. —— And so in Comb. 2, 3.

Vol. III.

## Ideoes and Lunaticks.

т Нав. Н∙јја Р. С. 30. And as a Person Non compos cannot be a Felo de se by killing himself; fo neither can he be guilty of Homicide in killing another, nor of Petit ı Hawk P. C. 2. vid. fu- Treason; also if one who has committed a Capital Offence become Non fra, Letter compos before Conviction, he shall not be arraigned; and if after Conviction, he shall not be executed. (B).

It feems to have been (a) anciently holden, in respect of that high (a) Fitz. Covon. 351. Regard which the Law has for the Safety of the King's Person, that a Regift. 309. Madman might be punished as a Traitor for killing, or offering to kill the 4 Co. 124. b. King; but this is now (b) contradicted by better and later Opinions. 2 Rol. Rep.

(b) 3 Infl. 46. Co. Lit. 247. H. P. C. 10, 43. 1 Hawk. P. C. 2. and herewith my Lord Hale feems to agree, 1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 36, 37. For he fays, that the Reason is the same between Homicide and Treason, and that he that cannot act felonice, or Animo selonico, cannot act Proditorie. — But as this Exception laid down by my Lord Coke, 4 Co. 124. tho' contradicted by himself, 3 Inst. 46. tends so much to the Sasety of the King's Person, he is not willing to question it.

P. C. 30.

The great Difficulty in these Cases, is to determine where a Person shall be faid to be so far deprived of his Sense and Memory, as not to have any of his Actions imputed to him; or where, notwithstanding fome Defects of this Kind, he still appears to have so much Reason and Understanding as will make him accountable for his Actions, which my Lord Hale distinguishes between, and calls by the Names of Total and Partial Infanity; and tho' it be difficult to define the indivisible Line that divides perfect and partial Infanity, yet, fays he, it must rest upon Circumftances, duly to be weighed and confidered both by the Judge and Jury, lest on the one Side there be a kind of Inhumanity towards the Defects of Human Nature, or on the other Side too great an Indulgence given to great Crimes; and the best Measure he can think of is this: Such a Person, as labouring under melancholy Distempers, hath yet ordinarily as great Understanding as ordinarily a Child of fourteen Years hath, is such a Person as may be guilty of Treason or Felony.

It hath been already observed, that he who is guilty of any Crime whatfoever thro' his voluntary Drunkenness, shall be punished for it as

much as if he had been fober.

4

Keil. 53. Also he who incites a Madman to do a Murder, or other Crime, is Dalt. cap. 95. Hawk. P. a principal Offender, and as much punishable as if he had done it himself-

C. 2. 2 Rol. Abr. 547. Hob. 134. Co. Lit. 247. 1 Hawk. P. 1 Hal. Hift.

38.

Vid. Supra,

Letter (E).

And here we must observe a Difference the Law makes between Civil Snits that are terminated in compenfationem damni illati, and Criminal Suits, or Profecutions, that are ad Panam & in Vindictam criminis commission; and therefore it is clearly agreed, that if one who wants Difcretion commits a Trespass against the Person or Possession of another, he shall be compelled in a Civil Action to give Satisfaction for the P. C. 15, 16, Damage.

#### how far their Ads are good, void, 02. voidable.

HOW we must first distinguish between Acts done by Ideots and Lunaticks in Pais, and in a Court of Record; that as to those so-4 Co 124. 2 And. 145. Co. Lit. 247. lemnly acknowledged in a Court of Record, as (a) Fines and Reco-(c) A Purveries, and the Uses declared on them, they are good, and can neither chase at a great Under- be avoided by themselves nor their Representatives; for it is to be prefumed, that had they been under these Disabilities, the Judges wouldnot have admitted them to make these Acknowledgments. and Reco-

very, obtained from a Lunatick, but previous to his being found such, said to be set aside in Chancery. 2 Vern. 678.

Therefore

Therefore if a Person Non compos acknowledges a Fine, it shall stand 4 Contact against him and his Heirs; for tho' the Judges ought not to admit of a 2 Inp. 455.

Fine from a Madman under that Disability, yet when it is once received Fines 75. it shall never be reversed, because the Record and Judgment of the Co. Lit. 247, Court being the highest Evidence that can be, the Law presumes the Conuzor at that Time eapable of contracting; and therefore the Credit of it is not to be contested, nor the Record avoided by any Averment against the Truth of it.

So in case of a Fine levied by an Ideot, it shall stand against him and 2 And 193. his Heirs; for no Averment of Ideocy can vacate the Fine; nor will an 4 Co. 124. Office, finding him an Ideot a nativitate, be sufficient to reverse the Fine, for that were to lessen the Credit of Judgments in Courts of Re-

cord, by trying them by other Rules than themselves.

As to Acts done by them in Pais, they are distinguished into void and 4 Co. 124-50 voidable, tho' as to themselves they are regularly unavoidable, because no Beverley's Man is allowed to disable himself, for the Insecurity that may arise in Case. Contracts from counterfeit Madness and Folly; besides, if the Excuse Fait 62. were real, it would be repugnant that the Party should know or remem- F. N. B. 202. ber what he did; but their Heirs and Executors may avoid fuch Acts Cro. Eliz 398. in Pais, by Pleading the Difability; because if they can prove it, it must be prefumed real, fince no Body can be thought to counterfeit it, when he can expect no Benefit from it himfelf.

The Feoffment of an Ideot, or Non compos, is not void, but voidable; 400.123,800 but it cannot be avoided by himself by Entry, &c. and the Reason hereof Show. P. C. given in some Books is, as before observed, because no Man by Law is 152. permitted to disable himself; but the better Reason in this Case seems to be, that anciently these Feoffments were made not only for the Benefit of the Parties, but of the Realm, being annually paid for by the Attendance of the Tenants in Military Service, or in Tillage, and fo were prefumed to be equally for the Benefit of the Lord and Tenant, and therefore they were not held to be void in themselves; and tho' an Infant, at the Age of Discretion, defined by the Law, might avoid them, and choose which is most for his Benefit; yet as to a Person of Non sance Memory, there being no Time defined when he recovers his Senses, he cannot avoid such Acts of his own by any subsequent Act of his; but the King, who is the universal Curator of all Madmen, may by Writ de Idecta Inquirendo avoid fuch Alienation or Office found; for the Office, being of equal or greater Solemnity than the Feoffment, gives Notice to all Men in whom the Freehold is vested; and after such Office, if the Commission of Lunacy be discharged, the Lunatick is restored to his Lands, because the King is the proper Person to judge whether such Alienations are for the Benefit of the Lunatick, and at what Time he is to be looked upon to be restored to his Seuses; also the Heir may avoid fuch Alienations by Entry or Writ of Dum fuit non compos, for the Rea-fons before given; but the Fine or Recovery of a Lunatick cannot be avoided, because they are Acts of Record, and the Judges are supposed to take Care that no such Alienations be allowed, and if they be, there is no way of rectifying the Error by a Matter of equal Notoriety.

But tho' the King, or Heir, may avoid the Feoffment of a Non com- Ley 25, 26, pos, yet if such a one be by Inquisition found an Ideot a nativitate, or a S Co. 170.

Tourson's Lunatick, from fuch a Time, tho' the Inquisition hath Relation to the Case. Nativity, or Time of his becoming a Lunatick, fo as to avoid mesne Acts, yet it shall not have Relation to these Times to intitle the King to the mesne Profits, for these the Tenant is intitled to in Consideration of the Services which he is obliged to do to those of whom the Land is holden; (a) also the King's Title must appear by Matter of Record, (a) Vid, Plane

which cannot be before the Inquisition found.

Also such Heirs and Representatives, as can take Advantage of the 4 Co. 1240. voidable Acts of those they represent, must be Privies in Blood as Heirs

are, or by Representation as Executors; but Privies in Estate, as those in Remainder or Reversion, or by Tenure, as the Lord by Escheat, cannot take Advantage of the Difability of him who made the Feoffment.

2 Rol. Abr. 728.

But the Release, Surrender, Letter of Attorney to give Livery, Warranty, or any other Deed or Writing obligatory, tho' they regularly at 4 Co.124,125 Law, as has been faid, bind the Non compos, are mere Nullities with respect to others, and differ from a Feoffment, which is a Matter of greater Solemnity, being antiently transacted Coram paribus curtis, who figned their Attestation to the same, which, it is presumed, they would

not have done, had the Indifcretion been apparent.

Carth. 435. 2 Salk. 427, 476. Show. Par. Ca. 152-3. 3 Mod. 301. Comb. 409. 3 Lev. 284. S.C. Thompson adjudged in B. R. and Lords.

Therefore where a Person Non compos being Tenant for Life, with Remainder to his first and other Sons, Remainder over, did before the Birth of any Son surrender to him in Remainder, with an Intent to destroy the contingent Remainders, and died, leaving Issue a Son; and in this Case it was holden, 1st, That the Surrender was void ab initio, and not barely voidable; for had it been voidable only, yet if at any Time it had been effectual to merge the Estate for Life before the Birth of a Son, it versus Leads, could not have been revived again by any Act ex pest Facto. 2dly, That the Surrender being void ab initio, the Son, tho' he did not claim as Heir, but by way of Remainder, may take Advantage of it: And this amemed in Resolution seems agreeable to the strictest Rules of Reason and Law; for if the Surrender had been allowed good or voidable only, it would have been prejudicial to all his Sons after born, who were Strangers, and third Persons, and there could no Use be made of the Surrender but to do them Mischief, which the Acts of a Madman ought not to be allowed to do, when, by a reasonable Construction, it is in the Power of the Court to help them: And in this Case a Difference was taken between a Fcoffment and Livery made propriis manibus of an Ideot, and the bare Execution of a Deed by fealing and Delivery thereof, as in Cases of Surrenders, Grants, Releases, &c. which have their Strength only by executing them, and in which the Formality of Livery and Seifin is not fo much regarded in the Law; and therefore the Feoffment is not meerly void, but voidable; but Surrenders, (a) Grants, &c. by an Ideot are

(a) Theretore if a Man void ab initio.

of non Jane Memory, being seised of a Carve of Land, grant a Rent issuing out of the same Land in Fee, and die, and his Heir enters, and the Grantee distrains for the Rent behind, the Heir shall have an Action of Trespass; but if the Grantee had distrained in the Life of the Grantor for the Rent behind, the Grantor should not have an Action of Trespass; for he cannot avoid his Deed by disabling himself. Perk. Sect. 21.

4 Co. 124. a. 10 Co. 42. b.

If an Ideot or Lunatick enter into a Recognizance, or acknowledge a Statute, neither they themselves, nor their Heirs nor Executors can Bro. Fait Invol. avoid them; for these are Securities of a higher Nature to Specialties and Obligations, which yet they themselves cannot avoid, and being Matters of Record, and equivalent to Judgments of the superior Courts, neither

they themselves, their Heirs nor Executors, can avoid them.

If Parceners of Non fane Memory make Partition, unless it be equal, it Ce.Lit. 166. a. shall only bind the Parties themselves, but not their Issue: And the Reafon it binds the Parties themselves is the same that all other Contracts bind them, viz. because no Man is admitted to stultify himself: And the Reason their Issue may avoid such Partition is the same likewise for which they may avoid all other Contracts made by fuch Ancestors during their Infanity, viz. because they may be admitted to shew the Incapacity of their Ancestor, and so avoid all Acts done by them during that Time.

4 Co. 125.

And altho', as has been observed, according to the strict Rules of Law no Person is allowed to stultify himself, yet it seems that even at Law the Contracts of Ideots and Lunaticks, after Office found, and the Party legally committed, are void, and it must be at the Peril of him who deals with fuch a one; and that if afterwards the Commission of

## Ideots and Lunaticks.

Lunacy be superseded or discharged, the Non compos shall be restored to his legal Right: But this, it feems, must be at the Suit and Application of his Committee.

Also there are frequent Instances in Equity, where not only Ideots But for this and Lunaticks, who come within the Protection of the Law, but also vide 1 Chan. Persons of weak Understandings have been relieved, when they appeared 1 Vern. 155. to have been imposed upon in their Dealings and unreasonable Purchases, 2 Vern. 189. and Securities obtained from them fer aside in their Favour.

A Bill was brought by a Lunatick and his Committee, to fet afide a Agreements.

Abr. Eq. 279. Settlement which had been obtained from him by the Defendant before Ruller versus the issuing out the Commission of Lunacy, but subsequent to the Time Bidler. wherein by the Commission he was found to have been a Lunatick, and the Bill charged several Acts of Infanity and Distraction previous to the making of the Settlement, and the issuing our of the Commission; and charged likewise, that the Commission of Lunacy was still in Force: To this Bill the Defendants demurred, for that it was against a known Maxim in Law, that any Perfon should be admitted to stultify himself; because during the Continuance of the Lunacy he cannot be supposed to know what he did. But my Lord Chanceller over-ruled the Demurrer, and faid, that Rule was to be understood of Acts done by the Lunatick to the Prejudice of others, that he should not be admitted to excuse himself on Pretence of Lunacy, but not as to Acts done by him to the Prejudice of himself; besides, here the Committee is likewise Plaintiss, and the several Charges of Lunacy are by him in Behalf of the Lunatick; and it has been always held, that the Defendant must answer in that Case; and so he was ordered to do here, tho' the Settlement was not unreasonable in itself, being only to limit the Estate in Question to the Defendants the Uncles, in case of Failure of Issue Male of the Lunatick, with Power for the Lunatick to charge the same with confiderable Portions for his three Daughters, with a Power of Revocation.

Ideots and Lunaticks, during their Lunacy, are incapable of making Savinb. 71. (a) any Will or Testament; as are also Persons grown childs by reason Godelph. Orph. of extreme Old Age; so one actually drunk, if he be so drunk as to have (a) The Statost the Use of his Reason: But the a Person who waste Understand lost the Use of his Reason: But tho' a Person who wants Understand- inic of 32 ing cannot make a Will, yet the Rule herein is not to be taken from H. S. gives his not being able to measure an Ell of Cloth, tell twenty, or the like; a Power to dispose of but whether he have Sense enough to dispose of his Estate with (b) Un- Lands by derstanding.

ots, Feme Coverts, and Persons of non fane Memery. (b) That it is sufficient that they be able to unswer to familiar and usual Questions. Cro. Fac. 497. 6 Co. 23. a.

But every Person making a Will is presumed to be of sound Under- Swinb. 72standing, until the contrary be proved; so that the Onus probandi lies on Godolph. 25. the other Side: If the Testaron used to have Fire and lucid Intervals. Dyer 203. the other Side: If the Testator used to have Fits and lucid Intervals, 8 Co. 147. and it cannot appear whether the Will be made in the one or the other Time, it shall be prefumed to be made in the lucid Intervals, if there be no Argument of Folly in the Will; nay tho' the Testator had no lucid Intervals, yet if it cannot be proved that he was mad at the Time of making the Will, it shall be presumed there was an Intermission of Madness at the Time of making the Will, if the Will be a sensible orderly Will; but the least Word of Folly in such a Will will overthrow it: On the other hand, if one be a very Ideot and make a good fenfible Will, yet the Will shall not stand.

If a Person of sound Memory makes his Will, and afterwards becomes Gedul, h. 26. Non compos, this is no Revocation of the Will; yet (c) a Bill will not 4 Co. 126. lie in the Life-time of the Non compos, to establish the Testimony of the (i) 1 Virn-Witness in perpetuan vei Memorian to such a Will

Witness in perpetuam rei Memoriam to such a Will.

By the 4 Georg. 2. cap. 10. it is Enacted, 'That it shall and may be 6 lawful to and for any Person or Persons being Ideot, Lunatick, or 6 Non Compos Mentis, or for the Committee or Committees of fuch Per-6 fon or Perfons, in his, her, or their Name or Names, by the Direction 6 of the Lord Chancellor of Great Britain, or the Lord Keeper, or ' Commissioners of the Great Seal for the Time being, fignified by an 6 Order made upon hearing all Parties concerned, on the Petition of the Ferfon or Perfons for whom fuch Perfon or Perfons being Ideot, Lu-' natick, or Non compos Mentis, shall be feifed or possessed in Trust, or of the Mortgagor or Mortgagors, or of the Person or Persons intitled 6 to the Monies fecured by or upon any Lands, Tenements, or Heredita-6 ments, whereof any fuch Perfon or Perfons being Ideot, Lunatick, or Non compos Mentis is, or are, or shall be seised or possessed by way of 'Mortgage, or of the Person or Persons intitled to the Redemption ' thereof, to convey and affure any fuch Lands, Tenements, or Here-6 ditaments in fuch Manner as the Lord Chancellor, &c. shall by such 6 Order so to be obtained direct, to any other Person or Persons, and fuch Conveyance or Affurance fo to be had and made as aforefaid shall 6 be as good and effectual in Law, to all Intents and Purpofes whatfoever, ' as if the faid Person or Persons being Ideot, Lunatick, or Non compos ' Mentis was or were at the Time of making fuch Conveyance or Affu-6 rance of fane Mind, Memory, and Understanding, and not Ideot, Lunatick, or Non compos Mentis, or had by him, her, or themselves executed the same; any Law, &c. 6 And it is further Enacted, That all and every fuch Person and Perfons being Ideot, &c. and only Trustee or Trustees, Mortgagee or ' Mortgagees as aforefaid, or the Committee or Committees of all and every fuch Person and Persons being Ideot, Lunatick, or Non compos " Mentis, and only fuch Trustee or Mortgagee as aforefaid, shall and 6 may be impowered and compelled, by fuch Order to as aforefaid to be ol tained, to make fuch Conveyance or Conveyances, Affurance or Affurances as aforefaid, in like Manner as Truftees or Mortgagees of fane Memory are compellable to convey, furrender, or affign their Trust-Estates, or Mortgages.'

## (G) How they are to sue and desend.

Co. Lit. 135. b. WHEN an Ideot doth fue or defend he shall not appear by Guar-J. N. B. 27. dian, (a) Prochein Amy, or Attorney, but he must be ever in proper Person.

eap. 15. extends not to an Ideot, 2 Inft. 390.

4 Co. 124 b. But otherwise of him who becomes Non compos Mentis; for he shall Palm. 520. 29 appear by Guardian if within Age, or by Attorney if of sull Age.

2 Sid. 125. If a Trespass be committed in the Lands of a I unatick who is legally (b) Where committed, (b) the Committee cannot bring an Action of Trespass; but the Committee of a Lu-

natick brought a Bill to be relieved against a Debt assigned by the Lunatick without Consideration, and it was held not necessary that the Lunatick should be made a Party. 1 Chan. Ca. 113.— But that it is otherwise of an Ideot. 1 Chan. Ca. 153.

1 Vern. 106. If a Lunatick be fued, he must have a Committee assigned to him to defend the Suit.

## Indictment,

N Indictment is defined an Accusation at the Suit of the King, 2 Hawk, P.C. by the Oaths of twelve Men, of the same County wherein the Offence was committed, returned to inquire of all Offences in general in the County, determinable by the Court in which they are returned, and finding a Bill brought before them to be true:

But when such Accusation is found by a Grand Jury, without any Bill brought before them, and afterwards reduced to a formed Indictment, it is called (a) a Presentment: And when it is found by Jurors returned to (a) A Presinquire of that particular Offence only which is indicted, it is properly called an Inquisition.

Term than Indistment; for regularly an Indistment is an Accusation given in against a Person by the Grand Inquest for some Missemeanor, whereunto he is put to answer; but Presentments do not only include such Indistments, but also some other Informations, whereunto the Party is not put to answer, as Presentments of Felo de se, of Fugam se it, of Devolands, of Deaths per Information, &c. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 152 3.— That regularly all Presentments and Indistments are traversable, and conclude not the Party, or those claiming under him. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 153-4.

For the better understanding the Law herein, the same hath been reduced to the following Heads:

- (A) Of the Nature of an Indiament, and how far it is confidered as a Profecution at the Suit of the King.
- (B) Where it is necessary, or the Party may be tried for a Capital Offence without it.
- (C) By whom it is to be found; and therein who may and ought to be Juditois.
- (D) Whether the Indictors or Grand Jury may find Part of a Bill brought before them true, and Part false.
- (E) What Matters are indicable.
- (F) Wlithin what Place the Offence inquired of must arise.
- (G) What ought to be the form of the Body of an Indiament at Common Law: And herein,
  - 1. How the Body of an Indiament at Common Law ought to fet forth the Substance and Manner of the Fact.
  - 2. How the Perfons mentioned or referred to in it.
  - 3. How the Thing wherein the Offence was committed.
  - 4. How the Circumstances of Time and Place.
  - 5. Where the Offence indicted may be laid jointly, and where feverally, and where both jointly and feverally, and where the Offences of feveral Perfons may be laid in one Indictment.
  - 6. Whether the Words Vi & Armis be in any Case necessary.
    7. Whe-

- 7. Whether it be necessary to lay the Words contra Pacem.
- 8. Whether it be necessary to lay it contra Coronam & Dignitatem Regis.

9. Whether it be necessary to lay it in Contemptum Regis.

10. Whether necessary to lay it illicite.

11. Whether a Defect in any of these Particulars be amendable.

#### (H) What ought to be the form of an Indiament upon a Statute: And herein,

1. Whether it be necessary that fuch Indicament recite the Statute whereon it is grounded.

2. What Mifrecitals of fuch Statutes are fatal.

- 3. How far it is necessary to bring the Offence indicted within the very Words of the Statute.
- 4. Whether an Indictment grounded on a Statute that will not maintain it, may be good as an Indictment at Common
- 5. How far it is necessary to conclude contra Formain Statuti.
- (1) What ought to be the Form of a Caption of an In-
- (K) Where an Indiament may be qualied.

## (A) Of the Nature of an Indiament, and how far it is confidered a Profecution at the Suit of the King.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 169. 2 Hawk. P.C.

210.

1 Rol. Abr. Cro. Car. 531. P. C. 210.

1 Fones 380. 1 Rol. Abr. 2 Hawk. P. C. 210.

2 Hal. Hift. 643 4.

N Indictment is a brief Narrative of an Offence committed by any A Person, which the Publick Good requires should be punished; and 2 Hawk P.C. therefore it is faid to be a Profecution at the Suit of the King merely.

Hence also, from its being the King's Suit, it is every Day admitted that the Party, who profecutes it, is a good Witness to prove it.

And from its being the King's Suit it is agreed, that no Damages can be given the Party grieved upon an Indictment, or any other criminal 2 Rol. Abr. 83. Profecution; notwithstanding the King, by his Commission erecting a new 558. 2 Hawk. Court, expressly direct that the Party shall recover his Damages by such a Profecution.

Also where by Statute Damages are given to the Party grieved by the Cro. Car. 448. Offence intended to be redressed, it seems that they cannot be recovered on an Indichment grounded on fuch Statute, unless such Method of recovering them be expresly given by the Statute; but that they ought to be fued for in an Action on the Statute, in the Name of the Party grieved.

But if a Statute prohibit any Act to be done, and by a Substantive P.C. 171 & Clause gives a Recovery by Action of Debt, Bill, Plaint, or Information, vide Cro. Fac. but mentions not Indictment, the Party may be indicted upon the Prohibitory Clause, and thereupon fined, but not to recover the Penalty, as upon the Statute of 3 fac. cap. 5. prohibiting Recusants to baptize their Children by a Popish Priest; but then it seems the Fine ought not to exceed the Penalty.

But if the Act be not prohibitory, but only that if any Person shall 2 Hal. Hist. do fuch a Thing he shall forfeit 5 l. to be recovered by Action of Debt, P.C. 171. Bill, Plaint, or Information, he cannot be indicted for it; but the Proceeding must be by Action, Bill, Plaint, or Information.

And altho' Damages cannot be recovered on an Indictment, yet the 1 K-b. 487. Court of King's Bench, having the King's Privy Seal for that Purpose, 2 Hawk. P may give to the Profecutor the third Part of the Fine affelfed on a crimi- C. 210.

nal Profecution for any Offence whatfoever.

Also it is every Day's Practice of that Court to induce Defendants to a Hawk, P make Satisfaction to Profecutors for the Costs of the Profecution, and C. 210. alfo for the Damages fustained by the Injury, whereof the Defendants are convicted, by intimating an Inclination on that Account to mitigate the Fine due to the King.

## (B) Where it is necessary, or the Party may be tried for a Capital Offence Without it.

In all criminal Causes the most regular and safe Way, and most conso- 2 Hal. Hist. nant to the Common Law, and the Statutes of Magna Charta, cap. 29. P.C. cap. 23. 5 E. 3. cap. 9. 25 E. 3 cap. 4. 28 E. 3. cap. 3 and 42 E. 3. cap. 3. is by Prefentment or Indictment of twelve fworn Men; yet at Common Law there were feveral Means of putting the Party to answer for a criminal Offence without any Indichment, some whereof are still in Force, and others either grown obsolete or wholly taken away by Statute.

1. If a Thief or Robber had, on fresh Pursuit, been taken with the 2 Hawk. ?. Mainour, and the Goods found upon him brought into the Court with C. 211. him, he might have been tried immediately, without any Indictment: And this is faid to have been the proper Method of proceeding in such And this is faid to have been the proper means.

Manors which had the Franchise of Infangthese, but is (a) obsolete at (a) That this Proceeding this Day.

Mainouvre is wholly taken away by the Statutes 25 E. 3. cap. 4. 28 E. 3. cap. 3. 42 E. 3. cap. 3. 2 Hal. H ft. cap. 20.

2. Another kind of proceeding in Cases capital without Indictment is, 2 Hal. Hift. where an Appeal is trought at the Suit of the Party, and the Plaintiff is P. C. cap. 26. (b) Nonfuit upon that Appeal, yet the Offender shall be arraigned at the (b) That such King's Suit upon such Appeal; and so it is in case the Appellant die, or Nonsuit, &co. release; and in such Case, altho, the Party be indicted as well as apthe Appelpealed, yet upon the Nonfuit of the Plaintiff, the Proceeding for the laut has de-King shall not be upon the Indictment, but upon the Appeal.

clared, and

peal must have been well commenced: But for this vide 2 Hawk P. C. 212, 213.

3. If a Person indicted of Treason or Felony confesses the Fact, and 2 Hal. Hist. 3. If a Person indicted of Treaton or relong contenes the ract, and accuses others of being guilty of the same Offence with him, by which P.C. cap. 20. But for the he becomes and is admitted an Approver, the Parties accused may, on Learning his Appeal, be tried without other Indictment or Presentment.

hereof, vide 2 Hauk P.C. 204. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 225, &c.

4. There were before the Statute of 1 H. 4. cap. 14. Appeals by par- 2 H.d. Hift. ticular Persons, especially of Treason, in Parliament, which are said to P.C. cap. 20. have been very frequent in antient Times, and especially in the Reign of (c) State Tri Rich. 2. but are now wholly taken away by the faid Statute; and there- vol. 2. p. 550fore (c) where in the Reign of Char. 2. the Earl of Bristol preferred Arti-That the in all Capital Offences a Peer is to be tried by his Peers, yet it must regularly be upon an Indiament found against him by a Grand Jury of Commoners. 2 Hawk. P. C. 424 cles Vol. III.

cles of High Treason, and other Misdemeanors, against the Earl of Clarendon, it was refolved by all the Judges, that fuch Articles were within the faid Statute  $\tau$  H. 4.

2 Hill. Hift. P. C. cap. 20.

But Impeachments by the House of Commons of High Treason, or other Missdemeanors, in the Lords House, have been frequently in Practice, notwithstanding the Statute of 1 H. 4. and are neither within the Words nor Intent of that Statute; for it is a Presentment by the most foleim Grand Inquest of the whole Kingdom.

2 Hawk P.C. 211. and feveral Authorities there cired. 2 Hal. Hift. cap.-20.

5. If in a Civil Action in the King's Bench de Muliere abdusta cum bonis viri, upon Not guilty pleaded, the Defendant be convicted and found Guilty of having carried away the Woman and Goods with force and feloniously, he may be put to answer the Felony without farther Accusation; for such a Charge, by the Oaths of twelve Men, on their Inquiry into the Merits of a Cause, in a Court which has Jurisdiction over the Crime, is equivalent to an Indictment; and the King being always, in Judgment of Law, present in Court, may take Advantage of any Matter therein properly disclosed for his Benefit.

2 Hawk. P.C. 211. 2 Hal. H A. cab. 20.

So if upon a special Verdict, in a common Action of Trespass brought in the King's Bench, it be found that the Defendant took them feloniously, this may serve for an Indictment.

2 Hal. Hift.

So if in an Action of Slander, for calling a Man Thief, the Defendant P.C. cap. 20. justifies that he stole Goods, and Issue thereupon taken, it be found for the Defendant; if this be in the King's Bench, and for Felony in the same County where the Court sits, or if it be before Justices of Assile, who have also a Commission of Gaol-Delivery, he shall be forthwith arraigned upon this Verdict, as on an Indictment; and the Reason is, because here is a Verdiet of twelve Men in these Cases, and so the Verdict, tho' in a Civil Action, serves the King's Suit as an Indictment, and is not contrary to the Acts of 25, 28 and 42 E. 3. which enact, that no Man shall be put to answer,  $\mathcal{C}_c$  but by Indichment or Presentment.

2. Hawk. P.C.

But such a finding, in a Court which hath not Criminal Jurisdiction, is of no Force.

2 Hawk. P. C. 212.

Neither shall a Jury's finding A. guilty on the Trial of an Indicament against B. amount to an Indictment against B. because the finding of one Man guilty on the Trial of another is extrajudicial, except only in the Case of a Coroner's Inquest of Death, taken on View; for the finding a Stranger guilty, upon the Acquittal of a Defendant, on the Trial of fuch an Inquest, is not wholly extrajudicial, because the Jury acquitting the Man on such an Inquest, must inquire what other Person did the

2 Hawk P.C. 212.

Also if on a Declaration in the King's Bench against A. for having been guilty of a Misdemeanor simul cum B. the Jury find B. guilty; it is faid, that fuch a finding is equivalent to an Indictment, because it is not wholly extrajudicial.

2 Hal. Hift.

6. If the Sheriff return a Rescue of a Prisoner taken for Felony, or a P. C. cap 20. Breach of Prison by one arrested for Felony, this is not sufficient to arraign the Party, nor doth it (a) countervail an Indictment, for it is (a) But an not by the Oath of twelve Men.

Abuse offered to the Process of a Court, is such a Contempt as is punishable by Imprisonment; for the by the Statute of Magna Charta, &cc. no Man is to be imprisoned fine Judicio Parison, vel per legem terra; yet it is one Part of the Law of the Land to commit for Contempts, not taken away by any Statute, vid. Tit. Attachment.

vid., 5 Mod. 459, &c.

And altho' Informations are practifed oftentimes in the Crown-Office P. C. cap. 20 in Cases Criminal, and by many Penal Statutes, the Profecution upon them is by the Acts themselves limited to be by Bill, Plaint, Information, or Indictment, yet the Method of Prosecution of Capital Offences is still to be by Indictment, except in the Cases abovementioned.

## (C) By Whom it is to be found; and therein who may and ought to be Indiaois.

TVERY Indictment is to be found by (a) twelve lawful Liege Free-But for this men of the (b) County wherein the Crime was committed, return- od. Head of ed by the proper Officer, without the Nomination of any other Person.

it appears by the Caption of the Indictment, or otherwise, that it was found by less than twelve Men, the Proceedings upon it will be erroneous. 2 Hawk. P. C. 215.—But if there be thirteen, or more, of the Grand Jury, and twelve agree, it is sufficient, the the Rest dissent 2 Hall. Hist. P. C. 161. (b) For they are sworn ad Inquirendum pro Corpore Comitatus, and cannot regularly inquire of a Fact done out of that County for which they are sworn, unless socially applied by A. C. C. 2015. quire of a Fact done out of that County for which they are sworn, unless specially enabled by Act of Parliament. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 163.

They must be Probi & Legales Homines; therefore it is a good Excep- 2 Hal. Hist. tion to one returned on a Grand Jury, that he is an Alien, or Villain, P. C. 155. attainted in a Conspiracy, or decies tantum, or of Perjury, or (c) Out- ( ) Tho' it be lawed, or Attaint of Felony or Præmunire. a Perfonal-Action.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 155. — But this is left a Quere in 2 Hawk. P. C. 216.

## (D) Whether the Indiaois, or Grand Jury, may find Part of a Bill brought before them true, and Part falle.

T feems to be generally agreed, that a Grand Jury must find either 2 Ret Rep. 52.

Billa vera, or Ignoramus for the Whole; and that if they take upon 3 Bull. 206. them to find it specially or conditionally, or to be true for Part only, and 1 Rol. Rep. not for the Rest, the whole is void and the Party capper he roled and not for the Rest, the whole is void, and the Party cannot be tried upon 2 Hawk. P.C. it, but ought to be indicted a-new.

Hence it hath been held, that if a Grand Jury indorse a Bill of Mur- 3 Bulf 206. der Billa vera se descendendo, or Billa vera for Manslaughter, and not for 2 Rol. Res. 52. Murder, the Whole is void; and the Reason hereof given is, that the 1 Sid. 23 Grand Jury are not to distinguish betwixt Murder and Manslaughter, 2 Keb. 13 Keil. 50. for it is only the Circumstance of Malice that makes the Difference, and that may be implied by the Law without any Fact at all, and fo it lies not in the Judgment of a Jury, but of the Judge; also the Intention of their finding Indictments is, that there may be no malicious Profecution; and therefore if the Matter of the Indictment be not framed of Malice, but is Verifimilis, tho' it be not vera, yet it answers their Oaths to prefent it.

But it feems to be now agreed, that the Grand Jury may, without Vide 2 Hall fubjecting themselves to any Punishment, find Part of a Bill true, and 161. & vide Part false, and that against the Direction of the Court Part false, and that against the Direction of the Court.

And it is faid by Hale, that if a Bill of Indictment be for Murder, and a Hal. His the Grand Jury return it Billa vera quoad Manslaughter, and Ignoramus 162. quoad Murder, the usual Course is, in the Presence of the Grand Jury; to strike out Malitiose, and ex Malitia sua praecogitata, and Murdravit, and leave in fo much as makes the Bill to be but bare Manslaughter.

But yet the fafest Way is to deliver them a new Bill for Manslaughter, 2 Hal. Hift, and they to indorfe it generally Billa vera, for the Words of the Indorfe- 1621 ment make not the Indictment, but only evidence the Assent or Dissent

of the Grand Inquest; it is the Bill it self is the Indictment, when affirmed.

2 Hal. Hift. 158.

But notwithstanding this Discretionary Power in the Grand Jury, yet by the same Author, if A. be killed by B. so that it doth constare de Persona occisi & occidentis, and a Bill of Murder be presented to them regularly, they ought to find the Bill for Murder, and not for Manflaughter, or se defendendo; because otherwise Offences may be smothered without due Trial, and when the Party comes upon his Trial, the whole Fact will be examined before the Court and the Petty Jury; and in many Cases it is a great Disadvantage to the Party accused; for if a Man kill B. in his own Defence, or per Infortunium, or possibly in executing the Process of Law, upon an Assault made upon him, or in his own Defence upon the Highway, or in Defence of his House against those who come to rob him, (in which three last Cases it is neither Felony nor Forseiture, but upon Not guilty pleaded, he ought to be acquitted,) yet if the Grand Inquest find Ignoranus upon the Bill, or find the special Matter, whereby the Prisoner is dismissed and discharged, he may nevertheless be indicted for Murder seven Years after.

Telv. 99. 210.

If the Grand Jury indorse an Indictment on the Statute of News 2 Hick. P.C. Billa vera, but whether Ista verba prolata sucrunt maliciose, seditiose, vel contra, Ignoramus; or if they indorfe an Indictment of forcible Entry and forcible Detainer, Billa vera as to the forcible Entry, and Ignoramus as to the forcible Detainer; or if they indorse, that if the Freehold were in J. S. or the Possession were in J. S. then they find Billa vera, the whole is void.

## (E) What Matters are indicable.

OT only Capital Offences, such as Treasons and Felonies, are indictable, but likewise all other Crimes being of a publick Nature, 2 Hawk. P.C. and Mala in fe, tho' of an inferior Kind, as Misprissons, and all other Contempts, all Disturbances of the Peace, all Oppressions, and all other

(a) Misdemeanors whatsoever of a publick evil Example against the (a) Where one was in- Common Law, may be indicted.

dicted for hiring a Man to kill the Master of the Rolls, and for wearing a Sword with an Intent to kill the Mafter of the Rolls, &c. or to that Effect; and it was moved in Arrest, that an Attempt only is nor punishable in our Law, & non efficit Conatus nisi sequitur effectus; but the Court held clearly, that tho' in Cases of Felony the Law be not as it was heretofore, when Voluntas reputabatur pro sato, yet as to Matters of Misdemeanors, Attempts and Conspiracies are punishable. 1 Sid. 230. 1 Lev. 146. 1 Keb. Sog. Balon's Cafe.

27 Aff. pl. 20. But no Injuries of (b) a private Nature, unless they some way concern Bro. Indict the King, can be punished by way of Indictment at Common Law. ment 16.

Carth. 277. Presentment 26. (b) And therefore where one was indicted for these Words, viz. The Justices of Peace have no Power to set up a Watch-house where the old one stood; and the Indictment was quashed, because the Words are not indicable, for it is a Question touching a Right. Trin. 27 Car. 2. Captain Cane's Case.

2 Inft. 55,163. Also generally where a Statute either prohibits a Matter of publick Cro. Fac. 577. Grievance, or commands a Matter of publick Convenience, as the Re-1 Mod. 34 pairing the common Streets of a Town, &c. every such Disobedience of 1 Sid. 209. fuch Statute is indicable; but if the Party hath once been fined on an Action of the Statute, such Fine is, it seems, a good Bar to the Indictment, because by the Fine the End of the Statute is satisfied.

Alfo

Also if a Statute extend only to (a) private Persons, or if it extend (a) 1 Sid.209. to all Perfons in general, but chiefly concern Disputes of a private Na- 2 Mod. 34. ture, (b) as those relating to Distresses made by Lords on their Te- 11, 288. nants, it is faid, that Offences against such Statute will hardly bear an i Lev. 299. Indictment.

2 Inft. 121, 232. 2 Hawk. P. C. 211.

Also where a Statute makes a new Offence, which was no way pro- 1 81 ow. 398. hibited by the Common Law, and appoints a particular Proceeding a- 3 Keb. 34, gainst the Offender, as by Commitment, or Action of Debt, or Infor- 273. mation, &c. without mentioning an Indictment, it seems to be settled at 644. this Day, that it will not maintain an Indistment, because the mentioning the other Methods of proceeding only feems impliedly to exclude Palm. 388. that of Indichment.

3 Mod. 79. 1 Sid. 434,

6 Mod. 86. 2 Rol. Rep. 247, 398. 2 Hawk. P. C. 211,

Yet it hath been adjudged, that if fuch a Statute give a Recovery by Trin. 3 Geor. Action of Debt, Bill, Plaint or Information, or otherwise, it authorizes a 1. Rex ver. Dixon. Proceeding by way of Indictment.

2 Hawk. P. C. 211.

Also where a Statute adds a new Penalty to an Offence prohibited also 2 Hawk. P.C. by the Common Law, it is in the Election of the Profecutor to pro- 211. but for ceed either at Common Law, or on the Statute; and if he conclude his this vide in-Indictment cont. formam Statuti, and cannot make it good as an Indict- fra, Letter ment on the Statute, wet if the Indictment he good as an Indictment. (1). ment on the Statute, yet if the Indictment be good as an Indictment at Common Law, it shall stand as such, and the Words contra formam Statuti shall be rejected.

### (F) Within what Place the Offence inquired of must arise.

THE Grand Jury are sworn ad Inquirendum pro Corpore comitatus, 2 Hal. Hist. and therefore by the Common Law cannot regularly indict or P. C. 163. present any Offence which does not arise within the County or Precinct <sup>2</sup>Hawk. P.C. for which they are returned for which they are returned.

And therefore it is a good Exception to an Indictment, that it 2 Hawk P.C. doth not appear that the Offence arose within such County or Pre- 220, and several Authorities

rities there

Also it hath been holden, that the finding of a Collateral Matter ex- 1 Hawk. P.C. prefly alledged in the Indichment, in a different County or Precinct, is 220.

Also it hath been generally holden, that the Want of an express 2 Hawk P.C. Allegation of the Precinct where the Offence happened, is not supplied 220. by putting it in the Margent of the Indictment, unless it go farther, as by adding in Comitatu pradicto, &c. which feems to be fufficient, where in the Body of the Indictment no other County is named before.

Also if a Fact be alledged in B. juxta D. in comitatu E. it is said, that Cro. Fac. 41. hereby it sufficiently appears that B, is in the County of E.

So if an Arrest be alledged in the County of A. and one be indicted 2 Hawk P.C. for rescuing the Party arrested, without saying in what County, it shall 220. be intended to have been in the County of A. where the Arrest was.

It feems also, that by the Common Law, if a Fact done in one County 2 Hawk. P.C. prove a Nusance to another, it may be indicted in either.

Vol. III.

### Indiament.

So if A, by reason of Tenure of Lands in the County of B, be bound 2 Hal. Heft. P. C. 164. to repair a Bridge in the County of C. if the Bridge be in Decay, he may be indicted in the County of C. that he is bound ratione tenure of Lands in the County of B, to repair the Bridge.

Also by the Common Law, if one guilty of (a) Larceny in one 2 Hawk. P. C. County carry the Goods stolen into another, he may be indicted in (a) But if A. rob B. in the either.

County of C. and carry the Goods into the County of D. A. cannot be indicted of Robbery in the County of D. because the Robbery was in another County; but he may be indicted of Larceny, or Thest, in the County of D. because it is Thest wherever he carries the Goods; the like Law in an Appeal. 7 Co. 2. a. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 163.

2 Hawk. P.C. (b) 1 Hawk. P. C. 111.vid. 1 Sid. 171. Kel. 79.

2 Hal Hift. P. C. 163.

222-3.

If a Man marry two Wives, the first in a foreign Country, and the fecond in England, he may be indicted and tried for it in England upon the Statute of 1 7a. 1. cap. 11. which makes it Felony, because the But for this fecond Marriage alone was Criminal, and the first had nothing unlawful in it, and was meerly of a transitory Nature; and by (b) Hawkins, if the second Marriage had been in a foreign Country, the Party might have been indicted here within the Purview of the faid Statute 1 7ac. 1.

Also if a Woman be taken by force in one County and carried into 2 Hawk. P.C. 221. another, and there married, the Offender may be indicted, &c. in the second County on the Statute of 3 H. 7. cap. 2. because the Continuance of the Force amounts to a forcible Taking.

2 Hawk. P.C. But if an Offence in stealing a Record, &c. contrary to 8 H. 6. be 221. committed, partly in one County, and partly in another, fo as not to amount to a compleat Offence within the Statute in either, it is faid, that the Party cannot be indicted for a Felony in either, but only for a Misprisson.

But notwithstanding the above Instances, it seems agreed as a general z Hawk. P.C. 220. Rule, that let the Nature of the Offence indicted be what it will, if it appear upon Not guilty, to have been committed in a different County from that in which the Indictment was found, the Party shall be acquitted.

And therefore at the Common Law, if a Man had died in one County 2 Hawk. P.C. 220· I. of a stroke received in another, it was holden, that the Homicide was indictable in neither, because the Offence was not compleat in either; but to remedy this Inconvenience, it is enacted by 2 & 3 E. 6. cap. 24. 'That where any one shall be feloniously stricken or poisoned in one County, and die thereof in another, an Indichment thereof found by the Jurors of the County where the Death shall happen, whether before the Co-' roner, on View of the Body, or whether before Justices of the Peace,

(c) The Of or other Justices, &c. shall be as (c) effectual, as if the Stroke, &c. had fender must been in the County where the Party shall die, or where the Indictment shall be so found. be tried

where the Death happened; but an Appeal may be brought in either County. 7 Co. 2. Bulwer's Cafe. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 163.

2 Hal. Hift. So if A, had committed a Felony in the County of D, and B, had P. C. 165. been Accessory before or after in the County of C. B. could not have but for this been indicted as Accessory in either County at Common Law; but by vide Tit. Principal and the above Statute he is indictable, and shall be tried in the County where Acceffory. he so became Accessory.

4

It appears to have been a great Doubt at Common Law, how Treason done out of the Realm was triable; some holding, that it was only 2 Hawk. P.C. triable by Appeal before the Constable and Marshal; others, that it was indictable in any County where the King pleased; and some, that it was indictable where the Offender had Lands: But for a plain Remedy, Order and Declaration of this Matter, it is enacted by 35 H. 8. cap. 2. That all Offences then or after made or declared to be Treasons, Misprissons 6 of Treason, or Concealments of Treasons, done out of this Realm of England,

England, shall be inquired of, heard and determined, by the King's Bench, by lawful Men of the Shire where the same Bench shall sit; or elfe before fuch Commissioners, and in such Shire of the Realm, as shall be assigned by the King's Commission, and by lawful Men of the fame Shire, in like Manner to all Intents and Purpofes, as if fuch Treafons, &c. had been done within the fame Shire, where they shall be ic • inquired of,  $\mathfrak{S}_c$ .

In the Construction hereof it hath been resolved,

1. That if after an Indichment has been taken in Pursuance to this 2 Houre P. J. Statute, the Court, or Commissioners appointed by the King, remove 223 into a different County, the Trial shall be by Jurors returned from the (a) 3 h.g. 24 first County, (a) being most agreeable to the general Course of the  $H \to C$  204. Common Law, which requires that Indictments shall be tried by Jurors Stanf. P. C. of the same County in which they were found.

Dyer 286. 2. That the Commissioners and County for the Trial are well assigned 3 Inft. 11. by the King's Writing his Name to the Commission, or by his Signing 2 Hawk P.C.

the Warrant for it.

3. That an Offence in Ireland, that is Treason here as well as there, is 2 Hawl. P.C. triable here by Virtue of this Statute, unless it were committed by a 223. Peer of Ireland; in which Case it is not triable here, because the Party would lose the Benefit of a Trial by his Peers.

That this Statute is not repealed by 1 & 2 Ph. & M. which enacts, 2 Hawk. P.C. That all Trials for Treason shall be according to the Common Law.

205 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 164.

By the 28 H. 8. cap. 15. it is enacted, 6 That Treafons, Felonies and \* Robberies, &c. upon the Sea, &c. shall be inquired, &c. in such Places in the Realm as shall be limited by the King's Commission, in like Piracy, & 11 6 Manner as if such Offences had been committed on the Land.

But for this & 12 W. 3. cap. 7. which

enacts, that all Piracies and Felonies upon the Sea, &c. may be tried, or upon the Land in his Majesty's Plantations, &c.

6 By the 27 H. S. cap. 6. for the Punishment and speedy Trial, as well of the Counterfeiters of any Coin current within this Realm, as of all Felonies and Accessories of the same, and other Offences seloniously dene within any (b) Lordship Marchers of Wales, the Justices of Gaol- (b) This Sta-Delivery and of the Peace in the Shire or Shires of England, where as well to the the King's Writ runneth, next adjoining to the Lordship Marchers, or old Welfb other Place in Wales, where fuch Counterfeiting, &c. shall be com- Counties, as "mitted, shall have Power, at their Sessions and Gaol-Delivery, to into the Lord-ship Marquire, by Verdict of twelve Men of the same Shire, &c. in England, chers. Pases. \* there to cause all such Counterseiters, &c. to be indicted, &c. in like 12 Georg. 1. " Manner as if the same Petit Treasons, &c. had been done within any Athorn's Case.

of the said Shires within the said Realm; also such Justices shall try 6 all fereign Pleas pleaded by such Offenders; neither shall an Acquittal,

6 &c. or Fine making in the Lordships Marchers, be (c) a Bar for a (c) But an · Person indicted in the said Shire within two Years after the Felony. Sessions is a good Bar of an Indictment for the same Crime in England. 2 Hawk. P. C. 221,

By the 27 Eliz. cap. 2. Treafons by Priests or Jesuits coming into 2 Hal. His. England, and Felony for receiving them, are inquirable and determinable P. C. 164. where the Offender is apprehended.

- (G) What ought to be the Form of the Body of an Indiament at Common Law: And herein,
- 1. How the Body of an Indiament at Common Law ought to let forth the Substance and Manner of the Fact.

N Indictment, as defined by my Lord Hale, is nothing else but a 2 Hal. Hift. A plain, brief and certain Narrative of an Offence committed by any 169. Person, and of those necessary Circumstances that concur to ascertain (a) To that the Fact, and its Nature, in which, in favour of Life, great (a) Strict-Degree as to nesses have at all Times been required. become the

Discase and Reproach of the Law. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 193. - That before the 4 Geor. 2. cap. 26. & 6 Geor. 2. cap. - all Parts of it ought to be in Latin, and how far it was vitious for false, or improper Latin, vide 2 Hawk. P. C. 238 9.

Cro. Eliz. And therefore it is laid down as a good general Rule, that in Indict-2 Hawk. P.C. to be Great with Carl Countries of the whole Fact ought to be fet forth with fuch Certainty, that it may judicially appear to 225. the Court that the Indictors have not gone upon insufficient Premisses.

2 Hawk. P.C. Hence it hath been held, that no Periphrasis, or Circumlocution what-224. and se- foever, will supply those Words of the Act which the Law hath approveral Author rities there priated for the Description of the Offence; as Murdravit in an Indictment cited. 2 Hal. of Murder, (b) Cepit in an Indictment of Larceny, Mayhemavit in an In-Hist. P.C. 183, distinct of Maybem, (c) Felonice in an Indictment of any Felony what-184. accord. foever, Burglariter, or Burgulariter, or else Burgalariter, in an Indictment therefore an of Burglary, (d) Proditorie in any Indictment of Treason, contra Ligean-Indiament tie fue debitum in an Indiament of Treason against the King's Person. against A.

quod Felonice abduxit unum equum, without saying cepit & abduxit, is not good, for he might have the Horse by Bailment, and then it is no Felony. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 184. (c) For if A. is indicted, that furatus est unum equum, it is but a Trespass, for Want of the Word Felonice. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 184. (d) In Petit Treason it must be laid Felonice produtorie; for tho he be acquitted of the Petit Treason. fon, he may be convict of the Manslaughter or Murder. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 184.

But in an Indichment, or Appeal of Rape, the same is sufficiently set 2 Hawk. P.C. forth by the Words Felonice rapuit, without adding (c) Carnaliter cognovit, (e) But an or fetting forth the special Manner of the Terror or Violence, and then Indictment concluding that the Defendant fic Felonice rapuit. of Rape, quod Felonice

So carnaliter cognovit, without the Word rapuit, is not good, tho' it conclude contra formam Statuti. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 184.

2. Hawk P.C. And from this Certainty required in Indistments, it hath been holden, 225. that an Indictment for a felonious Breach of Prison, without shewing the Cause of the Imprisonment, is not good.

So of an Indictment for refusing to serve the Office of Constable, Allen 78. being Legitimo modo electus, without shewing the Manner of the Elec-1 A od 24. 5 Mod. 96, tion. 129.

So it hath been adjudged, that an Indictment of Burglary is infufficient, Cro. Tliz.483. without shewing that it was Nottanter. pl. 12.

Alfo it is agreed, that an Indichment, charging a Man with a Nusance, 2 Rol. Rep. in respect of a Fact which is lawful in it felf, as the Erecting of an Inn, &c. and only becomes unlawful from particular Circumstances, is infussicient, unless it set forth some Circumstances that make it unlawful.

Į,

So

Palm. 368,

374+

So it hath been adjudged, that an Indictment for traiteroufly coining 2 Hawk. P.C. Alkemii like to the King's Money, without shewing what Money, viz. 225 whether Gold, Silver, or Copper, is insufficient; for if the latter of these, the Offence could not amount to Treason.

So an Indichment of Perjury not shewing in what Manner, and in what Cro. Eliz. 137-Court the salse Oath was taken, is insufficient; because, for ought appears,

it might have been extrajudicial.

But an Indichment of Extortion charging J. S. with the taking of 50 s. 1 Sid. 91. as Bailiff of an Hundred colore Officii, without shewing for what he took 2 Hawk. P.C., it, is good, at least after Verdict; for perhaps he might claim it generally, 225. as being due to him as Bailiff, in which Case the taking could not be otherwise expressed.

An Indictment charging a Man disjunctively is void; as murdravit, vel 5 Mod. 137, murdrari caufavit, or that A. verberavit B. vel verberari caufavit, or that 138. Salk. 371. A. fabricavit talem chartam, vel fabricari caufavit, &c. for here are differences, and it appears not of which of them the Party is 2 Hawk. P.C.

Also an Indichment accusing a Man in general Terms, without ascertaining the particular Fact laid to his Charge, is insufficient; for no one 1 Keb. 278. can know what Defence to make to a Charge which is uncertain, nor 1 8h ev. 389. can he plead it in Bar or Abatement of a subsequent Prosecution; neither 2 Hawk. P. can it appear that the Facts given in Evidence against a Defendant on such a general Accusation are the same of which the Indictors have accused him; nor can it judicially appear to the Court what Punishment is proper for an Offence so loosely expressed.

As where the Indictment charges the Party with having spoken divers 2 Hawk. P. salfe and scandalous Words against 7. S. being Mayor of A. &c. or with C. 226 and being a common Defamer, Vexer, and Oppressor, &c. or with being a common Disturber of the Peace, and having stirred up divers Quarrels there eited.

common Disturber of the Peace, and having stirred up divers Quarrels among his Neighbours, or with being a Person of evil Behaviour, a common Deceiver, a common Publisher of the King's Secrets, &c. or with being a common Forestaller, a common Thief, a common Cham-

pertor, &c.

But Barretry being an Offence of a complicated Nature, confisting in 2 Hawk. P. the Repetition of frequent Acts, all of which it would be too prolix to C. 226. vide enumerate, Experience has settled it to be sufficient to charge a Man in general as a common Barretor.

And for the same Reason an Indictment against a common Scold is 2 Hawk. P.

fufficient, without shewing any Particulars.

Neither is it necessary for an Indichment of either of these two last <sup>2</sup> Hawk. P. mentioned Offences to conclude in Nocumentum omnium Ligeorum, &c. for <sup>227</sup> it appears from the Nature of the Thing, that it could not but be so.

An Indichment must lay the Charge against the Desendant positively, Salk: 371. and not by way of Recital, as with a Quod cum, &c. and it must expresly alledge every Thing material in the Description of the Substance, Na- 5 (o. 150. ture, and Manner of the Crime; for no Intendment shall be admitted to 2 Hawk. P. Supply a Desect of this kind.

Therefore if an Indictment of Murder want the Words ex Malitia Dyer 99.7163.

precogitata, it is no Answer that it has the Words felonice murdravit, 2 Hasuk. P.

which imply as much.

So if any Indictment of Death want an express Allegation that the <sup>2</sup> Hawk. P. Party received the Hurt laid as the Cause of his Death, and also that <sup>C. 227</sup> he died thereof, no Implication will help it.

Also if an Indictment for a seloniously breaking of Prison, and com- Keilw 87. manding 7. S. there imprisoned, &c. to escape, do not expressly alledge 2 Hawk. P. that 7. S. did escape, it is no Answer that it is fully implied in calling C. 227. the Offence a selonious breaking.

Yet strained and over-nice Exceptions of this kind are not to be 4 Co. 41. regarded; as that an Indictment of Death, laying the Assault to have been 2 Hawk. P. Vol. III. Dd with

with Malice prepense, doth not expresly repeat it in the Clause immediately following, and joined with a Copulative shewing the giving of the Wound at the same Time and Place.

Cro Fac. 4.3. 2 Hawk. P. C. 227.

Or that an Indichment fetting forth that J. S. was lawfully arrested by Virtue of a Plaint before such a Sheriff, &c. doth not expresly shew that there was a good Warrant.

9 Co. 67. 5 Co. 150. 2 Hawk. P. C. 227. Or that an Indictment fetting forth an Arrest in such a Parish and Ward in London, by Virtue of a Warrant, to arrest the Party within the Liberties of London, doth not expresly lay such Parish and Ward within the Liberties of London.

Cro. Jac. 610.
2 Mod. 128.
2 Rol. Rep.
226.
Moor 606.
2 Lev. 229.
Raym. 378.
1 Keb. 852.
Cro. Jac. 610.
2 Rol. Rep.
226.

ž Lvv. 229.

2 Mod. 129.

2 Hawk. P.

C. 228.

Or that an Indictment finding that J. S. existens of such a Trade, &c. as will bring him within the Law whereon the Indictment is sounded, committed such a Fact, does not expressly alledge that he was of such Trade, &c. at the Time of the Fact; for it fully appears from the natural Construction of the Participle existens going before the Verb, to which it is the Nominative Case.

Yet it is a good Exception to an Indictment of forcible Entry finding that A. disselsed B. of such Land existens liberum Tenementum of B. that it is not expressed to what Time it was his Freehold; for it stands indisferent, according to the common Rules of Construction, whether it was his Freehold at the Time of the Disselsin, or at the Time of sinding the Indistruent, the Word existens being applied only to the Thing which was the Subject of the Action, and not being the Nominative Case of the Verb, as in the former Case.

2 Hawk. P. C. 228 9. and feveral Authorities there cited.

If one material Part of an Indictment be repugnant to another, or if the Fact as lad be impossible or absurd, the Indichment is void; as where one is indicted for having forged a Writing, in which A, was bound to B. which is impossible if the Writing were forged; or for having disselfed 7. S. of Land; wherein it appears, by the Indichment itself, that he had no Freehold, or for having entered peaceably on 7. S. and then and there fercibly diffeifed him; or for having diffeifed him of Land then being, and for ever fince continuing to be, his Freehold; or for having murdered 7. S. at B. where by the Indiffment it appears that  $\mathcal{F}$ . S. was only wounded at B. and died at C. or for felling Iron with false Weights and Measures, which is not only absurd, as supposing that Iron could be fold by Measure, but inconsistent, in supposing that it was fo fold, and yet at the same time fold by Weight; or for being absent from Church fix Months, between fuch and fuch a Time, which appears to have contained only the Space of eleven Days; or for feloniously cutting down Trees, &c. Yet where the Sense is clear, a small Impropriety may be dispensed with; as where one is indicted for having mowed unam acram Fam, which is faid to be sufficient, and yet that which was mowed could not, at the Time of the mowing, in Strictness be called Hay, but Grass only.

2 Hawk. P. C. 229. Also a Repugnancy in an Indictment in setting forth the Offence of the Accessory, is as satal as it is in setting forth that of the Principal; as where an Indictment of Death having laid the Stroke on one Day, and the Death at another, charges the Accessory with having abetted the Principal at the Time of the Felony only.

9 Co. 67. Plow. 97. But where feveral are prefent and abet a Fact, and one only actually does it, an Indictment may, in the same Manner as an Appeal, either lay it as done by the one, and abetted by the rest.

2 Hawk. P. C. 229.

But if it barely charge a Man with having been present, it is void; because a Man may be innocently present.

2 Hawk. P. C. 229: An Indictment of J. S. as Acceffory to four by these Words, Seiens ipsos quatuor feloniam predict' fecisse apud B. felonice receptavit, without adding cos is naught; for it appears not clearly how many of them he is charged to have received.

Alfo

Also an Indichment of a Constable for having voluntarily and feloni- 2 Hawk P. oully suffered a Person arrested by him on Suspicion of Felony to escape, C. 229, 232 without shewing what the Felony was, and that it was actually committea, is faid to be void for the Uncertainty: But an Indictment for knowingly suffering Persons convicted of Felony to escape, is said to be good, withour finding expresly what the Felony was, or that it was committed, if the Record of Conviction be fet forth with convenient Certainty; for that shews what the Felony was, and that it was committed.

It is holden by fome, that an Indiciment finding that J. S. scienter 2 Hawk. P. receptavit J. D. being a Felon, is not good, without expressly finding C. 230. and the Autho that he knew him to be a Felon; but by others, such Indichment is good, the Authorities there because the plan Construction of the Word feienter carries it thro' the cited,

whole Sentence.

#### 2. How the Indiament must let forth the Persons mentioned of referred to in it.

The Name and Addition of the Party indicted ought regularly to be 2 Hall Hig. inferted, and inferted truly, in every Indictment; but if the Party be P.C. 175. indicted by a wrong Christian Name, Surname, or Addition, and he plead to that Indichment Not guilty, or answer to that Indichment upon his Arraignment by that Name, he shall not be received after to plead Missioner or Falsity of his Addition; for he is concluded and estopped by his Plea by that Name, and of that Estoppel the Gaoler and Sheriff that doth Execution shall have Advantage.

But it is faid, that an Indictment that the King's Highway in fuch a 2 Roll. 4br. 79. Place is in Decay, thro' the Default of the Inhabitants of fuch a Town, 2 Hawk. P. is good without naming any Person in certain.

is good without naming any Person in certain.

Also it is said, that no Indictee can take any Advantage of a mistaken 2 Hawk. P. (a) Surname in the Indictment, either by Plea in Abatement, or other- 5.230. wife, notwithstanding such Surname have no manner of Affinity with his (a) But per Hale it is the true one, and he was never known by it. fafeft Way

to allow his Plea of Misnomer, both as to his Surname and as to his Christian Name; for he that pleads Misnomer of either, must in the same Plea set forth what his true Name is, and then he concludes himself; and if the Grand Jury be not discharged, the Indistment may be presently amended by the Grand Jury, and returned according to the Name he gives himself. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 176.

And in this Respect an Indictment (b) differs from an Appeal, where- 2 Hal. Hist. of it is certain that a Misnomer of a Surname may be pleaded in an P. C. 176. 2 Hiwk P. Abatement as well as any other Mifnomer whatfoever. C. 230. (b) But that every other Misnomer of the Defendant, as also every defective Addition, are as fatal in an Indictment as an Appeal; for which vide 2 Hawk. P. C. 137, &c.

Not only the Misnomer of the Name of Baptism will abate an Indict- 2 Hawk. P. ment, but also the Naming the Desendant Knight, &c. who is a Baronet, Several Auand no Knight, &c. or the Omission of a Name of Dignity; as where thorities Garter King at Arms is not named Garter in the Indictment; and fo of there cited. any other Name of Dignity, (e) if Process of Outlawry lie upon it.

against a Peer of the Realm is good without an Addition, because no Process of Outlawry lies against him. Cro. Eliz. 148. Lord Dacre's Cafe. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 177.

By the Common Law the Party indicted could not take Advantage 2 Hal. Hills. of a Misnomer or the Want of Addition, because the Fact being sworn P. C. 176. against the Party present, and appearing to their View, there could be no Injury by the Misnomer; also as Felons generally go by no certain Name, and have no fixed Habitation, it was thought hard to find out their real Names or Professions; but this was altered by the Statute

I H. 5. cap. 5. which requires that in all Indichments, &c. the Party indicted ought to have the Addition of his Mystery, Degree, Place, and

County.

2 Hal. Hift. 176. But for this vide 2 Hawk. P. C. 187 S.

The Additions required by the Statute are, that of his Degree, as Teoman, Gentleman, Esquire; of his Mystery, as Husbandman, Sailor, Spinster, &c. therefore if the Addition be only general, as Servant, Farmer, Citizen, &c. or of Crimes and Misdemeanors only, as Extortioner, Vagabond, Heretic, &c. these are no good Additions.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 177. 2 Hawk P. C. 231.

The Addition ought to be to the Substantive Name, and not to that which comes after the Alias distus, because regularly the Addition refers to the last Antecedent.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 177. But in 2 Hawk. P. C.

If feveral Persons be indicted for one Offence, Misnomer, or Want of Addition of one, quasheth the Indictment only against him, and the rest shall be put to answer; for they are in Law as several Indictments; and fo in Trespass.

231. it is faid, that where several are indicted, and there is an Omission of an Addition as to one, it makes the Indiament vicious as to all; for which is cited 1 Bulf. 183.

2 Hawk. P. C. 231.

Not only the Defendant, but regularly all other Persons also mentioned in an Indictment, must be described with convenient Certainty; and therefore it feems to be generally agreed at this Day, that an Indictment for suffering divers Bakers to bake, &c. against the Assise, or for distraining divers Persons without Cause, or for taking divers Sums of Money of divers Fersons for such a Toll, &c. without naming any Bakers, &c. in particular, is insufficient.

Plow. S5. b. Dyer 285. a. 2 Hal. Hift. 181.

But an Indictment of Murder cujusdam ignoti is good; and so for stealing the Goods cujusdam ignoti; so of an Assault in quendam ignotum; and if he be acquitted or convicted, and be afterwards indicted for an 2 Hawk. 232. Assault or Murder of such a Man by Name, he may plead the former Conviction or Acquittal, and aver it to be the fame Person.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 181.

But an Indictment quod invenit quendam bominem mortuum, ac felonice furatus est duas Tunicas, without saying de Bonis & Catallis cujusdam ignoti is not good.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 181.

If the Goods of a Chapel be stolen, the Indictment shall say Bona & Catalla Capella in cuftodia Prapositorum; if it be done in Time of Vacation, Bona & Catalla Capella tempore Vacationis; but if the Goods of a Parish Church be stolen, as the Bell, the Books, &?c. it shall run Bona Parochianorum de S. in custodia Gardianorum Ecclesia, and shall not suppose them Bona Ecclesiæ.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 181.

If the Goods which A hath as Executor of B, be stolen, the Offender may be indicted quod Bona Testatoris in custodia A. Executoris ejusalem B. or it may be general Bona ipsius A.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 181.

If A dying be buried, and B opens the Grave in the Night-time, and steals the Winding-sheet, the Indicament cannot suppose them the Goods of the dead Man, but of the Executors, Administrators, or Ordinary, as the Case falls out.

Cro. Eliz. 490. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 182.

An Indictment quod felonice, &c. cepit quandam peciam Panni cujusdam J. S. without faying de Bonis & Catallis cujusdam J. S. was therefore quashed.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 182.

There is no Need of an Addition of the Person robbed or murdered, &c. unless there be a Plurality of Persons of the same Name; neither then is it effential to the Indichment, tho' fometimes it may be convenient, for Distinction sake, to add it; for it is sufficient if the Indichment be true, viz. that 7. S. was killed or robbed, tho' there are many of the fame Name.

Keilw. 25. Dyer 285. 11. 38. 2 Hawk. P. C. 232.

And it hath been adjudged, that an Indictment of an Assault on John, Parish Priest of D. in the County of C. is good without mentioning his Surname; for the Certainty of the Person sufficiently appears.

But it feems that if fuch Indictment had only described him by his a Hawk. P. Name of Baptism without any farther Addition, it had been too uncer- C. 232-3tain; yet the contrary feems to be held in (a) Moor: However, it feems (a) Moor 456. agreed that a Repugnancy or Absurdity in the Description of the Person pl. 662. injured will vitiate an Indictment; as where one is indicted for stealing Bona pr.edist J. S. where no J. S. was mentioned before.

It is not necessary to alledge in an Indichment of Death, that the Party 2 Hawk. F.

killed was in the Peace of God.

## 3. How the Indiament ought to set forth the Ching wherein the Offence was committed.

An Indiament, which doth not with fufficient Certainty set forth the 2 Hawk. P. Thing wherein the Offence was committed, is insufficient; as where one C. 233 4; is indicted for having forged a Leafe of certain Lands, without naming 2 Hal. H. f. fome one certain Parcel, or for having folen Ross \$2 Catalla T. C. wish fome one certain Parcel, or for having stolen Bona & Catalle J. S. with- accord. out shewing any in particular, or for having trespassed on two Closes of Meadow or Pasture, or for having diverted quandam partem Aquie running from fuch a Place to fuch a Place, without any farther Defeription, or for having ingroffed magnam quantitatem Strammis & Fani, or diversos cumulos Tritici, without thewing how much of each, or for having carried away duas centenas Cafei, without adding Libras or Uncias, &c. or for having erected feveral Cottages contra Forman Statuti, without shewing how many.

It is faid to be most proper in Indictments of Larceny and Trespass  $_2$   $_{Laxuk,\;P}$ on a living Thing to flew to whom the Property of it belonged, by call- C-234ing it the Ox or Horse, &c. of J. S. without using the Words Bona & Catalla: Yet there are many Precedents in Books of good Authority,

wherein this Nicety is not observed.

Purpose, than to aggravate the Crime.

If Theft be alledged in any Thing, the Indictment must fet down the 2 Hal Hist.

Value, that it may appear whether it be Grand or Petit Larceny.

If the Thing be moveable, as a Horse, Cow, &c. it is faid to be 2 Hawk. P. most proper to shew its Worth by the Word Pretium; but if the Thing C. 234. be immoveable, and confifts of divers dead Things, it ought to be a.t Valentiam; (b) yet this Nicety seems not necessary; neither is it clear (b) And per that the Worth of the Thing stolen is required to be set forth in an Hale this is Indictment of Larceny for any other Purpose, than to shew that the but Clerk-ship, and not Crime amounts to Grand Larceny, and the better to afcertain the Crime, fubfiantial; in order for a Restitution, or in an Indictment of Trespass, for any other for if Pretii

be fet inflead

of ad Valentiam, or e Converso, it doth not vitiate the Indiament; and so it is if one Pretii or ad Valentiam be added to several Things, where in true Clerkship it should be applied severally, it is good if the Party be convict of all; but possibly, if the Party be convict but of Part, it is not good, because it will be uncertain whether Grand or Petit Larceny. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 183.

An Indictment quod felonice cepit 20 Oves, Matrices & Agnos, or 2 Hal. Hist. Matrices & Verveces, is not good, because it doth not appear how many P. C. 183. of one Sort, and how many of another; but 20 Oves generally might have been good without distinguishing Matrices & Verveces, as in Case of Replevin or Trespass.

But an Indictment de quatuor Riscis & Cistis, Anglice Chests and Cof- 2 H.d. History, is good, because synonymous. fers, is good, because synonymous.

faid, that regularly the same or more Certainty is required in an Indistment of Goods, than in Trespass for Goods.—And note, That it is agreed as a general Rule, that if an Indistment be uncertain as to some Particulars only, and certain as to the rest, it is void only as to those which are uncertainly expressed, and good for the Residue. 2 Hawk. P. C. 234.

## 4. How the Indiament must set forth the Circumstances of Time and Place.

It is laid down as an undoubted Principle in all the Books that treat of this Matter, that no Indictment whatfoever can be good without precifely shewing a certain Year and (a) Day of the material Facts alledged in it.

—And this the Law requires, not only because the Party may be the better prepared to make his Desence, but also because that in Indistments, on which, upon a Convission, there incurs a Forseiture of Lands, it may appear to what Day the Forseiture is to have Relation; as also that if it be an Indistment of Murder or Manssaughter, it may appear that the Death was within the Year and Day after the Stroke. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 179. — But it is not necessary upon the Evidence to prove the Crime to have been committed on the very Day laid in the Indistment; but if it be proved to have been at any Time before or after, the Party is to be convicted. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 179. (a) But it is not necessary to mention the Hour in an Indistment, 2 Hawk. P. C. 235. — Unless the Time of the Day is material to ascertain the Nature of the Ossence, and then it must be expressed; as in an Indistment of Burglary it ought to say, tali Die circa Horam decimam in Noese ejustem Diei, selonice & burglariter fregit; yet it is said that by some Opinions burglariter carries a sufficient Expression that it was done in the Night. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 179. — So upon breaking a House in the Day-time, to oust the Ossender of his Clergy upon the Statute of 39 Eliz. cap. 15. it is usual to add tempere Diurno; for the Statute expression it is otherwise, the Indistment be good, yet he shall not be ousted of his Clergy. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 179.

2 Hazvk. P. As if an Indictment of Death laying the Assault at a certain Time, &c. 235.
2 Hal. Hist.
P. C. 178.

As if an Indictment of Death laying the Assault at a certain Time, &c. do not repeat in the Clause of the Stroke, or if it do not set forth the Time of the Death as well as of the Stroke.

2 Hawk. P. So if any Indictment lay the Offence on an impossible Day, or on a Day that makes the Indictment repugnant to itself, or if it lay one and the same Offence at different Days, it is insufficient.

As if A. be indicted quod primo die Maii & secundo die Maii apud D. he made an Assault upon B. & quandam togam ipsius B. adtunc & ibidem invent's felonice cepit, &c. this Indictment is not good, because there are several Days mentioned before, and it is uncertain to which the felonious Taking shall relate.

2 Hal. Hift. So if A. be indicted that he Festo Sancti Petri anno 20 Car. killed 7. S. this is not good, because there are two Feasts of St. Peter, and neither without Addition, viz. St. Peter ad Vincula, and St. Peter in Cathedra.

2 Hawk. P. The Words adtune & ibidem in the subsequent Part of an Indictment are as effectual, as if the Year and Day mentioned in the former Part had been expressly repeated.

Also if it lay the Fact on the Thursday after the Feast of Pentecost in such a Year, or on the Utas of Easter, &c. (which shall be taken for the very eighth after the Feast) or on the tenth of March last, (being ascertained by the Stile of the Sessions, &c.) it is as good as if it had expresly named the Day of the Month, &c.

2 Hawk. P. Also if an Indictment charge a Man with an Omission, &c. as not scowring such a Ditch, it needs not shew any Time.

So if an Indictment charge a Man with having done fuch a Nusance fuch a Day and Year, and on divers other Days, it is void only as to the Facts alledged on the Days uncertainly set forth; but if it charge a Man generally with several Offences at several Times between such a Day and such a Day, without laying any one at a certain Day, it hath been adjudged to be wholly void.

2 Hawk. P. Yet it hath been folemnly adjudged, that a Conviction of Deerftealing, fetting forth the Offence between the 8th and 12th of July, &c. is sufficient.

And

And in these Cases it is said to be most regular to set forth the Year, 2 Hawk. P. by shewing the Year of the King; yet this may be dispensed with, for C. 236. special Reasons, if the very Year be otherwise sufficiently expressed, for

that only is material.

Every Indictment at Common Law must expresly shew some (a) Place 2 Hawk. P. wherein the Offence was committed, which must appear to have been (a) That rewithin the Jurisdiction of the Court in which the Indictment was taken, gularly the and must be alledged without any Repugnancy; for if one and the fame Vill or Ham-Offence be alledged at two different Places, or at B. aforesaid, where B. let and Counwas not before mentioned, or if the Stroke be alledged at A. and the expressed in Death at B. and the Indictment conclude that the Defendant fie felonice the Indictmurdravit the Deceased at A. the Indichment is void.

ment, and where the

Time must be repeated again upon several Acts done regularly, the Place also must be repeated, viz. tunc & ibidem. 2 Hal, Hift. P. C. 180.

So it is also if it lay not both a Place of the Stroke and Death, or 2 Hawk. P. if any Place so alledged be not such from whence a Visne may come; as 6.236 to which it hath been adjudged, that if a Fact be alledged in a Parish in 9 Co. 66. London, with some other Addition which sufficiently afcertains it, or in

the Patish of St. Lawrence Jewry, it needs not shew the Ward.

Also in some Crimes no Vill need be named, as upon an Indictment 2 Hal. Hist. of Barretry, because he is a Barretor every where, and it shall be tried P. C. 180.

de Corpore Comitatus.

Suff. in the Margin, the Indictment supposing a Fact done apud S. in 2 Hal. His.

P. C. 180.

Com' prædici' is good; for it refers to the County in the Margin.

But if there be two Counties named, one in the Margin, another in Cro. Eliz. 739. the Addition of any Party, or in the Recital of an Act of Parliament 2 Hal. Huft. recited in the Premisses of the Indicament, the Fact laid apud S. in Com? P. C. 180. prædia' vitiates the Indichment; because two Counties are named before, and it is uncertain to which it refers.

Indictment against A. B. that he apud N. in Com' prædict' made an 2 Hal. H.f. Assault upon C. D. of F. in Com' prædict' & ipsum adtunc & ibidem cum P. C. 180. quodam gladio, &c. percussit, &c. this Indichment is not good, because two Places named before; and if it refers to both, it is impossible; and if only

to one, it must refer to the last, and then it is insensible.

It hath been holden, that an Indictment on a Statute, prohibiting fuch 2 Hawk. P. and fuch Persons to do such a Thing, needs not shew where the Facts C. 237. happened which bring the Defendant within the Prohibition; as where it is enacted, that it shall be Treason for a Person born within the Realm and in Popish Orders to remain here, &c. in which Case it is said, that the Indictment needs not shew a Visne for the (b) Birth or Ordination. (b) But if a Man be in-

dicted for that ratione Tenura of certain Lands he is bound to repair a Bridge, and that it is in Deeay, it must be alledged where those Lands lie. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 181.

Also a Mistake in Evidence of the Place laid is no Case material, 2 Hawk. P. on Not guilty pleaded, if the Fact be proved in any other Place in the C. 237. County; but if there be no fuch Place in a County, as that wherein an Offence is laid in an Appeal or Indictment, all Process thereon is void by the Statutes of 9 H. 5. cap. 1. and 18 H. 6. cap. 12.

5. Where the Offence indicted may be laid jointly, and where severally, and where both jointly and severally; and where the Offences of several Persons may be laid in one Indiament.

2 Hawk. P. C. 240. veral commit a Rob-

bery, Bur-glary, Mur-

P. C. 173.

der, &c.

Altho' the Offence of feveral Persons cannot but be several, because one Man's Offence cannot be another's, but every Man must answer (a) So if fe- for himself; yet if it wholly arise from (a) a joint Act, which is in itself criminal, as where feveral join in keeping a Gaming-house, or in Deerstealing, or Maintenance, &c. the Defendants may be indicted jointly and severally; as thus, quod custodiverunt & utcrque eorum custodivit, or jointly only; for it sufficiently appears, that if all are joined in such Act, 2 Hal. Higt. each must be guilty; and therefore some of them may be convicted, and fome acquitted.

- And as feveral Persons may be joined in the same Indistment, so several Offences committed by the same Party may be joined in one Indistment; as Burglary and Larceny, Larcenies committed of several Things, tho at feveral Times, and from feveral Persons, may be joined in one Indictment. 2 Hal.

Hift. P. C. 173.

2 Hawk. P. C. 240-1. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 174. accord.

But where the Offence arises from a joint Act which in itself is not criminal, but may be so by reason of some personal Defect pecular to each Defendant, as where divers follow a joint Trade, for which the Law requires a feven Years Apprenticeship, in which Case each Trader's particular Defect, and not the joint Act, makes him guilty, it feems most proper to indict them severally, and not jointly, because each Man's

Offence is grounded on a Defect peculiar to himfelf.

2 H.rwk. P. C. 241. and feveral Authorities there eited.

And for this Reason Indictments have been quashed for jointly charging several Defendants (b) for not repairing the Streets before their Houses, or for taking Inmates, or for neglecting a Day of Fasting appointed by Proclamation; and this is agreeable to the Rule of Law as to bringing Actions on penal Statutes, wherein feveral Defendants shall not be joined, except it be in respect of some one Thing in which all are against seve- jointly concerned, as where several join in a Suit in the Admiralty on a ral Officers, Contract on Land, or in procuring or giving an untrue Verdict, &c. paralium Officiorum suorum separaliter extorsive ceperunt, was quashed. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 174.

(b) So an Indistment

But yet where A. B. C. and D. were indicted for erecting four feve-2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 174. ral Inns ad commune Nocumentum, it was ruled, that for feveral Offences

of the same Nature several Persons may be indicted in the same Indictment; but then it must be laid separaliter erexerunt, and for Want of

that Word (separaliter) the Indichment was quashed.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 174. and in Haw-

Also it is said in Hale to be common Experience, that twenty Persons may be indicted for keeping Diforderly Houses or Baudy Houses, and kins, that in they are daily convict upon such Indictments; for the Word separaliter fome Books makes them feveral Indictments.

Indiaments against several Persons for several Offences, as Recusancy, following a Trade without ferving an Apprenticeship, mentioned without any Exception on this Account; therefore this Matter

doth not seem to be fully settled. 2 Hawk. P. C. 241.

### 6. Talbether the Words Vi & Armis be in any Cafe necessary.

At Common Law the Words Vi & Armis were necessary in Indict-Cro. 7ac. 473. 2 Lev. 221. ments for Offences which amount to an actual Disturbance of the Peace,  $_{2}^{OKIIII}$   $_{1}^{OKIIII}$  as Rescouses and Assaults, &c. but it seems that they were never necessary C. 241.

fary where it would be abfurd to use them; as in Indictments for Conspiracies, Slanders, (a) Cheats, Escapes, and such like, or for Nusances in (a) As an the Defendant's own Ground, &c.

for chearing

another per quendara Lufum, Angl' vocat. Trick at Cards; it was held, that it need not be laid l'i & Armis, because cheating is clandestine. 1 Keb. 652.

But however material these Words might have been by the Common Law, yet now it is enacted by 37 H. 8. cap. 8. That the Words  $V_2 \otimes Armis$ , viz. cum baculis, cultellis, arcubus & fagittis, shall not of Necessiaty be put in any Indictment or Inquisition, nor shall the Parties in-6 dicted have any Advantage by Writ of Error, or Flea, or otherwise, to avoid any fuch Indichment or Inquifition, for the Want of these, or the 6 like Words; but the faid Indictments, &c. taking the faid Words, or any of them, shall be adjudged as effectual to all Intents, Construc-6 tions and Purpofes, as the same Indictments, &c. having the same Words in them.

Yet fince this Statute, Exceptions to Indictments of Trespass, and such 2 Hawk P. C. like, for want of the Words Vi & Armis, where they have not been im- 242. plied by other Words, as Rescussive manu sorti, &c. have sometimes prevailed; and the Necessity of them is (b) said to be owing to this, that (b)  $_2L_{ex.223}$ ; without them there can be no Capiatur entred, nor Fine to the King.

Yet, says Hawkins, they have been often over-ruled, and it is not easy 2 Hawk. P.C. to shew how they ever could prevail, since the said Statute, consistently 242. and with the manifest Purport of it; however, it is certainly safe and ad- 2 Hal. Hill viseable to make use of them where they are proper and pertinent, if it P.C. 187. be to no other Purpose than to aggravate the Offence.

feems to agree.

#### 7. Whether it be necessary to lay the Words contra Pacem.

In as much as all Offences, which are punishable by a publick Profe- 2 Hawk P.C. cution, tend to the Disturbance of the quiet and peaceable Government of 242. the King over his People, it feems a good (c) general Rule, that all (c) That e-Indictments and Criminal Informations ought to conclude contra Pacem very Indict-of the King, or Kings in whose Reigns, or Reigns, the Officers was seen ment ought of the King, or Kings, in whose Reign, or Reigns, the Offence was com-regularly to conclude

contra Pacem Domini Regis. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 188. — And tho' it conclude contra Pa Em, yet if it be without Domini Regis, it is infusficient. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 188. — That tho' the Offence be for using a Trade, not Regis, it is influencent. 2 Hall High. P. C. 188. —— I nat tho the Offence De for using a Trade, not having ferved an Apprenticeship, yet it ought to conclude contra Pacem; for every Offence against a Statute is contra Pacein, and ought to be so laid. 2 Hall High. P.C. 188. —— Yet per Hawkins there are some Precedents without this Conclusion, but not warranted by any Resolution. 2 Hawk. P. C. 242, Except only where the Indictment is for a bare Non feafance, as the not performing the Order of Juffices of Peace; which hath been resolved to be good, without this Conclusion, in 1 Vent. 108, 111.

Therefore if A, be indicted for an Offence supposed to be committed 2 Hal Hift. in the Time of a former King, and concludes contra Pacem Domini Regis P.C. 188-9, nunc, it is infufficient; for it must be supposed to be done contra Pacem of that King in whose Time it was committed.

If an Offence be supposed to be begun in the Time of one King, and 2 Hal. Hift. continued in the I ime of his Successor, (as a Nusance) it must conclude P. C. 189. contra Pacem of both Kings, or else it is insufficient.

As if one be indicted for having erected a Wear in the Reign of Queen Yelv. 66. Sir Elizabeth, and continuing it in the Reign of King James, and the Indict- John Winter's went songly de that to it was a most of and applications of the Indict- Cafe. ment conclude, that so it was erected and continued contra Pacem Regis, 2 Hawk P.C. &c. without adding contra Pacem nuper Regime, it is infufficient, because 243. the Commencement of the Wrong, which is as much indicted as the Continuance, was in the Reign of the Queen; but it is faid, that if the Erection had been laid only by way of Inducement, and the Jet of the Vol. III.

Indichment had only been the Continuance of it, such Conclusion, con-

tra Paccia of the King only, might be good.

If an Offence be alledged in the Time of Queen Elizabeth, and the Cro. Fac. 377. 2 Hal. Hift. Indictment taken in the Time of King James, and concludes contra P. C. 189. Q. Pacem nuper Regine & Domini Regis nunc, it seems good; and Domini Regis nune but Surplufage, as well as in a Count in Trespass.

It feems clear, that neither Informations qui tam, nor Informations for 2 Hawk. P.C. an Intrusion, or other Wrong of a Civil Nature done to the King's 243.

Lands, Goods or Revenues, need this Conclusion.

#### 8. Whether it be necessary to lay it contra Coronam & Dignitatem Regis.

It is faid in Hawkins, that the Words contra Coronam & Dignitatem 243: and per Regis are used in all the Precedents in Coke's Entries, which lay the Of-Hile, an In-fence contra Pacem, yet that they are omitted in Rafial's Precedents; and it hath been (a) refolved, that an Indichment for a Riot is good without conclude & them, nor can he find the contrary to have been adjudged any where. contra Coro-

nam & Dignitatem ejus, tho' it be usual in many Indiaments. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 188. (a) 2 Rol Abr. S2.

#### 9. Whether it be necessary to lay it in Contemptum Regis.

The Words in Contemptum Regis are sometimes used in Indictments of 2 Hawk. P. C. superior Courts, and in Informations of Intrusion, and in Actions upon 243. Statutes, and fomctimes omitted; but there is no Authority relating hereto, except in the Year-Book of 4 H. 6. pl. 7. wherein it feems to be admitted, that it is necessary in an Action on a Statute.

### 10. Whether it be necessary to lay it illicite.

The Word illicite has been adjudged not to be necessary in an Indict-2 Hawk. P.C. 244. ment for a Riot, because the Fact indicted appears to be unlawful, and the same may be said as to all other Indictments at Common Law; but if a Statute in describing a Thing prohibited uses the Word illicite, an Indictment thereon is not good without it.

#### in. Whether a Defect in any of these Particulars be as mendable.

2 Hawk. P.C. It is clearly agreed, that none of the Statutes of Amendment extend 244. & vide Tit. Amend- to Criminal Profecutions, and therefore no Indictment can be amended ment, Letter in any Case wherein an Amendment is not allowable by the Common

But it is fald, that the Body of an Indictment from London may be 2 Hawk. P.C. amended, because by the City Charters the Tenor of the Record only shall be removed from thence.

Also a Coroner may by Rule amend his Inquest by the Notes in 2 Hawk. P.C. 241. Matter of Form before it is filed; and the Caption of an Indictment may, on Motion, be amended by the Clerk of the Affises, or of the Peace, fo as to make it agree with the Original Record, at any Time during the Term in which it came in, but not in a subsequent Term.

But it is faid, that the Caption of an Inquisition shall never be amend, a Hawk P. Cord after it is filed; for being Part of, and drawn at the same Time with 1440 the Inquisition, greater Exactness is required in it than in the Caption of an Indictment, which is left, as of Course, to be drawn up as Occasion

Also it seems to be settled, that a Discontinuance in a Criminal Pro- 2 Hauk P.C. secution is not amendable without Consent; but it seems, that a meer 244. Misprision in the joining of an Issue, as where the Word Similator, &c. is omitted, is amendable at any Time; also the Direction of a Venire vicecomitibus of B. which is returned by J. S. vicecomiti, may be amended on the Oath of J. S. that there is but one Sheriff of B. which is himself; also it is common Practice to amend Criminal Informations, and the Pleadings thereon, while all is in Paper.

And anciently, where an Indictment appeared to be infufficient, the 2 Hawk P.C. Practice was not to put the Defendant to answer it; but if it were found 245 in the County, in which the Court fat, to award Process against the Grand Jury, to come into Court and amend it; and it is common Practice at this Day, while the Grand Jury, which found a Bill, is before the Court, to amend it, by their Consent, in Matter of Form, as the Name

## (H) What ought to be the Form of an In-

diament upon a Statute: And herein,

or Addition of the Party.

1. Whither it be necessary that fuch Indiament recite the Statute whereon it is grounded.

To feems to be agreed, that there is no Necessity for any Indictment (a) 2 Hawk. P.C.245 and or Information on a (a) publick Statute to recite such Statute, feveral Authorities it be prohibited by more than one Statute, or by one only; for the Judges must, ex officio, take Notice of all publick Statutes; or if there be more than one, by which an Indictment may be maintained, they will go upon that which is most for the King's Advantage.

(a) 2 Hawk. P.C.245 and several Authorities there exists.

(a) 2 Hawk.

(b) C.1725 and several Authorities

(c) 2 Hall History

(c) 4 Hawk.

(d) 2 Hawk.

(e) 2 Hawk.

(e) 3 P.C.245 and several Authorities

(f) 4 Hawk.

(horities there exists.

(horities

that induce a Forfeiture to the King, or make a Felony or Treafon, are general Statutes, because it concerns the King.

## 2. Alhat Mifrécitals of fuch Statutes are fatal.

Altho' it be not necessary to recite a publick Statute; yet if a Profe-Plowd. 79, eutor take upon himself to recite a Statute, and materially vary from it, \$3, \$4.

and conclude centra formem Statuti (b) prædisi, he vitiates the Indiction. Eliz.236.

ment, because it judicially appears that there is no such Foundation as Palm. 565.

that whereon it is expressly grounded.

2 Hawk P.C. 246. (b) But if it conclude generally contra formam Statuti in hujufmedi cafu edit' provis', it is good, for the Court takes Notice of the true Statute, and will reject the Mitrecital as Surplulage. 2 Hil. Hift. P. C. 172 3. 1 Keb. 662. S. P.

As where in an Indictment, with such Conclusion on the Statutes 2 Hawk. P.C. which prohibit Entries with strong Hand, the Word Vi is put for Manu; 246. or where Nuncia is put for Mendavia in an Indictment on the Statute of Scandalum

Scandalum Magnatum, or where the Verb put to express the principal Act, wherein the Offence consists, is neither Classical nor Legal Latin, &c.

2 Hank P C. 246.

Yet the Omission of a synonymous Word, having no farther Meaning than those which are expresly recited, or the joining of Words much of the same Sense, as Malitiose & Contemptuose with a Copulative, where the Statute uses a Disjunctive; or the using the Singular Number for the Plural, or the Plural for the Singular, where the Sense is the same, vitiates not an Indictment; as where in reciting a Statute, speaking of Suits in any Courts, or of Disturbers of Persons in open Preaching, the Words in aliqua curia, or in apertis Predicationibus, are used.

Also no Advantage can be taken of a Variance from any Part of a private Statute, without shewing it to the Court in (a) a proper Manner; taken because otherwise such Statute shall be taken to be as it is recited.

ticular, it must be recited in the Indictment, and proved by an examined Copy upon the Trial. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 172.

2 Hawk. P.C. 246 7.

A Mifrecital of the Place or Day on which the Parliament was holden, by which a publick Statute was made, on which the Indictment is grounded, vitiates the Indichment; for the Court takes judicial Notice of all fuch Statutes, and will not make good a Proceeding, which of the Party's own shewing appears to be commenced on a supposed Statute of this Kind, where there is no fuch Statute; as if a Parliament be fummoned to meet on the Twenty-third Day of January, and before the Meeting be prorogued to the Twenty-fifth,  $\mathfrak{C}c$  and a Statute made by it be recited as made in a Parliament holden on the Twenty-third, &c. or if a Parliament first holden in one Year be prorogued to another, and a Statute made the fecond Year be recited, as having been made at a Parliament holden or begun in fuch fecond Year, which is all one, inflead of faying, that it was made at a Sessions of Parliament then holden, and the Indictment conclude contra formam Statuti Prædict. &c. yet Faults of this Nature may be helped by the constant Course of Precedents on a Statute, or by concluding contra formam Statuti, without adding Prædicti; or, as some say, by the Defendant's Admittance that there is fuch a Statute as is supposed.

2 Hawk. P.C. Also a Repugnancy in setting forth the Time when a Parliament was holden is fatal; as if a Statute be recited to have been made in the first and second Years of such a King; also it hath been holden necessary to shew in what County the Parliament was holden, but that the Omission

of the Day is no Fault.

2 Hawk. P.C. 247. It feems not to be clearly fettled, whether the Mifrecital of the Title of an Act be material; but it feems more clear, that a Variance in reciting it, as commencing after the Making, where it is to commence after the End of the Sessions, is fatal.

2 Hawk. P. C.

A Variance no way altering the Sense does no Hurt; as where in reciting an Oath prescribed by Statute, the Words Sea of Rome are put for See of Rome, and I do declare in Conscience, instead of I do declare in my Conscience; neither is it a material Variance to omit or miscrecite a Branch of a Statute no way relating to the present Purpose, but put only by way of Flourish and ex abundanti.

<sup>2</sup> Hawk. P.C. <sup>247</sup> 8.

Neither is the Mifrecital of the Preamble of a Statute material, where the Substance of the Purview is well recited; as where, in an Action on the Statute of Hue and Cry, the Declaration recites the Preamble, as speaking of burning of Houses, where the Statute speaks of Arsons generally, without mentioning Houses; or where, in an Action of Scandalum Magnatum, the Declaration, reciting the Preamble of the Statute, mentions only what relates to Earls, &c. but if an Indictment on 8 H. 6. in reciting the Clause, which shews in what Actions the Party shall recover, after mentioning Recoveries by Verdict, omit the Words, or any other Manner, or recite the Statute as giving the Fine on a Recovery

by

by Action dielo Domino Regi; where there is nothing to make good the Word dicto, or recite the Claufe concerning the bringing an Action, as faving, if the Party after such Entry make a Feofiment, &c. where the Words are, if after such Entry any Fcoffment be made, or recite it thus; if any Person be put out and disseissed, where the Words are, if any Person be put out or diffeissed, the Variances have been adjudged fatal; yet the last has been holden to be immaterial, because tho' the Words abovementioned are in the Disjunctive, they have been always expounded in the Copulative; and it feems questionable how far the other Variances will be holden fatal at this Day, Niceties of this Kind not having been of late fo much regarded as formerly.

The total Omission of the Clause, which gives the Forseiture, does 2 Hawk. P. G. not hurt; and it may be probably argued, that a Mifrecital of fuch 248 9. Clause, in putting the Words admitteret & forisfaceret, for amitteret & forisfaceret, is immaterial; for the Variance is in a Word wholly Nugatory, and the Sense is compleat without it; but if the Variance carries with it a material Repugnancy, as where the Words wheever shall do the fame shall incur the Pain, &c. are thus recited, whoever shall do the contrary Shall incur the Pain, &c. it will be difficult to make it good.

#### 3. How far it is necessary to bring the Offence indicted within the very Words of the Statute.

It is a general Rule, that unless the Statute be recited, neither the a Hasok, P.C. Words contra forman Statuti, nor any Periphrafis, Intendment, or Con- 249. clusion, will make good an Indictment which does not bring the Offence within all the material Words of the Statute; as if an Indichment of Rape omit the Word Rapuit; or an Indictment of Perjury on 5 Eliz. cap. 9. omit the Words Voluntarie & corrupte; or an Indictment for Striking in a Church on 5 & 6 E. 4. cap. 4. omit the Words to the Intent to Strike; or an Indichment for Forstalling on 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 14. do not expresly alledge that the Goods were then coming to the Market to be fold; or an Indictment on the same Statute for Ingroffing, do not alledge that the Defendant ingroffed, &c. by luying, &c. or an Indichment of Treason in compassing the King's Death, on 25 E. 3. have neither the Words compass nor imagine, &c.

Neither is it always sufficient to pursue the Words of the Statute, 2 Hawk P.C. unless in so doing you fully, directly and expresly alledge the Matter 249. wherein the Offence confifts, without the least Uncertainty or Ambiguity; and therefore if an Indictment of Perjury on 5 Eliz. cap. 9. fetting forth that the Party, tatto per se Sacro Evangelio falso deposuit, do not directly shew that he was sworn; or if an Information on 18 H. 6. cap. 17. for not abating fo much of the Price of Wine fold as the Veffels wanted of the Statute-Measure, do not expresly shew how much they wanted; or if an Indichment on the Statute of Usury, setting forth that the Defendant took more than five in the Hundred, do not shew how much, it is insufficient.

If the Statute relate only to fuch and fuch Perfons particularly de- 2 Hawk P.C. fcribed by it, the Indictment must bring the Desendant within all such 250. Descriptions, unless they carry with them the bare Denial of a Matter, the Affirmation whereof will be a proper natural Plea for the Defendant; as where it is enacted, that all Persons, having no reasonable Excuse, shall go to their Parish Church, &c. in which Case there is no Need to alledge in the Indictment, that the Defendant had no reasonable Excuse; for this will more properly come into Question from the Plea; neither is there any Need, in order to bring a Defendant within the Description of a Statute, to shew where the Thing happened which brought him within Vol. III.

it; neither is it necessary where you alledge, that the Defendant existens so and so, as the Statute mentions, did the Fact, to shew any further, that he was so at the Time of the Fact.

2 Hawk. P.C. 2 50.

There is no Need to alledge in an Indictment on a Statute, that the Defendant is not within any of its Provisoes, notwithstanding the Furview expressly takes Notice of them, as by saying, that none shall do the Thing prohibited otherwise than in such special Cases, &c. as are expressed in the Act; but it is said, that a Conviction on a Penal Statute ought expressly to shew that the Desendant is not within any of its Frovisoes; for since the Desendant has no Remedy against such a Conviction, but from a Desect appearing on the Face of it, it ought to have the highest Certainty, and to satisfy the Court that the Desendant had no such Matter in his Favour, as the Statute it self allows him to plead.

2 Hawk. P.C. 250.

If the Statute, whereon an Indichment is founded, be particularly recited, and the Substance of the Fact, and the Time and Place, and Things and Persons concerned, be alledged with sufficient Certainty, and a Circumstance only omitted, the general Conclusion, contra formam Statuti, seems to help such Omission.

- 4. Whicher an Andiament grounded on a Statute that will not manuain it, may be good as an Indiament at Common Law.
- It was formerly holden, that no Indichment grounded on a Statute, and concluding centra formam Statuti, could be maintained as an Indichment at Common Law, if it were not maintainable as an Indichment on fome Statute, because it appears that the Prosecution is grounded on a Foundation which will not support it; but the Law is now taken to be otherwise; and accordingly it hath been adjudged, that on a special Indichment on the Statute of Stabbing, the Desendant may be found Guilty of general Manslaughter at Common Law, and the Words contra formam Statuti rejected as senseless.
  - 3. How far it is necessary to conclude contra formam Statuti.

251. It feems to be agreed, that a Judgment on a Statute shall never be given on an Indichment which doth not conclude contra forman Statuti; and therefore if the Fact indiched be an Offence prohibited only by Statute, and the Indichment conclude not contra forman Statuti, no Judgment can be given upon it; for tho' an Indichment, which is redundant, may be helped by rejecting what is senseless, an Indichment that is descrive in a material Part can be no way supplied; but it seems, that a Judgment on 8 H. 6. cap. 9. may be given on a Writ of Assis of Novel Disciple, brought in the Common Law Form; but this depends upon a reasonable Construction of the Statute, which being express that the Party may recover by such Writ, but giving no new one, may be well intended to give the Party a Remedy by a Writ brought in the old Form.

2 Harvk. P.C.

If there be more than one Statute concerning the same Offence, the latter of which only continues the former, without making any Addition to it, or only qualifies the Method of Proceeding upon it, without altering the Substance of its Purview, it is safe to conclude an Indictment on it contra formam Statuti; but where the same Offence is prohibited by several independent Statutes, or a new Penalty is added by a subsequent Statute to an Offence prohibited by a former, it is said to be safer to conclude contra formam Statutorum, than centra formam Statuti.

(I) Withat

## (I) What ought to be the Form of a Caption of an Indiament.

HE Caption of the Indictment is no Part of the Indictment it felf, 2 Hal. Hift but it is the Style or Preamble, or Return, that is made from an Inferior Court to a Superior, from whence a Certiorari iffues to remove it, or when the whole Record is made up in Form; for whereas the Record of the Indictment, as it stands upon the File in the Court wherein it is taken, is only thus: Juratores pro Domino Rege super Sacramentum summ presentant; when this comes to be returned upon a Certiorari, it is more (a) full and explicite.

Port. Ad generalem sessionem Paris tent. epud S. in Com. Practiet. 5 die Oelebris Arno Regni, &c. cerans A. B. C. D. & Socie sus Fusticiaries Domini Regis ad Pacem deli Domini Regis in Com. Practiet. conservand. nec non ad diversas selonias transgress. & alia Malesacta in codem comitat. au liend. & terminanda assimatis, per Sacrament. E. F. G. H. &c. Proborum & legalium Fominum comit. Practiel. Jurat & onerat. ad inquirend. pro dieto Domino Rege & pro Carjore comit. Practiel. existit Prasentatum. 2 Hal. Hill. P. C. 165.

Every Caption of an Indichment must shew that it was taken before a 2 Hawk, P.C. Court which has a proper Jurisdiction; and therefore if it shew only 253 that it was taken before 7. S. Steward, without shewing to whom, or in what Court; or if the Caption of an Inquisition, fuper visuan Corporis, shew only that it was taken before J. S. Mayor of London, without adding that he was Coroner; or if it barely call him Coroner, without shewing that he was fuch for the District in which the Inquisition was taken, it is infufficient; but if it shew that he was a Coroner in the County, it fufficiently shews that he was a Coroner for the County; and if the Caption of an Indictment shew that it was taken at the Sessions of the Peace of such a County, it sufficiently shews that such Sessions was holden for the County; but if it only shew that it was holden in the County, it is faid to be insufficient; so it is also if it omit the Clause nection ad diversas selvinas, &c. or if it barely shew that the Indiciment was taken at a Sessions of the Peace, without showing before whom, or without naming of the Justices, or shewing for what Place they were Justices; or if in deferibing them as Justices ad Pacem, Ege. confervand. it omit the Word affignat. but if it sufficiently shews that some of them were of the Quorum, by shewing that the Indictment was taken at a General Sessions, and if it call them Justices of Peace, it needs not any farther to shew that they were Justices of the King's Peace.

The Caption of an Indichment ad magnam curiam cum leta tent. is in- 2 Hawk P.G. fufficient; but if it be ad magnam curiam & ad letam, or ad vif. franci 254-Pleg. cum cur. baron. tent. perhaps it is fufficient; for fince the Court Baron has no Jurisdiction over Criminal Matters, and the Caption in these last Cases is not express, that the Indichment was taken at it, as it is in the first Case, the Court will intend that it was taken at the Leet, which alone had Power to take it.

The not shewing in the Caption of an Indistment at a Leet, whether 2 Hawk. P.C. the Court were holden by Charter or Prescription, is helped by the 254. Multitude of Precedents.

Every Caption of an Indictment ought to shew that the Indictors were = Hawk P.C. of the Precinct for which the Court was holden, and that they were twelve in Number, and that they found the Indictment on their Oaths; also Indictments have been quashed for an Omission of the Names of the Jurors; and others, for want of the Words Preborum & legalium bominum; and others, for want of the Words adtunc & it idem before Jurat's onerat'; and others, for want of the Words ad injuirend. pro Domino Rege pro Corpore Comitatus; yet of late Years Exceptions of this Kind have

not been much favoured, especially if the Indictment were in a superior Court, and that which is omitted be, in common Understanding, implied

in what is expressed.

Every Caption must shew a certain Day and Year when the Indictment was found, and must record it in the present Tense; but if it defcribe the Court as holden die Martis & die Mercurii, or on fuch a Day in fuch a Year of the King, without shewing what King; or if it shew the Day and Year in Figures, which are not Roman, it is insufficient; yet it needs not add the Year of the Lord; and the Multitude of Precedents have made good the Use of Extitit Presentat' instead of Existit, &c.

2 Hawk. P.C. 255.

(a) In the

Every fuch Caption must also shew where the Indictment was found, that it may appear to have been at a Place within the Jurisdiction of the Court; and therefore if it fet forth, that the Indictment was taken at a Seffions of the Peace, holden for fuch a County at B, without shewing in what County B. lies, otherwise than by putting the County in the Margent, it is insufficient; but if an Inquest of Death be set forth as taken at B. before the Coroner of the Liberty of B. it needs not express that B. is within the Liberty of B. for it cannot but be intended.

## (L) Where an Indiament may be quashed.

2 Hawk P.C. DY the Common Law, the Judges may, in Discretion, quash any 258. Indichment for any such Insufficiency in the Body or Caption of it, as will make a Judgment given on it against the Defendant erroneous; but they are in no Case bound so to do ex debito justitie, but may oblige the Defendant to Plead or Demur; and this they generally do where the Offence is of an enormous or publick Nature, or where the Indictment has been removed by Certiorari, and a Recognizance for procuring the Trial of it has been forfeited.

> By the 7 IV. & M. eap. 3. 'No Indictment for High Treason or Mise prision thereof, (except Indictments for counterfeiting the King's Coin,

- Seal, Sign or Signet,) nor any Process, or Return thereupon, shall be quashed for Misreciting, Mispelling, false or improper Latin, unless
- Exception concerning the same be taken and made in the respective 6 Court where the Trial shall be, by the Prisoner, or his Counsel assign-
- 'ed, (a) before any Evidence given in open Court on fuch Indictment; Construction on fhall any such Misreciting, Mispelling, false or improper Latin,
- hereof it 'after Conviction on such Indictment, be any Cause to Stay or Arrest hath been fettled, that ' Judgment; but nevertheless any Judgment on such Indictment shall

no such Ex- 6 be liable to be reversed on Writ of Error, as formerly.

ception can be taken after Plea pleaded. 2 Hawk. P. C. 259.

# Infancy and Age.

- (A) Tho are Jufants; and therein of the feveral Ages and Periods between which the Law diffinguishes as to feveral Purpoles.
- (B) To whom the Privilege of Infancy extends, or who are to be confidered as Pinois.
- (C) How far the Law Regards and takes Potice of Jafants in Ventre fa mere.
- (D) How Infancy is to be tried.
- (E) Of what Chings an Jusant is capable in Relation to the Publich, and in which he hall answer for his Reglect.
- (F) Of what Things capable, being for his own Advantage.
- (G) How far the Law takes Care of his Interest, so as not to let him suffer by his Laches; and herein where he must take Hotice of and personn Conditions, &c.
- (H) Where punishable for Crimes and Injuries committed by him.
- (1) Df the Acts of Jufants, as they are good, boid, or boidable: And herein,
  - 1. Of his Contracts for Necessaries,
  - 2. Of judicial Acts, or Acts done by him in a Court of Record.
  - 3. Of his Acts in Pais, where void or only voidable.
  - 4. Where void, or voidable, as to the Infant, shall yet bind others.
  - 5. At what Time voidable Acts are to be avoided.
  - 6. By whom to be avoided.
  - 7. In what Manner they are to be avoided.
  - 8. Of the Confirmation of voidable Acts.
- (K) Of the Privilege of Jusants in Suits and Actions by and against them: And herein,
  - 1. How far the Courts take Care of the Interest of Infants.
  - 2. How they are to appear when they fue or are fued.

- (L) Of the Privilege of Infancy as to the Parel's des murring: And herein,
  - 1. In what Actions shall the Parol demur.
  - 2. Where the Parol shall demur without any Plea Pleaded.

3. Upon what Plea Pleaded fhall the Parol demur.

- 4. For the Nonage of what Person shall the Parol demur.
- 5. In Refpect to what Estate and Interest shall the Parol demur.
- 6. Where for the Nonage of the Vouchees

7. Where for the Nonage of the Prayee in Aid.

- 8. In what Cases if the Parol demur against one it shall against another.
- 9. In what Cases the Demurrer of the Parol for Part shall be for all.
- 10. Of the Prayer of Age and Counterplea.
- (A) Tho are Infants; and therein of the several Ages and Periods between which the Law distinguishes as to several Purposes.

ROM the Observations made on the daily Actions of Insants, as to the Civil Law obtains much, being a wise and capable of acting with Reason and Discretion; hence in our Law well calcu-

lated Law, yet it is not of any Force here, or in any other Countries, farther than by Custom or Acts of Parliament it has been admitted. 1 Hal. Hist. P. C. 16. (b) Is the full Age of Male or Female, according to common Speech. Lit. Sect. 104, 259. (c) At which Age he is capable of contracting, and may alien his Lands, Goods and Chattels; and this Period we have fixed upon from the Feudal Law, for by that Law the Tenant at this Age was presumed capable to attend his Lord in the Wars, and therefore at this Age was out of Ward of Guardian in Chivalry. Co. Lit. 78. b. —— But according to the Civil Law, the compleat full Age, as to Matters of Contract, is Twenty-five Years. Dig. 1.b. 4. tit. 4. 1 Hal. Hist. P. C. 17.

Dyer 143.

Raym. 84.

1 Sid. 162.

(d) If a Child be

Therefore if one under the Age of (d) Twenty-one Years makes his Lands, and after attains the Age of Twenty-one Years, and dies, without making any new Publication thereof, this Devife is void.

born the 16th Day of February, this Child will be of full Age any Part of the 15th Day of February Twenty-one Years after, for the Law makes no Fractions of a Day, and upon the last Instant of that Day he would have compleated Twenty-one Years. 1 Keb. 589. 1 Sid. 162. Raym. 84. S. C. Herbert and Tarbol. 2 Mod. 281. S. P. arguendo. 1 Salk. 44. S. P. said by Holt to have been adjudged. (e) So if an Insant make a Deed, and deliver it within Age, and afterwards, upon his coming of full Age, deliver it again, yet the Deed is void; for the Deed must take Effect from the first Delivery, or not at all. 3 Co. 35. b.

Wangh. 178. But tho' a Person under the Age of Twenty-one cannot dispose of his Lands, yet it is said, that one under that Age may, pursuant to the Statute of 12 Car. 2. cap. 24. dispose of the Custody of his Insant Child, and that such Disposition draws after it the Land, &c. as incident to the Custody.

Alfo,

Alfo, it feems, it was agreed, that an Infant Male at fourteen, and Fe-2 Mod 315, male at twelve, may dispose of their personal Estate at those Ages: For 2 fenes 210, herein the Common Law has appointed no Time, being a Matter cognitive of mb. 50. 2able in the Spiritual Court, which herein proceeds according to the 2 Vern. 255, Civil Law, by which Law Infants at those Ages are presumed to have Preced. Chan. Sufficient Discretion to make such Disposition; and therefore their Testathe Office of Expirit. 305, it is said that the Common the Common Common Courts.

Law has not precifely determined at what Age a Person may make a Disposition of his personal Estate; but that it is generally allowed it may be made at the Age of eighteen. — And my Lord Coke mentions seventeen or eighteen; at which Years, he says, an Insant may make his Tellament, and constitute his Executors for his Goods and Chattels. Co. Lit. 89. b.

The Age of Confent to a Marriage in an Infant Male is (a) fourteen, Co. Lit. 33, and in a Female twelve; (b) but they may marry before, and if they 78, 70, agree thereto when they attain these Ages, the Marriage is good; but they cannot (c) disagree before then; and if one of them be above the 5 Co. 22. Age of Consent, and the other under such Age, the Party so above the 7 Co. 43. Age may as well disagree as the other; for both must be bound, or 1 R. L. Abr. 340, 341.

herein our Law and the Civil agrees, for that before these Ages they are said to be impuberes. I Hall. Hist. P. C. 17. — And tho' by our Law they may agree before, yet if the Wise bath a Child before the Husband attains the Age of sourteen, it is a Bastard. 4 Co. 29. Godel, b. Fejert. Canon 484. (b) And they are Baron and Feme de Fazlo; so that the Baron before he attains the Age of sourteen, or the Wise twelve, may have Trespass de Muliere abdusta cum Bonis Viri. 1 Rol. Abr. 340. Moor 741. 2 And. 208. 6 Co. 22. (c) If they disagree by Parol, and afterwards agree and live together as Man and Wise, the Disagreement is not binding, but that they may well live together wi hour any new Marriage. 1 Rol. Abr. 341. Lee and Astron. — But if the Disagreement had been before the Ordinary, they could never agree again to make it a good Marriage. 1 Rol. Abr. 341. fer Warberton.— If a Man within the Age of sourteen takes a Wise of sourteen, if he after makes any Continuation of the Action, this shall be an Agreement to the Marriage, so that it cannot after be deseated. 1 Rol. Abr. 341.

But tho' the Party above Age may as well difagree as the other, yet Co Lit. 79. it is faid that the Party cannot do it before the other arrives at the proper Age: Also it is said to have been (d) adjudged, that if a Man mar. (d) t Rol. Abr. ries a Woman that is within the Age of twelve Years, and after the 34th. Woman at eleven Years of Age disagrees to the Marriage, and after the Husband takes another Wise, and hath Issue by her, that this is a Bastard; for the first Marriage continues notwithstanding the Disagreement of the Woman; for she cannot disagree within the Age of twelve Years, and so her D. sagreement is void.

If a Man marries a Woman that is within the Age of twelve Years, i. R.1 Air, and after the Feme Covert within the Age of Confent difagrees to the Marriage, and after the Age of twelve Years marries another, now the first Marriage is absolutely disolved, so that he may take another Wise; in B. R. upon for the Disagreement within the Age of Consent was not sufficient, a Writ of yet her taking another Husband after the Age of Consent affirms the Disagreement, and so the Marriage avoided ab initio.

Moor 575. S. C. adjudged, breause she cohabited with her second Husband all Times after the Age of Consent. But note; It does not appear by the Book whether the second Marriage was at or before the Age of Consent. N. Dyer 13. a. Margin, S. C. cited.

But for the better Explication hereof it may not be improper to infert a Case determined before the Delegates, which was thus:

Mrs. K. Fitzgerrard was married to my Lord Decius, she being of the 21 June, Age of twelve Years and a Half, and he of the Age of eight; afterwards, 29 cm. 2. The being thirteen Years old disagreed from this Marriage, and married before the Delegates Mr. Villers; and upon Suit in the Spiritual Court the second Marriage at Section was affirmed: The Lord Decius appealed to the Delegates, and it was long Freely argued by Civilians and common Lawyers before the Bishops of Lorden freely and Rochester, North C. J. Littleton Baron, Jones and Atkins Justices,

and

and feveral Doctors of the Civil Law: The Civilians faid, that Minors could not contract Matrimony, but only Sponfalia de futuro, and therefore tho' they lind themselves per verba de præsenti tempore, yet the Law, by reason of the Incapacity of the Parties, would make such a Construction that it shall only be a Contract de futuro. In this Case indeed one of the Parties is of Age of Confent, but that makes no Diversity; for a Contract of Matrimony is utrinque obligatorius, and reciprocal in its Nature. On the other Side it was faid, that fuch a Contract as this betwixt Perfons of unequal Ages might as well claudicate as other Contracts, which are also utrinque of ligatorii; they said, that a Contract of Marriage carries a Relation in itself, and is reciprocal, but that in some Cases this may fail, by reason of an Accident or Circumstance in the Persons, notwithstanding which the Nature of the Thing will remain to be ultro citroque obligatory, as we see in other Contracts; but Arguments from the Definition of Civil Affairs are not cogent; for no Law can be framed to meet with all Emergencies and Circumstances, but ought to be differently applied according as the particular Circumstances require. The Law does not make Contracts per verba de prasenti tempore to be Contracts de suturo, but in Cases of Minors, and they cannot shew any Texts that Contracts per verba de præsenti by Majors shall be by Construction made Contracts de suturo. The Laws of God and Nature require Performance of Promifes and Agreements; and the Woman, in the prefent Cafe, cannot diffent before the Husband come to the Age of Confent, because till then he cannot diffent no more than he can affent. Serjeant Maynard. In our Law, Marriage betwixt Minors has the Effect of a Marriage till it be annulled: If the Woman be nine Years old she shall be endowed, be the Husband of what Age foever, and Dower can never be, but where there was a precedent Marriage, posito effectu ponitur causa; such a Wife shall have an Appeal of the Death of her Husband, and the Husband in fuch a Cafe shall have a Writ de Uxore abducta eum Bonis Viri. If Tenant by Knight's Service die, his Heir within Age of Consent, and married, the Lord cannot tender him to Marriage, a Difagreement, he within Age. Lee and Askton, 5 fac. 1. where two within Age had contracted Matri-mony, and the Parent of one was bound to give fo much at their Age of Confent, if they would agree to this Marriage: An Action was brought for this Money, and it was found that within Age they difagreed, but at their full Age agreed; and Judgment was for the Plaintiff, because the Disagreement was not material. Inft. 79. Banisters versus Offley. Our Law calls it Matrimonium, altho' the Term of Sponsalia is not unknown to us; we find it in Glanvil, Lib. 6. and Littleton calls it an Affiance; to shew what Regard our Law has to such a Marriage, he cited 1 Inft. 33. 1 Rel. Abr. 340. Dyer 369. To prove that before Age of Consent no Agreement or Disagreement can be, Moor 575. I Rol. Alr. 3.11. 1 Inft. 79. and the Pleadings in 7 Co. Kenn's Cafe, and 6 Co. Ambrofix Gorges' Cafe. Thursby cont. Our Law gives fuch Credit to this inchoate Marriage, that if the Parties die before it be avoided, the Law will not fay that it was null and void; and upon this Ground are the Cases of Dower and Appeal which have been cited. The Case in Dyer 369. is for the Decree; for there, by the Opinion of many Doctors, quamvis alia sunt Sponsalia de suturo, tamen in causa Dotis extenduntur ad verum Matrimonium ratione Privilegii; he cited 7 H. 6. 11. 6 Co. 22. and the Sentence given in the Spiritual Court was affirmed.

Co. Lit. 78, b. Heb. 225.

And as the Age of fourteen is the Age of Consent to a Marriage in an Infant Male; so by Law hath he several other Ages assigned him to several Purposes, viz. at the Age of twelve to take the Oath of Allegiance in the Tourn or Leet, at sourteen to be out of Ward of Guardian in Socage, to chuse a Guardian; and this also is accounted his Age of Discretion; sisteen to have had Aid pur fair Fitz Chevalier.

The Authority of a Guardian in Chivalry did not determine till the Lit fell. 103-Heir, if a Male, came to the Age of twenty-one Years; because it was Co. Lit 75. prefumed that till that Age he was not capable of doing Knight's Service, and attending the Lord in his Wars. The Guardianship of an Heir Female determined at (a) fourteen at Common Law, but by Hieftminster (a) Before the 1st, the Lord had the Wardship till she attained the Age of sixteen, which Time to tender her convenable Marriage; but the Authority of a Guardian if the Guardian (b) Socage, as has been faid, ceases at the Age of fourteen, at which dian disparraged her in Age the Infant may call his Guardian to an Account, and may (c) chuse Marriage, an a new Guardian.

Action lay against him

by the Statute of Merton, eaf. 6. Lit. fed. 108. Co. Lit. 80. (b) But the Guardianship of the Father. which is a Guardianthip by Nature, continues till the Son and Heir Apparent attain to the Age of twenty-one Years; but that is with respect to the Custody of the Body only. Carth. 386. per Holt C. J. (c) In the Spiritual Court, if the Infant be above the Age of seven, he chuses his own Curator or Tutor; but if under that Age, they chuic one for him.

One within the Age of twenty-one Years may do Homage, but cannot Co Lit. 65. b. do Fealty; because in doing of Fealty lie ought to be sworn, which an 2 Inst. 71. Infant (d) cannot be. Infant at the Age of four-

teen may be sworn as a Witness, at which Age he is presumed to have sufficient Discretion. 2 Hal, Hift. P. C. 2; S. Vide Tit. Evidence, Letter (A).

An Infant at the Age of seventcen may be a Procurator or (e) Execu- 5 Co. 29. b. Off. Ex. 307. tor; and in this both the Civil and Common Law agree. i Hal Hift.

P. C. 17. (e) And if one under the Age of seventeen be made Executor, and Administration durante minore state is granted to another, such Administration ceases when the Infant arrives to the Age of seventeen. Hob. 25c. Yelv. 128. 5 Co. 29. Godolph. 102. — But if Administration be granted to one during the Minority of a Person who is intilled to it, as next of Kin to the Investate, such Administration does not determine till the Infant's Age of twenty one; because before that Age he cannot give Bond to the Ordinary to administer faithfully. Carth. 446 7.

Infancy is good Cause of Refusal of a Clerk; also by the Statutes Comp. Incumb. 13 Eliz. cap. 12. and 13 & 14 Car. 2. none is to be admitted a Deacon 142, 214. unless he be twenty-three Years at least, nor a Priest unless he be 3 Mod. 67. twenty-four.

By the Custom of Gavelkind an Infant at the Age of fifteen is reckon- Lamb. 624, ed at full Age to fell his Lands; and this seems to have been taken from 625. & vide the Civil Law, which reckons fourteen the Ætas Pubertatis; for they Tit Gazel-reckoned that tho' the Infant had ended his Years of Guardianship at knd. fourteen, yet he might not have completed his Account with his Guardian till the Age of fifteen, and that was esteemed to be the Age when he was completely out of Guardianship; and therefore at this Age he was allowed to fell the Lands descended to him: But in this the Customs of England differed from the Civil Law; for the Civil Law does not allow of his Dispositions till the Age of twenty-five; therefore this must have been allowed by the old Saxon Law, because they thought that a great deal of Time was lost, if the Infant could only use his own without being able to dispose of it in a way of Traffick, or in Marriage, till twenty-five; and therefore they allowed the Infant to fell, but under great Limitations and Restrictions, that he might not be defrauded; and by this Means they thought there was sufficient Provision made for the Necessity of Commerce, which in the small divided Shares was absolutely necessary.

Also by Custom, in some Places, an Infant seised of Lands in Socage Co. Lit. 45. b. may at the Age of sisteen Years make a Lease for Years, which shall bind him after he comes of Age; for the Custom makes fifteen his full Age to that Purpole.

Also by the Custom of London, an Infant unmarried, and above the Moor 134. Age of fourteen, tho' under twenty-one, may bind himself Apprentice 2 Bulf. 192. to 2Rol Rep. 30% Vol. III.

1 Mod. 271. Covenants, by the Custom of London, shall be as (b) binding as if he Custom does were of full Age.

not extend to one bound Prentice to a Waterman under twenty one; for the Company of Watermen are but a voluntary Society, and being free of that does not make one free of the City of London. 6 Med. 69. (b) And for Breach an Action may be brought in any other Court, as well as in the Courts in the City. Moor 136.

As to Capital Offences, in which the Law is the same with Regard to F. N. B. 202. Co.Lit. 247.b. the Male and Female Sex, the Age of fourteen is the common Standard, Dalt. cap 95. at which both Males and Females are, by (c) our Law, obnoxious to capital Punishments; for this being the Atas Pubertatis, or Age of Dif-1 Hal. Hift. cretion, the Law prefumes them at those Years to be Doli Capaces, and P. C. 25. Hawk P. capable of differning between Good and Evil; and therefore fuljects them to Capital Punishments as much as if they were of full Age. (c) But the

Civil Law, ato Capital Punishments, distinguished the Ages into four Ranks: 1. Ætas Pubertatis plena, which is eighteen Years. 2. Ætas Pubertatis or Pubertas generally, which is fourteen Years, at which Time they were likewise presumed to be Dole Capaces. 3. Ætas Pubertati proxima; but in this the Roman Lawyers were divided, tome assigning it to ten Years and a Half, others to eleven, before which has Party was not pretumed to be Doli Carax. 4. Infantia, which lasts till seven Years, within which Age there can be no Guilt of a Capital Offence. 1 Hal. Hist. P. C. 17, 18, 19.

1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 26.

But tho' the Age of fourteen be the Ætas Pubertatis, before which our Law does not prefume the Party to be Doli Capax, and therefore that a Party indicted for a Capital Offence committed before these Years is to be found Not guilty, yet hath this general Rule the following

Temperaments.

I Hal. H ft. P. C. 26

1. That if the Farty be above twelve, tho' under fourteen, and appears to be Doli Capan, and could differn between Good and Evil at the Time of the Offence committed, he may be convicted, and undergo Judgment and Execution of Death, tho' he hath not attained the Age of fourteen: But herein, according to the Nature of the Offence and Circumstances of the Case, the Judge may or may not in Discretion reprieve him, before or after Judgment, in order to the obtaining the King's Pardon.

1 Hal Hift. P. C. 27.

2. If an Infant be above feven, and under twelve Years, and commit a Capital Offence, prima Facie he is to be judged Not guilty, and to be found fo; because he is supposed not of Discretion to judge between Good and Evil: But yet if it appear, by strong and pregnant Evidence and Circumstances, that he had Discretion to judge between Good and Evil, Judgment of Death may be given against him; for Malitia Supplet Ætatem; but herein the Circumstances must be inquired of by the Jury, and the Infant is not to be convict upon his Confession: Also herein, my Lord Hale fays, that it is Prudence after Conviction to respite Judgment, or at least Execution; but he says, that if he be convicted, the Judge cannot discharge him, but only reprieve him from Judgment, and leave him in Custody till the King's Pleasure be known.

I Hal. Hift. P. C. 27, 28. Plow. 19. a.

3. If an Infant within Age be infra Etatem Infantie, viz. feven Years old, he cannot be guilty of Felony, whatever Circumstances proving Discretion may appear; for ex Presumptione Juris he cannot have Discretion, and no Averment shall be received against that Prefumption.

## (B) To dipon the Divilege of Infancy ex= tends, or thho are to be confidered as Mi= 11025.

HE Privilege of Infancy does not extend to the King; for the Poli- Co. Lat. 43. tical Rules of Government have thought it necessary, that he who Dyer 209. be is to govern and manage the whole Kingdom, should never be considered as a Minor, incapable of governing himfelf and his own Affairs.

Therefore if the King within Age make any Lease or Grant, he is Plow. 213. 47 bound prefently, and cannot avoid them, either duting his Minority, or 5 Co. 27-

when he comes of full Age.

So if the King consent to an Act of Parliament during his Minority, Co. Lit. 43. yet he cannot after avoid this Act; because the King, as King, cannot 1 Rol. Abr. be a Minor; for as King lie is a Body Politick.

Also the Acts of a Mayor and Commonalty shall not be avoided, by Cro. Car. 557.

Son of the Navage of the Mayor.

5 Co. 27.

reason of the Nonage of the Mayor.

Altho' a Duke, Earl, or the like, be but a Minor, or not above ten 4 6 119. Years of Age, in the Custody and in the Family of another Nobleman, Comp. In. umb. who may and doth retain Chaplains, yet he may qualify Chaplains to 22. be dispensed withal to hold two Benefices with Cure, in like fort as if he was of full Age.

An Infant in Gavelkind shall have his Age, and all other Privileges 1 Rol. Alt. of the Infant at Common Law; because tho' he hath the Privilege of 144. Alien tion at fifteen, yet that doth not take from him any Privilege he

had before at Common Law.

A Bastard being impleaded shall have his Age; for the dilatory Plea Co. Lit. 244.b. must be determined before the Pleas in chief can come on; fo that the Plea of Infancy will stay the Suit, before it can be inquired whether he is or is not a Bastard.

# (C) how far the Law regards and takes No= tice of Infants in Ventre sa Mere.

A Child in Ventre sa Mere may be appointed Executor, or may take Godolph Orpho a Legacy; also if there are two or more at a Birth, they shall be Leg. 102. joint Executors or joint Legatees of the Thing bequeathed; for the (a) Civil Law, for the Benefit of the Infant, reputes a Child in his Mo- (a) And by ther's Womb in the same Condition as if it were born.

tre sa Mere may be vouched, is capable of taking; the Mother may detain Charters on Behalf of such Child; a Bill may be brought on Behalf of such Child; and a Court of Equity will grant an Injun-Etion in his Payour to stay Waste. 2 Vern. 710, 711.

If there be Bastard eigne and Mulier puisse, and the Bastard enters, Co. Lit. 244, and dies feised, his Issue shall inherit the Lands, and exclude the Mulier for ever; but in this Cafe if the Bastard had died leaving Issue in Ventre fa Mere, and the Mulier had entered, and then a Son is born, yet cannot he enter upon the Mulicr: And herein our Law differs from the Civil Law; for our Law requires an immediate Descent, which cannot be before the Person is in Esse; also by our Law the Freehold cannot be in Abeyance.

1 Lev. 135.

Raym. 163.

Wiat.

It appears to have been a Matter of much Controversy, whether a 11 H. 6. 13. Bro. Devise 32. Devise of Lands to an Infant in Ventre sa Mere be good, because not in Being to take at the Time of the Death of the Devisor; and fince, as 2 Bulf. 273. fome fay, by the Devise the Person is to take immediately after the Cro. Eliz. 423. Death of the Devisor, the Freehold cannot be put in Abeyance by the 1 Lev. 135. Act of the Parties; but others hold, that fuch Devise is good, tho' the 1 Sid. 153. *Rлут.* 163. Infant be not in Effe at the Death of the Devisor, and that the Freehold ı Keb. 85. shall not be in Abeyance, but shall descend to the Heir at Law in the 1 Salk. 231. 2 Mod. 9. mean time. 1 Sid. 153.

But however all the Books agree in this, that a Devise to an Infant when he shall be born, or when God shall give him Birth, is good as an Executory Devise, and that the Freehold shall descend to the Heir at

S. C. Snow Law in the mean time. and Cutler.

So it is clear, that if Land be devised for Life, the Remainder to a Moor 637. Chur b and posthumous Child, that this is a good contingent Remainder; because there is a Person in Being to take the particular Estate; and if the con-3 Lev. 408. tingent Remainder vests duting the Continuance of the particular Estate, 4 Mod. 359. or eo instanti that it determines, it is sufficient.

1 Salk. 227. Carth. 309.

Reeve and Long; & vide 10 & 11 W. 3. cap. 16. and Head of Remainders.

I Rol. Rep. 109. 138. 2 Bulf. 273. Co. Copyh. 9. & vide Moor 637.

Hob. 240.

Also it seems agreed, that a Man may surrender Copyhold Lands immediately to the Use of an Infant in Ventre sa Mere; for a Surrender is a Thing executory, and nothing vests before Admittance; and therefore if there be a Person to take at the Time of the Admittance, it is sufficient, and not like a Grant at Common Law, which putting the Estate out of the Grantor must be void, if there be no Body to take.

If an Usurpation be had on one in Ventre sa Mere, at the next Turn after his Birth, he shall be relieved on the Statute Westm. 2. cap. 5.

# (D) how Infancy is to be tried.

î Lev. 142. 1 Sid. 321. 1 Keb. 796. Cro. Fac. 59. 5S1.

INFANCY is to be tried by Inspection of the Court, or by Jury:

And herein it is laid down as a Rule in some Books, that wheresoever it is alledged upon the Pleading, that the Party was and yet is under Age, there it shall be tried by Inspection; but where the Insant is of full

Age at the Time of the Plea, there it shall be tried per Pais.

But here we must observe, that as to judicial Acts, or Acts done by Co. Lit. 380. Moor 76. an Infant in a Court of Record, and which he is allowed to avoid, the 2 Rol. Abr. 15. Trial thereof must be by Inspection; and therefore if an Infant levies a 2 Inft. 483. Fine, he must reverse it by Writ of Error; and this must be brought 2 Bulf. 320. during his Minority, that the Court may by Inspection determine the 12 Co. 122. Age of the Infant; but the Judges, as by Adjuncta, may in fuch Cafes

(b) To prove inform themselves by Witnesses, (a) Church-Books, &c. the Nonage

of a Devifor, an Almanack, in which the Father had wrote the Nativity of his Son, was allowed to be strong Evidence. Raym. \$4.

Co. Lit. 380. Moor SS4. Keckwick's Cafe.

If an Infant brings a Writ of Error to reverse a Fine for his Nonage, and, after Inspection and Proof of Infancy by Witnesses, dies before the Fine is reversed, his Heir may reverse it; because the Court having recorded the Nonage of the Conusor, ought to vacate his Contract when he appeared to be under a manifest Disability at the Time he entered into it.

An Infant acknowledged a Fine, and the Conuzees omitting to have Moor 189. 😂 vide Cro. the Fine ingrossed till he came of Age, in order to prevent the Infant Fac. 230-1.

from bringing a Writ of Error; yet the Court upon View of the Conuzance produced by the Infant, and upon his Prayer to be inspected, and his Age examined, recorded his Nonage, to give him the Benefit of his Writ of Error, which he must otherwise lose, his Nonage determining before the next Term.

So if an Infant fuffer a common Recovery by appearing in (a) Person, (a) That if this must be reversed during his Minority by Inspection of the Judges, he suffers a common Re-

covery by Guardian, and having a Privy Seal for that Purpose, such Recovery cannot be at any sime set aside: But for this vide site. Fines and Recoveries, and infra Letter (1).

But it is faid, that if an Infant suffers a Recovery, in which he ap- 1 Sid. 321. pears by Attorney, he may reverse it after his full Age, as it may be 1 Lev. 1423 discovered whether he was within Age when the Recovery was suffered; because it may be tried per Pais whether the Warrant of Attorney was made by him when he was an Infant.

It is faid, that in all Cases where the Party pleads that he was within Skin. 10, 11. Age at B. and alledges a Place, that there the Trial may be well enough where it is alledged; where no Place is alledged, there in personal Actions where the Writ is brought, and in (b) real Actions where the (b) Cro. Eliz. Right of the Land depends upon Infancy, there the Trial is to be where 818. S. P.

the Land lies, and if not, where the Action is brought.

An Infant entered into a Recognizance of 100 l. as Bail to J. S. Carth. 278. which became forfeited, and he taken in Execution; whereupon he Trin. 5 W. 3. brought an Audita Querela, suggesting his Infaney, and the Writ hein? brought an Audita Querela, suggesting his Infancy, and the Writ being Eagle. brought into Court, he appeared in propria Persona; and it was moved that he might be inspected, and his Witnesses examined; and thereupon his Mother peremptorily deposed, that at that very Time he was twenty Years old, and no more, and a Maid-Servant gave circumstantial Evidence to the same Purpose; and it was moved that he might be bailed: But per Curiam, it is a Matter of Discretion either to admit him to Bail, or to refuse it, he being in Execution; but if he had brought his Audita Querela before he had been taken in Execution, he must have a Superfedeas of Course; and the Court would not bail him, tho' the long Vacation was near, but required the Evidence to be strengthened by a Copy of the Register where he was born, which being in Torkshire, he appeared again in Mich. Term in Custody, and a Copy of the Register was produced, and sworn to be a true Copy, and the Mother and the Maid being again sworn, and all agreeing in the same Thing, he was discharged by the Court.

# (E) Of What Things an Infant is capable in Relation to the Publick, and in Which he shall answer for his Negled.

A N Infant feems capable of fuch Offices as do not concern the Admi- Plow. 3794 niftration of Justice, but only require Skill and Diligence; and 381. these, it seems, he may either exercise himself, when of the Age of Dif- Vide Tit. cretion, or they may be exercised by Deputy; such as the Offices of Offices. Park-keeper, Forester, (c) Gaoler, &c.

minster 2. cap. 11. extends to an Infant-Gaoler, so as to charge him in an Action of Debt for att Escape of one in Execution. 2 Inst. 382. 3 Med. 222. S. P. cited.

Vol. III. K k But

Co. Lit. 3. b.

1 Rol. Abr.
731. 2 Rol.
Abr. 153.

Manor, or of the Stewardship of the Courts of a Bishop; because by Intendment of Law he hath not sufficient Knowledge, Experience, and March 41,43.

Judgment to use the Office, and also because he cannot make a Decro. Eliz. 636.

puty.

F. N. B. 118. An Infant cannot be an (a) Attorney, (b) Bailiff, Factor, or P. 8-180l. Abr. ceiver.

172. Cro. Eliz. 637. (a) Cannot be an Attorney, because he cannot be sworn. March 92. (b) Eccasse not to be charged in any Account. Co. Lit. 172. — Not in an Indebitatus upon an Institute conjustifiet; for in this Action no Evidence shall be given of the Value or Necessity of the Things, but of the Account only, in which the Infant may be mistaken. Latch 169. adjudged. Noy 87. S. C. adjudged, between Wood and Whitherick, & ride Palm. 528. 2 Rol. Rep. 271. — Nor can an Infant be charged as Bailiss, &c. in Equity farther than in Law; and therefore it is said, that if such a one is made Factor, his Friends should give Security for his Accounting. Abr. Eq. 6.

If an Infant, being Master of a Ship at St. Christopher's beyond Sea, by Contract with another, undertakes to carry certain Goods from St. Christopher's to England, and there to deliver them; but does not afterwards deliver them according to the Agreement, but wastes and consumes them, he may be sued for the Goods in the Court of Admiralty, tho' he be an Infant; for this Suit is but in Nature of a Detinue, or Trover and Conversion at the Common Law.

1 Rol. Abr. 2. If an Infant keeps a common Inn, an Action on the Case upon the Carth. 161. Custom of Inns will not lie against him.

Carth. 160. So if an Infant draws a Bill of Exchange, yet he shall not be liable on Williams ver. the (c) Custom of Merchants, but he may plead Infancy in the same Harrison, ad Manner that he may to any other Contract of his. judged on

Demurrer. (c) Not a Trader within the Statutes of Bankrupts. Vide Tit. Bankrupt.

Hob. 325. An Infant cannot be a (d) Juror; and it is faid by Hobart, that by the (d) But may be a Witness, if he appears to have Discretion. Vide Tit. Evidence.

An Infant cannot be a (d) Juror; and it is faid by Hobart, that by the will be a minimum of the Common Law a Person under sorty-two could not be on be a Witness, if he appears to have happened before he was twenty-one.

An Infant, or one under the Age of twenty-one Years, cannot be celected a Member of the (e) House of Commons; nor can any Lord of expressly enacted by the Parliament sit there until he be of the full Age of twenty Years.

7 & S W. & M. cap. 25.

Vide Head of Executors and Administration is to Administration is to Administration, Letter (A).

An Infant may be appointed Executor, but he cannot administration is to he is of the Age of seventeen; and before that Age, Administration is to be granted to some Friend of his; but an Infant cannot be an Administrator before the full Age of twenty-one Years, because before that Age he cannot give Bond, as required by the Statute, to administer saithfully.

# (F) Of what Things capable, being for his own Advantage.

Co Lit. 2.8.

A N Infant is capable of inheriting, for the Law prefumes him capable 2 lnft. 203.

Of Property; also an Infant may purchase, because it is intended for his Benefit, and the Freehold is in him till he disagree thereto, because an Agreement is presumed, it being for his Benefit, and because

the

the Freehold cannot be in the Grantor contrary to his own Act, nor ean be in Abeyance, for then a Stranger would not know against whom to demand his Right; and if at his full Age the Infant agrees to the Purchase, he cannot afterwards avoid it; but if he dies during his Minority, his Heirs may avoid it; for they shall not be bound by the Contracts of a Person who wanted Capacity to contract.

If an Infant take a Leafe for Years rendering Rent, if he enter upon 2 Bulf. 69. the Land he shall be charged with an Action of Debt during his Minority, because the Purchase is intended for his (a) Benefit; but he may wave (a) That the the Term, and not enter, and if more Rent be reserved upon the Lease Court of

than the Land is worth, he may avoid it.

will Decree

building Leases for 60 Years of Infants Estates, when it appears to be for their Good. 2 Vern. 224.

If an Infant be Lord of a Copyhold Manor, he may grant Copyholds 4 Co. 23. b. notwithstanding his Nonage; for these Estates do not take their Per- Co. Copyholder fection from the Interest or Ability of the Lord to grant, but from Noy 41. the Custom of the Manor, by which they have been demised, and are 8 Co. 63. demiseable, Time out of Mind.

An Infant may present to a Church; and here it is said, that this must Co. Lit. 17. b. be done by himself, of whatsoever Age he be, and (b) cannot be done by 89.a. 29 E. his Guardian, for the Guardian can make no Advantage thereof, and 3.5. confequently has nothing therein whereof he can give an Account, and (b) But it is therefore the Infant himself shall present. the Heir be

within the Age of Discretion, the Guardian may present in his Name. Cro. Fac. 99. & vide Parson's Law, cap. 20. fol. 76. — Also a Presentment made by the Guardian, in the Name of the Heir, is a good Title to the Heir in a Quare Impedit. 42 E. 3. 130.

# (G) how far the Law takes Care of his In= terest, so as not to let him suffer by his Laches; and herein, where he must take Potice of and perform Conditions, &c.

HE Rights of Infants are much favoured in Law, and regularly Plow. 358. 20 their Laches shall not be prejudicial to them, upon a Prefumption 360. 2. that they understand not their Right, and that they are not capable of 4 Co. 125. a. vide Tit. Fines taking Notice of the Rules of Law, so as to be able to apply them to and Recoverate their Advantage; hence by the Common Law Infants were not bound ries, and Tits for want of (c) Claim and Entry within a Year and a Day, nor are Limitations. they bound by a Fine and five Years Non-claim, nor by the Statutes of bound by a Limitation, provided they prosecute their Right within the Time allowed Ceffacit per by the Statute after the Impediment removed.

Biennium, because the

Law intends that he doth not know what Arrearages to tender. 3 Mod. 223.

If Lands are devised to Trustees till Debts paid, and then to an In- 2 Vern. 386. fant and his Heirs, and J. S. a Stranger enters on the Lands, and le-Allen ver. vies a Fine and five Years and Non-claim pass, and the Infant, when of Age, brings an Ejectment, but is barred, because the Trustees ought to have entred; yet Equity will relieve, and not suffer an Infant to be barred by the Laches of his Trustees, nor to be barred of a Trust-Estate during his Infancy; and the Infant in this Case shall recover the mean Profits.

Preced. Chan. 518. I ockey and Lockey.

It has been ruled in Chancery, that where one receives the Profits of an Infant's Estate, and fix Years after his coming of Age he brings a Bill for an Account, that the Statute of Limitations is a Bar to fuch Suit, as it would be to an Action of Account at Common Law; for this Receipt of the Profits of an Infant's Estate is not such a Trust, as being a Creature of a Court of Equity, the Statute shall be no Bar to, for he might have had his Action of Account against him at Law, and therefore no Necessity to come into this Court for the Account; but the Reason why fuch Bills are brought here, is from the Nature of the Demand, that they might have the Discovery of Books, Papers, and the Party's Oath, for the more easy Taking of the Account, which they cannot so well do at Law; but if the Infant lies by for fix Years after he comes of Age, as he is barred of his Action of Account at Law, (a) fo shall he be Stranger en of his Remedy in this Court; and there is no Sort of Difference in

ters and re- Reason between the two Cases.

ceives the Profits of an Infant's Estate, he shall, in Consideration of Equity, be looked upon as a Trustee for the Infant. 2 Vern. 342. t Vern. 295. S. C. — So if a Man, during a Person's Infancy, receives the Profits of an Infant's Estate, and continues to do so for several Years after the Infant comes of Age before any Entry is made on him, yet he shall account for the Profit thro'out, and not during the Infancy only. Abr. Eq. 280. Tallop and Holworthy.

The Entry of an Infant is not taken away by a Descent cast, by Reafon of his Weakness and Incapacity to claim, which is not to be imputed to him.

But if a Man seised of Lands in Fee die, his Wise privement ensient Co. Lit. 245. b. with a Son, and a Stranger abates, and dies feifed, and after the Son is born he shall be bound by the Descent; because at the Time of the Descent he had no Right to enter, not being in effe, and by Consequence had no Wrong then done him, and the Lord had none but the Heir to avow upon at the Time of the Descent.

B. Tenant in Tail enfeoffs A. in Fce, who hath Issue within Age, Co. Lit. 246.a. and dies, B. abates and dies feifed, the Issue of A. being still within Age; this Discent shall bind the Infant, because the Issue in Tail is remitted to his former and elder Right, which is to be preferred before the defeazible Title of the Discontinuee's Heir.

Co. Lit. 244. S Co. 101. Sir Richard Pexball's Cafe. Plow. 372.

It is a Rule in Law, that the Possession and dying seised of a Bastard eigne bars the Mulier; so if the Mulier be an Infant during the Possession of the Bastard eigne, yet he is barred by the Descent; for the 'no Laches can be imputed to an Infant, because not being of the Age of Consent, his Permission cannot be taken for a Consent; yet in such Cases, where Time is limited by the Law for Pleas and Actions, Infants are included, unless specially excepted, for here their Permission is taken for a Consent; because they are supposed to consent to the established Law, to which they are obliged for Protection during Minority; and the Law hath not thought fit in this Case, because it might happen to be a publick Mischief in a very tender Point, for it might be any Man's Case to suffer by the Bastardy of an Ancestor; and the Law hath given the Infants Guardians to plead by, but it cannot revive the Evidence of Legitimation, which fo eafily perishes with the Life of the Party.

If an Infant be Tenant by the Curtefy, or Lessee for Life or Years, he shall answer not only for Waste committed by himself, but also for permissive Waste, or Wastes, committed by a Stranger; for the Privilege of Infancy cannot prevail in a Matter that would be a Wrong and Disherison to him who hath the Inheritance; nor is it in the last Case any Hardship to the Infant, because he hath his Remedy over against

the Wrong-doer.

If by Tenure, or Prescription, certain Lands are obliged to the Repair of Bridges, Highways, &c. and fuch Lands come to an Infant either by Descent or Purchase, he shall be obliged to repair, &c. in the same Manner as if he were of full Age

2 Inft. 703.

à Inft. 303.

If an Infant present not to a Church within six Months, it shall lapse; Co. L.t. 48 if the five Years for making a Claim after a Fine begin in the Ancestor's Life, he must claim within them; if he do not claim a Villain, fled into ancient Demelne, within a Year and a Day, he cannot afterwards claus him; and he shall be barred in an Appeal of the Death of his Ancestor, if he do not bring it within a Year and a Day: If the King die seised, the Infint is driven to his Petition; for in these Cases the Law prefers the Good of the Church, the publick Repose of the Realm, Liberty, Life, and the King's Prerogative, before the Trivilege of Infancy.

An Infant is Lound by (1) all Conditions, Charges and Penalties, in 1 Infl. 233. an original Conveyance, whether he comes to the Estate by Grant or 8 co. 44. Descent.

Latch 199. 2 Rol. Rep. 72.

I Ieen. 100. Hard. 11. (a) Bound by Conditions annexed to the Estate at Common Law, because transit cum enere; and therefore if the Infant will have the Estate, he must observe the Condition upon which it was gran.ed. Carth. 43.

Therefore if a Person devise to his Grandaughter, who is not Heir at 1 Vent. 200. Law, Lands, upon Condition that she marry with the Consent of certain Trustees, she is obliged to take Notice, at her Peril, of the Conference of the Conference of the Conference of the Condition, and I kewise to perform it; but had she been Heir at Law, she ter adjudged must have had Notice given her of the Condition, to make the Marriage, without Consent, a Forfeiture.

An Infant shall be bound by Conditions in Fact, and such Conditions 2 Vern. 543. as he can perform, in Equity as well as in Law, as was adjudged in the

precedent Case of Fry and Porter.

So where A. gave Lottery-Tickets amongst her Servants, on Condi- 2 Vern. 560. tion, that if any of them came up a Prize of 20 1. or more, they should Scot and give one Half to her Daughter; the Ticket given the Foot-Boy, who was an Infant, came up 1000 l. Prize; and it was held in Chancery, that the Daughter was well intitled to a Moiety, for a Gift to an Infant, on Condition, binds him as well as another Person.

If an Office of Parkship be given or descends to an Infant, if the 3 Mod. 224. Condition in Law annexed to fuch an Office (which is Skill) be not ob-

ferved, the Office is forfeited.

If a Man make a Feoffment in Fee to another, referving Rent, and Co. Lit. 246 b. if he pay not the Rent within a Month, that he shall double the Rent, and the Feossee dieth, his Heir within Age, the Infant, payeth not the Rent, he shall not by his Laches herein forseit any Thing; but otherwife it is of a Feme Covert; and the Reason of the Diversity is, because the Infant is provided for by the (b) Statute, non current usuræ (b) Statute contra aliquem infra ætatem existen, &c. but that Statute doth not exoft Merton, tend to a Feine Covert, neither doth it extend to a Condition of a Reentry, which an Infant ought to perform, for the Forfeiture thereof cannot be called ujura.

It has been held by fome (c) Opinions, where the Custom of a Copy-(b) By Hole hold Manor was, that every Surrender which is made fecundum confuetu-three Judges dinem out of Court, shall be presented by the Homage at the next in the Case Court to be held for the said Manor; and that upon such a Present- of King and ment Proclamation had been usually made, and so for three Courts next Dillistion, following; and if upon the third Proclamation no Person came to be Garth. 41,8% admitted. Esc. that then the Lord of the Mapor should feife the Lord of the Mapor should s admitted, &c. that then the Lord of the Manor should seise the Lands as Comb. 118.

forfeited, that this Custom bound an Infant.

But this is now fettled by the 9 Geor. 1. cap. 29. by which it is enacted, 'That no Infant, or Feme Covert, shall forfeit any Copyhold, Meffuages, &c. for their Neglect or Refufal to come to any Court or 6 Courts, to be kept for any Manor whereof such Messuages, &c. are e Parcel, and to be admitted thereto; nor for the Omission or Denial Vol. III.

1 Show. 30,84. I Lutw. 765. to pay any Fine or Fines imposed or set upon their, or any of their

6 Admittances to any fuch Copyhold, Messuages, &c.

2 Salk. 415. per Cowper Lord Chancellor.

If a Legacy be devised generally, and no Time ascertained for the Payment, and the Legatee be an Infant, he shall be paid Interest from the Expiration of the first Year after the Testator's Death; but it seems a Year shall be allowed, for so long the Statute of Distribution allows before the Distribution be compellable, and so long the Executor shall have, that it may appear whether there be any Debts; but if the Legatec be of full Age, he shall only have Interest from the Time of his Demand after the Year; for no Time of Payment being set, it is not payable but upon Demand, and he shall not have Interest but from the Time of his Demand; otherwise it is in the Case of an Infant, because no Laches is imputed to him.

# (H) Where punishable for Crimes and Injuries committed by him.

P. C. 16, &c.

i Hal. Hift. Thas been already observed, that one above the Age of fourteen, or P. C. 16, &c. I of the Years of Discretion, may be guilty of a Capital Offence in the fupra Letter fame Manner as one of full Age; also that one under these Years, if above the Age of seven, may according to the Circumstances of the Case, as if in Murder he hides the Body flain by him, makes Excuses, or otherwise shews such Signs of Cunning as demonstrate him capable of discerning between Good and Evil, be guilty and convicted of a Capital Offence; but that in these latter Cases, the Judges may respite Judge ment, or Execution, in order to the obtaining the King's Pardon.

Y Hal. Hift. P. C. 28-9.

Also if an Infant, under the Age of fourteen, be indicted by the Grand Inquest, and thereupon arraigned, the Petit Jury may either find him generally Not guilty, or they may find the Matter specially that he committed the Fact, but that he was under the Age of fourteen, scilicet atatis 13 annorum, and had not Discretion to discern between Good and Evil, & non per feloniam; but if a Man be arraigned in fuch a Case upon an Indictment of Murder, or Mansaughter, by the Coroner's Inquest, there if the Party committed the Fact, regularly the Matter ought to be specially found; because if the Jury find the Party Not guilty, they must inquire how he came by his Death; but if he be first arraigned, and acquitted upon the Indictment by the Grand Inquest, and found Not guilty, he may plead that Acquittal upon his Arraignment upon the Coroner's Inquest, and that will discharge him; and the Petit Jury shall inquire farther how the Party came by his Death.

h Hal. Hift. P. C. 20.

As to Misdemeanors and Offences that are not Capital, in some Cases an Infant is privileged by his Nonage; and herein the Privilege is all one, whether he be above the Age of fourteen, or under, if he be under

one and twenty Years, but with these Differences:

Bro. Saver Default 50. Plow. 364. a. Co. Lit. 246 b. 2 Inst. 703. Cro. 7ac. 465. 1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 20.

If an Infant under the Age of Twenty-one Years be indicted of any Misdemeanor, as a Riot or Battery, he shall not be privileged barely by reason that he is under Twenty-one Years; but if he be convicted thereof by due Trial, he shall be fined and imprisoned; and the Reason is, because upon his Trial the Court ex officio ought to consider and examine the Circumstances of the Fact, whether he was doli Capax, and had Discretion to do the Act wherewith he is charged; and the same Law is of a Feme Covert; but if the Offence charged by the Indichment be a meer Nonfeazance, (unless it be of fuch a Thing as he is bound to by reason of Tenure, or the like, as to repair a Bridge, &c.) there in some

Cases he shall be privileged by his Nonage, if under Twenty-one, tho' above fourteen Years, because Laches in such a Case shall not be imputed to him.

If an Infant in an Affise vouch a Record, and fail at the Day, he shall t Hal. His not be imprisoned, nor, it seems, a Feme Covert; and yet the Statute P. C. 20. of Westin. 2. cap. 25. that gives Imprisonment in such a Case, is general.

If A. kills B. and C. and D. are present, and do not attach the Of- 1 Hal. Hig. fender, they shall be fined or imprisoned; yet if C. were within the Age P.C. 21. of Twenty-one Years, he shall not be fined nor imprisoned.

Where the Corporal Punishment is but collateral, and not the direct that Hist. Intention of the Proceeding against the Infant for his Misdemeanor, there P. C. 21. in many Cases the Infant, under the Age of Twenty-one, shall be spared, tho' possibly the Punishment be enacted by Parliament.

It is said by Hale, that if an Infant, of the Age of eighteen Years, be I Hal. Hist. convict of a Diffeisin with Force, yet he shall not be imprisoned, and yet P. C. 21.

a Feme Covert shall be imprisoned in such Case.

But herein the Law feems to be, that an Infant at the Age of Bridg. 173. eighteen, nay fourteen, or a Feme Covert, by their own Acts may be Crompt. Just. guilty of a forcible Entry, and they may be fined for the same; but it Dalt. 302. scems, by the better Opinion, that the Infant cannot be imprisoned, be- Co. Lit. 357, cause his Infancy is an Excuse by reason of his Indiscretion, being not (a) particularly mentioned in the Statute against forcible Entries, to be (a) That the committed for fuch Fine. Infant ought not to be im-

prisoned, because he shall not be subject to Corporal Punishment by force of the general Words of any Statute wherein he is not expressly named. 1 Hawk. P. C. 147.

But neither an Infant, or Feme Covert, can be guilty of a forcible Crom. 69. Entry, or a Disseisin, by barely commanding one, or by affenting to one Co. Lit. 357 to their Use, because every such Command or Assent by Persons under 1 Hawk. P.C. these Incapacities are void; but an actual Entry by an Infant, into another's Freehold, gains the Possession; and makes him a Disseisor as well as it does a Feme Covert.

Two Infants Jointenants, one releases to the other, by which the other Bro. Diffeisis holds the whole, this feems a Diffeisin, because the Release being in no 19. Manner for the Advantage of the Infant, is utterly void; then the Entry of the other being without Title is tortious, and a Diffeisin; but if there had been Livery made upon it, tho' between Jointenants, this is void; yet it seems no Disseisin, for the Regard the Law has to the Solemnity of Livery, which shall continue till defeated by Act of equal Noto-

If a Man carries an Infant into the Lands of J. S. and there claims I Rol. Abr. the Lands to the Use of himself and the Infant, yet the Infant seems 661. no Diffeifor, because he made no claim of it himself, and then shall not be charged with the Tort of another Person.

If an Infant be convict in an Action of Trespass Vi & Armis, the Cro. Fac. 274. Entry must be Nihil de fine, sed pardonatur quia Infans, for if a Capiatur 1 Hal. Hist. be entered against him, it is Error, for it appears judicially to the Court P. C. 21. that he was within Age when he appears by Guardian; the like Law is, that he shall not be in Misericordia pro falso clamore.

General Statutes, that give Corporal Punishment, are not to extend Plow. 364. to Infants; and therefore if an Infant be convict in Ravishment of Ward, 1 Hal. Hist. he shall not be imprisoned, tho' the Statute of Merton, cap. 6. be general P. C. 21. in that Case; but this must be understood where it is, as before said, a Funishment as it were collateral to the Offence, as in the Cases before mentioned.

But where a Fact is made Felony or Treason, it extends as well to Co. Lit. 247. Unfants, if above fourteen Years, as to others; and this appears by fe- 1 Hil. Hig. veral P. C. 21, 22. (a) So by the veral Acts of Parliament, (a) as by 1 fac. 1. cap. 11. of Felony for Statute of marrying two Wives, &c. where there is a special Exception of Marriages 21H.8. cap 7. within the Age of Consent, which in Females is twelve, in Males fourbelong by teen Years; fo that if the Marriage were above the Age of Confent, tho Servants that within the Age of Twenty-one Years, it is not exempted from the imbezil their Penalty.

Goods delivered to them, there is a special Proviso, that it shall not extend to Servants under the Age of eighteen Years, who certainly had been within the Penalty if above the Age of Discretion, viz. fourteen Years, tho' under eighteen Years, unless a special Provision had been to exclude them. I Hal. Hift. P. C. 22. —— So by the 12 Ann. cap. 7. where Apprentices, under the Age of fifteen

Years, who shall rob their Masters, are excepted out of the Act.

1 Sid. 258. I Lev. 169. 1 Keb. 905, 913. S. C. Fehnson and Action for Words lies against an Infant of the Deceit. Age of feven-

Infants are liable for Torts and Injuries of a private Nature; but if an Infant, affirming himself to be of Age, borrows 100 l. and gives his Bond for it, and being fued upon the Bond, avoids it by reason of his Nonage, yet no Action lies against him for the Deceit; for tho' Infants shall be bound by actual Torts, (a) as Trespais, &c. which are Vi & Armis, yet (b) That an they shall not for those that sound in Deceit; for if they should, all the Infants in England might be ruined; adjudged, and Judgment arrested after a Verdict for the Plaintiff in an Action upon the Case for the

teen, for Malitia susplet Atatem. Noy 129.

1 Keb. 778. Grove and Nevil. 1 Sid. 258. 1 Lev. 169. S. C. eired.

So where an Action of Deceit was brought for affirming upon the Sale of a Horse, that it was the Defendant's Horse, whereas it was the Horse of another Man, &c. the Defendant pleaded Infancy; and on Demurrer the Court, on the first Argument, inclined for the Defendant, for this Action depends upon the Contract; and tho' the Contract (it being an actual Delivery) be not void, but voidable, and this Action be brought upon the Wrong, and not upon the Contract, yet here, by this Plea, he fhews that he elects to avoid the Contract, and then this Action falls; and afterwards it was adjudged for the Defendant; and the Court faid, that it was like an Action brought against an Infant for affirming himself to be of full Age.

1 Sid. 258. per cur.

But if an Infant judicially perjure himself in Point of Age, or otherwife, he shall be punished for the Perjury; so he may be indicted for cheating with false Dice, &c.

# (1) Of the Ads of Infants as they are good, boid, or boidable: And therein,

### 1. Of their Contracts for Mecellaries.

10 H. 6. 14. 18 E. 4. 2. 1 Rol. Abr. 729.

TERE we must observe, that strictly speaking, all Contracts made by Infants are either void or voidable, because a Contract is the Act of the Understanding, which during their State of Infancy they are presumed to want; yet Civil Societies have so far supplied that Desect, and taken Care of them, as to allow them to contract for their Benefit and Advantage, with Power, in most Cases, to recede from and vacate it when it may prove prejudicial to them; but in this Contract for Necessaries they are absolutely bound, and this likewise is in Benignity to Infants, for if they were not allowed to bind themselves for Necessaries, no Body would Trust them, in which Case they would be in worse Circumstances than Persons of full Age.

Therefore

Therefore it is clearly agreed by all the Books that speak of this Co. Lit. 172. a. Matter, that an Infant may bind himself to pay for his necessary Meat, co. Drink, Apparel, necessary Physick, and such (a) other Necessaries, and fant at the likewife for his good Teaching and Instruction, whereby he may profit Age of filhimfelf afterwards.

he may take

up Provision for his Wife and Children. Carter 215. laid.

But it must appear that the Things were actually necessary, and of Cro. Fac. 560c reasonable trices, and suitable to the Infant's (b) Degree and Estate, z Rol. Rop.which regularly must be left to the Jury; but if the Jury find that the 144. Things were Necessaries, and of reasonable Price, it shall be presumed Palm. 301. Things were Necessaries, and or reasonable trice, it man be presented that, 30% they had Evidence for what they thus find; and they need not find par- Gall, 168, ticularly what the Necessaries were, nor of what Price each Thing was; Golb, 219, also if the Plaintiff declares for other Things as well as Necessaries, or the Lury to That the large research that are necessary, the Lury to That the alledges too high a Frice for those Things that are necessary, the Jury (b) that the may confider of those Things that were really Necessaries, and of their guilhes beintrinsick Value, and proportion their Damages accordingly.

fons as to

Necessaries; as between a Nebleman and Gentleman's Son; also in Foint of Time and Education, the Law diffinguishes; as at School, Oxford, and Inns of Court; and that he is not to be looked upon in the fame Conti ion when a School Boy, as when of riper Years. Carter 215. — Velvet and Satur Suits faced with Gold held not to be necessary. Cro. Eliz. 583.

If an Infant promifes another, that if he will find him Meat, Drink t Bol. Abr. and Washing, and pay for his Schooling, that he will pay 7 L yearly; 729.

Palm. 528. an Action upon the Case lies upon this I romise; for Learning is as ne- 1 for 182. ceffiry as other Things, and tho' it is not mentioned what Learning this S.C. Packerwas, yet it shall be intended what was fir for him, till it be frewn to the ing yer. Guncontrary on the other Part; and tho' he to whom the Promise was made ed on a Modoes not instruct him, but pays another for it, the Promise of Repay- un in Arrest ment thereof is good; and it appears that the Learning, Meat, Drink of Indament, and Washing, could not be afforded for a leis Sum than 7.1.

Afflumpfit for Labour and Medicines in curing the Defendant of a Carthelio. Distemper, &c. who pleaded infra statem viginti & unius annorum; the Hungins and Plaintiff replied, it was Necessaries generally; and upon a Demurrer to Wifeman. this Replication it was objected, that the Plaintiff had not assigned in certain how or in what Manner the Medicines were necessary; but it was adjudged that the Replication in this general Form was good.

If an Infant be a Alcreer, and hath a Shop in a Town, and there t Rel. Abr. buys and fells, and he contracts to pay a certain Sum to J. S. for certain 729.

Wares fold to him by J. S. to re-fell, yet he is not chargeable upon 2 Roll Rep 45. this Contract, for this Trading is not immediately necessary ad victum S. C. adjuog-& vestitum; and if this were allowed, Infants might be infinitely pre- ed between judiced, and buy and fell and live by the Lofs.

And as the Contract of an Infant for Wares, for the necessary carrying 5 Mad 368. on his Trade, whereby he fulfifts, shall not bind him; so neither shall 1 Salk 586-7. he be liable for Money which he borrows to lay out for Necessaries; and therefore the Lender must, at his Peril, lay it out for him, or see that it is laid out in Necessaries.

As in Debt upon a fingle Bill, the Defendant pleaded that he was 1 84% 386. within Age; the Plaintiff replied, that it was for Necessaries, viz. 101 for Farle ver. Cloaths, and 151. Money lent pro & erga his necessary Support at the University, the Defendance versity, the Defendance versity. versity; the Defendant rejoined, that the Money was lent him to spend at Pleasure; absque bec, that it was lent him for Necessaries; and Issue hereupon was found for the Plaintist, who had Judgment in C. B. but was reversed in B. R. on a Writ of Error; for the Islue only being, whether this Money was lent the Infant for Necessaries, not whether it was la'd out in Necessaries, it cannot bind the Infant whichever way it is Yol. III.

found; for it might have been borrowed for Necessaries, and laid out in a Tavern; and the Law will not intrust the Infant with Application and

laying of it out.

1 Salk. 279.

So if one lends Money to an Infant, who actually lays it out in Necesfaries, yet this shall not bind the Infant, nor subject him to an Action; for it is upon the Lending that the Contract must arise, and after that Time there could be no Contract raised to bind the Infant, because after that he might wafte the Money, and the Infant's applying it afterwards for Necessaries will not by Matter ex post sado intitle the Plaintiff to an Action.

Cro. Eliz. 920. Moor 679. Fl. 929. Co. Lit. 172. 1 Rol. Abr. 729. the Infant.

Cafes in Law

and Eq. 85.

Also altho' an Infant shall be Lable for his Necessaries, yet if he enters into an Obligation with a Penalty for Payment thereof, this shall not bind him; for the entering into a Penalty can be of no Advantage to

It is also said, that an Infant cannot either by a Parol Contract or a Deed bind himself, even for Necessaries, in a Sum certain, and that should an Infant promise to give an unreasonable Price for Necessaries, that would not bind him; and that therefore it may be faid, that the Contract of an Infant for Necessaries, quatenus a Contract, does not bind him any more than his Bond would; but only fince an Infant must live, as well as a Man, the Law gives a reasonable Price to those who furnish him with Necessaries.

(a) 1 Lev. S6. Russel and Lee, adjudg-ed. 1 Keb. 382, 416, 423. S. C.

Yet it hath been (a) adjudged, and is admitted in feveral (b) other Books, that if an Infant contracts for Necessaries, and enters into a single Bill for Payment, that this shall bind him, and that an Action of Debt will be on fuch Obligation.

(b) Co. Lit. 172. S. P. and Diversity taken between a single Obligation and an Obligation with Peanlty. Cro. Fliz. 910. S. P. and same Diversity per Curian. 1 Rol. Abr. 729. S. P. tho thereby the Defendant is onfted of his Wager of Law.

1 Rol. Abr. 729. I Rol. Rep. 382.

So an Infant may bind himself in an Assumptit for Payment of Ne-Noy 85. ceffaries, and an Action upon the Cafe lies against him upon the Promise v. 3 Bulf. 188. for this, but in Nature of an Action of Debt; and therefore where Debt lies, an Action on the Case lies against him.

Cro. Eliz. 920.

Also it seems clear, that if an Infant becomes indebted for Necessaries, and the Party takes a Bond from the Infant, that this shall not drown the fimple Contract, because the Bond has no Force.

Co. Lit. 172. Latch 169. Ney S7.

But it is agreed, that an Infimul computaffet will not lie against an Infant, tho' it be for Necessaries; for he not having Discretion, is not to be liable to false Accounts.

Allen 94. Tickridge.

If an Infant comes to a Stranger who instructs him in Learning, and Tuncomb and boards him, there is an implied Contract in Law that the Party should be paid as much as his Board and Schooling are worth; but if the Infant at the Time of his going thither was under the Age of Discretion, or if he were placed there upon a special Agreement with some of the Child's Friends, the Party that boards him has no Remedy against the Infant, but must resort to them with whom he agreed for the Infant's Board, &c.

#### 2. Of judicial Acts, or Acts done by him in a Court of Record.

As to judicial Acts and Acts done by an Infant in a Court of Record, Co. Lit. 330. they regularly bind the Infant and his Representatives, with the follow-Moor 76. 2 Rol. Abr. 15. ing Savings and Exceptions; as if an Infant levies a Fine, tho' the Judges 2 Inst. 483. ought not to admit the Acknowledgment of one under that Difability; yet 2 Bulf. 320. having once recorded his Agreement as the Judgment of the Court, it 12 Co. 122.

shall for ever bind him and his Representatives, unless he reverses it by Telo. 155-Writ of Error, which must be brought by him during his Minority, that 3 Mod. 229.

the Court by Inspection may determine his Age.

So if an Infant levies a Fine, he is enabled by Law to declare the Uses 2 Co. 58. a. ration of Uses will stand good for ever; for the that be a Matter in Pais, Dalf. 47. and all fuch Acts an Infant may avoid at any Time after his full Age, if 2 Leon. 159. he do not confent; yet being made in Pursuance of the Fine levied, Goulf. 13. which Fine must stand good for ever, (unless reversed in the Manner as Winch 103, has been mentioned,) fo will the Declaration of Uses too.

If there be Tenant for Life, the Remainder to an Infant in Fee, and 1 Leon. 115, they two join in a Tine, the Infant may bring a Writ of Error, and 317, reverse the Fine as to himself; but it shall stand good as to the Tenant 2 Sid. 55. for Life; for the Disability of the Infant shall not render the Contract 2 fones 182.

of the Tenant for Life, who was of full Age, ineffectual.

If an Infant brings a Writ of Error to reverse a Fine for his Nonage, 1 Rol. Abr. and his Nonage, after Inspection, is recorded by the Court, but before 788. the Fine reversed he levics another Fine to another, this second Tine shall hinder him from reverling the first; because the second having intirely barred him of any Right to the Land, must also deprive him of all Remedies which would restore him to the Land.

If an Infant levies a Fine, and the Conuzee renders to him either for Most 74. but Life or in Tail, it is faid that he shall have no Writ of Error to avoid quare. this Fine; because the Reversal of the Fine being only to restore him to the Land he parted with by the Fine, it would be fruitless to give him a Writ of Error, fince he could not thereby be restored to the Land which the Fine itself, which he would endcayour to reverse, had before

given him.

As to Recoveries fuffered by Infants, when these were improved into i Rol. Abr. a common Way of Conveyance, it was thought reasonable that those, 731, 742. whom the Law had judged incapable to act for their own Interest, should be able to act for their own Interest, should be able to act for their own Interest, should be able to act for their own Interest, should be able to act for their own Interest, should be able to act for their own Interest, should be able to act for their own Interest, should be able to act for their own Interest, should be able to act for their own Interest. not be bound by the Judgment given in Recoveries, tho' it was the 395. folemn Act of the Court; for where the Defendant gives Way to the 10 Co. 43 a. Judgment, it is as much his voluntary Act and Conveyance, as if he had Cro. Eliz. 471. transferred the Land by Livery, or any other Act in Pais; and therefore Cro. Car. 307. if an Infant fuffers a Recovery, he may reverse it as he may a Fine, by 2 Bulf. 235. Writ of Error, during his Minority: And this was formerly taken to be 1 Sid. 321 2. Law, as well where the Infant appeared by Guardian, as by his Attorney I Lev. 142. or in Person: But now the Distinction turns upon this Point, that if an 1 Vern. 461. Infant suffers a Recovery in Person, it is erroneous, and he may reverse 2 Salk. 567. it by Writ of Error; but even in this Cafe the Writ of Error must be brought during his Minority, that his Infancy may be tried by the Inspection of the Court; for at his full Age it becomes obligatory and unavoidable; but in Cases of Necessity the Court has admitted the Infant to appear by Guardian, and to fuffer a Recovery, or come in as Vouchee; but this too is feldom allowed by the Court, unless it be upon Emergencies, when it tends to the Improvement of the Infant's Affairs, or when Lands of equal Value have been fettled on him, and when he has had the King's Privy Seal for that Purpofe; and these Recoveries have been allowed and supported by the Judges, and the Infant could not fet them aside or shake them; besides, if such Recoveries be to the Prejudice of the Infant, he has his Remedy for it against his Guardian, and may reimburse himself out of his Pocket to whom the Law had committed the Care of him.

Partition by Writ de Partitione facienda binds Infants, because by Co. Lie. 171. L. Judgment in a Court of Justice, to which no Partiality can be im-

If an Infant acknowledge a Recognizance or Statute, it is only void- Moor, pl.206, able; and the Infant at his Peril must avoid them by Audita Querela, as 2 Inst. 483,

Co. Lit. 380. Kelav. 10. Reg. 149. 10 Co. 43. a. he must a Fine or Recovery by Writ of Error, during his Minority; for fuch Conveyances or other Acts of Record become obligatory and unavoidable, if they be not fet aside before the Infant comes of Age; the Reason is, because these Contracts being entered into under the Inspection of the Judge, who is supposed to do Right, the Infant cannot against them aver his Disability, but must reverse them by a Judgment of a superior Court, who by Inspection has the same Means to determine whether the inferior Jurisdiction has done Right, that first received the

2 Irft. 673.

If an Infant bargain and fell his Land by Deed indented and inrolled, yet he may plead Nonage; for notwithstanding the Statute 27 H. 8. cap. 16. makes the Inrollment in a Court of Record necessary to complete the Conveyance; yet the Bargainee claims by the Deed as at Common Law, which was, and therefore is still, defeasible by Nonage.

#### a. Of his Acts in Pais, where boid, or only voidable.

39 E 3.20.b. 1 Rol. Abr. Co Lit. 172, 381.

Infants are regularly allowed to rescind and break thro' all Contracts in Pais made during Minority, except only for Schooling and Necessaries, be they never fo much to their Advantage; and the Reason hereof is, the Indulgence the Law has thought fit to give Infants, who are supposed to want Judgment and Diferetion in their Contracts and Transactions with others, and the Care it takes of them in preventing their being imposed upon or over-reached by Perfons of more Years and Experience.

Cro. Car. 502. 3 Alod. 310.

And for the better Security and Protection of Infants herein, the Law 1 Jones 405, has made fome of their Contracts absolutely void; i. e. all such in which there is no apparent Benefit or Semblance of Benefit to the Infant; but as to those from which the Infant may receive Benefit, and which were entered into with more Solemnity, they are only voidable; that is, the Law allows them when they come of Age, and are capable of confidering over again what they have done, either to ratify and affirm fuch Contracts, or to break thro' and avoid them.

Co. Lit. 2, S.

Hence it hath been agreed, that an Infant may purchase, tecause it 2 Vent. 2031 is intended for his Benefit, and that at his full Age he may either agree or disagree to the same.

Co. Lit. 3 So. Dyer 104. 2 Rol. Abr. 572.

Also the Feoffment of an Infant is not void, but only voidable, not only because he is allowed to contract for his Benefit, but because that there ought to be some Act of Notoricty to restore the Possession to him,

4 Co. 125. a. equal to that which transferred it from him.

S Co. 42. Therefore if an Infant make a Feoffment and Livery in Person, he Bro. Tit. Dif- shall have no Ashse, &c. but must avoid it by (a) Entry; for it is to be feifin, 63. prefumed in Favour of fuch Solemnity, that the Affembly of the Pais (a) May a then present would have prevented it, if they had perceived his Nonage, word his and therefore the Feoffment shall continue till defeated (b) by Entry, Peoffment by Entry which is an Act of equal Notoriety. during his

Minority, but must have the Writ dum suit infra Ætatem till his full Age. F. N. B. 172. Co. Lit. 380. Sh w. Parl. Cafes 153. Co. Lit. 247. a. (b) But if an Infant exchanges with another, if the other enters, the Infant may have an Affile. 18 E. 4. 2. 1 Rol. Abr. 730.

2 Rol. Abr. 2. But if the Infant had made a Letter of Attorney to deliver Seisin, he Nos 130. might have an Affise, &c. because the Letter of Attorney, like all other Palm. 237. Acts or Agreements made by an Infant to his (c) Prejudice, must be void; and therefore whoever claims under it, or by Virtue of its Autho-Peofiment 10 an Infant, rity, must be (d) a Wrong-doer.

ter of Attorney by him to accept Livery, is faid to be only voidable. because for the Infant's Benefit. 1 Rol. Abr. 730. (d) That the Attorney who executes it is a Diffeifor, 1 Rol. Rep. 242.

So if an Infant enfeoffs his Guardian, this is void, for the apparent 35 453 Prejudice it must be to the Infant.

If an Infant make a Leafe for Years, referving Rent, it focus agreed Vide theat of

that fuch a Leafe is only voidable by the Infant.

But if he make a Leafe for Years, without Refervation of any Rent, it Moor 105 reems by the Opinion of the greater Number of the Books, that from the 11 148. apparent Prejudice and Hurt it must be to the Infant, that such Lease 2 Louis 2.6. is absolutely void: But this Point does not appear to have been ever Co. Lit. 45. judicially determined; and indeed the Reasons against it seem very 308. a cogent, and that it would be a greater Indulgence to the Infant, and 17000 157 more for his Service, to allow him when he comes of Age, and is capable 4 Leon. 4. of confidering over again what he has done, either to ratify and affirm a Brown in all his Contracts, or to break thro' and avoid them; and that this Power Hutten is 22. their Minority, as well to those which are for their Benefit and Advan- 1 Add 262 tage, as to those which apparently tend to their Hurt and Prejudice; for 3 Add 3 0 if it were to be confined only to the last, it would exclude them from being Judges of either, fince no Man can be supposed to know what is to his Difadvantage, but as he is allowed to compare it with fuch Things as are for his Advantage and Good; and therefore the Power of judging in general must be lest to him; and as a Consequence thereof, it should feem that he may, when he comes of Age, either affirm or avoid all Leafes or Contracts made by him during his Minority, according as he judges them to be beneficial or hurtful to him, without any intervening Judgment of Law to condemn some only, and leave others to the Infant's Diferction, when he comes of Age; and the giving of Infants such Power in general over all their Contracts, will sufficiently secure them against the Danger of being imposed on, or over-reached by others; for when the Power is general, and all Persons who deal with Infants know they are to be at their Mercy, when they come of Age, whether they will think fit to stand to their Bargain, or not, this will take off from the Temptation of impoling upon them; or if any should be so hardy as to do it, yet fince the Infant is at Liberty, when he comes of Age, to rescue himself by avoiding such injurious Contract, there seems no possible Mischief in the mean time to suffer such Contract to hang in Equilibrio, and defer pronouncing any Sentence upon it, fince that, as has been faid, would curtail the Infant's Power, and take off from his Freedom of judging at all; besides that, the very Reason of giving to Infants such Fower, was to secure them against the Imposition of others, which a Lease for Years, reserving the full Rent, cannot be supposed to be; and therefore if they were only to use it in such Cases, it would be useless; and if they were denied it in the other, where no Rent at all is referved, (as they must be, if the Law prejudges for them,) it would be no Power at all in them, or at most but an empty and idle one; therefore it feems by the stronger Reasons, if an Infant make a Leafe for Years, without Refervation of any Rent, tho' this is apparently to his Hurt and Prejudice whilst he continues a Minor, yet fince when he comes of Age he may either by Affife or Trespuls recover the Possesfion and meine Profits, and fo make himfelf whole ab initio, the Leafe is good in the mean time, and the rather, because most of the Books agree, that if a Rent were reserved on such Lease, it would then be only voidable; whereas fuch Rent may be fo fmall in Proportion to the Value of the Land, that there may be more Reason to adjudge it alsolutely void, than if none at all were referved; because in the one Case the Imposition is apparent, but in the other it may be so misrepresented and coloured over as to deceive the Infant, even when he comes of Age, into some unwary Act of Ratification of it; besides that, the Infant when he comes of Age may, if he think fit, make such Lease for Years without referving any Rent: And why then may be not confent to, and Vol. III.

ratify such Lease, tho' made before, which (if the Law permitted him) he might do by accepting of Fealty, which is incident to every such Lease.

Afoor 105. 2 Leon. 216, 218. As to the Books before cited, that a Lease for Years by an Infant without any Reservation of Rent should be absolutely void, they are only obiter Opinions; and there is but one Case where it is expresly so held, and there only by two Judges; for Gawdy was of another Opinion, and the Judgment there given was upon the Right and Merits of the Case, not upon the Point of the Lease; tho' the two Judges, to inforce the Judgment for the Desendant, would have the Infant's Lease to the Plaintist, upon which the Ejectment was brought, to be absolutely void, and so no Title at all against the Desendant, who was in Possession; besides the Lease there was by Parol, not by Decd, which may make a considerable Difference.

Cro. Car. 502. 1 Fones 405. 1 Rol. Alr. 728. Loyd and Gregery.

Another Cafe produced to inforce the Reason of such Leases being absolutely void, is, that a Surrender by an Infant to him in Reversion hath been adjudged to be absolutely void, whether it were a Surrender in Law by taking of a new Leafe, or an express Surrender, and that no Agreement by him at full Age should make it good, so as to establish the fecond Leafe; and the Reason there given was, because there being no Increase of his Term, or Decrease of the Rent, the Surrender was absolutely void at first; but there seems a much better Reason for the Judgment given in that Case; for the first Lease was made 1 E. 6. by a Dean and Chapter for fifty Years, and this Leafe being afterwards affigned to Infants, they 29 Eliz. took a new Lease of the same Lands from the then Dean and Chapter for the same Term, and under the same Rent and Covenants as were in the first; but this second Lease not being warranted by 13 Eliz. the fucceeding Dean and Chapter would have avoided it, and so stripped the Infants of any Interest at all in the Lands; to prevent which Mischief, and help the Infants, the Court gave Judgment against the Surrender, that it was absolutely void ab initio, and so the fecond Leafe never good; and this was but a just Construction as this Case was; for if the Court had adjudged the Surrender to have been only voidable, then the Infants Agreement to the second Lease when they came of Age, would have made the Surrender of the first absolute, and then their Title standing only upon the second Lease, and that not warranted by 13 Eliz. they would have been defeated of both, which would have been a very fevere Construction in a Case of this Nature, where the Operation of the Law in working the Surrender of the first Lease might be easily supposed not to be thought of or understood by them.

Show. Parl. Cafes 153.
3 Mod. 310.
2 Salk. 427,
576.
Carth. 435.
Comb. 439.
S. C. Thomp-for versus
Lea h.

Another Case produced is of a Surrender by a Person non compos, &c. who being Tenant for Life, with Remainder to his first and other Sons, did before the Birth of any Son surrender to him in the Remainder, with Intent to destroy the contingent Remainders to his Sons; but it was adjudged, that the Surrender was absolutely void ab initio, and by Consequence the contingent Remainders not hurt thereby; and there it was faid, that the Grants of Infants and of Persons non compos were parallel both in Law and Reason, and the preceding Case was cited as an Authority in Point, that a Surrender by an Infant was ipfo fatto void, and so of a Person non compos, &c. but the Case of the Infant has already received an Answer; and this of the Non compos may be easily answered too; for if the Surrender should have been allowed, it would have been not only prejudicial to himfelf, but likewise to all his Sons after born, who were Strangers or third Perfons; and there could no Use be made of the Surrender but to do them Mischief, which the Acts of a Madman ought not to be allowed to do, when by a reasonable Construction it is in the Power of the Court to help them, as in that Case they did, by adjudging the Surrender to be absolutely void, rather than voidable: So that notwithstanding the Cases above cited, it does not seem clear

that the Lease of an Infant, without Refervation of any Rent, is absolutely void, but rather voidable, fince their Power of avoiding it when they come of Age, sufficiently guards them against the Unreasonableness or Practice of others, which was the only Mischief the introducing this Law in Favour of Infants designed at first to obviate and prevent.

Also it hath been held, that if an Infant grant a Rent-charge out of Trin. 6 Anna, his Land, this is not absolutely void, but only voidable by him when he in B. R. comes of Age; for if the Grantee should then distrain for the Rent, tho Hudson versus the other may bring an Action of Trespass, yet he cannot plead Non in 3 Mod. concessit; for the Deed is only voidable (a) by shewing of his Insancy, 310. it is and not void because it was delivered with his own Hands.

that if an Infant grants a Rent-charge out of his Lands, it is not voidable, but i'fo fallo void; and that if the Grantee diffrain for the Rent, the Infant may have an Action of Trespass against him. (a) That to a Lease for Years made by an Infant, he can in no Case plead Non est fallum, but must avoid it by pleading the special Matter of his Infancy. 5 Co. 115. 2 Inst. 483. Cro. Eliz. 127. Moor pl. 132. Poph. 178.

Copyhold was granted to one for Life, Remainder to an Infant in Cro. Eliz. 90. Fee; they both join in a Surrender to one, who was admitted; then the Knight verius Tenant for Life dies, and after the Infant dies, and his Heir enters; and it was adjudged that he might well enter, without being put to his Writ of Dum fuit infra Ætatem; for such Surrender was but a Conveyance by Matter in Pais, which cannot bind an Infant, but that he or his Heirs may enter, or bring Trespass before Admittance.

If there be two Coparceners, and one of them an Infant, and they Lit. 1982. 2885. make an unequal Partition, this shall not bind the Minor; for the Partition, if equal, will bind an Infant, because compellable to make Partition; and whatever one is compellable to, may be done by the same Person voluntarily, yet when the Partition is unequal, and the lesser Part allotted to the Minor, this shall not bind her; for then the Security the Law has provided for Infants, to prevent their being over-reached, would be useless.

But yet such unequal Partition is not absolutely void, but the Infant Co. Lit. 1711 has Election either to affirm it at full Age, by taking the Profits of the unequal Part allotted to her, or to avoid it, either during her Minority, or at full Age, by Entry into the other Part with her Sifter.

If an Infant fubruit to Arbitration, he may execute or avoid it at his 13 H. 4. 12. Election, as he may all other his Contracts.

141. 1 Rol. Abr. 730 1 Jones 164. 1 Lev. 17.

Also as to the Acts of Infants being void or voidable, there is a Rerk fell. 12, Diversity between an actual Delivery of the Thing contracted for, and 19 1 Rol. a bare Agreement to deliver it only, that the first is voidable, but the 2 Rol. Rep. last absolutely void; as if an Infant deliver a Horse or a Sum of Money 408 with his own Hands, this is only voidable, and to be recovered back in Lat. 10. an Action of Account.

But if an Infant agrees to give a Horse, and does not deliver the Pak section 12, Horse with his Hand, and the Donee take the Horse by Force of the 19 Gist, the Infant shall have an Action of Trespass; for the Grant was 1 Med 137, meerly void.

In Trefpass quare Vi & Armis Infultum fecit, & totum Crinem Capitis Mich 25 Car. ipfius Ann.e abfaindit, the Defendant as to all the Trefpass prater tonsus 2 Anna Seram Crinis pleads Not guilty, and as to that, pleads that the Plaintiff chresham per was of the Age of fixteen Years, and for a certain Sum of Money licenversus Stutiavit the Defendant duas uncias Crinis diela Anna detondere & alfeindere; artson. and upon the Demurrer to this Plea the Court held, that the Contract 3 Keb 369 was absolutely void, and consequently the Tonsure unlawful, and gave Judgment accordingly for the Plaintiff.

1 Sid 129. 1 Lev 169. 1 Kob 905, 913.

And as an Infant is not bound by his Contract to deliver a Thing; to if one deliver Goods to an Infant upon a Contract, &c. knowing him to be an Infant, he shall not be chargeable in Trover and Conversion, or any other Action for them; for the Infant is not capable of any Contract, lut for Necessaries; therefore such Delivery is a Gift to the Infant: But if an Infant without any Contract wilfully takes away the Goods of another, Trover lies against him; also it is said, that if he take the Goods under Pretence that he is of full Age, Trover lies; because it is a wilful and fraudulent Trespass.

Vide 1 Vern.

Also it seems that if an Infant, being above the Age of Discretion, be guilty of any Fraud in affirming himself to be of full Age, or if by 2 Vern. 224-5 Combination with his Guardian, &c. he make any Contract or Agreement with an Intent afterwards to elude it, by reason of his Privilege of Infancy, that a Court of Equity will decree it good against him according to the Circumstances of the Fraud; but in what Cases in particular a Court of Equity will thus exert itself, is not easy to deter-

For the Manner in which Infant Truffees are to convey them purfu-Act, side Preced Chan ≥54

Also notwithstanding the Disability of an Infant to contract, by the 7 Anne, cap. 19. it is Enacted, 'That it shall and may be lawful for any Person under the Age of twenty-one Years, by the Direction of · the High Court of Chancery, or the Court of Exchequer, fignified the Estates' by an Order made upon hearing all Parties concerned, on the Petition devolved on 6 of the Person or Persons for whom such Infant or Infants shall be seised or possessed in Trust, or of the Mortgagor or Mortgagors, or Guar-6 dian or Guardians of fuch Infant or Infants, or Person or Persons 6 intitled to the Monies fecured by or upon any Lands, Tenements, or 6 Hereditaments, whereof any Infant or Infants are or shall be seised or 6 possessed by way of Mortgage, or of the Person or Persons intitled to the Redemption thereof, to convey and affure any fuch Lands, 'Tenements, or Hereditaments in such Manner as the said Court of 6 Chancery, or the Court of Exchequer, shall by such Order so to be 6 obtained direct, to any other Person or Persons; and such Conveyance or Assurance so to be had and made, as aforesaid, shall be as good and effectual in Law to all Intents and Purpoles whatsoever, as if the ' faid Infants or Infant were, at the Time of making such Conveyance

or Assurance, of the full Age of twenty-one Years; any Law, &c.
And it is further Enacted by the faid Statute, That all and every
uch Infant and Infants being only Trustee or Trustees, Mortgagee or 6 Mortgagees, as aforefaid, shall and may be compellable, by such Order ' to as aforefaid to be obtained, to make fuch Conveyance or Convey-6 ances, Affurance or Affurances as aforefaid, in like Manner as Truftees or Mortgagees of full Age are compellable to convey or affign their Truft Effates or Mortgages.

### 4. Echhere voidable as to the Anfant, wall yet bind others.

7 Mulb. T. T. : 1100 248

It is laid down as a general Rule, that Infancy is a Personal Privilege, of which no one can take Advantage but the Infant himself, and that therefore, tho' the Contract of the Infant be voidable, that yet it shall bind the Perion of full Age; for being an Indulgence which the Law allows Infants, to protect and fecure them from the Fraud and Imposition of others, it can only be intended for their Benefit, and is not to be extended to Persons of the Years of Discretion, who are presumed to act with sufficient Caution and Security; and were it otherwise, this Privilege, instead of being an Advantage to the Infant, might in many Cases turn greatly to his Detriment.

Therefore it hath been adjudged, that if an Infant lett a Flouse to 1 Std. 446. 7. S. referving Rent, and the Rent is in Arrear, that the Infant may 1 Mod. 25. distr in for the Rent, or bring an Action of Debt; tho' it was objected,

that in the Institution the Contract was not reciprocal.

So where an Infant brought an Action on the Cafe by her Guardian, 184 446. and fet forth, that she did give the Desendant 10 1. and pur herself to 2 K b 623. be her Servant for seven Years, and that in Consideration thereof, the S. C. Farmha Defendant did promise to find her with all Necessaries, save only Apparel, and did likewife promife to teach her to fing and to dance; and that the Defendant within the Time turned her out of her House, and did not teach her to fing and dance; whereupon there was Judgment by Default, and a Writ of Inquiry of Damages; and it was moved to flay the filing of the Writ of Inquiry, because here was no Consideration, the Agreement not being reciprocal: But the Court held, that the' the Contract might be void as to the Infant, that yet it bound her Miffres, who was of full Age, and therefore ordered the Writ of Inquiry to be

So where an Infant brought an Assumpsit by his Guardian, and de- 1 Vent. 51. clared, that whereas the Defendant entered into his Close, and cut his 1 Mod. 25. Grafs, that in Confideration he would permit him to make it Hay, and 3. C. Smith carry it away, he promifed to give him fix Pounds for it: Upon this versus Bowen. Declaration the Defendant demurred, supposing it to be no Consideration; for the Infant was not bound by his Permission, but might sue him

notwithstanding; but the Court gave Judgment for the Plaintist.

So a Promise to pay the Plaintiff, an Infant, the Value of such Land, 2 Sid. 109. in Confideration the Plaintiff would fuffer the Defendant to enjoy the Davies and faid Land after the Death of A. to the Time of his full Age, the Plaintiff Mannington.

had Judgment, tho' he was not bound by the Contract.

So on a Promife to an Infant to do fuch an Act, in Confideration that I Sid 41. the Infant promised to pay such a Sum, in Assumption by the Infant he 1 Keb 1. had Judgment the the Money was not paid; for the Court held, the S. C. Forhad Judgment, tho' the Money was not paid; for the Court held, that refler's Cafe. the Infant's Promise was only voidable at his own Election, and not at the Election of him to whom it was made.

So if a Man of full Age and a Female of fifteen promife to intermarry, Trin. 5 Geo. 7, and after Request by her, he marries another Woman, an Action on the Holt and Case lies against him for the Violation of the Contract; for the objected ward, adjudged. that this was Nudum Pattum, and not reciprocal, as the Man could not compel her, being an Infant, to perform her Promise, yet being voidable as to herfelf only, as she finds it for her Benefit, it shall bind him being of full Age.

If an Infant lofe Money at Play, which the Winner takes, fuch Cited in the Taking is a Conversion, and Trover and Conversion lies for the Infant for Case of Holt the Sum fo received; but if the Infant had won, he might have retained have been the Money, and no Action would have lain against him for it.

Hill. 3 Anna, in B. R. in the Case of Barker and Medlicot; but the Assion there brought was an Indebitatus Assum fit, and for that Cause the Plaintiff was nonsuited, because the Foundation of the Action was a Tort, and the Action brought founded in Contract.

#### 5. At what Cime voidable Ans are to be avoided.

Here we must observe a Diversity between Matters of Record done Co Lit. 380. or suffered by an Infant, and Matters in Fait; that he may avoid Mat- 2 Inft. 483. ters in Fait, either within Age, or at full Age; but Matters of Record, Wimb 114. as Statutes Merchant and of the Staple, Recognizances acknowledged Telv. 155. by him, or a Fine levied by him, Recovery against him by Default in 12 Co. 121. a real Action, (faving in Dower,) must be avoided, viz the Statute, 3 Mod 229, &c. by Audita Querela, and the Fine and Recovery during his Minority;

for being judicial Acts, taken by a Court or Judge, the Nonage of the Party to avoid the same shall be tried by Inspection, and not by the

Country.

1 And 25,228. pl. 123-Dier 232. pl = 9. 2 And. 158. 10 Co. 43. a. Noy 16. Telv. SS. Reg. 149, 150. 2 Bulf. 320. 5. N. B. 105.

And as an Infant cannot avoid a Recognizance, &c. but during his W. Bendl. So. Minority; fo if an Infant enters into a Recognizance, &c. and brings an Audita Querela to reverse it, and the Judges upon Inspection find him within Age, and therefore adjudge the Recognizance void, and discharge Moor 75,460. the Infant; but the Conuzee after reverses the Judgment in the Audita Querela for Error; the Infant after his full Age shall have no new Audita Querela to vacate the Recognizance, tho' it once appeared to the Judges that he was within Age when he entered into the Contract; and the Reason hereof is, because the Infant in no Case after his full Age can fet afide the Recognizance or Statute: For, 1ft, The Writ in the Register runs quod Conusor adtunc & adhuc insra Ætatem existit, and therefore cannot have it at full Age without altering the Form of the Writ. 2dly, When the Judgment of the Audita Quercla is reversed by a Writ of Error, it is intirely fet aside, and in all Respects useless as if it had never been given, and confequently can obtain no Credit, should the Conusor produce the Record. 3dly, When the Conusor is of full Age, there will be no Averment admitted against the Recognizance, &c. which is an Act of Record; and it is prefumed by the Record that the Conufor was of full Age, fince the Judge or other Officer that took the Recognizance, &c. fusiered them to enter into them.

But if an Infant bargain and fell Lands by Deed indented and in-2 Irft. 673.

rolled, he may avoid it at any time.

Also it is said, that if an Infant appears by Attorney, and suffers a 1 Sid. 321. 1 Lev. 142. Recovery, it may for this Error be reversed after the Infant comes of 5 Mod. 209. Age, because it shall be tried by the Country whether the Warrant of Attorney was made when under Age or not.

#### 6. By whom to be avoided.

It seems agreed as a general Rule, that none but the Infant himself, S Co. 42 b. 2 Inft. 483. or his Representatives Privies in Blood, can avoid (a) a Conveyance 1 Rol. Rep. made by the Infant during his Nonage.

401. (a) This must be understood such a Conveyance as is in its own Nature voidable by the Infant, &c. such as a Feossement, &c. and not absolutely void, as a Surrender, Grant, Release, which being void ab initio, are so to all Men, and of which all Persons may take Advantage. Carth. 436. 3 Mod. 301, &c.

As if an Infant seised in Fee make a Feossment, and dies, his Heir 8 Co. 42. b.

So if feifed in Tail Male, and he makes a Feoffment, and (b) dies, S Co. 43. a. his Son being Heir general and special may enter. (b) So tho attainted of

Felony, 8 Co. 43. a. — But by Co. Lit. 337. a. it is otherwise in such Case, because his Entry is not lawful in respect of his Estate only, but of his Blood also, which is corrupted.

And if he hath no Sons, but only Daughters, his Brother, being his 3 Co. 43. special Heir per Forman Doni made to his Father, may avoid the Feoffment, because he is Privy in Blood, and has the Land only by Deicent.

3 Co. 43. a. But Privies in Estate cannot avoid (c) a Conveyance made by an (c) But if Infant. Tenant in

Tail within Age comes in as Vouchee by Attorney in a Common Recovery, he in Remainder may affign this for Error; for he is Party in Interest to the Recovery; and where a Man's Interest is bound by another's Act, it is but reasonable he should be allowed to free himself from the Mischief of it, by taking Advantage of any Error in it: But for this wide 1 Rol. Abr. 755. Bridg. 75. 1 Rol. Rep. 301. Cro. Eliz. 739. Palm. 123. Allen 75.

As if Tenant in Tail, being within Age, makes a Feoffment, and 8 Co. 43. dies without Issue, the Donor shall not enter, because he was Privy only Eur in Paris. in Estate, and no Right accrued to him by the Death of the Donee. and denied

by Hughton Justice to be Law, who said, the Feoffment by an Infant could not put him to his Formedon by a Diffeontinuance, and then if he could not enter, he would be without Remedy.

So if there be two Joint-tenants within Age, and one of them makes 8 Co 43 a. a Feoffment in Fee of his Moiety, and dies, the Survivor cannot enter; S. P. for by the Feoffment the Jointure was fevered, fo long as the Feoffment continued in Force, and therefore the Heir of the Feoffor may have a Dum fuit infra Ætatem, or enter into the Molety.

But if both had joined in the Feoffment, and one had died, the Right 8 Co. 43. 40 had furvived to the other, and he should have had the Land from the

first Feoffor.

If a Man within Age, feifed in Right of his Wife, makes a Feoffment, 8 Co. 43. b. and dies, his Heir cannot enter, because no Right descends to him; Lit. sect. 633but inafmuch as the Baron, if he had lived, might have entered in the Right of his Wife only, and not in respect of any Right which he himfelf had, the Wife (even before the 32 H. 8.) might in such Cafe have entered in her own Right.

But if the Feme, being only Tenant in Tail, and the Baron within 8 Co. 43. b. Age, had made a Gift in Tail to another, by which the Baron gained a Co.L.t. 337 5. new Reversion in Fee, and died, the Wife might enter, or the Heir of the Baron who had a new Reversion descended to him; but if the Heir had entered, and defeated the Tail given by the Infant, his Estate vanished, and by Operation of Law the Feme was immediately seifed of her old Estate.

Privies in Law, as the Lord by Escheat, shall not avoid a Convey- 8 Co. 44. 6.

ance made by an Infant.

As if an Infant makes a Feoffment, and dies without Heir, the Lord 8 Co. 42, 45. shall not avoid it; but because that in this Case it appeared the Feost-Whittingment was executed by Letter of Attorney made by the Infant, it was bam s Cale.

Dyer 10. b. resolved to be void, and that the Land should escheat to the Queen.

2 Rol. Abr. 2. 3 Mod. 306.

# 7. In what Manner they are to be avoided.

As to Fines and Recoveries, they being, as has been already observed, Co. Lit. 3900 Matters of Record, are regularly to be avoided by Writ of Error, 2 Inft. 483: which must be brought during the Infant's Minority, that the Court may inspect the Infant, and so vacate the Contract with the same

Solemnity that it was entered into.

Therefore if an Infant fuffer a Common Recovery, in which he comes I Rol Abra in as Vouchee in his proper Perfon, and not by Guardian, tho' this 742.

Styl. 246.

it is Error in Law; yet at his full Age he cannot enter into the Land, and avoid it by his Entry, before he has reverted it by a Writ of Error; for Judgments are not to be fubverted by Matter in  $\it Pais$  without  $\it Mat$ ter of Record.

If a Feme Covert, being under Age, levies a Fine, which she is after- 2 Vent. 30. wards willing to reverse, she may be trought into Court by Habeas 1 Mod 246. Corpus, that she may be inspected; and, it seems, the Fine may be set & wide Tit. aside on Motion; for the Husband may not be willing, nor permit her Fines and Reto bring or proceed in a Writ of Error.

Also it has been held, that if a Feme Covert, being an Infant, is Paf b 30 Car. about to levy a Fine, her Relations may enter a Caveat, and that then 2. Rawlinfor the Court will fet afide all Proceedings after fuch Entry; but that if ver. Owen.

they fuffer the Fine to pass, they cannot by any Means reverse it after the Infant's Death; but it seems that the Fine being taken by Virtue of a Dedimus Potestatem, and the Commissioners knowing the Party to be an Infant, may be (a) fined at the Discretion of the Court, as they were in this Case, the one 300 l. and the other 200 l.

formations to be filed against Commissioners who took a Fine from an Infant. 3 Lev. 36. But for this vide 12 Co. 124. 1 Rol. Rep. 113. Cro. Llz. 531.

Dyer 232.

As Infants, at their Feril, are obliged to avoid Fines and Recoveries Reg. 149.

Moor 75.

E. N. B. 105.

2 Inft. 673.

10 Co. 43.

Noy 16.

Cro. Fac. 5.

2 Rol Abr 57.

As Infants, at their Feril, are obliged to avoid Fines and Recoveries by Writ of Error, during their Minority, fo must they avoid Recognia during their Minority likewise, that the Courts may have the Like Opportunity of determining by Inspection as to their Nonage; for being Matters of Record, they must, according to the Rule, be dissolved each ligamine quo ligatur.

2 Bulf. 320.
3 Mod. 229. (b) That an Infant may bring an Audita Querela to avoid a Statute for his Nonage, altho' it be not certified or returned in any Court. 1 And. 228.— And there said, that the common Practice was so, else the Conusor might be of Age before the Conuse would procure it to be certified; & vide 3 Bulf. 307.

Two 155. If A. being within Age becomes Bail for B. and after two Sci. Fa. Cro. Fac. 646.

S. P. & vide
Co. Ent. 87,
Audita Querela, and avoid the Recognizance, and fo the Judgment 88.—Where thereupon of Consequence shall be avoided.

an Infant was

Bail, and taken in Execution, and he brought an Audita Querela, and moved to be inspected; and the Court, as a Matter discretionary, refused to admit him to Bail till he corroborated his Allegation by the Oaths of Witnesses; which he having done, and a Copy of the Register where he was born being produced, he was discharged; but if he had brought his Audita Querela before he was taken in Execution, he must have a Supersedeas of Course. Carth. 278. 39 vide supersedeas (D).

Cro. Fac. 694. But if A. being within Age enters into a Bond to B. who procures C. But for this without any Warrant to appear for A. and confesses a Judgment therestorney.

without any Warrant to appear for A. and confesses a Judgment therestorney.

upon, yet A. shall not have an Audita Querela, but he must take his Remedy by Action of Deceit against the Attorney.

Co.Lit. 247.b. If an Infant make a Feoffment, he may enter (c) either within Age, 248. a
(c) But tho, the Infant infra Ætatem.

may avoid his Feoffment by Entry during his Nonage, yet he cannot have a Dum fuit infra Ætatem till he comes to his full Age; for he is allowed to enter, that he may fave to himself the Profits in the mean time; but such Entry being the Act of an Infant seems to be as voidable at full Age as his Feoffment; but if he was to recover in a Writ of Dum fuit infra Ætatem, it would for ever bind him, and therefore it can only be brought when he comes to full Age. F. N. B. 192.

Co.Lit 337. a. If Husband and Wife are both within Age, and they by Indenture F N.B 192 join in a Feoffment, and the Husband dies, the Wife may enter, or have a Dum fuit infra Ætatem.

Co.Lit 337.a. But (d) if she was of full Age, she shall not have a Dum suit infra Æta(d) Quere if tem for the Nonage of her Husband, tho' they be but one Person in fine Mage, and Law.

the Baron of full Age. F. N. B. 192.

Co Lit. 337 a. If two Joint-tenants, being within Age, make a Feoffment, tho' they E. N. B. 192. may join in a Writ of Right, yet they cannot in a Dum fuit infra Æta-tem; for the Nonage of one, is not the Nonage of the other.

Cro. Eliz. 90. If an Infant furrenders a Copyhold Estate, and dies, his Heir may 1 Leon. 95. enter without being put to his Writ of Dum suit infra Ætatem; for surrender Surrender

Surrender was but (a) a Conveyance by Matter in Pais, which cannot (a) That ound an Infant, but that he or his Heirs may enter, or bring Trespass. anales, &c. which are faid to be void ab initio, they may be avoided by Entry, Affife, &c. at any Time. Cro. Car 103. 2 Rol. Abr. 728. 1 Show P. Cafes 153. Carth. 436. - But a Feofiment by an infant with Livery cannot be avoided by Affife without Entry. Bro. Defeifin 63 .- Secus if the Livery car by Letter of Attorney. Bro. Diffeifin 63.

If an Infant make a Leafe for Years, tho' he referve no Rent thereon, Bro. Tit H an Infant make a Leafe for Tears, the he refers no rectangly the special Leafes so he cannot plead Non est satisfies, but must avoid it by pleading the special Cro. Fliz. Matter of his Infancy.

10 Co. 43. 5 Co. 119. 2 Inft. 483. Moor, pl. 132. Poph 178.

So if an Infant enter into an Obligation, which takes Effect by Scal- 1 Salk 279. ing and Delivery, and confequently (b) a deliberate Act, he can only per Treby C. I. avoid it by pleading the special Matter of his Infancy. of Infants

Form, the 'not the Operation of Deeds; fo that Non eft fathum cannot be pleaded thereto, without shewing tome special Matter to make them of no Efficacy. 3 Mod. 310. fer Car-

But in Affinapsit against an Infant, he may give Infancy in Evidence, 2 Lev. 134. and need not plead it; for the Promise of an Infant is absolutely void.

If the Heir within Age assign to the Wise more Land in Dower than P. N. B +48. fhe ought to have, he himself shall have a Writ of Admeasurement of 2 lnst 50. at 20 lnst 50. at 10 Dower at (c) still Age by the Common Law: So if too much be assigned (c) Queer, if in Dower by the Heir within Age, or his Guardian in Chivalry, and not within the Heir dies, his Heir shall have such Writ to 500 for the Am. the Heir dies, his Heir shall have such Writ to rectify the Assignment; Age. but the Heir, in whole Time the Affignment of too much was by the Guardian, cannot have fuch Writ till his full Age, because till then the Interest of the Guardian continues, and if any Wrong be done, it is to

the Guardian himself, and not to the Heir.

If the Heir within Age, before the Guardian enters, affigns too much 2 Inft. 367. in Dower, the Guardian shall have a Writ of Admeasurement of Dower by the Statute of II'. 2. cap. 7. before which Statute the Guardian had no Remedy; because the Writ of Admeasurement being a real Action lay not for the Guardian, who had but a Chattel: Also by the same Statute it is provided, that if the Guardian pursue such Writ faintly, or by Collusion with the Wife, the Heir at full Age shall have a Writ of Admeasurement, and may alledge the faint Pleading or Collusion generally.

#### 3. Of the Confirmation of voidable Acts.

The Privilege the Law allows Infants being intircly calculated for Co Lit. 3. 4. their Benefit; hence at their full Age they are allowed to ratify and 2 Vent. 203. confirm their Contracts, or to rescind and break thro' them, as it shall feem most for their Advantage; and therefore the Purchase of an Infant is only voidable, and vefts the Freehold in him till he difagrees thereto; and his Continuing in Possession after he comes of Age is a tacit Consent

and Confirmation thereof, fince it is to turn to his Advantage.

If an Infant take a Leafe for Years of Land, rendering Rent, which Cro. 74th 320. is in Arrear for several Years, then the Infant comes of Age, and still Godh. 129. continues the Occupation of the Land; this makes the Leafe good and 2 Bull 69. unavoidable, and by Confequence makes him chargeable with all the 731. S. C. Arrears incurred during his Minority; for tho' at full Age he might have adjudged, departed from his Bargain, and thereby have avoided Payment of the between Arrears which the Leffor suffered to incur during his Minority; yet Kettley and his Continuance in Possession after his full Age resisted and affect his Elliet. his Continuance in Possession after his full Age, ratifies and affirms the Yol. III.

Contract ab initio, and so gives Remedy for the Arrears of Rent incurred from the Time of the Contract made.

Talf. 64. Jer Curianz.

But it is faid, that if an Infant possessed of a Term for Years sells it for Money, and after he comes of full Age receives Part of the Money for it, he shall avoid the Grant notwithstanding; for the Contract being void in the Commencement, it cannot be made good by any fubfequent Act.

3 Vern. 132.

Yet it hath been ruled in Chancery, that if an Infant makes an Agreement, and receives Interest under it after he comes of full Age, such Agreement shall be decreed against him.

2 Vern 225. per Curiani.

So if an Infant make an Exchange of Lands, and continues in Posses-

fion after he comes of Age, he shall be bound by it.

2 Vern. 224.

Also where an Infant defired that Lands subject to a Trust for Payment of younger Childrens Portions might not be fold, and offered by his Answer to settle other Lands for raising the Portions, it was held, that he should be bound by the Offer made by him in his Answer, if the other Side were thereby delayed, and if the Infant did not immediately after his coming of Age apply to the Court, in order to retract his Offer, and amend his Answer.

4 Leon. 4.

An Infant made a Lease for Years, and at full Age said to the Lessee, God give you foy of it; this was held by Mead a good Affirmation of the Leafe; for this is a usual Compliment to express one's Assent and Ap-

probation of what is done.

3 Loin. 164. 4 I con. 5. Godb. 158. 1 Leon. 114. N Dyer 272 But Cro. Eliz.

If an Infant enters into an Obligation for Payment of Money, and the Obligee when he comes of Age threatens to fue him, and the Infant being of full Age promises, in Consideration of Forbearance, that he would pay him, this Promife is good, and shall bind him, tho' he might Cro. Eliz. 127. have avoided the Obligation by Plea.

700. S P. cont. by Fenner cont. Clinch, and I Rol. Abr. 18. S. P. cont. & vide Posh, 178. Lat. b 21, Owen 94.

Comb. 381. per Holt at the Sittings in Guild-kall.

Also it is said to have been ruled, where the Desendant under Age borrowed Money of the Plaintiff, and afterward at full Age promifed to pay it him, that this is a good Consideration for the Promise, and

the Defendant shall be charged,

Abr. Eq. 282 3. · ·

Also it is said to have been decreed in Chancery, that if an Infant borrows a Sum of Money, for which he gives a Bond, and devises his Personal Estate (being of sufficient Capacity) for the Payment of his Debts, particularly those he had set his Hand to, this Bond-Debt shall be paid.

# (K) Of the Privileges of Infants in Suits and Actions by and against them: And herein,

1. How far the Courts take Care of the Interests of Infants.

Cro. Jac. 464. TNFANTS have divers judicial Privileges, which Persons of full Age for Houghton have not; as if Judgment be given against an Infant by (a) Default, the Case of after the Default he shall have a Writ of Error, and reverse the Judg-Holford and Platt, which vide, and Hob. 266. 2 Rol Rep. 14, 22. S. C. (a) That this must be understood of an Hereditary Right, in which the Infant shall not lose by Default; but there is a Difference betwixt those Things which concern the Hereditary Right, for which the Parol shall demur, and those Actions which are brought and grounded de fon Tort demesse, as in Waste, Dissessin, or the like; for in

these the Infant shall not be privileged, quia Malitia supplet Atatem. Cro Jac. 467 per Croke Justice.

ment for his Nonage; but if an Infant after Appearance make Default, Judgment shall be given against him.

In an Affife against two, of which one is an Infant, if they make 20 AT 36. Default by which the Affife is awarded, and after the Affife remains for 1 Rol. Alr. Default of Jurors, yet the Infant shall be received to plead afterwards.

In an Affife by an Infant, if the Tenant pleads an Ill Bar, and the 37 AF 5 Infant replies, by which he makes the Bar good, if the Plaintiff had 1 Rol. A'r. been of full Age, yet this shall not make the Bar good against the Infant; but if the Judgment be for the Tenant thereupon, this is Error; for the Court ought to (a) plead for the Infant, for the Tenderness of (a) That in his Age. his Age.

Infant, the Judges ought to be his Counsellors. Cro. Fac. 466.

In Debt against an Infant for Rent Arrear, the Defendant demurred 2 Bulf 69 to the Declaration, and afterwards pleaded to Issue, and the Court held that the Infant may wave his Demurrer in the fame Term, but not in a

If in a Fermedon in Remainder the Tenant pleads Infancy, and that Tev. 163. the Remainder descended to him, and prays his Age, and the Demandant Policy, and that I Sid. 118, pleads that the Remainder did not descend to him, and thereupon Issue 2522.

Amend and is joined, and found for the Demandant 2 final Indoment shall be a is joined, and found for the Demandant, a final Judgment shall be given, Ameet, aunotwithstanding the Infancy of the Tenant; for in all Cases where the judged. Iffue is upon a Dilatory Plea, and tried per Pais, the Judgment is peremptory.

An Infant shall be privileged from Fine and Imprisonment in those 1 Hal. His. An Infant shall be privileged from time and improvement in choice. Cases in which Persons of sull Age shall be thus punished; as if an In-P. C. 20,21. fant in an Assise vouch a Record, and fail at the Day, he shall not be Bridg. 173. imprisoned, altho' the Statute of Westm. 2. cap. 25. that gives Imprison- Cro. Fac 2/4. ment in fuch a Case, is general; so if guilty of a sorcible Entry, tho' he may be fined for the same, yet he cannot be imprisoned; so if an Infant be convict in an Action of Trespass Vi & Armis, the Entry must be Nibil de fine, sed pardonatur quia Infans.

An Infant being Plaintiff or Demandant shall not be amerced; and Co Lit. 127. this is the Reason (b) he shall not find Pledges. 3 Bulf 276.

Palm. 518 1 Rol. Abr. 214, 288. (b) That he shall not find Pledges, Cro. Car. 161. adjudged.

But an Infant Defendant shall be amerced if he pleads with the De- 1 Rsl Abr. mandant, and the Matter is found against him; (c) but he shall be par-  $\frac{2}{c}$ Cro Car 410. doned of Courfe. (c) And the

Entry in such Case is Ideo in misericordia, sed pardonatur quia Insans. S Co. 61. Palm 518 Nill in niferi.ordia quia Infans Cro Car. 410.

But if an Infant bring an Action by his Prochein Amy, and pending Dyer 328. the Action comes of full Age, and makes an Attorney, and after is Not. 11 41. fuit, he shall be amerced.

If an Infant brings an Action of Trespass by Guardian against two 1 R.L. Ab. and the Defendants plead Not guilty, and at the Nisi prius the Plaintiff 214 Methappears in Person, and a Verdict is found for the Plaintiff for Part, and wold and Not guilty for the rest, and one of the Defendants is found Not guilty, Anguish, adapted and Judgment is given for the Plaintiff, for that for which the Verdict is given for him. is given for him, & qued nil capiat per Billam for the reft, fed nikil de misericordia pro talso clamore, &c. quia querens tempore transgressionis pr.sdier' faet' infra Æt item existel at, yet this is good, and no Error.

If a Precipe be brought against an Insant, and pending the rica he comes of More 394. full Age.

If an Infant by his Guardian or Prochein Amy brings an Ejectment Vide Tt. which is found against him, and the Guardian, &c. becomes insolvent, Fiedment, the Infant himself must answer the Costs; Lecause the Rule was entered

into for the Infant's Benefit; and Infants must not disturb the Possession of others by unlawful Entries, without being punished with Costs.

The Interest of Infants is so far regarded and taken Care of in the Court of (a) Chancery, that no Decree shall be made against an Infant 2 Vern. 342. without giving him a Day to shew Cause against it, when he comes of fer Holt C. J in his Argu-Age. An Infant may by his Prochein Amy call his Guardian to an ment of the Cate of Lord Account, even during his Minority. If a Stranger enters, and receives Lalkland and the Profits of an Infant's Estate, he shall in Consideration of this Court be looked upon as a Trustee for the Infant.

(a)That this Court will decree building Leafes for fixty Years of Infants Estates, when it appears to be for their Advantage. 2 Vern. 224. — That Court will not fuffer an Infant to be prejudiced by the Laches of his Trutlees. 2 Vern. 368. — Nor of his Guardian. Preced Chan. 151. — That a Court of Equity may, by the Approbation of an Infant's Relations, allot the Infant Maintenance out of a Trust Estate, the there be no Provision in the Trust for that Purpose; and this is sounded on natural Justice.

2 Vern 236.

If there are several Parties to a Suit in Chancery, and it appears that 1 Vern 295. 2 Vent. 351 any one of the Defendants is an Infant, and any thing is prayed against 2 Vern. 232. him, by the Decree he must have a Day given him to shew Cause; the Words of which Decree are thus; viz. And this Decree is to be binding to the faid J. S. the Infant, unless he shall within six Months after he shall (b) This Pro-attain his Age of twenty-one Years, (being served with (b) Process for that

Purpole,) flow unto this Court good Caufe to the contrary. cels is by way of Sub-

pana, to be ferved on the Defendant at his coming of Age, and it is a judicial Writ, and must be returned in Term-time.

Abr. Eq. 280-1.

If he shews no Cause the Decree is made absolute upon him; but when he comes of Age, and snews Cause within the six Months, he may put in a new Answer, and make a new Defence; for it would be highly unreasonable to conclude him by what his Guardian had done, who perhaps made an improper Defence, or mistook the Nature of his Case; and if the Infant notwithstanding were to be bound thereby, it would be to no Purpose to give him a Day to shew Cause.

Therefore if a Guardian put in an Answer to a Bill in Chancery for Carth. 79. 3 Alod. 259. an Infant on Oath, such Answer shall not conclude the Infant, nor be Show. 89. (c) read in Evidence against him; for the Effect of an Infant's Answer to a Bill in Chancery is to no other Purpose than to make proper Parties, and Petty. (c) If an In- to as to have an Opportunity to take Depositions, and to examine Witfant put in neises, to prove the Matter in Question.

an Answer by Guardian, and there is a Decree against him without any Day given him to shew Cause, such Answer shall not be read or admitted as Evidence against him when he comes of Age; but if a superannuated Desendant puts in an Answer by his Guardian, it shall be read against him at any Time after, for he is supposed to grow worse, and is not to have a Day to shew Cause. Abr. Eq. 281. Leving and Caverley.

2 Vern. 429. Cooke and Parsons deereed. 185 8 C. and S. P.

But it feems that if Lands are devised to be fold for Payment of Debts, the Lands may be decreed to be fold without giving the Heir who is an Infant a Day to shew Cause when he comes of Age; for no-Presed Chain, thing descends to him; but if he is decreed to join in the Sale, he must have a Day after he comes of Age.

#### 2. How they are to appear when they fue or are fued.

Palm. 225, 250 1 Rol. Abr. 287-8.

Regularly an Infant Plaintiff must appear by Prochein Amy or Guardian, but must defend by Guardian; but in neither Case can he appear by Attorney, for an Attorney's appearing for him is without Warrant, for an Infant cannot give him Authority ad perdend' & lucrand', as the Warrant of Attorney purports; and therefore is to appear by Guardian affigned

affigned either by the Court, or by Writ out of Chancery, and such Guardian hath his Warrant from the Court, not from the Infant, and ought to be one of an Estate; for if he misbehaves himself, an Action of Deceit lies against him.

If a Judgment be against an Infant, and the Infant brings a Writ of Co. Ent. 2890 Error to reverse the Judgment, he ought to assign the Error by Guar- Cro. Fac. 250

dian, and not by Attorney.

In Replevin the Defendant being an Infant appeared for two Terms Moor 665by Attorney, and the third Term by Guardian, and for this Cause the Palm. 2290 Judgment was reversed: But an Infant may appear by Guardian, and when he comes of full Age he may make an Attorney in the same Suit, and this shall not be Error.

If in a Writ of Right the Demandant sues by Prochein Amy, and Issue Cro. Fac. 5850 is joined upon the Plea of Non-tenure, and before Trial the Demandant Comes of sull Age, tho' he was well admitted to sue by Prochein Amy, and March. The sulficial vet now he ought to appear by Attorney: But the Tenant not having S. C. and taken Exceptions to the Trial, but admitted him to be of sull Age, when there this could afterwards be assigned for Error dubitatur.

judged per totam Curiam that it could not be assigned for Error, and therefore the first Judgment was affirmed. — And now by the 21 Fac. 1. cap. 13. it is Enacted, That after Verdict given in any Court of Record, Judgment shall not be stayed or reverted by reason the Plaintiff in Ejectment, or other Personal Action, being under Age did appear by Attorney, and the Verdict pass for him.

In an Ejectment against an Infant the Desendant cannot appear by Cro. Fac. 640. Prochein Amy; for a Guardian and Prochein Amy are distinct, and the Paim. 295. 1 Rol. Rep. Suit by Prochein Amy was not before the Statute of Westm. 1. cap. 47. 257. and Westm. 2. cap. 15. and is given in Case of Necessity, (a) where an Simpsen and Infant is to sue his Guardian, or is essoigned, or that the Guardian will Fackson. not sue for him; adjudged by three Judges against one, upon a Writ of judged. Error upon a Judgment given in Durbam, and the first Judgment reversed Hutton 92. S. C. eited. F. N. B. 27.

S. P. Styl. 369. S. P. (a) But for the Profits received after fourteen the Infant was admitted by Guardian to fue an Account against his Guardian in Socage; for he must charge him as Bailiff. Cro. Jac. 219.

But in all Cases where an Infant is Plaintiff, unless in these special Palm. 296. Cases, the Suit shall be by Guardian, and not by Prochein Amy.

per Dod.—
But 2 Inst.
390. it is said, whether the Infant be essented or no, he may sue by Prochein Amy; but perhaps in all Cases where he is Plaintiff, except these, he may sue by Guardian or Prochein Amy; and for this vide F. N. B. 27. 2 Inst. 261, 390. Co. Lis. 135. b. Cro. Car. 86. Hutt. 92. 1 Jones 177. Hetl. 52. Lis. Rep. 60.
Cro. Fac. 161, 641. Bridg. 74.

The respective Courts in which the Suit is commenced must (b) assign Styl. 369.

a proper Guardian to the Infant; and therefore if an Infant is sued, the Bridge 74.

1 Rol. Rep.

303.

(b) That the

Course hath been to allow some of the Officers of the Court, who, by reason of their Skill, make the best Guardians, and Prochein Amys, for the Advantage of the Infants. 2 Infl. 261. — That the Court of Chancery may assign one of the Six Clerks to be Guardian to an Infant. 2 Chan. Ca. 163. — But if there be a Guardian appointed by the Father, or ex provisione Legis, as Guardian in Socage, who acts accordingly, he only shall be admitted to sue for the Infant, unless he hath misdemeaned himself. 1 Std. 424 — That the Court may discharge one Guardian, and appoint another. Styl. 456. — Where in the Common Pleas a Record of Admittance is made, but in the King's Bench it is only recited in the Court, J S per A. B. Guardianum saum ad how per Cur. specialiter admission queritur, &c. 3 Co. 53. b. and wide 1 Sid. 173, 342 Cro. Eliz. 158. 2 Infl. 261. 3 Mod. 236. 1 Lev. 224. — The Appearance must be entered in the Name of the Infant, silicet, preditt' Katherina per J S Guardian' venit, &c dicit, quod ipsa, &c. not ipse, &c. 3 Mod. 230. — If the Guardian for the Desendant is admitted ad Prosequend's, this is erroneous. Cro. Jac. 641. Palm. 296. — But an Admission quod Sequatur is good in a Common Recovery. 1 Sid. 446. 1 Mod. 48. 2 Sand. 95. — The Infant cannot revoke the Authority of the Guardian. Palm. 252, &c vide 1 Salk. 176. — A Guardian ordered to acknowledge Satisfaction for so much as he received upon a Judgment. Moor 852.

I Rol. Abr.

An Appeal of Death by an Infant must be profecuted by Guardian; yet if the Infant comes into Court, and fays that he will relinquish it, and yet the Guardian will profecute it, the Court may in Difcretion difcharge fuch Guardian, and affign another; for it is not reasonable that an Infant be bound to continue a Suit against his Will, which demands nothing but Revenge, and will be chargeable to him.

26 41. 40. 1 Rel Abr. 288. S C.

In an Action against Baron and Feme, the Feme being within Age, the Feme ought to appear by Guardian.

1 Rol. Abr. 288. Palm. 224, and Lee. Husband dian made for his Wife. 1 Vent. 185.

If a Common Recovery be fuffered, and the Baron and Feme, in Bridg.74,228. Right of the Feme, (the Feme being within Age) are vouched, and 244, 250, or they appear by Attorney, and vouch over, and fo a Common Recovery Cro. Eliz. 379. is had, this is Error; for tho' the Baron is of full Age, yet the Feme S. C. Holland being within Age, she ought to have appeared by (a) Guardian; for the and Lee.
(a) That the Husband cannot make an Attorney for his Wife in a Matter that concerns her Inheritance, for then he might defeat her of her Inheritance, cannot difa- especially in a Common Recovery, which is now but a Common Assuvow a Guar- rance, and their coming in as Vouchees makes it the stronger; for the by the Court for his Wife. Vouchee loses all the Right to the Land, and gives Recompence to the for his Wife.

1 Vent. 185. Freeman and Boddington, adjudged.

If in an Assumplit (b) against Baron and Feme, the Feme, being within Age, appears by Attorney, and thereupon Judgment is given against them, this is Error.

2 Lev. 28.

S C 2 Keb. S78. S C because the Appearance was fer Atturnatum of the Husband only, and there faid, tho' in a real Action, the Wife must appear by Guardian; yet perhaps it may be otherwise in a personal Action, for the Damages will survive (b) But it hey bring an Action, they may sue by Attorney, and the Baron shall name an Attorney for both. 2 Sand. 213. per Cur. am arguendo.

i Rol. Abr. 287.8. Poph. 130. Cro. Fac. 420. 1 Rol. Rep. 380. S C.

If an Action of Debt be brought against an Infant Executor, he cannot appear by Attorney, but ought to appear by Guardian, else it is Error, because otherwise he might be at great Prejudice; for Assets may be found in his Hands, and so Judgment shall be given to recover the Debt, Damages, and Costs against him de Bonis Testatoris, si, &c. si non, the Damages and Costs de Benis propriis, (as it was done in this Case,) and perhaps the Infant had a Release or Acquittance to plead, and so he shall be charged de Bonis propriis by his ill Pleading, without any Remedy against the Attorney; but if a Guardian mispleads, and lofes thereby, an (c) Action lies against him, and therefore his being Executor cannot make him as a Man of full Age.

(c) That an Action in fuch Cafe lies against a

Guardian. Palm. 229. 2 Leon. 59. Cro. Jac. 641. 1 Mod. 49. - But no Action lies against a Prochein Amy; for if he loses in such Action, he is not concluded thereby, but may resort to his Action of a higher Nature. Palm. 296. per Dod'.

But if an Infant (d) Executor brings an Action as Executor by Attor-Poph. 130. Cro fac. 441 ney, and hath Judgment to recover, this is not erroneous, because for S. C. and his Panest his Benefit. there faid,

there is a Difference where an Infant Evecutor is Plaintiff, and where Defendant, and being Plaintiff, where he recovers or not; for if Judgment is given against him where he is Plaintiff, it seems all one as if he were Defendant (d) That if an Infant Administrator appears by Attorney it is Error, the Judgment be given for him 3 Bulf. 180. but 1 Rol. Abr. 288. cont. & vide 1 Vent. 103. Cro. Eliz. 541. 2 Sand. 213. 1 Mod. 47, 298.

If an Infant and Man of full Age are made Executors, they may Countes of (e) bring an Action as Executors, and the Infant may fue by Attorney Case, adjudged, in which the Executors recovered in the Action. Cro. Eliz. 278. S. C. 2 Sand. 212, 213. S. P. adjudged per Cur. cont. Twifden; for he that is of full Age may make an Attorney for him that is within Age. 1 Mod. 47, 72, 296. adjudged by three Judges against Twisden. 1 Vent. 102. adjudged. 1 Sid. 449. adjudged. (e) But if an Action is brought against them, he that is under Age must appear by Guardian. Styl. 318. adjudged; & vide 3 Med. 236. fuid to be agreed.

without

without making any Proches Amy, (a) because he sues in the Right of (a) This is the Testator, and not in his own Right. on another

upon Necessity; for it is ab'olutely necessary that all who are appointed Executors by the Will should be made Parties to the Action, and where there are feveral Executors, the Act of one shall conclude his Companion, and therefore the general Appearance per Attornatum is good for all of them. Carth. 124. per Holt C. J

In Replevin in C. B. against A. B. and C. they all per J. S. Attornat' Cartin 122 made Conusance as Bailiss to J. N. and at the Trial the Plaintiss was Coan versus nonfuit, and the Defendants had Judgment upon a Writ of Error in judged. B. R. It was affigned for Error, that A. one of the Defendants, was an i Show. 13, Infant, and yet had appeared and pleaded by Attorney; but notwith- 165. S. C. standing the Judgment was unanimously affirmed, the for different Reasistance of the Judges held, that it ought to be affirmed because the judged, be-Defendants are in Auter Droit, and they all make but as one Bailiff, and cause the that the Difability of the Servant shall not prejudice his Master, and Plaintiff they agreed that the Case of Executors is the same in Reason with the might have present Case; they agreed likewise, that there is a Difference where in Abatethe Infant is Plaintiff, and where he is Defendant, and that an Avowant ment. is in Nature of a Plaintiff, and so are the Bailiffs who make Conusance: 4 Mod. 7. But Holt C. J. differed; he held, that this Appearance of the Infant was S. C. but not irregular, for he ought to plead per Guardianum, and the joining the the S. P. other Defendants with him fignified nothing, fo as to charge the Infant, for if the Judgment pass against him it shall be for the Damages de Bonis propriis, and he shall be amerced; therefore where he is joined, or where he is single, there is no manner of Difference in Reason, for in both Cases the Loss is the same, if Judgment is against him; but he agreed that in this Case the Judgment should be affirmed, (b) because the (b) But one Plaintiff did not take Advantage of the Infancy in Time, according to of the Judges the general Rule which he laid down, viz. that a Man shall never affign nion, that that for Error which he might have pleaded in Abatement; for it shall this Matter be accounted his Folly to neglect the Time of taking that Exception.

affigned for

Error, the' it was pleadable in Abatement of the Conusance. Carth. 123.

The Testator had obtained a Judgment, and made a Person of full 1 Lev. 181. Age and two Infants Executors; he of full Age proved the Will, and Hatton and he alone brought a Scire Facias, fetting forth the Truth of the Cafe, and Mafeal, adhad Judgment, whereon Error was brought, and affigned that all ought the Excheto have (c) joined in the Scire Facias; but by all the Justices, on Advice quer Chamwith the Civilians, it was ruled not to be Error; for the others cannot ber on a prove the Will during their Nonage; and the Judgment was affirmed; write for the Execution of the Judgment shall not be delayed till the Infants B. R. & vide come of full Age.

Raym. 198.

(1) Et vide Yelv. 130. where it is held, that an Infant cannot be summoned and severed.

The Plaintiff being an Infant had fued by his Guardian, but the Carth, 256. Entry on the Roll was no more but per T. S. Guardianum fuum, omitting the Clause ad hoe per Curiam specialiter admiss. as the common Course is, and as it was alledged at ought to be; but per Curiam the Entry is fufficient; for, in Fact, if the Guardian was not admitted by the Court, a Writ of Error lies.

An Infant cannot bring a Bill in Chancery but by his Prochein Amy, and such Prochein Amy must take Care of it; for if the Bill is dismissed, he must (d) pay the Costs thereof.

(d) And therefore

any Person may bring a Bill as Prochein Amy to an Infant without his Confent, because it is at his Peril that he brings it, to be antwerable for the Event. Abr. Eq. 72. Andrews and Cradock.

Abr. Eq. 260. And it is faid, that in Chancery a Guardian cannot be otherwise ap-Lloyd and pointed than by (a) bringing the Infant into Court, or his praying a (a) That the Commission to have a Guardian assigned him.

not appoint a Guardian unless the Heir be in Person before them, 2 Leon. 189.— A Guardian is always admitted before a Judge, and that Admission carried to the Clerk of the Rules, who enters the Rule; but the Court usually examines a Prochein Amy, per Sir Sam. Astry, Comb. 331.—Tho' the Court seldom admits a Guardian unless the Infant be there in Person, yet they may do it. Comb. 330. per Holt C. J.— That the Court will admit one to sue by Guardian upon a Motion, tho' not present, nor any Assidavit made. Comb. 256.

Where a Bill is brought against an Infant (if in Town) he must appear in Court, and have a Guardian assigned him, by whom he may defend the Suit; if in the Country, he sues our a Commission to assign a Guardian, and put in his Answer; and whether he pleads, answers, or demurs, still it must be done by his Guardian; for if it is the Plea, Answer, or Demurrer of the Infant, without doing it by the Guardian, it will be irregular.

But when the Infant neglects to appear, or to have a Guardian affigned, it is a Motion of Course (he being in Contempt to an Attachment) to pray for a Messenger to bring him into Court, and when he is there, the Court always assigns him a Guardian: But it is doubted whether this can be done against a Peer of the Realm who is an Infant,

and whose Person is sacred.

# (L) Of the Privilege of Infancy as to the Parol's demurring: And herein,

### 1. In what Actions wall the Parol demur.

3 Bulf. 143. 1 Rol. Rep. 325.

THE Parol's Demurring until the full Age of the Infant is a dilatory Plea, or temporary Bar, and peculiar only to the Feudal Law; for in the Civil Law, the Guardian was Party to the Suit instead of the Infant; and if there was Mala Fides in his Defence, he was to answer it to the Infant; but the Wardship in the Feudal Law was of another Nature, for the Guardian has the whole Profits in the Estate, and also the Marriage of the Infant, which was to breed him up to Arms, and to marry him to such Person as they thought might continue the Martial Strain, that so the Ward might subserve the original Design of the Tenure.

6 Co. 3. b. Markal's Case.

Hence it was that the Guardian was not trusted with the Action, nor could the Infant, by reason of his Imbecility and Want of Understanding, be admitted to prosecute or defend; but this Establishment was confined to such Cases where the Right of the Inheritance was in Demand, and was not allowed to Actions touching the Possession; and the Reason was from a Necessity; for if the Infant was not allowed to defend his Possession, an Infant would be stript of all he had, during Minority; and so of Injuries done by an Infant the Parol shall not demur, because then a general License would be given for Infants to commit Injuries; and therefore the Prosecution of these Actions are committed to the next Friend, and the Desence of the Actions against an Infant to a special Guardian assigned by the Court.

6 Co. 3. b. Lyer 133. And therefore in all Cases where a naked Right in Fee descends from any Ancestor to an Infant, there in every Action Ancestoral brought by the Heir within Age, the Parol shall demur; for the Law in this Case

Jaages

judges it less prejudicial that the Infant should be delayed of his Right, than that he should run the Hazard of losing it for ever, which he might be in Danger of by his Want of Knowledge in fetting forth his Title as he ought to do.

Hence if an Infant brings a Writ of Right as Heir to his Ancestor, 1 Rol. Abr. and lays the Esplees in his Ancestor, the Tenant may pray that the 137-

So in a Formedon in Reverter the Parol shall demur, because he claims 6 Co. 3. b. as Heir in Fee-fimple to the Reversion, and must lay the Esplees in the

So in all Cases on the Fee, as if an (a) Action of Debt on the Obli- 2 Inft. 89. gation of the Ancestor be brought against the Heir, there the Parol shall Meer 74. demur, because that lays a Burden on the Fee, which by Law is to be 11.39.

preserved intire until the Infant come of Age.

Dyer 239.

1 dod. 10.

(a) In a Witt of Debt against an Heir he shall have his Age, because at his full Age he may discharge himself by faying he hath Riens per D. Scent. 1 Rol. Abr. 140. - If an Ancestor dies indebted by Bond, in which the Heir is expreil; bound, and leaves no Perfonal Affets, and the Lands defeend on an Infant Heir, whether Equity will during the Minority of the Heir decree Satisfaction, is made a Quare, 1 Vern. 173, and there fail, that Infants may be fued in Equity, and that there is no Precedent that the Parol should demur; and in 1 Vern. 428, it is fail by the Master of the Rolls, that he thought such a Decree reasonable; but the Reporter adds a Dubitatur to it.

But regularly in all real Actions brought by an Infant of his own 6 Co. 3 b. Possession the Parol shall not demur; for the granting that the Parol Cro. Fac. 467. shall demur is a Law introduced, not for the Delay or Prejudice of the Infant, but for his Advantage.

Therefore in Assistes of Novel Diffeisin and Mort d'Ancestor, the Infant 6 Co. 4. b. has not his Age, because these Actions are brought of his own Seisin, or

his Ancestor's dying seised, which may be prosecuted during Minority.

So if in Affise the Infant pleads a flat Bar, and the Bar is found 48 E.3.33.64 against him, yet the Assise shall be taken at large; because the Law not 1 Rol. Abr. allowing the Parol to demur in this Action, which is festinum Remedium, 140. they inquire of the Seisin and Diffeisin, that the Infant's whole Title 8 Co. 50. 4. may be before the Court, and he not to fuffer by his Pleading. 6 Co. 4. b.

In a Writ of Annuity against an Heir he shall have his Age, because I Rol. Abr.

he may discharge himself by saying he hath nothing by Descent.

So if a Man fues Execution upon a Statute Merchant against an Heir within Age, and outs him thereby, (b) an Affise lies for the Heir, for Co. Lit. 290, 1 Rol. Abr. he shall have his Age.

(b) For the Extent is void, which is made upon the Possession of the Infant. Hetl 54.

So if a Man fues Execution upon a Recognizance against an Heir 3 Co. 13. within Age, he shall have his Age, tho' he be charged partly as Ter- Co Lit. 290, 2 Inft. Sy. tenant. 1 Rol. Abr. 140.

So upon a Recognizance in Nature of a Statute Staple on the 23 H. S. Bro. Statute the Infant shall have his Age; for the Statute in this Particular is founded Merchant 33. on the Reason, and follows the Course of the Common Law; and this Adoor, pl. 299 a. Privilege of Infancy does not only protect the Infant, but (c) all others Dyer 239. a. who are affected by the Judgment; as if there be Father and two Co. Ent. 12. Daughters, and the Father dies, one of the Daughters being within Age, (c) As if the Partition being made, the eldest shall not be charged alone, but shall Statute Merhave the Benefit of her Sifter's Minority, which puts a Stop to the chant die, Execution.

and his Heir

endow his Mother, the Land in Dower shall not be extended during the Minority of the Heir. Co. Lit. 290. - But the' upon a Judgment in Debt, or upon a Statute or Recognizance there can be no Proceeding against an Infant at Common Law during his Minority, yet it is said there may be in Chancery. 2 Chan Ca. 164. & vide 1 Lev. 197.8.

So if a Man recovers in an Action of Debt against the Father, who x Rol. Abr. dies, in a Scire Facias against the Heir, upon this Judgment he shall Co. Lit. 290. have his Age.

\* Rol. Abr. 140. Co. Lit. 290.

In a Scire Facias against a Tertenant to have Execution of Damages recovered against 7. S. if the Tertenant be within Age, and in by Descent, he shall have his Age.

In a Writ of Customs and (a) Services, which is a Writ of Right in I Rol Abr. its Nature, and in which Judgment final shall be given, an Infant in Ly 139, 141. 9 Co. 85. a. Descent shall have his Age. (a) Yet he

may be distrained for Rent during his Minority. 9 Co 95. a.

In a Ceffavit by (b) Descent, tho' it be of his own Cessor, the Infant Co. Lit. 380, shall have his Age, because he cannot tell what Arrears there accrued a i Rol. Abr. and if he does not make a true Tender, he loses the whole for ever. 138.

(b) But if it be a Purchase it seems otherwise, because that is not an antient Inheritance of the Family, for which he was to be in Ward; for which vide Plow. 364. b. 6 Co. 4. b. 2 Inft. 401. Raym 118. 3 Med. 222.

I Rol. Abr. In (c) a Writ of Dower the Parol shall not demur for Favour of 137. Dower; for the Wife must be subsisted. 3 Bulf. 141.

1 Rol. Rep 323. Cro. Fac. 393. 2 Brownl. 118. (c) So if a Woman brings a Quod ei deforceat upon a Recovery had of Land which the claimed to hold in Dower, the Parol thall not demur, because it is of the Nature of a Writ of Dower. 1 Rol. Abr. 137. 3 Bull. 135, 138. 1 Rol. Rep. 251. — But if Tenant in Dower be disseised, and the Disseisor dies seised, his Heir shall have his Age against the Feme. 1 Rol. Abr. 137. 3 Bulf. 142.

Cro. Fac. 111. Cro Eliz. 309, 638. 2 Leon. 59.

Cro. Fac. 392. Herbert and Binien.

So in Dower against an Infant, who makes Default upon the Grand Cape returned, it was held, that Judgment shall be given upon the De-2 Brownliis. for the Infant shall not have his Age in Dower, which being but for Life, she may be totally defeated thereof by his frequent Defaults.

But in Error to reverse a Fine levied by the Plaintiff and her Husband, Moor, pl 1148. the Heir is fummoned as Tertenant, and appears, and pleads that he is within Age, and prays that the Parol may demur; Plaintiff counterpleads the Age, shewing that she was intitled to have Dower before the Fine levied, and now is barred of her Dower by this Fine, which is erroneous, and fets forth the Errors, and feeks to be restored to her Writ of Dower: But upon Demurrer and folemn Argument it was adjudged, that the Parol shall demur, and that she shall not have the Advantage to take from him his Age, having by the Fine, fo long as it stands in Force, barred herself of her Dower; and therefore the Law shall rather favour the Infant, whose Privilege is immediate, than hers, which is but mediate after the Fine reversed: But in Moor it is said, if he had not been Tertenant, he should not have had his Age in this Writ of Error; the Reason seems, because then she could not have recovered her Dower against him, and then it is not reasonable his Nonage should frand in the Way to hinder her from recovering her Dower against another.

In an Attaint against the Heir of the (d) Feosfee, the Parol shall not 1 Rol Alr. demur for the Nonage of the Defendant, for the Mischief of the Death (d) The same of the Fetit Jury, before his full Age.

Attaint against Tenant in Dower within Age, who was the Wife of the Recoverer, and is endowed of his Possession, : Rol. Abr. 738 9.

I Rol. Air. In a Quare Impedit the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the 138. Patron Defendant, because the Lapse may incur during his Nonage. 3 Bulf. 131, 142.

I Rol. Aor. 13S. 1 Rol. Rep. 824.

So if the King prefents, in Right of the Heir in Ward, to a Church of which another is Patron, of the Grant of the Father of the Ward, with Warranty of the Land to which this is appendant, who left Affets to the Ward, and the Patron fues by Petition to the King to repeal his

Pre-

Presentation, shewing the Matter, the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the Ward for the Mischief of the Lapse, and this Suit is in the Nature of a Quare Impedit.

In a Writ of Eftrepement against an Infant he shall not have his Age, Dyer 104, because this Action is in Nature of a Trespass, and this is done by him- pl 13, felf. 328,

In a Writ of Partition between (a) Copareeners, Age does not lie for 6 Co 4 b. the Defendant, for nothing is demanded but a Partition.

Law of Joint-tenants and Tenants in common. Heb. 179. adjudged.

In a (b) Per quæ Servitia the Defendant shall not have his Age, but 9 Co 85. Shall be compelled to atturn, for he is not prejudiced in the Inheritance Co. Let 3150 by the Atturnment; for when he comes of sull Age he may disclaim to 2 Brown 1. 840 hold of him, or say that he held by less Service, notwithstanding this 138. Atturnment.

(b) The same Law in a Quid Juris clamat against an Infant. Co. Lit. 315. 1 Rol. Abr. 138.

In a Per que Servitia if the Tenant fays the Conusor is dead, his i Rol Alr. Heir within Age, the Parol shall not demur for his Nonage, tho' it may 138. be the Conusor was Tenant in Tail; for, it seems, the Heir, if he was of full Age, could not come to plead this, but the Tenant may plead it, if it be true.

In a Quid Juris clamat by him in Reversion, against Tenant in Dower, 1 Rol. Abrathe Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the Demandant; for be he 138. of full Age, or within Age, he ought to warrant the Land to the Tenant in Dower, because of the Reversion, by Force of an Act in Law.

But if an Infant in Reversion brings a Quid Juris elamat against Te- 1 Rol. Abr. nant for Life, the Parol ought to demur; (c) for he hath a Warranty 138. against his Lessor by special Deed, to which the Plaintiff who is within (c) So where the Tenant for Life hath

a Privilege not to be impeached of Waste, &c. Co. Lit. 320 a. 3 Euls. 137-9 Co. S5. 1 Rol. Rep. 323.

In a Writ of Messie the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the 6 Co. 3. b. Demandant, because it is brought for the Wrong and Damage done to 9 Co. 85. 1 Rol. Abr. the Demandant himself.

In a Contributione facienda by one Coparcener against another, the 1 Rol. Abr. Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the Tenant, tho' he says that 139. his Ancestor died seised, and held sine Contributione facienda.

In a Scire Facias (d) against the Heir of him against whom the Reco- 1 Rol. Abr. very was had, if the Heir be in by Descent from another Ancestor, than he against whom the Recovery was had, he shall have his Age.

139.
(d) But in a Scire Facias

brought by an Infant the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the Demandant. 1 Rol. Abr. 139. — But where it shall demur in a Scire Fa. to execute a Remainder limited to the Ancestor, vide Moor 16. pl. 59, 35. pl. 114. 1 And. 24. Dalf. 37. Kelw. 204. N. Bendl. 121. pl. 152.

If a Man brings a (e) Writ of Error against the Heir of him that 1 Rd. Abreecovered, being within Age, and in by Descent in the Land, the Parol 139-Euc where shall not demur for his Nonage, tho' perhaps he hath a Release, or other Age shall be matter to bar the Plaintiff, which he hath not Knowledge to plead writ of Error, and

where not, vide 3 Eulf. 138, 142. 1 Rol. Rev. 323. Cro. Jac. 392. Moor 847. pl. 1148. (e) Age lies not in a Writ of Deceit, per 3 Bulf 135. 1 Rol. Rep. 251. because the Summoners and Pernors may die. Cro. Jac. 392.

In a Petition to the King in the Nature of a Formedon in Remainder, Tyer 136.

the Parol shall demur for the Nonage of the Petitioner.

Meer 35.

Kelw. 205.

In an Appeal of Murder the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the Plaintist, 2 Inst. 320 said to have been adjudged and approved by continual Experience of late Time; and the Reason of Failure of Battel is of no Force; for a Man of seventy may have an Appeal, and the Defendant shall be ousted of Battel.

At Common Law if a Man had been disselfed, and the Disselfee or Disselfeifor had died, the Heir within Age, in a Writ of Entry fur Disselfeifor, brought by the Heir of the Disselfeifee, or against the Heir of the Disselfeifor, being within Age, the Parol should have demurred till the full Age of the Heir respectively.

2 Inst 257. So notwithstanding the Disseisor had died (a) pending a Writ of Novel

(a) But by Diffeisin against him.

Law, if the Grandfather had been disselfed, and brought an Assis, and died pending the Writ, and after the Father had brought a Writ of Entry fur Disselfen, and pending this Writ the Father had died, if the Son had immediately brought a Writ of Entry, the Parol should not have demurred for his Nonage. 6 Co 4 b.

2 Inft. 291. At Common Law in a Mordancestor, Aiel, Besaiel, or Cosinage, if the Tenant had pleaded a Feossiment or Release from a collateral Ancestor, with Warranty, in Bar, &c. the Parol should have demurred.

By the (b) Statute of Westm. 1. cap. 47. it is Enacted, 'That if one (c) So tho' he dies beforePurchase' heir or Heirs, of what Age soever; (g) so if the Disseise die before of the Writ; he hath purchased, his Heir or Heirs shall have, &c. so that for the sonly to shew the Mischief of this particular Case, Case of Prelates, &c. where there can be no Descent, &c.'

whereas the Body of the Ast is general. 2 Inft. 257. (d) This extends only to a Writ in the Per, and not in the Pest; so that if the Heir of the Dissertion makes a Foossment in Fee, and the Foosse dies, his Heir within Age, in a Writ of Entry against him he shall have his Age. 2 Inst. 257. — So it extends not to the Vouchee or Prayee in Aid. 2 Inst. 257. 2 Leon. 148. — If the Heir of the Dissertion takes Husband, and has Issue within Age, and dies, and the Dissertion in Foosse Writ of Entry against the Tenant by the Curtesy, and he prays in Aid of the Heir within Age, he shall have his Age; for this is a Writ of Entry in the Post, being against Tenant by Curtesy. 2 Inst. 257. (e) This extends to the Heir of the Heir; so that in this special Case a Writ of Entry in the Per and Cui is within the Ast 2 Inst. 257.8. (f) Special Heir, as in Gavelkind, Borough English, &c. within this Ast. 2 Inst. 258. (g) By this Clause express Provision is made in Case the Dissertion Suit regularly is within a Year and Day after his Death, within which Time continual Claim is to be made. 2 Inst. 258.

By the Statute of (i) Glocester, cap. 2. Where an Infant is held from his Inheritance after the Death of his (k) Father, Cousin, Grandsaboly for Example; for it extends to Mother, Bro-

ther, Sister, Uncle, &c. after the Death of any of which a Mordantessor lies. 2 Inst. 291 — But this Act extends not to Actions Ancestorel Droiturel, but giving the Insant a Trial during his Minority, it gave it in such Actions as he might not be foreclosed of his Right; but at his sull Age might have Recourse to a Writ of a higher Nature, and therefore it extends not to any Formedon, Dum non compos, Insta Atatem, Sur cui in vita, &c. 2 Inst. 291. yet vide Bro. Age, 5.

#### 2. Where the Parol Hall demur without any Plea pleaded?

The general Rule herein is, that where a naked Right in Fee descends, of which the Ancestor was once in Possession, there in an Action Ancestorel brought by the Infant the Parol shall demur without Plea; but the Parol shall not demur without Plea where the Ancestor died seised, or where the Action is brought of the Seisin or Possession of the Infant.

Therefore in a Writ of Right, as Heir to his Ancestor, (a) the Parol 6 Co 3: b. shall demur without any Plea, because this is an Action Ancestorel And this is not altered Droiturel, and he lays the Efplees in his Ancestor. tute of Gio-

by the Sta-

cester, cap. 2. 2 Inst. 291 (a) Tho' the Battel may be deraigned by Champions. Dier 137. pl. 24.

So in a Formedon in Reverter the Parol shall demur without Ilea, I.e. 1 Rd. Abr. cause he claims as Heir in Fee-simple to the Reversion, and not per 137. Formant Doni, and therefore the Right of the Fee would be bound.

But on a Formedon in Descender and Remainder the Parol shall not 1 Rd dir. demur without Plea, (b) because Voluntas Donatoris in Charta sua mani
feste expressa de extero observetur, and being sounded on what is exactly 2 lnst. 291

expressed in the Deeds, tho' it be a Droiturel Action, yet it may be pro- (b) Because fecuted during Minority; but if the Tenant plead in Bar a Warranty this is a Write Action. and Assets, there the Parol shall demur, because that concerns also all of Possessions. the other Inheritance of the Infant.

In a Sur cui in Vita the Parol shall demur for the Nonage of the De- 1 Rol. Abr. mandant, without any Plea pleaded. 137.

In a Writ of Warrantia Chart.e brought by an Infant the Parol 1 Rol. Abr. (c) shall not demur for his Nonage, tho' the Warranty was made to his 137. Ancestor. if the De-

fendant denies the Deed. 1 Rol. Alr. 141.

In Replevin against an Infant if he avows upon the Plaintiff, and 1 Rel. Abr. the Plaintiff shews forth the Release of the Father of the Infant to hold 140. by less Services, yet the Parol shall not demur.

In Trespass Vi & Armis against an Infant who justifies, for a Rent aut 1 Rol. Abr. bujusmidi, as Heir to his Father, if the other shews forth a Deed made 140. by the Ancestor in Discharge, yet the Parol shall not demur, but he ought to answer to the Deed immediately.

In a Writ of (d) Right of Ward the Parol shall not demur for the 1 Rol. Abr. Nonage of the Demandant, tho' this be a Writ of Right. 137. 2 Inft. 112.

(d) So in Escheat, Cessavit, Droit sur Disclain er brought by an Infant, because he hath the Suignory in Possession, in respect of which he claims, and no Right to the Land was ever in the Ancestor. 6 Co. 3. b. Dyer 137. #1. 25.

If an Infant aliens within Age, and dies within Age, and his Heir Dyer 104. brings a (e) Dum fuit infra Ætatem, the Tenant may pray that the Parol M. 10. may demur, and yet the Action did not descend, but the Right only; not altered for the Father could not have this Action, because he died within Age, by the Safaid in (f) Markal's Case; and seems to be intended without Plea tute of Glopleaded. 2 Irft. 291.

(e) So in a Dum non fuit compos Mentis. 6 Co. 4. (f) 6 Co. 4. b.

If in a Scire Facias to execute a Fine, by which a Remainder was I And 24. limited to the Grandmother of the Plaintiff, whose Heir, &c. the Descande fendant prays the Parol may demur, yet he shall answer over, because Bray, adhe does not plead the Deed of his Ancestor. judged. Dalf 37. S.C.

adjudged; the rather, because no Freehold is demanded by the Writ, but an Execution of the Fino only. Kelw. 204. S. C. adjudged, upon the Reason in Dalf. Moor 35. pl. 114. S. C. adjudged. Moor 16. pl 59. seems to be the same Case adjudged, tho' the particular Estate was determined in the Life of the Demandant's Father; and said, if the Desendant had pleaded the Deed of the Ancestor, &c. is fhould have demurred. N. Bendl. 121. S. C. adjudged. 6 Co 3. a. S. C. cired. Pyer 138 pl. 27. lika Point cited.

141.

#### 3. Apon what Plea pleaded hall the Parol demur.

6 Co. 3 b. 1 Rol. Abr. In an Action of the Possession of the Infant himself, the Parol shall not demur upon any Plea pleaded.

141. 6 Co. 3. b.

As in a Writ of Entry of a Diffeifin done to himfelf, brought by an ı Rel. Air. Infant, if the Tenant pleads the Feoffment of the Father of the Demandant, with Warranty to him, yet the Parol shall not demur, because this is brought of his own Possession.

So in an Affife the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the De-2 Inst 411. mandant, tho' the Deed of his Ancestor be pleaded in Bar, because this S (v. 50. 6 Co. 4. b. is brought of his own Possession, and the Circumstances shall be inquired

1 Rol. Abr. In a Termedon in Descender if the Tenant pleads the Feoffment of the 141. Ancestor of the Demandant, with Warranty and (a) Assets, and the (a) The same Demandant (1) denies the Deed, the Parol shall demur for the Nonage Law, if a of the Demandant. collateral

Warranty be pleaded in Bar of this Action. 1 Rol. Abr. 141. (b) But whether without denying the Deed the Parol shall demur, quare; & vide 1 Rol. Abr. 141.

1 Rol. Abr. In a Quare Impedit if a Feoffment of an Acre to which an Advowson 141. is appendant, with Warranty of the Ancestor of the Defendant, is pleaded, with Assets from the same Ancestor, tho' the Desendant within Age, yet the Parol shall not demur for the Mischief of the Lapse incurring in the mean time.

In Action Real if the Tenant pleads in Bar the Feoffment of the An-1 Rol. Abr. cestor of the Demandant, with Warranty to J. S. and his Assigns, whose 142. Affignee he is, and fays, that Affets descended to the Plaintiff; to which the Demandant fays, nothing descended; in this Case the Parol shall demur, because the' the Feoffment and Warranty is not in Question, but only the Affets, which the Infant may well try, yet if he takes this Iffue, the Deed of the Ancestor shall be held to be confessed by him.

So for the same Reason if in a Formedon in Descender the Tenant pleads a Feoffment by the Ancestor of the Demandant to A and B. the Father and Mother of the Tenant, and to the Heirs of the Father with Warranty, and that they are dead, and avers that Affets are descended to the Demandant within Age, tho' the Demandant says, that B the Mother of the Tenant, is yet living.

In an (c) Affise (d) against an Infant if the Issue be, whether the Te-1 Rol. Abr. nant be a Haftard or Mulier, which is to be tried by the Bishop, by 142. ('c) But which his Blood is to be bound perpetually, yet the Parol shall not demur, otherwise it because this is of his own Wrong, and there shall be no Delay in this is in a For-Writ. medon in De-

feender; for there if the Issue be, whether the Tenant be a Bastard, the Parol shall demur. 1 Rol. Abr. 142 (d) But otherwise it is if the Issue be, whether the Demandant be a Bastard. I Rol. Abr. 142.

#### 4. For the Ponage of what Person hall the Parol deniur.

1 Rol Abr. The Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the King, because the Law always adjudges him of full Age.

In an Action brought by Baron and Feme for the Inheritance of the Feme, the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the Baron, because in the Right of the Femc.

In a Writ of Mesne brought by Baron and Feme in Right of the Feme, the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the Feme.

ln

142.

I Rol. Abr.

141.

1 Rol. Abr. 142.

1 Rot. Abr. 142.

In Detinue against an Executor upon a Delivery to the Testator, the 1 Rol. Alr. Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of the Executor.

In Action of Debt brought against Baron and Feme, upon an Obligation of the Ancestor of the Feme, the Parol shall demur for the Nonage

In a Præcipe quod reddat against Baron and Feme of Land that the 1 Rel. Abr. Feme had by Defcent, the Parol shall demur for the Nonage of the 142 Feme, tho' the Baron be of full Age.

A Feme received for Default of her Husband shall have her Age, tho' I R.L. Als the Baron was of full Age.

#### 5. In Respect to what Estate or Interest wall the Parck

If an Infant be in by (a) Purchase, he shall not have his Age. Carter SS.

143 (a) If an Infant be in by Abatement, and not by Descent, he shall not have his Age. 1 Rol. Abr. 143.—But if the Heir of the Disseise enters, he shall have his Age. 1 Rol. Abr. 144.—So if the Tenancy escheats to an Infant who is in by Descent in the Seignory, he shall have his Age. Kelw 105.

As it there be a Lease for Life, the Remainder to the right Heirs of 1 Rol. Abr. 7. S. who is dead at the Time, his Heir within Age, he shall not have 143. his Age when he comes in by Aid Prayer, for he hath it by Purchafe.

If an Infant hath an Estate in Possession by Purchase sufficient to an- 1 Rol. Abr. fwer the Action, yet if he hath the Residue of the Estate by Descent, 143.

he shall not have his Age.

As if the Father and Son and Heir purchase to them and the Heirs 1 Rol. Abr. of the Father, and after the Father dies, and a real Action is brought 143. against the Son, he shall not have his Age, altho' he hath the Remainder in Fee by Descent.

If Lessee for Life (b) furrenders to an Infant who hath the Reversion 1 Rol Abr. by Descent, he shall not have his Age. (h) For quoad

Strangers the Estate for Life hath Continuance. Co. Lit. 338. b.

If the Father enfeoffs his Son and Heir in Fee (c) with Warranty, and I Rol. Abr. dies, the Son shall have his Age, because the Warranty is extinct, and therefore in lieu thereof he shall be adjudged (d) in by Descent. be infeoffed

by his Father without Warranty; for he may cleet to be in of the one Estate or of the other. 1 Rol. Abr. 144. (d) So if Tenant in Tail infeoffs his Issue, and dies, the Issue shall have his Age, for he is remitted, and so in by Descent. 1 Rol. Abr. 144.

If an Infant be enabled by Custom to have and alien his Land at a 1 Rol. Abr. certain Time, as at fifteen Years of Age, or when he can measure a 144. Yard of Cloth, after this Time, and before his full Age of twenty-one, he shall have his Age; for the Custom being to be construed strictly does not extend to this collateral Thing.

In a Formedon in Reverter if the Demandant makes himself Heir to the 1 Rol. Abr Donor as Heir at Common Law, and the Tenant claims as younger Son, 143. as Heir to the Donor by the Custom, and prays the Parol to demur for his Nonage, yet it shall not demur, because they both claim to be Heir to the same Person.

In a Nuper obiit by the Aunt against the Niece, and a Demand of the IRA. Abr. Seisin of the Father of the Aunt, who was the Grandfather of the Tenant, 143. the Tenant who is in by Descent from her Mother shall not have her S. P. cited Age, because they are one Heir, and of equal Condition as to Privity and said, for of Blood, where the common Ancestor died last seised, as the Case it is princirally to try must be intended. the Privity But of Blead.

144.

144

1 Rol. Abr. But if Land descends to A and B. Coparceners, and they enter, and 143. have Issue, and die seised, in a Nuper obiit by one of them against the other within Age, the Parol shall demur for the Nonage of the Tenant, because their common Ancestor did not die last seised.

1 Rol Abr. If a Devise be to the Heir in Tail, and if he dies, &c. that another 144. shall sell it, the Devisee shall not have his Age, because he hath the Estate-Tail by Purchase.

If a Gift be made to the Father for Life, the Remainder in Tail to 1 Rol. Abr. the Son, the Remainder to the right Heir of the Father, and after the Father dies, and the Fee descends upon the Son within Age, yet he shall not have his Age, because he hath the Estate-Tail by Purchase.

So if a Gift be made to the Father for Life, the Remainder to a 1 Rol. Abr. Stranger in Tail, the Remainder to the Son in Tail, the Remainder to the right Heirs of the Father, and after the Stranger dies without Issue, and after the Father dies, and the Fee descends upon the Son within Age, yet he shall not have his Age, because he hath the Tail by Purchase.

N. Bendl. 265. Waller and Lamb, adjudged. 1 And 21. S. C. adjudged. Carter 88. S. C. ciied.

1 Rol. Abr. 145.

S Co. 101.

1 Rol. Abr.

2 Inft. 455.

145.

If A, being Tenant in Tail enfeoffs B, to the Use of A, and his Wife for Life, and after to the Heirs of A. and A. dies, and the Wife grants her Estate to C. and his Heirs during the Life of the Wife, and C. er ters, and dies, and the Lands descend to his Heir, against whom the Issue in Tail brings a Formedon, the Defendant shall not have his Age, because he is in only as an Occupant, and no Estate of Inheritance descended.

#### 6. Where for the Ponage of the Houchec.

If an Infant be (a) vouched and bound to Warranty by the Deed of 1 Rol Abr. his Ancestor, the Parol shall demur for the Nonage of the Infant. 144. (a) When

for the Nonage of the Vouchee in a Writ of Entry for Differfin, notwithstanding the Statute of Westminster 1. cap. 46. vide 2 Inft. 257. Dyer 137. pl. 24.

If two Coparceners in Gavelkind are vouched as one Heir, the Parol 1 Rol. Abr. shall demur for the Nonage of the youngest, if he be seised; yet he is 144. vouched but for his Possession.

1 Rol. Abr. So if one Coparcener be vouched, and hath Aid of the other Copar-144. cener who is within Age, the Parol ought to demur.

If a Feme Tenant in Dower vouches the Heir of her Husband, and 1 Rol. Abr. the Husband of the Heir, the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of 144. (b) But the the (b) Baron, his Wife being of full Age, because the Baron is vouched Parol ought only for the Inheritance of the Feme. to nave de-

murred, if both had been within Age, or the Feme only. I Rol. Abr. 144 5.

i Rol. Abr. If the youngest Son enter into the Inheritance descended, the Parol 145. shall not demur for his Nonage, if he be vouched as Heir within Age, if the eldest Son be of full Age, who is Heir in Right, because he cannot be Heir by Continuance.

If a Bastard be vouched within Age by reason of his Possession, the Parol shall demur for his Nonage, because he may be Heir by Continu-Co. Lit. 244. ance all his Life, without Claim to the contrary.

If an Infant be vouched by Lessee for Life by reason of the Reversion, which he hath by Descent, the Parol shall demur, altho' he hath not

the Freehold by Descent.

At Common Law if the Husband had aliened the Lands of his Wife, with Warranty, and died, and in a Cui in Vita by the Wife, or a Sur cui in Vita by the Heir of the Wife, the Alienee had vouched the Heir of the Husband within Age, the Parol should have demurred till the full Age of the Vouchee.

But

But by the Statute of (a) Westm. 2. cap. 40. it is Enacted, That if (a) 13 E. 1. the Husband aliens the Right of his Wife, (b) the Suit of her or her cap. 40. But Heir, after the Death of her Husband, shall not be delayed by the Non- fince the 32 Heir, after the Heir (c) that ought to warrant, but the (d) (e) Purchasor (by which shall (f) tarry till the Age of his Warrantor to have his (g) Warranty. an Entry is

Wife or her Heir after an Alienation by her Husband) this Act is of little Use 2 lnft 456. (b) Extends only to a Cui or Sur cui in Vita, which are the proper Actions upon an Alicantion by the Hufband; for if the Wife is Tenant in Tail, and the Baron aliens, and dies, and she dies, her Issue band; for if the Wife is Tenant in Tail, and the Baron aliens, and dies, and the dies, her Issue cannot have a Sur cui in Vita, but a Formedon, in which the Purchasor may vouch the Heir of the Baron, and for his Nonage the Parol thall demur. 2 Inst. 455. (c) So that it extends only to the Heir of the Baron that sliened. 2 Inst. 455. (d) Intended only of Issue emptor, not his Heir. 2 Inst. 456. — So of the immediate Purchasor, and not his Alienee, tho' he may vouch the Heir of the Baron as Assignce. 2 Inst. 455. 4 Co. 50. a. — So intended only where the Purchasor is Tenant in Deed, not where he comes in as Vouchee or Tenant by Receipt, and vouches the Heir, &c. 2 Leon. 148 1 Co. 15. a. 4 Co. 50. a. (e) Of any Estate of Freehold. 2 Inst. 456. (f) When he shall have a Re-summons. 2 Inst. 456. (g) Whether in Law or Deed. 2 Inst. 456.

#### 7. Where for the Ponage of the Prayee in Aid.

If in (b) Action against Tenant by the Curtesy he prays (i) in Aid 1 Rol. Abr. of the Heir within Age, the Parol shall demur. (b) But if Error is brought against Tenant by the Curtesy, the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of him in Reversion, per 1 Rol. Rep. 251. said by Houghton arguendo, quod Coke concessit, because he is not Tenant.

(i) Where for the Nonage of the Prayee in Aid and Tenant by Receipt in a Writ of Entry, notwith-Standing the Statute Westm. 1. cap. 46. vide 2 Inst 257. Dyer 137. pl. 24. 2 Leon. 148.

If Lessee for Life hath Aid of him in (k) Remainder within Age, 1 Rol. Abr. who is in by Descent, the Parol shall demur; fecus if he were in by 145. Purchafe. Lesse for

Life hath Aid of him in Reversion by Descent. 1 Rol Abr. 145.

If there be Lessee for Life, the Remainder to the right Heirs of J. S. 1 Rol. Abr who is dead, and after the right Heir dies, his Heir within Age, and 145. the Lessee hath Aid of him, the Parol ought to demur, for he is in by Descent.

So if 7. S. at his Death hath two Daughters his Heirs, and after the 1 Rol. Abr. one dies, and her Part descends to her Daughter within Age, the Parol 145. ought to demur for her Nonage, tho' the Aunt is in by Purchase.

In an Annuity against a Person, if he hath Aid of the Ordinary and 1 Rol. Abr. Patron within Age, yet the Parol shall not demur for the Nonage of 145. the Patron; for the Charge lies not upon the Patron, but upon the 323. S. C. Parson.

If two in Reversion by Descent are received upon Desault of the 1 Rol. Abr. Lessee, and the one is within Age, the Parol shall demur.

If a Feme in by Descent be received for Default of her Husband, the 2 Infl. 342. Parol shall demur for her Nonage, tho' the (1) Statute be parata petenti (1) Viz. 13 E. 1. cap. 3. respondere. which vide explained 2 Inft. 341.

In an Avowry for a Rent-charge referved upon a Purparty, if the 1 Rol. Abr. Plaintiff Lessee for Life hath Aid of him in the Reversion within Age, 145.6. who is in by Descent in the Reversion, yet the Parol shall not demur, because the Land is not in Demand.

#### 8. In what Cases if the Parol demur against one, it sall against another.

45 E: 3: 23. If two are vouched, if the Parol demurs for the Nonage of one, it  ${}^{1}Rol.Abr.146$ . Thall for the other also.

If Aid is prayed of two Coparceners, viz. the Aunt and the Niece, and the Aunt hath the Remainder by Purchase, and the Niece is in within Age, and hath the Remainder by Descent, the Parol shall demur

So if Aid be prayed by one Coparcener of two other Coparceners, of which one is within Age, and the other of full Age, the Parol shall demur for all.

If the Tenant vouch himself and J. S. as Heirs, and J. S. is within Age, the Parol shall demur for both.

1 Rol. Abr. In a (a) Dum fuit infra Ætatom by two Coparceners of the Seisin of their Ancestor, for the Nonage of one Demandant the whole Parol ought to demur.

Mentis by two Coparceners of a Seisin of their Ancestor the Parol shall demur for both, for the Nonage of one. 1 Rol. Abr. 146.

In a Writ of Entry fur Disseisin by two Coparceners, of which one is within Age, qui non prosequitur upon the Summons, yet the Parol shall demur against the other also.

If a Writ of Error be brought against the Heir of the Recoverer within Age, and a Scire Facias against the Tertenant, if the Parol demurs for the Heir, yet it shall not demur as to the Tertenant; for the Heir shall not be at any Prejudice if it is reversed as to the Tertenant.

1 Rol. Abr. If four enter into (b) a Recognizance, and after one dies, his Heir 147.
3 Co. 13. a. within Age, in a Scire Facias against the Heir and the rest, the Parol (b) So where shall demur against all.

bound in a Statute, and one dies, his Heir being within Age. Hetl. 59. Lit. Rep. 72.

In a Scire Facias against the Tertenants to have Execution of Damages recovered against f. S. if the Parol demurs against one of the Tertenants for his Nonage, it shall demur against all.

Co. 13. a. In a Scire Facias if two Coparceners are received upon the Default of the Lesse, and the Parol demurs for the Nonage of one of the Coparceners, it shall demur for both.

If in Debt upon an Obligation against B. and C. Sons and Heirs of the Obligor, and against D. the Daughter and Heir of A. who was another of the Sons and Heirs of the Obligor in Gavelkind, Process is continued till the Uncles are outlawed, and the Niece waived, and after the Uncles are pardoned, and bring a Scire Facias against the Plaintiff, who thereupon declares against them finul cum the Niece, and the Uncles plead their Niece is but of the Age of seven, unde non intendunt quod durante Minori Ætate sua they ought to answer, &c. yet the Parol shall not demur; for the Niece is out of Court, and quoad her the Original is determined, and at her full Age no Re-summons could be sued against her, but the Uncles only, because she never appeared in Court.

pl. 39.
Hawtry ver.
Inper.
N. Bendl. 148.
pl. 205.
Moor 74.
pl 203.
1 And. 10.
pl. 22
S. C. ad-

judged.

Dyer 239.

#### 9. In what Cases the Demurrer of the Parol foz Part wall be foz all.

In a Writ of Error upon a Judgment for divers Things against an 47 Aff. 4. Infant upon a Recovery by his Ancestor, if the Infant disclaims for 1 Rd. Ale, Part, by which the Judgment is to be reversed for Error therein, yet 147. for the Nonage of the Infant the Parol shall demur for the rest, and this shall make the Parol to demur also for that in which the Infant hath disclaimed, because it is but one Record; and therefore if he hath his Age as to Part, he shall have it for the whole.

The fame Law in an Action against an Infant if he acknowledges the 1 Rel. Air. Action of the Demandant for Part, (a) yet if the Parol demurs for the 147 (a) In a Practice the little demand for all

rest, it shall demur for all.

il e quod reddat the Te-

nant may confess the Action for Part, and pray his Age for the rest. Ero. Age 9.

If an Infant brings a Writ of (b) Entry fur Disseisin to his Father, 1 Rol. Abr. and the Tenant pleads the Release of the Father as to Part of the Land 147. in Demand, by which the Parol is to demur for this, yet it shall not of Entry far demur for the rest.

Disseis in in the Per Age is taken away by Westen. 2. cap 47. which vide 2 loss. 256

In an (c) Affise by three Coparceners, if the Tenant claims as Tenant 1 Rol. Abr. by the Curtesy of the whole, and prays in Aid of one of the Plaintiffs 147. in Reversion within Age, and hath Aid of him, by which the Parol be intended ought to demur for the third Part that belongs to the Infant, and not of an Affise for the rest, yet because the Assis shall not be taken by Parcels, it shall of Mordan-demur for the whole.

Novel Diffeifin even at Common Law the Parol flould not have demurred. 1 Rol. Abr. 141.

#### 10. Of the Prayer of Age and Counterplea.

The granting that the Parol shall demur in Judgment of Law is in 6 Co. 5. a. Favour of the Infant, therefore the Court ex Officio ought to grant it, tho' the Tenant will answer.

Where Age is granted, or the Parol demurs, the Writ does not abate; 2 Inft. 258. but the Plea is put without Day until full Age, at what Time there shall Raft. Ent. be a Re-summons.

In a Formedon if the Tenant vouches J. S. as Cousin and Heir of, &c. Dyer 79.pl.48. and for his Nonage prays that the Parol may demur, he ought to shew how he is Cousin.

If in Dower the Tenant vouches one within Age, in Favour thereof 6 Co. 5. a. he ought to shew a Deed.

If a Man hath Aid of an Infant, and of the King, because the Infant 1 Rol. Abr. is in Ward to him, after a Procedendo the Parol shall not demur upon 146.

Demand for the Nonage of the Ward; tho' this ought to have been Dyer 256.114. granted, if he had demanded it at the Time of the Aid Prayer; for N. Bendl 118, the Procedendo commands the Justices to proceed, and he ought to have 11. 151. shewn this in Chancery to stay the Procedendo.

A Counterplea of Age is like an Estoppel, and therefore ought to be 3 Bull. 144.

very plain and certain to every Intent.

If a Man fays in an Action (in which Age lies) that his Ancestor was 1 Rol Absorbised in Fee, and died seised, and this descended to him within Age, 146.

and

(a) That he and prays his Age, (a) it is a good Counterplea (b) that his Ancestor is a Bastard, did not die seised.

Brother, or that his Father was attainted, &c. Dyer 137. pl. 26. 3 Bulf. 144. vide, and the several Authorities there eited, 1 Rol. Rep. 325. and the Books there eited. Cro fac. 393 (b) In a like Case the Demandant traverses the Descent, and Day given the Tenant to advise what to do. Hob. 266.

32 E. 3. 55. 1 Rol. Abr. 146. If an Infant upon Default of the Tenant prays to be received, because the Tenant is Tenant by the Curtesy after the Death of his Mother, the Reversion to him by Descent as Heir to his Mother, and prays the Parol may demur, it is a good Counterplea of the Age, that the Land was given to the Mother and her first Husband in special Tail, and the Husband died without Issue, and she took the Tenant for her second Husband, so the second Husband in by Abatement.

Amcotts ver. Amcotts. 1 Sid. 252. 1 Lev 163. Raym. 118. 1 Keb. 869, 900. S. C. adjudged. In a Writ of Error out of the Common Pleas the only Question was, whether upon a Plea by the Defendant to have the Parol demur, Issue being joined by the Infant that sued by his Guardian, and it being tried, and found against the Infant, whether a peremptory Judgment should be given against him, or only a Responders Ouster: It had been argued and much laboured in C. B. that it should be only a Responders Ouster; but after great Debate, they held the Law to be manifestly clear, that every dilatory Plea that receives its Trial by the Country shall be peremptory, let it be of what Nature soever, tho' this Case was a Case of as much Compassion as could be, and the Court would have shewn the Insant any lawful Favour; and of the same Opinion was the Court of B. R. upon the Writ of Error.

## Informations.

- (A) Of the Nature and several Kinds of Informations.
- (B) In what Cases they lie.
- (C) In what Manner they are to be laid.
- (D) Of filing an Information, the Proceedings thereon, and the Probitions made herein by Statute.

## (A) Of the Nature and several Kinds of Informations.

N Information may be defined an Accusation or Complaint exhibited against a Person for some criminal Offence, either immediately against the King, or against a private Person, which, from its Enormity or dangerous Tendency, the Publick Good requires should be restrained and punished, and differs principally

from an Indictment in this, that an Indictment is an Accusation found by the Oath of twelve Men, whereas an Information is only the Allegation of the Officer who exhibits it.

This Difference between Informations and Indictments has made (a) fome Men conceive, that this kind of Proceeding was utterly unlaw- (a) Vide Sir ful, as not being only contrary to the original Frame and Nature of our Francis Win-Laws, but also contrary to (b) Magna Charta, and several other Sta-mington's Attutes, which require that no Man shall be put to answer, &c. but upon 5 Mod. 456. Indictment or Presentment.

106, 8°c. -

And in 2 Hawk P. C. it is faid to have been holden, that the King shall put no one to answer for a Wrong done principally to another, without an Indistment or Presentment, but that he may do it for wrong done principally to himself; for which is cited Theol b. 1. cap. 4. fest 9, 10, & c. Finch 336. Fitz Action for le Cafe, & .- Also from the Abuses made of them they have been complained of as unlawful, as particularly in the Reign of H 7, when by Force of a Statute made in the 11th Year of that Reign, which impowered Justices of Assis and Peace to proceed on all Penal Statutes by Information, they were made use of by Embson and Dudley to the great Oppression of the People: But this Statute was repealed by 1 H. S. cap. 6. 2 Hal. Hist. cap. 20. (b) Cap. 29. and 5 E. 3. cap. 9. 25 E. 3. eap. 4. 28 E. 3. cap. 3. and 42 E 3. cap. 3.

But tho', as my Lord Hale observes, in all Criminal Causes the most 2 Hal. High regular and fafe Way, and most consonant to the Statute of Magna P. C. cap. 8. Charta, &c. is by Presentment or Indichment of twelve sworn Men, yet he admits that for Crimes (c) inferior to Capital ones the Proceedings (c) But no may be by Information; and this, from the (d) long and frequent Prac- Information tice, is now certainly established as Part of the Law of the Land; and a Capital therefore at this Day the following kinds of Informations may be exhi- Crime, or for bited, wherever the Nature of the Offence deserves such a Proceeding.

Milprilion

z Hawk. P. C. 260. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. cap. 20. (d) That Informations were at Common Law. 5 Med. 463. per Holt C. J. & totam Curiam. - Et vide 1 Show. 106, &c.

If, For an Offence principally and more immediately against the King 2 Hawk. P.C. an Information may be exhibited in the Name of the King's Attorney 260. & vide Carth. 465-5. General, and fuch Information may be filed without any Application That no fuch or Leave of the Court, and the Party shall be obliged to answer the Information same; also the Statute 4 & 5 W. 3. which requires a Recognizance for can be brought on Payment of Costs from Persons exhibiting and prosecuting Informations, brought on a Penal Stadoes not extend to Informations filed by the King's Attorney General, tute. and it is (e) faid that the Court will not quash such Information on (e) 18alk 372. Motion, but will oblige the Party to demur or plead thereto.

2dly, On Application, and Leave of the Court, grounded on Motion 2 H. wk. P.C. and Affidavit of some Misdemeanor, which, if true, doth from its evil 261. 2 Hal. Hist. Tendency merit such Prosecution, the Court allows of the filing of an P. C. cap. 20. Information in the Name of the Master of the Crown-Office; and of such kind of Informations there are numberless Precedents in the Crown-Office.

3dly, Where by many Penal Statutes the Profecution upon them is But for this by the Acrs themselves limited to be by Bill, Plaint, Information, or Indictment, there, without doubt, the Profecution may be by Information or Actions on as well as by any other of these Methods; also of common Right such Penal Staan Information, or an Action in the Nature thereof, may be brought for tutes. Offences against Statutes, whether they be mentioned by such Statutes or not, unless other Methods of Proceeding be particularly appointed, by which all others are impliedly excluded.

4thly, Informations in Nature of (f) a Quo Warranto may be, and fre- (f) For the quently are, exhibited, with Leave of the Court, for usurping Privileges, Writ of Que Franchises, &c. which in some Respects is (g) a Civil Suit, as it is used and how it

differs from

an Information in Nature of a Quo Warranto, vide 2 Inft. 282, 495. Latch 46. 1 Sid. 86. Old N. B. 107. Cro Fac. 259, 260, 528. 3 Bulf. 54. Cro. Car. 311. — Of the Process on such Information, Carth. 503. 1 Saik. 374. Comb. 19. — For the Judgment thereon, Palm. 1, 2. 2 Rol. Rep. 113. Cro. Fac. 260. 1 Saik. 374. 4 Mod. 55, 58. Carth. 218. (g) And being a kind of Civil Proceeding, there ought to be no great time set on the Party.

Vol. III.

as a proper Means to try a Right, tho' it punishes the Misdemeanor, fuch as the Usurpation, &c.

#### (B) In what Cases an Information Will lie.

cited.

(a) Skin. 47.

2 Hawk PC. HERE we shall lay down what hath been collected by Serjeant 260. and se- Hawkins, and is, as he says, every Day's Practice, agreeable to veral Authorities there numberless Precedents, viz. either in the Name of the King's Attorney General, or of the Master of the Crown-Office, to exhibit Informations for Batteries, Cheats, Seducing a young Man or Woman from their Parents, in order to marry them against their Consent, or for any other wicked Purpose, (a) Spiriting away a Child to the Plantations, Rescuing Persons from legal Arrests, Perjuries, and Subornations thereof, Forgeries, Conspiracies, (whether to accuse an innocent Person, or to impoverish a certain Set of lawful Traders, &c. or to procure a Verdict to be unlawfully given, by caufing Persons bribed for that Purpose to be sworn on a Tales,) and other fuch like Crimes, done principally to a private Person, as well as for Offences done principally to the King; as for Libels, seditious Words, Riots, false News, Extortions, Nusances, (as in not repairing Highways, or obstructing them, or stopping a common River, &c.) Contempts, as in departing from the Parliament without the King's Licence, disobeying his Writs, uttering Money without his Authority, escaping from legal Imprisonment on a Prosecution for a Contempt, neglecting to keep Watch and Ward, abufing the King's Commission to the Oppression of the Subject, making a Return to a Mandanus of Matters known to be false; and in general any other Offences against the Publick Good, or against the first and obvious Principles of Justice and common Honesty.

Carth. 14, 15. The King ver. Darby.

An Information was exhibited against D. an Attorney of C. B. for speaking scandalous and reproachful Words of Sir John Kay, Knight of the Shire for the County of York, and a Justice of Peace, &c. concerning his faid Office of Justice of the Peace, and the exercising thereof; and upon Demurrer to this Information it was argued, that it would not lie for scandalous Words spoken only of a particular Person, because he might have an Action on the Case to recompence him in Damages; tho' it was admitted, that such a Proceeding might be warranted for Libels, or for dispersing defamatory Letters, because by such Means the publick Peace might be disturbed, and Discords somented among Neighbours, which might at last be a publick Injury, but that there was no such Mischief in the present Case: On the other Side it was infifted, that this Information was founded on sufficient Matter, because this Profecution is not only as it respects the Person of Sir John Kay, but it relates to him as he is a publick Magistrate, and one who is subordinate to the Government, and therefore such defamatory Words are a Reproach to the supreme Governor, by whom Magistrates are intrusted, and from whom they derive their Authority, and it will not be denied but that Words reflecting on the publick Government are punishable at the Suit of the King by Information; and for this Reason the Court held that an Information would lie, and thereupon gave Judgment against the Defendant, and fined him an hundred Marks.

Hill. 15 8 16 Rex versus

An Information was exhibited by the Attorney General for confpiring Car. 2. in B.R. to destroy the King's Revenue of the Excise; And whereas the King by Indenture, &c. prolat', had farmed the Excise of London, Middlesex, and

ers of London. 1 Lev. 125. 1 Sid. 174. 1 Keb. 650. S. C.

Southwark to A. B. and C. rendering 11800 l. per Ann. Monthly, &e. that the Defendants, and others ignot', &c. illicite, factiose, & seditiose consultaverunt & conspiraverunt ad destruend' & depauperand' Farmarios Excise predict', &c. and many other Facts were laid in the Information tending to the destroying the Excilemen, depauperating them, destroying the King's Revenue of Excise, pulling down the Excise-House, raising a Tumult amongst the poor People, &c. But the Jury that were to try the Issue were unwilling to find this Matter, tho' expresly proved, fearing it might be construed no less than Treason, and so would only find that such and such of the Desendants illicite, sactiose, & seditiose se assemblaverunt, & illicite, sactiose, & seditiose consultaverunt, & conspiraverunt ad depauperand' Farmarios Dom' Regis Excise prædict', prout prædict' Attornat' Gen' Dom' Regis, &c. & quoad totam aliam materiam in Informatione contentam find them Not guilty, and find J. S. Not guilty of the whole. It was moved in Arrest of Judgment, that here is no Offence at all found; for to conspire to depauperate the King's Farmers is no Offence, for it may be done by lawful Means; and that they are laid to be the King's Farmers is but a Description of their Persons, not that it was at the King's Revenue of Excise the Conspiracy struck, and the assemblaverunt is not the Charge, for then it ought to have been laid notose & routose, but only leading to the Conspiracy; for they must assemble before they can consult and conspire. It was answered by the King's Counsel, that the illicite assemblaverunt is an Offence against the Law, and as properly and fully laid as could be; for riotose is where the Assemblaverunt bly is with Intent to commit a Riot, and routose for a Rout; but an Assembly may be illegal and punishable, and yet the Intention of that affembling may be good, as 21 H. 7. Bro. Tit. Riots 1. per Fineux; as if Men meet to prevent the Breach of the Peace between A. and B. A. going to Market, and B. threatening to beat him there, and to this Assembly no properer Epithet could be given than illicite; but besides, all manner of Combinations and Confederacies are unlawful without Respect to their End, 27 Ass. 44. Moor, Lord Gray's Case, and Cro. 7a. the Case of the Puritans petitioning; but this Conspiracy being to depauperate another Man, is unlawful in its End; and to answer the Objection that hath been made, it might be faid, that altho' the depauperating of another Man may be by lawful Means, and the Consequence of a lawful Act, yet that is because it is not in the Intention of the Party, but it is Damnum absque Injuria; but for a Number of Men to defign and conspire the depauperating of another, cannot certainly be lawful, for there the Damage to the third Party is their only Aim and End, and it is as well against the Law of Charity and common Society; and this might be faid, if there were nothing of the King's Farmers in the Case; but here the Inducement to the whole Charge in the Information is, that the Defendants, &c. machinantes defraudare & deprivare distum Dom' Regem de Redditu suo prædict', & prædictos Farmarios, &c. destruere & depauperare, did fo and fo; now this Inducement in the whole is applicable to every Branch of the Charge, and the Jury having found those Charges as they are laid, scilicet, Modo & Forma prout, &c. they have found consequently that it was done by the Defendants, machinantes, &c. which makes it in their Intention to strike at the King's Revenue, as well as in Consequence. It was also urged for the Defendants, that for a bare Conspiracy, without any Act done in Prosecution of it, no Information would lie: But Caria cont. for tho' there must be some Fact to be as Evidence of the Conspiracy, as 9 Co. Poulter's Case, yet it is the Conspiracy that is the Crime, and that being found, it is enough. It was also urged by the King's Counsel, that the Modo & Forma prout in the Verdict extends to all the Charges of Fact that were done in Profecution of this Conspiracy, and the Acquittal quoad tot' al' materiam, &c. extends to the distinct Charges of Facts that have no Relation to this Conspiracy:

But Windbam Justice said, the Modo & Forma prout could by no means make the Verdict comprehend other Matter of Fact than was expresly found. It was moved by the King's Counsel, that they might inform the Court of the Heinousness of this Conspiracy, and how it was proved to be upon Evidence to the Jury that tried it, to aggravate the Offence, and induce the Difcretion of the Court to increase the Fine; and the Case of Machin and Tully was cited, where a Battery being found by Nisi Prius against them, the Court informed themselves of the Heinousness of it by Affidavit, and thereupon vacated a Fine that was fet in a Judge's Chamber, and fet a high Fine upon the Defendants: But the Court refused it, saying, that were a Way to let in those Matters of which the Jury has acquitted them, by fuffering Affidavits to be made; but in Machin's Case the Jury found the Defendants guilty of the whole; and what needs Aggravation of this, which appears fo foul as it is found? The Court after unanimously concurred, that Judgment ought to be given for the King, tho' as to the Offence found there was some Variety of Opinion; Windham distinguished betwixt a Confederacy and a Confpiracy, that for a Conspiracy there ought to be some Fact done in Execution of it; so an Indictment cannot be maintained of a Man as a common Thief, or Champerter, or Forestaller, without laying some Fact of those Offences; and in this he grounded himself upon 29 Ass. but he held, that here the Defendants are found guilty of a Confederacy, which is not a Word of Art, but may be expressed in other Terms, and fuch an Offence will this Matter found amount unto; he held the Information as to the unlawful Affembly not good, because they wanted Vi & Armis; as to all the subsequent Facts, he held the Defendants acquitted; and as to the Intention of defrauding the King of the Rent, &c. he held the Acquittal did extend, because they were acquitted of the Facts to which that was to be applied; but as to the Confederacy the Verdict has found enough, and tho' it were to a private End it were unlawful; but here it is more, and that which will aggravate it highly; for the Customers of the King are publick Persons, as the King's Revenue is of a publick Concern, and it is fet forth in the Information that these were Farmers of a very great Value; it is one Thing to beat a private Man, and another Thing to beat a publick Officer, or the King's Servant; if a Man should strike the Sheriff, that has the Character of a publick Officer, it would be a high Offence. Twisden held, that Vi & Armis was not necessary, and that they are found guilty of an unlawful Assembly; and in that my Lord Chief Justice concurred; as also that the Intention of defrauding and depriving the King of his faid Rent is implicitly found within the Modo & Forma prout, &c. for fo shall the machinantes, &c. be applied. Twisden and Keeling concurred, that for a Conspiracy alone; without any Profecution, Information lay; and Twisden said, a Confederacy is a farther Degree of a Conspiracy; and they all agreed, that the King's Revenue being concerned did highly aggravate the Offence; 2 H. 4. 7. and 8 H. 5. b. were cited, that for Maintenance of that a Monk should be able to contract, and Probi Homines de Dale should be a Corporation. Lord Chief Justice cited old Magna Charta, where there is a Statute against such as should undervalue Lands in the King's Hands. So Judgment was given for the King; but the fettling of the Fine was respited, because they would consider as well Qualitatem Delinquentis as Quantitatem Delisti. In this Case were cited 3 E. 3. 19. 43 Ass. 38. Afterwards, the same Term, Starling was fined 300 Marks, and the rest of the Brewers 100 Marks apiece, but with fome Apology by the Court for the Smallness of the Fine.

#### (C) In What Manner they are to be laid.

PEGULARLY the same Certainty that is required in an Indict-Vide Tit-ment is in like Manner required in an Information; but it has been Ind. Amonts. (a) held not to be necessary to repeat the Words dat Cur' hie intelligi & Raym, 34. informari in the Beginning of every distinct Clause, if the Want of them 2 Mawk P.C.

may be supplied by a natural and easy Construction.

In an Information against Roberts the Ferryman over the River Mercy, Cartie 226. which parts Anglesea from Carnarvonshire in Wales, it was laid generally, The King viz. that this was an antient Ferry Time out of Mind, and that I d. ver Roberts, was the usual Rate for the Passage of a Man and Horse, 7 d. for 20 Cattle, 2 d. for 20 Sheep, &c. that Roberts being the common Ferryman, between 7 Septembris Anno 2. and the Day of exhibiting this Information, injuste, oppressive, & deceptive cepit & extersit de diversis Ligeis & Subditis Demini Regis ignotis to the Attorney General, passing that Way, diversas Denariorum summas exceden' antiquam Ratam & Pretium pro Passagio & Transportatione suis & Averiorum sucrum, videlicet, pro Passagio & Transportatione cujuslibet Persone cum Equo suo 2 d. & pro quibuslibet 20 Catallis 2 s. & sic secund' Ratam predict' pro majori vel minori numero Averiorum, &c. The Desendant was sound guilty, and it was moved in Arrest of Judgment, that the Information was too general and uncertain, because it did not alledge that any particular Person, or any certain Number of Cattle, were ferried over within the Time laid in the Information; neither did it mention any particular Person from whom the extorted Rates were taken, which it ought to do, that the fingle Offence might certainly appear to the Court; and after great Deliberation, the whole Court was of that Opinion; and per Holt Ch. Justice, in every fuch Information a fingle Offence ought to be laid and afcertained, because every Extortion from every particular Ferson is a separate and distinct Offence; and therefore they ought not to be accumulated under a general Charge, as it is done in this Cafe, because each Offence requires a separate and distinct Punishment, according to the Quantity of the Offence; and it is not possible for the Court to proportion the Fine or other Punishment to it, unless it is singly and certainly laid.

#### (D) Of filing an Information, the Proceeds ings thereon, and the Providens made here= in by Statute.

IT feems to be the established Practice at this Day not to admit of the 2 Eauk P.C. filing of any Information (except those exhibited in the Name of his 262. Majesty's Attorney General,) without first making a Rule on the Perfons complained of to shew Cause to the contrary; which Rule is never granted but upon Motion made in open Court, and grounded upon Affidavit of some Misdemeanor, which, if true, doth either for its Enormity or dangerous Tendency, or other fuch like Circumstances, seem proper for the most publick Prosecution; and if the Person, on whom such Rule is made, having been personally served with it, do not at the Day given him for that Purpose give the Court good Satisfaction by Assidavit that there is no reasonable Cause for the Prosecution, the Court generally grants the Information; and fometimes, upon special Circumstances, will Vol. III.

grant it against those who cannot be personally served with such Rule; as if they purposely absent themselves, &c.

2 Hawk. P.C. 262 3

But if he shew good Cause to the contrary, as that he has been indicted for the same Cause, and acquitted, or that the Intent is to try a Civil Right which has not been yet determined, or that the Complaint is trissing or vexatious, &c. or where the Motion is for an Information in the Nature of a Quo Warranto, if he can shew that his Right hath been already determined on a Mandamus, or that it hath been acquiesced in many Years, or that it depends upon the Right of his Voters, which hath not been tried, or that it doth not concern the Publick, but is wholly of a private Nature, the Court will not grant the Information without some particular Circumstances, the Judgment whereof lies in Discretion.

As to the Provisions made herein by Statute, by the 4 & 5 & M. cap. 18. reciting, that divers malicious and contentious Perfons had, more of late than Times past, procured to be exhibited and profecuted Informations in their Majesties Courts of King's Bench at Westminster against Persons in all the Counties of England, for Trespasses, Batteries, and other Mildemeanors; and after the Parties so informed against had appeared to fuch Informations, and pleaded to Issue, the Informers had very feldom proceeded any farther, whereby the Perfons fo informed against had been put to great Charges in their Defence; and altho' at the Trials of fuch Informations Verdicts had been given for them, or a Noli profegui entered against them, they had no Remedy for obtaining Costs against such Informers; it is Enacted, 'That after the first Day of Easter Term in the Year 1693, the Clerk of the Crown in the said 6 Court of King's Bench for the Time being shall not, without express Order to be given by the faid Court in open Court, exhibit, receive, or file any Information for any of the Caufes aforefaid, or iffue out any Process thereupon, before he shall have taken or shall have delivered to him a Recognizance from the Person or Persons procuring fuch Information to be exhibited, with the Place of his, her, or their · Abode, Title, or Profession, to be entered, to the Person or Persons against whom such Information or Informations is or are to be exhi-6 bited, in the Penalty of twenty Pounds, that he, she, or they will effectually profecute fuch Informations or Information, and abide by and observe such Orders as the faid Court shall direct; which Recogni-' zance the faid Clerk of the Crown, and also every Justice of the Peace of any County, City, Franchise, or Town Corporate, (where the Cause of any such Information shall arise,) are by the said Statute impowered to take; after the taking thereof by the said Clerk of the Crown, or the Receipt thereof from any Justice of the Peace, the said Clerk of the Crown shall make an Entry thereof upon Record, and shall file a Memorandum thereof in some publick Place in his Office, that all Persons may resort thereunto without Fee: And in Case any Person or Persons, against whom any Information or Informations for the Causes aforefaid, or any of them, shall be exhibited, shall appear thereunto, and plead to Issue, and that the Profecutor or Profecutors of fuch Information or Informations shall not at his and their own proper Costs and Charges within one whole Year next after Issue joined therein procure the same to be tried, or if upon such Trial a Verdict pass for the Defendant or Defendants, or in Case the same Informer or Informers procure a Noli profequi to be entered, then in any of the faid Cases the said Court of King's Bench is authorised to award to the faid Defendant or Defendants his, her, or their Costs, unless the Judge, before whom fuch Information shall be tried, shall at the Trial of fuch Information in open Court certify upon Record, that there was reasonable Cause for exhibiting such Information; and in Case the faid Informer or Informers shall not within three Months next after the

faid Costs taxed, and Demand made thereof, pay to the said Desendant or Desendants the said Costs, then the said Desendant and Desendants shall have the Benefit of the said Recognizance to compel them thereunto.

'Provided, That nothing herein shall extend or be construed to extend to any other Information than such as shall be exhibited in the Name of their Majesties Coroner, or Attorney in the Court of King's Bench for the Time being, commonly called the Master of the Crown-Cossic.

In the Construction hereof it hath been holden,

1. That if Process be issued on such Information before such Recog- 2 Hawk P.C. nizance is given as the Statute directs, the same may be set aside and 263.

discharged on Motion.

2. That this Statute extends to all Informations except those exhibited Carib 503. in the Name of his Majesty's Attorney General, so that an Information The King in Nature of a Quo Warranto, tho' a proper Remedy to try a Right, in respect of which it may not in Strictness come within the Words Trest 1 Salk 3-6. passes, &c. yet being also intended to punish a Misdemeanor, and also S. C. adas the Proceedings therein may be as vexatious as in any other, the same judged. is within the Purview of the Statute, which being a remedial Law, shall receive as large a Construction as the Words will bear.

3. That no Costs can be had on this Statute on an Acquittal at a Trial 2 Howk P.C. at Bar, not only because the Clause that gives Costs, unless the Judge 263 certify a reasonable Cause, seems only to have a View to Trials at Nisi prius, but also because a Cause, which is of such Consequence as to be thought proper for a Trial at Bar, cannot well be thought within the Purview of the Statute, which was chiefly designed against trisling and

vexatious Profecutions.

4. That if there be several Defendants, and some of them acquitted, 1 Salk. 194.

and others convicted, none of them can have Costs.

5. That wherever a Defendant's Case is such as authorizes the Court 2 Chan. Ca. to award him his Costs, he has a Right to them ex Debito Justice; for 1911 it seems a general Rule, that where Judges are impowered by Statute 2 Hawk. P.C. to do a Matter of Justice, they ought to do it of Course.

By the 9 Anne, cap. 20. it is Enacted, 'That in Case any Person 6 or Ferfons shall usurp, intrude into, or unlawfully hold and execute the Office or Franchise of Mayor, Bailiss, Portreeve, or other Office within a City, Town Corporate, Borough, or Place in England or " H'ales, it shall and may be lawful to and for the proper Officer of the Court of Queen's Bench, the Court of Sessions of Counties Palatine, or the Court of Grand Sessions in Wales, with the Leave of the said Courts respectively, to exhibit one or more Information or Informa-'tions in the Nature of a Quo Warranto, at the Relation of any Person or Persons desiring to sue or prosecute the same, and who shall be 6 mentioned in fuch Information or Informations to be the Relator or Relators against such Person or Persons so usurping, intruding into, or " unlawfully holding and executing any of the faid Offices or Franchifes, and to proceed therein in fuch Manner as is usual in Cases of Informastions in the Nature of a Quo Warranto; and if it shall appear to the said respective Courts, that the several Rights of divers Persons to the said Offices or Franchises may properly be determined on one Information, s it shall and may be lawful for the said respective Courts to give Leave 6 to exhibit one fuch Information against several Persons, in order to f try their respective Rights to such Offices or Franchises; and such 6 Person or Persons, against which such Information or Informations in Nature of a Quo Warranto shall be sued or prosecuted, shall appear 6 and plead, as of the same Term or Sessions in which the said Infor-6 mation or Informations shall be filed, unless the Court where such

- Information shall be filed shall give further Time to such Person or Perfons, against whom such Information shall be exhibited, to plead;
- and fuch Person or Persons, who shall sue or prosecute such Information
- or Informations in the Nature of a Quo Warrauto, shall proceed there-

e upon with the most convenient Speed that may be.

- And it is further Enacted, That in Case any Person or Persons, against whom any Information or Informations in the Nature of a Quo
- " Il arranto shall in any of the faid Cases be exhibited in any of the said
- Courts, shall be found or adjudged guilty of an Usurpation or Intrusion into, or unlawfully holding and executing of the faid Offices or Fran-
- chifes, it shall and may be lawful to and for the said Courts respective-
- 1 ly, as well to give Judgment of Ouster against such Person or Persons of and from any of the faid Offices or Franchises, as to fine such Person
- or Persons respectively for his or their usurping, &c. and also to give
- Judgment that the Relator or Relators in fuch Information named
- fhall recover his or their Costs of such Prosecution; and if Judgment
- fhall be given for the Defendant or Defendants in fuch Information, he
- \* or they, for whom fuch Judgment shall be given, shall recover his or
- ' their Costs therein expended against such Relator or Relators; such
- Costs to be levied by Capias ad Satisfatiendum, Fieri Facias, or Elegit.

  And it is further Enacted, That the Statute for the Amendment of
- the Law, and all the Statutes of Jeofails, shall be extended to Infor-
- mations in Nature of a Quo Warranto, and Proceedings thereon, for any
- the Matters in the faid Act mentioned.3

## Injunction.

- (A) The several Kinds of Injunctions, and when to be granted.
- (B) That hall be a Breach thereof, and how punified.
- (C) How dissolved.

#### (A) Of the several Kinds of Infunctions, and When to be granted.

N Injunction is a Prohibitory Writ, restraining a Person from (a) An Injunction to (a) committing or doing a Thing, which appears to be against stay Restitu-Equity and Confcience. tion upon an

Indictment of forcible Entry. Moor 820. pl. 1108. — Injunction to stay an Interloper's Trading to the East Indies, till the Validity of the East India Company's Patent had been determined. 1 Vern. 127. 2 Chan. Ca. 165. — An Injunction to stay an Action at Law for Money lost at Gaming, tho' all the Circumstances of Fraud were denied by the Answer. 1 Vern. 489. 2 Vern. 71. — An Injunction denied to enjoin a Person from over-stocking a Common, where he had granted Common in his Down to J. S. for 100 Sheep. 2 Vern. 116.

Injunctions

Injunctions iffue out of the Courts of Equity in Several Instances; the most usual Injunction is, to (a) stay Proceedings at Law; as (b) if one (a) That the Man brings an Action at Law against another, and a Bill is brought to Court of be relieved either against a Penalty, or to stay Proceedings at Law, on Chancery fome equitable Circumstances, of which the Party cannot have the grant an In-Benefit at Law; in such Case the Plaintiff in Equity may move for an junction in Injunction either upon an Attachment, or praying a Dedimus, or praying a Criminal a further Time to answer; for it being suggested in the Bill, that the Matter under Evanition in B.R. answering, or pray Time to answer, it is contrary to Conscience to and that if proceed at Law in the mean time; and therefore an Injunction is granted they did, the of Course; but this Injunction only stays Execution touching the Matters Court of B.R. would in Question, and there is always a Clause giving Liberty to call for a BR. would Plea to proceed to Trial, for Want of it, to obtain Judgment; but Exe- protest any cution is staid till Answer or further Order.

Contempt of it, 6 Mod. 16. per Holt C. J. - But where A. having obtained Indgment in Ejectment in B. R. against B. and had Execution awarded, but the Under Sheriff refused to execute it; whereupon by Rule of that Court he was ordered to attend, and for not attending an Attachment was awarded against him; and B. after all this Proceeding having, on his Bill exhibited in Chancery, obtained an Injunction, it was moved in Chancery, that this Injunction might not extend to flay Proceedings against the Under-Sheriff for his Contempt to the Court of B. R. for that he was profecuted for a Contempt at the King's Suit, and it was upnatural for the King by his Injunction to flay his own Suit in another Court, the Offence being committed before the Bill exhibited; but the Motion was denied. 1 Vern. 25. (b) So tho the Court will not proceed against a Member that has Privilege of Parliament; yet if a Parliament Man sues at Law, and a Bill is brought in Chancery to be relieved against that Action, the Court will make an Order to stay Proceedings at Law till Answer or Further Order. 1 Vern. 329.

Where Tenant for (c) Life is committing Waste in cutting down Hard 96. young Timber, or (d) breaking up or ploughing antient Meadow or 1 Vern. 23.

Pasture, or doing other Waste, the Tenant in Tail shall have an In-Motion to junction upon a Certificate of filing of the Bill, and shewing an Assidavit stay a Joinof Waste committed, and this till Answer and further Order; for Tim-tress Tenant ber once cut down cannot be set up again.

in Tail, after

Exc. from committing Waste, the Court held, that she being a Jointress within the 1t H. 7. ought to be restrained, heing Part of the Inheritance, which by the Statute she is restrained from aliening. Abr. Eq. 221. Cook and Winford. — So where A being Tenant for Life, Remainder to B. for Life, Remainder to the first and other Sons of B. in Tail Male, Remainder to B. in Tail, and B. (before the Birth of any Son) brought a Bill against A. to stay Waste, on Demurrer to this Bill, because the Plaintist had no Right to the Trees, and none that had the Inheritance was Party; yet the Demurrer was over ruled, because Waste is to the Damage of the Publick, and B. is to take Care of the Inheritance for his Children, if he has any, and has a particular Interest himself, in Case he comes to the Estate. Ahr. Eq. 400. Dayrell and Champness. — But where a Jointress who had a Covenant that her Jointure should be of such a yearly Value, which fell short, tho' her Estate was not without Impeachment of Waste, yet the Court would not prohibit her committing Waste so far as to make up the Desect of her Jointurc.

Ahr. Eq. 400. (d) But where the Plaintiff let a Farm to the Desendant at an annual Rent, and Part of it being Palture Land, the Defendant covenanted, amongst other Things, not to break up or plow any Part of it, and that if he did plow any Part of it, he would pay at the Rate of 20 s. fer Ann. for every Acre; and on Motion for an Injunction to stay Waste in plowing, fer Cur. the Parties themselves have here agreed the Damage, and have set a Price for plowing, and therefore will not grant any Irjunction, and declared, if the Defendant was Plaintiff against paying 20 s per Acre for plowing, they would not relieve him. 2 Vern. 119. Woodcward and Gyles.

So if a Man be Tenant for Life without Impeachment of Waste, with Vide Lord Remainder to his first and every Son in Tail, tho' by Virtue of that Earnard's Clause swithout Impeachment of Haste, he may fell Timber, and alter any Clause without Impeachment of Waste, he may fell Timber, and alter any 339, 738. Rooms of the House at his Pleasure; yet if he should pull down the i Salk. 161. House, or any Part of the Buildings thereunto belonging, Equity would Preced. Chanenjoin him; but not if he pull down to rebuild; for the Clause 454. Such a without Impeachment of Waste gives an (e) absolute Property in the only injoined

Wafte, but decreed to put the House, &c. in the same Repair it was before. (e) In 1 Vern. 23. it is faid, that the Estate being without Impeachment of Walte, no Prohibition or Injunction is to be granted. - But by Preced Chan. 454 such a Clause does not give Leave to fell and cur down the Trees which were for the Ornament or Shelter of a House, much less to destroy or demolish the House.

Yy Timber, Timber, that he may do therewith what he will, yet he is but Tenant for Life of the Lands and Houses; and therefore if he pulls them down in order to vex a Son that has disobliged him, he acts with an ill Conscience, and ought to be restrained in Equity.

Also it is every Day's Practice to grant an Injunction for building on another Man's Ground, and fuch Injunction shall go to stay that new Building till Answer and further Order; and so in the Case of stopping

up anticht Lights.

2 Sturu. 260. 1 Vern. 120, 275.

So Injunctions have frequently been granted to stay the printing and felling Almanacks, Bibles, and other Books, in Bchalf of Patentees and Owners of fuch Books; but the Patent under Seal is ever produced in open Court.

There is also an Injunction granted to stay Trial at Law; this is never granted but upon Notice; a's where one files his Bill, and it appears to the Court that the Plaintiff's Equity must arise out of the Desendant's Answer, in this Case the Court will, and often does, grant an Injunction,

and that the same may extend to stay Trial.

There is an Injunction called a perpetual Injunction, for quieting a Man in the Possession of his Estate; this is generally either upon a plain equitable Title, or where one, two, or more Verdicts have gone against a Man; this Injunction is to quiet the Plaintiff and his Heirs for ever, and all claiming by, from, or under him; and this is very often granted, and in many Instances the Justice of the Court calls for it.

Preced. Chan. Lord Bath ver. Sherwin.

Also it has been attempted in Chancery, after three or sour Ejectments, by a Bill of Peace to establish the prevailing Party's Title; but this has been constantly denied, where the Title was meerly at Law; and my Lord Cowper's Reasons herein were, that it would be too great Arrogance in him to alter the Course of the Law; for that every Termor may have an Ejectment, and every new Ejectment supposes a new Demise, and the Costs in Ejectment are a Recompence for the Trouble and Charges to which the Possessor is put; but where the Suit begins in Chancery for Relief touching pretended Incumbrances on the Title of Lands, and the Court has ordered the Plaintiff to pursue an Ejechment at Law, there after one or two Ejectments tried, and the Right fettled to the Satisfaction of the Court, the Court hath ordered a perpetual Injunction against the Defendant, because there the Suit is first attached in that Court, and never began at Law; and fuch precedent Incumbrances appearing to be fraudulent and inequitable against the Possessor, it is within the Compass of the Court to relieve against it.

1 Vern. 156. Lady Poines's Cale,

A Trustee having contracted to sell an Estate to one Person, and the Ceftui que Trust having actually fold it to another, who moved for an Injunction to quiet him in the Possession, being disturbed by the Trustee, it was held by my Lord Keeper, that an Injunction for quieting the Possession is only grantable where the Plaintiff has been in Possession for the Space of three Years before the Bill exhibited, upon a Title yet undetermined, or in Case the Cause hath been heard, and Judgments passed upon the Mcrits of the Cause by the Court.

1 Vein. 22, 208. Show, P. C.

There is an Injunction to prevent Multiplicity of Suits; as where many Suits are depending, and are likely to happen, from one and the fame Thing, the Court will here interpose, and grant an Injunction; they will direct a proper Issue to try the whole, and all the rest shall be bound by the Verdict, or else there might be twenty Actions, and as (a) As where many Verdicts, where one (a) proper Direction or Issue ends the whole,

feveral Teand it is only directing one Issue to prevent many more. nants of a

Manor claim the Profits of a Fair. 1 Vern. 266. — So to settle the Boundaries of Lands. Preced-Chan. 261.

1 Vern. 269. If a Person is sued at Law for irregularly serving the Process of the Court of Chancery, it is faid that an Injunction will be granted to stay

the Proceedings at Law; for the Irregularity is only punishable in that Court.

Where two Courts have a concurrent Jurisdiction of the fame Thing, that Court shall retain the Cause which is first possessed of it; as between the Exchequer and Chancery, the County Palatines and Chancery; but if Legacies are given to Infint Children by a Stranger, and their Father being appointed their Guardian by the Spiritual Court, fues the Executor there for Recovery of them, (a) Chancery will grant an Injunction (a) That against his proceeding in that Court; because the Spiritual Court cannot Chancery will grant an order the Legacies to be put out at Interest for the Childrens Benefit, Injunction to as the Chancery may do, they may compel the Father to give good flayProceed-Security with two Sureties; fo where a Husband fues in the Spiritual ings in the Court for a Legacy given his Wife, an Injunction will be awarded, Spiritual Court. because that Court cannot compel him to make an adequate Settlement Preced. Chan. or Provision for his Wife; but if the Executor be ordered by such a 287. Time to bring in the Money, which he neglects to do, no Injunction will be granted, because the Bill might have been brought only for Delay, and the Executor might at any Time he pleased dismiss his own Bill.

There are other Injunctions which are never denied; as in an Ejectment, where the Party agrees to give Judgment in Ejectment to prevent Trial, to give a Release of Errors, and to consent not to bring a Writ of Error, and to this it is sometimes added to deliver Possession, as the Court upon hearing shall direct; this forwards the Defendant at Law, and he could have no more if he were to proceed to Trial.

Where a Mortgagee brought a Bill to foreclose, and pending the Suit 2 Vern. 401. an Advowson appendant to the mortgaged Manor became void, and the Mortgagee being hindered from pretenting, brought his Quare Impedit, and the Court granted an Injunction, (b) tho' he had no Bill filed.

(b) An Injunction is

never to be granted before Bill filed. 4 Inft. 92. 1 Vern. 156. S. P. faid.

Where a Cause abated by the Death of the Lady Gerard, and the Abr Eq. 285. Defendant was her Executor, who being ferved with a Copy of the Bill Duke Hamilof Revivor, and my Lord Keeper's Letter, would not appear, being in ton verfus Macclesfield. Privilege; and upon Motion an Injunction was granted, tho' the Caufe was not revived; and the Case of Armstrong and Jackson was cited, where before a Demurrer determined the Plaintiff had an Injunction

So where the Lord H'barton had an Injunction to quiet him in the Abr Eq. 285. Possession of the Mines in Question, and upon hearing of the Cause an Robinson and Issue was directed to try, whether the Mines in Question were within the Lord Wharton. Plaintiff's or Defendant's Manor; the Issue was tried at Bar, and found for the Plaintiff, then the Plaintiff died, and a Bill of Revivor was brought, and before the Time for answering was out, or the Cause revived, the Plaintiff moved for an Injunction to stay the Lord Wharton's working the Mines, having Affidavit that fince the Verdict against him he had trebled the Number of Workmen, and between that and Candlemas would work out the Mines; and an Injunction was granted, tho the Cause was not revived.

#### (B) Tuhat hall be a Breach thereof, and how punissed.

Lane 96. Bent's Case.

F there be a Suit in Equity concerning Title to a Close, and there-I upon an Order is made, that the Defendant shall suffer the Plaintiff to enjoy the Close till, &r. and notwithstanding the Defendant upon a Title of Common puts in his Cattle, this is no Breach of the Injunction; for the Common was not in Question by the Bill.

1 Salk. 322. Booth and Booth 6 Mod 288. (a) That a Common Law Court will not enlarge the Term in E-

A. obtained Judgment against B. but was hung up from taking out Execution for a Year and a Day by Injunction out of Chancery, and the Question was, whether he could after take out Execution without a S. C. in B. R. Scire Facias, and it was held, that he could not: 1st, Because the Common Law Court cannot take (a) Notice of Chancery Injunctions. 2dly, Because it had been no (b) Breach of the Injunction to have taken out a Writ of Execution, and to have continued it by. Vicecomes non missis

jectment where the Plaintiff has been hung up by an Injunction out of Chancery. I Salk. 257. (b) That a Person may enter so as to intitle himself to an Action for Recovery of the mesne Prosits; notwithstanding an Injunction. 2 Vern. 519.

I Vern. 207. Saxby.

Where a Defendant having taken out Execution in Breach of an Childrens ver. Injunction of the Court of Chancery, and some of the Bailiffs who ferved the Execution having, as was alledged, found out a Place in a Wall in the Plaintiff's House, that was made up again with Bricks, wherein was hid 150 l. and having taken away the Money, and done great Spoil to the Plaintiff's Goods, it was ordered by the Lord Chancellor, that the Defendant should make good this Money to the Plaintiff, and should satisfy all other Damage which the Plaintiff would swear he had sustained; and this Order was confirmed by the succeeding Lord Keeper; tho' it was objected, that the Order was unreasonable, in making the Plaintiff Judge of his own Damage, that the Defendant came into Possession by Course of Law, and the Bailists were legal Officers, who, if they did any thing amiss, the Party ought to take his Remedy at Law against them, and the Defendant ought not to be answerable for their Misdemeanors; but the Lord Keeper held the Order to be just, and he thought it an idle Practice in the Court to put a Thief to his Oath to accuse himself; for he that has stolen will not stick to forswear it; and therefore in Odium Spoliatoris the Oath of the Party injured should be a good Charge upon him that has done the Wrong.

As concerning the Breach of Injunctions, it hath been of late practifed to commit the Party on Affidavit of the Breach, and personal Notice given to him, but never on Notice to his Clerk; whereas by the antient Rule where a Man is guilty of the Breach of an Injunction, upon an Affidavit made thereof, the Plaintiff's Clerk in Court issues out an Attachment against him of Court is the party of the par Attachment against him of Course, he is arrested thereon, gives Bail to the Sheriff, enters his Appearance with the Register; so the Court has hold of him; the Plaintiff files Interrogatories in the Examiner's Office to examine him; the Interrogatories are Verbatim according to the Affidavit; and if the Party does neglect to attend and be examined, it is a Motion of Courfe to examine him in four Days, or stand committed; if he confesses the Contempt, he must submit, own his Fault, beg Pardon, and pay Costs; but if he denies it by his Examination, the Plaintiff descends to prove it upon him; then the Plaintiss moves to refer it to a Master, to see whether the Party is guilty of the Contempt laid to his Charge, or not; here again he hath Liberty to be heard, and may except

to the Report, and bring it on for the Judgment of the Court; and if the Court is of Opinion that he is guilty of the Contempt, he must stand committed, and pay the Costs; but if the Court is of a contrary Opinion, (as it sometimes happens) he is acquitted, with Costs.

#### (C) How dissolved.

THE Methods of diffolving Injunctions are various; when the Anfwer comes in, and the Party hath cleared his Contempt by paying the Costs of the Attachment, (if there is one,) he obtains an Order to dissolve Nisi, and serves it on the Plaintiss's Clerk in Court; this Order takes Notice of the Defendant's having fully answered the Bill, and thereby denied the whole Equity thereof, and being regularly ferved, the Plaintiff must shew Cause at the Day, or the Defendant's Counsel, where there is no Probability of shewing Cause, may move to make the Order absolute, unless Cause, sitting the Court.

The Plaintiff must shew Cause either on the Merits, or upon filing Exceptions; if upon the Merits, the Court may put what Terms they pleafe on him; as bringing in the Money, or paying it to the Parties, subject to the Order of the Court, or giving Judgment with a Release of Errors, and consenting to bring no Writ of Error, or to give Security to abide the Order on hearing, or the like; and to this Order is generally added a Clause, that the Plaintiff shall speed his Cause to a Hearing.

If the Plaintiff shews Cause upon Exceptions filed, he must procure the Report in four Days of the Infufficiency of the Answer; and if the Motion is made at either of the last Seals after Hillary or Trinity Term, the Court formetimes puts the Plaintiff upon opening the Exceptions, and they judge whether they are material, or not; the Reason of this is, because the Defendant, if the Motion should be reported sufficient, hath no Opportunity to move the Court till the Seal before the next Term, and is thereby very greatly delayed; if the Court think the Exceptions material and necessary, they will grant the Motion; if otherwise, they will deny it, as the Case appears; and to this is sometimes added a Claufe to the Order, especially when the Motion is made at the last Seal, that the Plaintiff shall procure the Report in four Days, or his Injunction to stand dissolved without further Motion; whereas it is not so in open Term, or at any of the Seals save the last; and this Clause being added, the Court needs not to hear the Exceptions opened, which oftentimes take up too much Time.

If the Master reports the Answer sufficient, it is a Motion of Course to diffolve the Injunction on the Answer's being reported sufficient; but yet the Plaintiff may shew Cause on the Merits; for there are many Instances where the Plaintist's Counsel may think the Answer not full, and yet may be mistaken, and notwithstanding this, the Plaintiss may have good Caufe on the Merits for Continuance of his Injunction; and it feems reasonable that he have Liberty to do it; but this must be done on Notice given to the other Side; he cannot do it when the Defendant's Counsel come to move to dissolve the Injunction, on the Auswer's being reported sufficient; because as this is a Motion of Course, the Party is not prepared to fpeak to the Merits; but he may have Liberty

on Notice given.

If the Plaintiff who hath an Injunction dies pending the Suit, in Strictness the whole Proceedings are a atcd, and the Injunction with them; but even in this Cafe the Party shall not take out Execution Vol. III.

without special Leave of the Court; he must move the Court for the Plaintiff to revive his Suit within a Time limited, or the Injunction to ftand dissolved; and as this is never denied, so if the Suit is not revived, the Party takes out Execution. There are fome Instances where a Plaintiff may move to revive his Injunction; but as that rarely happens, fo it is rarely granted, especially where the Injunction hath been before disfolved: But where a Bill is dismissed, the Injunction and every Thing elfe is gone, and Execution may be taken out the next Day.

## Juns and Junkeeper.

- (A) Jung by what Authority created, and yow far within the Statutes concerning Alchouses.
- (B) Tho hall be faid a common Junkceper; and therein of the Privileges allowed him by Law.
- (C) Of the Buties enjoined Junkcepers by Law: And herein,
  - 1. To what Things the Duty of an Innkeeper extends.
  - 2. Of the Offence of felling corrupt Commodities, or at exorbitant Prices.
  - 3. Of the Offence of refuling to harbour or entertain a Guest.
  - 4. In what Cases chargeable for Things stolen or lost.
  - 5. Who is fuch a Guest as may charge an Innkeeper.
  - 6. Of the Manner in which he is to be charged.
- (D) Of the Junkceper's Remedies against his Guests.
- (A) Inns by What Authority creded, hold far Within the Statutes concerning Alchouses.

Palm 367. 1 Bulf. 109 Gidb. 345. 2 Rol Rej. 345. 2 Keb. 506. Salk. 45.

2 Rol Abr 84. T seems to be agreed at this Day, that any Person may set up a Palm 367.

1 Bull 100 Situation, or to its Increasing the Number of Inns, not only to the Prejudice of the Publick, but also to the Hindrance and Prejudice of other antient and well-governed Inns: For the keeping of an Inn is no Franchife, but a lawful Trade, open to every Subject, and therefore there is no Need of any (a) License from the King for that (a litisful), that in antient Times

Inns were allowed in the Fyre. 2 Rol. Rop. 343. — But this is made a Quare in Palm. 374 and in Huttin 100, it is faid, that there was no such Thing in the Eyres; but because that Strangers, which were Aliens, were abused and evilly intreated in Inns, it was, upon Complaint thereof, provided that they should be well lodged, and Inns were assigned to them by the Justices in Eyre. — In Cro. Fac. 528, there is an Instance of one outlawed on a Quo Warranto for keeping an Inn.

But as Inns from their Number and Situation may become Nufances, Cro. Car. 549. they may be suppressed, and the Parties keeping them may at Common Last. Fusion, Law be (') indicted and fined, as being guilty of a publick Nusance; the Authoriand in like Manner may they be dealt with, if they usually harbour ties such and Thieves, or Persons of scandalous Reputation, or suffer frequent Difor- (b) hour Persons in their Houses.

erceting four feveral Ions ad Commune Novumentum; and it was ruled, that for feveral Offences of the same Nature several Persons may be indicted in the same Indiament; but then it must be laid sevaraliter erexerunt, and for Want of the Word separaliter the Indiament was quashed. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 174.

He who has an Inn by Prescription may lawfully enlarge it upon the <sup>2</sup> Rol. Alr. same Land which has been used with it, either by erecting new Buildings thereon, or turning Stables into Chambers of Entertainment; and he shall have the same Privilege in such new Part, as in any other Part of his House.

Also it is agreed, that the Statute of (c) 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 25. and other Hotton 99. Statutes concerning the Licensing of Alchouses, &c. do not extend to (c) for the Inns, unless an Inn degenerate into an Alehouse by suffering disorderly Construction Tippling, &c. in which Case it shall be deemed as such.

1 Mod. 34.

1 Sand. 249. 4 Mo. 144. Carth. 151, 263 Skin. 293. 1 Sh.w. 269. Comb. 405. And note, That as to the Licenting of Alchouses the Justices of Peace are the sole Judges, and have a discretiously Power of granting or refusing such License, and will not be compelled thereto by Mandamus, or otherwise.

## (B) This hall be faid a common Junkceper; and therein of the Publicges allowed him by Law.

Person who makes it his (d) Business to entertain Travellers and Passa 3-4. Passengers, and provide Lodging and Necessaries for them and 2-Rol Res. their Horses and Attendants, is a common Innkeeper; and it is no way (d) If one material whether he have any Sign before his Door, or not.

be affigned for Hef, itaterem Demin. Regis to harbour a Man, he is not bound to take Charge of the Groots of his Guett. 1 Rel. 4tr. 2. Lyer 158 in Margin. — An Infant Innkeeper not chargeable. 1 R.I. 4tr. 2. fecus of a Perion non compos. Cio. Eliz. 622.

But tho' it be the Entertaining of Paffengers that makes a Man an Palm. 574. Innkeeper, yet it is fald, that if a Perfon having put up a Sign before his Godbe 546. Door afterwards pull it down, he thereby difcharges himfelf of the Burthen of an Innkeeper; but if after the taking down his Sign he ules to harbour Men, it is as much a common Inn as if he had a Sign.

It hath been adjudged, that a Perfon Lying at Epjon and lodging Carl. 417. Strangers for drinking the Waters in the Season, and felling them Vieluals to Salk 387, and Beer, and to no other Persons except such Lodgers, is not an Inn- \$5 Med. 427. keeper, so as to have Soldiers quartered on him, pursuant to the Statute Furst and 4 & 5 11. 3 cap. 13. for he is not such an Hispatier against whom an Fight, addition judged.

Action lies for refusing to entertain a Guest; also in this Case the Lodgers have such an Interest in their Rooms, that they may maintain an Action of Trespass against any one who should enter into them against their Will.

Cro. Car 271. Chapman ver.

A Perfon who receives Cattle to agift, on an Agreement to pay for much a Week for them, cannot retain them till Payment, as an Innkeeper may the Horse of his Guest, unless there be a special Agreement to that Purpole.

Cro. Car 549. 1 Fones 437. March 35. S. C. Crifp and Prat. 3 Med. 327. 1 Show 268 1 Salk. 109. Skin 291. Comb. 181. Carth. 149. S. P. adjudged between Newton and Trigg.

An Innkeeper is distinguished from other Traders, in that he cannot be a Bankrupt; for tho' he buys Provisions to be spent in his House, yet he does not properly fell them, but utter them at fuch Rates as he thinks reasonable, and the Attendance of his Servants, Furniture of his House, 3 Lev. 309 & &c. are to be confidered; and the Statutes of Bankruptcy only mention Merchants that use to buy and sell in Gross, or by Retail, and fuch as get their Living by buying and felling, so that their principal Subfistence is by buying and felling; but the Contracts with Innkeepers are not for any Commodities in Specie, but they are Contracts for House-Room, Trouble, Attendance, Lodging, and Necessaries, and therefore cannot come within the Defign of such Words, since there is no Trade carried on by buying and bartering Commodities.

For the Security and Protection of Travellers, Inns are allowed certain 3 Bull. 270. Co Lit. 47. (a) Privileges, fuch as that the Horse and Goods of a Guest cannot be (a) In T Rol. distrained, &c.

Abr. 650. it is faid, that by some Opinions, Travellers Horses depastured by an Innkeeper pay no Tithes by the Common Law. - But the contrary hereof is holden in Hard. 35.

Hill. 25 & Allon. Raym. 231. S. C.

Also the Law takes Care of the Reputation of an Innkeeper; and 20 Car. 2. therefore where in Case for Words the Plaintiff declared, that he was possessed of certain Stables in quodam loco vocat' Bell-Savage Inn, that he had Accommodation for Travellers, and that he got his Living by the exercifing of that Faculty; that the Defendant was possessed of another Inn, and that a Person not known inquiring for the Bell-Savage Inn, (whither he was directed, to fet up his Horfe,) he faid thefe Words, This is Bell-Savage Inn; and at another Time he faid to another Person, Tou have nothing to do there, he is broke and run away, there is no Entertainment for Man or Horse; by reason of which Words he lost his Customers; and on Not guilty pleaded, the Jury having found for the Defendant as to the first Words, and as to the last for the Plaintiff, it was adjudged clearly for the Plaintiff; and Hale C. J. held farther, that if a Man keeps an Inn, and another that lives just by him, defigning to get away his Customers, tells a Person who inquires for such Inn, that no one lives there, this is actionable; also it was said by Hale to have been adjudged actionable to diffuade a Perfon from going to an Inn, by telling him the Small-Pox was there.

#### (C) Of the Duties enjoined Junkeepers by **Maw**: And therein,

1. Co what Things their Duty extends.

THE Duty of Innkeepers extends chiefly to the entertaining and 9 Co 97. harbouring of Travellers, finding them Victuals and Lodgings, and I yer 158 Pro. Alion fur securing the Goods and Effects of their Guests; and therefore if one Cafe 76, 92.

who keeps a common Inn refuse either to receive a Traveller as a Guest into his House, or to find him Victuals or Lodging, upon his tendering him a reasonable Price for the same, he is not only liable to render Damages for the Injury in an Action on the Cafe, at the Suit of the Party grieved, but also may be indicted and fined at the Suit of the

For he, who takes upon himself a publick Employment, must serve the t East. 18, Publick as far as his Employment goes; therefore an Innkeeper shall not only answer for his own Neglects, but also for the Neglects of those

who act under him, tho' he should expresly caution against it.

, But the Duty of an Innkeeper does not extend to the finding of his 2 Rel Reg Guest with Cloaths or Wearing Apparel.

Also if the Guest be assaulted and beat within the Inn, he shall have 8 co. 32 in no Action against his Host; for the Charge of the Host extends to the Calge's Cates

Moveables only, and not the Person of the Guest.

If a Man comes to a common Inn to harbour, and defires that his \$ Co. 32. to Horse be put to Grass, and the Host put him to Grass accordingly, and Cape's Case the Horse is stole, the Host shall not be charged; because by Law the adjudged. Host is not bound to answer for any Thing out of his Inn, but only for \$. P. adthose Things that are infra Hospitium. judged.

2 Brownl. 255 S. P. per Cur.

But if the Owner does not require the Host to put his Horse to Grass, & Co. 32. h. but the Host does it of his own Head, if the Horse be stole, he shall 4 Leon. 96 answer for it.

Also if the Host upon the Command of the Guest puts the Horse to I Rol. Abr 4. Grass, and by the voluntary and wilful Negligence of the Host the Mosey and Horse is stole, as if the Host voluntarily leaves open the Gares of the Fifth. Close, by which Means the Horse strays out, and so is stole or lost, an Action (a) on the Case lies against the Host. (1) This, it

be intended a special Action on the Case, and not on the Custom of the Realm; for which vide Rob. Ent. 23, 24. Hern's Plead 250.

#### 2. Of the Offence of felling corrupt Commodities, or at erozbitant Pzices,

Innholders are restrained from selling at exorbitant Prices, and may Carth. 1500. be indicted if they extort any greater or larger Sums than those Rates Skin. 291. An Innkeepand Prices that are (b) imposed on their Commodities. er indicted

for taking too great a Price for Oats. Cro. Jac. 609. (b) Proclamation was made in Court for the County of Middlefex for the Rates and Prices of Hofflers, viz. Hay for a Night and Day for one Horse 9 d. with Litter, Hay for one Day 4 d. for one Horse, without Hay 2 d. Oats 8 d. by the Peck, and not more. Raym. 162.

And to this Purpose it is Enacted by 21 Jac. 1. cap. 21. 6 That all Et vile 23 6 Hoftlers or Innholders shall sell their Horse-Bread, and their Hay, E. 3. cap. 6. Oats, Beans, Peafe, Provender, and all kind of Victual, both for Man P. C. 235. 6 and Beast, for reasonable Gain, having Respect to the Gain for which ' they shall be fold in the Markets adjoining, without taking any thing for Litter. And it is further Enacted by the said Statute, That every 6 Hostler and Innkeeper dwelling in any Town or Village being a Tho-' roughfare, and no City, Town Corporate, or Market-Town, wherein any common Baker, having been an Apprentice to the Trade for feven Years, is dwelling, may make within his House Horse-Bread, fufficient, lawful, and of due Affise according to the Price of Grain 6 and Corn. And it is further Enacted, That if the Horse-Bread which any of the faid Hostlers or Innholders shall make be not sufficient, e lawful, and of due Affise according to the Price of Grain and Corn as

3 A

Vol. III.

- abovefaid, or that if any of them shall offend in any Thing contrary to
- this Act, the Justices of Assisfe, Justices of Oyer and Terminer, Juflices of Peace in every Shire, Liberty or Franchife within this Realm,
- 6 Sheriffs in their Turns, and Stewards in their Leets, may inquire, hear,
- and determine the faid Offences of the faid Hostlers and Innholders,
- who shall be fined for the first Offence according to the Quantity of
- the Offence, and for the fecond Offence shall be imprisoned for one

6 Month, and for the third Offence shall stand upon the Pillory."

9 H 6. 53. 1 Rol Abr. 95.

If an Innkeeper fell corrupt Wine or Victuals, an Action lies against him; also if his Servant fell such corrupt Wine or Victual, an Action on the Cafe lies against the Master, tho' he did not order the Servant to fell it to any particular Person.

#### 3. Of the Offence of refusing to harbour of entertain a

It has been already observed, that if one who keeps a common Inn Dyer 158. (a) resule either to receive a Traveller as a Guest into his House, or to pl. 33. <sup>2</sup> Brown! <sup>254</sup> find him Victurels or Lodging, upon his (b) tendering him a reasonable 2 Rol. Rep. Price for the fame, he is not only liable to render Damages for the Injury 345. Kelw. 50. in an Action on the Case, at the Suit of the Party grieved, but may Palm. 367. also be indicted and fined at the Suit of the King. Godb. 346.

1 Salk. 388. Carth. 150. S. P. admitted. (a) Without a reasonable Excuse; and therefore if he refuse under Pretence that his House is already full of Guelts, if this be false, an Action on the Case lies. Dyer 158. 1 Rol. Ahr 3. (b) That he is not bound to let him have Meat unless paid before-hand; for the Host is not bound to trust. Bro. Action fur Cafe 76. Bro. Contract 43. 9 Co. S7. b.

Also it is said, that an Innkeeper may be compelled by the Constable Dalt. cap. 7. of the Town to receive and entertain a Person as his Guest. 1 Show. 268. Dalt. cap. 7.

A100r 867. Also an Innkeeper, or a Person keeping a Livery Stable, is obliged to pl 1229. receive a Horse, tho the Owner does not lodge in his House; for by pl 1229. 254 it is faid taking upon him a publick Employment, he is obliged to ferve the Pubby Coke C. J. lick as far as his Employment extends. that an Inn-

keeper is not bound to receive a Horse, unless the Master be lodged there. - And herewith in 1 Salk. 388. my Lord C. J. Holt agrees; but the other three Judges differ from him, because by the keeping of the Horle the Innkeeper has Gain, tho' it would be otherwife of a Trunk, or other dead Thing.

#### 4. In what Cases chargeable for Things Rolen or loft.

Dyer 266. Innkeepers are clearly chargeable for the Goods of Guests stolen or S Co. 32 a. lost out of their Inns, and this without any Contract or Agreement for Poph. 178. that Furpole; for the Law makes them liable in Respect of the Reward, Noy 79. Latch 179. as also in Respect of their being Places appointed and allowed of by Law, for the Benefit and Security of Traders and Travellers.

Fitz. Hoftler 5. And this Duty and Burthen, enjoined Innkeepers by Law, they cannot Bro. Action discharge themselves of, under Pretence of (c) Sickness, Want of Underfur le Cafe 41. standing, (d) Absence from their Houses, &c.

fore if an Innkeeper be so distempered that he is not of a found Memory, and a Guest knowing thereof, Inns there, where his Goods are stole, an Action on the Case lies against the Innkeeper; for he cannot disable himself by saying he was not then of a sound Memory. Cro Eliz. 622. Cross and Andrews, adjudged. 1 Rol. Abr. 2. S. C.— But an Infant Innkeeper shall not be charged, for his Privilege shall be preferred and take Place of the Custom. 1 Rol. Abr. 2. Vide Head of Infants. (d) If the Innkeeper goes Abroad, he must answer for the Goods of his Guest; for he ought to have a Servant to take Care of them in his Absence. of them in his Absence. 11 H 4.45. 1 Rel. Abr. 4. — But if an Inn is broke open, and the Goods of Guests taken away by the King's Enemies, the Innkeeper is not auswerable. Plew. 9. b. But if a Perlon comes to an Innkeeper, and defires to be entertained Eendl 60-by him, which the Innkeeper refuses, because his House is already full, pl. 101. Whereupon the Party says, he will shift among the rest of the Guests, 1 And 20. adjudged.

It is faid in Dyer, that if the Host require his Guest to put his Goods Dyer 266. in such a Chamber under Lock and Key, and that then he will warrant Spenfer's Case their Sasety, or else not, and notwithstanding the Guest suffers them to — But in lie in an outer Court, where they are stole, no Action lies against the Host; for they were not lost thro' the Neglect of the Host, but of the Jil 207, 158, pl. 299. the Guest.

Guest.

otherwise, and that the Host cannot discharge himself of this Branch of his Duty by such a Declaration as this.

If the Host delivers the Key of the Chamber where the Goods are to \$ Co. 33. 40 the Guest, and he leaves the Door open, and the Goods are stole, yet in Cally's an Action lies against the Host; for at his Peril he ought to keep safely the Goods of his Guests.

If the Guest is robbed by his Servant, or by one that comes with him, 8 Co 33. a. or by one that desires may be lodged with him, he shall have no Action in Caly's against the Host; for it was the Folly of the Guest to keep such a Cro. El z. 285. Servant or Company, and there is no Default of good Custody in the Fitz. Hostler Host.

It feems the Host is answerable, tho' the Guest does not acquaint him 8 Co. 33. a. But it is said, that if an

Host demands of his Guest what Money or Goods he has, and he tells him none, or less in Truth than he has, if afterwards they are lost, the Host is not answerable. Moor 158. pl. 299 fer Anderson. But Windham, Periam cont.

#### 5. Who is such a Guelt as may charge an Junkceper.

If an Host invites one to Supper, and the Night being far spent, in- 2 Brownl. vites him to stay all Night, if he is after robbed, yet shall not the Host 8 Co. 32- b. be charged; for this Guest was no (a) Traveller.

Skin. 276. S. P. (a) By the antient Law the first Day he was called a Traveller, the second Day a Hogenbind, and the third Day a menial Servant, for whom the Host should answer in the Leet as for his Servant, per Lat. b 88.

If a Man comes to an Inn with a Hamper, in which he hath feveral 1 Rol Abr. Goods, and goes away, leaving this with the Host, and (b) two Days 3, 338 Cro Fac. 188, have no Action against the Host; for at the Time of the stealing he 8. C. adjudgwas not his Guest, and by the keeping the Hamper the Host had no cd between Benefit, and therefore shall not be charged with the Loss of it in his felly and Clerk.

Absence.

(b) Otherwise if he had returned the same Night. Moor \$77. Poph. 179.

But if A. comes with Goods to an Inn in London, and stays there for Latch 127. a Week, Month, or longer, and is there robbed of them, he shall have Poph. 179 an Action against his Host; tho' perhaps being at the End of his Journey, he cannot then be said transeums, according to the Writ in the Register.

But if an Attorney hires a Chamber in an Inn for the whole Term, Moor \$77.

he is quasi a Lessee, and if robbed, the Host not answerable.

So if a Man upon a special Agreement boards or sojourns in an Inn, Lath 127, and is robbed, the Host shall not answer for it.

Hetley 49

#### Inns and Innkeeper.

1 Rol. Abr. 3. So if the Guest (a) deliver the Goods to the Host upon another Action and Man count, he shall not be charged if lost or stolen.

shall be charged with the sase Custody of Goods by a general Acceptance, vide Co. Lit. 89. 1 Rol. albr. 33S. and Tit. Builment.

If a Man comes to an Inn with a Horse which he rides, and leaves it with the Host, and goes away from the Inn for several Days, and in his Absence the Horse is stole, yet shall the Host be charged for it, because he had Benefit by the Continuance of the Horse with him, inasmuch as he is to be paid for it, and so the Owner is a sufficient Guest to maintain an Action.

Cro. Jac. 224. If a Man's (b) Servant, travelling on his Master's Business, comes to Telv 162.

Dier 158. in Margin
Margin
Noy 79.

If a Man's (b) Servant, travelling on his Master's Business, comes to the Master's Busines

Noy 79. in him.

1 Rol. Abr. 3.

(b) But if a Person takes another's Horse and rides him to an Inn, where he is stole, the Owner shall not have an Astion against the Host, but must take his Remedy against the Taker. 1 Rol. Abr. 3.

(c) It is said, that if a common Carrier is robbed in his Inn, the Owner, and not the Carrier, shall have the Astion. Dalf 8. But this, it seems, is not Law, being sounded on a Supposition that the Carrier is not answerable to the Owner.

Yelv. 162. So if A. fends Money by his Friend, and he is robbed in his Inn, A. shall have the Action.

Latch 127. If one Joint-tenant of Goods is robbed, both may have the Action, Roph. 179.

#### 5 Of the Manner in which he is to be charged.

The (d) Form of the Writ is thus, Cum secundum Legem & (e) Consue (d) For this tudinem Regni nostri Angliæ Hospitatores, qui Hospita communia tenent ad vide Reg. 104 hospitand' Homines, &c. transcuntes, & in cisdem Hospitantes, eorum Bona, a. 105. a.

EN B. 94 b. &c. absque Substractione seu Amissione custodire tenentur, (f) quidam Male(e) This is fastores quendam Equum (g) ipsius A. &c. (b) infra (i) Hospitium ejusdem the Course;
B. &c. invent' pro Descetu ipsius B. ceperunt, &c.

a Custom confined to any particular Place, but it is such which is extensive to all the King's People. 3 Mod. 227 Fitz Hostler 2. Bro. Action sur Case 41. (f) He need not name them, because by Presumption of Law he hath no Knowledge of them. Plow. 129. a. (g) Per Hetley 49. it ought to be shewn that the Guest transcums bespitavit; yet quare; for perhaps he was at the End of his Journey. Latch 127. Poph. 179. and all the Entries are otherwise. (b) The Writ was, 100% of the Plaintist in Element of the Desendant Hospitati seperant, &c. and the objected in Hespitio referred to the Person, and not to the Money, and that he might harbour in the House of the Desendant, and his Money be stole elsewhere, and that it should have been ibidem invent seperant, yet the Writ was adjudged good. Fitz. Hossler 2. Bro. Action sur le Case 58. (i) And this is well enough, the not shown by what Authority or License held. 2 Rol. Rep. 346. Palm. 374. Godb. 346.

S Co 32. a. The Writ need not mention that the Defendant (k) keeps commune (k) In the Writ he may be named to for it must be so intended; for the Recital of the Writ is, Hospitatores qui communia Hospitia tenent, &c. and the latter Words devenue, but pend upon the former; (1) but the Plaintiff ought to count that he kept in the December 1.

must be shewn that he is a common Hostler Bro. General Brief 16. Bro. Action sur Case 58. Fitz, Hostler 2. (1) Vide Dyer 266 pl. 9. Hob. 245. 2 Leon. 162.

Cro. J. ac. 224.

Beedle and Morris, adjudged.

Telo. 162. S.C.

(m) In this

Cro. J. ac. 224.

If in fuch Action brought (m) by the Master for Goods stole from his Scrvant, the Plaintiff lays the Custom that Innkeepers ought safely to keep the Goods of their Guests, and all other Goods into their Inns brought, the Custom is sufficiently alledged to maintain the Action,

Case there is no direct Writ in the Register; but by the Statute of Westm. 2. the Clerks shall agree to make a special Writ. Dals. 8, 9,

notwith-

notwithstanding it was objected, (a) there was no such Custom to keep (1) That the diffreeital the Goods of others fafely. thereof is immaterial, for it is the Common Law Latch 127 per Jones and Pod. 1 St., 245 Heb 18 3 Med 22.

If in his Declaration the Plaintiff lays the Custom for common Inns, 110b. 245. If in his Declaration the Plaintin mays the Culton for common thing, and then lays that he was Hospitatus in Hospitio, &c. this is well enough; Fri 475 for it must be intended that it was commune, esse it is Domus, & non Rob. Lat. :2. Hofpitium.

The Declaration against an Innkeeper was thus, Præd' D. com' Hospitat' 6 A'o'. 223adtunc & ibidem exissen' in Stabulum deliberavit a certain Gelding, to be stimon and by him fafely kept, ar a reasonable Rate, and to be by him fafely re-de- 1 Salk 404. livered to the Plaintiff, and after Verdict for the Flaintiff, it was ob- S C. but not jected, that for ought appears the Horse was put into the Desendant's S. P. Stable without his Frivity, in which Case he is not bound to take any Care of it; for the Words being pred' D. com' Hespitat' existen' may as well be taken in an Ablative as Dative Cafe: But the Court held, that the Words being indifferent to an Ablative or Dative Case, they ought to be taken in that Case which makes the Declaration good, and therefore gave Judgment for the Plaintiff.

#### (D) Of the Innkeeper's Remedies against his Guelts.

INNKEEPERS may detain the (1) Person of the Guest who eats, 39 H. 6. 13. or the Horse which eats, till Payment, and this he may do without 5 H. 7. 15. any Agreement for that Purpose; for Men, that get their Livel hood 2 Rol., 1br. 85. by Entertainment of others, cannot annex fuch diffoliging Conditions Carth. 150. that they shall retain the Party's Property in Case of Non-payment, nor 1 Sak. 388. make fuch difadvantageous and impudent a Supposition, that they shall (b) May denot be paid; and therefore the Law annexes such a Condition without for of his the express Agreement of the Parties.

For it would be hard to oblige him to fue for every little Debt, and a greater Hardship that he might not be able to find him who was his Gueft. - But if a Perfor goes into an Inn or Tavern, and calls for Wine, and goes away without paying for it, no Action of Trespats lies against him; for the going into the Inn or Tavern was lawful, and therefore the Vintner must pursue his Remedy by Action of Debt S Co. 147.

If A, injuriously take away the Horse of B, and put him into an Inn Yelv. 67. to be kept, B. comes and demands him, he shall not have him until he 3 Bulf 369, hath fatisfied for his Meat; for when an Innkeeper takes a Horfe into 270. Abr S5. his Keeping he is not bound to inquire who is the Owner of the Horse, Poph. 128, which he is obliged to keep, let him belong to whom it will, and there- 179. fore no Reason that the Innkeeper should be obliged to deliver him t.ll

If A, deliver an Horse to an Innkeeper, and B, promises that in Con-Hutton 1010 fideration that the Innkeeper will deliver over the Horfe to A that he, viz. B. will fatisfy him for his Meat, this is a good Promife; for here is a good Confideration, inalmuch as the Innkeeper loses the Detainer, which is a Damage, and A. regains his Horfe, that is to his Advantage.

An Innkeeper that detains a Horse for his Meat cannot use him, Moor 8-7. because he detains him as in the Custody of the Law, and by Conse- 2 Role Rep. quence the Detent on must be in the Nature of a Distrets, which cannot 438. be used by the Distrainer.

Alor 8-6. 3 Bulf. 271 Yelo 67. 1 Rol. Ref. 449.

But by the Custom of London and Exeter, if a Man commit an Horse to an Hostler, and he eat out the Price of his Head, the Hostler may take him as his own, upon the reasonable Appraisement of sour of his Neighbours; which was, it feems, a Custom arising from the Abundance of Traffick with Strangers, that could not be known, to charge them with the Action; (a) but the Innkeeper hath no Power to fell the Horse,

(a) 1 Vent. 71 S P.

by the general Custom of the whole Kingdom.

2 Rol. Alr. S 5.

But if A commit the Horse of B to a Hossler in London, and he eat out his Head, yet cannot the Hostler sell him: For all Customs being derogatory to the Common Law, are to be taken strictly; and there is no Custom of London that hath gone so far as this Case, to authorise one Man to fell and convey the Property of another.

2 Rel. Abr. 85.

If a Man commit his Horse to an Innkeeper, and he put him to Pasture, he may detain the Horse until lie is satisfied for the Meat; for the Pasture of such Persons, set up by the Law for Entertainment, hath the fame Privilege with the Stables.

2 Rol. Rep. 438. & vide 2 Rol. Abr. 85.

If a Horse be committed to an Innkeeper, it may be detained for the Meat of the Horse, but not for the Meat of the Guest; for the Chattels are only in the Custody of the Law for the Debt that arises from the Thing itself, and not from any other Debt due from the same Party; for the Law is open for all fuch Debts, and doth not admit private Perfons to take Reprifals.

2 Rol. Rep. 43S.

If an Horfe be committed to an Innkeeper, and be detained by him for his Meat, and the Owner take him away, the Innkeeper must make fresh Pursuit after him, and retake him, otherwise the Custody of him is lost; for he cannot retake him at any other Time: For if a Distress be rescued, and the Party upon fresh Pursuit do not retake it, the Distress is lost; for no Man that has only a naked Custody can make a Reprisal, when the Thing is out of his Custody; for it is the Power of an Owner and Proprietor, and of him only, to retake fuch his Property, whereever he finds it.

2 Rol. Rep. 43S.

But if an Horse be committed to an Hostler, and he detain him for his Meat, and after the Owner comes to an Agreement that the Hostler shall retain him till he is satisfied, here he hath not only the Custody of him as a Distress, but also the Property in him as a Pledge; and if the Owner take it from him, he shall not only retake it upon fresh Pursuit, but wherever he meets it; because he had a Property by such Contract, and a Man that hath a Property may retake his own where he meets with it.

Skin. 648. Gilber versus Berkeley.

Upon Evidence the Case was, a Man had a Horse in an Inn, and came thither and directed that the Innkeeper should not give him any more Food, for he would not be responsible for it; and the Question was, whether for the Food after this Direction given by the Innkeeper to the Horie, he who brought the Horse thither shall be charged, or not; and Holt C. J. at first inclined that this is a Discharge, and that the Horse (tho' he might be retained by the Innkeeper,) yet is but in the Nature of a Distress, and it being in the Custody of the Innkeeper in his Inn, this is a Pound Covert, and the Horse afterwards ought to be found and maintained at the Peril of the Innkeeper; but after, mutata Opinione, he directed, that this was not a Discharge; for then any Innkeeper might be deceived, and it is the lessening of the Security of an Innkeeper, who may detain, and, by the Custom of London, fell the Horse for his Keeping.

# Joint-tenants and Tenants in common.

- (A) Of the Nature of their Chates; and therein of the Officence between Joint tenants and Cenants in common.
- (B) Cibat Persons may be Joint-tenants of Cenants in common.
- (C) Of what Chings there may be a Joint-tenancy of Cenancy in common.
- (D) How a Joint tenancy is created.
- (E) How a Tenancy in common is created.
- (F) What Words create a Joint-tenancy, and not a Cenancy in common, & e converso.
- (G) Of the Duration and Continuance of the Estate, whether given jointly, or in common; and therein where the Juheritance hall be said to be joint or several.
- (H) Of the joint and distinct Interest of Joint-tenants and Conauts in common, as to Acts done by or to them: And herein,
  - r. In what Acts they must all join.
  - 2. Where the Acts of one will be equally advantageous as if done by both.
  - 3. Where the Acts of one will bind the other, whether to his Advantage or Prejudice.

#### (I) Of Severance and Survivozinip: And herein,

- 1. Of the Right of Survivorship, and what Things will furvive.
- 2. At what Time the Right of Survivorship is to take Place.
- 3. What Disposition will work a Severance, and defeat the Right of Survivorship: And herein,
  - 1. What Disposition with a Stranger will work a Severance.
  - 2. What Difposition or Conveyance by one Joint-tenant or Tenant in common, with his Companion, will work a Severance.
  - 3. At what Time fuch Disposition must be made to take Effect.
  - 4. What shall be a total Severance, or but for a limited Time.

- 5. How far the Charges or Incumbrances of one Jointtenant shall affect the Survivor.
- 6. Of Severance by Operation of Law.
- 7. Of Severance by Compulsion of Law; and therein of the Writ de Partitione facienda.
- (K) Jointstenants and Tenants in common bow to fue and be fued; and therein of Summons and Seberance?
- (L) Of the Kemedies which Joint-tenants and Cenants in common have against each other.

# (A) Of the Nature of their Estates; and there in of the Disserence between Joint-tenants and Tenants in common.

Lit. feet. 277.

(a) Or may be created by other Conveyances, fuch as Fine, Recovery Byronses.

HERE (a) a Feoffment is made to two or more, and their Heirs, or a Lease is made to them for Term of their (b) Lives, they are Joint-tenants; for being jointly infeoffed, &c. they shall jointly hold per mie & per tout, and shall jointly the implement which Property is common between them

Conveyances, fuch as Fine, Recovery, Bar- which neither Coparceners nor Tenants in common have.

gain and Sale, Release, Confirmation, &c. Co. Lit. 180. b. (b) Or by other Limitations, as if a Rent-charge of 101 be granted to A. and B. to have and to hold to them two, viz. to A. until he be married, and unto B. till he be advanced to a Benefice, they are Joint-tenants in the mean time, notwithstanding the several Limitations, and if A. die before Marriage, the Rent shall survive; but if A. had married, the Rent should have ceased for a Moiety; & sic e converso on the other Side. Co. Lit. 180. b. j

Lit. feet 292. Tenants in common are those that come to the Land by several Titles, Co.Lit. 189. a. or by one Title and several Rights; as if there be three Joint-tenants, and one alien his Part, the other two are Joint-tenants of their Parts that remain, and hold them in common with the Alienee; so if Joint-tenants make several Feossens or Gifts in Tail, or Leases for Life, the Feossees, Donees, or Lessees are Tenants in common.

And as the effential Difference between Joint-tenants and Tenants in common is, that Joint-tenants have the Lands by one joint Title, and in one Right, and Tenants in common by feveral Titles, or by one Title and by feveral Rights; this is the Reason, says my Lord Coke, that Joint-tenants have one joint Freehold, and Tenants in common have several Freeholds, tho' this Property is common to them both, viz. that their Occupation is individed, and neither of them knoweth his Part in several.

Hence it appears, that the Wife of a Joint-tenant cannot be endowed;

Co. Lit. 30. a. as if Lands are given to two Men and their Heirs, or the Heirs of their two Bodies, and one of them dies, his Wife shall not be endowed, but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his Wife shall not be endowed, but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his Wife shall not be endowed, but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his Wife shall not be endowed, but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his Wife shall not be endowed, but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his Wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his Wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one Bodies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one Bodies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one Bodies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one Bodies, and one of them dies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one Bodies, and their Heirs of the Heirs of their two Bodies, and one Bodies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one Bodies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one Bodies, and one Bodies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and one Bodies, his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, and the Bodies, and the Bodies his wife shall not be endowed; but two Bodies, a

But

But the Wife of a Tenant in common shall be endowed; for there Lit. set. 44, no Survivorship takes Place, but each Moicty (a) descends to the respective Heirs of the respective Tenant in common, and in such Case the Dower shall be assigned in (b) common too; for she cannot have it other- (a) And it wise than her Husband had.

that a Writ of Dower will lie against the Heir of the Tenant in common before Partition made. 3 Lev 84. Sutton and Rolf. (b) And not by Metes and Bounds; for which vide 1 Brownl. 127. 1 Rel. Abr. 682. Perk. fest. 413.

Also if there be two Joint-tenants, and one releaseth to the other, this Co. Lat. 9. passeth a Fee without the Word Heirs, because it refers to the whole Fee, 200. b. which they jointly took, and are possessed of by Force of the first Conveyance; but Tenants in common cannot release to each other; for a Release supposeth the Party to have the Thing in Demand; but Tenants in common have several distinct Freeholds, which they cannot transfer otherwise than as Persons who are sole seised.

If Lands be given to A, and B, and the Heirs of A. B, who is only 22 B 6. 51. Joint-tenant for Life, cannot furrender his Estate to A. for he is seised 2 Rol. 286.

with him per mie & per tout.

If Land be given jointly to two, upon Condition that they shall not Wind 3. alien, and one of them release to the other, it is no Breach of the Con-Raym. 413-dition.

If there be two Joint-tenants of Land holden by Heriot Service, and Owen 152. one dies, the other shall not pay Heriot Service; for there is no Change Butler and Archer.

of the Tenant, the Survivor continuing Tenant of the whole Land.

And altho' Tenants in common have feveral Freeholds, yet one i Salk 592. Tenant in common cannot difficife the other, otherwife than by an Reading's actual Difficifin, as turning him out, and hindering him to enter; but a

bare Perception of the Profit is not enough.

# (B) What Persons may be Joint-tenants of Tenants in common.

A N Alien and Subject may be Joint-tenants, & nullum tempus occurrit Co.Lit. 180.b. Regi; therefore if an Alien and Subject born purchase Lands to them and their Heirs, the Survivorship shall take Place till Office found; but the Office found intitles the King, and severs the Joint-tenancy.

If a Villein and another Person purchase Lands to them and their Co.Lit. 186 a.

Heirs, the Lord of the Villein may enter into a Moiety.

Bodies Politick or Corporate cannot be Joint-tenants with each other, Co Lit. 189 be neither can a Corporation, whether fole or aggregate, be Joint-tenant 190. a. with a natural Person; and therefore if Land be given to two Bishops, or Abbots, or Parsons, and their Successors, they are Tenants in common at first, and have no joint Estate for Life; for they take in their politick Capacities in Right of their Churches or Houses; so if Land be given to the King and a Subject, and their Heirs, or if the Crown descend to a Joint-tenant, or if Lands be given to a Layman and a Parson, and to the Heirs of one, and Successors of the other, they are Tenants in common; for the Fee vests in them in several Capacities.

But if a Lease for Years or other personal Thing be given to a Lay-Co.Lit 190.40 man and Bishop, &c. they are not Tenants in common, but Jointtenants; for as no Chattel personal can go in Succession, they must both

take in their natural Capacities.

21 E 3. 50. b. Diffeifors may be Joint-tenants, and upon the Death of one of them 2 Rol. Abr. 87. the Survivor shall have the whole; for the Right, such as it was, continued jointly in them.

21 E 3. 50. b. Infants may be Joint-tenants, and if there be two Infants Joint-2 Rol Abr. 87 tenants, who alien in Fee, and one of them dies, the Survivor shall have the whole; for notwithstanding the Alienation the Joint-tenancy is not fevered, by reason of the Possibility of defeating it by Writ Dum suit

infra Ætatem.

Baron and Feme may be Joint-tenants; but herein it is to be observed, that Husband and Wife being considered but as one Person in Law, if Lit. fed. 291. an Estate be made to Husband and Wife, and a third Person, and their (a) A. pur- Heirs, the Husband and Wife take but one (a) Moiety, the third Person

chafed the other. Copyhold

Estate, and took Surrender thereof in the Names of himself, his Wise, and Daughter, and their Heirs, which he afterwards, as visible Owner thereof, mortgaged to F. S. On a Bill brought by the Mortgagee against the Mother and Daughter, to discover their Title, and to set aside their Estates as fraudulent against the Mortgagee, who was a Purchasor; it was held by the Court not to be fraudulent, and that the Husband and Wife took one Moiety by Intireties, which the Husband could not alien, nor diffeofe to as to bind the Wife, and that the other Moiety was well vested in the Daughter. 2 Vern. 120. Buck versus Andrews.

Co. Lit. 187. a. Alfo Baron and Feme being one Person in Law, there can be no Moieties between them of an Estate given to them jointly during Coverture; and therefore if Lands be given to Husband and Wife, and their Beirs, the Husband cannot during the Wife's Life dispose of any Part of it, but the Whole must go to the Survivor of them.

But if an Estate be made to a Man and a Woman, and their Heirs, Co Lit 187. b. before Marriage, and after they marry, the Husband and Wife have

Moieties between them.

And as there can be no Moieties between Husband and Wife of an Co. Lit 187. Estate given to them during Marriage, it hath been holden, that if the Husband be attainted and executed, the Wife shall by her Petition regain all fuch Lands conveyed jointly to her and her Husband.

So if the Lord enter on the Husband being his Villein, and having Co. Lit. 187.

made fuch Purchase, the Wife surviving shall recover the Whole.

€0. Lit. 187. It is faid, that if a Deed of Feoffment or Grant of a Reversion be made to them whilst fole, and then they intermarry before Livery or Attornment, that they take no Moietics; but if they had been seised of an Use by Moieties before 27 H. 8. cap. 10. and such Use had been executed, by the Statute they should have had the Estate of the Land by Moieties; for they should have the Estate in such Plight as they had the Use.

If Husband and Wife vouch and recover by Force of a Warranty Co. Lit 187. made to them when fole, yet they shall have no Moieties in the Estate

1 Co. 101.

Dyer 340.

2 Vern. 67.

tween Kingdom and

Co. Lit. 188. If A make a Feoffment to the Use of himself and such Wise as he shall marry, and afterwards take a Wife, he and his Wife are Jointtenants, tho' he were feifed of a qualified Fee before the Marriage, and the Wife had nothing; for by the Marriage the contingent Estate vested in them both at the same Time by the said Limitation.

If A. purchase a Walk in a Chase, and take the Patent thus, to himself and his Wife, and one J. S. for their Lives, and the Life of the longest Liver of them, and afterwards A. dies indebted, this Purchase is not deereed be-Assets; for it shall be presumed to be intended an (b) Advancement and (b) If Father Provision for the Wife; for she cannot be a Trustee for her Husband, and Som join and therefore she shall enjoy the Benefit of it during her Life; but after

of Lands on a valuable Confideration, and the Father afterwards devifes those Lands, the Court of Chancery will not suppose the Concurrence of the Son was only in Trust for the Father, but that he was made Joint-tenant for his own Advantage; and this, it is faid, was the antient Way of Purchafing to avoid Wardships. I Chan. Ca. 23. Scroops versus Scroops.

her

her Decease, in Case J. S. should survive her, then to be a Trust for the Executor of the Husband, and applied towards the Payment of his Debts

A Lease is made to A. and to Husband and Wise, viz. to A. for Co.Lin. 187 the Life, Husband in Tail, Wise for Years; in this Case each of the three has a several Estate.

If an Estate be limited to Husband and Wise, and the Heirs of the 2 Co. 61. Body of the Husband, they are Joint-tenants for Life, and the Inheritance is so executed in him, that if he makes a Feossiment, this will be a Discontinuance to his Issue; but if he suffers a common Recovery with Derph. 52. Discontinuance to his Issue; but if he suffers a common Recovery with Derph. 52. Discontinuance to his Wise was seison of the Whole jointly with him, and not Part, and there are no Moieties between them, and therefore it cannot be good for any Part; but the Feossiment deals with the Possessimon, and therefore to preserve the Warranty, 1 Sid. 83. this amounts to a Discontinuance, and the Issue shall be put to his Formedon in Descender, and those in Remainder to their Formedon in Remainder; and if the Husband levies a Fine, this will bind the Issue, by the Statute 4 H. 7. and 32 H. 8.

And as the Husband, being jointly seised with his Wise of the Lands, 1 Rol. Acreannot alien them; so neither can he charge such Lands; and therefore 346 where the Husband in such Case acknowledged a Recognizance, and died, it was held, that the Wise should hold the Lands discharged.

Husband and Wife may be Joint-tenants of a Leafe for Years, or other 43 E. 3 10. Chattel (a) real, as well as of a Freehold or Estate of Inheritance.

(a) But if Goods are given to Husband and Wife, the Wife shall not have them by Survivorship, but the Executor of the Husband. 43 E. 3. 10. 1 Rol. Abr. 349.

So if (b) a Statute be acknowledged to Baron and Feme, they are 48 E. 3. 12. b.

Joint-tenants of this, and the Feme shall have all by Survivorship.

Bro. Ear.

Fene 24.

1 Rol. Abr. 342.

Also it hath been ruled in Chancery, that where the Husband lends 2 Vern. 683, out Money in the Names of himself and his Wise, upon Mortgages and Bonds, and dies, that the Wise is intitled to the Money by Survivorship, if there are Assets sufficient to pay the Husband's Debts.

But where the Husband is jointly possessed of a Leasehold Interest, or 1 Rol. Abr. other personal Thing, he may dispose of it in his Life-time without the 343. Consent or Concurrence of his Wife.

But if a Lease be made to Baron and Feme for Years, the Baron Co. Lit. 35t. cannot devise the Term; for the Feme is in by Survivorship before the 1 Rol. Abr. Devise takes Effect.

Also if a Lease be made to Baron and Feme for their Lives, Remain10 Co. 51.
der to the Survivor, or to the Executors of the Survivor of them, and Godb. 139.
the Baron grants the Term, and dies, this will not bar the Wife furviving; because the Wife had but a Possibility, and no Interest.

4 Leon. 185.
Hutton 17.
2 Rol. Abr.

Poph. 5. Cro. Eliz. 841. Co. Lit. 46. b. 1 R. L. Abr. 344.

If the Baron be indebted to the King, and purchases Lands for Years 8 Co. Lit 175, to him and his Wise, and dies, this Land shall be put in Execution for But in 1801, the said Debt, because the Baron had Power to dispose of the said Alr. 34. this Term.

If a (c) Rent-Charge be granted to a Man and a Woman for Years, i Rel. Abr. who afterwards intermarry, and after Arrearages ineur, and after the 350.

Baron dies, the Feme shall have the Residue of the Rent, and also the ron and Feme

a Rent-Service for their Lives, the Rent incurs, and after the Baron dies, the Feme shall have the Arrearages incurred during the Coverture. 29 E 3. 140. Moor \$\$7. ft. 1248. Hob. 208. Cro Eliz. 792

## 192 Joint-tenants and Tenants in common.

Arrearages in a Writ of Annuity, because they participate of the Nature of the Principal.

If there be Baron and Feme Joint-tenants for Life, and the Baron fows the Land, and dies before Severance, his Executor shall have the Emblements, and not the Feme; and it is said, there is no Diversity between this and where the Baron is seised in Right of the Feme.

divided thereupon. Dyer 316. S. C. cited in Margin to have been adjudged accordingly. Cro. Eliz. 61. cited to have been adjudged; & vide Owen 102. and 2 Vern. 322. where J. S. on his Marriage fettled Lands to the Use of himself and his Wife for their Lives, and of the Survivor of them, Remainder to the Heirs of their two Bodies; and the Husband dying and leaving the Ground sewn with Corn, the Quellion was, whether the Emblements on the Land settled as aforesaid should go to the Wife, or to the Executors of the Husband, and the Court of Chancery proposed to each to take a Moiety, which was agreed to 2 Vern. 322-3.

### (C) Of what Things there may be a Jointtenancy of Tenancy in common.

Co Lin. 181. b HERE may be a Joint-tenancy not only of Lands and Tenements, 2 Rol Abr 87. but also of Chattels personal, as well as real, such as Leases sor Years, a Horse, &c. for where two come to these by a joint Gift or Purchase, they shall survive, and not go to the Executors of the Party descrated

Co.Lit. 182 a. But an Exception is to be made of two Joint-Merchants; for the Wares, Merchandizes, Debts, or Duties that they have as Joint-Merchants or Parceners shall not survive, but shall go to the Executors of the Deceased, and this per Legem Mercatoriam, which is Part of the Laws of this Realm, for the Advancement and Continuance of Trade and Commerce; which being pro Beno Publico, the Rule is, that Jus accrescendi inter Mercatores pro Beneficio Commercii Locum non habet.

Carth. 170 L.
Kemp and
Andrews.
1 Slow. 188.
Comb. 474.
3 Lev. 290.
5. C.

But tho' there is no Survivorship between Mcrchants, yet if there are two Joint-Merchants, or two who are jointly possessed of Goods in the Way of Trade, who casually lose them, and afterwards one of them dies, the Survivor alone may, it seems, bring Trover for them; for the Action must necessarily survive, tho' the Interest doth not, otherwise there would be a Failure of Justice; because the Survivor and the Executor of him who is dead cannot join in the Action, for that their Rights are of several Natures, and there must be several Judgments; but it being held clearly, that if this was any Plea, it must have been in Abatement, for which Reason the Books say the principal Foint was not determined.

Lu. feet. 320. Also there may be Tenants in common of Chattels real or personal, Co.Lit 199 a. intire or several, as Leases for Years, Wards, Horses, &c. as when any of those who were Joint-tenants of them grant over their Interest to a Stranger, the Grantee and the other are Tenants in common.

Co.Lit. 199. a Also if there be two Tenants in common of a Seignory, and a Ward fall, they are Tenants in common of the Wardship as well of the Body as Land; and so it is if the Land escheat to them, they shall be Tenants in common thereof.

Co Lit. 190 a. If a Corody be granted to two Men and their Heirs, in this Case, because the Corody is incertain, and cannot be severed, it shall amount to a several Grant, to each of them one Corody; for the Persons be several, and the Corody is personal.

Wern 217. If two take a Lease jointly of a Farm, the Lease shall survive; but the Stock on the Farm, tho occupied jointly, shall not survive; neither

K

fhall a Stock used in a joint Undertaking in the Way of Trade survive; and therefore it is faid not to be necessary in Articles of Copartnership to provide against it.

## (D) how a Joint-tenancy is created.

Joint-tenancy may be created by (a) Fine, Recovery, Bargain and Co.Lit. 180. b. **1** Sale, Release, Confirmation, &c.

Fine fur Conuzance de Droit come ceo, &c. cannot be levied to two, and their Heirs; for the End of Fines being not only to cettle the Possession for the present, but for ever, the Admittance of such Fine would not answer that End; for besides the Uncertainty which of the Conuzees should survive and enjoy the Land, the Fine itself cannot operate according to the Limitation; for the Survivor, by the Privilege of Joint-tenancy, shall enjoy the whole, and for ever exclude the Heirs of the other Conuzee; besides, the Fine being equivalent to a Judgment, ought to decide and settle the Right of the Fcc. 2 Rol. Abr. 19. Co. Reading on Fines, 5, 9.

Also a Joint-tenancy may be created by (b) a Disseisn; as if two or Lit set. 278. more disselfe another of Lands, &c. to their own Use, they are Joint- (b) And as tenants, but if to the Use of one of them, he to whose Use is sole Te- there may be nant, and the others Coadjutors. Joint-tenants by Diffeifin,

fo there may be Joint-tenants by Abatement, Intrusion, or Usurpation. Co. Lit. 181. a. & vide Vaugh. 189.

If a Diffeifin be made to the Use of two, and one agrees at one Time, Co.Lit 188. a. and another at another Time, yet they are Joint-tenants; for every 1 Co. 56. fubfequent Assent is equal to a Command precedent, and if both had 2 Leon. 223. commanded the Diffeisin, the first Act had been the Act of both, and therefore from that Act done they are now esteemed as Joint-Disseisors.

Yet it is laid down as a general Rule, that Joint-Estates must vest at Co.Lit.188.a. once, and that therefore if a Lease for Life be made to A. Remainder to the Heirs of J. S. and J. N. then living, the Heirs cannot be Joint-tenants; but it seems that in this Case they are Tenants in common; for when J. S. dies, his Heirs have either a fole Property of the Fee, or have it with others, because there is none in Being to take it with him, and if he had a fole Property of the Fee, it cannot alter without some Act of his own; but he cannot have a sole Property in the whole Remainder, for that were expresly contrary to the Conveyance; he must therefore have a sole Property of the Fee in a Moiety, which is a Tenancy in common.

But in Case of an Use, Persons may be Joint-tenants that do not take Co. Lit. 188. 4. at the same Time; as if a Man enfeoffs such a one to the Use of himself 13 Co. 56. for Life, and of fuch a Wife as he shall afterwards take, they are Joint-Dyer 340. tenants; for here the Husband has no Property in the Land, neither Jus in Re nor ad Rem, but the Feoffee has the whole Property, at first to the Husband only, and upon the Contingency of the Marriage, to them both intirely; and this is the only Rule in Equity to Support the Trust in the same Manner the Parties have limited it; and now by the Statute of Uses it is executed in the same Form it was governed in Equity.

If a Man enfeoffs or levies a Fine to A. in Fee, to the Use of himself 1 Rol Abr. and B. and their Heirs, they are at Common Law Joint-tenants of the 13 Co. 55. Use; for the Estate in a Use vests according to the Intent of the Parties, Hutton 112. which was to place the intire Use in them, and the Possession only in A. and fince the Statute executes the Possession in the same Manner as the Use was, they are not Tenants in common, as one in by the Common Vol. III. 3 D

## 194. Joint-tenants and Tenants in common.

Law, and the other by the Statute, but Joint-tenants by the Words of the Statute.

2 Rol. Abr.

If a Man infeoffs A, to the Use of A, and B, they are Joint-tenants, tho B, gave no Consideration, because the Use is disposed of expreshy to him.

13 Co. 54. Poph. 126. If a Charter of Feoffment be made between A. of the one Part, and B. and C. of the other Part, and A. gives Lands to B. Habendum to B. and C. and their Heirs, C. takes nothing by the Habendum, because all the Lands were given to B. and consequently C. cannot hold those Lands, which are given before to another; but in this Case if the Habendum had been to B. and C. and their Heirs, to the Use of B. and C. this had been a good Limitation of Use, and consequently the Statute would carry the Possessin to the Use, and C. thereby become Joint-tenants.

2 Rol. Abr. 416. 6 Co. 17. If Lands are given to a Woman and the Heirs of the Body of her Husband, who is then dead, it is faid that the Wife and the Issue of the Husband are Joint-tenants for Life, with Remainder to the Issue in Tail; for fince they are named to take in Possession as the Wife, and if they should only take an Estate for Life, the Donor would have again the Land, tho' there were still Heirs of the Body of the Husband; and whoever answers that Description is comprised within the Words of the Gift, therefore they shall also have a Remainder in Tail.

Cro Eliz. 431.
2 Sid. 53.
3 Lev. 127.

If a Man has Issue only two Daughters, and devises his Lands to them and their Heirs, this, tho' it be a Devise to the Heir at Law, (for so are the Daughters,) makes them Joint-tenants, in which Survivorship shall take Place; for by the Will the Quality of the Estate is altered.

Co.Lit. 188. a. If Lands be devifed to two, to have and to hold to one for Life, and the other for Years, they are not Joint-tenants; for an Estate of Frechold cannot stand in Jointure with a Term for Years, nor can a Reversion upon a Freehold stand in Jointure with a Freehold and Inheritance in Possession.

## (E) how a Tenancy in common is created.

Co. Lit. 189. a. TENANTS in common, as has been faid, are those that come to the Land by several (a) Titles, or by one Title and several Rights, and they have the Possession in common, tho' several Rights, and may be by Purchase, Descent, or Prescription.

Estate he hath in one Moiety, have holden in common the same Moiety with the other Tenant, which hath the other Moiety, and with his Ancestors, or with those whose Estate he hath undivided Time out of Mind. Lit. sett. 310. Co. Lit. 195.

Co. Lit. 189. If there be three Joint-tenants, and one alien his Part, the other two are Joint-tenants of their Parts that remain, and hold them in common with the Alienee.

Lit. sed. 309. So if there be two Coparceners, and one of them alien her Part, the Alienee and other Coparceners are Tenants in common.

Co. Lit. 189. b. Also if Joint-tenants make several Feossiments or Gifts in Tail, or Leases for Life, the Feossees, Donees, or Lessees are Tenants in common.

Co.Lit. 150 a. If Land be given to two, Habend' the one Moiety to one and his Heirs, and the other Moiety to the other and his Heirs, they are Tenants in common.

So if a Man feised in Fee infeoff another of a Moiety, or third or Co. Lit. 190. b. fourth Part, without any Affignment of it in Severalty, the Feoffee and

Feoffor are Tenants in common.

If there be two Joint-Lessess for Life, and one grant all that belongs Co. Lit. 191, to him to another, the Grantee and the other Lessee are Tenants in common as long as both Leffees are alive, and the Leffor shall enter into a Moiety by the Death of either of them; because by such Grant the Tointure was severed, and it makes no Difference in this Case, if the Joint-Lease was made by these Words, Habend' to them two for their Lives, and to the Survivor; for Expression earner que tacite insunt while operatur.

If there are three Joint-tenants, and one of them release to one of Lin. feet. 30e. the other two all his Right, as to this third Part, he to whom the Release Co. Lit. 193. as was made and the other Joint-tenant are Tenants in common; but as

to the other two Thirds they continue Joint-tenants as before.

## (F) What Words create a Joint-tenancy, and not a Tenancy in common, & e converso.

S to the Words which create a Joint-tenancy, and not a Tenancy 2 Rol. Abr. 90. A in common, we must distinguish between the Operations Words 3 Co. 39. have in a Conveyance, and in a last Will or Testament, in which the 2 Vern. 323. Intention of the Testator is chiefly to govern; if therefore an Estate be there is no given to two, equally divided, or equally (a) to be divided, these Words Difference in (b) a Conveyance do not make them Tenants in common, or sever where it is the Joint-tenancy, which was at first jointly conveyed to them. ly divided.

and where to and where to two equally to he divided. 2 Vent. 365, 366. Show. Par Cases 210. (b) Copyhold Lands were surrendered to the Use of A. B. and C and their Heirs, equally to be divided between them and their Heirs respectively; and Gold and Turton, Justices, held it a Tenaney in common, by reason of the apparent Intent of the Parties; hut Holt Ch. Justice held it a Joint-tenaney, and that the Word equally imported no more than to have alske, and as to the Word divided he held, that did not import a Tenaney in common, for their Possession must be intire & pro indiviso, to divide would be to destroy it; and it is strange to create an Estate from a Word which implies only what would destroy it. 1 Salk. 391. But this Case being cited Mich. 1730 in Can. in the Case of Stringer and Phillips, was said to have been reverfed, according to Lord Holt's Opinion.

Therefore it hath been holden, that in Case of a Conveyance there Stringer ver. are but two Ways of making a Tenancy in common: 1st, Either by Phillips, limiting the Estate to them to take expressly as Tenants in common: Or, Rolls. 2dly, By limiting a Moiety, or a Third, or other undivided Part to one, and the other Moiety or Third to another, &c. and that the Words equally divided, or equally to be divided, would not create a Tenancy in common in a Deed; but they should be Joint-tenants where the Chance of Survivorship is equal, and that Chance is the Meaning of the Words equally to be divided, or an equal Perception of the Profits.

Also if a Man make a Feostment in Fee of 20 Acres to A. and B. Co Lit. 183 b. *Halendum* one Moiety to A, and the other Moiety to B, this *Habendum*  $\frac{190.}{100}$  b. makes them Tenants in common; for the Premisses be joint, and Hob. 172. therefore of themselves would operate to give a joint Estate and Possession, yet the Habendum explaining the Manner of Possessing, is not inconfiftent or repugnant, because it makes no Division of that undivided

Possession which was given in the Premisses.

But if a Man conveys his House and four Farms to Trustees, upon 2 Vern 524 Trust that his two Sisters might cohabit in the capital House, and Clock vertue equally divide the Rents and Profits of the four Farms betwixt them, Clerk

and the whole to the Survivor of them, this shall be a Joint-tenancy; for altho' the Words equally to be divided betwixt them do fometimes in a Will make a Tenancy in common, yet it is only by Way of Con-

struction, and in Compliance with the Intent of the Testator.

Moor 558. pl. 759. Lewin and Cox, adjudged in the Exchequer five Judges 25. a. in Margin, and

As a Devise to two equally, and their Heirs, this was held to make them Tenants in common; for in a Will the Intention of the Testator is to govern, and no Words which have a Meaning and tend to illustrate his Intention can be rejected, and therefore the Word equally must be construed to have been inserted to make them Tenants in common, else Chamber by it can have no Meaning at all; and in this Case it was said by one of the against two; Judges, that if the Word equally had come after the Devise to the E vide Dyer two and their Heirs, it had been more strong to make them Tenants in common.

2 Rol. Abr. 89. several Cases to this Purpose.

Lit. Rep. 46. Faques and Thoroughgood ver. Collins.

So a Devise of several Houses to five, their Heirs and Assigns, all of them to have Part and Part alike, the one of them to have so much as the other, was held a Tenancy in common.

Cro. Car. 75. S. C. adjudged.

Cro. Fac. 448. 1 Rol. Abr. 833. S. C. 2 Rol. Abr.

So where a Man devised to his Wife for Life, and after her Decease King versus to his three Daughters, equally to be divided, and if any of them die before the other, then the Survivors to be her Heirs, equally to be divided; and if they all die without Issue, then to others, &c. and it was held, that the Daughters were not Joint-tenants, but that they had 89. S. C. 30. S. P. feveral Inheritances in Tail.

resolved. 3 Mod. 209. S. C. cited, and like Point resolved.

3 Lev. 373 Blisset and Cranwel.

So if a Man devise Lands to his two Sons and their Heirs for ever, and the longer Liver of them, to be equally divided between them after his Wife's Death, this shall be a Tenancy in common in the Sons, adjudged by three Judges against one, and that the latter Words being in a Will shall controul the former.

Hill. 15 & 16 Car. 2. in B. R. Ride versus Atwick. 1 Keb. 692, 754, 773. S. C.

A Man having three Sons, William, John, and Daniel, and Lands in D. S. and E. devised his Lands in D. to his Son John and his Heirs, and his Lands in S. to his Son Daniel and his Heirs, and devised that his Wife should have all his Freehold Lands for five Years, paying 101. a Year to John, and 61. a Year to Daniel; and if either of his three Sons died before the five Years expired, then to be divided equally by them that shall be living: William and John both died during the five Years; and it was held, that William's Part, who died first, should be divided betwixt John and Daniel, and they to be Tenants in common thereof; but it was likewise held, that when William was dead, and his Part divided, that that Clause was executed, so that upon the Death of the second the Will would not carry his Part to the third.

Trin. 6 Anna, in B. R. Tuckerman and Fefferies.

In Trespass for breaking and entering the Plaintiff's Close, it was found by special Verdict, that A. was seised in Fee of such a Place, whereof the Close in Question was Parcel, and being so seised, made his Will in Writing, wherein, inter alia, he gave to Jane the Wife of B. and to Elizabeth the Wife of C. all his Estate, &c. to be equally divided between them, during their natural Lives, and after the Deceases of the said Jane and Elizabeth to the right Heirs of Jane for ever; and found further, that the faid Jane and Elizaleth were Heirs at Law to the faid A. and that after the Death of A. their Husbands entered in their Rights; that Jane died before the Trespass, one of the Defendants being her Iffue and Heir, and that C. entered into the Whole in Right of Elizabeth his Wife, and let to the Plaintiff, and thereupon the Defendant entered; and the only Question was, whether this Devise made Jane and Elizabeth Joint-tenants for Life, so as upon the Death of Jane the Whole

furvived to Elizabeth for Life, or whether upon the Words equally to be divided between they were Tenants in common, so as a Cross-Remainder of the Moiety was not to go to the Heirs of Jane till after the Death of Elizabeth; and it was argued for the Plaintiff, that tho' the Words equally to be divided do often in a Will make a Tenancy in common, yet it is not so much the Words themselves, as the Intention of the Testator, that makes fuch an Estate; for they have no Force of themselves to make fuch an Estate, but according to the Intent of the Testator; for a Joint-Estate is equally liable to be divided with an Estate in common, I Inst. 186. and one Joint-tenant has no more than a Moiety to grant, to charge, or to dispose of; and therefore the Words equally to be divided are no more than what the Law implies, and the only Difference between Joint-tenants and Tenants in common is the Conveyance by which they claim. Lit. feet. 292, 298. And in this Case being in a Will, if it had gone no farther than to be equally divided between them, it was agreed it would have been a Tenancy in common. Styl. 211. 2 Rel. Alr. 89, 90. But here was a manifest Intent that it should go to the Survivor; for it is limited after the Deceases of the said Jane and Elizabeth to the right Heirs of Jane; which is as if he had faid, to them, and the Survivor of them, for their Lives; for the right Heirs of Jane are to take nothing till Jane and Elizaleth's Death, and they are to take the whole Estate at the same Time, and not one Molety at one Time, and another at another; and if his Intent had been so, he would have faid so, viz. And after the Decease of them, or either of them; for in such Case if the Devisees should take as Tenants in common, the Remainder in the one Moiety must be contingent, so that if the Tenant in common in Fee should survive the other Tenant in common for Life, the Remainder to the right Heirs of Jane will be void as to the other Moiety, and there is no other Way to make the whole Devise good, but by making them Joint-tenants for Life; and admitting they were Tenants in com-mon, yet the Defendant has no Title but to the Moiety till after both their Deaths, which has not happened, Elizabeth being still living; and to this Purpose were cited Moor 7. 4 Leon. 14. 2 Jones 172. Raym. 452. Holmes and Meynel.

On the other Side it was argued, that they were Tenants in common, and that in a Will the Words equally to be divided between them have been always construed to make a Tenancy in common, because of the Intent of the Testator, which in a Will is chiefly to be regarded; as if one devise Lands to one and his Assigns for ever, this passes a good Estate in Fee; besides, in this Case there was no Intent to make them Jointtenants: For, 1st, There are no Words of Survivorship; for the Words after the Deceases of the said Jane and Elizabeth, are no more than what the Law would have implied, for it could not take Essect otherwise for the Whole, as it will do when it is limited to a Stranger, and his Heirs, and if he die without Issue, then to B. and these Words in the principal Case do not carry a necessary Implication that they should be Jointtenants; for in the mean time it may descend to the Heir at Law, as to a Moiety; and the Reason why equally to be divided makes a Tenancy in common in a Will is, because otherwise those Words would be idle, for they import a Division in the Interest. 3 Lev. 373. Styl. 434. Bents.

And Dalif. 77.

Holt C. J. pronounced the Opinion of the Court, that they were Joint-tenants, notwithstanding the Words equally to be divided between them, and the Lands ought to survive to Elizabeth: 1st, For the upon such Words generally they are Tenants in common, yet if it should be so in this Case it would be expressly against the Intent of the Testator, and would defeat the Heirs of Jane of Part; for they are to take all together, and not by Moieties, one at one Time, and one at another, but all at once; and if they should be Tenants in common, they must Vol. III.

take by Moieties at several Times. 2dly, It is express that the Heirs of Tane are not to take till after both their Deceases. 3dly, If they should be Tenants in common, then the Heirs of Jane would be in Danger to lose a Moiety; for as to that one Moiety, it must be a contingent Remainder; so that if Elizabeth should die during the Life of Jane, the Contingency for that Moiety not happening, it must descend to the Heirs at Law of the Testator, who are Elizabeth and the Issue of Jane, as Coparceners. 4thly, Jane and Elizabeth are Heirs at Law to the Testator, and as fuch the whole would have descended to them in Coparcenary, if no Will had been made; but here by this Will it is plain the Testator intended to prefer the Heirs of Jane to the Whole; and it was adjudged for the Plaintiff.

2 Vern. 430 Phillips and Phillips. Preced Chan. 167. S. C. (a) Vide 2 Vern 556. where it is held, that must take

A. devised Lands to Trustees, and their Heirs, in Trust that the Profits should be equally divided between his Wife and Daughter during the Wife's Life, and after her Death he devised the same to the Use of his Daughter in Tail, with Remainders over; the Daughter died during the Mother's Life; and it was held in (a) Chancery, that this was a Tenancy in common, and should go to the Administrator of the Daughter during the Mother's Life, and should not be a resulting Trust for the Survivorship Benefit of the Heir.

Place as well in Equity as at Law.

τ Vern. 353. Kew and Rouse.

7. S. devised a Term for Years, and all her Interest therein, to her two Daughters, they paying yearly to her Son 25 l. by Quarterly Payments, viz. each of them 121. 10 s. Yearly out of the Rents of the Premisses during his Life, if the Term so long continued; and my Lord Chancellor held it clearly a Tenancy in common, the 25 l. being to be

paid by the two Daughters equally in Moieties.

Abr. Eq. 292. Fashion. Preced. Chan. 332. S. C.

A Man having a Mortgage for Years makes his Will, and thereby Edwards and devises all his personal Estate, of what Nature soever, to his Executors, in Trust for the Payment of his Debts, and afterwards devises the Residue and Overplus of his personal Estate to his two Daughters, equally to be divided between them, and dies; the Debts being satisfied, the Daughters contract with the Mortgagor for the Purchase of the Equity of Redemption to them and their Heirs; one of the Daughters devises her Share and Interest to the Plaintiff, and dies; and it was held, that this Purchase of the Equity of Redemption and Inheritance was a Tenancy in common, the Mortgage devised to the Daughters being so, and this Purchase being founded on the said Mortgage.

Ahr. Eq. 292. Warner ver. Hone.

J. S. devised his Leasehold House to his Wife for Life, and after her Death he devised it to A. and her three Sons, equally amongst them; and it was decreed, that they took it as Tenants in common, tho' there

was no Mention of any Division to be made.

Preced Chan. 163. Haniel ver. Hunt.

A Man assigns a Term to Trustees, in Trust to permit himself to receive the Profits thereof during his Life, and after his Death in Trust to permit his two Daughters B. and C. their Executors and Administrators, to receive the Profits during the Residue of the Term, equally to be divided between them, they paying fo much within two Years to his two other Daughters; and it was held, that this being a Trust of a personal Thing, they were Tenants in common, the Father's Intention appearing to be to make feveral and distinct Provisions for his two Daughters, and the paying the Sums appointed to the Sifters makes them Purchasers.

Carth. 15. in Can.

One devises 200 l. to be laid out in the Purchase of Lands, and settled by Trustees to the Use of her Daughter, and the Heirs of her Body, and if she died without Issue, then to the Use of the Children of A. (who then had Issue B. and C.) the Daughter died without Issue before the Money was laid out, after whose Death the Trustees laid out the Money in a Purchase of Lands, and settled the same on B. and C. jointly

in Fee, according to the Will, who accordingly enjoyed the fame for fome Time; and one of them dying, it was held, that this was a Joint-tenancy, which went to the Survivor: But it is faid to have been holden Vide Head of by the Court, that if the Money had not been actually laid out in a Trufts. Furchase, the Survivor would have been intitled but to a Moiety only.

Also it is said, that if 500 l. apiece is devised to two Legatees, who Carth. 16. take a Mortgage jointly to them both, for securing the Payment of their Legacies, with Interest, and one of them dies, the other shall have nothing by Survivorship, because in this Case the Mortgagees were Trustees for each other, and the Mortgage, which is only as a Security, makes no Alteration in the Cafe.

One devised 100 l. to five, equally to be divided between them and Abr. Fq. the Survivors and Survivor of them, and if A. (one of the five,) died 292-3.

Stringer and before Marriage, her Share to go over to another Person; and it was Phillips decreed, that they took this 100 l. as Tenants in common, and that the the Rolls. Words and the Survivors or Survivor of them to make them Jointtenants would be a Contradiction to the first Words, whereby they were made Tenants in common, and that they should be construed to extend only to fuch as were Survivors at the Death of the Testator, and therefore inferted to prevent a Lapse, and this is the stronger by the Limitation over of A.'s Share upon a Contingency, by which it is plain the Testator did not intend her to be a Joint-tenant with the rest,

and as the Devise was to all five, they must all take alike, and not A. be Tenant in common, and the other four Joint-tenants.

It feems to be the Doctrine of the Courts of Equity, that where two Vide I Vern. or more purchase Lands, and advance the Money in equal Proportions, 33, 217, 3614 and take a Conveyance to them and their Heirs, that this is a Jointtenancy, that is, a Purchase by them jointly of the Chance of Survivorfhip, which may happen to the one of them as well as to the other; but where the Proportions of the Money are not equal, and this appears in the Deed itself, this makes them in the Nature of Partners, and however the legal Estate may survive, yet the Survivor shall be considered but as a Trustee for the others in Proportion to the Sums advanced by each of them; fo if two or more make a joint Purchase, and afterwards one of them lays out a confiderable Sum of Money in Repairs or Improvements, and dies, this shall be a Lien upon the Land, and a Trust for the Representative of him that advanced it, and that in all other Cases of a joint Undertaking or Partnership, either in Trade, or any other Dealing, they were to be confidered as Tenants in common, or the Survivors as Trustees for those who were dead.

As where the Commissioners of Sewers had fold and conveyed Lands Abr. Eq. to five Persons, and their Heirs, who afterwards, in order to improve 290-1. and cultivate those Lands, entered into Articles, whereby they agreed Lake and to be equally concerned as to Profit and Lofs, and to advance each of Gibson. them fuch a Sum to be laid out in the Manurance and Improvement of the Land; and it was held, that they were Tenants in common, and not Joint-tenants, as to the beneficial Interest or Right in those Lands, and that the Survivor should not go away with the Whole; for then it might happen that fome might have paid or laid out their Share of the Money, and others, who had laid out nothing, go away with the whole Estate.

## (G) Of the Duration and Continuance of the Chate, Whether given jointly, or in com= mon; and therein where the Inheritance thall be faid to be soint of several.

5 Co. 9 i Sid. 247. Raym. 126. I F an Estate is limited to Husband and Wife during their joint Lives, this is no absolute Estate for their Lives, so as to go to the Survivor; but the Death of either of them determines that Estate.

2 Co. 23. 24. Baldwin's Cafe. 1 And 223. Owen 48.

If a Man covenants, grants, demises, and to Farm lets Land to A. and B. and the Heirs of B. Habendum to A. and B. for 300 Years, this is but a Term for Years in A. and B. tho' there be Words of Inheritance; for it was plainly the Intention of the Lessor to create a Term only by his using the common Words of Demise; besides, the Lessees by the Fremisses could have but an Estate at Will, because the Words of Inheritance in the Premisses of the Deed were not sufficient to carry the Freehold without Livery, which was not made in this Cafe.

2 Rol. Abr. 150.

If a Lease be made to A and B for their Lives, and the Life of the longest Liver of them, and they make Partition, and then A. dies; the Leffor shall enter into his Part, and there can be no Occupancy, for B. has no Title to it, because the Right of Survivorship was lost by the Partition, which destroyed the Joint-tenancy; nor will the Words to the longest Liver be of any Use to B. because they were void at first, being no more than the Law implied in the Joint-Estate; nor can there be any Occupancy, because after the Partition each of the Lessees have but an Estate for their own Lives in their several Moieties, and consequently the Reversion, which is to commence when the particular Estate determines, must necessarily take Place.

If a Lease be made to two, and to the Heirs of one of them, they are Joint-tenants for Life, and one has a Freehold, and the other a Fee; and if he that has the Fee die, the Survivor shall hold the Whole during

his Life.

Lit. feft. 283. If Lands be given to two Men and the Heirs of their Bodies begotten, they have but a Joint-Estate for Life, and several Inheritances; for tho' the Gift be limited to the Descendants of their Bodies, yet it being impossible there should be one Descendant of both their Bodies, they cannot have a Joint Estate-Tail.

So if Lands be given to one Man and two Women, and the Heirs of their Bodies begotten, they have a Joint-Estate for Life, and several Inheritances, because there can be no one Issue of both the Womens Bodies; and if the Man should marry one of them, yet it is not limited in the Donation which of them, in Case of such Intermarriage, should

Plow. 35. a. Co. Lit. 183.

So if Land be given to two Men and their Wives, and the Heirs of their Bodies begotten, they have a Joint-Estate for Life, and several Inheritances, but no Joint-Eftate in Tail; because tho' the Husband and the Wife of the other may die, and the Survivors may marry; yet the Gift being made to them all, and the Heirs of their Bodies, it is impossible that there should be one Heir or Descendant of all their Bodies, and therefore it can be no Joint-Estate in them all, but they all four take jointly for Life, and each Husband and his Wife have a feveral Inheritance in a Moiety.

Co. Lit. 25. b. Tail 16.

But if Land be given to a Man and a Woman unmarried, and the Bro. Estate 22. Heirs of their Bodies, this is a Tail special, for the Possibility that they may marry, and then the Descendants of that Marriage can only inherit: So if the Gift be made to a Man that hath a Wife, and to a Woman

that hath a Husband, and the Heirs of their Bodies, this is a Tail special presently in them, for the Possibility that they may marry, and the Descendants of such Marriage may inherit according to the Limitation of the Gift.

If an Estate be limited to Husband and Wise, and the Heirs of their 3 H. 6. 48. Bodies, and they are divorced a Vinculo Matrimonii, they are only Te- 7 H. 7. 16 nants for Life, because they shall not be (a) presumed to intermarry Lands be after they are once legally divorced by Church Cenfures. given to a Man and his

Mother, and the Heirs of their Bodies begotten, they have but a Joint-Estate for Life; but in this Case the Mother and Son have several Inheritances. Co. Lit. 184. a.

And in all the Cases above-mentioned where the Inheritances are Co.Lit. 183. b feveral, the Reversion depending thereon is several also; and if any of the Donees die without Issue, the Donor shall after the Death of all the Donees enter into a Moiety, or a third Part, &c.

If Lands be given to two Men, and the Heirs of their Bodies begot- Co. Lit. 183:4. ten, Remainder to them two and their Heirs, they are Joint-tenants for Life, Tenants in common of the Estate-Tail, and Joint-tenants of

the Fee.

### (H) Of the joint and distinct Interests of Joint-tenants and Tenants in common, as to Aas done by or to them: And herein,

### 1. In what Acts they must all join.

I F a Feoffment be made to two or more jointly, they shall all do Ho- Co. Lit. 67. b. mage and Fealty; but if a Feoffment be made to them, Homage and

Fealty done to any one of them is sufficient.

Joint-tenants or Tenants in common of an Advowson are regularly to Co.Lit. 186. b. join in Presentation; and therefore if one Joint-tenant or Tenant in Where Joint-common present, or if they present severally, the Ordinary may either tenants of an Advowson admit or refuse to admit such a Presentee, unless they join in Presenta- made Partition, and after the fix Months he may in that Case present by Lapse.

tion by Deed of Covenanc to present by Turns, and held good. Carth. 505. 1 Salk. 43.

If one Tenant in common of an Advowson doth present alone, this 2 Rol. Abr. doth not put the other out of Possession, for at the next Avoidance they 372. may join in Presentment.

Or if there be two Joint-tenants seised of an Advowson, and the one 27 H.S. 11 b. doth present without the other, this is no (b) Usurpation upon his Com- Co Lit. 186. b. panion, but he may alledge this Presentment in a Quare Impedit as a (b) So if Title for himself to the next Avoidance, and this by reason of the Privity two Jointthere is betwixt them.

tenants, and

the one doth present the other, this doth not gain any Possession; for that it is not strictly and properly a Presentation, but rather a Prayer to be admitted. 14 H.S. 2. b.

Joint-tenants and Tenants in common may, according to the Interests Co. Lit. 168. they have, join or fever in making Leafes, and fuch Leafes shall bind Bro. Tit.

Whether made to commence in profession future.

Grants 154 whether made to commence in præsenti or suturo. 1 Rol. Abr. \$4\$.

Vo.l III.

### Jointstenants and Tenants in common.

2 Rol. Abr. 447. Co Lit 47. Vent. 161, 162-3.

202

But if there be two Joint-tenants, and they make a Lease by Parol or Deed Poll, referving Rent to one only, yet it shall enure to both; but if the Lease had been by Deed indented, the Reservation should have been good to him only to whom it was made, and the other should have taken nothing; the Reason of the Difference is this, where the Lease is by Deed Poll or Parol, the Rent shall sollow the Reversion, which is jointly in both Lessors, and the rather, because the Rent being something in Retribution for the Land given, the Joint-tenant to whom it is reserved ought to be seised of it in the same Manner he was of the Land demised, which was equally for the Benefit of his Companion as himself; but where the Lease is by Deed indented, they are estopped to claim the Rent in any other Manner than it is reserved by the Deed, because the Indenture is the Deed of each Party, and no Man shall be allowed to recede from or vary his own solemn A&.

1 Rol. Abr. 877. If two Tenants in common of Lands join in a Lease for Years by Indenture of their several Lands, this shall be the Lease of each for their respective Parts, and the Cross Confirmation of each for the Part of the other, and no Estoppel on either Part, because an actual Interest passes from each respectively, and that excludes the Necessity of an Estoppel, which is never admitted if by any Construction it can be avoided, as being one of those Things which the Law looks upon as odious, because it chokes and disguises the Truth.

## 2. Where the Acts of one will be equally advantageous as if done by both.

Co. Lit. 70. b. If there be two Joint-tenants who hold by Knight's Service, and one of them performs the Service by going with the King to War, &c. this shall suffice for both; for tho' they be two Tenants, yet they hold only by one Tenure.

Owen 152.

Butler versus one dies, the other shall not pay Heriot-Service; for there is no Change of Tenant, the Survivor continuing Tenant of the whole Land.

1 Salk. 285. It hath been holden, that the Possession of one Joint-tenant is the 6 Mod. 44
(a) So if two Joint-tenants tations.

be disserted, and one enters, this is in Law the Entry of both, and so it shall be pleaded. Bridgm. 129.

Co.Lit. 186. b. Also if two Joint-tenants be of an Advowson, and the one presenteth to the Church, and his Clerk is admitted and instituted, this in respect of the Privity shall not put the other out of Possession; but if that Joint-tenant that presenteth dieth, it shall serve for a Title in a Quare Impedit brought by the Survivor.

Lit. sect. 306. If there be two Joint-tenants by Disseisin, Abatement, or Intrusion, and the Disseise or Owner of the Land release to one of them, this shall enure only for the Benefit of him to whom the Release was made, who being seised per mie & per tout is capable of such a Release, and by the Delivery of the Release the whole Freehold and Inheritance by Operation of Law vesting in him, the Interest of his Companion being by Wrong is immediately devested and vanished.

But if two Men usurp by a wrongful Presentation to a Church, and their Clerk is admitted, instituted, and inducted, and the righful Patron releaseth to one of them, this shall enure to them both, for that the Usurpers come not in meerly by Wrong, but their Clerk is in by Admission and Institution, which are judicial Acts.

Lit. sett. 307. So if a Man be disseised, and the Disseisor make a Feossement to two Co. Lit. 194.b. Men in Fee, if the Disseise release by his Deed to one of the Feosses,

this

this Release shall enure to both the Feossees, because they come in by Title and Purchase, and not by Wrong, and are presumed to have a Warranty annexed to their Estate, which is greatly savoured in Law.

If a Feoffment be made to A. and B. by Deed, and Livery is made Co. Lit. 49. b. to A. in the Absence of B. in the Name of both, the Livery is good to 359. pass the Estate to both; but if the Foossinent had been without Deed, 2 Vent 202, and the Livery given to one in the Name of both, it should operate to 5 Co. 95. a. him only; because the Parties are united in a Deed, they all take as one; 2 Rol. Abr. 9. therefore Livery to one in the Name of the rest, is an actual Delivery 2 Leon. 23. to them all; but without Deed they are not fo united; and therefore the Mutton's Cafe. Delivery to one in the Name of feveral, is no actual Delivery to the rest, but the whole Estate must reside in him to whom it is delivered, and a fubsequent Assent cannot take it out of him, such Assent being not so folemn as the Feoffment; besides, in the Case of the Feoffment by Deed A. may be looked upon as the Attorney of B. to receive Livery, and therefore the Estate shall immediately vest in B. because every Man is prefumed to affent to a Grant for his Advantage; but the Feoffment without Deed will admit of no fuch Construction, because no Man can receive Livery as Attorney to another without an Appointment by Deed.

So if a Fcoffment be made to A. and B. and the Feoffor gives a Letter Co. Lit. 49. of Attorney to deliver Seisin, and the Attorney gives Livery to A. in <sup>2</sup> Rol. Abr. 8. the Absence of B. in the Name of both, this is a good Livery; for tho' the intire Possession be delivered to one only, yet they being Jointtenants by the Deed of Feossment, such Livery to one makes no Alteration or Change of the Possession, because if the Livery had been made to both, each had been placed in the Possession.

So if a Lease for Years to A and B. the Remainder to C in Fee, and C Lit. 49. Livery is made to A in the Absence of B, whether the Conveyance be 5 Co. 94. by Deed or without, the Livery is good, and vests the Remainder in C because by the bare Demise A and B have an Interest, each being equally intitled to the whole Possession, either may invest himself in the whole Possession by Entry, or receive the Possession from the Lessor by the Solemnity of Livery; and therefore when the whole Possession is delivered by the Lessor, and Livery is made to A in the Absence of B in the Name of both, this Livery is sufficient to vest the Remainder in C because A had as much Power to receive the Possession of the Whole, as if the Lease for Years had been made to him only, he and B being Joint-tenants by the Demise, and thereby seised per mie E per tout.

Joint-tenants by the Demise, and thereby seised per mie & per tout.

If a Surrender be made of a Copyhold Estate to A. and B. and their Yelv. 1. & Heirs, and A. comes in within the Time of the Proclamations, but B. vide Tit. does not, whether A. shall have the Whole, or a Moiety shall be forseited, Copyhold.

dubitatur.

## 3. Where the Acts of one will bind the other, whether to his Advantage or Prejudice.

Herein we must observe, that regularly every Act done by one Joint- Bridgm. 129, tenant for the Benefit of him and his Companion shall bind the other; 2 Co. 67. but no injurious Act of one Joint-tenant alone shall prejudice his Companion.

Therefore if there be two Joint-tenants of a Seignory, and one diffeises Co. Lit. 148. the Tenant, this shall suspend but a Moiety of the Seignory; for his 9 to 135. b. Companion shall not be prejudiced by his injurious Act, to which he was no Party, and therefore after such Disselsin the Disselsor is liable to the 1) stress of his Companion shall not be prejudiced by the Disselson is liable to

the Daftress of his Companion for his Moiety of the Seignory.

## 204 Joint-tenants and Tenants in common.

2 Inft 516. If there be two Joint-tenants, and one of them levies a Fine, this does not bar his Companion, unless he omits to make his Claim within five Years after his Title accrued.

Co.Lit 197. b. If there be two Tenants in common of an Advowson, and they bring a Quare Impedit, and the one doth release, yet the other shall sue forth and recover the whole Presentment.

Dalf. 44.

pl. 33.

If two Joint-tenants make a Feoffment on Condition that if they paid fuch a Sum before a certain Day they might re-enter, and before the Day one of them releases this Condition to the Feoffee, this shall not bind his Companion.

Co.Lit.197.b. If two Tenants in common be of the Wardship of the Body, and a Stranger doth ravish the Ward, and the one Tenant in common releases to the Ravisher, this shall go in Benefit of the other Tenant in common, and he shall recover the Whole, and this Release shall not be any Bar to him.

Co. Lit. 80. b. But if two Joint-tenants be of a Ward, and the one disparageth the Bridgm. 129. Heir, both shall lose the Wardship; sor the Words of the Statute are, & omne commodum, &c.

Two Joint-tenants for Years, or for Life, one of them doth Waste, this is the Waste of them both as to the Place wasted; yet the Words of the Statute of Gloucester are, Home qui tient; but treble Damages shall be recovered against him who did the Waste only.

Co. Lit. 35 a. If there be two or more Joint-tenants of Land whereof a Woman is dowable, and one of them assign her Dower thereout, this is good, and shall bind the others, because they were compellable to assign it in such Manner; but if one of them had assigned her a Rent thereout, in lieu of Dower, this shall not bind the rest, because they could not have been compelled to it by Suit.

# (I) Df Severance and Survivozship: And herein,

## 1. Of the Right of Survivozihip, and what Things will furvive.

THE Jus accrescendi, or Right of Survivorship, takes Place only between Joint-tenants; as where Lands are given to two Men and their Heirs, the Survivor shall have the Whole; for being limited to them and their Heirs, the Feossor or Donor hath thereby transferred the absolute Property to them; but how the Word Heirs came to signify the Heirs of one of them, so as to exclude the Heirs of him who died first, is not easy to be determined, and can be accounted for no otherwise than that both Joint-tenants being intitled to the Whole during their respective Lives, the Survivor having continued longest in Possession was therefore presumed to have done most Service to the Feud, and upon that Account was allowed to transmit it to his Heirs; also, says my Lord Chief Justice Holt, the Common Law does not love to multiply

Tenurcs.

60.Lit. 181. b. So if Lands be given to two Men for Life or Years, they are Jointtenants, and the Survivor shall hold the Whole for his Life, or according to the Number of Years limited in the Conveyance.

Co Lit. 181.b. But if a Man letteth Lands to A. and B. during the Life of A. if B. die, A. shall have all by Survivorship; but if A. die, B. shall have nothing.

4

A

A naked Trust or Authority cannot survive; but a Trust coupled Co.Lit. 181. L. But for this with an Interest shall survive together with it.

vide Head of

If a Leafe be made to A. and B. for their Lives, and the Life of the Co.Lin.191. a. longest Liver of them, and they make Partition, and then A. dies, the 2 Rol. Abr. Lessor shall enter into his Fart; for B. has no Title to it, because the 150. Right of Survivorship was lost by the Partition, which destroyed the Joint-tenancy; nor will the Words to the langest Liver be of any Use to B. because they were void at first, being no more than the Law implied in the Joint-Estate.

Two Joint-tenants of a Rent-charge or Rent-Service, and one of them 33 H 6 20. b. dies, the Survivor shall recover all the Arrearages which incurred and 15 E. 3.

Aprile 18. 2 Rol. Abr. 86.

became due in the Life-time of his Companion.

(a) So if Ivo

Two Joint-tenants fow their Land with Corn, and one of them dies, 1 Rol. Abr. the Corn fown shall go to the Survivor, and the Moiety shall not be to 727the Executors of the Person deceased; for they are supposed to carry on the Cultivation of the Soil by a (a) Joint-Stock.

Joint-tenants fow their Land, and one of them lets his Moiety for Years, and he who did not let dies, the other shall have the Corn as Survivor. Owen 102.

But if Husband and Wife are Joint-tenants, and the Husband fows 1 Rol. Abr. the Land with Corn, and dies, the Crop shall go to the Executors of 727. So vide the Husband, as it feems; for this Land is not cultivated by a Joint- (B), and the Stock, but is totally the Corn of the Husband, and the Property of it Authorities feems not to be lost by committing it to the Joint-Possession, no more there cited. than if it had been fown in the Land of the Wife only.

So if there be two Tenants in common, and one of them fow the Perksfect. 523. Land, and die, his Executors shall have the Corn; because they have different Interests, and are supposed to cultivate by different Stocks,

and not by a joint one.

#### 2. At what Time the Right of Survivozhip is to take Place.

This Right is to take Place immediately upon the Death of the Joint- Co. Lit. 181 b. tenant, whether it be a natural or civil Death; as if there be two Jointtenants, and one of them enters into Religion, the Survivor shall have the Whole.

Also it is laid down as a Rule, that there shall be no Right of Survi- Co. Lit. 188. b. vorship, unless the Thing be in Jointure at the Instant of the Death of him who first dieth; Nibil de re accrescit ei qui nibil in re quando Jus

accresceret babet.

Therefore if there be two Joint-tenants of a Rent, and one of them Co.Lit. 188. b. diffeife the Tenant of the Land, this is a Severance of the Jointure for a Time; for the Moiety of the Rent is suspended by Unity of Possession, and therefore cannot fland in Jointure with the other Molety in Poffeffion, fo that if during fuch Suspension one Joint-tenant dies, there can be no Survivorship.

Two Femes Joint-tenants of a Lease for Years, one of them taketh Co.Lit. 185. b. Husband, and dieth, yet the Term shall survive; for tho' all Chattels real are given to the Husband, if he survive, yet the Survivor between the Joint-tenants is the elder Title, and after the Marriage the Feme continued fole possessed; for if the Husband dicth, the Feme shall have it, and not the Executors of the Husband; but otherwise it is of personal

Goods.

- 3. What Disposition will work a Severance, and deseat the Right of Survivorship: And herein,
- 1. What Disposition with a Stranger will work a Seberance.

Co.Lit. 186.1. Altho' Joint-tenants are seised per mie & per tout, yet to divers Purposes each of them hath but a Right to a Moiety; as to enseoff, give, or demise, or to forseit or lose by Desault in a Præcipe; and therefore where there are two or more Joint-tenants, and they all join in a Fcossment, each of them in Judgment of Law gives but his Part.

ment, each of them in Judgment of Law gives but his Part.

Co.Lit. 186. a. So if there be two Joint-tenants, and they both make a Feoffment in Fee, a Gift in Tail, or Leafe for Life, &c. upon Condition, and that for Breach thereof one of them shall enter into the Whole, yet he shall

(a) But eve- enter but into (a) a Moiety, because no more in Judgment of Law ry Joint- passed from him.

warrant the Whole; because a Man may warrant more than passeth from him. Co. Lit. 186. a.

Co Lit. 136. a. If one Joint-tenant bargains and fells his Moiety, and dies before the Deed is inrolled, yet the Deed being afterwards inrolled shall work a Severance ab initio, and support by Relation the Interest of the Bargainee.

But if one Joint-tenant bargains and fells all the Lands, and before Enrollment the other dies, his Part shall survive; for the Freehold not being out of him, the Jointure remains, and tho' afterwards the Deed is inrolled, yet only a Moiety shall pass; for the Enrollment by Relation cannot make the Grant of any better Effect than it would have been if it had took Effect immediately.

Co. Lit. 185. If a Recovery be had against one Joint-tenant, who dieth before Execution, the Survivor shall not avoid this Recovery, because that the Right of the Moiety is bound by it.

2 Vern. 63. If one Joint-tenant agrees to alien, and does it not, but dies, this That such an Agree- will not sever the Joint-tenancy, nor bind the Survivor.

ment does not bind at Law. Co. Lit. 184. b. 185. a.

Preced. Chan. 124. Moyfe and Giles. 2 Vern. 385. S. C. Two Joint-tenants of a Church Lease, one whereof being taken sick in a Journey, to sever the Jointure and provide for his Wife sends for the Schoolmaster of the Town, (who was the only Person he could get to come at him,) and acquainted him with his Intentions, and desired him to prepare an Instrument for that Purpose; the Schoolmaster drew a kind of Deed of Gift of the Lease from the sick Man to the Wife, which he executed, and died; and this being to the Wife, and void in Law, she would have made it good in Equity, but was dismissed, being voluntary and without Consideration.

2. What Disposition of Conveyance by one Jointstenant of Cenant in common, with his Companion, will work a Severante.

The proper Conveyance by one Joint-tenant to another, and what will Perk sees, 193, most effectually sever the Joint-tenancy, is a Release; but one Joint-197. Co. Lit. 193. b. 200. b. tenant cannot enseoff his Companion, because they are both already 2 Rol Abr. 86.

feised (a) per mie & per tout; and this Manner of Conveyance passing by (a) And Livery, cannot operate so as to give him what he already has; but therefore Tenants in common cannot release to each other; for a Release supposeth two leint-the Party to have the Thing in Demand, but Tenants in common have renants, and feveral distinct Freeholds, which one cannot transfer to the other with- one release out the Solemnity of Livery.

this paffeth a Fee without the Word Hers, because it refers to the whole Fee, which they jointly took, and are possessed of by Force of the first Conveyance. Co. Lit. 9, 200.

But tho' a Release be the proper Conveyance from one Joint-tenant i Vent -8. to another, yet if the Jury find that the one Joint-tenant did grant or 1 Sid 452. convey to another, this amounts to a Release; for they having found 2 Keb. 641. the substantial Part, the Court is to apply the Words according to the Raym. 187. Operation they have in Law; but every fuch Conveyance must be S. C. Chester pleaded as a Releafe.

4 M.d. 151. S. P.

So if there be two Joint-tenants for Life, and one is a Feme Covert, 2 Rol. Abr. 86. and the Baron and Feme levy a Fine to the other Joint-tenant, and 403 thereby grant totum & quicquid in the Land for the Life of the Wife, Cro. Fac 698, upon the Death of the other Joint-tenant the Lessor may enter, for the Englace ver. Fine incurred by Way of Release, and then the other Joint-tenant must vide 6 Co. 78. have claimed the Whole from the first Feoffment, so could have had the b S. P. Whole but for his own Life.

An Agreement between Joint-tenants of an Advowson, that they Carth. 505. should be Tenants in common, and that each of them should present, 1 Salk 42. amounts to a Severance and Releafe.

If there be two Joint-tenants of a Rent, the one may release to the 1 Leon. 167. other; but if the Rent be behind, the one cannot release his Interest in the Arrearages to another.

One Joint-tenant or Tenant in common may let his Part for (b) Years Co. Lit. 186. a. or at Will to his Companion; for this only gives him a Right of taking Owen 102. the whole Profits, when before he had but a Right to the Moiety thereof, Cro. Fac. 83, and he may contract with his Companion for that Purpose as well as and he may contract with his Companion for that Purpose, as well as Moor, pl. 194. he may with any Stranger. (b) If Father

and Son be Joint-tenants for 100 Years, and the Son takes a Lease from the Father of Lands for 15 Years, to begin, &c the same shall conclude the Son to claim the whole Term or Parcel of it by Survivorship. 2 Leon. 159. faid by Plowden, and agreed to by the Court.

A Partition or Severance between Joint-tenants of a (c) Freehold 2 Rol. Abr. must be by Deed, because by the Notoriety of Investiture they take it 255.
iointly, and to alter that a Matter of Solemnity is required, which is a Co.Lit. 169 a. jointly; and to alter that a Matter of Solemnity is required, which is a (c) But Joint-Deed; but Tenants in common may make a Partition without Deed, tenants for because that is only a setting out by Metes and Bounds, according to the Years might make Partifirst Investiture, which gave each of them distinct Moieties. Deed before the Statute 29 Car. 2. of Frauds and Perjuries. Co. Lit. 187. a.

## 3. At what Time such Disposition must be made to take

Regularly every Disposition by one Joint-tenant to bind his Compa- Co. Lit. 168. nion must be (d) an immediate Disposition; for the surviving Joint- 1 Rol Abr. (d) That if one Joint-tenant covenants to stand seised to the Use, &c. of the Moiety of his Companion after his Death, no Use shall arise, because but a bare Possibility. Noy 14. And tho he survive his Companion. Moor 776. — If two Joint-tenants be of a Term, and the one of them grant to J. S. that if he pay to him 101. before Michaelmas, that then he shall have his Term; the Grantor dieth before the Day, J. S. pays the Sum to his Executors at the Day, yet he shall not have the Term, but the Survivor shall hold Place; for it was in Nature of a Communication. Co. Lit 184 5. — That an Agreement by one Joint-tenant to alien will not be decreed in Manity, a Very 62. an Agreement by one Joint-tenant to alien will not be decreed in Equity. 2 Vern. 63.

tenant claiming the Whole by the original Investiture, the Whole must deseend to him, unless his Companion hath disposed of it from him in his Life-time.

Co Lit. 185. a. Bro. Tir. Grants 154.

But if two Joint-tenants are in Fee, and one lets his Moiety to 7. S. for Years, to begin after his Death, this is good, and shall bind the other, if he survives, because this is a present Disposition, and binds the Land from the Time of the Lease made, so that he cannot after avoid it.

Lit. feit. 289. 2 Rol. Abr. \$48.

But a Devife for Years in such Manner by one Joint-tenant will not bind the other furviving, because that is no present Disposition, nor binding on the Devisor himself, inasmuch as he may revoke or cancel

his Will, and fo destroy that Devise.

Lit. fest. 287. Moor 776. pl. 1074.

Also if there be two Joint-tenants of Lands, and one of them devises Perk fell 500 away that which belongs to him, and dies, this is a void Devise, and the Cro. Fac. 106. Devisee takes nothing, because the Devise does not take Effect till after the Death of the Devisor, and then the surviving Joint-tenant takes the Whole by a prior Title, viz. from the first Feoffment; but in this Case if the Devisor survives the other Joint-tenant, then the Devise is good for the Whole, because he being the surviving Joint-tenant, has the Whole by Survivorship, and then the Words of the Will are sufficient to carry the whole Estate; besides, at the Time of making the Will; tho' he was not sole Tenant, yet he was seised per mie & per tout, and it is impossible to fix upon any particular Part which he meant to devise, because he could not then call one Part of the Land more his own than another, and the most genuine Construction seems to give the whole Land, fince he was feifed per tout of it at the Time of the Devise.

Co. Lit. 59. b. I Rol. Abr. 50 L.

Also if there are two Joint-tenants, and one of them surrenders his Moiety to the Use of his last Will, and dies before the Surrender is presented, having made his Will, this is a Severance of the Jointure; for being presented it relates to the Time of the first Surrender:

Popl. 96. Moor, pl. 514. 3 Bulf. 273. 1 Rol. Rep. Dyer 187. a. Plow. 263. Cro. Fac. 91. 3 Bulf. 131. 2 And. 16. 2 Vern. 323.

If two Joint-tenants for Life are, and one of them makes a Lease for Years of his Moiety, either to begin presently, or after his Death, and dies, this Leafe is good and binding against the Survivor; the Reason whereof is, that notwithstanding the Lease for Years, the Joint-tenancy in the Freehold still continues, and in that they have a mutual Interest in each other's Life, so that the Estate in the Whole, or in any Part, is Co. Lit. 184. b. not to determine or revert to the Leffor till both are dead; for the 185 a 186 a. Life of the one, as well as of the other, was at first made the Measure of the Estate granted out by the Lessor, and therefore so long as either of them lives, if the Joint-tenancy continues, he is not to come into Possession; now these Joint-tenants having a reciprocal Interest in each other's Life, when one of them makes a Lease for Years of his Moiety; this does not depend for its Continuance on his Life only, but on his Life and the Life of the other Joint-tenant, which foever of them shall live longest, according to the Nature and Continuance of the Estate whereout it was derived; and then so long as that continues, so long the Lease holds good, and by Consequence such Lessee shall hold out the surviving Joint-tenant and the Reversioner till the Estate whereout his Lease was derived be fully determined.

i Co. 96. But if a Rent were referved on fuch Leafe, this is determined and Co.Lit. 185 a. gone by the Death of the Lessor; for the Survivor cannot have it, be-Mocr 139. cause he comes in by Title paramount the Lease, and the Heirs of the (a) But guere Lessor have no Title to it, because they have no (a) Reversion or Interest if the Exe-cutors or Ad-

ministrator, cannot maintain an Action of Debt or Covenant, either upon the Covenant in Law, or express Covenant, for Payment of the Rent, if there be any.

A.

A. and B. Joint-tenants for their Lives, A. by Indenture leafes the Cro. Far. 91. Moiety which he holds in Jointure with B. to C. for fixty Years from  $\frac{Moor_{ij}l}{Whallock}$  vet. other Moiety to C, for fixty Years from his own Death if D and C. other Moiety to C. for fixty Years from his own Death, if B. shall so long live; then A dies, and B furvives; and it was adjudged that this Leafe was void for both Moieties; for by the first Words it was a good Leafe from A. of his Part upon the Contingency of his furviving B. but that never happened, and as to B.'s Part A. had not Power to leafe or contract for it during the Life of B. tho' he had happened after to furvive him, for that was but a bare Possibility, which could not be leased or contracted for, and therefore the Lease was void in the whole.

A. and B. Joint-tenants for their Lives, A. leafes his Part for fixty  $Cro \mathcal{F}ac. 377$ . Years, if he and B. so long live; then B. surrenders his Part, and takes back a new Estate; then A. dies, living B. and it was adjudged, that this  $\frac{1}{3}$  Bulf. 130. Lease made by A. was determined by his Death; for the Joint-tenancy, 2 Rol. Abr. which would have given them or their Lessees an Interest in each other's 131. Life, is by the Surrender of B. determined and gone, and then the Waddington. Lease of A. stood single upon his own Life, and consequently by his Death is determined; so it would be if after such Lease for Years by one Joint-tenant they had made Partition of the Joint-Estate, and then the Lessor had died, his Lease would be at an End, because the Jointtenancy, which should have supported it after his Death, is by the Partition defeated and gone.

#### 4. What hall be a total Severance, or but for a limited Cime.

It hath been holden in Equity, that if three Persons are jointly inte-Salk 158. rested in the Trust of a Term for Years, and one of them mortgages his Tork versus third Part, that hereby the Joint-tenancy is wholly fevered, and that it Stone was not like the Case where a Person makes his Will, and afterwards mortgages his Estate, in which it was agreed to be no total Revocation; for my Lord Cowper held, that a Joint-tenancy was odious in Equity, and not like the Case of a Will, which might have been for the Benefit of the Mortgagor, and not have been revoked; but that it was to the Disadvantage of the Mortgagor that the Joint-tenancy should continue; for thereby, if he happen to die first, all his Estate and Interest goes from his Representatives to the Survivor.

If there be two Joint-tenants of a Rent, and one of them disselse the Co. Lit. 188. a. Tenant of the Land, this fevers the Joint-tenancy for a Time, the Moiety of the Rent being suspended by Unity of Possession, and therefore cannot stand in Jointure with the other Moiety in Possession.

If two Joint-tenants be of a Term, and the one grants Parcel of the Cro Eliz. 33. Syms's Cafe. Term to a Stranger, by this the Jointure of all is fevered.

#### 5. How far the Charges or Jucumbrances of one Joints tenant hall affect the Survivoz.

Regularly all Grants or Charges by one Joint-tenant out of the Lit. sett. 286, Land fall off with his Life, and cannot affect the Survivor, because Co.Lit. 184. b. there being no immediate Disposition of the Land itself, that comes Bridgm. 43. whole and intire to the Survivor under the first Title, and by Confequence over-reaches all intermediate Charges or Grants thereout by the other Joint-tenant who is dead.

Vol. III.

#### Point-tenants and Tenants in common. 210

Co. Lit 184. b. Therefore if one Joint-tenant acknowledge a Recognizance, or a Statute, or suffereth Judgment in an Action of Debt, &c. and dieth before (a) So if one Joint-tenant tion be fued in the Life-time of the Conusor, it shall bind the Survivor; in Fee-simple also in all these Cases, if he that Charges survive, it shall bind for ever. be indebted

to the King, and dieth, after his Decease no Extent shall be made upon the Land in the Hands of the Survivor. Co. Lit. 185. a.

But if one Joint-tenant grant Vesturam, or Herbagium terræ, for Years, Co. Lit. 186. b. and dies, this shall bind the Survivor. So if two Joint-tenants are of a Water, and one grants a separate Piscary for Years, and dies, this shall bind the Survivor; because in these Cases, the Grant of the one Jointtenant gives an immediate Interest in the Thing it self whereof they are Joint-tenants.

Co. Lit. 184 h. 2. Rol. Abr SS. veny's Cafc.

Also, tho' a Statute or Recognizance acknowledged by one Jointtenant shall not bind his Companion, unless Execution was taken out in 6 Co 79. Lord Aberga- the Life-time of him who acknowledged it; yet if after fuch Acknowledgment, the Jointenant who acknowledged it had released to his Companion, the Land would be chargeable with the Statute, tho' he who acknowledged it had died before Execution, because his Acceptance of the Release prevents his claiming by Survivorship.

So if one Joint-tenant in Fee acknowledges a Recognizance, and 2 Sand. 28. afterwards both Joint-tenants bargain and fell the Lands to a Stranger, who reconveys it to them, and then he who acknowledged the Recognizance dies, the Moiety of the Land shall be charged with the Recognizance notwithstanding the Survivorship.

#### 6. Of Severance by Operation of Law.

2 An. 202. If a Man hath Issue three Sons, and he devises to his two youngest Sons Lands to them jointly for their Lives, and the eldest Son, who hath the Reversion in Fee, dies, by which it descends to the second Son, this by Operation of Law is a Severance of the Joint-tenancy.

So if there be three Joint-tenants for Life, and the Reversion is granted 2 Co. 60. b. Wiscot's Case. to one of them, the Jointure is severed as to the third Part of him to Co.Lit. 132 b. whom the Reversion is so granted.

Cro. Eliz. 570, 48t. S. P. 2 Sand 386. S. C. citcd. 2 Co. 58.

2 Co. 58. If two Joint-tenants levy a Fine and declare no Uses, they are seifed as before.

If Land be given to two jointly with Warranty, and one of them Hob. 25. makes a Feoffment of his Part, the Warranty is loft as to him, but the other may vouch for his Moiety; but if they make Partition, the War-

(b) But if ranty is lost as to both by the (a) Common Law. they make

Partition pursuant to the Statute 31 & 32 H. S. the Warranty remains, because they do it by Compulsion. Co. Lit. 187. 6 Co. 12. b.

Co.Lit.185. a. If one Joint-tenant in Fee take a Lease for Years of a Stanger, by Deed indented, and dieth, the Survivor shall not be bound by the Conclusion, because he claims above it, and not under it.

#### 7. Of Severance by Compullion of Law; and therein of the Carit de Partitione facienda.

At Common Law Joint-tenants and Tenants in common were not Lit. Self-200 compellable to make Partition, except by the Custom of some Cities Co. Lett. 187.

and Boroughs.

But now by the 31 H. 8. cap. 1. reciting the Inconveniencies which Joint-tenants and Tenants in common lay under, from one Joint-tenant's or Tenant's in common Occupying the whole Land, or Receiving the whole Profits, it is enacted, SeA. 2. That all Joint-renants and Tenants in common that now be, or hereafter shall be of any Estate or Estates of

(a) Inheritance in their own Rights, or in Right of their Wives, of (a) The Staany Manors, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments within this Realm tute 32 H. E. of England, Wales, or the Marches of the same, shall and may be co-the like Reacted and compelled by Virtue of this present Act, to make Parti-medy to tions between them of all such Manors, Lands, Tenements and Here-Joint-tenants ditaments, as they now hold, or hereafter shall hold as Joint-tenants and Tenants

or Tenants in common by Writ de Partitione facienda, in that case to in common for Life or be devised in the King, our Sovereign Lord's Court of Chancery, in Years.

e like Manner and Form as Coparceners by the Common Laws of this Realm have been, and are compelled to do, and the same Writ to

be purfued at the Common Law.

• Provided that every of the faid Joint-tenants or Tenants in common, and their Heirs, after fuch Partition made, shall and may have Aid of 6 the other, or of their Heirs, to the Intent to dereign the Warranty

Paramount, and to recover for the Rate as is used between Copar-

ceners after Partition made by the Order of the Common Law.

Before these Statutes the Writ of Partition was confined to Copar- Co. Lit. 175.4. ceners; also it lay against the Alience of a Coparcener, for a Coparcener 167 a. cannot by her Alienation devest the Right of her Sister to divide the Dyer 98. b. Estate, nor can she destroy her Writ of Partition; but the Alienee had no fuch Writ of Partition, because fuch Alienee took an undivided Moiety; nor was the Alienee under the Reasons on which the Law had founded fuch Right of Division, which was, that the Inheritance might be separated after Marriage into distinct Families; and for the same Reasons the Tenant by the Curtefy, tho' he came in by the Act of Law, could not have this Writ, tho' it lay against him by the surviving Coparceners.

But now by Force of these Statutes, the Alienee of one Parcener may Co. Lit. 175. & have a Writ of Partition against the other Parcener, because they are

Tenants in common.

So Tenant by Curtefy shall have a Writ of Partition upon the Sta- Co. Lit. 175. b. tute 32 H. 8. cap. 32. for tho' he is neither Jointenant nor Tenant in common, yet being in equal Mischief with those to whom the Statute

gives this Remedy, he is within the Equity thereof.

But if three Coparceners are, and a Stranger purchase the Part of 1 And 30 pl. one of them, he cannot join with either of the two-Coparceners in a 72. Writ of Partition, either at Common Law or by Force of the Statute; Co. Lit. 175. b. Kelw. 208. for the Words of the Preamble of the Statute are, And none of them by Dyer 128. the Law doth or may know their feveral Parts, &c. and cannot by the Laws Bendl. 42. 14. of this Realm make Partition without their mutual Affents: Now in this 16. Case one of them, viz. the Parcener may have a Writ of Partition at Common Law, and therefore cannot come within the Preamble and Intent of the Act, and so cannot join with the Purchaser in a Writ of Partition brought upon it.

Cro Eliz. -42, Cro. Eliz 759. 3 Leon. 231

It hath been holden, that a general Writ by Joint-tenants or Tenants 743. So vide in common grounded on this Statute, and concluding contra formam Statut. 2Lutw. 1018 is sufficient, without reciting the Case particularly, so as to bring it within the Statute; for the framing of the Writ is left to the Clerks in Chancery, and must be according to the Form which they have devised.

Cro. Eliz. 759. Sir George Moor and Brown ver. Onflow.

In this Writ Partition may be demanded of the View of Frank-pledge, together with a Manor; for tho' it be not feverable of it felf, nor partible, yet the Profits thereof may be divided, or it may be divided thus; that the one shall have it at one Time, and the other at another; also being demanded with the Manor, ir may well be intirely allotted to one, and the Land in Recompence to another.

Footb 245. Co. Lit. 167.

In this Action there are two Judgments, the first is Quod Partitio fiat Lit. Sect. 248. inter Partes Prædictas de tenementis Prædict. enm Pertinen. and upon this there goes out a judicial Writ to the Sheriff to make Partition, which recites, first the Writ of Partition and Judgment, and then commands the Sheriff, together with twelve Men of the Vicinage, &c. to go in (a) Person to the Tenements to be divided, and there in the Presence

(a) The Sheriff must of the Parties, (if they appear on Summons to be made) by the Oaths go in Person, of those twelve Men, to make an equal and fair Partition, and allot to otherwife each Party their full and just Share, and then Return the Inquisition of upon Inforthe Partition annexed to the Writ, under the Seals of the Sheriff, and mation thereof the the Jurors, whose Names are likewise to be returned.

flay the Filing of the Return, and award a new Writ, for the Writ being his Commission, he cannot deviate from it; but if the Sheriff returns that he was there in Person, and this Return is received and filed, then any Information to the contrary comes too late; because by the filing it is become Matter of Record, against which no Averment in Pais lies; neither can the Party have Error upon

the Return. Cro. Eliz. 9. 10. Clay's Cafe.

Co. Lit. 169. When the Inquisition is thus returned, upon Motion made to the Court, the fecond Judgment is given in this Manner: Ideo confiderat' est

per cur. quod Partitio firma & stabilis in perpetuum teneatur.

I Rol. Abr. In a Writ of Partition, if the Judgment be given quod Partitio flat, 750. Lord and thereupon a Writ is directed to the Sheriff to make Partition, no Berkley and Countels of Writ of Error lies hereupon, for the Judgment is not compleat till the Warwick. Sheriff's Return; and the fecond Judgment which the Law requires here-Cro. Eliz. 635. upon, viz. quod Partitio, &c. for before that the Plaintiff may be Non-Moor 643. Noy 71. S C. fuit, or he may, upon the Return of the Sheriff, suggest to the Court that the Partition is not equal, and fo have a new Partition, and may adjudged. Cro. Fac. 324. also Release before the last Judgment.

like Case adjudged, & vide 2 Rol. Rep. 125, 2 Bulf. 119.

Cro. Eliz. 65. If the Writ be brought by one Joint-tenant against feveral, and there happens to be Error in the Execution of it, and one of the Defendants releases all Errors to the Plaintiff, this shall not bar the others; for each having a distinct Interest shall not be prejudiced by the Release of his Companion.

Dyer 265. pl. 5. Dalton's Sheriff 265.

A. and B. Tenants in Common of a Manor, A. purchases several Freeholds that lay fo mixed with the Demefne Lands of the Manor that they could hardly be distinguished from them; B. brings a Writ of Partition of the Manor only; and it was adjudged that Partition should be made, and a Writ awarded accordingly; upon the Execution of which Writ A. comes to the Sheriff and Inquest, and informs them with the Purchase of the Freeholds, that are not Parcel of the Manor, and bids them take care how they make Partition of all the Lands within fuch a Compass, lest they offer Violence to their Consciences; but does not shew them the Freeholds distinctly, nor the Limits of the Manor, which obliged the Sheriff to adjourn to a certain Day, on which one of the Inquest made Default; and thereupon the Sheriff returns a Fine

of 40 s. with an Account of the Difficulties they met with, & ulterius propter Brevitatem temporis Breve illud exequi non potuit, it was held, that A. ought to shew the Bounds of the several Freeholds that he purchased, or the Number of the Acres; but if no Light or Evidence is given by either Party to the Inquest, and they make Partition de tanto quantum resumitur & dignoseitur fer Prasumptiones, it is good; for they are under an Obligation to execute the Commands of the Court at their Peril.

If after the Awarding of the judicial Writ, and before the Return of it, Dallifon 59. the Defendant dies, yet the Partition is good, and the Writ shall not abate, because before the Death of the Defendant Judgment was given that Partition should be made; and the' upon the Return of the judicial Writ there is another Judgment given, yet that is given in Confirmation of the first Judgment; it seems likewise, that upon the Return of the judicial Writ no Exception can be taken to it; therefore it is not material whether the Defendant be dead or alive, fince he can have no Advantage by any Plea on the Return of the Writ.

The Process in this Wr.t is Summons, Attachment, and Distress infi- F. N. B. 62.

nite.

A. and B. were Joint-tenants for Years, B. fuffers C. to occupy his Go Januaria. Moiety with him, and A. brings a Writ of Partition against B. and C. Beedle versus supposing that B. had granted a Moiety of his Part to C. C. shews that he was but Tenant at Will to B. whereupon the Writ abated; whether A. might have another Writ of Partition against B. by Journeys Accounts, was the Question; and resolved, that he might; for the Possession of C. was good Colour for bringing the Writ of Partition, and A. could

not take Notice what Estate C. had.

By the (a) 8 & 9 W. 3. cap. 31. intitled, an Act for the easier ob- (a) And taining Partitions of Lands in Coparcenary, Joint-tenancy and Tenancy made perin common, reciting, That whereas the Proceedings upon Writs of Par- Fetual by in common, reciting, That whereas the Proceedings upon Wits of Fair the 3 & 4 tition between Coparceners by the Common Law or Custom, Joint-Anna, cap. 18. tenants and Tenants in common are found by Experience to be tedious, chargeable, and oftentimes ineffectual, by reason of the Difficulty of discovering the Persons and Estates of the Tenants of the Manors, Mesfuages, Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments to be divided, and the defective or dilatory executing and returning of the Process of Summons, Attachment and Distress, and other Impediments in making and establishing of Partitions, by reason of which divers Persons having undivided Parts or Purparts are greatly oppressed and prejudiced, and the Premisses are frequently wasted and destroyed, or lie uncultivated and unmanured, so that the Profits of the same are totally or in a great measure lost; for Remedy whereof it is Enacted, 'That after Process of Pone, or Attachment returned upon a Writ of Partition, Affidavit being made by any credible Person of due Notice given of the said Writ of Partition to the Tenant or Tenants to the Action, and a Copy thereof left with the Occupier, or Tenant or Tenants, or, if they cannot be found, to the Wife, Son, or Daughter, (being of the Age of twenty-one Years, or upwards,) of the Tenant or Tenants, or to the Tenant in actual Possession by Virtue of any Estate of Freehold or for 'Term for Years, or uncertain Interest, or at Will, of the Manors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments whereof the Partition is demanded, (unless the faid Tenant in actual Possession be Demandant in the Action,) at least forty Days before the Day of Return of the faid \* Pone or Attachment, if the Tenant or Tenants to such Writ, or any of them, or the true Tenant to the Messuages, Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments as aforesaid, shall not in such Case within sisteen Days after Return of such Writ of Pone or Attachment cause an Appearance to be entered in fuch Court where fuch Writ of Pone or Attachment shall be returnable, then in Default of such Appearance, the Demandant having entered his Declaration, the Court may pro-

ceed to examine the Demandant's Title, and Quantity of his Part and · Purpart, and accordingly as they shall find his Right, Part and Purpart to be, they shall for so much give Judgment by Default, and award a Writ to make Partition, whereby such Proportion, Part and Purpart may be fet out feverally; which Writ being executed, after eight Days Notice given to the Occupier, or Tenant or Tenants of the Fremisses, and returned, and thereupon final Judgment entered, the 6 same shall be good, and conclude all Persons whatsoever, after Notice as aforefaid, whatever Right or Title they have, or may at any time

claim to have, in any of the Manors, Messuages, Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments mentioned in the faid Judgment and Writ of Par-

tition, altho' all Persons concerned are not named in any of the Tro-

ceedings, nor the Title of the Tenants truly set forth.

Provided always, That if such Tenant or Person concerned, or either of them, against whom or their Right or Title such Judgment by Default is given, shall within the Space of one Year after the first Judgment entered, or in Case of Infancy, Coverture, Non sane Memorie, or Absence out of the Kingdom, within one Year after his, her, or their Return, or the Determination of fuch Inability, apply themfelves to the Court by Motion where fuch Judgment is entered, and shew a good and probable Matter in Bar of such Partition, or that the Demandant hath not Title to fo much as he hath recovered, then in fuch Case the Court may suspend or set aside such Judgment, and admit the Tenant and Tenants to appear and plead, and the Cause shall proceed according to due Course of Law, as if no such Judgment had been given; and if the Court upon hearing thereof shall adjudge for the first Demandant, then the said first Judgment shall stand confirmed and be good against all Persons whatsoever, except such other Persons as shall be absent or disabled as aforesaid; and the Person or Persons so appealing shall be awarded thereupon to pay Costs; or if within fuch Time or Times aforefaid the Tenants or Persons concerned, 6 admitting the Demandant's Title, Parts, and Purparts, shall shew to the Court any Inequality in the Partition, the Court may award a new Partition to be made in Presence of all Parties concerned, (if they will appear, ) notwithstanding the Return and filing upon Record the former, which faid second Partition returned and filed shall be good and firm for ever against all Persons whatsoever, except as before

' And it is further Enacted, That no Plea in Abatement shall be

excepted. 6 admitted or received in any Suit for Partition, nor shall the same be abated by reason of the Death of any Tenant. · And it is further Enacted, That when the High Sheriff by reason 6 of Distance, Infirmity, or any other Hindrance, cannot conveniently 6 be present at the Execution of any Judgment in Partition, in such Case the Under Sheriff, in Presence of two Justices of the Peace of the 6 County where the Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments to be divided 6 do lie, shall and may proceed to Execution of any Writ of Partition by Inquisition in due Form of Law, as if the High Sheriff were then e personally present; and the High Sheriss thereupon shall, and is hereby 6 enabled and required to make the same Return as if he were personally present at such Execution; and in Case such Partition be made, re-' turned, and filed, he or they, that were Tenant or Tenants of any of the faid Messuages, Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments, or any 6 Part or Purpart thereof before they were divided, shall be Tenant or 'Tenants for fuch Part set out severally to the respective Landlords or 6 Owners thereof by and under the fame Conditions, Rents, Covenants, and Refervations where they are or shall be so divided; and the Land-6 lords and Owners of the feveral Parts and Purparts fo divided and 6 allotted, as aforefaid, shall warrant and make good unto their respective

Tenants the faid feveral Parts feverally after such Partition, as they are or were bound to do by any Copy, Leafes, or Grants of their respective Parts before any Partition made; and in Case any Demanf dant be Tenant in actual Possession to the Tenant to the Action for his Part and Proportion, or any Part thereof, in the Mcsuages, Lands, Fenements, and Hereditaments to be divided by Virtue of a Writ of Partition as aforefaid, for any Term of Life, Lives or Years, or uncertain Interest, the said Tenant shall stand and be possessed of the faid Purparts and Proportions for the lke Term, and under the same 6 Conditions and Covenants, when it is let out leverally in I'urluance of this, or any other Act, Statute, or Law to that Purpose. And it is further Enacted, That the respective Sheriss, their Under

Sheriffs and Deputies, and in Case of Sickness or Disability in the High Sheriff, all Justices of the Peace, within their respective Divifions, shall give due Attendance to the executing such Writ of Partistion, unless reasonable Cause be shewn to the Court upon Oath, and there allowed of, or otherwise be liable every of them to pay unto the Demandant fuch Costs and Damages as shall be awarded by the Court, one exceeding five Pounds, for which the Demandant or Flaintiff may bring his Action in any of his Majesty's Courts of Record at Westminfter, wherein no Essoisn, Protection, Privilege, or Wager of Law shall be allowed, nor any more than one Imparlance; and in Case the Demandant doth not agree to pay to the Sheriffs or Under Sheriffs, Inflices, and Jurors fuch Fees as they shall respectively demand for their Pains and Attendance in the Execution of the same, and returning thereof, then the Court shall award what each Person shall receive, having Respect to the Distance of the Place from their respective Habitations, and the Time they must necessarily spend about the same, for which they may feverally bring their Actions.

By the 7 Anna, cap. 18. it is Enacted, 'That if Coparceners, or Joint- 7 Anna, cap.

tenants or Tenants in common be seised of any Estate of Inheritance 18. in the Advowson of any Church or Vicarage, or other Ecclesiastical Promotion, and a Partition is or shall be made between them to pre-

fent by Turns, that thereupon every one shall be taken and adjudged to be seised of his or her separate Part of the Advowson to present in 6 his or her Turn; as if there be two, and they make fuch Partition, each

frail be faid to be feifed, the one of the one Moiety to prefent in the first Turn, the other of the other Moiety to present in the second Turn; in like Manner if there be three, four, or more, every one shall be said

6 to be felfed of his or her Part, and to present in his or her Turn.

### (K) Joint-tenants and Tenants in common how to sue and be sued; and therein of Summons and Severance.

OINT-TENANTS being seised per mie & per tout, and deriving Co.Lit. 180. b. by one and the same Title, must jointly implead and be jointly impleaded with others.

So tho' one Joint-tenant may distrain for Rent, yet he cannot bring Carth, 328. an Action of Delt, nor (a) avow for Rent Arrear without making him-Pullen and felf Bailiff to his Companions, that they may be privy to the Suit, and Palmer. be intitled to their Shares upon his Recovery thereof in their Right.

Joint-tenants must join in an Avowry for Damage-Fesant. Thomf Ent. 264- 5 Med. 154-

## 216 Joint-tenants and Tenants in common.

Co Lit 42. a. If A. and B. Joint-tenants, and to the Heirs of B. join in a Lease for Life, A. has a Reversion, and shall join in Action of Waste; but the Writ must be ad Exhareditationem of B. because he only hath the Inheritance.

Noy 1. Farmer and Downes, adjudged. But if two Joint-tenants acknowledge a Statute, and their feveral Lands are taken in Execution, and after, upon the Invalidity of the Statute, they jointly bring an Audita Querela, the Writ shall abate; for they ought to have several Writs; for the Wrong done to one by the Execution of his Land is no Tort to the other.

1 Show Rep. 342. 1 A'od. 102. Co. Lit. 200.

But note,

And altho' regularly Joint-tenants are to join and be joined in Action; yet it is otherwise with Tenants in common; and therefore if in Ejectment the Plaintiff declares on a Lease made by A. and B. and on the Trial it appears that they are Tenants in common, the Flaintiff cannot recover; but if A. and B. had been Joint-tenants, a Joint-Lease to the Plaintiff had been good, and he might have declared quod demiserunt; and the Reason of the Difference is, that Tenants in common are of feveral Titles, and therefore the Freehold is feveral; and if they be diffeifed, they shall be put to their several Actions; as therefore the Lands of Tenants in common are to be confidered as different Estates depending on different Titles, the Flaintiff shall not recover, because that were to allow the Plaintiff to try two feveral and different Titles in one Issue at the same Time, and therefore the Plaintiff to make out his Title must shew and prove that each demised the Whole to him, or else he doth not prove the Declaration; whereas the Discovery of the Tenancy in cominon proves the contrary; and as they have different Titles to a Moiety only, so they could not each of them demise the Whole; but Jointtenants are feifed per mie & per tout, and they derive by one and the fame Title, and therefore each may be faid to demife the Whole; and as they must join in an Action for any Violation of their Possession, so for the same (a) Reason too their Lessee on their joint Demise.

That to a-void any Difficulty in those Cases, the best Way seems to be for them to join in a Lease to a third Person, and that Lessee to make a Lease to try the Title. Noy 13.

Lit. feel. 314. But tho' Tenants in common having (b) feveral and distinct Rights Co. Lit 197. a. cannot join in Action, yet where the Thing is (c) intire, as a Horse, join, tho' Hawk, they must join, these being in their Nature not severable, and they come therefore from the Necessity of the Case the Law admits them to join.

Fcostment. 5 Mod. 11. (1) And therefore Tenants in common shall join in a Quare Impedit, because the Presentation to the Advowson is intire. Co. Lit. 197. b. — And for this Reason Tenants in common of a Seignory shall join in a Writ of Right of Ward, and Ravishment of Ward for the Body. Co. Lit. 197. b. — Also Tenants in common shall join in Detinue of Charters, and if the one be nonsuit, the other shall recover. Co. Lit. 197. b. — And shall join in a Warrantia Charta, but sever in Voucher. Co. Lit. 197. b.

Co.Lit. 197.b. So if there be two Tenants in common, and they make a Lease for Life, rendering Rent, this Reservation, tho' made by joint Words, shall follow the Nature of the Reversion, which is several in the Lessors; therefore they shall be put to their several Assists if they be disseised, as if there had been distinct Reservations.

Lit fett. 315. Also Tenants in common shall join in Actions personal, as Trespass Co Lit. 198.a. in breaking into their House, breaking their Inclosure or Fences, seeding, wasting, or desouling their Grass, cutting down their Timber, sishing in their Piscary, &c. and shall recover jointly their Damages; because in those Actions tho' their Estates are several, yet the Damages survive to all; and it would be unreasonable to bring several Actions for one single Trespass.

Co.Lit.198. a. So if there be two Tenants in common of a Manor, and they make a Bailiff thereof, and one of them die, the Survivor shall have an Action

of

of Account, for the Action given unto them for the Arrearages upon the Account was joint.

So if two Tenants in common fow their Land, and a Stranger eateth College is the Corn with his Cattle, tho' they have the Corn in common, yet the Action given to them for the Trespass is joint, and shall survive.

Tenants in common may join or fever in (a) Debt or Covenant for Carth. Sy. Rent; but if they fever, the Demand must be do una Medictate of the Middley and whole Rent, and not of a certain Sum, which amounts to a Moiety.

lace. (a) But in an Avowry they ought to sever. Co. Lit. 198. E.

And as in Trespals Tenants in common shall join, so they shall for a 💯 🗸 10-22: Nusance done to their Land, for it is Personal, and concerns the Profits Some and of the Land; but for Forging of salse Deeds they shall sever, for that Bark sp. concerns the Inheritance of the Land; and if the Nusance be continued after the Death of one of the Tenants in common, his Devisee shall join in Action with the Survivor, for the Continuance thereof is as the new erecting of fuch a Nusance.

A. makes a Lease, in which the Lessee covenants with the Lessor, &c. Mich. 15 Care.

Propriet Lessee to Several Mointing to Several & Kitchin to Repair; Lessor grants his Reversion by several Moieties to several and Knight Persons, and Lessee assigns to J. S. In an Action of Covenant by the ver. Bunckley. Grantees of the Reversion for not Repairing; the Question was, if two 1 Sid 157. Tenants in common of a Reversion could join in bringing an Action of 1 Lev. 109. Covenant against the Assignee; and it was held, that they could and 572. ought to join in this Case, being a meer Personal Action, according to Raym. 80. Littleton's Rule, which was held to be general, without any Relation to S. C. & vide any Privity of Contract; and that the Covenant being indivisable, the to this Pur-Wrong and Damages could not be diffributed because uncertain.

1 Keb. 565,

6. 7. 28 E. 3. 90. Moor 40. Godb. 90, 283. Bro. Foinder in Action 104. Benl. 89.

Joint-tenants and Tenants in common are to join in a Quare Impedit; Co. Lit. 197. b. the first, because they are jointly seised, and claim by a joint Title; the 2 And 23, 63. (b) latter out of Necessity, because the Thing is intire. Comp. Incumb.

sup. 7 Ann. cap. 18. (b) If two Tenants in common be of an Advowson, and they bring a Quare Impedit, and the one doth Release, yet the other shall sue-forth and recover the substitute of the s Impedit, and the one doth Release, yet the other shall sue-forth and recover the whole Presentment. Co. Lit. 197. b.

If Joint-tenants or Tenants in common refuse to set out their Tithes, Hutt. 121. the Action must be brought against them both; but if one of them Cro. Fac. 86, only occupy the Land, the Action is to be brought against him; or if 362. one Joint-tenant or Tenant in common fets out the Tithes, and the other takes them away, the Action must be brought against the Wrongdoer

If a Lease for Years be made to B. and C. rendring Rent, and C. Palm. 283. affigns his Moiety to D, and after the Rent is Arrear, the Leffor may bring an Action of Debt for the Rent against B. and D. for the Revertion remains intire.

If two Joint-tenants bring Trespass, pending the Action one of them Cro. Fac. 19. dies, the Writ shall abate; fecus if brought against them, for in the latter 4 Mod. 249. Case the Action is both joint and several.

Also where a Quare Impedit is brought by two Joint-tenants, and pend- Cro. Fac. 19. ing the Action one of them dies, the Writ shall not abate; and this out of Necessity, lest the six Months should elapse, and thereby the Action be loít.

If one Joint-tenant refuses to join in Action, he may be summoned Co. Lit. 188. and severed; but herein it is to be observed, that if the Person severed dies the Writ abates, because the Survivor then goes for the Whole, which he cannot do on that Writ, where on the Summons and Severance he went only for a Moiety before, for the Writ cannot have a double Effect, to wit, for a Moiety in Case of Summons and Severance, and for Vol. III.

the whole in Case of Survivorship; and the Law is the same if such Joint-tenants proceed without Summons and Severance, for fince both by the Writ might by Possibility recover their Moieties, they shall not go on for the Whole in Case of Survivorship, because the Words and Effect of the Writ at the Time of its first Purchasing was that each might recover his Moiety, and therefore a new Writ must be purchased to enable one to proceed for the Whole.

Co. Lit. 197. But in Personal and Mixt Actions where there is Summons and Severance, and yet after fuch Summons and Severance the Plaintiff goes on for the Whole, there if one of them dies, yet the Writ shall not abate, because they go on for the Whole after Summons and Severance; and if they were to have a new Writ, it would only give the Court Authority to go on for the Whole.

So if two Joint-tenants bring a Writ of Ward, and they are fummoned and fevered, and the fevered Person dies, the Writ shall not atate, because after such Severance he went on for the Whole; and so he does in this Case, after the Death of his Companion.

So in a Quare Impedit by two Joint-tenants, and one is fummoned and fevered, and the fevered Person dies, the Writ shall not abate, because the Advowson is an intire Thing; and he proceeded for the Whole after the Severance, and so he may after the Death, &c.

If two Joint-tenants bring an Affife, and the one is fevered, if it be found that the other had Goods taken upon the Land, he shall recover fole Damage for them.

Wherever Tenants in common ought to join in Action, and one alone brings the Action, the Defendant ought to plead the Tenancy in 1 Salk. 4, 32. common in Abatement, which is a Defence the Law allows him, that he may not be twice charged; but if he plead in chief, and it be found against him, the Plaintiff shall have Judgment, because he loses the Opportunity of Pleading in Abatement by Pleading to the Right of the

Action.

If Joint-tenancy be pleaded by Fine or Deed in Abatement of the Demandant's Action, he cannot take a general Averment that the Tenant is fole seised, for that were directly to contradict them, and set them aside by a Matter of less Force and Solemnity than they are; but he may confess the Joint-tenancy which the Tenant pleads after the Fine levied, but that the Joint-tenant not named released to the Tenant before the Writ brought, or that both the Conuzees enfeoffed one who enfeoffed the Tenant; but at this Day, if the Tenant had been enfeoffed by Deed, and had pleaded Joint-tenancy to abate the Demandant's Writ, the Demandant might have averred generally, that the Tenant is fole seised, for the Statute of 34 E. 1. de Conjunctis Feoffatis extends to Jointtenancy by Deed tho' not by Fine; but by the Common Law the Demandant was not allowed that Plea, where the Tenant claimed under a Deed, no more than when he claimed under a Fine; but if the Tenant claims by Feoffment in Pais, and plead that in Abatement of the Demandant's Action, the Demandant may aver fole Tenancy, because the Feoffment is to be proved viva voce per Pares, whose Credit is not more

Co. Lit. 197.

Co. Lit. 197. b. Dyer 279.

11 H. 4. 17. 1 Rol. Abr. 571.

Moor 466. Cro. Eliz. 554. Skin. 12. I Mod. 102. 2 Lev 113. Carth. 63.

2 Inft. 523, 524.

regarded by the Court than the Demandant's.

### (L) Of the Remedies Which Joint-tenants and Tenants in common have against each other.

Y the Common Law Joint-tenants and Tenants in common had no Co. Lit. 172 of Remedy against each other, where one alone received the whole 186. a. 200 b. Profits of the Estate, for he could not be charged as Bailiff or Receiver So if two to his Companion, unless he actually made him so; but now by the had a Ward 4 & 5 Ann. cap. 16. it is provided, that they and their Executors and in common, Administrators may have an Account against the others as Bailiss, for and one took receiving more than their Proportion, and against their Executors and all the Pro-Administrators.

But if one Joint-tenant or Tenant in common had ejected or (a) with-held the Poss ssion from his Companion, such Joint-tenant or Tenant in common so ejected might have maintained an Ejectione firme one Tenant against such Ejector, &c.

Co. Lit. 199 b (a) But the in common may diffeife

ment,

the other, ye it must be by actual Disseisin, as turning him out, hindering him to enter, &c but a bare Perception of Profits is not enough. 1 Salk. 392. Farest. 39.

Also one Joint-tenant or Tenant in common may offend against the Lat b 224. Statutes against forcible Entries, either by forcibly Ejecting, or forcibly Palm. 419. holding out his Companions; for the the Entry of such a Tenant be lawful per mie & per tout, so that he cannot in any Case be punished in an Action of Trespass at the Common Law; yet the Lawfulness of his Entry no way excuses the Violence, or lessens the Injury done to his Companion, and confequently an Indictment of forcible Entry into a Moiety of a Manor, &c. is good.

But tho' Joint-tenants and Tenants in common being actually ejected, Lit. seet. 323. had these Remedies at Common Law, yet such Remedies were only extended to Things Real; and there was no Remedy where a Horse, Hawk, &c. were seised by one Joint-tenant or Tenant in common, but

by refeifing it again when a proper Opportunity ferved.

If there be two Tenants in common of a Manor to which Waif and Co.Lit. 200 a. Stray belong, a Stray doth happen, they are Tenants in common of the same; and if the one doth take the Stray, the other hath no Remedy by Action but to take him again; but if by Prescription, the one is to have the first Beast happening as a Stray, and the other the second, there an Action lieth, if the one take that which pertains to the other.

So if there be two Tenants in common of a Park or Dove-house, and Co. Lit. one of them destroy all the Deer, or take all the old Doves, and destroy 200. a. b. the Flight; or if two have Land and Meer-Stones in common, and one of them carry them away; or if they have a Folding in common, and one disturb the other to erect Hurdles, in all these Cases Trespass Quare vi & Armis lies.

If two several Owners of Houses have a River in common, and one of Co.Lit. 200.b. them corrupt it, the other shall have an Action on the Case.

If one be willing to repair a House or Mill which he holds in common, Co.Lit. 200. b. or jointly with another, he may have a Writ de Domo reparanda against

If Land be given to two for Life, and to the Heirs of one of them, Co. Lit. 200. b. and Tenant for Life do Waste, he that hath the Fee cannot have an 2 Inft. 423. Action of Waste on the Statute of Glocester, but he may have one on Westim. 2. cap. 22. which enacts, that if there be two Tenants in common of a Wood, Turbary, Piscary, &c. and one do Waste, the other shall have a Writ of Waste, and the Waster shall have Election before Judgment, either to have his Part in certain affigned to him by the Oath of twelve Men, (and then the Place wasted shall be affigned for Part thereof,) or to grant that he will take no more for the future than his Companion shall approve of; and this Act by Construction has been held to extend to Joint-tenants, but not to Parceners, because they might have the Writ de Partitione facienda at Common Law.

Vid. Tit Trover and Conversion. One Tenant in common cannot bring Trover against his Companion, because they are both equally intitled to the Possession.

# Jointure.

Co. Lit. 36. b.

Jointure is a competent Livelihood of Freehold for the Wife of Lands, &c. to take Effect presently in Possession or Profit after the Death of the Husband, for the Life of the Wife at least, if she herself be not the Cause of the Determination or Forseiture thereof.

Under this Definition we shall consider,

- (A) The Driginal and first Introduction of this Proposition.
- (B) Df its being a Bar of Dower; and therein of the 27 H. 8. and the Rules to be observed in making a good Jointure, and such a one as will be an effectual Bar of Dower: And herein,
  - 1. That the Estate must take Essect immediately after the Death of the Husband.
  - 2. That it must be for Term of the Wife's Life or greater Estate.
  - 3. That it must be made to herself, and not to others, in Trust for her.
  - 4. That it must be in Satisfaction of her whole Dower.
  - 5. That it must be expressed to be in Satisfaction of her Dower; and therein how far a collateral Recompense shall be a Bar of Dower or Jointure.
  - 6. That it must be made during Coverture.
- (C) How far her own or her Husband's Acts may defeat her of this Provision.
- (D) How far a Jointrels is intitled to the Aid and Allikance of a Court of Equity.
  - Of Discontinuances by Women of their Husbands Estates vide Tit. Discontinuance.

 $(A) \mathfrak{D} \mathfrak{k}$ 

### (A) Of the Diginal and first Introduction of this Provision.

IT having been determined that at Common Law a Woman could Vive Tit. not be endowed of an Use, and most Lands Before the 27 II. 8. be-Dower and ing put in Use, so that there was no Considence to Le had in the of England. Dower at the Common Law; this obliged the Wife, or her Friends, either before or after the Marriage, to procure the Husband to take the legal Estate from the Feossees and settle it to the Use of him and his Wife for Life, or in Tail, with what Remainders over he pleafed;

and this seems to have been the Original of Jointures.

But the this Method was an effectual Security to the Wife, yet was 4 Co. 1. Ver-But the this Method was an effectual Security to the type, yet was 4 continuous to find no Service to the Husband, or his Heirs, in barring her of her Lyer of Lyer of the Dower before the 27 H. 8. for by the Common Law a Woman could Co. Lit. 34 E. not be barred of her Dower by any Affignment or Assurance to her 36. b. of other Lands whereof she was not dowable, (except in the Case of Bro. Tit. Dower ad offium Ecclesia, or ex assensu Patris, which were allowed to Dower 97. be Dowers or Jointures of themselves, and were a good Bar of any other Dower,) were such Assignment or Assurance made by the Husband before Marriage or after, or by the Heir after his Death; and tho' they were expresly said to be in full Bar and Recompence of her Dower, yet might she recover her Dower notwithstanding; for she having a Right to be endowed of the third Part of all her Husband's Lands, vested and fixed in her immediately upon the Marriage and the Husband's Seisin thereof; this Right, like all others, could not be transferred or extinguished but by a Release thereof; and if no such Release were made, it continued still in Being, for want of the proper Means to destroy it; and if it still existed, her Remedy was open to recover and reduce it into Possession; and of this there can be no Doubt as to any Estate or Purchase procured by the Husband to be made to his Wise after Marriage, in Lieu and Satisfaction of Dower, for she is not at this Day bound in such Case; and if it were made before Marriage, it was at Common Law no Bar, for two Reasons; i. Because at the Time of making thereof she had no Title to Dower, and therefore an Estate made to her there and the same a Estate made to her then could be no Bar to a Right which accrued to her after. 2. Because immediately upon the Marriage the Right first vested in her, and could not be extinguished or barred but by a Re-lease thereof; so if such Assignment or Assurance were by the Heir in Pais, this was no Bar neither; but (a) if it were by Indenture or Fine, (a) 4 Co 5. then it should seem an Estoppel to her to Demand any other Dower, because her Title to Dower was then compleat and certain; and she has by this Acceptance concluded herfelf to demand any Thing more.

(B) Of its becoming a Bar of Dower; and therein of the 27 H. 8. and the Rules to be observed in making a good Jointure, and fuch a one as Will be an effectual Bar of Dolver.

Co. Lit. 36. b. HE Maxims of the Common Law, that no Right could be barred before it accrued, that a Right or Title to a Freehold could not be before it accrued, that a Right or Title to a Freehold could not be barred by Acceptance of a collateral Satisfaction, and the Reasons aforefaid allowing the Wife to claim her Dower, and also the Benefit of such Settlement as was made on her, which being contrary to Justice,

By the 27 H. 8. cap. 1. par. 6. it is Enacted, 'That whereas divers Fersons have purchased, or have Estates made and conveyed of and in 6 divers Lands, Tenements, and Hereditaments unto them and their Wives, and to the Heirs of the Husband, or to the Husband and the Wife, and to the Heirs of their two Bodies begotten, or to the Heirs 6 of one of their Bodies begotten, or to the Husband and to the Wife 6 for Term of their Lives, or for Term of the Life of the faid Wife, or where any fuch Estate or Purchase of any Lands, Tenements, or Here-6 ditaments hath been or hereafter shall be made to any Husband and to his Wife in Manner and Form above expressed, or to any other Person or Persons, and to their Heirs and Assigns, to the Use and Behoof of the faid Husband and Wife, or to the Use of the Wife, as is before rehearfed, for the Jointure of the Wife; that then in every fuch Cafe every Woman married having such Jointure made, or hereaster to be made, shall not claim nor have Title to have any Dower of the Residue of the (a) Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments, that at any Time were her said Husband's, by whom she hath any such Jointure, nor shall demand nor claim her Dower of and against them that have the Lands and Inheritances of her faid Husband; but if she have no such Jointure, within this 6 then she shall be admitted and enabled to pursue, have, and demand her Dower by Writ of Dower, after the due Course and Order of the ' Common Law of this Realm; this Act or any Law or Provision made

of Copyhold Lands is no Bar of Dower Statute. Cro. Car. 44. 4 Mod. 85.

(a) A Join-

ture made

to the contrary thereof notwithstanding.

Par. 7. Provided, 'That if any fuch Woman be lawfully expulsed or evicted from her faid Jointure, or from any Part thereof, without any Fraud or Covin, by lawful Entry, Action, or by Discontinuance of her Husband, then every fuch Woman shall be endowed of as much of the 6 Residue of her Husband's Tenements or Hereditaments whereof she was before dowable, as the same Lands and Tenements so evicted and

expulsed shall amount or extend unto.

Par. 9. Provided also, 'That if any Wife have, or hereafter shall have, any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments unto her given or affured after Marriage for Term of her Life or otherwise, in Jointure, except the same Assurance be to her made by Act of Parliament, and the faid Wife after that fortune to overlive the fame her Husband in whose Time the said Jointure was made or assured unto her, that then the same Wife so overliving shall and may at her Liberty after the 6 Death of her faid Husband refuse to have and take the Lands and 'Tenements so to her given, appointed, or assured during the Coverture,

' for Term of her Life or otherwise, in Jointure, except the same Assu-4 rance be to her made by Act of Parliament as is aforefaid, and there-' upon to have, ask, demand, and take her Dower by Writ of Dower or otherwise, according to the Common Law, of and in all such Lands,

Fenements, and Hereditaments, as her Husband was and flood feised of any Estate of Inheritance at any Time during the Coverture; any

Thing, &c.

To make a good Jointure within this Statute, the fix following Things are to be regarded.

## 1. That the Elate mult take Effect immediately from the Weath of the Husband.

Therefore if an Estate be made to the Husband for Life, the Remain- 4 Co. 3. der to J. S. for Life, Remainder to the Wise for her Jointure, this is no Huston 51. good Jointure, for it is not within the Words or Intent of the Statute; for the Statute designed nothing as a Satisfaction for Dower, but that which came in the same Place, and is of the same Use to the Wise, and tho' J. S. dies during the Life of the Husband, yet this is not good; for every Interest not equivalent to Dower being not within the Statute, is a void Limitation to deprive the Wise of her Dower.

So if an Estate be made to the Use of A. for Life, the Remainder to 4 Co. 2. the Wife for Life, this is not good, tho' A. dies, living the Husband.

So if an Estate be made to the Husband for Life, Remainder to J. S. Hust 51. for Years, the Remainder to the Wife for her Jointure, this is not good, Winch 33-tho' the Years are expired in the Life-time of the Husband.

But if an Estate be made to the Husband for Life, the Remainder to 4 Co. 3. 7. S. for the Life of the Husband, to support contingent Remainders, Remainder to the Wife for Life, this is a good Jointure, tho' not within the express Words of the Statute, for it is within the Equity and Design

If a Man makes a Feoffment to the Use of himself for Life; Remain-Winch 33. der to the Son and his Wise, and the Heirs of the Body of the Son, this is no good Jointure, tho' the Wise hath an immediate Freehold; for to be within the Cases of the Statute whereby Dower is barred, the Wise must have (a) a sole Property after the Death of her Husband.

(a) That a

within this A& by the first Limitation must take Effect for Life in Possession or Profit presently after the Death of the Husband, laid down in Co. Lit. 36. b. 4 Co. 2. a Cro Jac. 489. Hutt. 51. Wimb 33.

A Feoffment in Fee to the Use of the Feoffee for Life, the Remainder 1 Sid. 3, 4. to the Use of his second Son for Life, Remainder to the Use of such per Bridgman. Wife as the Son shall take, Remainder to the Heirs of the Son; the Father dies, the Son marries, and dies; the Wife is not by this Settlement barred of her Dower; for this at the Time of the Creation was no certain Provision for the Wife's Life, for the Son might have married and died in the Life of the Father.

A Jointure limited to take Effect immediately on the Death of the Co Lit 133. Husband shall take Effect as well on a civil as a natural Death; therefore Alsor 851. if the Husband enters into Religion, is banished, or abjures the Realm, 1 Rel. Rep. the Wife shall have her Jointure.

## 2. That it must be for Term of the Wife's Life or greater Estate.

Therefore if an Estate be made to the Wife for the Life or Lives of Co. Lit 36. b. many others, this is no good Jointure; for if she survives such Lives, 4 Co. 2. b. as she may, then it would be no competent Provision during her Life, as every Jointure within the Statute ought to be.

So if a Term for 100 Years be limited to the Wife, if she so long live, Co. Lit. 36. a. or absolutely, this is no good Jointure; for the Statute provides, that

when the Wife hath an Estate for Life by Settlement, she shall be barred of her Dower at Common Law, if the hath any greater Estate she hath an Estate for her own Life included in it; but if she hath any less Estate, it is out of the Statute.

If an Estare be limited to the Wife upon Condition, her Acceptance 4 Co. 3. it. of fuch a conditional Jointure makes it good; for this Estate supports the Wife well enough, and it is in her Power to continue it during her Life;

(b) So, says therefore an Estate limited to the Wife (a) durante Viduitate is a good my Lord Ceke, if li- Jointure; for it cannot determine but by her Act.

mited to her upon Condition that she shall perform the Will of her Husband, &c. this is a good Jointure within the Words and Intention of the Ast, for that her Estate cannot determine without her Default. 4 Co. 2. b. 3. a. But for this vide Moor 31. pl. 103. 1 Leon. 311. N. Bendl. pl. 247.

#### 3. That it must be made to herself, and not to others sir Trust foz her.

Co. Lit. 36. b. This Rule, my Lord Coke fays, is so necessary to be observed, that tho' the Wife should affent to a Jointure made in Trust for her, yet it would not be good; for the Statute only bars the Dower when by it the Possession (which was formerly a Use) is executed in her.

> But as the Intention of the Statute was to secure the Wife a competent Provision, and also to exclude her from claiming Dower, and likewise her Settlement, it feems that a Provision or Settlement on the Wife, tho' by Way of Trust, if in other Respects it answers the Intention of the

Statute, will be inforced in a Court of Equity.

### 4. That it must be in Satisfaction of her whole Power.

The Reason hereof is, that if it be in Satisfaction of Part only, it is Co. Lit. 36 b. uncertain for what Part it is in Satisfaction of her Dower, and therefore void in the whole.

If an Estate be made to the Wife in Satisfaction of Part of her Dower 4 Co. 5. before Marriage, and after Marriage other Lands are conveyed, wherein it is faid to be in full Satisfaction of all her Dower, if she waves the Lands conveyed to her after Marriage, she shall have Dower of all the Lands of her Husband, notwithstanding the Settlement is in Satisfaction of Part.

> 5. That it must be expressed to be in Satisfaction of her Donce; and therein how far a collateral Recompence that be a Bar of Dower of Jointure.

Co Lit. 36. b. My Lord Coke fays, that it must be expressed or averred to be in Satisfaction of her Dower; but quære; for this does not feem requisité,

either within the Words or Intention of the Statute.

Owen 33. and there faid, that it had been fo likewife ruled be-

Therefore where an Affurance was made to a Woman to the Intent it should be for her Jointure, but it was not so expressed in the Deed, the Opinion of the Court was, that it might be averred that it was for a Jointure, and that fuch Averment was not traverfable.

tween the Queen and Dame Beaumont.

Cv. Lit. 36. But a Devise of an Estate to a Wife for Life cannot be averred to be 4 Co 4. in Satisfaction of Dower or Jointure, unless it be expressed to be so in the Will; for there can be no Averment contrary to the Will, and confequently quently there can be no Averment contrary to the Confideration Implied

in every Devise, which is the Kindness of the Testator.

So where one devised Lands to his Wife during her Widowhood, and Moor, plans died, and she marr.ed again and brought Dower, and this Devise being pleaded in Bar, it was held no Bar: 1st, Because a Will imports a Confideration in itself, and cannot be averred to be in Bar of Dower, unless it be so expressed. 2dly, Dower cannot be of less Estate than for Life of the Wife. And a third Reason may be, that her Right to Dower cannot be barred by a collateral Recompence, fince fuch collateral Recompence is no proper Conveyance of fuch Right.

A Man devised his Lands to his Wife till his Daughter M. should Cro. Eliz. 120 arrive to the Age of nineteen Years, and after to M. in Tail, Remainder Gesting and over in Fee; and devises further, that M. should pay after her Age of Warberton. nineteen Years to his Wife 121. per Annum in Recompence of her Dower, and if she failed in Payment, that then his Wife should have the Land for her Life; the Wife before her Daughter came to nineteen brought her Writ of Dower, and recovered a third Part; and after the Daughter came to nineteen, and for Non-payment of the 121 the Mother entered; and the Question was, if her Entry were lawful; and argued that it was, and that by bringing of her Writ of Dower she had not waved the Benefit to have the Lands by the Devise, because then she had no Title to it, but her Title accrued after, for Non-payment of the 121. But it was adjudged, that she having recovered a third Part in Dower, she should not have the Rent by the Will; for it is against the Intention of the Will that she should have both, and the Acceptance of one is a Waver of the other.

One seised in Fee of Lands held in Socage, and of other Lands in Dyer 220. Tail held in Capite, devises by Will in Writing the third Part of all his 4 Co. 4. Lands to his Wife in Recompence of her Dower, and dies; she enters into the third Part of the Fee-simple Lands without bringing her Writ of Dower, and therefore she was barred to have any more by 27 H. 8. of Jointures; which shews that she took this by the Devise, as a Jointure within that Statute, and that taking by the Devise she could have no more than the Devisor had Power to dispose of, which was only his Feefimple Lands; and she by entering into a third Part thereof shews her Intention to have it as a Jointure, (for otherwise she could not enter till Assignment by the Heir or Sheriff;) but in this Case she being barred only by reason of the Statute as the Book save it appears that he for the by reason of the Statute, as the Book says, it appears that before that

Statute she would not have been barred of her Dower by such Devise.

A Man marries an Orphan of London, who had a great Fortion in the 2 Vent. 340. Chamber of London, the Husband dies before taking of it out, but makes and I Chan his Will, and devises this Money to his Wife, provided that she should Cases 181. inot claim her Dower; and yet after his Death she brought her Writ of Case. Dower, and thereupon a Bill was brought in Chancery to have her release her Dower or renounce the Devise, and for an Injunction in the mean time, but could not prevail, the Money belonging to her in her own Right by the Custom, for want of the Husband's altering the Property thereof; and tho' he had, yet it was admitted it would have been no Bar of Dower, being totally collateral thereto; tho' it should seem she would in such Case have forfeited the Money by suing for Dower.

On this Distinction it hath been often ruled in Chancery, that if 2 Chan Ca. 24. On this Diffinction it hath been often ruled in Chancery, that if 2 Vern. 365. Lands, Money, Goods, &c. are devised to a Woman, without faying in Preced. Chan. Lieu or Satisfaction of Dower, &c. yet the Wife shall have both; because 133. a Devise is to be considered as a Bounty, and implies a Consideration in itself; but if it be said in Lieu or Recompence of Dower, there the Wise (a) But if A. cannot have both, but may (a) wave which she pleases.

charges Land

in D. with a Portion for a Daughter by a first Venter, and then marries, and settles Part of those Lands as a Jointure on a second Wife, who has no Notice of the Charge, and A. believing that the Portion would take Place of the Jointure, by Will gives other Lands to the Wife in Lieu thereof, and the Wife by Combination with the Heir refuses to accept of the Devise; the Daughter shall hold the other Lands which descended to the Heir till faisfied her Portion. 1 Vern. 2:9 Reeve and Reeve.

3 M Vol. III.

4 Vern. 365. I agurence. Abr. Eq. 218-9. S. C. & vile 1 Vern. 463.

7. S. devised Legacies to his Wife out of his personal Estate, and Lawrence and devised to her Part of his real Estate during her Widowhood, and devised the Residue of his Estate to Trustees for twenty-one Years, for Payment of Debts and Legacies, and the Remainder of the whole Estate he devised to the Plaintiff, (who was his Godson, and of his Name, but a remote Relation,) for Life, and to his first and other Sons in Tail; and my Lord Chancellor Somers decreed, that the' it was not declared in the Will to be in Lieu and Satisfaction of Dower, yet as it may be plainly collected to be so intended, (he having made a Disposition of his whole Estate,) and as a collateral Satisfaction, may be a good Bar to Dower in Equity, tho' not at Law, that she must either take her Dower and wave the Devise, or accept the Devise and wave the Dower; but this Decree was reversed by Wright Lord Keeper, and the Decree of Reversal affirmed in Parliament.

Alich. 6 Geo 2. Fordan ver. Savage.

7. S. feifed of Copyhold Lands belonging to the Manor of Whitchurch, in which Manor there is the following Custom, viz. that the first Wife of every Tenant should have her Free Bench in all the Lands whereof her Husband was ever feifed during the Coverture, the fecond Wife a Moiety, and the third a third Part fo long as she kept her Husband above Ground; 7. S in Confideration of a Marriage and Marriage Portion covenants with Trustees, that within two Months after the Marriage he would fettle all his Lands to the following Ufes, viz. as to Part of the Lands, to the Uses of himself and his Wife for their Lives, Remainder to the first Son, &c. in Tail Male, and as to the other Moiety, to the Use of himself for Life, Remainder to his first Son, &c. with a Proviso that the Lands fo fettled on the Wife should be in Lieu of her customary Estate; and one of the Points in this Case was, whether this Jointure not being made expresly in Lieu of her Dower, but only faid so in the Provifo, and she being an Infant at the Time of making the Articles, and not a Party to them, she should be excluded from claiming her Free Bench; and it was held, that she should be obliged to abide by her Jointure, and the Case of Vizet and Longdon was cited, where a Sum of Money was fettled upon a Woman before Marriage for her Provision and Maintenance; and the Mafter of the Rolls was of Opinion she should have both that and her Dower; but the Chancellor reverfed the Decree, and confined her to her Settlement.

### 6. That it must be made during the Coberture.

This the very Words of the Act of Parliament require, and therefore Co Lit 36. 4 Co. 3. if a Jointure be made to a Woman during Coverture in Satisfaction of Dower, she may wave it after her Husband's Death; but if she enters and agrees thereto, she is concluded; for tho' a Woman is not bound by any A& when the is not at her own Disposal, yet if the (a) agrees to it (a) What shall be said when she is at Liberty, it is her own Act, and she cannot avoid it. an Agreement or Refusal, 3 Co. 26. a. 3 Leon. 272. 1 And. 352. Poph. 88. Goulf. 4, 84, 85.

Co. Lit. 36. b. If a Jointure be made to the Wife before Coverture, and the Husband 1 Bulf. 163. and Wife alien by Fine, the Wife shall not afterwards be endowed of any Lands of her Husband's; for fince she quitted her Dower when she was at her own Disposal, she can claim nothing but the Jointure, and that she has passed away by the Fine levied; but if the Jointure was made during the Coverture, and then she relinquished it by Fine, yet she shall have her Dower of the other Lands; for the Acceptance of a Jointure during the Coverture is no Bar of her Dower, and she passing of it by Fine cannot be construed as Acceptance of Property in them,

fince that is capable of another Construction, viz. to bar her of her Dower in those Lands.

The Husband after Marriage fettled I ands to the Use of himself and Moor 717. Wise in (a) Tail, for her Jointure, and during the Coverture Part of the [L. 1002.] Lands were evicted, and the Husband died, and the Wise entered into the river the Part the Residue; and upon a Reference out of the Court of Wards to the settled in two Chief Justices, it was resolved, that she should have a Recompense of the Part evicled.

Recompense of the Part evicled.

Life only, quere; & vide 4 Co. 3. b.

A Seignory was granted to the Husband and Wise, and their Heirs, 3 Leon. 272-the Tenant atturns, the Husband dies, and the Seignory survives to the 3 Co 27. Wise, and she brought her Writ of Dower, in Bar of which the Heir pleads Acceptance of Homage from the Tenant; and this was held a good Bar; for tho' she might have disagreed to such Estate made during the Coverture, yet by the Acceptance of Homage she hath concluded herself; and this Case differs from the Assignment by the Heir in Pais and her Acceptance; because if he gives her a wrong Estate, and she accepts thereof, this is no Bar of her rightful Estate; but here she having two Titles, either as a Purchaser to have the Whole, or as a Wise to have the third Part, her Acceptance of the one is a Waver of the other, because she cannot have both out of the same Land.

If Lands are given to the Husband and Wife, and the Heirs of the Perk 352-3. Husband, who dies, the Wife may difagree to this Estate made during 3 Co. 27. the Coverture, and then it will be an Estate to the Husband and his Heirs ab initio, and so she shall have her Dower thereof; but if an Estate be made to the Husband and Wife for the Life of the Husband, Remainder to the right Heirs of the Husband, it should seem she cannot in this Case disagree, because the Estate upon the Husband's Death is determined and gone; tho' by this Contrivance all Women may be deseated of their Dower as to Estates purchased after the Marviage.

If an Estate be made to the Wise for her Jointure during the Cover-Co. Lit. 29. is, ture, the Remainder to J. S. in Fec, and the Wise waves this Jointure, J. S. shall have the Remainder; for here was a particular Estate at the Time of creating the Remainder, so that it had the Circumstances of a Remainder, being the Residue of a particular Estate then in Being, and since the particular Estate was deseasible by an Act that could not hurt the Remainder, the Remainder upon such Destruction of the particular Estate comes in Being.

A Man covenants to stand seised to the Use of himself in Tail, the Co.Lit. 348.1. Remainder to his Wise for Life, the Remainder to B. in Tail, and then he makes a Feossment in Fee to the Use of himself and his Wise for their Lives, as a Jointure, the Remainder to C. and dies without Issue, the Wise is remitted; for where a later and deseasible, and a former and indeseasible Title concur in the same Person, there must be a Remitter.

But in this Case the Wise hath two Titles, both wavable by her, the Co.Lit. 348. a. first indeseasible by any third Person, the latter deseasible by a third Person; for upon her claiming by the second Title she waves the first, and consequently the Remainder in B. commences, and he shall have his Action, and therefore she must be in her former Title, to save the Contention and Trouble of the Action.

But if an Estate be made to the Husband in Tail, the Remainder to Co. Lit 357-the Wise for Life, the Remainder to the right Heirs of the Husband, Dyer 351. the Husband afterwards makes a Feossment in Fee to the Use of the Husband and Wise for their Lives, the Remainder to the right Heirs of the Husband; the Husband dies without Issue; the Wise may claim by which she pleases, and is not remitted nolens volens, because here are not two Titles, the one indefeasible, and the other descassible by a third Perfon, but both equally firm; for the right Heir of the Husband upon the

Waver of the first Estate by the Wife can claim nothing in the Land contrary to the Feossment of his Ancestor, and therefore that Estate which the Wife claims is indefeasible, and no Stranger is prejudiced by being put to his Action.

2 Rol. Abr.

But if she makes no Election, she shall be supposed to be in of her elder Estate, because every one is presumed to chuse what is most for his Benefit.

Cro. Fac. 490.

If the Wife has an old Right before the Coverture, and afterwards

takes a Jointure of the same Lands, she shall be remitted.

Hob 72.

An Estate settled to the Husband for Life, Remainder to the Wife for a Jointure, except such of the Lands as the Husband should devise, this Exception is repugnant to the Grant, because the Settlement might be avoided by the Husband devising the Whole.

## (C) How far her own or her Husband's Ads may defeat her of this Provision.

Co. Lit. 36.

Dyer 358.

(a) So a Recovery as well as a Fine by a Feme Covert feme Covert for Covert is five five on the other Lands.

Thas been already observed, that if a Man make a Jointure on his Wife either before or after Marriage, and they both join in a (a) Fine, that she is so far bound thereby, that if the Jointure was made before Marriage she is barred to claim Dower in any other Lands of the Hustender Coverture, she may claim Dower in the other Lands.

to bar her, because the Pracipe in the Recovery answers the Writ of Covenant in the Fine to bring her into Court, where the Examination of the Judges destroys the Presumption of Law, that this is done by the Coercion of the Husband, for then it is to be presumed they would have refused here to Co. 43. 2 Rol. Abr. 395.

But if a Wife joins with her Husband in a Bargain and Sale of the Lands by Deed indented and inrolled, yet it shall not bind her; for a Wife cannot be examined by any Court without Writ, and there is no Writ allowed in this Case.

But if a Feme Covert joins with her Husband in levying a Fine to raife a Sum of Money by way of Mortgage, this shall bind her; yet in this Case she doth not absolutely depart with her Estate for Life, but there results a Trust to the Wife to (b) redeem, and to reinstate herself

Money shall in her Jointure.
be paid out

of the personal Estate of the Husband 1 Vern. 41, 213. 2 Vern. 436. — So if a Jointure be made of Lands which are in Mortgage, the Wife may redeem, and her Executor shall hold over till repaid with Interest. 1 Chan. Ca. 271. 2 Vent. 343. S. P. decreed.

If Tenant in Tail of a Trust makes a Mortgage, or acknowledges a Judgment or Statute, and then levies a Fine, and settles a Jointure, the Jointress shall hold it subject to the Mortgage or Judgment, in the same Manner as if the Mortgagor or Conusor had been Tenant in Tail of the legal Estate, and after the Mortgage or Judgment had levied a Fine, and made a Jointure, because the subsequent Declaration of the Use of the Fine is meerly the Act of the Tenant in Tail, and he cannot by any Act of his own make a subsequent Conveyance take Place of a precedent, and the rather, because the Feme claims under that Fee which Tenant in Tail got by the Recovery or Fine, and that Fee was subject to all the Charges he had lain upon it.

# (D) how far a Jointress is intitled to the Aid and Assistance of a Court of Equity.

Fa Man before Marriage articles to fettle a Jointure on his intended 2 Vent. 343. Wife, and the Marriage is consummated, and the Husband dies before any Settlement made, an Execution of the Articles will be decreed in (a) That a (a) Equity.

Jointress in Equity is confidered as a Purchaser for valuable Confideration, who may set aside a prior voluntary Conveyance as fraudulent against her. 1 Chan. Ca. 100 - But where by a Marriage Agreement the Son's intended Wife was to have more than would have been left for the Father, (tho' indebted,) his Wife and two Daughters unpreferred, the Court of Chancery would not decree it principally, by reason of the Extremity of it, but less the Party to her Remedy by Law. 2 Chan. Ca. 17.

So where A. gave a voluntary Bond after Marriage to make a Jointure 1 Vern. 427. to his Wife, and he made a Jointure accordingly, and then the Wife Beard and delivered up the Bond, and the Jointure being evicted, the Court held Nutbal. that it should be made good out of the personal Estate, especially as there were no Creditors affected by it; for the Delivery of the Bond by a Feme Covert could no way bind her.

So if a Jointress brings her Bill to have an Account of the real and Abr. Eq. 13. personal Estate of her late Husband, and to have Satisfaction thereout, for a Defect of Value of her Jointure Lands, which he had covenanted to be and to (b) continue of such Value, and the Defendant infists that (b) If a Man this is a Covenant which (c) founds only in Damages, and properly deterevenants to
fettle Lands minable at Law, tho' it be admitted that a Court of Equity cannot regu- of fuch a larly affels Damages, yet in this Case a Master in Chancery may properly Value as a inquire into the Value of the Defect of the Lands, and report it to the Jointure, and Court, which may decree such Defect to be made good, or send it to be nant is omittried at Law upon a Quantum Damnificat'. ted in the

Settlement, yer it subsists in Equity; but the Value of the Land is not to be estimated according to the present Value, but as they were at the Time of the Jointure fettled, unless the Covenant be fo 1 Vern. 217. Speake versus Speake. (c) An Action on the Case brought at Law for not making a Jointure. 2 Rol. Rep. 488.

If there be a Jointress, and a Covenant that her Jointure shall be of Abr. Eq. fuch a yearly Value, and it falls short, tho' her Estate be not without 221-2.

Impeachment of Waste, yet the may commit Waste so far as to make yet Carew and Impeachment of Waste, yet she may commit Waste so fir as to make up Carew. the Defect of the Jointure, and Equity will not (d) prohibit. (d) But on

a Motion to stay a Jointress Tenant in Tail after Possibility, 300 the Court held, that she being a Jointress within the ti H. 7. ought to be reffrained, being Part of the Inheritance which by the Statute she is restrained from aliening, and therefore granted an Injunction against wilful Walte. Abr. Eq. 221. Cook and Winford

J. S. made a Settlement on his eldest Son for Life, with Remainder to Abr. Eq. 222. his first and other Sons in Tail, Remainder over, with Power to his Son Hill 1701. to appoint any of the Lands not exceeding 100 l. per Annum to any Wife Fotbergill. he should afterwards marry, for a Jointure, (the Father being under an Apprehension that he was then married to a Woman which the Father disliked, and had no Intention his Son should provide for;) the Father died, and the Son married that very Woman, (tho' there was strong presumptive Proof that he was married to her before, ) and after Marriage appointed certain Lands to Trustees in Trust for her, for a Jointure, and covenants that if they were not of 100 l. per Annum Value, that upon Request made to him any Time during his Life, he would make them up so much out of other Lands in his Power; he lived several Years, and no Complaint was made that the Lands were not of that Vol. III.

Fotbergill and

Value, nor Request to make it up, and died; upon Issue on a Bill brought by the Widow to have the Jointure made up 100 l. my Lord Keeper said, that a Provision for a Wise or Children was not to be considered as a voluntary Covenant, and therefore decreed the Deficiency to be made up, notwithstanding the Circumstances of the Case, and her Neglect in not requesting it during Coverture; for the Laches of a Feme Covert cannot be imputed to her.

2 Vern. 701. 1 Vern. 479. S. P. tho' the Jointure was made after Marriage. If a Bill is brought by an Heir at Law, or any other Person, a airst a Jointress, whereby the Party would avoid the Jointure, under Pretence that his Ancestor was only Tenant for Life, &c. and he seeks for a Discovery of Deeds and Writings, whereby he would avoid the Title of the Jointress, he shall never have such a Discovery, unless he by his Bill submits to confirm her Title, and then he shall.

So if a Jointress prays a Discovery against an Heir at Law of Deeds and Writings, if the Heir submits by Answer to confirm the Jointress's

Title, she shall have no such Discovery.

# Juries,

Fortesc. de Laud. Leg. Ang. cap. 25. Co. Lit. 155. Co. Presace to 3d and Sth Report.

HE Trial per Pais, or by a Jury of one's Country, is justly esteemed one of the chiefest Excellencies of our Constitution; for what greater Security can any Person have in his Life; Liberty, or Estate, than to be sure of not being devested of, or injured in any of these, without the Sense and Verdict of twelve honest and impartial Men of his Neighbourhood? And hence we find the Common Law herein confirmed by Magna Charta, cap. 29. Nullus Liber Homo capiatur, vel imprisonetur, aut dissertations, aut utlagetur, aut exuletur, aut aliquo modo destruatur, nec super eum ibinus, nec super eum mittenus, niss per legale judicium Parium suorum, vel per Legem terræ.

Spelm. Gloff. verbo Jurata. Glan. lib. 2. cap. 7. Likewise the Antiquity of this Trial, and its being peculiar to us, have been taken Notice of, as Matters which reslect Honour on our Constitution; for the there were antiently several other Methods of Trial, such as by Battle, Ordeal, &c. yet have they, from the Inconveniencies attending them, been laid aside, and this alone cultivated and improved, as the best Method of investigating Truth.

We shall consider this Head under the following Divisions.

- (A) Of the several Kinds of Juries and particular Inquests; and therein of the Dumber such Juries must consist of.
- (B) Of the Jury Process, and Manner of convening the Jury: And herein,

x. Of the Necessity of fuch Process, and where a Panel may be returned by a bare Award without any Precept.

2. Of the feveral Kinds of Jury Process, and Manner of com-

pelling a Jury to appear.

- 3. By whom fuch Processes are to be executed, and the Jury convened.
- 4. In what Time such Processes are returnable.

5. Where the Jury must appear.

6. What Number are to be returned.

7. Of awarding Process by Proviso.

8. Necessity of returning a Panel into Court, and where a Prifoner may demand a Copy of it.

9. Of the Trials going off pro Defectu Juratorum; and there-

in of drawing a Juror.

- (C) In what Cases and in what Manner a Tales is grantable.
- (D) In what Cales and in what Manner special Juries are appointed.
- (E) Who are to be returned; and therein of the Qualifications and feveral Causes for which they may be challenged: And herein,
  - 1. Of Challenges to the Array or to the Polis; and herein where the Infushciency or Partiality of the Sheriff or Returning Officer is a principal Cause of Challenge, or to the Favour.

2. Where Insufficiency and not being Liber Homo is a good Cause of Challenge to the Polls.

3. Where the Want of Freehold, or a competent Estate, is a

good Cause of Challenge.

4. Where the Jury's not being convened from a right Place

is a good Caufe of Challenge.

- 5. Where Partiality in the Juror is a good Cause of Challenge; and therein where it shall be said a principal Cause of Challenge, or to the Favour.
- 6. Where the Quality of the Juror is a good Cause of Challenge; and herein who are exempt from serving on Juries.
- 7. Where from the Quality of either Party it is a good Cause of Challenge, that a Knight is not returned.
- 8. Of Trials per Medietatem Lingue, where an Alien is Party.

9. Of peremptory Challenges.

10. Of Challenges by the Crown.

- 11. At what Time a Challenge is to be taken.
- 12. How fuch a Challenge is to be tried.
- (F) How Juross are to be impanelled and swozn,
- (G) How to be kept and discharged.
- (H) In what Cales and in what Manner to have a Cliew.

- (1) What Irregularities and Defens in convening, or in the Qualifications of the Juross are amendable, and aided after Aerdin.
- (K) What Arregularities of Wefects in convening, of in the Qualifications of the Juross, are aided by Confeint.
- (L) Alhen and by whom to be paid.
- (M) for what Misdemtandis punishable: And herein,
  - 1. Where punishable by Attaint.

2. How otherwise punishable.

3. Where Abuses by others in Relation to them are punishable and therein of the Ossence of Embracery.

## (A) Of the several Kinds of Juries and particular Inquests; and therein of the Number such Jury must consist of.

JURIES are distinguished into Grand and Petit Juries; the Grand Infl. 30.

Jury may (a) consist of thirteen, or any greater Number; for these being the Grand Inquisitors of the County, every Indichment and Presentment by them must be found by twelve at least; but it is not necessary that all above that Number should concur in such Presentment or Indichment.

Juries are returned every Term to serve in B. R. every Jury consisting of sixteen, seventeen, or more, to inquire of Offences criminal committed in the several Parts of the County of Middleses thro' the whole County; the Reason hereot is, that in Middleses there are three Hundreds, and for every several Hundred there is a particular Jury returned to serve for that Hundred only. 2 Lil. Reg. 124.— That in some Counties which consist of Guildable, and such Franchise where antiently several Justices of Gaol-Delivery sat, as in Suffilk, there are two Grand Juries, one for the Guildable, another for the Franchise, because there are two several Commissions of Gaol-Delivery. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 26, 154.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 154. Upon the Summons of any Session of the Peace, and in Cases of Commissions of Oyer and Terminer and Gaol-Delivery, there goes out a Precept either in the Name of the King, or of two or more Justices, directed to the Sheriss, upon which he is to return twenty-sour, or more, out of the whole County, namely, a considerable Number out of every Hundred, out of which the Grand Inquest at the Sessions of the Peace, Oyer and Terminer, or Gaol-Delivery are taken, and sworn ad Inquirendum pro Domino Rege & Corpore Comitatus.

Those returned to serve on the Grand Jury must be (b) Probi & Legales 3 Inst. 50.

Homines, and ought to be of the same County where the Crime was 2 Rol. Rep. 82.

Rol. Rep. 82.

Homines, and ought to be of the same County where the Crime was 2 Rol. Rep. 82.

Homines, and ought to be of the same County where the Crime was 2 Rol. Rep. 82.

Those returned to serve on the Grand Jury must be (b) Probi & Legales

Homines, and ought to be of the same County where the Crime was 2 Rol. Rep. 82.

Those returned to serve on the Grand Jury must be (b) Probi & Legales

Homines, and ought to be of the same County where the Crime was 2 Rol. Rep. 82.

Those returned to serve on the Grand Jury must be (b) Probi & Legales

Homines, and ought to be of the same County where the Crime was 2 Rol. Rep. 82.

Those returned to serve on the Grand Jury must be (b) Probi & Legales

Homines, and ought to be of the same County where the Crime was 2 Rol. Rep. 82.

Those returned to serve on the Grand Jury must be (b) Probi & Legales

Homines, and ought to be of the same County where the Crime was 2 Rol. Rep. 82.

Those returned to serve on the Grand Jury must be (b) Probi & Legales

Homines, and ought to be of the same County where the Crime was 2 Rol. Rep. 82.

Indicaments found in inserior Courts have been quassed for Want of the Words Proborum & Legalium Hominum in the Caption. Cro. Eliz. 751. Cro. Jac. 635. Palm. 282. 2 Rol. Rep. 400 2 Rol. Abr. 82. Poph. 202. 1 Keb 629. 2 Keb. 471. 3 Mod. 122. 1 Lev. 208.— But this Exception has been often over-ruled, because Prima Facie all Men shall be intended honest and lawful. 1 Keb. 50. 2 Keb. 135, 284. Cro. Jac. 41. 1 Sid. 106, 367. (c) Tho' in a personal Action. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 155. But for this vide 3 Inst. 32. 21 H 6. 30. pl. 17. Fitz. Tit. Process 208. Cro. Car. 134, 147. 1 Jones 198. 12 Co. 99.

Officer,

Officer, or that he was returned at the Instance of the Prosecutor; but these Exceptions must be taken before the Indictment found.

It is laid down by my Lord Chief Justice Hale, that at Common Law 2 Hal. Hist. every Person returned on the Grand Jury ought to be a Freeholder at P.C. 155. least, and that the Statute of 2 H. 5. cap. 3. that requires Jurors that vide 2 Hawk. pals upon the Trial of a Man's Life to have 40 s. per Annum Trechold, P.C. 216. hath been the Measure by which the Freehold of Grand Jurymou hath been measured in Precepts of Summons of Sessions.

Also by feveral (a) Acts of Parliament it is provided, that those who (a) Viz Westferve on the Grand Jury be fuch as are duly qualified, the principal ones ninft 2 cap of which are the 11 H. 4. cap. 9. and 3 H. 8. cap. 12. the first whereof is 28, that old Men above as followeth: 'Because that now of late Enquests were taken at II est- the Age of minster of Persons named to the Justices, without due Return of the seventy shall Sheriff, of which Persons some were outlawed before the said Justices not be put of Record, and some sted to Sanctuary for Treason, and some for on Juries. —
Felony, there to have Resuge, by whom as well many Offenders were only 38. shall indicted, as other lawful liege People of our Lord the King, not guilty, not have less the Consistence. Abstract. by Conspiracy, Abetment, and false Imagination of other Persons, for than 201. their special Advantage and singular Lucre, against the Course of the yearly. - By • Common Law used and accustomed before this Time; our said Lord monly called the King, for the greater Ease and Quietness of his People, wills and the Statute grantetli, that the same Indictment so made, with all the Dependance de his qui pothereof, be revoked, annulled, void, and holden for none for ever, nendi funt in Affifs, shall and that from henceforth no Indictment be made by any fuch Persons. and that from henceforth no Indictment be made by any fuch Persons, have Tenebut by Inquests of the King's lawful liege People, in the Manner it was ments to the " used in the Time of his Noble Progenitors, returned by the Sheriffs or Value of 40s. Bailiffs of Franchifes, without any Denomination to the Sheriffs or yearly.—By 28 E. 1. commonly called Bailiffs of Franchifes before made by any Person of the Names which monly called by him should be impanelled, except it be by the Officers of the faid the Statute Sheriffs or Bailiffs of Franchifes sworn and known to make the same, of Articuli and other Officers to whom it pertaineth to make the fame, according fuper Chartas, none are to to the Law of England; and if any Indictment be made hereafter in be put on any Point to the contrary, that the same Indichment be also void, re- Inquests and woked, and for ever holden for none.

fuch as be

next Neighbours, most sufficient, and least suspicious; and the like is enacted by 42 E. 3. cap; 11. And to the same Purpose are the 23 E. 3. cap. 6. and 34 E. 3 cap. 4.

In the Construction of this Statute the following Points have been refolved;

That if a Person not returned on a Grand Jury procure his Name to 12 Co 99. be read among those that are returned, whereupon he is sworn, &c. he 3 Inft. 33. may be indicted for a Contempt of this Statute.

That Indictments before (b) Justices of the Peace are clearly within 12 Co. 98. 3 Inst 33. Cro Car 134. this Statute.

(b) But it seems doubtful whether a Coroner's Inquest be within it. 1 Jones 198.

That a Person, arraigned on an Indictment taken contrary to the Sta- 3 Inst 34. tute, may plead fuch Matter in Avoidance of the Indiciment, and also Cro Car 134. plead over to the Felony.

That he, who is outlawed on an Indictment without any Trial, may 3 Inft. 34 clearly shew in Avoidance of such Outlawry, that the Indictment was Cro. Car. 147. taken contrary to the Statute; but the Court needs not admit of the Plea of the Outlawry of an Indictor in Avoidance of any fuch Indictment, unless he who pleads it have the Record ready, unless it be an Outlawry of the same Court wherein the Indictment is depending; in which Cafe it is faid, that any one as Amicus Curile may inform the Court of it; also it seems the better Opinion, that no Exception against an Indictor is allowable, unless the Party takes it before Trial.

11 H 4. 41. pl. 8. S. P. C \$8, 3 Inft. 33.

That if any one of the Grand Jury, who find an Indictment, be within any of the Exceptions in the Statute, he vitiates the Whole, tho' never so many unexceptionable Persons joined with him in finding it.

That if a Prisoner indicted of Felony offer to take any such Exception, he shall, upon his Prayer, have Counsel assigned him for his Assistance.

Cro. Car. 134, 147. 1 Fones 198.

By the 3 H. 8. cap. 12. it is Enacted, 'That all Panels to be returned, which be not at the Suit of any Party that shall be made, and put in by every Sheriff, and their Ministers, before any Justice of Gaol-Delivery, or Justices of Peace, whereof one to be of the Quorum, in their open Sessions, to inquire for the King, shall be reformed by putting to and taking out of the Names of the Persons which so be impanelled by every Sheriff, and their Ministers, by the Discretion of the same Justices before whom fuch Panels shall be returned, and that the same Justice and Justices shall command every Sheriff, and their Ministers in his Absence, to put other Persons in the same Panel by their Discretions, and that the same Panels so reformed by the said Justices be good and 6 lawful; and that if any Sheriff, or any other Minister, at any Time do 6 not return the same Panels so reformed, that then every such Sheriff and Minister so offending shall forfeit for every such Offence twenty Pounds, &c.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 156, 265.

This Act extends not only to Panels of Grand Inquests returned, but also to Panels of the Petty Jury, commonly called the Petty Jury of Life and Death, which may be reformed by the Justices according to this Act, and the Sheriff is bound to return the Panel so reformed.

It hath been noisen, that this bratter down herein both may confift together; and 2 Hawk. P. C. of 11 H. 4. as to any Point wherein both may confift together; and It hath been holden, that this Statute doth not take away the Force therefore if any Indictor be outlawed, or returned at the Nomination of any Person, contrary to 11 H. 4. except of the Justices authorised as abovementioned to reform the Panel, the Indictment may be avoided in the same Manner as before.

Trials per Pais So. F. N B. 107.

The Grand Jury, as has been already observed, must consist of twelve at (a) leaft, the Petty Jury of twelve, and can be neither more nor less; Finch of Law but it is said, that particular (b) Inquests may consist of a more or less 400, 484. — Number than twelve.

A Writ of In-

quiry of Waste by thirteen was holden good. Cro. Car. 414. (a) That to make a Jury in a Writ of Right, which is called the Grand Assis, there must be sixteen, viz. four Knights, and twelve others. Trials per Pais 82. Or it may consist of a greater Number. 2 Rol. Abr. 674.— The Jury in Attaint, called the Grand Jury, must be twenty-four; but if the Issue buyon a Matter out of the Point of the Attaint, as upon a Plea of Non-tenure, the Trial shall be by twelve. Trials per Pais 82. (b) That a Jury can be excepted against on an Inquest of Office. 6 Med. 42. There have connect be excepted. a Juror can be excepted against on an Inquest of Office. 6 Mod. 43. - That a Jury cannot be attainted on an Inquest of Office. Carth. 362.

1 Vent. 113.

But on a Writ of Error a Judgment out of an inferior Court was reversed, because being by Default, the Inquiry of Damages was only by two Jurors; and tho' a Custom was alledged to warrant it, yet it was refolved, that there could not be lefs than twelve, tho' the Writ of Inquiry faith only per Sacramentum Proborum & Legalium Hominum, and not duodecim as in a Venire.

Cro. Car. 259. 1 Sid. 233. 3 Keb. 326.

Also it hath been frequently held, that a Custom in an inferior Court to try by fix Jurors is void; and that tho' fuch Custom is used in Wales, yet that that is by Force of the Statute 34 H. 8. which appoints that fuch Trials may be by fix only where the Custom hath been so.

### (B) Of the Jury Process, and Hanner of con= vening the Jury: And herein,

1. Of the Pecellity of such Process, and where a Panel may be returned by a bare Award without any Diecept.

I T feems agreed, that a Person not duly summoned and returned is March St. not obliged to serve on a Jury; also it hath been held, that if a Stranger cause himself to be sworn in the Name of one who was of the Jury, it is such a Misdemeanor for which he may be indicted, and for which also an Action on the Case lies at the Suit of the Party injured.

But Justices of Gaol-Delivery may have a Panel returned by the 2 Inft. 568. Sheriff without any Precept or Writ; and the Reason given for it is, 3 Inft. 168. that before their Coming they make a general Frecept to the Sheriff in 2 Hawk. P.C. Parchment, under their Seals, to bring before them at the Day of their 405. Seffions twenty-four out of every Hundred, &c. to do those Things which shall be enjoined them on the Part of the King, &c. and therefore it is faid, that they need not make any other Precept for the Return of a Jury for the Trial of any Issue joined before them, but that their bare Award that the Jury shall come is sufficient, because there are enow for that Purpose supposed to be present in Court, whom the Sheriff may return immediately, whenever the Court shall domand their Service.

Also it is faid, that a Jury may be so returned before Justices of Peace 2 Inft. 568. at their Sessions, because the Precept for the Summons of the Sessions 1 Sid. 364. hath a Clause to the same Effect, for the Summons of twenty-four out of every Hundred: But it is (a) doubted whether this Matter does not (a) 2 Hawk. rather depend on Practice, and the constant Course of Precedents, than P. C. 406. any Argument from the Reason of the Thing; and even in the Case of Justices of Gaol-Delivery, the Law is otherwise, if they have a special Commission.

Also the Precept to the Sheriff from Justices of Oyer and Terminer, 2 Hal. Hift. in order for the holding of their Sessions, hath in Effect the very same P.C. 260 1. Clause for the bringing of twenty-four before them out of each Hundred 2 Hawk. P. C. at the Day of their Sessions, &c. and yet it seems agreed, that they cannot have a Jury returned for the Trial of an Issue joined before them by Force of a bare Award, but ought to make a particular Precept to the Sheriff for that Purpose under their Seals.

By the Course of the King's Bench no Jury can be returned into it Dyer 118. from a foreign County, without Process under the Seal of the Chief 2 Hal. Hist. Justice; but Quere if it may not be returned for a Trial in the County 2 Hawk. P.C. where it fits by a bare Praceptum est? 406.

#### 2. Of the several kinds of Jury Process, and Manner of compelling a Jury to appear.

The first Process for convening the Jury is the Venire Facias, which Trials per must be awarded on the Roll, and thereupon in the Common Pleas there Pais 64. issues the Habeas Corpora and Distringus Juratores; but in the King's Bench and Exchequer after the Venire they proceed on the Distringus; for the Venire being in the Nature of a Summons, if the Jury did not appear thereon in those Courts in which the King has a more immediate Concern, they proceed on the strongest Process, viz. the Distringuis.

If all the Jury did not attend on the Hucas Corpora or Distringuis,

which was to bring them into Court, there was an undecim, decem, or

the whole lenged off, then a new and if nore

o.To Tales, according as the Number was deficient, to force others to the (a) But if King's Court to try the Issue, this was without (a) a new Summons or the whole Venire, because it was supposed that the first Habeas Corpora and Distringas had given Notice to the Vicinity that they ought to appear; and therefore the Supplement of a Jury were forced in without a particular Sum-Venire Facias, mons to them.

of the Jury appear, then a Distringus Juratores shall issue, and no Tales. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 265.

2 Hawk. P.C. There must be an Award on the Roll to warrant the Issuing the Venire 298 9. or Distringues, and such Process must be continued from Time to Time against the Jurors, returnable on the same Days to which the Suit is continued on the Roll against the Parties.

And therefore where a Venire Facias was made returnable on the 23d 6 Mod. 281. 1 Salk. 51. of Fanuary, and the Distringues tested on the 24th, this was held a Distcontinuance, and being in a criminal Case, not aided by any of the Statutes of Feofails.

Cro. Eliz. 622. So where the Venire omits Part of the Issue or Issues to be tried, or 1 Rol. Rep. 22. where a Venire omits any of the Parties, these are Discontinuances. 3 Bulf. 311. Winch 73.

1 Sid. 66. 191,198,215. 6 Mod. 285. Vide postest Letter (1).

So where a Juror is named in the Habeas Corpora by a Name different 1 Keb. 182, from that in the Panel returned on the Venire, or where a Juror returned on fuch a Panel is wholly omitted in the Habeas Corpora; but in these Cases if the Juror so misnamed or omitted be not sworn at the Trial 5 Co. 36. b. Cales if the Juror 10 milnamed or omitted be not sworn at the Trial Cro. Eliz. 586. of the Cause, it is questionable whether there be any Discontinuance at all.

1 Fones 425. Trials per Pais 57. (b) It is said, that where there are dants, the Plaintiff may join

Where there are feveral Defendants who plead feveral Pleas, the Plaintiff may chuse either to have one Venire Facias for all, or several for (b) every one of the Defendants; so where several Persons are arraigned upon the same Indictment or Appeal, and severally plead Not guilty, it is in the Election of the Profecutor either to take out joint three Defen- Venire's against them all, or several against each of them; but in an Appeal if one plead Not guilty, and the other plead a Release made at A. it feems that there must be several Venire's.

in one Venire, and take out another against the third. Cro. Eliz. 541. But for this vide 2 Rol. Abr. 596, 620, 667. Hob. 36. Cro. Eliz. 866. Cro. Fac. 550.

2 Hawk. P.C. 298.

But where a joint Venire is first awarded for the Trial of all the Defendants together, and afterwards several Venire's for the Trial of each of them, this is a Discontinuance.

2 Hawk. P.C. 407. and feveral Authorities there cired.

And where the same Jury is returned on joint Process against several Defendants, if a Juror be challenged by any one of them, and thereupon drawn, he is by necessary Consequence drawn as to all, because there being but one Panel, the same Person cannot at the same Time be taken from it, and continue in it; and to prevent this Inconvenience, where one Jury is jointly returned before Justices of Gaol-Delivery, they may sever the Panel; but after an Appellant has taken out a joint Venire against all the Appellees, he cannot afterwards take out several ones, tho' the first be never returned, because it would cause a Discontinuance.

2 Hawk. P. C. veral Authorities there cited. Trials per Pais 200.

Jurors being duly ferved with Process are compellable to appear; and 146. and se therefore where more than one appear, but not enough to take the Inquest, but some of the others come within View, or into the Town where the Court is holden, but refuse to come into Court; in these Cases the Court may order those who appear to inquire of the yearly Value of such Defaulters Lands; which being done, the Court may either summon them to appear, on Pain of the Sum found, or some lesser Sum, or may fine them in like Sum without more ado; but such

Juror shall only lose his Issues, and not the yearly Value of his Lands, unless the Party pray it; but one who makes Default after Appearance is liable to such Forfeiture without any Frayer; yet the Court in Descretion will fometimes only impose a small Fine; also a Juror, who comes not to Town where the Court is holden, shall only lose his Issues, or be amerced, but not fined; and it is faid, that a Juror is not amerceable at all at the Return of the first Venire, except before Justices of Oyer and Terminer.

Also by the 27 Eliz. cap. 6. sect. 2. it is Enacted, ' That upon every first Writ of Haleus Corpora or Desiringas with a Ness Prius delivered of Record by the Sheriff, or other Minister or Ministers to whom the ' making of the Return irall as pertain, shall return in Issues, upon every · Person impanelled and returned upon any such Writ, at least ten Shil-6 lings; and at the fecond Writ of Haleas Corpora or Diffringas with a 6 Nift Prius upon every Perfon impanelled and returned upon any Writ twenty Shillings at the leaft; and at the third Writ of Haleas Corpora or Diffringas with a Nifi Prius, that shall be further awarded, upon every Person impanelled and returned upon such Writ thirty Shillings; and upon every Writ that shall be further awarded to try any Issues, to 6 double the Issues last afore specified, until a full Jury be sworn, or the · Process otherwise ceased or determined, upon Pain to forseit for every Return of Issues contrary to the Form aforesaid five Pounds. And now by the 3 Georg. 2. cap. 25. feet. 13. it is Enacted, 6 That every Person or Persons whose Name or Names shall be drawn, (as by the Act is directed,) and who shall not appear after being openly

' called three Times, upon Oath made by some credible Person, that such Ferfon fo making Default had been lawfully fummoned, shall sorfeit 6 and pay for every Default in not appearing upon Call as aforefaid, 6 (unless some reasonable Cause of his Absence be proved by Oath or · Affidavit, to the Satisfaction of the Judge who fits to try the faid Caufe,) fuch Fine or Fines not exceeding the Sum of five Pounds, and not less than forty Shillings, as the faid Judge shall think reasonable to inflict

or affels for fuch Default.'

#### 3. By whom such Process are to be executed, and the Jury convened.

The Sheriff is the proper Officer by whom the Jury Process is to be Co. Lit. 158. a. executed, unless he be partial, that is, such a one, as from his Consangui- Ero. Challenge nity or Affinity, his being under the Power of either Party, &c. cannot 153, wide in-be prefumed to be an indifferent Lerson, as every Officer who hath any way to do with the Administration of Justice ought to be; and in every fuch Cafe the Process shall be directed to the Coroners, if they are impartial, or to those of them who are so, in Cases some of them lie under the afore-mentioned prejudices; and in Cafe all the Coroners are partial, or not indifferent, then the Venire small be directed to two Elizors named by the Court, and against whom, for that Reason, no Challenge can be taken.

When Process is once awarded to the Coroners, &c. for the Sheriff's Co. Lit. 158. actual Partiality, the Entry is Vicecomes fe non intromittat, and in such 2 R.L. Abr. Cafe Process shall not afterwards be awarded to any new Sherss, iut where it was awarded to the Coroners for that the Sheriff is Tenant, &c. it may be awarded to a new Sheriff.

So if a Venire Facias is awarded to the Coroner for Partiality in the Cro. Eliz 5-4-Sheriff, and afterwards a Tales is awarded, which is returned by the She-Mye; Goodie riff, this has been held Error.

Crs. El. 2. 586.

Trials par Pais 46.

But if the Venire be awarded to the Coroners for Default in the Sheriff, and they do nothing upon the Writ, upon a Default discovered in the Coroner de Puifue Temps the Party may shew this to the Court, and have a Venire awarded to the Sheriff, if there be an indifferent one made in the mean time, or else to Elizors; & fic e converso.

Cro. Eliz. S53.

And therefore in Error of a Judgment in Cheffer, the Parties being at Issue, a Venire was awarded to the Sherist, and at the Day of the Return it was entered, guod Vicecomes non missit Breve, and then the Flaintiff prayed a Venire Facias to the Coroners for Cofinage betwixt him and the Sheriff, which was awarded accordingly; and at the Day of Trial the Defendant made Default, and there being Judgment thereon, it was affigned for Error, that after the Plaintiff had admitted the Sheriff to execute the Writ, he could not pray a Venire Facias to the Coroners without some Cause de Puisne Temps; sed non allocatur, because there was nothing done upon the first Writ, and the Defendant having made Default, it was not material.

Co Lit. 157. b. 158 a. Cro. Fac. 547. Trials per Pais 41. Ferk. 115

Upon the Surmise of the Plaintiff that the Sheriff is his Cousin, and upon Prayer that the Venire be directed to the Coroners for Avoidance of his own Delay, that might happen by the Challenge of the Array, the Defendant shall be examined whether it be true or not; and if he confess it, then the Venire shall be awarded to the Coroners; for then it appears to the Court by the Defendant's Confession, that the Sheriff is not indifferent; but if the Defendant denies it, then the Process shall be awarded to the Sheriff, because the Sheriff's Authority and Profit shall not be taken away without Cause apparent to the Court; but if the Defendant will alledge any fuch Matter, and pray a Venire Facias to the Coroners, there the Plaintiff shall not be examined; neither shall such Allegations be allowed, because Delays are for the Defendant's Advantage, and the Defendant may challenge the Jury for this Caufe, and so is at no Prejudice.

Carth. 214. Warrington, one of the Sheritfs of Chefter.

If there be two Sheriffs of a County, and one of them is partial, the The King ver. Venire may be directed to the other, and not to the Coroners; for the Coroner is not the proper Person to execute the Process of the Court, but in fuch Cafes where the proper Officer is wanting; which cannot be faid where there is one impartial Sheriff.

4 Mod. 65.

S. C. Skin. 104 S. P. adjudged between Rich, Sheriff of London, and Sir Thomas Player.

Trials fer Pais 41. 2 Lil. Reg. 124. cont.

So if the Under Sheriff be a Party, yet the Venire may be directed to the High Sheriff, with this Proviso, quod Sub-Vic. tuns in nullo se intromittat cum Executione istius Brevis.

Fitz. Tit.

After a Challenge to the Array, and allowed for the Partiality of the

Challenge 121. Sheriff, the Coroner may return the very same Jury.

If the Sheriff return on the Venire Facias, quod Breve istud sie executum & Dyer 177. b. pl. 34.

indorsatum per A. B. nuper Vicecomitem Prædecessorem suum cum Panello, ubi in facto Panellum illud factum & arraiatum fuit per ipsum nunc Vicecomitem, the Party may challenge the Array afterwards for Confanguinity or Affinity of the Sheriff; and this shall be tried by two Triers, notwithstanding this false Return.

110b 70.

Upon a Surmife the Venire Facias was awarded to the Coroners, and the Venire was returned by two Coroners only, and the Distringus by three Coroners; and there being at the Time of the Award and Return of the Venire Facias and Distringas four Coroners, it was agreed that this was at Common Law plain Error; for that Coroners as Ministers must all join, but as Judges they may divide; but that it was aided by the Statute of Jeofails, which cures the imperfect and infussicient Returns of Process by Sheriffs or other Officers.

Rayni 484. Dominus Rex ver Higgins.

If upon a Suggestion on the Roll the Venire is directed to the Coroners, who are two in Number, and both the Coroners are mentioned on the  $\mathbb{R}$  ecord Record to have returned the Panel, and in Reality one only returned it; yet this cannot be excepted against, because an Objection contrary to

what appears on the Face of the Record.

Error of a Judgment in Northampton, because in Northampton the Cro Car. 133. Court being held before the Mayor and two Bailiffs, the Venire fac. upon Crane ver. the Islue was awarded to the two Bailiss to Return a Jury before the Holland Mayor and Builiffs fecandum confuctudinem, which being returned, and Judgment g ven, the Error affigned was, because the Bailiffs being Judges of the Court, could not also be Officers, to whom Process should be direcited, there being no Custom that can maintain any to be both Officer and Judge; but all the Court (absente Hide) conceived it might be good by Custom, and that it is not any Error; for the Judges be not the Bailiffs only, but the Mayor and Bailiffs; and it is a common Course in many of the ancient Corporations where the Bailaffs are Judges, or the Mayor and they be Judges, yet in respect of executing Process they be the Officers also, and one may be Judge and Officer diversis respectibus; as in Red-steisin the Sherist is Judge and Officer; whereupon the Judgment was affirmed.

If the Array of a Panel is returned by a Bailiff of a Franchife, and Co. Lit. 156. a. the Sheriff return it as of himfelf, this shall be quashed; but if the Sheriff Return a Jury within a Liberty, this is good, and the Lord of the Franchise is driven to his Remedy against him.

If the Sheriff returns a Panel of Jurors, struck by two Strangers, that Co Lit. 157.40 favour neither of the Parties, this is a good Array, and shall not be 1 Keb 357, quashed; and therefore it is common for the Officers of the Court, by 687. the Direction of the Judges, to give a Panel to the Sheriff, which he returns; but the Court feems not to have Power to compel the Sheriff to make this Return, but they can fine him, if a sufficient Jury do not

appear according to the Precept of the Writ. By the 3 Georg. 2. cap. 25. for the better Regulation of Juries, it is Made perperenacted, 6 That the Perfon or Perfons required by a Statute made in the tual by fth and 8th Years of the Reign of his late Majesty King Hilliam, intitled, An Act for the Ease of Jurors, and better Regulating of Jurors; and by a Clause in another Act made in the 3d and 4th Years of the Reign of the late Queen Anne, intitled, An At for making perpetual an Ast for 6 the more casy Recovery of small Tithes; and also an AF for the more easy obtaining Partition of Lands in Coparcenary, Joint-tenancy and Tenancy in commen; and also for making more effectual and amending several Acts re-6 lating to the Return of Jurors, to give in, or who are, by Virtue of this Act, to make up true Lists in Writing of the Names of Persons qua-' lifted to ferve on Juries, in order to affift them to compleat fuch Lifts, f pursuant to the Intent of the faid Act, shall (upon Request by him or them made to any Parish Officer or Officers, who shall have in his or their Custody any of the Rates for the Poor, or Land-Tax, in such 6 Parish or Place) have free Liberty to inspect such Rates, and take from thence the Name or Names of fuch Freeholders, Copyholders, or other Persons qualified to serve on Juries, dwelling within their refrective Parishes or Precincis, for which such List is to be given in and returned purfuant to the faid Acts, and shall yearly, and every 4 Year, twenty Days at least before the Feast of St. Michael the Archangel, upon two or more Sundays, fix upon the Door of the Church, Chapel, and every other publick Place of Religious Worship, within 6 their respective Precincts, a true and exact List of all such Persons intended to be returned to the Quarter-Sessions of the Peace, as qualified 6 to serve on Juries, pursuant to the Directions of the said Act, and · leave at the same Time a Duplicate of such List with a Church-warden, Chapel-warden, or Overseer of the Poor of the said Parish or Flace, 6 to be perused by the Parishioners without Fee or Reward, to the End that Notice may be given of Persons so qualified who are omitted, or

of Perfons inferted by Mistake, who ought to be omitted out of such
 Lists; and if any Person or Persons not being qualified to serve on

Juries, shall find his or their Name or Names mentioned in such List, and the Person or Persons required to make such List shall resuse to omit him or them, or think it doubtful whether he or they ought to be omitted, it shall and may be lawful to and for the Justices of the Peace for the County, Riding, or Division, at their respective General Quarter-Sessions, to which the said Lists shall be so returned, upon Satisfaction from the Oath of the Party complaining, or other Proof,

that he is not qualified to ferve on Juries, to order his or their Name

6 or Names to be struck out or omitted in such List, when the same shall 6 be entred in the Book, to be kept by the Clerk of the Feace for that

Purpose, pursuant to the said Act. Sect. 2. it is further enacted, 'That if any Person or Persons required by the faid Acts to return or give in, or by Virtue of this Act to make ' up any fuch List, or concerned therein, shall wilfully omit out of any fuch Lift any Person or Persons, whose Name or Names ought to be inferted, or shall wilfully infert any Person or Persons who ought to te omitted, or shall take any Money, or other Reward, for omitting or inferting any Person whatsoever, he or they so offending shall, for every Person so omitted or inserted in such List, contrary to the Meaning of this Act, forfeit the Sum of Twenty Shillings for every fuch Offence, upon Conviction before one or more Justice or Justices of Peace of the County, Riding, or Division, where such Offender shall dwell, upon the Confession of the Offender, or Proof by one or more credible Witness or Witnesses upon Oath; one Half thereof to be paid to the Informer, and the other Half to the Poor of fuch Parish or Place for which the faid List is returned; and in case such Penalty shall not be paid within five Days after such Conviction, the same shall be levied by Distress and Sale of the Offender's Goods, by Warrant or Warrants from one or more Justice or Justices of the Peace, returning the Overplus, if any there be; and the faid Justice or Justices, before whom fuch Person shall be convicted of such Offence, shall, in Writing under ' their Hands, certify the same to the Justices at their next General Quarter-Sessions which shall be held for the County, in which the Person or Persons so omitted or inserted shall dwell; which Justices 6 shall direct the Clerk of the Peace for the Time being, to infert or ' strike out the Name or Names of such Person or Persons, as shall by fuch Certificate appear to have been omitted or inferted in fuch Lists, contrary to the Meaning of this Act; and Duplicates of the faid Lifts, when delivered in at the Quarter-Sessions of the Peace, and entered in ' fuch Book, to be kept by the Clerk of the Peace for that Purpose, ' shall, during the Continuance of such Quarter-Sessions, or within ten Days after, be delivered or transmitted by the Clerk of the Peace to the Sheriff of each respective County, or his Under-Sheriff, in order 6 for his returning of Juries out of the faid Lifts; and fuch Sheriff, or " Under-Sheriff, shall immediately take care that the Names of the Perfons contained in fuch Duplicates shall be faithfully entered Alphabetically, with their Additions and Places of Abode, in some Book or Books to be kept by him or them for that Purpose; and that every 6 Clerk of the Peace, neglecting his Duty therein, shall forfeit the Sum of 201. to fuch Person or Persons as shall inform or prosecute for the fame, until the Party be thereof convicted upon an Indictment before 6 the Justices of the Peace at any General Quarter-Sessions of the Peace to be holden for the same County, Riding, Division or Precinct. And Sect. 3. it is further enacted, 'That in case any Sherist, Under-

Sheriff, Bailiff, or other Officer, to whom the Return of Juries shall belong, shall summon and return any Person or Persons to serve on any Jury in any Cause to be tried before the Justices of Assis or Niss

· Prius,

Prius, or Judges of the Great Sessions, or the Judge or Judges of the Seffions for the Counties Palatine, whose Name is not inserted in the Duplicates fo delivered or transmitted to him or them by such Clerk of the Peace, if any such Duplicate shall be delivered or transmitted; or ' if any Clerk of Assile, Judge's Associate, or other Officer, shall record the Appearance of any Person so summoned and returned as aforesaid, who did not really and truely appear then; and in such Case any Judge, or Justice of Affise or Nisi Prius, or Judge or Judges of the faid Great Sessions, or the Judge or Judges of the Sessions for the said 6 Counties Palatine, shall and may, upon Examination in a Summary 6 Way, set such Fine or Fines upon such Sheriss, or Under-Sheriss, Clerk 6 of the Affise, Judge's Affociate, or other Officer, for every such Person 6 so summoned or returned as aforesaid; and for every Person whose Appearance shall be so falfely recorded, as the said Judge, or Justice of 6 Affife or Nisi Prins, or of the faid Great Sessions, or the Judge or Judges of the Sessions for the said Counties Palatine shall think meet, onot exceeding 101. and not less than 40s.
Sect. 4. And for preventing Abuses by Sheriffs, Under-Sheriffs, Bailiffs, Note; by the

or other Officers concerned in the Summoning or Returning of Jurors, it is 4 Georg. 2.
further enacted, That no Persons shall be returned as Jurors to serve Clause is reon Trials at any Affiles or Nist Prius, or at any the faid Great Seffions, pealed as to or at the Sessions for the said Counties Palatine, who have served within the County the Space of one Year before in the County of Rutland, or for four of Middlefex,
Years in the County of Tork, or of two Years before in any other fon to ferve who has
County, not being a County of a City or Town; and if any fuch who has
Sheriff shall wisfully transgress therein, any Judge, or Justice of Assis served with-Sheriff thall willully transgress therein, any judge, or judges of in the two or Nisi Prius, or of the said Great Sessions, or the Judge or Judges of Terms bethe Sessions for the said Counties Palatine, may, and is hereby refore. quired, on Examination and Proof of such Offence in a summary Way,

to fet a Fine or Fines upon every such Offender, as he shall think meet,

onot exceeding 5 l. for any one Offence.

Sect. 5. 'It is further enacted, That the Sheriff, Under-Sheriff, or other Officer, to whom the Return of Juries shall belong, shall from Time to Time enter, or Register, in a Book to be kept for that Pur-' pose, the Names of such Persons as shall be summoned, and shall serve as Jurors on Trials at any Affifes or Nisi Prius, or in the said Courts 6 of Great Sessions, or Sessions for the said Counties Palatine, together with their Additions and Places of Abode Alphabetically, and also the 'Times of their Services; and every Person so summoned and attending, or serving as aforesaid, shall (upon Application by him made to such Sheriff, Under-Sheriff, or other Officer,) have a Certificate, testifying fuch his Attendance or Service done, which Certificate the faid Sheriff, Under-Sheriff, or other Officer, is hereby directed and required to give without Fee or Reward; and the faid Book shall be transmitted by such Sheriff, Under-Sheriff, or other Officer, to his or their Successor or Successors from Time to Time.

Sect. 6. 'It is further enacted, That no Sheriff, Under-Sheriff, Bailiff, or other Officer, or Person whatsoever, shall directly or indirectly take or receive any Money, or other Reward, to excuse any Person from ferving or being summoned to ferve on Juries, or under that Colour or ' Pretence; and that no Bailiff, or other Officer, appointed by any ' Sheriff, or Under-Sheriff, to summon Juries, shall summon any Person 6 to serve thereon, other than such whose Name is specified in a Mandate figned by fuch Sheriff, or Under-Sheriff, and directed to fuch Bailiff, or other Officer; and if any Sheriff, Under-Sheriff, Bailiff, or other 6 Officer, shall wilfully transgress in any of the Cases aforesaid, any 6 Judge, or Justice of Assise Ness Prins, or Great Sessions aforesaid, or the Judge or Judges of the Seffions for the faid Counties Palatine may, and is hereby required, on Examination and Proof of such Offence

in a summary Way, to set a Fine or Fines upon any Person or Persons fo offending, as he shall think meet, not exceeding 10 L according to the Nature of the Offence.

Sect. 6. And whereas by the faid Alt of 7 & 8 W. 3. and by the 3 & 4 Ann. all Constables, Tythingmen and Headboroughs, are obliged to give in true Lists at the respective Quarter-Sessions of the Peace holden for each County, Riding, or Division, of the Names and Places of all Perfons within their respective Precincts or Places, qualified to serve on Juries, to the Justices of the Peace in open Court, which hath by Experience been found inconvenient and expensive to several Constables, Tythingmen and Headboroughs, such Quarter-Sessions being often held at a great Distance from their Abode; for Remedy whereof it is enacted, That it shall be lawful and fufficient for all or any Constables, Tythingmen or Headboroughs, after they shall have made and compleated such Lists of Persons qualified to serve on Juries, for their respective Parishes or Precincts, according to the Manner directed by the before-mentioned Acts, and this present Act, and to subscribe the same in the Presence of one or more Justice or Justices of the Peace for each respective County or Place, and also at the same Time to attest the Truth of fuch Lists, upon Oath, to the best of their Knowledge or Belief, which Oath fuch Justice or Justices respectively are hereby impowered and required to administer; and the said Lists shall (being first signed by the faid Justices respectively, before whom the same shall be attested on Oath, and subscribed as aforesaid) be delivered by the said Conftables, Tythingmen or Headboroughs, to the Chief or High Constables of the Hundreds or Divisions whereunto the same shall respectively belong, who are hereby directed and required to deliver in fuch Lifts to the Justices of the Peace for the County, Riding, or Division, at their respective General Quarter-Sessions in open Court, attesting at the fame Time, upon Oath, their Receipt of fuch Lists from the 6 Constables, Tythingmen or Headboroughs, respectively, and that no Alteration hath been therein made fince their Receipt thereof; and the faid Lists fo delivered in and attested, shall be deemed as effectual as if they had been delivered in by the Constables, Tythingmen or Headboroughs, for their respective Parishes or Precincts.

#### 4. In what Cime such Processes are returnable.

Process against Jurors may be returnable immediately into the King's Bench for the Trial of an Indictment found in the (a) County where of the Item of the Trial of an Indictment removed by Certiorari from a different County, there must be fifteen Days between the Teste and Refunch Indiction.

ment were originally taken in the King's Bench, or taken before Justices of Peace of the same County, and removed into the King's Bench by Certiorari. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 260.

2 Hawk. P. C.
406. and feveral Authorities there cited.

Justices in Eyre, or of Gaol-Delivery, may order a Jury to be returned immediately for the Trial of a Prisoner; also it hath been adjudged, that Justices of (a) Oyer and Terminer, or of the Peace, might for

(b) That as to the Commission of Oyer and Terminer, tho' there goes out a general Precept in the Names of three or more of the Commissioners, and under their Seals, fifteen Days before the Sessions, directed to the Sheriff to return Twenty-four Jurors to try the Issue between the King and the Prisoners to be arraigned; yet this is but preparatory, and to have a Jury in Readiness; for after the Prisoners arraigned, and pleaded to the Country, a Precept ought to issue to the Sheriff in Nature of a Venire face, which may bear Teste the same Day that the Prisoners plead, commanding the Sheriff to Return Twenty-four, &c. to try the Issue upon such a Day, 2 Hall Hist. P. C. 261.——Or they make

for the Trial of an Issue joined before them award a Venire returnable make the the same Day on which the Party is arraigned; but it is said, that there Precept reare strong Authorities to the contrary, unless the Prisoner consent, or same Day the Crime amount to Felony.

that the Prifoner pleads,

viz. Ad horam primam poft Meridiem, &c. for Justices of Oyer and Terminer may take their Indiament and arraign the Prisoner, and try him the same Day. Ibid. — And it is there also said, that Justices of the Peace, as to the Point of their Procepts of Venire fac. agree with Justices of Oyer and Terminer; for they are, as to this Purpose, Commissioners of Oyer and Terminer, and may indist, arraign, and try the same Day, in Cases of Felony. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 261-2.

A Venire before Justices of Oyer and Terminer, returnable at a Day 2 H.A. Hist. certain, is erroneous, unless the Sessions appear to be adjourned to the P.C. 261 same Day, because otherwise it shall not be intended that their Commission continued so long; but such Venire may be returnable at the next Assis, and then tried by Virtue of r E. 6. cap. 7.

Here it may be proper to take Notice, that the Statutes of 4  $\ensuremath{\mathfrak{S}}$  5  $\ensuremath{\mathcal{U}}$ . & M. cap. 24. and 7 & 8 W. & M. cap. 32. require that Jurors shall be fummoned fix Days before they appear, which feems to make it necessary that whenever a Venire fac. or particular Precept is required, there should be fix Days between its Teste and Return; and to this Purpose it is enacted by the 7 & 8 W. 3. cap. 32. 'That every Summons of any Per'fon qualified, &c. shall be made by the Sheriss, his Officer, or lawful Deputy, six Days before, at the least, shewing to every Person so fummoned the Warrant under the Seal of the Office, wherein they are nominated and appointed to ferve; and in case any Juror, so to be fummoned, be abtent from the usual Place of his Habitation at the Time of fuch Summons, in fuch Case Notice of such Summons shall be given, by leaving a Note in Writing, under the Hand of such Officer, containing the Contents thereof, at the Dwelling-house of

fuch Juror, with some Person there inhabiting in the same.

• Provided that those Acts shall not extend to give or require any longer Time for the summoning of any Juries, that are to try any Issues joined in any of the said Courts, that are triable by Jurors of the City of London or County of Middlesex, than was by Law required before the Making of the said Act, nor shall extend or be construed to give any longer Time, or other Day, for the Return of any Writ, Precept or Process of Venire facias, Habeas Corpora or Distringas, for the summoning, attaching or distraining of any Jury to appear, than was by Law required before the Making of the said Act; but that where there shall not be fix Days between the Awarding of such Writ; Precept or Process, and Return thereof, every Juror may be summoned, attached or distrained to appear at the Day and Time therein mentioned or appointed, as he might have been before the Making of the

# 5. Where the Jury must appear.

<sup>k</sup> faid Act.

At Common Law, the Jurors and Parties were to appear at the Court 2 Infl. 421-2. (a) where the Suit or Profecution was depending, which occasioned a 😁 great Expence, and a great Conflux of People to the Superior Courts; to 4 Inft. 159. Remedy which Inconveniency, it was ordained by Westm. 2. cap. 30. that (a) The A-all Pleas in either Bench, which require only an easy Examination. (b) (a) The A-all Pleas in either Bench, which require only an easy Examination. all Pleas in either Bench, which require only an eafy Examination, shall ward of a be determined in the Country, before the Justices of Assise, by Virtue Venire reof the Writ prescribed by that Statute, commonly called the Writ of Nisi turnable at

Justices of Oyer, &p.c. needs not express before what Justices it shall be returnable, for it cannot but be intended that it ought to be returned before the Court that awards it. 29 E. 3. 30. b. Tyer 315. pl. 99. 2 Keb. 855.

The

2 Infl. 423. Trials per Pais 63-4. The Manner of contriving it was to direct the Venire to return the Jury at some Day the next Term, unless the Justices prius tali Die & Loco venerunt; and thus the Nisi Prius was at first on the Venire, and continued in that Manner from Ed. 1. to Ed. 3. for the there were no Issues returned on the Venire to make them appear at Nisi Prius; yet it was so much a greater Difficulty on them to appear afterwards at Westminster; which if they did not, the Distringus issued, that it had its Effect to bring them in their proper Counties; the Writ was contrived to command them to come into Court, because it would have been improper for the Court to have commanded them to come into any other Place; so that their Appearance before the Justices of Assis is an Excuse for their Non-Appearance in Bank; but if they did not appear at the Assis, nor at Westminster, then issued a Habeas Corpus and Distringus to bring them up.

Trials per Pais 65. The antient Practice of the Defendant's being essoinable on the Venire was a great Mischief in this Process, because if he did not appear, the Jury were afterwards obliged to appear in Bank; and there was another Mischief in this Process as it then stood, that the Parties not seeing the Panel beforehand, they could not be prepared to make their Challenge; and the first of these Mischiefs was pretty well remedied by laying the Costs on the Desendant when the Plaintist prevailed; but the second Mischief had no Remedy till 22 E. 3. cap. 11. whereby it is ordained, that no Inquests but Assists and Delivery of Gaols be taken by Writ of Niss Prius, or other Manner, at the Suit of Great or Small, before that the Names of all of them that shall pass in the Inquest be returned into the Court; and this set the Process on the same Foot it now stands.

Trials per Pais 63.

From henceforward they could not place the Nisi Prius in the Venire, as was directed by the Statute of Westminster 2, because it is directed that no Inquest be taken at Niss Prius until the Inquest be returned in Court, and therefore the Claufe of Nifi Prius was taken out of the Venire and placed to the Habeas Corpus and Distringas, which was fo awarded on the Roll in the Jurat'; this had many good Effects; 1st, For that the Plaint of and Defendant knew the Names of the Jury, in order to their Challenges. 2dly, The Venire being returned, the Defendant had no Essoin on the Habeas Corpus and Distringas, but was obliged to appear, or else by Westminster 2. cap. 27. the Inquest was taken by Default as if he had appeared. Another Advantage was, that the Jury on the Nest Prius were fined if they did not appear; and therefore the Clause in the Distringas is, quod Habeas Corpora eorum coram nobis apud Westm' Die Lunæ prox' post vel coram Justiciariis nostris ad Aspisas in Com vuo venena assign', si prius Die, &c. and fince they could fine them on this Process according to their Offence, they granted Nisi Prius in the ensuing Distringas, and did not compel them to try it at Bar, which was more convenient than the antient Way, where the appearing Juror was obliged by his Companions Default to come up to Westminster; but now every one has Issues returned on him for his own Default.

6 Mod. 9.

The Day at Nisi Prius and in Bank are in Consideration of Law the same, because the Writ of Nisi Prius, which gives Authority to the Judge to try the Cause in the Country, is instead of the Court, and therefore the Postea certified by him on the Day of Bank is the same as if the Jury had come up to the Court, and the Trial had been had in open Court; and this, as has been said, is for the Ease of the Subject, that the Jury and Witnesses may not come out of the proper County.

Cro. Car. 348. 2 Inft. 424. 4 Co. 43. 4 Inft. 160. Kaym. 367. 6 Mod. 246. It feems agreed, that an Issue joined in the King's Bench upon an Indictment or Appeal, whether for Treason or Felony, or a Crime of an inferior Nature, committed in a different County from that wherein the Court sits, may be tried in the proper County by Writ of Nisi Prius, by Virtue of the said Statute of Westm. 2. cap. 30.

Yet inafmuch as the King is not expresly named in this Statute, and 2 Leon. 110 it is a general Rule that he shall not be bound by a Statute which doth 6 Mod. 12 not expresly name him, it seems to have been generally holden, that wherever the King is a Party it is irregular to grant a Trial by Nisi Prius without his special Warrant, or the (a) Assent of his Attorney; but it (a) In an in without his special Warrant, or the (b) Appeal in the same Manner as in dictment of feems the Court may grant it in an (b) Appeal in the same Manner as in dictment Barretry, any other Action.

to require

great Examination, the Court refused to grant a Trial by Nist Prius at the Motion of the Attorney General, till the King by his Letters had fignified his Pleature that it should be so tried. Cro. Gar 348 (b) But not where the Jury is to be from two Counties. Dyer 46. pl. 6.

#### 6. What Pumber are to be returned.

Altho' by the Words of the Writ of Venire Facias the Sheriff is only Co. Lit. 155. a. to return twelve, yet by antient Course he was obliged to return twentyfour; and this, fays my Lord Coke, is for Expedition of Justice; for if twelve should only be returned, no Man should have a full Jury appear or be sworn in respect of Challenges without a Tales, which would be a great Delay of Trials.

But the Sheriff return a leffer Number, as where the Sheriff 5 Co. 36. returned only twenty-three, and a fufficient Number appear, and try the Cro. Car. 223.

Issue, this will be aided by the Statutes of Jeofail as a Misreturn.

The Precept that issues before a Sessions of Gaol-Delivery, Oyer and 2 Hal. Hist. Terminer, and of the Peace, is to return twenty-four, and commonly P.C. 263.

the Sheriff returns upon that Precept forty-eight.

But the Award or Precept to try the Prisoner after he hath pleaded, 2 Hal. History Pr. G. 263. is only Venire Facias twelve, and (c) twenty-four are returned by the (c) But it has Sheriff on that Panel. been held, that in Tri-

als on the Crown Side for Criminals the Sheriff may be commanded to return any Number the Court pleased, and accordingly in Sir H. Vane's Trial the Sheriff returned fixty Keling 16.

At Common Law in Civil Causes, it seems the Sheriff might have Godb. 370. returned above twenty-four if he pleased; and therefore by the Statute 1 Keb 310. of (d) Westminster 2. cap. 38. it is recited, That whereas the Sheriffs tute extends were used to summon an unreasonable Multitude of Jurors, to the Grienot to Jurors vance of the People, it is ordained, that from thenceforth in one Assis or returned for more shall be returned than twenty-four.

fons. Kel. 16.

And now by the 3 Georg. 2. cap. 25. sect. 8. it is Enacted, 'That every Sheriff or other Officer, to whom the Return of the Venire Facias Jura-tores, or other Process, for the Trial of Causes before Justices of Affise By the 9th or Nisi Prina, in any County in (e) England, doth or shall belong, shall Sect. of this upon his Return of every such Writ of Venire Facias, (unless in Causes Statute the intended to be tried at Bar, or in Cases where a special Jury shall be Number in struck by Order or Rule of Court,) annex a Panel to the said Writ, Grand Sessicontaining the Christian and Surnames, Additions, and Places of Abode ons not to be of a competent Number of Jurors named in fuch Lists as qualified to less than ten, ferve on Juries, the Names of the Persons to be inserted in the Panel nor more annexed to every Venire Facias for the Trial of all Issues at the same out of every Affises in each respective County, which Number of Jurors shall be Hundred, ont less than forty-eight in any County, nor more than seventy-two, and to be without Direction of the Judges appointed to go the Circuit and sit as sight Days Judges of Affile or Nist Prius in such County, or one of them, who are before.—By respectively hereby impowered and required, if he or they see Cause, the 10th Sect. by Order under his or their respective Hand or Hands, to direct a the Number

in the Coun-

greater

tics Palatine the same as in other Parts of England, and to be summoned fourteen Days before. Vol. III.

greater or leffer Number; and then fuch Number, as shall be so directed, ' shall be the Number to serve on such Jury, and that the Writs of · Habeas Corpora Juratorum or Distringas, subsequent to such Writ of Venire · Facias, need not have inferted in the Bodies of fuch respective Writs the Names of all the Persons contained in such Panel; but it shall be fufficient to infert in the mandatory Part of fuch Writs respectively, Corpora separalium Personarum in Panello huic Brevi annexo nominatorum, or Words of the like Import, and to annex to fuch Writs respectively Panels containing the same Names as were returned in the Panel to fuch Venire Facias, with their Additions and Places of Abode, that the Parties concerned in any such Trials may have timely Notice of the Jurors who are to serve at the next Affises, in order to make their Challenges to them, if there be Cause; and that for the making the Returns and Panels aforefaid, and annexing the same to the respective Writs, no other Fee or Fees shall be taken than what are now allowed by Law to be taken for the Return of the like Writs and Panels ane nexed to the same, and that the Persons named in such Panels shall be fummoned to serve on Juries at the then next Affises or Sessions of 6 Nisi Prius for the respective Counties to be named in such Writs, and ono other.

#### 7. Of awarding Process by Proviso.

Prials per Pais 60, 61.

If the Plaintiff after Issue joined neglects to try his Cause the first Affifes in the Country, or the first Term in Middlesex or London, the Defendant is at Liberty to bring down the Cause by Proviso, so called by the Clause in the Venire Facias, which says, Proviso semper quod si duo Brevia inde tibi pervenerint unum eorundem tantum retorn' & exequaris; for both Plaintiff and Defendant having put themselves upon their Country, the Plaintiff's Laches shall not prevent the Defendant's Discharging himself from the Action, and therefore the Process is as well open for him as for the Plaintiff.

Dyer 215. pl. 51, 2\$4. pl. 34. Cro. Car. 484. 2 Rol Abr. 665.6. Keilw. 176. pl. 11. 2 Fon. 34.

This Process by Proviso, (i. e. with a Clause that if two Writs come to the Sheriff, he shall execute one of them only,) may be taken out not only when the Plaintiff neglects to take out the Venire the same Term, but also upon his Neglect to get it returned; and in like Manner if the Plaintiff make the like Default in fuing out an Habeas Corpora, or other subsequent Process, the Defendant may sue out the like Process by Proviso.

pl. 28, 284. pl. 34. 2 Lev. 5, 6. 2 Sand. 336. 6 Mod. 246.

Dyer 193.

But where the Defendant hath fued out any Process by Proviso, there are Authorities that the Plaintiff is to fue out the proper subsequent Process upon it in the same Manner as if he had sued out the first, and that it is irregular for a Defendant to take out any fuch subsequent Process till the Plaintiff has made a Default in respect of the same kind of Procefs, except only in such Actions wherein the Defendant is an Actor as well as the Plaintiff, as in Replevin, or Error, or Quare Impedit against a Patron only, or Prohibition,  $arphi_c$ . in which Actions the Defendant may either take out Process by Proviso, without any Default in the Plaintist, or may, if he think fit, take it out in the same Manner as the Plaintiff, without any Clause of Proviso.

It feems agreed, that neither in Actions wherein the King is fole 2 Leon. 110. 1 Keb. 195. Party, nor in Indictments, there can be any Process taken out by Proviso, 6 Mod. 246. because no Laches is imputable to the King; also it hath been questioned whether there can be any fuch Process in Informations Qui tam, because 1 Sid 316. the King is in fome fort a Party.

Keilw 176. pl. 70

cont.

But it feems agreed, that it may be fo awarded in any Appeal, whether Capital or not Capital, in the same Manner as in other Actions, after

the Appellant hath made Default in Relation to the very fame kind of Process.

By the 7 & 8 W. 3. cap. 32. which gives a Venire Facias de Novo where the Cause is not tried the first Assises, it is Enacted, That if any Defendant or Tenant in any Action depending in any of the Courts of Westminster shall be minded to bring to Trial any Issue joined against him, when by the Course in any of the said Courts he may lawfully do the same by Proviso, such Defendant or Tenant shall or may, of the issuable Term next preceding such intended Trial to be had at the next Assizes, sue out a new Venire Facias to the Sheriss by Proviso, and prosecute the same by Writ of Habeas Corpora or Distringas, with a Nissua Prius, as the there had not been any former Venire Facias sued out or

# 3. Of the Peccelity of returning a Panel into Court, and where a Pusoner may demand a Copy of it.

returned in that Cause; and so toties quoties as the Matter shall require.

By the 42 E. 3. cap. 11. it is recited, That divers Mischiess had happened, because that the Panels of Inquests, which had been taken before Justices by Writ of Scire Facias, and other Writs, had not been returned before the Sessions of the Justices at the Niss Prius, and otherwise, so that the Parties could not have Knowledge of the Names of the Persons which should pass in the Inquest; whereby divers of the People had been disherited and oppressed; and thereupon it is ordained, that no Inquest tute of 6 H. of Cap. 2. pronor in any other Manner, at the Suit of the Great or Small, before the vides also for Names of all of them that shall pass in the Inquest be returned in the Assiss. Court.

This Statute extends as well to Writs of Nisi Prius in Criminal Cases 2 Hawk. P.C. as in Civil, and to Jurors returned upon a Tales as well as to those 410.

returned upon a principal Panel.

But it feems that in Trials before the Justices of Gaol-Delivery the Prisoner has not Right to a Copy of the Panel before the Time of his Trial, except only in Cases within the Purview of 7 & 8 W. 3. cap. 3. which Enacts, 'That every Person indicted and tried for High Treason, or Misprison thereof, (except it be for counterfeiting the Coin, &c.) 's shall have a Copy of the Panel of the Jurors who are to try him duly returned by the Sheriss, and delivered unto him two Days at least before he shall be tried.'

It hath been adjudged to be sufficient, within the Intent of this Act, to <sup>2</sup> Hawk. P.C. deliver to the Prisoner a Copy of a Panel arrayed by the Sheriff before <sup>410</sup>. it is returned into Court, if the very same Panel be afterwards returned.

# 9. Of the Crials going oft pro Defectu Juratorum; and therein of drawing a Juroz.

If a Venire is awarded, and the Parties do not go to Trial the next Vide the Statistics, but it lies for feveral Terms, the Continuance may be made by a Vic' non miss Breve; but if a Nisi Prius be awarded, and some of the which gives Jury appear, and the Panel be not full, so that the Trial is not carried a Venire Facias on, they enter those of the Jury that appeared, and alii non venerunt, in Case the Cause be not in the next Term they award an Alias Distringas to the next Assiss, with a Nisi Prius until the next Term.

It is held by the Opinions of some, that in a Criminal Case not Capital, 1 Vent. 28. after a Jury sworn and impanelled, and all the Evidence given, the King's Rayne. 84.

Counsel

Counsel may, without the Party's Consent, withdraw a Juror, and have the Cause tried over again.

Carth. 465.

But herein the better Opinion feems to be; 1st, That in Capital Cases a Juror cannot be withdrawn, tho' all Parties consent to it. 2dly, I hat in Criminal Cases not Capital a Juror may be withdrawn, if both Parties consent; but not otherwise. 3dly, That in all (a) Civil Causes a Juror cannot be withdrawn, but by Consent of all Parties.

(a) Where the Jurors lying all

lying all Night could not agree, a Juror by Consent was drawn. Cro. Car. 484.

Ca. Law and Eq. 390. Huet and Bainard. It hath been held, that a Juror withdrawn from the Panel by Confent of both Parties, to the Intent the Trial might for that Time go off pro Defectu Juratorum, it being necessary for the Jury to have a View, may be of the Jury, when the Cause comes to be tried at a subsequent Time, and that this being neither a principal Cause of Challenge, nor to the Favour, cannot be Error.

# (C) In what Cases and in what Pannera Tales is grantable.

(b) It hath been held fince this Statute, that a Tales de Circumflantibus was al-

lowable upon special Juries, by Raymond C. J. in the Case of The King versus Franklin, Trin. 5 Georg. 2.

If all the Jury did not attend on the Habeas Corpus or Distringas, when Dyer 359. pl. 2.

ther by reason of the Death of some of the Persons returned, or for any other Cause; or if so many be challenged and drawn, that there do not remain a sufficient Number to make a Jury; or if after the Jury is charged one or more of them die, there are at Common Law the Writs of Undecim, Decem, or Octo Tales, according as the Number was deficient, to force others into Court to try the Issue, or by (c) Statute the Plaintiss may the Statutes pray a Tales de Circumstantibus to prevent the Delay of the Decem Tales.

The statutes of the persons returned, or for any other Cause; or if so many be challenged and drawn, that there do not remain a sufficient Number to make a Jury; or if after the Jury is charged one or more of them die, there are at Common Law the Writs of Undecim, Decem, or Octo Tales, according as the Number was deficient, to force others into Court to try the Issue, or by (c) Statute the Plaintiss may pray a Tales de Circumstantibus to prevent the Delay of the Decem Tales.

The statutes of the Persons returned, or so proved there is a sufficient Number to make a Jury; or if after the Jury is charged one or more of them die, there are at Common Law the Writs of Undecim, or by (c) Statute the Plaintiss may be challenged and drawn, that there do not remain a sufficient Number to make a Jury; or if after the Jury is charged to the Writs of Undecim, Decem, or Octo Tales, according as the Number was deficient, to force others.

made perpetual by 2 & 3 E. 6. cap. 32. 4 & 5 Ph. & Mar. cap. 7. 5 Eliz. cap. 25. 14 Eliz. cap. 9. 7 & 8 W. 3. cap. 32.

Cro. Car. 484. A Tales may be granted as well on the Application of the Defendant 10 Co. 104. as Plaintiff; but it feems that a Defendant cannot regularly pray it till there has been a Default in the Plaintiff.

Dyer 359.pl.2. at is faid, that if a full Jury do not appear, and the Plaintiff pray a Diffringas without praying any Tales, the Court ought to grant it at the Prayer of the Defendant.

In Capital Cases the Tales may be granted for a larger Number than the first Process; as for fixty, or forty, or any other even Number, in order to prevent Delays from peremptory Challenges; and in this Respect a Tales in Capital Cases is different from what it is in any other Case; it

Tales in Capital Cases is different from what it is in any other Case; it being an allowed Rule, that in all (d) other Cases the Tales must be for Tales de Cir- a less Number than the first Process.

cumflantibus
may be of any uncertain Number. 20 Co. 105 a

Every subsequent Tales in Capital, as well as in all other Cases, must 10 Co. 105.40 be for a less Number than the former, except the sormer were quashed, Kelley. 176.

in which Case the next may be for the same Number.

The Quashing the Array of the principal Panel doth not quash that of 10 Co. 164: the Tales, but the Inquest shall be taken by those returned on the Tales, Dyer 245. if there be a sufficient Number, otherwise more shall be added to them pl. 64. by a new Tales; but if all the Persons returned on a Habeas Corpora be challenged and drawn, there shall not be a Tales awarded, but a new Venire; for the Word Tales plainly refers to some others, to whom the Persons returned are to be like; also if the first Habeas Corpora be quashed, the second with a Tales cannot but be quashed with it, and the Party must go on as if the Venire had only been returned, and nothing done upon it; for where a Process is quashed, all that follows and depends upon it falls with it.

It feems, that a Tales is not grantable on the Return of a Venire, but Cro. Eliz. 502. only on the Return of a Habeas Corpora or Distringas, because it ap- 2 Rol. Abr.

pears not before fuch Return, but that a full Jury may appear.

A Distringas or Habeas Corpora, with a Command to add so many 1 Rol. Abr. more to those summoned on the Venire, is the first Process against the 198. Tales.

If a Juror be withdrawn after a Trial commenced, whereon a Tales de Cro. Fac. 677. circumfrantibus was awarded, and afterwards a new Habeas Corpora be taken out with a Tales, it shall appoint the Tales to be added to the Jurors first returned, and also to those returned on the Tales de circum-

The Statutes, which authorize Justices of Nisi Prius to award a Tales 1 Lev. 223. de circumstantibus, extend as well to all Capital Cases as to others; but it Raym. 367. feems that such a Tales cannot be prayed for the King upon an Indict- 6 Mod. 246. ment, or Criminal Information, without a Warrant from the Attorney General, or an express Assignment from the Court, before which the

Inquest is taken.

It seems not to be clear, that a Tales is grantable by Justices of Oyer, Kelkv. 176. Ee. or of (a) Gaol-Delivery; but if a Trial be put off before Justices of Plow. 100. Gaol-Delivery for want of a full Jury, they may, without Doubt, order relo. 23. a larger Panel, whereon the former Jurors should be returned in the Fenk. 340. same Order as before, and called to be sworn as they stand, without any (a) That before Regard to those who were sworn before, than to the others; and of Gaol-Dethe like Method is to be observed as to a Jury returned with a Tales.

Tales is not of much Use, because there is no particular Precept to the Sheriff to return either Jury or Tales, but the general Precept before the Sessions, and the Award or Command of the Court upon the Plea of the Prisoner. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 266.

On a Writ of Error of a Judgment given in the Court of Bristol, it was Mich. 6 Geo. folemnly adjudged, that a Custom in an inferior Court to try by a Tales 2. in B. R. Bell ver. de circumstantibus was void, as it breaks down that important Rule, that Knight, vide Trials must be per Pares, and admits an unlimited extravagant Latitude 2 Rol. Abr. of gleaning together any Set of Men for Jurors, however profligate and 672 unfit for the Office, and intirely deprives the Parties of their Challenges. Styl. 16.

livery this

## (D) In What Cases and in What Manner special Juries are appointed.

Court may ir convenient. 2 Lil. Regist. 122.

2 Lil. Regift. PECIAL Juries are appointed on Motion and Application to the Ocurt for that Purpose, on which, if the Court think it reasonable, order a Jury the Sheriff is to attend the Secondary or Master with his Book of Freeof Merchants holders, who, in the Presence of the Attornies on both Sides, names if they think Forty-eight Freeholders, and then each Farty strakes out twelve, by one at a Time, the Plaintiff or his Attorney beginning first, and the remaining Twenty-four are returned by the Secondary, as the Jury, to try the Caufe.

2 Lil. Regist. I Salk. 405.

If the Rule was entered into by Confent, it is faid to be a Contempt in the Attorney not to be present; but to remedy any Inconveniency, from hence, a Rule was made, that when a Master is to strike a Jury; viz. Forty-eight out of the Freeholders Book, he shall give Notice to the Attornics of both Sides to be present; and if the one comes, and the other does not, he that appears shall, according to the ancient Courfe, strike out twelve, and the Master shall strike out other twelve

for him that is absent.

I Salk. 405.

And it is faid, that if by Rule of Court the Master is ordered to strike a Jury, in case it be not expressed in such Rule that the Master shall strike Forty-eight, and each of the Parties shall strike out twelve, the Master is to strike Twenty-four, and the Parties have no Liberty to strike out any.

1 Mod. Ca. 24S.

It is faid, that a Special Jury may be granted to try a Cause at Bar Law and Eq. without the Consent of the Parties, but never at Nisi Prius, unless for good Cause shewn, such as Partiality of the Sheriff, &c.

2 Fon. 222.

Also it is said to be contrary to the Course of the Court of B. R. in Capital Cases, to order the Clerk of the Crown to strike a Special Jury, as is done by the Secondary in Civil Caufes upon Trials at Bar.

By the 3 Georg. 2. cap. 25. Sect. 15. reciting, that whereas some Doubt

had been conceived touching the Power of his Majesty's Courts of Law at Westminster, to appoint Juries to be struck before the Clerk of the Crown, Master of the Office, Prothonotaries, or other proper Officer of fuch respective Courts, for the Trial of Issues depending in the said Courts, without the Confent of the Profecutor or Parties concerned in the Profecution or Suit then depending, unless such Issues are to be tried at the Bar of the same Courts, it is enacted, ' That it shall and may be e lawful to and for his Majesty's Courts of King's Bench, Common 6 Pleas and Exchequer at Westminster, respectively, upon Motion made 6 on Behalf of his Majesty, or on the Motion of any Prosecutor or Defendant in any Indictment or Information for any Misdemeanor or In-' formation in Nature of a Quo Warranto, depending or to be brought or profecuted in the faid Court of Exchequer, or on the Motion of any Plaintiff or Plaintiffs, Defendant or Defendants, in any Action, Cause 6 or Suit whatfoever depending, or to be brought and carried on in the faid Courts of King's Bench, &c. or in any of them; and the faid Courts are hereby respectively authorized and required, upon Motion aforefaid, in any of the Cases before-mentioned, to order and appoint a Jury to be struck before the proper Officer of each respective Court, for the Trial of any Issue joined in any of the said Cases, and triable by a Jury of twelve Men, in fuch Manner as Special Juries have

been, and are usually struck in such Courts respectively, upon Trials at

Bar had in the faid Courts, which faid Jury, fo struck as aforesaid, 6 shall be the Jury returned for the Trial of the said Issue.

And

And Sect. 16. it is further enacted by the faid Statute, 'That the E Perfon or Party, who shall apply for such Jury to be struck as asorefaid, shall bear and pay the Fees for the Striking such Jury, and shall

not have any Allowance for the same upon Taxation of Costs.

Sect. 17. Provided, 'That where any Special Jury shall be ordered, by Rule of any of the faid Courts, to be struck by the proper Officer of fuch Court, in the Manner aforefaid, in any Caufe arifing in any City or County of a City or Town, the Sheriff or Sheriffs, or Under-Sheriff 6 of fuch City, or County of a City or Town, shall be ordered by fuch 6 Rule to bring, or cause to be brought before the said Officer, the · Books or Lists of Persons qualified to serve on Juries within the same; to out of which Juries ought to be returned by fuch Sheriff or Sheriffs, in 6 like Manner as the Freeholders Book hath been usually ordered to be brought, in order to the Striking of Juries for Trials at the Bar in Causes arising in Counties at large; and in every such Case the Jury fhall be taken and struck out of such Books or Lists respectively.

A Rule was made for a Special Jury, which was entered into by Con- 1 Abd Cafent; and afterwards when the Parties attended the Master, the Defen- I aw and Eq. dant struck out some Hundredors, and at the Trial challenged the Array  $\frac{245}{K}$   $\frac{The}{K}$  ver. for want of Hundredors, which the Judge of Assis allowed a good Burninge. Challenge; and this was held fuch a Breach and Contempt of the Rule,

for which an Attachment was granted.

But where in the Trial of a Quo Warranto, the Defendant challenged The King the Array of a Special Jury, that had been struck at his Request, for ver. Foliasions Partiality in the Sheriff; and an Attachment being moved for, and the 2. in B. R. Case next above relied on, it was denied, and said per Curiam, that the Attachment in the Case supra was granted by Reason of the Abuse of the Rule; but here the only Foundation is the Jury's being so struck at his Request, which is not alone sufficient; for he had a Right to challenge the Array on the Process's being directed to a wrong Officer; and the Rule might have been fulfilled another way, viz. as the Sheriff was partial, a proper Entry might have been made, and Process directed to the Coroner.

- (E) TTho are to be returned; and therein of the Qualifications and several Causes for Which they may be challenged: And herein,
- 1. Of Challenges to the Array, 02 to the Polls; and herein where the Julufficiency of Partiality of the Shiruff or Keturning Officer is a principal Cause of Challenge, or to the Favour.

Challenge (a) to Jurors is twofold, either to the Array, or to the Co. Lit 156 a. A Polls, i. e. to the particular Jurors, to the Array of the principal (a) For the Panel, and to the Array of the Tales; and herein my Lord Coke observes, nifications of that the Jurors Names are ranked in the Panel one under another, which the Word Order or Ranking the Jury is called the Array; as in common Speech Challenge we fay Battail Array for the Order of the Battle; fo as to challenge vide Cc. Lit. the Array of the Panel, is at once to challenge or except against all the 155 h. Persons so arrayed or impanelled, in respect of the Partiality or Default of the Sheriff, Coroner, or other Officer who made the Return.

This kind of Challenge is twofold, either a principal Caufe of Chal-Co. Lit. 156. lenge, or to the Favour, like that to the Polls or particular Jurors; for they thought there could be no better Rule to afcertain what should be a proper Challenge to their Officer, than what was a proper Challenge to each Juror's Partiality; for they did not suppose that they had a Jury per quos rei veritas melius sciri poterit, unless they were settled by a Perfon absolutely indifferent.

A Principal is grounded on such a manifest Presumption of Partiality, C. Lit. 156. that if it be found true ir unquestionably sets aside the Array or the Juror, but a Challenge to the Favour leaves it to the Discretion of the

There are many principal Causes of Challenge to the Array; as if the Co. Lit. 156. Officer return any Juror at the Party's Denomination, or that he may be more favourable to one Party than the other; or if the Array be returned by a Bailiff of a Franchife, and the Sheriff return it as of himfelf; in which Case the Party should lose his Challenges in a Default in the Bailiff, because the Return on Record is in the Sheriff's Name; but if the Sheriff return one within a Liberty, this is good, and the Lord of the Franchise is put to his Action against him.

If the Sheriff be liable to the Distress of either of the Parties mediately or immediately, or if he be his Servant or Officer in Fee, or of (a) But by Robes, or his (a) Counsellor or Attorney, or have Part of the Land Finch of Law depending on the same Title; or if he has been Godsather to a Child of either of the Parties, or either of them to his; or if either of them have lenges to the an Action of Debt against him; or if an Action of Battery, or such like, Favour only. which imply Malice, are depending between them, these are principal Challenges to the Array.

But if either of the Parties be subject to the Distress of the Sheriff, &c. or if the Sheriff, &c. have an Action of Debt against either of the Parties, these are Causes of Challenge to the Favour only; for the Sheriff, &c. thereby is not under the Party's Influence, but the Party under his.

Confanguinity, how remote foever between the Sheriff or Juror, and either of the Parties, or Affinity by Marriage of either Party himself with the Cousin of the Sheriff or the Juror, or e converso, are principal Causes of Challenge to the Array, or to the Polls; but if the Marriage be between the Son of the one and Daughter of the other, it is a Cause of Challenge to the Favour only; and he, that challenges the Array or a Juror for a (b) That be- Cousinage, must shew how the Party is Cousin; but if it be found that he is Cousin it is (b) sufficient, whether it be found in the Manner alledged or not; and here my Lord Coke notes, that a Bastard can have no Kindred. tho' in 8th

or 9th Degree, is sufficient, Dyer 319. a. pl. 13. - The Array of a Panel, because the Sheriff was Cousin to the Plaintiff; and upon a Traverse it was found that they were Cousins, but not in such Manner as the Defendant had alledged; and per Cur. the Array was quashed, for the Manner is not material, but whether Cousin or not. Owen 44.

> That the Sheriff and one of the Parties are Fellow-Servants, not a principal Cause of Challenge, but only to the Favour.

> It has been doubted, whether the (c) Lessor in Ejectment, being of kin to the Sheriff in fuch a Manner as to make it a principal Caufe of Challenge, in case he had been Plaintiff or Desendant; it has been held by some to be a principal Cause of Challenge, for that this is but a fictitious Action, the Lessor being only concerned in Interest, and the Plaintiff a fictitious Person; and that the Courts take Notice of the Lessor as the

that where the Defendant justifies as Servant to J. S. and that the Land is the Freehold of J. S. it is a principal Challenge, that a Juror is within the Distress of J. S. for that the Title is to be tried.

Hutt 25.—But in this Case of an Ejectment it has been held, in the House of Lords, in the Case of Helborn ver. Bannington 1719. that the Lessor of Plaintiff, being a Peer, and no Knight returned, was no Cause of Challenge, because he did not appear to be Party to the Record. -S. P. was refolved Mich. 9 Georg. 2. between Grimfton, Leffee of Lord Gower, and Gardner; & vide Skin. 229. S. P.

Co. Lit. 156.

402. these are Chal-

Co. Lit. 156.

Co. Lit 156. 1 Leon. 88.

ing Coufin,

Dyer 367. pl. 40.

1 Rol. Rep.

328.

Hutt. 25. Cro Fac. 21. Moor 894. Eyre ver. Banister.

(c) It is said,

Plaintiff, by ordering him in certain Cases to pay Costs, &c. but the better Opinion is, that it is no principal Cause of Challenge; that he not being Party to the Record, the Judges ex officio are not obliged to take Notice of him, and that to do it in this Case would tend to Delay, which the Courts always avoid.

The Array of a Panel was challenged ore tenus, because it was return- Cro. Eliz. 369. ed by the Sheriff two Days after he had received a Writ of Difcharge; Hore ver, Brooms. and it was faid per Cur. that it could not be challenged for that Caufe, because it would be a direct Averment against the Record, for it is returned by him as Sheriff, and the Return accepted; but by the Advice of the Court the Party made his Challenge to the Array, because it was favourably made and returned in Favour of the Party, &c. and Iffue being joined thereupon, and all this Matter given in Evidence, the Court directed the Triers, that it was not duly made and returned, for it was

withour Warrant; whereupon the Array was quashed.

But where a Challenge to the Array was taken, because the Sherist 2 Vent 58. who made the Return had continued in his Office for more than three in the Cafe Months, and not taken the Oaths, and subscribed the Declaration required of the Sherith of Eucks. by the Act 25 Car. 2. made for preventing the Dangers by Popish Recufants; and fo his Office, by that Act, was void to all Intents and Purposes before he made his Return of the Jury; but the Challenge was disallowed by the Court, for he must be taken here as Sheriff de faso; and if fuch a Challenge should be allowed, no Trial could be had, but should be put off, unless the Party were ready to shew that the Sheriff had taken the Test.

The Plaintiff for his Expedition surmised that he was Servant to the Cro. Eliz. 581. Sheriff of the County where the Action was brought and triable, and Cham ver. prayed a Venire fac. to the Coroners, and the Defendant non dedixit; whereupon Process was awarded to the Coroners; and after Trial, and Verdict for the Plaintiff, it was moved that this Process was misawarded, and a Mif-trial, for Frocess ought not to be awarded to the Coroners but where the Challenge is Principal; and here to fay that he was Servant to the Sheriff, is no principal Challenge, but only to the Favour, wherefore, &c. but the Court held, for as much as if the Sheriff had returned this Panel, it had been a good Cause to quash the Array for Favour, (a) But Cro. (a) that the Plaintiff to avoid that Delay might well shew it, and have Jac. 547 S.P. Frocess to the Coroners; and the rather, this being a (b) judicial and frems to have not an original Writ; and the Clerks said there were many Precedents been adjudged contra. accordingly.

If the Challenge to the Array be found against the Party, he shall Co. Lit. 156 b. have his Challenge to the Polls; but neither Party shall take a Challenge 157 b. to the Polls, which they might have had to the Array.

2. Where Insufficiency and not being Liber Homo is a good Cause of Challenge to the Polls.

A Challenge to the Polls is, as has been observed, a Challenge to the Fortes. de particular Jurors, who, it feems, of old could not be challenged; for these Land. Leg. by the feudal Law, as the Pares Curtis, were the Judges; and therein 2 Inft. 27. the Rule was Partes qui Ordinariam Jurisdictionem habent recusari non possimit; but the those Suitors, as Judges of the Court, could not be challenged, yet the Pares, when brought up by Writ, were subject to be challenged; and the Reason is, that there are several Qualifications required by the Writ, viz. that they be Liberos & Legales Homines de vicineto (of the Place laid in the Declaration) quorum quilibet habeat decem Libras terrar', tenementor 'vel reddit' per ann' ad minus, per quos rei veri-Vol. III 3 T tas

(b) Vid. Plow. 74.

tas melius seiri Poterit, & qui nec (of the Plaintiff nor Desendar- aliqua affinitate attingunt, ad faciend' quand' furat' Patrix inter partes Prædict'. These Qualifications were inserted, because this Manner of Trial was different from below; for there the Trial being by all the Pares, if there was a Majority amounting to twelve, the Caufe was decided by fuch a Number as were necessary; but here, because they brought up but twelve, and they were all to be of one Mind, in order to make the Verdict, therefore it was necessary there should be several Qualifications mentioned in such Persons who are to give in the Verdict in that Cause; and if any of the Qualifications were wanting in any one, it was a fufficient Co. Lit. 156.b. Reason to reject such Person.

The first Qualification is, that they should be Liberi & Legales Homines; 157. b. 172 b. 7 Co. 18. in hence it has been always clearly held, that Aliens, Minors or Villeins, Calvin's Case. cannot be Jurors.

2 Rol. Abr.

Co. Lit. 6. b. Cro. Car. 134. 2 Eulf. 158. 2 Rol Abr. 949. 2 Lev. 263. Raym. 380. 1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 303. 417-S.

Raym. 417.

Attorn. Gen.

ver. Blood &

656.

Also Infamy is a good Cause of Challenge to a Juror; as that he is 155. b. 158 a. outlawed, or that he hash been adjudged to any Corporal Punishment whereby he becomes infamous, or that he hath been convicted of Treason or Felony, or Ferjury or Conspiracy, or of Forgery on 5 Eliz. or attainted in an Attaint for giving a false Verdict; and it hath been holden, that fuch Exceptions are not solved by a Pardon; and it was anciently holden, that Excommunication was also a good Challenge, yet it seems that none of the above c ted Challenges are principal ones, but only to 2 Hawk. P.C. the Favour, unless the Record of the Outlawiy, Judgment or Conviction be produced, if it be a Record of another Court, or the Term, &c. be shewn, if it be a Record of the same Court.

The Venure facias was Probos & Legales Homines; and it was objected, that it ought to have been (a Liberos & Legales Homines, there being a Difference between Probus, Liber & Legalis; for that Legalis is he who is not outlawed, and against whom no Exception can be taken in this S. C. Behalf; that *Probus* is not taken Notice of in Law; and *Liber Homo* is not (a) *Libros* for only one that hath Freehold Land, but that hath Freedom of Mind, and stands indifferent, no more inclining to the one than to the other; but mendedafter it was adjudged that Prolos & Liberos are of one Sense, and that the Verdist. Cro. Statute of Westminster 2. which gives the Venire, does not tie the Writ to the very Words.

1 Keb. 563. Liberos in the Eliz. 618.

#### 3. Where the Want of a Freehold, or competent Effate, is a good Cause of Challenge.

Raym. 485. 1 Vent. 366.

It feems to be admitted by the Statute of 21 E. 1. de his qui Ponendi funt in Affilis, and also by the Register, that at Common Law there was no Necessity that Jurors should have any Freehold as to Inquests before Justices in Eyre, or in Cities or Burghs; for it feems, that in Corporations the Freedom, and not the Freehold, made them Liberos Homines.

Keilw. 46. Also it seems agreed, that the Common Law doth not require that a Cro. Eliz.413. 2 Hawk. P.C. Juror should in any Case have a Freehold of any certain Value; and upon this Ground it hath been adjudged, that a Freehold worth but 20 s. 2 Hal. Hift. or 5 s. or even 1 d. is still a sufficient Qualification for a Juror in such Cases P. C. 272. as are not within the Statutes, which require a Freehold of a greater Value. 2 Hawk. P.C. Also by some Opinions it is holden, that the Common Law did not 415, and the require that a Juror should in any Case have a Freehold; but this is not Authorities only contrary to what feems implied by the Books, which in faying that there cited.

State Trials, the Common Law did not require a Freehold of any certain Value, Vol 6. 58. Vrancia's plainly suppose that it required some Freehold, but hath been also contradicted by many express Authorities; agreeably to which it feems to (afc. fol. 245. thid. Layers be fettled at this Day, that the Want of a Freehold is a good Challenge

of a Juror in all Cases not otherwise provided for by Statute, and consequently in a Trial for High Treason in London as well as in any other

But it seems agreed, that wherever the Letter of the Common or Keilw. 46. Statute Law require that a Juror should have a Freehold, the Meaning p. 2- 92- pl 5is folly satisfied by his having the Use of a Freehold, and that it is not Eyer 9- pl. 26is fully fatisfied by his having the Use of a Freehold, and that it is not Lyer 9. pt. 20. material whether he have it in his own or his Wife's Right, or whether 157. a. 272. it be absolute or upon Condition, or an Estate of Inheritance, or only Plow 85, a. for Term of one's own or another's Life, fo that it be in the same County 2 Rol. Abr.

wherein the Suit is brought, and actually continue in the Juror till the 648. Time when he is fworn. But this Matter, as to the Freehold and Value of Jurors, has been regulated and fettled by divers Statutes; to which Purpofe, by the Statutes of Westm. 2. cap. 38. and 21 E. 1. de Lis qui Ponendi sunt in Affifis, it is enacted, 'That none shall be (a) put in Assises or Juries, except in (a) In the Cities, Burghs, or Trading Towns, who have not Tenements to the Construction

hereof it has

been held, that a Juror can neither be challenged by the Parties for being returned contrary to these Acts, nor alledge fuch Matter himself for his Discharge, but must take his Remedy by Action against the Sheriff, or by Writ of Privilege, for his Discharge 2 Inft. 448.

By the 2 H. 5. cap. 3. it is enacted, ' That no Person shall be admitted to pass in any Inquest upon Trial of the Death of a Man, nor in any Inquest betwixt Party or Party, in Plea Real or Plea Personal, whereof

the Debt or the Damage declared amount to forty Marks, if the same

' Person have not Lands or Tenements of the yearly Value of 40 s. above all Charges of the same, so that it be challenged by the

· Party, &c.

yearly Value of 40 s.

It hath been (b) held, that this Statute extends as well to collateral (b) Keilw.92. Iffues as to the general one, but (e) that it doth not extend to an In- (c) Cro. Eliz. dictment or Information for a Crime not Capital.

It has been held, that a Feoffee to the Use of another, or one who 2 Rol. Abr. has only a dry Remainder, are not qualified to be Jurors within the 647.

Meaning of those Statutes, because whatsomer the Value of the Lands Keilw. 92. Meaning of those Statutes, because whatsoever the Value of the Lands 3 Mod. 149 may be, they have no Income from them.

By the 23 H. 8. cap. 13. ' Every Natural-born Subject, who doth en-6 joy and use the Liberties and Privileges of any City, Borough or Town Corporate, where he dwells and makes his Abode, being worth in

· moveable Goods and Substance to the clear Value of 40% shall be ad-6 mitted in Trial of Felonies in every Selfions and Gaol-Delivery to be

6 holden in and for the Liberty of fuch City, &c. albeit he have no

Freehold; but this Act shall not extend to any Knight or Esquire in fuch City, &c.

Special Provision is made by 11 H. 7. cap. 21. and 4 H. 8. cap. 3. for By the 1R.3. Jurors in London in Real and Personal Actions above the Value of forty cap. 4. a Ju-

ror in the Torn was to

have 20 s. Freehold, and 1 l. 6 s. 8 d. Cepyhold. - By the 27 Eliz. cap. 6. the 40 s. by 2 H. 5. cap. 3. was extended to 41.

By the 4 & 5 11. & M. cap. 24. it is enacted, 'That all Jurors (other 6 than Strangers upon Trials per Med etatem Linguæ) who are to be re-

turned for Trials of Issues joined in any of the Courts of King's Bench,

Common Pleas or Exchequer, or before Justices of Assise or Nisi Prius,

6 Oyer and Terminer, Gaol-Delivery, or General Quarter-Sessions of 6 the Peace in any County of this Realm of England, shall every of

6 them have in their own Name, or in Trust for them, within the same 6 County, ten Pounds by the Year, at least, above Reprises, of Free-

6 hold or Copyhold Lands or Tenements, or of Lands or Tenements

cient Domefne was not fufficient. Co. Lit. 156 b.

(a) But by of (a) Ancient Demesne, or in Rents, or in all or any of the said the Common & Lands, Tenements or Rents in Fee-fimple, Fee-tail, or for the Life Law a Free- of themselves, or some other Person; and that in every County in " Hales, every fuch Juror shall have in the same County six Pounds by the Year, at least, in Manner aforesaid, above Reprises.

> Provided that it shall be lawful to return any Person on a Tales in England who shall have 5 l. by the Year, or in Wales who shall have 3 l.

by the Year, in Manner aforefaid.

1 Vent. 366. Skin. 91.

In this Statute, as also in the Statutes 27 Eliz. cap. 6. and 16 & 17 Car. 2. cap. 3. fett. 2. there is a Saving to all Cities, Boroughs and Towns Corporate, of their Ancient Usages; from whence it hath been settled, that Trials in those Places continue as before, or as prescribed by the 23 H. 8. cap. 13. which requires Jurors to be worth 40 l. in Goods, &c. left there should be a Failure of Justice, it being generally impracticable to get a fufficient Number of fuch Freeholders as the Statutes require in (b) Stat. Tri. Towns; but it hath been agreed, that for Trials in London for (b) High Treason, every Juror ought to have such Freehold or Copyhold as is required by 4 & 5 W. & M.

Vol. 6. fol 58. Franchia's Trial.

> By the 3 Geo. 2. cap. 25. sect. 18. it is enacted, 'That any Person or · Persons having an Estate in Possession in Land, in their own Right, of the yearly Value of 20 l. or upwards, over and above the referved Rent payable thereout, such Lands being held by Lease or Leases for the absolute Term of 500 Years, or more, or for 99 Years, or any other

> <sup>6</sup> Term, determinable on one or more Life or Lives; the Names of every

fuch Person or Persons shall and may, and are hereby directed and required to be inserted in the respective Lists, in order to their being in-6 ferted in the Freeholders Book; and the Persons appointed to make such

Lists, are hereby directed to infert them accordingly; and such Lease-6 holder, or Leafeholders, shall and may be summoned or impanelled to

6 serve on Juries, in like Manner as Freeholders may be summoned and • impanelled, by Virtue of this or any other Act or Acts of Parliament for that Purpose, and be subject to the like Penalties for Non-appearance;

s any Law, &c.

And Scett. 19. it is further enacted, 'That the Sheriffs of the City of 6 London for the Time being, shall not impanel or return any Person or · Persons to try any Issue joined in any of his Majesty's Courts of King's 6 Bench, Common Pleas and Exchequer, or to be or ferve on any Jury

at the Sessions of Oyer and Terminer, Gaol-Delivery, or Sessions of the Peace, to be had or held for the said City of London, who shall not be a 6 Housholder within the faid City, and have Lands, Tenements, or Per-

fonal Estate, to the Value of 1001. and the same Matter and Cause alledged by way of Challenge, and fo found, shall be taken and ad-

mitted as a principal Challenge; and the Person or Persons so chal-6 lenged shall and may be examined, on Oath, of the Truth of the said

Matter.

And Sect. 20. it is further enacted, 'That the Sheriffs, or other Officers, to whom the Returning of Juries doth or shall belong, for any 6 County, City, or Place respectively, shall not impanel or return any

e Person or Persons to serve on any Jury, for the Trial of any Capital 6 Offence, who at the Time of fuch Return would not be qualified in

fuch respective County, City, or Place, to serve as Jurors in Civil Causes for that Purpose; and the same Matter and Cause, alledged by way of Challenge, and so found, shall be admitted and taken as a prin-

cipal Challenge; and the Person or Persons so challenged shall and may

6 be examined, on Oath, of the Truth of the said Matter.

By the 4 Geor. 2. cap. 7. reciting, 'That whereas by the very frequent · Occasions there are for Juries in the County of Middlefex, and by the

small Number of Freeholders that are in the faid County the Sheriffs of the fiid County may be under Difficulties in procuring Juries; for Remedy whereof it is Enacted, 6 That all Leafeholders upon Leafes, where the improved Rents or Value shall amount to fifty Pounds or upwards per Annum, over and above all Ground-Rents, or other Refervations, payable by Virtue of the faid Leafes, shall be liable and obliged to serve upon Juries, when they shall be legally summoned for that Purpose; any Thing in this or any former Act to the contrary, &c.

#### Where the Jury's not being convened from a right place is a good Caufe of Challenge.

The Jury is regularly to come from that County in which the Matter Vide 2 Hawk is alledged to arise, and antiently from the Vicinity or very Hundred, P.C. 182-3pursuant to that Maxim, Vicini Vicinorum Facta præsumuntur scire, Per- 403. fons living in the Neighbourhood being esteemed the most proper Judges ons Lecal and of the Facts done within its Limits, as being most likely to be proved by Transitory, Witnesses, and charged upon Persons with whose Integrity and Reputa- 5 Mod. 405. tion they are best acquainted.

But if a Declaration contain Matters lying in two Counties that join, 2 Rol. Abr. the Jury may come out of both Counties, because the Sheriffs may be 601, 603. supposed to meet on the Bounds of each County, and impanel the Pares there; but if the Counties cannot join, and confequently the Sheriffs cannot meet each other in order to impanel, as if the Issue were, whether a Road from London to York, and from York to London, &c. this may be tried in either County.

So it is faid, that if a Man forge a Deed in one County, and publish 5 Mod. 223. it in another, the Trial shall be by a Jury of both Counties; for that the

Writing, as well as the Publication of that Writing, is material.

A Party Jury of the Counties of Bedford and Hereford came to the Bar, Hob. 330. and first was sworn one of one County, and another of the other County, 2 Brownl. 272. and so on in Order, till one of the County of Bedford was challenged, and then the Court proceeded to the next of that County till one was fworn, and fo of the other County, until fix of each County were fworn; for if there should be six sworn of one County first, and six of the other after-(a) If the wards, it were disorderly and (a) erroneous.

Issue be to be tried by two Counties, and one full Inquest appear of one County, but the Inquest remain for Default of Jurors of the other County, a Tales shall be awarded to the County where the Default is, not to the other. Trials per Pais 69.

If the Jury did not come from the Hundred, it was a good Caufe of Co.Lit. 157. a. Challenge to the Array, and it feems that originally they were (b) all Hard. 228. obliged to be of the Hundred; this was changed by Statute, and they that upon Inwere settled first at (e) six, afterwards at (d) two, from the Difficulty of distincts of getting Hundredors, and the Partiality they found amongst them, Neighbours having generally a particular Attachment to one Party more than Prisoner the other.

Not guilty,

there ought at Common Law to be four Hundredors returned, the Statutes requiring fix, and two Hundredors, not extending to Treaton or Felony. - But my Lord Hale fays, that he never knew any Challenge for Default of Hundredors upon a Trial of an Indiament for Felony or Treason. 2 Hale Hist. P. C 272. (1) By 35 H. S. cas. 6. (1) By 27 Eliz cap. 6.

And as the Jury was to come from the Hundred, it was necessary to For this vide lay the Venue from some known Hace where the Fact is supposed to be <sup>2</sup> Hawk. P. Co done; as in a Vill, Castle, Manor, Forest; because if it was not a 182. known Place, there could be no proper Direction to the Sheriff who were the Pares that were to try the Fact there: It has been held, that a Street Vol. III.

or Lane is no proper Place for a *Venue*, because it is not supposed to be sufficiently known to the Sheriff in what Hundred it is; but a Street in a Parish is a proper *Venue*, because it is sufficiently known in what Hundred the Parish is.

Co.Lit. 157. a. If the Lord of the Hundred be a Party, then it is sufficient they should come from the next Hundred.

So if an Action be brought on the Statute of Winton, there, from the apparent Partiality, the Jury must come from the next Hundred where the Robbery was committed; for the proper Pares for the Trial of every

(a) It is faid, Fact are the nearest (a) impartial Men to the Place where the Fact was that no Inhabitant of a

County ought so be a Juror for the Trial of an Issue, whether the County be bound to repair a Bridge or not. 6 Mod. 307.

Co.Lit. 158. a. He that takes such a Challenge must shew in what Hundred the Visne Dyer 231.pl. 3. lies, and he must take it before so many are sworn as will serve for the Hundred, and he that is challenged for the Hundred shall not be drawn absolutely, but shall remain propter Hundredum.

Co. Lit. 157. a. If a Person dwell in the Hundred, whether he have any Freehold there or not, or if he had a Freehold there when he was returned, and sell it before he appear, he is a good Hundredor; but if he sell as his Freehold, he may be challenged absolutely.

Co.Lit. 157. a. If divers Hundreds are in a Leet, or if the Cause of Action arose in divers Hundreds, the Hundredors may come from any of them.

And now by the 4 & 5 Ann.e, cap. 16. no Hundredors are required, except in Profecutions criminal, and on penal Statutes, because in other Cases the Venire shall be de Corpore Comitatus.

- 5. Where Partiality in the Juroz is a good Caufe of Challenge; and therein where it Hall be faid a principal Cause of Challenge, or to the Fabour.
- Co.Lu. 158 a. Jurors ought to be omni Exceptione Majores, and by the Words of the Writ such per quos Rei Veritas melius sciri poterit, & qui nec the Plaintiss, nec the Desendant, aliqua Assimitate attingunt; which Words contain all Causes of Objection from Impartiality or Incapacity, Consanguinity and Assimity; therefore if the Juror be under the Power of either Party, as if Counsel, Servant of the Robes, or Tenant, they are expressly within the Intent of the Writ; so if he has declared his Opinion touching the Matter, or has been chosen Arbitrator by one Side, or is a Parishioner of the Parish whereof the other Party is Parson, and the Right of the Church comes in Question, or has done any Act by which it appears that he cannot be impartial, as if he has eat or drank at the Expence of either Party, or taken Money to give his Verdict, these are principal Causes of Challenge.
- Co.Lit.158.a. But tho' a Juror is not under the Distress of either Side, or has not given apparent Marks of Partiality, yet there may be sufficient Reason to suspect he may be more favourable to one Side than the other; and this is a Challenge to the Favour; as if the Juror's Son has married the Plaintiff's Daughter; because this is not contained within the Words of the Wrir, and therefore no principal Cause of Challenge, but only to the Favour, because such Juror is not within the Power of the Party; and in these Inducements to Suspicion of Favour the Question is, whether the Juryman is indifferent as he stands unsworn; for a Juryman ought to be perfectly impartial to either Side; for otherwise his Affection will give Weight to the Evidence of one Party, and an honest but weak Manumay be so much biassed, as to think he goes by his Evidence, when his

Affections add Weight to the Evidence; now fince the Writ expects those by whom the Truth may be best known, it excludes all those who are apparently partial without any Trial, because they are not under the Qualifications in the Writ, since the Truth cannot be known by them, but where the Partiality is not apparent, but only suspicious, then the Juror is to be tried whether savourable or not, that is, whether he comes within the Description of the Writ; and if the Triers think he does, then he is to be set aside.

If an Action be brought (a) by a Corporation, and the Juror be of Kin Co. Lit 157. b. to any Member, it is a principal Challenge.

(a) Challenges are

allowed where the Issue concerns a City or Corporation, and they are to make the Panel, or where any of their Body he to go on the Jury, or any of Kin unto them, tho the Body Corporate be not directly Party to the Suit. Heb. 87. I Sand. 344.—So where a Dean and Chapter bringing an Assis, a Juror was challenged because he was Brother to one of the Prebendaries. Hob. 87.

If a Juror be challenged for being of Kin to one Party, it is no Coun- Co. Lit. 157, ter-Plea that he is of Kin also to the other; for the Venire commands the Sheriff to return those who are of Kin to neither.

An Arbitrator chosen by both Parties, whether he have treated of the Co. Lit. 158. Matter or not, or chosen by one Party, if he has never treated thereof, 9 Co. 71. a. or a Commissioner chosen by one Party for Examination of Witnesses, and appointed under the Great Seal, cannot be challenged principally; but for such Cause one may be challenged for Favour.

If a Juror be Cousin to him in Reversion, it is only a Challenge to Co. Lit. 158. the Favour, because he in Reversion is not Party to the Record; but it would be a principal Challenge if he be Party by Voucher, Aid, or Receipt.

It is a principal Cause of Challenge, that the Juror is a Witness named Co. Lit. 157. in the Deed, or hath formerly given a Verdict on the same Cause, where Cro. Eliz. 33. ther between the same Parties, or others; but this is only a Challenge to Pl. 13 the Favour if the Record be of another Court, and not shewn forth; but if it be of the same Court, it is sufficient to shew the Day and the Term.

By the 25 E. 3. cap. 3. it is Enacted, That no Indictor shall be put on 1 Sid. 244. Inquests upon Deliverance of the Indictees of Felony or Trespass, if he 2 Hawk. P. C. be challenged for the same Cause by him who is so indicted; and this 418. hath been adjudged a good Exception not only on the Trial of the same Indictment, but also on the Trial of another Indictment or Action, wherein the Matter sound in such former Indictment is either directly in Issue, or happens to be material.

It is a good Cause of Challenge, that a Juror hath a Claim to the For- 2 Hawk. P.C. feiture to be caused by the Conviction, or that he hath declared his Opi- 418. nion beforehand; yet this hath been adjudged to be no Cause of Challenge where it has appeared to proceed not from any Ill Will, but from a Knowledge of the Cause.

But it is no good Cause of Challenge, that the Juror has found others 2 Hawk. P.C. guilty on the same Indictment; for the Indictment in Judgment of Law 418. is several against each Desendant, and every one must be convicted by particular Evidence against himself.

It hath feen ruled to be a good Challenge on the Part of the King, 2 Hawk. P.C. that the Juror hath given his Dogs the Names of the King's Witnesses. 418.

Tho' the King may take either a principal Challenge, or to the Favour, 2 Hawk P.O. yet it is faid that the Subject cannot take a Challenge to the Favour 418. against the King, because every one is bound by his Allegiance to favour the King: It is said to be a principal Challenge against the King, that the Jury is of his Livery, or his immediate Tenant.

In an Information of Forgery the Defendant challenged one of the 1 Vont 309. Jury, for that the Profecutor had been lately entertained at his House; which seems and this was admitted to the Favour, tho' against the King.

Allen 29.

A Juror was challenged because he was Tenant of a Manor to which there was a Court-Leet, of which the Plaintiff was Steward; and it was held that this was no principal Challenge, but only to the Favour.

1 Salk: 152.

Upon a Trial at Bar the Question was, whether the Fair called Wayhill Fair should be kept at Waybill, or at Anderry, and one of the Jury was challenged because he lived at Waybill; and the Objection was, that the Fair oceasioned Manure to improve the Ground; on the other Side it was confidered, that the Fair occasioned Trampling of the Grass; and this being a Challenge to the Favour, two of the Jurors were fworn to be Triers; and their Oath was, You shall well and truly try whether A. (the Juryman challenged,) stand indifferent between the Parties to this Issue.

Either Party labouring a Juror to appear, is no Cause of Challenge at

Dyer 45. a. all, but a lawful Act. pl. 27.

> 6. Where the Degree and Quality of the Juror is a god Cause of Challenge; and herein who are exempt from ferbing on Juries.

Vide Tit. Privilege.

(a) Dyer 314.

Moor 167.

9 Co. 49.

646. Co. Lit. 157.

It feems to be agreed, that all Persons, whose Attendance is required in the superior Courts of Justice, such as Serjeants at Law, Counsellors, Attornies, and other Officers of the Courts, are so far privileged as not to be fummoned on Juries; also Peers of the Realm are excluded, as not coming within the Qualifications mentioned in the Writ, viz. Ad faciendum quand' Jurat' Patrie; for they are not Pares Patrie, but Pares (b) 2 Rol. Abr. of an higher Rank; and therefore it is clearly (a) agreed, that if a Peer be returned on a Jury, and bring a Writ of Privilege, he shall be discharged; also it seems to be the (b) better Opinion, that even without fuch a Writ he may challenge himself, or be challenged by either Farty.

6 Co. 53. 1 Fones 153. Pafeb. 17 Car. 2. Sir Edw. Baincon's Caic.

But Members of the House of Commons seem not to have any Privilege to be exempt from ferving on Juries; yet in the Case of Sir Edward Bainton, who being returned on a Jury in R. R. the Court would not force him to be fworn against his Will, he being a Parliament-Man, and the Parliament then fitting.

4 Inst. 269. - And it is said, that

Tenants in Antient Demesne are not to be impanelled to appear at Westminster, or elsewhere in any other Court, upon any Inquest or Trial of any Caufe.

they may have a Writ De non ponendis in Assists & Juratis against the Sherist, or any one who hath Return of Writs; and if notwithstanding such Writ the Sherist will return them, they may have an Attachment. 1 Co. 105. — A Juror surmised at the Bar, that he was a Tenant in Antient Demesse, and had his Charter in his Hand, and prayed to be exempted from the Jury, and discharged; but the Court did not regard it, but caused him to be sworn; and it was held, that his proper Remedy was against the Sheriff, and that if he had made Default and lost Issues, he might shew his Charter in the Exchequer upon the Americann estreated, and there he should be discharged. 1 Leon. 207. — By the

Common Law a Freehold in Antient Demessic was not a sufficient Qualification for a Juror. 9 H. 7. t. pl. 2. Ero. Challenge 157. Co. Lit. 156. b. But it is made fo by 4 & 5 W. 3.

1 Sid. 127, 243 Raym. 113. Hard. 389,

It feems agreed, that the King by his Grant or Charter may exempt one, two, or more from ferving on Juries; but he cannot exempt a whole County or Hundred, because in such Case there would be a Failure of Justice; also it seems that such Exemption does not extend to Jurors returned into the King's Bench, unless there be express Words including that Court; also by the better Opinion, the Sheriff cannot return fuch Privilege of Exemption, but each particular Juror must come in and demand it.

= inft 446. I: N.B 105

By the Statute of Westminster 2. cap. 38. it is expresly provided, That neither Old Men above the Age of feventy Years, nor Perfons perpetually sick, nor those who are infirm at the Time of their Summons, nor those

who do not refide in the County, shall be put in Juries, or in the leffer Affizes: In the Construction of which it bath been held, that the fuch Perfons may fue out a Writ of Privilege for their Discharge, grounded on this Statute, yet if they be actually returned, and appear, they can neither be challenged by the Party, nor excuse themselves from not ferving, if there be not a fufficient Number without them.

Clerks or Persons in (a) Holy Orders, Coroners, Ministers of the Fo-Dalt. Sher. rest, Officers of the Army, and other Officers and Ministers belonging to 121.

the King, are exempt from ferving on Juries.

Pais 86. (a) Where before the Return the Party became a Minister of the Church, and at the Day of the Return he appeared, and prayed to be discharged, according to the Privilege of those of the Ministry: but the Court would not allow of his Prayer, because that at the Time of the Panel made he was a Layman. 4 Leon. 190. Reecher's Cafe.

By the 6 W. 3. cap. 4. Every Person using and exercising the Art of an Apothecary in the City of London, or within seven Miles thereof, being free of the Society of Apothecaries in the faid City, and who ' shall have been duly examined and approved, &c. for so long Time as he shall exercise the said Mystery, and no longer, shall be exempted from ferving on any Jury or Inquest; and other Persons exercising the faid Art of an Apothecary in any other Parts of this Kingdom, who have ferved as Apprentices feven Years, according to the Statute 5 Eliz. 6 shall likewife be exempted from ferving on Juries for so long Time as 6 they shall use and exercise the said Art, unless such Person voluntarily confent to serve.'

By the 7 & 8 W. 3. cap. 21. all registered Seamen are exempted from ferving on Turies.

By the 7 & 8 W. 3. cap. 34. it is Enacted, that no Quaker, or reputed Quaker, shall serve on Juries.

#### 7. Where from the Quality of either Party it is a god Cause of Challenge, that a Unight is not returned.

Here we must observe, that if a Peer be impleaded by a Commoner, (b) In which yet such Case shall not be tried by Peers, but by a Jury of the Country; Case a Peer for tho' the Peers are the proper Pares to a Lord of Parliament in cannot chal-(b) Capital Matters, where the Life and Nobility of a Peer is concerned, lenge any of yet in Matter of Property the Trial of Facts is not by them, but by the his Peers, because the Inhabitants of those Counties where the Facts arise, fince such Peers whole Peers living thro' the whole Kingdom, could not be generally cognifant of fitupon him, Facts arising in several Counties, as the Inhabitants themselves where who are his they are done; but this Want of having Noblemen for their Jury was proper compensated as much as possible, by returning Persons of the best Qua-Moor 621.

And therefore if a Peer of the Realm or Lord of Parliament be De- Co Lit. 156.a. mandant or Plaintiff, Tenant or Defendant, there must be a Knight 6 Co. 53. returned of his Jury, be he Lord (c) Spiritual or Temporal, or else the Esshop being Array may be quashed; but (d) if he be returned, altho' he appear not, indicted for yet the Jury may be taken of the Residue; and if others be joined with a Trespass, the Lord of Parliament, yet if there be no Knight returned, the Array a Knight shall be quashed against all shall be quashed against all.

1 Leon. 5. (d) That if a Knight be but returned on a Jury when a Nobleman is concerned, it is not material whether he appear and give his Verdict or no. 1 Mod. 226.

But tho' a Peer may be concerned in the Event of a Cause, as if he Skin. 229. have the Reversion upon an Estate for Life, and an Action is brought against the Tenant for Life, yet it is no Cause of Challenge if a Knight be not returned.

Vol. III.

3 X

Upon

Co Lit. 156.

Upon an Issue between a Peer of the Realm and another, if the Venire between the Earl of Worzefler and Frade.

(a) Yet this being the Upon an Issue between a Peer of the Realm and another, if the Venire Earl of the Realm and another, if the Venire Earl of the Realm and another, if the Venire Earl of Worzefler and Liberos & Legales Homines, and does not fay tam Milites quam alios, as the Register is, (a) tho' the Peer of the Realm may assign it for Error, yet the other cannot, because it does not concern him.

Error of the Court, it is said it may be assigned by either. 2 Sand. 258. — And it is said, that the other Party may take Advantage of a Knight's not being returned, as well as the Peer. 2 Show. 423.

1 Mod. 226.
2 Mod. 182.
Countess of
Northumberland's Case.

If there be no other Knights in the County, a Serjeant at Law that is a Knight may be returned, and his Privilege shall not excuse him.

Skin. 229. A Challenge to the Array quia nullo Milite in eodem Panello existente Countess of Conway's Case. a one returned upon the Panel are not Knights, and then it may be tried.

Mich. 9 Georg.

It hath been fettled, that the Lessor of the Plaintiff being a Noble2. in B. R.
in the Case
of Grinson
and Gardner;
to try the Peer's Title; because the Lessor does not appear as a Party
of Chal-

lenges to the Array.

Co.Lit. 156. a. Also in an Attaint there ought to be a Knight returned of the Jury, 1 Leon. 303. and in a Writ of Right sour Knights were to be returned.

Jenk. 11, 89.

### 8. Di Trials per Medietatem Linguæ, where an Alien is Party.

By the 28 E. 3. cap. 13. fett. 2. it is Enacted, 6 That in all manner of Inquests and Proofs which be to be taken or made against Aliens and Denizens, be they Merchants or others, as well before the Mayor of the Staple as before any other Justices or Ministers, altho' the King be Party, the one Half of the Inquest or Proof shall be Denizens, and the other Half Aliens, if so many Aliens and Foreigners be in the Town or Place where such Inquest or Proof is to be taken, that be not Parties, nor with the Parties in Contracts, Pleas, or other Quarrels, whereof such Inquests or Proofs ought to be taken; and if there be not so many Aliens, then shall be put in such Inquests or Proofs as many Aliens as shall be found in the same Towns or Places, which be not thereto Parties, nor with the Parties as aforesaid, and the Remnant of Denizens which be good Men, and not suspicious to the one Party nor to the other.'

2 Hawk P.C. In the Construction of this Statute it hath been agreed, that the Statutes which require that the Jurors shall have Tenements to a certain Value, do not (b) extend to Aliens returned by Virtue of this Statute, but only to Denizens, who are to have Lands or Tenements to the same Value as in other Cases.

libet habeat quatuor Libratas terra, &c. shall be applied to the English only. Cro. Eliz. 272, 841.

2. Hawk P.C. Also it is settled, that those on the Grand Jury, or who find an Indicate against an Alien, need not be Aliens.

2 Hawk. P.C. Neither is it necessary that the Petit Jury in an Action or Appeal by an Alien against an Alien, should be Half Aliens, and Half English; for the Words are, All Inquests, &c. between Aliens and Denizens.

If an Alien neglect to pray the Benefit of the Statute (a) before the Dyer 28. pl. Return of a common Venire, he can neither except to such Venire, nor 180 145. pl. 60. 304. pray a subsequent Process de Medietate Linguæ.

pl. 45. 2 Rol. Abr. 643. Cro. Eliz. 869. (a) If upon an Indistment of Felony against an Alien he plead Not guilty, and a common Jury be returned, if he doth not furmife his being an Alien, before any of the Jury sworn, he hath lost that Advantage; but if he alledge that he is an Alien, he may challenge the Array for that Cause, and thereupon a new Precept or Venire shall issue, or an Award be made of a Jury de Medictate Lingua; but it is more proper for him to furmife it upon his Plea pleaded, and thereupon to pray it. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 272.

The Return of a Venire de Medietate Linguæ ought to (b) shew which Cro. Eliz. \$13. of the Jurors are Denizens, and which Aliens, and a full Number of (b) But this each must appear to be sworn; if there be not enow to make up a full Misseturn, is Number of fix Denizens and fix Aliens, the Justices of Nisi Prius helped by (e) may, by Construction of the Statutes which give a Tales de Circum- Verdict in stantibus, award fuch a Tales for so many Denizens and Aliens as shall Cases within be wanting.

Cro. Eliz. 84. (c) 10 Co. 104. Cro. Eliz. 305.

If on a Venire of Half Denizens and Half Aliens the Sheriff return 2 Rol Abr. twelve all Aliens, and among them fome who in Truth are not fuch, the 643 Party shall not be concluded by such Return, but may notwithstanding challenge the Array for Want of a fufficient Number of Aliens.

Some of the Precedents of Awards of Venire's de Medietate Lingue 2 Hawk. P.C. mention, that the Aliens to be returned shall be of the same Country 420. whereof the Party alledges himself; but others direct generally, that one Half of the Jury shall be Aliens, without specifying any particular Country; and these last seem most agreeable to the Statute, and to be confirmed by the late Practice, and greater Number of Authorities.

It hath been held, that Denizens so made by Letters Patent are Deni- 2 Hawk. P.C. zens within the Intent of this Statute; also that before the Union of 420. England and Scotland under James I. a Scot was not an Alien within the Meaning of this Statute.

It hath been held, that as to Treason this Statute is repealed by 1 & 2 Hal. Hist. 2 Ph. & Mar. cap. 10. which requires that Trials of Treason shall be 2 Hawk. P. C. according to the Common Law.

By the 2 & 3 Pb. & Mar. and 4 & 5 Eliz. Persons made Felons, as Egyptians, are to be tried by the Inhabitants of the County or Place where they shall be taken, and not per Medietatem Linguæ.

#### 9. Of peremptory Challenges.

By the Common Law, in all Capital Cases (in which only peremptory Lamb. 4 Challenges were allowed, ) the Prisoner could challenge thirty-five pe- cap. 14remptorily; and this was because the Trial by the Petty Jury came inftead of the Ordeal, and the Petty Jury of twelve being after the Manner of the Canonical Purgation, and because the whole  $ilde{\it Pares}$  were not on his Jury, but only a felect Number was chosen by the Criminal himself, as was usual among the Canonists, therefore they took a middle Way, and gave the Defendant Liberty to challenge peremptorily any Number. under three Juries, four Juries being as many as generally appeared, to make the total Pares of the County.

This Kind of Challenge, as has been observed, was allowable by the 2 Hal. H.ft. Common Law in all Capital Cases, both upon Indictments and Appeals, P.C. 268. and also in Misprission of High Treason; but it was Enacted by 33 H. 8. 413. cap. 23. feet. 3. That it should not be allowed in any Cases of High Treason, nor Mispersion of High Treason; which Statute being repealed by 1 Ph. & Mar. cap. 10. the antient Course of the Common Law, as to Trials of Treason,

is restored, and consequently such Challenge revived; but it is made a Doubt, whether by any Statute it is revived in case of Misorision of Treafon, the Statute I Ph. & Ma. not extending, as it is faid, to Misprission of High Treason.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 267. 2 Hawk. P.C. 413.

It is enacted by 22 H. 8. cap. 14. fest. 7. made perpetual by 32 H. 8. cap. That no Person arraigned for any Petit Treason, Murder or Felony, be admitted to any peremptory Challenge above the Number of twenty; but it has been held, that I & 2 Ph. & Mar. which restores the Course of the Common Law as to Trials of Treason, has revived the old Challenge of Thirty-five in Trials of Petit Treason; and therefore it is agreed, that at this Day, in Cases of High Treason and Petit Treason, the Prisoner may challenge Thirty-five peremptorily, and twenty in all other Capital Offences.

2 Hal. Hift. 267-2 Hawk. P. C. 411. and feveral Authorities there cited.

This peremptory Challenge scems, by the better Opinion, to be only allowable when the Prisoner pleads the General Issue; therefore by the Common Law, if a Man were outlawed of Felony or Treason, and brought a Writ of Error upon the Outlawry, and assigned some Error in Fact, whereupon Issue was joined, he could not challenge peremptorily; the like Law if he had pleaded any foreign Plea in Bar or in Abatement, which went not to the Trial of the Felony, but of some collateral Matter only.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 268.

There feems to be some Diversity of Opinions in case of a Prisoner's Challenging peremptorily more than he is allowed by Law; and herein my Lord Hale lays down the Law to be, that at Common Law if the Prisoner peremptorily challenged above Thirty-five Persons, and insisted upon it, and would not leave his Challenge, then in case of an Indictment of High Treason it amounted to Nibil divit, and Judgment of Death should be given against him; but in ease of Petit Treason, or Felony, the Prisoner anciently was put to Peine fort & dure, as declining the Trial the Law appointed; the Confequence whereof was only the Forfeiture of his Goods, but it amounted to no Attainder, and consequently no Escheat of his Lands; and thus, says he, the Practice was until the Beginning of the Reign of H. 7. but afterwards, by the Advice of all the Judges of both Benches, it was refolved, that the Party so peremptorily challenging at ove Thirty-five, should have Judgment of Death, and that it amounted to an Attainder; for having pleaded to the Felony, and put himself upon the Country, here could be no standing Mute; and therefore the Judges refolved on this Courfe, as most confonant to Law, to be practifed in all Circuits; but for all this, adds he, the better Opinion of later Times, as well as of former, is, that the Judgment in the Case of such a peremptory Challenge of above Thirty-five at the Common Law, in case of Felony, was not an Attainder, but only Penance, to which the Party was awarded without having any Jury impanelled.

2 Hal Hift. P C. 296, 270 2 Hawk P.C. 414.

There feems also some Diversity of Opinions, as to what is to be done with a Prisoner who, fince the Statute of 22 H. 8. challenges above twenty in Felony; and herein the better Opinion feems to be, that he shall neither forfeit his Goods, nor have Judgment of Death, nor of Peine fort & dure, but shall only be over-ruled as to his Challenges, fo far as they exceed twenty, and put upon his Trial; and herewith agrees my Lord Hale, and that, he fays, for two Reasons; 1. Because the Statute hath made no Provision to attaint the Felon, if he challenge above the Number of twenty. 2. Because the Words of the Statute of 22 H. 8. are, That be be not admitted to challenge above the Number of twenty; fo that if he challenge above twenty peremptorily, his Challenge shall only

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 265.

If twenty Men are indicted for the same Offenee, tho' by one Indictment, yet every Prisoner is allowed his peremptory Challenge; and if

there

there be but one Venire fac. awarded to try them, the Persons challenged

by any one shall be withdrawn against them all.

If A be indicted and plead Not guilty, the Jury appears, he chal- 2 Hal. Hift. lengeth fix of the Jury for Cause, and the Causes found insufficient, and P. C. 270. the fix are fworn, and the Rest of the Jury challenged off, whereby the Inquest remains pro defectu Juratorum; a Tales granted, and the Jury appear, the Prifoner may challenge peremptorily any of the fix that were before challenged, for Cause allowed and sworn, for it is possible a new Caufe of Challenge may intervene after the former Swearing; but if a Man challenge him for Cause, he must shew a Cause happened after the former Swearing.

But if the Prisoner, upon the first Panel, had challenged, for In- 2 Hal. Hs. stance, fifteen peremptorily, and then the Jury remains for Default of P. C. 270. Jurors, and a Distringus with a forty Tales is granted, he shall challenge peremptorily no more than will fill up his Number, viz. in case of Felony, at this Day, five more, and in case of Treason, or Petit Treason, twenty more, to make up his full Number of twenty peremptory Chal-

lenges in the first Case, and Thirty-five in the last.

#### 10. Of Challenges by the King.

The King, or any one on his Behalf, may, on sufficient Cause, chal- Co. Lit. 156. lenge either the Array, or the Polls, in the same Manner as a private 2 Inft. 431. Person may; also by the Common Law, the King, without affigning 2 Hal. Hyl. any Reason, but barely alledging quod non sunt boni pro Rege, might have

challenged peremptorily as many as he thought proper.

But this is remedied by 33 E. 1. commonly called Ordinatio de Inquisitionibus, which enacteth as follows; 'Of Inquests to be taken before any of the Justices, and wherein our Lord the King is Party, how-6 foever it be, it is agreed and ordained by the King and all his Counfel, that from henceforth, notwithstanding it be alledged by them that fue for the King, that the Jurors of those Inquests, or some of them, be onot indifferent for the King, yet fuch Inquests shall not remain untaken for that Cause; but if they that sue for the King will challenge any of those Jurors, they shall assign of their Challenge a Cause certain, and the Truth of the same Challenge shall be inquired of according to the Custom of the Court.

In the Construction of this Statute it hath been clearly settled, that Moor 595. the Words thereof being general, it extends to all Caufes, as well Cri- Co. Lit. 159:

minal as Civil, whereto the King is Party.

It hath also been agreed, and is now the established Practice of the Courts, that if the King challenge a Juror before the Panel is perused, Raym 473. It hath also been agreed, and is now the established Practice of the Co. Lit. 156. he needs not shew any Cause of his Challenge till the whole Panel be Skin. 82. gone thro', and it appear that there will not be a full Jury without the 2 Hal. Hift. Person so challenged; and if the Desendant, in order to oblige the King 271. to shew Cause presently, challenge touts paravaile, yet it hath been adjudged, that the Defendant shall be first put to shew all his Causes of Challenge before the King need to shew any.

#### 11. At what Time a Challenge is to be taken.

It is laid down as a Rule, that there can be no Challenge either to the Hob. 235. Array, or Polls, before a full Jury appears; and therefore in a Case Vicars ver. where the Plaintiff, after he had prayed a Tales, challenged the Array Langham. thereof for Partiality in the Sheriff; tho' it was objected, that this being by his own Defire; he was afterwards estoped to take any Exceptions to Vol. III.

the Sheriff; yet the Challenge was allowed good, and the Venire directed to the Sheriffs; for if he had not prayed a Tales, there could not have

been a full Jury, and then there could be no Challenges.

Also it is laid down as a Rule, that no Juror can be challenged without Consent after he hath been sworn, either in a Criminal or Civil Case, Cro Car. 291. or either at the Suit of the King or Subject, whether on the same Day, Or, according to the better Opinion, on a former on the same Trial, unless it be for some Cause which happened since he was sworn.

Jenk. 310. 2 Brownl. 275. 2 Hal. H ft. P. C. 274.

Co. Lit. 158.a. He who hath feveral Causes of Challenge against a Juror must take them all at once.

Co. Lit. 158. a. If a Juror be challenged by one Party and found indifferent, the other Party may challenge him afterwards.

Co.Lit. 158. a. In ease of Treason, or Felony, if the Prisoner challenge a Juror for Cause which is held insufficient, he may afterwards challenge him peremptorily.

Co.Lit. 158. a. A Challenge for the Hundred must be taken before so many be sworn as will serve for Hundredors, or else the Party loseth the Advantage thereof.

Co.Lit. 158. a. After a Challenge to the Array, the Party may challenge the Polls; but after a Challenge to the Polls, there can be no Challenge to the Array.

#### 12. How such Challenge is to be tried.

157. b. Here we must take Notice, that a principal Cause of Challenge being grounded on such a manifest Presumption of Partiality, that is it be found true, it unquestionably sets aside the Array, or the Juror, without any other Trial than its being made out to the Satisfaction of the Court, before which the Panel is returned; but a Challenge to the Favour, where the Partiality is not apparent, must be left to the Discretion of the Triers.

2 Rol Rep. 363. Co. Lit. 158. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 275. If the Array be challenged, it lies in the Discretion of the Court how it shall be tried; sometimes it is done by two Attornies, sometimes by two Coroners, and sometimes by two of the Jury; with this Difference, that if the Challenge be for Kindred in the Sheriff, it is most fit to be tried by two of the Jurors returned; if the Challenge sound in Favour of Partiality, then by any other two assigned thereunto by the Court.

As to a Challenge to the Polls, if a Juror be challenged before any Juror fworn, two Triers shall be appointed by the Court; and if he be found indifferent, and sworn, he and the two Triers shall try the next Challenge; and if he be tried, and found indifferent, then the two first Triers shall be discharged, and the two Jurors tried and found indifferent shall try the Rest.

2 Hal Hift. P. C. 275.

If the Plaintiff challenge ten, and the Prisoner one, then he that remains shall have added to him one chosen by the Plaintiff and another by the Prisoner, and they three shall try the Challenge; if six be sworn, and the Rest challenged, the Court may assign any two of the six sworn to try the Challenges.

The Triers cannot exceed two, unless it be by Consent; which was taken up in Imitation of the Trial of the Summons of the Party, which was by two Persons; this being, (a) whether such a Juror, as was descath is, you shall well

and truly try whether A the Juryman challenged, fland indifferent between the Parties to this Issue.

1 Salk. 152 — Where a Challenge is to the Array for Favour, the Plaintist may either confess it, or plead to it; if he pleads, the Judges allign Triers to try the Array, which feldom exceed two, who being chose and sworn, the Associate, or Clerk in Court, doth declare and rehearse unto them

the

feribed in the Writ, was warned, viz. one per qu' rei verit' melius feiri the Matter Poterit, Bc.

lenge, and after he hath to done, concludes to them thus; and to your Charge is to inquire, whether it be an impartial Array or a favourable one; and if they affirm it, the Clerk enters undernearn the Challenge Affirmatur; but if the Triers find it favourable, then thus, Columpnia vera. Trials per

The Triers, as far as they act herein, are Officers of the Court, and Palm 363. liable to be punishable for any Misdemeanor; also it is said, (a) that if (a) But & they find a sainst Law and the Departion of the Court they are the whether they find against Law, and the Direction of the Court, they may be whether they are nor fined and imprisoned.

spect to be

confidered as Jurors, and acting in a Judicial Capacity.

The Truth of the Matter alledged as Caufe of Challenge, must be Cc. L.t. 158. made out, by (b) Witnesses, to the Satisfiction of the Trees; also the Trials per Pais 158.

Juror challenged may, on a Voir dire, be asked such Questions as do not 1.53 to 1 tend to Infamy or Difgrace; fuch as, whether he hath a Freehold, whe- (b) That one ther he hath an Interest in the Cause; and in a Civil Cause, whether he Witness to thath given his Opinion before-hand upon the Right, which he might prove the thave done as Arbitrator between the Parties. have done as Arbitrator between the Parties.

fusfficient. 1 Show. 173.

But in no Case can a Juror be asked, whether he hath been whipped Keling 9. for Larceny, or convict of Felony, or whether ever he was committed Trials per to Bridewell for a Pilserer, or to Newgate for clipping and coining, or Pais 158. whether he is a Villein or outlawed; because these kind of Questions tend to make a Man discover that of himself which tends to Shame, Infamy and Difgrace; also it was held in (c) a Trial for High Treason, (c) 1 Salks that the Prisoner, in order to challenge a Juror, could not ask him, 153. Coke's whether he had not declared his Opinion before-hand that he was gulty, or would be hanged, because these Questions tend to Reproach, as charging him with a Misdemeanor.

If a Challenge be taken, and the other Side demur, and it be de. Skin. tot. bated, and the Judge over-rule it, it is entered upon the original Re- Hutt. 24. cord; and if at Nisi Prins it appears upon the Pestex what the Judge hath done; but if the Judge over-ruled the Challenge upon Debate without a Demurrer, then it is proper for (d) a Bill of Exceptions.

fuch Bill

must be, that he over-ruled the Challenge, not quod recus the Challenge. Skin. 1016

It is faid, that a Demurrer upon a Challenge is not like to a Demurrer 3 Leon. 222. upon a Plea; for in Case of a Demurrer upon a Challenge, as soon as the Demurrer is agreed on at the Bar, it is good enough, without other Circumstances, such as Counsel's Hand, &c. and the Prothonotaries of Right ought to enter fuch Demurrer.

#### how Juroes are to be impanelled and flbozu.

BY the 3 Georg. cap. 25. felt. 11. it is enacted, 'That the Name of each and every Perfon who shall be summoned and impancilled, with his Addition and the Place of his Abode, shall be written in feveral and diffinct Pieces of Parchment, or Paper, being all, as near as may be, of equal Size and Bigness, and shall be delivered to the 6 Marshal of such Judge of Assis or Nisi Prius, or of the said Great

Sessions, or of the Sessions of the said Counties Palatine, who is to try the Causes in the said County, by the Under-Sheriff of the said ' County, or some Agent of his, and shall, by Direction and Care of fuch Marshal, be rolled up all, as near as may be, in the same Manner, and put into a Box or Glass to be provided for that Purpose; and when any Cause shall be brought on to be tried, some indifferent ' Person, by Direction of the Court, may and shall, in open Court, draw out twelve of the f id Parchments, or Papers, one after another; and if any of the Perfons, whose Names shall be so drawn, shall not appear, or be challenged and set aside, then such further Number, until twelve Persons be drawn, who shall appear, and after all Causes 6 of Challenge shall be allowed as fair and indifferent; and the faid twelve Persons so first drawn and appearing, and approved as indifferent, their Names being marked in the Panel, and they being fworn, shall be the Jury to try the said Cause; and the Names of the e Persons so drawn and sworn shall be kept apart by themseves, in some 6 other Box or Glass to be kept for that Purpose, till such Jury shall ' have given in their Verdict, and the same is recorded; or until such Jury shall, by Consent of the Parties, or Leave of the Court, be discharged; and then the same Names shall be rolled up again and returned to the former Box or Glass, there to be kept with the other Names remaining at that Time undrawn; and fo toties quoties, as long as any Caufe remains then to be tried. Sect. 12. Provided, 'That if any Caufe shall be brought on to be tried

in any of the faid Courts respectively, before the Jury in any other Cause shall have brought in their Verdict, or be discharged, it shall and may be lawful for the Court to order twelve of the Residue of the said Parchments, or Papers, not containing the Names of any of the Jurors who shall not have so brought in their Verdict, or be dis-

charged, to be drawn in such Manner as is aforesaid, for the Trial of

the Caufe which shall be so brought on to be tried.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 293. In Capital Cases the Sheriff returns the Panel of the Jury, who being called, and appearing, the Prisoners are told by the Clerk, that these good Men now called, and appearing, are to pass on their Lives and Deaths; therefore if they will Challenge any of them, they are to do it before they are sworn; and if no Challenge hinder, the Jury are commanded to look on the Prisoners, and then severally twelve of them,

(a) But if (a) neither more nor less, are sworn.

thirteen are
by 'istake sworn, the Swearing of the last by Missake is void, and the other twelve shall serve.—
But if eleven be sworn by Missake, no Verdict can be taken of the eleven; and if it be, it is Error; and so in a Presentment; but if twelve be recorded sworn, no Averment lies that one was unsworn.—
Upon Not guilty pleaded, twelve are sworn to try the Issue; after their Departure one of the twelve leaves his Companions, which being discovered to the Court, by Consent of all Parties, B. another of the Panel, is sworn in the Place of A. and afterwards A returns to his Companions, which being made known to the Court, A. is called and examined, why he departed; he answered, to drink; and being examined whether he had spoken with the Defendant, denied it upon his Oath; whereupon B. was discharged from giving any Verdict, and the Verdict taken of A. and the other eleven, and A. fined for his Contempt. 2 Hall Hist. P. C. 296.

Altho' there be twenty Prisoners at the Bar for several Felonies, and P. C. 294. (b) An Exception was taken to a larly charged with; and therefore tho' twenty have pleaded, and stand Judgment in at the Bar when the Jury is fworn, yet the Court may stay any Number an inferior of the Prisoners, and so the Jury stand charged with no more than what it was twelve Probi electi, triati, Jurati, &c. without saying ad veritat' de tramissis dicerd'; and this was held Error, for they might be sworn in another Cause at the same Court; and the Difference was said to be betwist a Jury in Criminal and Civil Matters; for the Oath which the Jury take in Criminal Matters is, that they shall cruly try and true Deliverance make of the Prisoners at the Bar, &c. so the Court may charge them with as many Prisoners as they think fit; but in Civil Matters the Jury must be sworn anew in every several Case. Math. 29 Car. 2. in C. B. Wasson and Goedman.

are

are thus particularly charged upon them; and when they go from the Bar, and have brought in their Verdict couching these Particulars charged upon them, then if the same Jury pass upon the remaining Prisoners, yet they are to be called over again, the Prisoners reminded of their Challenges, and the Jury sworn de novo upon the Trial of the Rest of the Prisoners.

#### (G) how to be kept and discharged.

HEN the Jurors depart from the Bar, (a) a Bailiff ought to 2 Hal. High. be from to keep them together, and not to fuffer any to speak P. C. 296. with them.

be sworn in a Civil as well as Criminal Case. Palm. 380.

After their Departure they may desire to hear one of the Witnesses 2 Hal. Hist. again, and it shall be granted, so he deliver his Testimony in (b) open P. C. 296. Court; and also they may desire to propound Questions to the Court, in a Civil for their Satisfaction, and it shall be granted, so it be in open Court.

Case, where

withdrew to confer about their Verdia, one of the Witnesses, that was before sworn, on the Part of the Defendant, was called by the Jurors, and he recited again his Evidence to them, and they gave their Verdiat for the Defendant; and Complaint being made to the Judge of Assis of this Misdemeanor, he examined the Jury, who confessed all the Matter, and that the Evidence was the same in Esseat that was given before, & non alia nee diversa; and this Matter being returned upon the Postea, the Opinion of the Court was, that the Verdiat was not good, and a Venire fac. de novo was awarded. Cro. Eliz. 189. Metcalsse and Dean.

The Jury must be kept together without Meat, Drink, Pire or Candle, Co.Lit. 227, b. 2 Hall. Hist. P. C. 297.

So in an inferior Court, if the Jury will not agree on their Verdict, 1 Salk 201. the way is, as in other Courts, to keep them without Meat, Drink, Fire Eurefl. 1. or Candle, till they agree; and the Steward may from Time to Time adjourn the Court till fuch Agreement.

If they agree not before the Departure of the Justices of Gaol-Delivery into another County, the Sheriff must send them along in Carts, 2 Hall Hist.
and the Judge may take and record their Verdict in a foreign County.

P. C. 297.
But it is

made a Quere, whether in such Cases the Session may be adjourned before the Verdict takens

If there be eleven agreed, and but one diffenting, who fays he will a Hall High rather die in Prison, yet the Verdict shall not be taken by eleven, no nor Proceeding yet the Resuser since or imprisoned; and therefore where such a Verdict was taken by eleven, and the twelfth fined and imprisoned, it was, upon great Advice, ruled the Verdict was void, and the twelsth Man delivered, and a new Venire awarded; for Men are not forced to give their Verdict against their Judgment.

If the Jury say they are agreed, the Court may examine them by 2 Hal. Hift. Poll; and if in Truth they are not agreed, they are fineable.

P. C. 299.

It feems to have been anciently an uncontroverted Rule, and hath 2Hawk. P. C. been allowed even by those of the contrary Opinion, to have been the 439 and segeneral Tradition of the Law, that a Jury sworn and charged in a veral Authorities there Capital Case cannot be discharged (without the Prisoner's Consent) till eited; they have given a Verdict; and notwithstanding some Authorities to the vide 2 Hall contrary in the Reign of King Charles the Second, this hath been hol- II st. P. C. den for clear Law, both in the Reign of King James the Second, and 294-5. since the Revolution.

Vol. III. 3 Z. (H) In

#### (H) In What Cases and in What Hanner to have a Uiew.

2 Rol. Abr. 725. Tit. View. 2 Inst. 480. Bro. Tit. View. Fitz. Tit. View.

T Common Law, in (a) most Real Actions, after the Demandant A had counted, the Tenant might have demanded the (b) View of the Land; or if it were a Rent, or other Thing, View of the Land out of which it issued; and this was, that Things might be reduced to a greater Certainty; but because this was used often by the Tenant for Delay, and thereby the Demandant greatly prejudiced,

(a) But it is faid, that at Common Law View did not lie in a Writ of Dower unde nihil habet, Intruston, Breve d'entry en le quibus, Nuper obiit, Rationabili Parte. 2 Rol. Abr. 725. Booth, Real Astions, 38. (b) That there are two Sorts of Views in Real Astions; 1. View by the Party. 2. View by the Jurors, as in an Affise of Novel Diffessin, Waste, Assis of Nusance, the Party shall not have View, because the Jurors shall have View. Booth 38.

(b) 13 E. I. cap. 28.

By (b) Westm. 2. cap. 48. it is ordained and provided, 6 That from thenceforth View shall not be granted but in case when View of Land is necessary; and if one lose Land by Default, and he that loseth 6 moveth a Writ to demand the same Land, and in case when one by an Exception dilatory abateth a Writ after View of the Land, as by Nontenure, or Misnaming of the Town, or such like, if he purchase another Writ, in this Case, and in the Case before-mentioned, from henceforth, the View shall not be granted, if he had View in the first Writ; in a Writ of Dower, where the Dower in Demand is of Land, that the Husband aliened to the Tenant, or his Ancestors, where the Tee nant ought not to be ignorant what Land the Husband did alien to 6 him or his Ancestor, tho' the Husband died not seised, yet from henceforth View shall not be granted to the Tenant. In a Writ of Entry also that is abated, because the Demandant misnamed the Entry; if the Demandant purchase another Writ of Entry, if the Tenant had 6 View in the first Writ, he shall not have it in the second. In all Writs also where Lands be demanded, by reason of a Lease made by the Demandant, or his Ancestor, unto the Tenant, and not to his Anceftor; as that which he leafed to him, being within Age, not whole of 6 Mind, being in Prison, and such like, View shall not be granted hereafter; but if the Demise were made to his Ancestor, the View shall Lie as it hath done before.

Booth 37. 2 Rol. Abr. 726.

Since this Statute, the Demandant, as to any of the Cases within the Statute, may counterplead the View, i. e. alledge Matter in Pleading which oufts him of View; as where he that loseth Land by Default brings a Quod ei deforciat for the Recovery of it, the Tenant shall not have View, because he is well enough ascertained of the Land by the former Record; fo where View was had in a former Writ, and that Writ was abated after View for some Mistake that appeared upon the View, as Non-tenure, Misnaming of the Town; so in Dower, when it is brought against the same Tenant that purchased the Land of the Husband; so if the Husband died seised, it is a good (c) Counterplea of View in Dower.

(c) For this vide Dower, Letter (I).

2 Sand. 254. (d) Where-

ever the

Plaintiff is

to recover

In an Action of Waste, in which it was agreed, that a View should have been awarded, and that fix, at (d) leaft, of the Jurors should have viewed the Place, it was refolved, that if a View be awarded, tho' not returned by the Officer, and the Trial goes on, and a Verdict had, that per visum Ju- the Omission of the Ossicer in not Returning the View is not Error; for

ratorum, there ought to be fix of the Jury that have had the View, or know the Land in Question, so as to be able to put the Plaintiff in Possession, if he recover. Co. Lit. 158. b. it it was the Duty of the Court to examine whether the Jury had a View or not; and if they found they had not, the Trial ought to have been

So in an Affife in which it was likewife agreed, that a View was requi- 2Sam/254 5. fite in the same Manner, if the Officer does not return the View, it is not Error; for the Words of the Writ are, & interim videant, and not & interim haberi fae' Visum; so that the Jurors might have had the View when the Officer was not prefent; and if it were otherwise, the Party might have challenged the Jury for this Cause; and tho' the Officer had returned, that the Jurors had had the View, yet if upon Examination in Court it appeared otherwife, the Parties could not be concluded by fuch Return.

If the Court make a Rule, that the Jury shall have a View, and that Palm 369. they shall not hear any Evidence therenpon, and they notwithstanding hear Evidence, this is a good Caufe of Challenge, and likewife a Milde-

meanor, for which, it is faid, they may be punished by the Court.

In an Action of Waste it was agreed; 1. That if fix of the Jury are Gold 2009. examined on a Voir dire, if they have feen the Place wasted, that it is fusit- Sin John Gaze cient, and the rest of the Jury need not be examined upon a Voir dire, but versus Smith; only to the Principal. 2. It was agreed, if the Jury be fworn that they 1558. know the Place, it is fufficient, altho' they be not fworn that they faw it; 1 Leon. 259. and altho' that the Place wasted be shewed to the Jury by the Plaintiff's Servants, yet if it be by Command of the Sheriff, it is as sufficient as if the same had been shewn them by the Sheriff himself.

At the Trial of a Cause, for Want of a full Jury upon the principal 2 Salk 665. Panel, some Talesmen were sworn, and had the View, but the Distringus was returnable as an original Differingas, and so many of the original Panel left out who were not at the View; of which the Defendant complained, and would have fet aside the Trial for Irregularity; but because no Venire appeared to the Court, and the Matter stood upon Record as an original Trial, and the Want of a Venire was helped by Verdict, and because the Cause was tried by those that were fittest, viz. those who had the View, the Court would do nothing in it.

But it was ordered, that for the future, when in order to a View the 2 Salk. 665. last Juror is (a) withdrawn, the Plaintiff shall take out a new Diffringas, (a) At the amoto the last Man of the Panel, to distrain the other twenty-three, with View is de-

an Apponas etiam decem Tales.

must be after

the Jury is sworn, and then by Consent a Juror may be withdrawn. 6 Mod. 211. - & Holt C. J. 10 may be without Consent; and notwithstanding such View, a Juror may be challenged when he comes to be fworn. 6 Mod. 211.

It is faid, that before the Court makes a Rule for a View, the Venire 2 Salk. 665. Facias must be (b) returned; and then the Court may make a Rule, that per Holt C. J. fo many of the Panel shall view the Premisses. so many of the Panel shall view the Premisses. Jury is never ordered to

view before their Appearance, unless in an Assife. 1 Mod. 41.

A View is grantable in fuch Cases where the Title is in Question; and 2 Salk. 665. in fuch Cases it may be granted on Motion, on a bare Suggestion, without any Affidavit.

And to this Purpose it is Enacted by 4 & 5 Annæ, cap. 16. That in any Actions brought in any of her Majesty's Courts of Record in " Westminster, where it shall appear to the Courts in which such Actions are depending, that it will be proper and necessary that the Jurors

who are to try the Issues in any such Actions should have the View of 6 the Messuages, Lands, or Place in Question, in order to their better understanding the Evidence that will be given on the Trial of such

Issues, in every such Case, the respective Courts in which such Actions

fhall be depending may order special Writs of Distringas or Habeas · Corpora to iffue, by which the Sheriff, or fuch other Officer, to whom the

faid Writs shall be directed, shall be commanded to have fix out of the

first twelve of the Jurors named in such Writs, or some greater Number of them, at the Place in Question some convenient Time before the

'Trial, who then and there shall have the Matters in Question shewn to

them by two Persons in the said Writs named, to be appointed by the

Court, and the faid Sheriff, or other Officer who is to execute the faid

Writs, shall, by a special Return on the same, certify that the View

hath been had according to the Command of the faid Writs.

And by the 3 Georg. 2. cap. 25. a Provision is made for a View, in the following Words; 'That where a View shall be allowed in any Cause, that in such Case six of the Jurors named in such Panel, or more, who shall be mutually consented to by the Parties or their Agents on both

Sides, or, if they cannot agree, shall be named by the proper Officer

of the respective Courts of King's Bench, Common Pleas, or Exchequer at Westminster, or the Grand Sessions in Wales, and the Counties

Palatine, for the Causes in their respective Courts, or, if Need be, by a Judge of the respective Courts where the Cause is depending, or by

the Judge or Judges before whom the Cause shall be brought on to 'Trial respectively, shall have the View, and shall be first sworn, or

fuch of them as appear upon the Jury, to try the faid Cause, before any

Drawing as aforefaid; and fo many only shall be drawn, to be added to the Viewers who appear, as shall, after all Defaulters and Challenges

allowed, make up the Number of twelve to be sworn for the Trial of

6 fuch Cause.

#### (1) What Irregularities and Defeas in cons vening, or in the Qualifications of the Aurois are amendable, and aided Merdict.

Jeofail.

Vide Tit. A- ERE we may lay it down in general, that by the express Words mendment and and Intent of the several Statutes of Jeofail and Amendments all Irregularities as to the Number, Qualifications, and Returns of the Jurors are aided after Verdict, so that the Venire be of the same Place, and in the same Action, and between the same Parties.

Where the Want of a one, and where a vicious one shall be taken

So if there be no Venire Facias, or if there be fuch a Fault in the Venire as makes it a perfect Nullity, fo that it has no Relation to the Cause, yet senire, Di-stringas, &c. if there be a good Distringas, that being one of the Jury Process, the is aided, but Omission of the former is cured; for the Omission of any judicial Writ is not a vicious aided by the Statutes, and a Venire, that is a Nullity, and has no Relation to the Caufe, is as if there had not been any, and so of a Difiring as where there is a proper Venire.

as none, vide Cro. Eliz. 483. Owen 59 Moor 465. Noy 57. Moor 684. pl. 535. 623. pl. 852. 696. pl. 967. Gcab. 194. 1 Leon 329. 1 Bulf. 130. 3 Bulf. 180 1 Brownl. 78. 97. Yelv. 69. 1 Rol. Rep. 22. 1 Jon. 304. Latch 116. Yelv. 109.

I Kol. Abr. 201. Moor 599.

So if the Award of a Venire Facias upon the Roll be well, and the Writ of Venire Facias wrong, yet this shall be amended by the Roll, being

being the (a) Warrant of the Writ, which is the Act of the Court, and (a) So where the Default is only the Mistake of the Clerk. upon theRoli

was in a Cause against two Desendants, but the Venire against one, and amended. 3 Buly. 511. — & vide Winch 73. Cro. Fac. 78. — But if by the Roll the Venire be awarded de Vicineto of the right Place, but the Venire i self is of a Wrong, and thereupon a Jury is returned, and tries the Cause, it shall not be amended; for it appears, that the Trial was not had by such a Jury as the Rell and Law require.

Hob. 76. & vide Lit. Rep. 253. — So if there be no Place on the Roll to warrant the Venire. Lath 194. - Also in Criminal Cases, to which the Statutes of Amendment do not extend, the Venire's omitting any of the Parties is Error. 2 Hawk. P. C. 299.

So if the Writ of Venire Facias out of the King's Bench be Venire Fit- Cro. Eliz. 467. cias 12 Lileros & Legales Homines coram nobis apud Westmonasterium ubi- Noy 57. cunque suerimus in Anglia; but the Roll is well, (the Words apud Westmonasterium being omitted therein,) this being in B. R. the Writ shall be amended by the Roll; for this is but Matter of Form.

If the Return of the Venire be mistaken, this may be amended by the Yelv 64. Roll, and if the Teste of the Venire be out of Term, or Lefore Plea Moor 699, pleaded, it is no Error; for the Teste of Judicial Writs being only Matter Civ. Car. 9. of Form, if mistaken, shall not vitiate, since they have the proper Judges of the Fact by fuch Process.

Therefore it a Venire Facias be dated 7 July, and made returnable Cro. Eliz. 203, 6 July, a Day before the Date of the Writ, this after Verdict is amend
Cro. Car. 38. able, because a Judicial Process, and the Default of the Clerk.

Moor 465. So if a Venire Facias be awarded upon the Roll, to be returned Osfabis Cro. Car. 38. Trinitatis, and the Writ is made returnable fix Days after, scilicet, a Day Let. Rep. 54. out of Term, but the Distringas is well without any Fault, and after the Cro. Jac. 64.

Jury impanelled find for the Plaintiff, this Writ of Venire Facias shall be Moor 696. amended by the Roll; for this was the Default of the Clerk only; for the 967. 711. the Roll is the Warrant of the Writ.

The Award of the Venire must be to a Day in the same Term, or to Moor 465. the next Term, but it must be in Term, otherwise it is erroneous; be- pl. 657. cause this is not such (b) a Discontinuance as is aided by the Statute, (b) Venire refince it is an Error in the Court by awarding the Process, which makes it turnable on utterly incertain when or where the Parties should appear to receive fanuary, and Judgment, and it is an Act of the Court, which is erroneous, and not a Diffringas Missentry of the Clerk, which the Statutes do not intend to aid. telled on the 24th, held a

Discontinuance, and that being in a Criminal Case, not amendable. 1 Eust. 141, 142. Telv. 204. Cro. Fac 283. 6 Mod. 281. 1 Salk 51.

If the Place be totally (c) misawarded, this is not helped by any Sta-(c) Where tute, because they have not the proper Judices Fasi, unless they have Mistrials by the Venue not them from the Place where the Fact arises; but if it is only misawarded in being award-Part, this is helped by the express Words of (d) 21 Jac. 1. cap. 13. because ed of a right it is supposed that the Persons that were near any Part of the Place might Place, were not aided by know the Fact in Issue between the Parties; and by the Statute of (e) 16 not sided by any of the E 17 Car. 2. cap. 8. the Want of a right Venue is aided, so as the Trial was Statutes of by a Jury of the proper County or Place where the Action is laid.

Amendment before 21

Fac. 1. vide Cro. Eliz. 468. Goulf. 38, 47. Winch 69. 4 Leon. 84. Cro. Fac. 647. Moor 91. 11. 212. Lit. Rep. 365. Kelw. 212. 5 Co. 36. (d) For this vide Cro. Car. 17, 162, 284, 480. 1 Fon. 395. Styl. 201, 206. Raym. 67. — That this Statute aids not unless the Venue arises from several Places, and one of those Places is truly named. 1 Sid. 20. — But if it arise from several Places, tho' in several Counties, and it is tried by one only, it is helped. 2 Lev. 122. per Hale. — By the Opinion of the greater Part of the Judges, where by particular Custom a Trial was to be de Vicineto of the four Wards next adjoining, and the Venire is awarded de Vicineto of two of them only, it is helped by the Statute. 2 Sand. 258. But Sanders dubitavit, whether it should extend to aid any Proceedings except such which were according to the Courte of the Common Law. (e) That this Statute does not extend to any Trial in an improper County, 1 Med. 37, 199. 2 Mod. 24. — But for the Exposition of this Statute as to this Point, vide 1 Lev. 207. 1 Sid. 326. 2 Lev. 122, 164. 1 Sand. 247. Raym. 181, 392. 1 Vent. 263, 272 2 Keb. 496. 2 Fon. Sz.

Ιť

Y . 169.

If there be a Blank left for the County to the Sheriff whereof the Writ should be awarded, yet it will be amended, because it cannot be awarded to the Sheriff of any other County, and therefore it is the Omission of the Officer in entering the Award of the Court; but if there were a local Plea into another County, fo that there are two Countics mentioned in the Pleadings, there the Blank cannot be amended, because there is originally no Award of the Court to whom the Process shall go; but where the Cro Eliz 261. Plea carries the Matter into another County, there the Venire must be from the last Place, because the Declaration by such Plea stands confessed.

46S. 1 Rol. Abr. 205. Child and

Sloper. Cro. Car. 595. S. C. Yelv. 64. S. P. cited.

After Issue joined, if upon the Roll a Venire Facias be awarded to the Sheriff of the County of Somerset, &c. and upon this a Venire Facias is made in this Manner, Carolus Dei Gratia Somerset salutem, &c. leaving out the Word (Vicecomiti); and upon this the Sheriff of Somerfet returns a Jury, and upon this a Verdict, &c. this shall be amended by the Roll, because this was the Fault of the Clerk meerly, having the Roll before him when he made the Writ, by which he was directed to direct the Writ to the Sheriff of Somerset.

If the Court on an infufficient Suggestion awards the Process to an improper Officer, yet this is aided after Verdict; for that only makes an Infufficiency in the Return of the Jury, and infufficient Returns are aided; (a) But where for it was the Defign of the (a) Statute, that if the Cause was tried by a

right Jury, that it should not be material what Officer got them together. before the Statute of

21 Jac. 1. the Award of a Venire to a wrong Officer, and his Return thereupon, was Error, vide 1 Brownl. 134. Cro. Eliz. 574, 586. Moor 356. pl. 482. Yelv. 15. 5 Co. 36. b.

But if on a Suggestion on the Roll Process be awarded to the Coroner, Cro. Eliz. 181. 674, 586. and the Sheriff returns either the Panel or Tales, it is faid to be erroneous, because not collected by the proper Officer, and therefore they are not the Judices Facti of that Cause, and it appears on the Record that the Return is otherwise than the Court hath directed.

1 Salk. 265. But the latest Resolution is, that the Returns of Ministerial Officers Andrews ver. Lynton.

are to be challenged at the Day of the Return; for if the Court then admits them to be their Officers, and the Parties do not except against them, they are concluded, fince the proper Judices Facti are admitted by them to be returned.

Cro. Fac. 383. If a Venire is awarded to the Coroners, and returned by two of them Hob. 70. only, whereas at the Time of the Award and Return thereof there were Lamb and two more, this is only a Misreturn, and aided. Wifeman, adjudged.

Hob. 70. But it is faid, that if one Sheriff of (b) London makes a Return without (b) In an Action, if the other, this is not helped, being no Return at all; for they make but Venire Facias one Officer, and the Court knows that one Sheriff there is two Persons. be Vicecomiti

London', falutem, &c. Precipimus tibi quot, &c. where it should be Precipimus vobis, after Verdict this shall be amended; for it is the Default of the Clerk. Owen 62. Cro. Eliz. 543. 1 Rol. Abr. 200.

If upon the Return of the Habeas Corpora the Surname of the Sheriff be Hob. 113. I Rol. Abr. omitted, as where his Name is Bartholomæus Michel, and it is returned Cro. Eliz. 310. Bartholomæus Miles, Sheriff, this shall be amended.

3 Bulf. 220. It was held, that if before the Statute of 21 Jac. 1. cap. 13. the Sheriff Cro. fac. 528. did not return the Writ of Venire, nor set his Name on the Back thereof, Noy 115. or omitted inserting quod Executio istius Brevis patet in quodam Panello Cro. Eliz. 587. buic Brevi annexo, but it was album Breve, it could not be amended upon 1 Brown! 43. Examination of the Sheriff, being the (c) principal Process; but this is (c) But even

before the Statute 21 Fac. 1. it was held, that the Venire being well returned, tho' the Issue be tried on the Habeas Corpora or Distringus, which are not returned, or irregularly returned, in Manner aforesaid, the Venire being the principal Process, and right, the others should be amended. Meor 868. pl. 1203. Hob. 130. Yelv. 110. Cro. Jac. 158, 443. Cro. Eliz. 466. 704. 2 Rol. Rep. 111, 210.

now helped by that Statute, so that a Panel of the Jurors be returned and annexed to the Writ.

If the Sheriff that returns his Venire be discharged before the Teste of Cro. Car. 421. the Venire, it is Error, and shall be tried by the Record of his Discharge; because if the legal Officer did not return the Writ, the proper Judices

Facti did not try the Cause, and so the Verdict is ill.

But if he be Sheriff at the Time of the Award of the Venire, and after Cro. Eliz. 369. his Discharge he returns the Panel to the Venire, this is no (a) principal Hore and Cause of Challenge; for the Sheriff having returned the Nomina Jurat (a) But this to the Court above on the Venire, on which they have awarded a Distrin-may be challenged. gas with a Nisi Prius, the Sufficiency of that Return is not to be contro-lenged for verted before the Judge of Nisi Prius, but above, fince the Judges of Favour, and the Illegality Nisi Prius are bound down by a Record of a superior Court, on whose of the Offi-Records it appears he is Sheriff.

cer will be admitted as

strong Evidence of a Partial Array, since a Person who had nothing to do with the Return has intermeddled therewith; and accordingly the Array in this Cafe was challenged for Favour, and the Array quashed.

The Jury must come in the same Action, and between the same Par- Cro. Car 32. ties, otherwise they are not Judges in that Cause; therefore in Eject- Htt. 8t. ment where the Venire was de Placito Transgressionis, omitting & Ejection, I fon. 302. firmæ, the Court held the Venire to be ill, because it was not in the same Cro. fac. 528. Action; for an Action of Trespass and Ejectment are different, and Cro. Eliz. 259. there might be an Action of Trespass between the same Parties; but if the Distringus had been right, they would have adjudged this Venire to be null, and the Want of a Venire is aided by the Statute.

If in an Action of Trespass Issue is joined between the Plaintiff and Cro Car. 426. two Defendants, and one dies, and the Venire is awarded between the 1 fon. 367. Plaintiff and both Defendants after such Defendant's Death, and Verdict Fenten. is taken for the Plaintiff, and the Death suggested on the Roll, and Judgment against the Survivor, the Venire being only a Judicial Process, and purfuing the Award on the Roll, it plainly appears to be the fame Cause, and that the Trial was had by proper Judges, and Judgment being given against the Defendant, who is charged with the whole Action, is good.

If the Jurata mentions the Issue to be de Placito Transgressionis, where Cro Car. 275. the Action is Debt, and the Award of the Venire and Distringus Debt, this shall be amended; for the Jurata is an Award of the Dissiringus, in Pursuance of the Award of the Venire, and the Venire being right, the (b) secondary Process ought to be made accordingly, and there is a suffi-Award on client Authority by the Writ of Dissiringus for the Judge of Assis to try the Roll bethe Caufe.

shall amend

the Venire, and the Venire being right shall amend the Distringas, which is the proper Process for convening the Jurors in the King's Bench; so of the Habeas Corpora, which is the Common Pleas Process. Lit. Rep. 252, 253. — Also if a Distringas is awarded where it should be a Habeas Corpora, this is aided.

So if the Sheriff return Nomina Jurat' inter Partes prædict' de Placito Cro. Car. 275. Transgressionis, where the Venire is de Placito Debit, this shall be amended; 2 Rol. Abr. for in Dorso Brevis he fays, Executio istius Brevis patet, &c. which could 202. not be, if it was not in the same Action.

If the Day when, and Place where the Affise was to be held, is not men- 3 Ated 78. tioned in the Distringues, it shall be amended by the Roll; for if there Jackson and had been no Distringus, the Trial had been good, because the Jurata is the Warren.

Warrant to try the Cause, and that was right.

In Ejectment against seven Defendants, who entered into the common 1 Salk 48. Rule, and pleaded to Issue, the Plea Roll, Venire, Distringus, and Jurata were right, but the Issue on the Niss Prins Roll was between the Plaintiff and five Defendants only; after Verdict for the Plaintiff this was amended;

for the Leffor's Title was the Gift of the Action, and the only Thing

inquirable of by the Jury.

If the (a) Number or (b) Qualifications of the Jury, as has been faid, nive Fix us be be omitted, it may be amended; for it is but Form to award the particular Number and Qualifications in each Roll, which is directed by the without these Law in all Cases.

Words, Nomona Juratorum, this will be aided after Verdict, being a Judicial Writ; the objected, that these Words were of Necessity, and without which the Court could not know who are the Jurors, nor whom to demand to be sworn. 3 Bulf 208, 1 Rol. Abr. 200, 204. Cro. Eliz. 467. Moor 465, 657. Noy 57. 2 Brownl. 167. — So if the Word duodecim be lest out of the Venire Facias, this shall be amended after Verdict. 1 Rol. Abr. 204. (b) If a Venire Facias be quorum quilibet quatuor Libras Terra, omitting the Word babeat, this shall be amended after Verdict. I Rol. Abr. 204. — So if the Words quorum quilibet are omitted out of the Venire Facias, it shall be amended after Verdict. I Rol. Abr. 204. — So if the Words qui nulla Affinitate attingunt are left out of the Venire Facias, it shall be amended. 1 Rel. Abr. 204.

Divertity, where the Surname is mistaken. vide Cro. Eliz.

The Nomina Juratorum on the Venire are the proper Parties to try the (c) For the Action; and if there be a Mistake in the (c) Christian Name, it is incurable; for the Statute does not extend to it, but it extends to cure Surnames and Additions; for there can be but one Name of Baptism, Christian and but there may be various Surnames and Additions; and therefore if it can be proved what Person the Sheriff meant by his Surname or Addition, it may be amended and fet right.

57, 222. Cro. Car. 203. Cro. Jac. 116.

1 Rol. Abr. 196, 197. Bulf. 18. Hob. 64. 1 Brownl. 174.

Also if the Names of either Christian or Surname be wrong in the Body of the Distringus, or in the Panel returned, or in the Panel of the Jury fworn, yet if it can be proved to be the same Man that was intended to be returned in the Venire, having there his right Christian Name, he is the proper Judex Facti, and it may be amended by the Statute.

1 Rol. Abr. 196, & vide pofe.

As if Tippett be returned in the Venire Facias, and in the Habeas Corpora and Distringus Juratores he is named Typper, yet if his true Name 1 Dan 330-1. feveral Cases be Tippett according to the Venire Facias, and Tippet is sworn, and tries to this Pur- the Issue, it shall be amended.

1 Fon 302. Fines and North. Cro. Fac. 278.

If the Sheriff returns but twenty-three on the Venire, and twenty-four on the Habeas Corpora, and the twenty-fourth omitted on the Venire appears, and is fworn, the Verdict is ill, because he is not returned accord-S.C. adjudg. ing to the Award of the Court, in Pursuance of the Venire, and therefore has no Authority to try the Cause; for the Award to distrain one not fummoned is void, and he is not returned of the Tales de Circumstantibus, fo that he is not a proper. Juror by the Writ nor Statute.

So if twenty-five are returned, and the twenty-fifth is sworn, and tries Cro. Fac 647. the Cause, it is not helped.

Cro. Car. 223. But if the twenty-fourth Man had not been of the twelve that tried 278. the Issue, it would be aided by the Statute; or if the Trial had been by 5 Co. 36. b. eleven of the twenty-three, and one of the Tales de Circumstantibus, it Cro.Eliz 194, had been good.

1 Brownl. 274. 1 Jon. 357. 1 Sid. 66. Latch 54.

# (K) What Irregularities or Defects in consuming, or in the Qualifications of the Juscops, are aided by Consent.

ERE we may lay it down as a general Rule, that all Defects in Co.Lit. 125. b. convening, or in the Qualifications of the Jurors, are aided by Confort of the Parties; for the Rule herein is, that omnis Confensus tollit Er-

Therefore if a Venire Facias be awarded to the Coroners, where it 5 Co. 36. b. ought to be to the Sheriff, or the Visne cometh out of a wrong Place, if Co.Lit. 125. b. It be per Affensium Partium, and so entered of Record, it will stand Godb. 428.

Now 107.

One of the Jury, after he had been sworn, and after he had heard Part Palm. 411. of the Evidence, fell sick, and another being sworn in his Place by Con-

fent of Plaintiff and Defendant, it was held a good Verdict.

#### (L) When and by whom to be paid.

JURORS in all Civil Causes are to be paid for their Trouble and Carth. 242.

Attendance, and the (a) Quantum is to be proportioned according to (a) That in the Distance of Place, Badness of the Weather, &c. but if they take Strictness on any Money, or other Reward, for giving a Verdict, they are not only punishable at Common Law by Fine and Imprisonment, but to a Decies in the same tantum given by the Statute of 38 E. 3. cap. 12. i. c. a Forseiture of ten County, they times as much as he hath taken.

and to 5 l. on a Trial at Bar, where they came out of a foreign County. Trials per Pais 62, 216.

But if some of the Jurors appear, and the Trial goes off pro Defettu <sup>2</sup> Lil. Reg. Juratorum, those who appeared are not to be paid; for no Body has <sup>125</sup> received any Benefit from their Attendance, and consequently not obliged to make them any Recompence.

But where a Cause was appointed for Trial at the Bar of B. R. by a <sup>2</sup> Show, 24<sup>S</sup>. Jury of Wilts, and a Venire returned, and the Jury summoned, but before the Day the Parties agreed, and the Summons not being countermanded, several of the Jury appeared; and it was ordered on Motion, that the Attornies on both Sides should pay them.

So if the Jury find a special Verdict, the Charges of the Jury shall be 2 Leon. 174-5.

equally born by both Parties.

#### (M) For what Misdemeanors punishable: And herein,

#### 1. Where punishable by Attaint.

Glan. lib. 8. сар. 9. 2 Inft. 130. Co. Lit. 394.

THE Jury when impanelled judged under the Penalty of an Attaint by the old Law, which was the only Curb they had over Juries; but this Method, from the Difficulty of attainting the Jury, and Severity of the Punishment, has been seldom used of late, and the Practice of granting new Trials, where the Jury find against Evidence and the Direction of the Court, introduced in the Room thereof; but fince the Attaint is only difused, and not taken away, we shall here set down the most

confiderable Matters relating thereto.

Rol. Abr. 285. Bro. Attaint 87. Dyer 369. Godb. 271. Hob. 227. the Plaintiff in Attaint may have an Answer disprove it as well as he

But herein, first, we must observe, that the Judgment in Attaint being fo severe, all manner of Evidence was admitted in Support of the Verdict; but against the Verdict they admitted none that was not given at Dyer 53 pl. 14. the former Trial; because the Jury might give in their Verdict, not only on the Evidence given in Court, but on their own Knowledge; and therefore (a) whatever otherwise they came to the Knowledge of, they might (a) But then give in Evidence for the Support of their Verdict; but the Evidence not offered on the Trial can never be brought against them, because such Evidence might have altered their Judgment, had it been given; and the Want of that Light, which the Party neglected to offer, cannot convict thereto, and them of a Falsity, which, if it had been offered, might have founded a different Verdict.

can; but he cannot give other Evidence, nor inforce the first Evidence with more Matter than was given and disclosed before. Dyer 212. pl. 34.

1 Rol. Abr. 281, 282.

The Jury may be attainted two Ways; 1st, Where they find contrary to Evidence. 2dly, When they find out of the Compass of the Allegata: But to attaint them for finding contrary to Evidence is not so easy, bccause they may have Evidence of their own Conuzance of the Matter before them, or they may find on (b) Distrust of the Witnesses, on their

the Evidence (c) own proper Knowledge. of a Witness

is false in an immaterial Part, the Jury need not give him Credit in any other Part. Cro. Eliz. 310. (c) If a Jury give a Verdict on their own Knowledge, they ought to tell the Court so; but they may be sworn as Witnesses; and the fair Way is to tell the Court, before they are sworn, that they have Evidence to give. 1 Salk 495.

1 Rol. Abr. 282.

But if they find upon Evidence that does not prove the Allegata, there it is easy to subject them to an Attaint, because it is manifest that what is fo found is on Evidence not corresponding to their Issue; and hence it is necessary that the Matters in Issue should be set forth with all convenient Certainty, that it may be feen how far and when the Jury are mistaken; as in Trespass, the Quantity and Value of the Thing demanded must be so conveniently described, that if the Jury find Damages beyond fuch Quantity and Value, it may be apparently excessive, and they subject to the Attaint; and so on special Contracts they must be set forth so precifely, that if Evidence be given of another Contract, and not that in the Allegations, and yet the Jury find for the Plaintiff, they may be subject to an Attaint.

An Attaint does not lie in a Criminal Case, as it does in a Civil; and Vaugh. 146. I Hawk. P.C. the Reason of the Difference, according to Hawkins, is, that in the last 191. -- But by Hal Hift.

P C. 310. the King may have an Attaint; for altho' a Man convicted upon an Indictment can have no Attaint, because the Guile is affirmed by two Inquest; the Grand Inquest that prefent the Offence

Cafe a Man's Property only is brought into Question a second Time, and on their not his Liberty or Life; also, says he, it may be generally prefumed Oaths, and that a Jury is likely to be equally influenced with the Fear of an Attaint Jury that from either of the contending Parties; whereas if any fuch Examinations agrees with of their Proceedings were allowed in Criminal Causes, they might be often them; yet in great Danger of one Side, by incurring the Resentment of a powerful where the Profescurer, and provoking him to call their Conduct in Question, for their Petit, Jury Profecutor, and provoking him to call their Conduct in Question, for their acquits, they supposed Partiality; but they could have little to fear from an injured stand as a Criminal, who would feldom be in Circumstances to make his Profecu-fingle Vertion formidable.

dia; for they difaf-

firm what the Grand Inquest of twelve Men have upon their Oaths presented.

Where the King is fole Party against the Subject, and the Jury find 4 Leon. 46 for the King, no Attaint lies; but it is otherwise where the Suit is tam But for this vide Cro. El.z. pro Domino Rege quam pro seipso.

309. 2 Fon. 14.

No Attaint lies upon an Inquest of Office; therefore if a Recovery be Co. Lit. 355. b. in a Quare Impedit by Default, and a Writ issues to the Sheriff to (a) in- Vaugh. 153. quire of the Damages and Plenarty, no Attaint lies upon this Inquest; 11 Co. 6 a. for it is but an Inquest of Office.

veral Year-

Books there cited to Co. 119. S. P. (a) Therefore where the Matter omitted to be inquired by the principal Jury is such as goes to the very Point of the Issue, and upon which, it is be found by the Jury, an Actaint will lie against them by the Party, if they have given a false Verdict, there such Matter cannot be supplied by a Writ of Irquiry, because thereby the Plaintiff may lose his Action of Attaint, which will not lie upon an Inquest of Office. Carth. 362.

But if the Inquiry be by the same Inquest that inquired of the Issue in 1Rol. Abr. 280. 10 Co. 119. the Quare Impedit, an Attaint lies.

So in an Affife, if they are at Issue upon the Plea in Bar, and that is Fitz. Attaint found for the Plaintiff, and it is inquired over of the Seisin and Diffeisin, 15. if the Diffeifin be found by a false Verdict, an Attaint lies thereupon.

10 Co. 119.

In an Action against Tenant in Tail, if he makes Default, and he in I Rol. Abr. the Reversion prays to be received, supposing him to be Tenant for Life, 280. which is counterpleaded, upon which they are at Issue, and it is found against him in Reversion, and the same Inquest taxes the Damages against the Lessee, no Attaint lies upon this Verdict; because the Judgment against the Lessee is given upon the Default; and so this is but an Inquest of Office for the Damages.

An Attaint lies upon a Verdict before the Sheriff in a Writ of Inquiry Co. Lit. 355. a. of Waste, because by the Statute the Sheriff is made Judge in this Case. 1Rol Abr. 280.

No Attaint lies upon a Verdict given by twenty-four Jurors, nor does 2 Rol. Abr. it lie upon a Verdict given in an Attaint for the Thing of which the Jury 280. is attainted; but if they find any collateral Matter preter the Attaint, it lies thereupon, and they shall be attainted.

In a Writ of (b) Right, if the Grand Affife be taken upon the meer 12 H. 6. 6 Right, no Attaint lies thereupon; but if the Issue be taken upon a colla- (b) Whether an Attaint teral Matter, and not upon the meer Right, an Attaint lies thereof.

lay in a Plea real because

he might have fallisted in an Action of an higher Nature, vide 2 Inst. 237.

If a Deed with Witnesses be pleaded, and the Inquest passes in the 1.R.L. Also Affirmative, no Attaint lies thereof; because the Witnesses have adjudged 280 this to be true; but otherwise it is if it passes in the Negative, and Discounting the Lie Co. Lit. 6. L. affirmance of the Deed; (c) for the Witnesses ought to testify nothing S.P. because but what they fee or hear.

fy a Newvive, but an Affirmative. (c) An Attaint does not lie for not finding a Divorce, Lecaute that does not lie in their Conuzance, being a Record. 1 Rd Abs. 281. - If the Jury find a special Matter which is not Part of their Charge, nor pertinent to the Issue, no Attaint lies for this 11 Co 13

Where it lies for finding falfly a Matter of Form only, the principal Matter being true. Kella 52

284.

90.

\* 1 Co. 5. b

don's Case.

10 Co. 119.

Hob 66.

S. P.

282.

283.

283.

11 H 4.30.

1 Rol. Abr.

#### Juries.

In an Affise, if the Jury find a Special Verdict, and refer it to the 43 Aff. 41. Bro. Attaint Court, whether upon the Matter the Tenant be a Diffeifor, and upon Cro. Eliz. 309. the Matter the Court adjudge him to be a Disseisor, tho' in Law he be S.P. per Cur. no Disseisor, yet no Attaint lies against the Jury, (a) because it is not (a) But fol- their Fault, but the Fault of the Court. lowing the

Direction of the Court will not bar an Attaint; for if the Judge declares the Law to the Jury erroneously, and they find accordingly, tho' this may excuse them from the Forseitures, yet how-ever upon the Attaint the Judgment is to be reversed, and a Man shall not lose his Right by the Judge's Mistake of the Law. Vaugh. 145.

I Rol. Abr. An Attaint lies before Execution fued, for the Danger of the Death of 282. the Petit Jury in the mean Time; for after the Death of any of the Petit Jury, no Attaint lies.

9 H 6. 2. An Attaint lies for excessive Damages, as also where the Jury give too 1 Rol. Abr. little; but if the Jury give excessive Damages, and the Court abridge 284. them, and make them reasonable, no Attaint lies against the Jury, tho' they have made a false Oath; for such Abridgment is made upon the Prayer of the Party, and therefore he shall not have an Attaint also.

I Rol. Abr. So if the Court increases the Damages, and makes them reasonable, 284. whereas before they were too finall, no Attaint lies.

I Rol. Abr. So if the Jury give excessive Damages, and after the Plaintiff, to whom they are given, releases Part of the Damages, by which the Rest of the Damages which remain are reasonable enough, no Attaint lies; for hereby the Defendant's Cause of Grievance is taken away. 12 E. 4. 5.

In an Attaint, if the Plaintiff affigns the false Oath in excessive Da-Bro. Attaint mages, he ought to affign it in this Manner, fillicet, that the Goods for which the Damages were given were but of the Value of 40 s. and that in the Damages given over this Sum they made a false Oath.

If in Trespass against two one pleads Not guilty, and this found a-Sir John Heygainst him, and excessive Damages given, and after the other Defendant comes and pleads Not guilty, and this is found against him also, he may have an Attaint upon the first Verdict, because bound by the Damages Cro. 7 ac. 351. given thereby; and tho' he is a Stranger to the Issue, yet he is privy in 1 Rol. Rep.31. Charge.

1 Rol. Abr. In a Quare Impedit against two, they make several Titles; and it is found for one Defendant, and that the other disturbed him, the other may have an Attaint upon this; for by this he loses the Presentation.

He who is Party to the Recovery shall have an Attaint, altho' he 1 Rol. Abr. 282.—The was not Tenant at the Time of the first Writ brought, nor when the Reversioner (by the Com- Judgment was given.

mon Law) after the Death of Tenant for Life. Dyer 1. pl. 5. 3 Co. 4. — And during the Life of the particular Tenant, per 9 Rich. 2. cap. 3.

If an Action of Joint-tenancy be pleaded with a Stranger, and the 48 E. 3. 17. Godb. 378. Stranger joins with the Tenant in the Maintenance thereof, and this is found against them, yet the Stranger shall not have an Attaint, because he is not Party to the Writ.

11 H. 4. 27. So in an Action against A and B if it is found against them upon 1 Rol. Abr. feveral Issues, A. shall not have an Attaint upon a false Verdict against B. because he was not Party to this Issue.

So in Trespass against two, if one pleads a Release, upon which they are at Issue, and the other pleads the same Plea as Servant to him, if it be found against the Master, the Servant shall not have an Attaint thereupon, for he is not Party to his Issue.

So in Waste against two, if one makes Default, and the other pleads, and it is found against him, the other who made Default shall not have an Attaint thereupon, because he is not Party to the Issue.

1 Rol. Abr. 283.

If

where it is

If a Villein be found free in a Homine Replegiando against the Lord, 1 Rol. Alv. and after the Lord dies, the Heir shall have an Attaint; so if the Villein 283. were found free by a false Verdict, in an Action of Trespass brought by him against the Lord, and after the Lord dies, his Heir shall have an Attaint, because hereby he loses his Inheritance in the Villein; but he cannot have an Attaint for the Damages, but the Executors may, because they belong to them.

The Petit Jury can plead no Plea but fuch as may excuse them of the 12 H 6.6. false Oath; and by the 23 H. S. cap. 3. it is enacted, that after the Fitz. Attaints Places the Back affigured the false Oath, the Petit Jury, if they be the same 71, 65. Plaintiff hath affigned the falle Oath, the Petit Jury, if they be the same Kelw. 130. Persons, and the Writ, Process, Return and Assignment good, shall have same Rule no Answer, but only that they made a true Oath; unless the Plaintiff, arguendo. in an Attaint upon the same Verdict, hath before Nonsuit discontinued,

or had Judgment against the Petit Jury.

In an Attaint upon a Verdict in Trespass, one of the Petit Jury plead- Vide 6 Co. ed an Award between the Plaintist and Defendant, and whether this was 44 a. S. P. à good Plea dubitatur. Kelw. 130.

faid to be a good Plea, yet Q. & vide Dyer 75. pl. 27.

In an Attaint brought by the Issue in Tail, upon a Verdict in a For- 1 Rol. Abr. medon against his Ancestor, the Release of the Ancestor is not any Bar, 286. for the Attaint is intailed as well as the Land itself.

By the 23 H. S. cap. 3. all Attaints must be taken (a) in the King's Bench (a) And or Common Pleas, and not elsewhere; but a Nisi Prius may be granted. therefore no

can be granted upon any Attaint, because all Attaints are to be taken either before the King in his can be granted upon any Attaint, because all Attaints are to be taken either before the King in his Bench, or before the Justices of the Common Pleas, and in no other Courts, &c. Co. Lit. 294 b.—
Where a Verdist and Judgment given in the Exchequer was removed by Certiorini into the Common Pleas, and an Attaint. Vide Dyer 201. pl. 65. Moor 17. pl. 60. N. Bendl. pl. 132. Kelw 210. & vide Dyer St. pl. 65. Cro. Eliz. 645 in which Book, because the Record was not removed in Banco, it was adjudged against the Plaintiff, and the Court would not grant him a Day'to bring in the Record, and said, the Plaintiff, at his Peril, ought to have brought it in before; & vide Cro. Eliz. 371, 372.—
How to be removed, vide 1 Rol. Abr. 394.— But if an Attaint be brought on a Judgment in Banco, and thereupon the Plaintiff assigns the sale Ooth, and the Defendant pleads Bonum & Legale forerunt Sacrementum, and thereupon they are at Issue, and after the first Record is removed by a Witt of Sacramentum, and thereupon they are at Issue, and after the first Record is removed by a Writ of Error, yet the Process against the Grand Jury and the Party shall not be stayed, but the Court may proceed. Dyer 284. pl. 35.

The Judgment at Common Law was very (b) fevere; and, accord- Co. Lit. 294. ing to my Lord Coke, importeth eight great and grievous Punishments; 1 Rol. Abr. 1. Quod amittant Liberam Legem imperpetuum; that is, he shall be so in- (b) And was famous as never to be received as a Witness, or to be of any Jury. severe, that 2. Quod forisfaciant omnia lona & Catalla fua. 3. Quod terræ & Tenementa few or no in manus Domini Regis Capiantur. 4. Quod uxores & Liberi extra Domus Juries upon fuas ejicerentur. 5. Quod Domus fux Prostrentur. 6. Quod arbores sux extir-were conpentur. 7. Quod Prata sua arentur. 8. Quod Corpora sua Carceri manci- victed. 3 Inft. pentur.

But the Severity of this Punishment was mitigated by the Statute Vide Co. Lit. 23 H. 8. cap. 3. which prescribes the Methods of Proceeding in Attaint, 294. and inflicts certain Pecuniary Punishments on the Jurors, in Proportion to the Damages fust lined by the Party by the fasse Verdick, in which () And by the (c) Party recovering is to be joined.

of the Statute it lies against the Executors of the Party for whom Judgment was given. Moor 17. pl. 60 N. Bendl. 132. Kelsv. 210. a. 1 And. 24. Dyer 201. pl 65.

If a Man recover in an Attaint, he shall be (d) restored to all that I Rol. Abrobe hath lost by the Verdick, as well his Lands as the Mesne Profits; as 286. (d) If during also his Damage, if he lost in a Personal Action. the Life of the Tenent for Life the Reversioner recovers in an Attaint, the Tenant shall be restored to the Possession and Messe Prosits, and the Reversioner to his Arrearages of Rent, but if the Tenant be dead, or of Covin with the Demandant, the Reversioner shall, See per 9 Rub 2. cap. 3. Vol. III.

I Rol. Abr. 286.

So if a Man brings Debt and is barred, and he brings an Attaint, and it is found for him, he shall recover his Debt.

41 Aff. 18. I Rol. Abr. 286.

So if the Issue in Tail recovers the Land in an Attaint upon a Recovery against his Ancestor, he shall recover the Issues of the Land from the Death of the Ancestor.

#### 2. How otherwise punishable.

And herein we must consider Jurors either in a Ministerial Capacity, as Perfons bound to attend the Court, to do the Bufiness for which they are returned till they are discharged; or in a Judicial Capacity, as Judges of the Fact to be tried.

3 Co. 38. b. 41. a. 2 Inft. 242. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 309.

Noy 49.

In the former Capacity they are liable to be punished in feveral Instances; as for refusing to appear, withdrawing themselves before they are fworn, or refufing to be fworn; for which every Court of Record may, of common Right, impose such a reasonable Fine on any one returned on a Grand or Petit Jury, as shall seem convenient.

So if after they are fworn they refuse to give any Verdich at all.

3 Bulf. 173. Vaugh. 152. I Rol. Abr. 146. P. C. 309. S. P. and

So if they endeavour to impose upon the Court; as where a Petit Jury offer a Verdict to the Court as agreed by their whole Number, where in Cro. Eliz.779. Truth some of them have not agreed to it, or where they agree upon two Verdicts; and first, to offer one of them to the Court, and to stand 2 Hal. Hist. to it, if the Court shall express no Dissatisfaction to it; but if the Court shall dislike it, then to give the other.

that in such Case they shall be fined every one a-part.

So for misbehaving themselves after their Departure from the Bar; as Dyer 78.pl.41. where they do not all keep together till they have given their Verdict, 218. pl. 4. where they do not all keep together till they have given their verdict, Cro. Fac. 21. or where any of them carry any Thing (b) eatable with them in their Pockets, or eat or drink, or otherwise refresh themselves, without Leave 2 Hawk. P.C. from the Court, before they have given their Verdict, tho' they were 146.

(a) Which, if agreed on it, and were also all the Time in the Custody of the Bailiff it be at the appointed to take care of them.

Charge of him for whom they give a Verdict, avoids the Verdict; otherwise if they eat or drink at their own Charge, or the Charge of him against whom they give their Verdict. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 306.

Rasch. 27 Car. 2. in B. R.

Also where a Jury, after they departed from the Bar, being late on Saturday Night, separated and went every one to his own House without giving a privy Verdict, or without confulting upon the Evidence, and gave a Verdict according to the Direction of the Court; but for this Missing a vertice according to the England Shillings, and a new Trial granted; and herein the Chief Justice said, that by such Trial both Parties may be prejudiced; for the Jurors going at large, without consulting together, may well forget the Evidence; and it is the Right of the King's Subjects to have their Issues determined when the Evidence is fresh in the Memory of the Jurors; and the fuffering the Jurors to go to their Houses after a privy Verdict is only by Connivance, but by the strict Rules of Law ought not to be fuffered.

2 Lev. 140, 205. 2 Fon. 83. 3 Keb. 805.

Also where the Jury have been divided, or in Doubt, about the Evidence, and have agreed to determine the Matter by throwing Cross or Pile, &c. and to give their Verdict as the Chance happened; this has been held fuch a Misdemeanor, for which they have been ordered to attend, and for which they are punishable, and for which a new Trial will be granted on the common Rule of Juratores male fe gefferunt,

Turors

Jurors are likewife punishable for fending for or receiving Instructions 2 Hawk P.C. from either of the Parties concerning the Matter in Question.

So if a Juryman have a Piece of Evidence in his Pocket, and after the Cro Eliz. 616.

Jury fworn and gone together he (a) sheweth it to them, this is a Mif- 2 Hal. Eig. demeanor finable in the Jury; but it avoids not the Verdict, tho' the (a) But it is Cafe appear upon Examination. no Offence

exhort his Companions to join with him in such Verdict as he thinks right 1 Hawk. P. C. 250.

As to the Punishment of Jurors in their Judicial Capacity, there are 2 Howk P.C. feveral Instances where Jurors acquitting great and notorious Offenders, 147 S. and contrary to clear and manifest Evidence, and contrary to the Judge's feveral Authorities Directions, have been punished in the Star-Chamber, and have also, not there cited, only in the King's Bench, but also by Justices of Oyer and Terminer and Gaol-Delivery, been fined and imprisoned, and bound over to their good Behaviour; but these Methods were thought to be contrary to the Opinions in the old Books, and contrary to the general Reason of the Law; and being fully confidered in (a) Bufbel's Cafe, it was there fettled, and hath (a) Vaugh. been ever fince agreed to, that Jurors are no way punishable, except by 143. Attaint, for giving a Verdict contrary to a Judge's Directions, and a-2 fon. 16,17. gainst what may feem to others clear and manifest Evidence, for that they are the proper Judges of the Fact to be tried, and may be reasonably influenced by Matters known only to themselves, as their own Personal Knowledge of the Fact, or of the Credit of the Witnesses, or

And herewith my Lord Hale feems to agree, and shews the Unreason- 2 Hal. Hist. ableness of punishing a Jury for going contrary to the Direction of the P.C. 160, Court in Matters of Law, because it is impossible any Matter of Law 161,211,89. could come in Question till the Matter of Fact were settled and stated and agreed by the Jury, and of fuch Matter of Fact they were the only competent Judges; also, says he, it were the most unhappy Case that could be to the Judge, if he, at his Peril, must take upon him the Guilt or Innocence of the Prisoner; and if the Judge's Opinion must rule the Matter of Fact, the Trial by a Jury would be useless.

But he seems to admit, that the long Use of fining Jurors in the King's 2 Hall History Bench in Criminal Caufes, may give possibly a Jurisdiction to fine in P. C. 313. these Cases, yet that it can by no Means be extended to other Courts of Sessions, of Gaol-Delivery, Oyer and Terminer, or of the Peace, or other

inferior Jurisdictions.

Also by Hawkins, if it shall plainly appear in any Case, that Jurors are 2 Hawk PC. perfectly satisfied of the Truth of a Fact, whereupon they declare to the which is Court, that they find it in such a particular Manner; and the Court which is cited 2 Jon. directly tell them, that upon the Fact so found, as they have agreed it 15, 16. to be, the Judgment of the Law is such or such, and therefore that Vaug. 144-5. to be, the Judgment or the Law is ruen or ruen, and therefore that they ought to give a Verdict accordingly, yet they obstinately insist End. 363. upon a Verdick contrary to such a Direction; it seems agreeable to the 50. general Reason of the Law, that the Jurors are finable by the Court in fuch a Case, unless an Attaint lies against them; for otherwise they would not be punishable for 10 palpable a Partiality in taking upon them to judge of Matters of Law, which they have nothing to do with, and are prefumed to be ignorant of, contrary to the express Direction of one, who by the Law is appointed to direct them in fuch Matters, and is to be prefumed of Apility to do it.

Also if a Judge, for the better Direction and Information of a Jury, 2 Houle P.C. shall ask them their Opinions concerning such a particular Fact, and they 149. shall refuse to answer him, and obstinately insist to deliver in their Verdict, as they think fit, contrary to his Direction, it seems questionable whether they may not be fined in such a Case also, unless an Attaint lie

field.

#### Juries.

against them; for that it is the Duty of Jurors to take the Advice and Information of the Court, in order to be governed by it, as far as shall be confistent with their Consciences.

#### 3. How Abuses by others in Relation to them are punishable; and therein of the Offence of Embracery.

Embracery is defined in general to be any Attempt by either Party, Co Lit. 369. Moor S15. or a Stranger, to corrupt or influence a Jury, or to incline them to fa-2 Hawk, P. C. vour one Side by Gifts or Promifes, Threats or Perfuafions, or by instructing them in the Cause, or any other way, except by opening and enforcing the Evidence by Council at the Trial, whether the Jurors give any Verdict or not, and whether the Verdict be true or false.

Also it is an Offence of this Kind for a Stranger barely to labour a 2 Hawk. P.C. Juror to appear and act according to his Conscience, or for any Person 259, 260. to labour a Juror not to appear; but it is no Offence for the Party himfelf, or for any Person, who can justify an act of Maintenance, to labour a Juror to appear and give a Verdict according to his Conscience.

2 Hawk. P.C. Also it is an Offence to give Money to a Juror after the Verdict, unless it be openly and fairly given to all alike, in Consideration of the Expences of their Journey and Trouble of their Attendance. 259, 260.

So the bare giving of Money to another, to be distributed among 2 Hawk P.C. Jurors, savours of Embracery, whether any of it be distributed or not; 260. and it is an Offence of the like Kind for a Person, by indirect Means, to procure himself, or another, to be sworn of a Tales, in order to serve one Side; also it is as Criminal in a Juror, as in any other Person, to endeavour to prevail on his Companions to give a Verdict on one Side, by any other Arguments besides the Evidence produced, and the general Obligations of Conscience.

The Offence of Embracery is punishable at (a) Common Law by In-2 Hazek. P.C. dictment or Action; and if it were not known before the Trial, it will (a) How it is be a good Cause to set aside the Verdict. further re-

strained and punished by Statute, vide 5 E. 3. cap. 10. 34 E. 3. cap. S. 38 E. 3. cap. 12. and 1 Hawk. P. C. 260, Sec.

Abuses by others, in Relation to Juries, are punishable by Fine and 1 Hawk. P.C. 58-9. Imprisonment; as if a Man affault or threaten a Juror for having given a Verdict against him, he may be indicted as a Disturber of the Administration of Justice, and one who is guilty of a Contempt to the King's

Also the Court of King's Bench granted an Information against a Hill. 10 Ann. Town-Clerk, for publishing an Order of the Court against Jurors who The Queen ver. Wakehad found a Person guilty of Manslaughter only, upon an Indictment of Murder, by which Order the faid Jurors were declared to be justly fuspected of Bribery.

## Justices of Peace.

- (A) Of the ancient Officers, called Conferbators of the Peace.
- (B) Of the first Institution, and general Statutes which give Justices of Peace a Jurisdiction.
- (C) Of their Commission, and Manner of appointing
- (D) Who are qualified for the Office.
- (E) Of their Authority and Jurisdiction pursuant to their Commission, and the general Statutes relating to them: And herein,
  - 1. What Jurisdiction they have in Relation to Treason and Misprission of Treason.
  - 2. What in Relation to Felonies.
  - 3. What in Relation to inferior Offences.
  - 4. How far they have Power to proceed on Indictments not taken before themselves.
  - 5. By what Justice the Jurisdiction must be exercised; and therein how far a Justice of a County may act out of it, or within a Liberty.

#### (A) Of the ancient Officers, called Conferba= toes of the Peace.

T feems to be clearly agreed, that before the Statute I E. 3. cap. 16. Lamb. book I. there were no Justices of the Peace, and that they were first insti- cap. 3 tuted by that Statute; yet by the Common Law there were certain 2 Hal. Hist. Conservators of the Peace, which were of two Sorts. 1. Those who P. C. 44. in respect of their Offices had Power to keep the Peace, but were not fimply called by the Name of Conservators of the Peace, but by the Name of fuch Offices. 2. Those who were constituted for this Purpose only, and were fimply called by the Name of Conservators or Wardens of the Peace.

As to the first Sort, the King is undoubtedly the Principal from whom Dalt. chap. 1. all Authority of this Kind is originally derived; but it is faid, that he Crompt. 6. cannot take a Recognizance for the Peace, because it is a Rule that no Bro. Recogni-Recognizance can be taken by any one who is not a Justice either of Record or by Commission; also the Lord Chancellor, or Lord Keeper of the Great Seal, the Lord High Steward of England, the Lord Marshal, the Lord High Constable, and every Justice of the King's Bench, and the Vol. III.

Master of the Rolls, and, as some say, the Lord Treasurer, have a general Authority to keep the Peace throughout the Realm, and to award Process, and to take Recognizances for it; but a Peer, as such, feems to have no more Power in this Respect, than a meer private Person.

10 H. 6. 7. b. chap. 3.

Alfo all Courts of Record, as fuch, have Power to keep the Peace Lamb. book 1. within their own Precincts; and the Justices of Gaol-Delivery may take Surety of the Peace from a Person committed, for not finding such

12 H 7. 17. b. Bro. Peace 13. Cro. Car. 26. F. N. B. St.

Alfo every Sheriff is a Principal Confervator of the Peace within his County, and may ex officio award Process, and take Surety for it; and, as some fay, the Surety so taken is to be looked on as a Recognizance or Matter of Record, and not as a common Obligation, because it is taken

by Virtue of the King's Commission.

Vide Tit. Coroner.

Also a Coroner is another Principal Conservator of the Peace, and may bind any one to the Peace who shall make an Affray in his Presence; but he is faid to have no Authority to grant Process for the Peace; and it seems, that the Security taken by him for the Peace is not to be looked on as Matter of Record, but as Matter in Pais, only except where it is taken by him as Judge in his own Court for an Affray in his Prefence.

Vide Tit. Constable.

Also every High and Petit Constable are, by the Common Law, Confervators of the Peace within their feveral Limits, and may take Order for the Keeping of the same.

The Confervators of the Peace, simply so called, were either Ordinary

or Extraordinary.

Bro. Peace 18. chap. 3. book 1. ch. 7. Cvompt. 6.

The Ordinary were either by Tenure, viz. fuch as held their Lands Prescript. 79. by this Service, or by Election, viz. such as were chosen by the Free22 E. 4.35. b. halders of a County in Pursuage of the King's Writ for this Pursuage Lamb. book 1. holders of a County, in Pursuance of the King's Writ for this Purpose, or by Prescription, viz. such as claimed such a Power by an immemorial Co. Lit. 114. Usage in themselves and their Ancestors, or Predecessors, or those whose Dott. & Stud. Estate they had; but the Power of none of those Conservators of the Peace feems to have been greater than that of Constables at this Day, unless it were inlarged by some special Grant or Prescription.

Lamb. book 1. chap. 3.

The Extraordinary Confervators of the Peace were Persons specially commissioned in Times of imminent Danger, either from Rebels or foreign Invaders, to take care of and defend fuch a particular District committed to their Charge, and to preserve the Peace within the Limits of it; and these had Power to command the Sheriff, with his whole Posse, to assist them.

#### (B) Of the first Institution, and general Statutes which give Justices of Peace a Jurildiction.

therefore a Person cannot be a Justice of Peace by Prescription.

JUSTICES of Peace were (a) first instituted by the Statute 1 E. 3cap. 16. which provides in the following Washing in the following washing to the following washing washing to the following washing washing washing to the following washing cap. 16. which provides in the following Words: 'That for the better Keeping and Maintenance of the Peace, the King willeth, that in every County good Men and lawful, which be no Maintainers of Evil, or Barrators in the Country, shall be assigned to keep the Peace.

4 Leon. 149. — And have no Jurisdiction but what Statutes give them, being created within Time of Memory, 1 Salk. 406.

And

And by the 4 E. 3. cap. 2. it is further Enacted, 6 That there shall be assigned good and lawful Men in every County to keep the Peace, and at the Time of the Affignments Mention shall be made that such, as shall be indicted or taken by the faid Keepers of the Peace, shall not be let to Mainprize by the Sheriffs, nor by none other Ministers, if they be not mainpernable by the Law; and the Justices assigned to deliver the Gaols shall have Power to deliver the same Gaols of those that shall be indicked before the Keepers of the Peace, and that the faid Keepers shall fend their Indichments before the Justices, &c.'

And it is further Enacted by 18 E. 3. cap. 2. 'That two or three of

the best Reputation in the Counties shall be assigned (a) Keepers of the (a) Altho Peace by the King's Commission, and at what Time Need shall be, the they are not fame, with other wife and learned in the Law, shall be affigned by the named Keep-ers, but Justi-King's Commission to hear and determine Felonies and Trespasses done ers, but juni-' against the Peace in the same Counties, and to inslict Punishment rea- intheir Comfonably according to the Law and Reason and the Manner of the mission, yet

tute they are expresly called Keepers of the Peace, and the Keeping thereof is the principal End of their Office, it has been adjudged that the Caption of an Indictment ceram A. B. & C. D. Custodibus Pacis & Ji sticiariis Demini Regis is good, without expressly naming them Justices of Peace. 2 Rol. Abr. 95.— Also it hath been resolved, that the Description of Justices of Peace by the Name of Justiciarii Domini Regis ad Pacem conservandam, &c. is good, without saying ad Pacem Domini Regis, for that it is necessarily implied. 2 Hawk. P. C. 38.

And it is further Enacted by 34 E. 3. cap. 1. 5 That in every County of England shall be affigued for the keeping of the Peace one Lord, and with him three or four of the most worthy in the County, with some Learned in the Law, and they shall have Power to restrain the Offen-6 ders, Rioters, and all other Barrators, and to purfue, arrest, take, and chastife them according to their Trespass or Offence, and ro cause them to be imprisoned, and duly punished, according to the Law and Customs of the Realm, and according to that which to them shall feem best to do, by their Diferetion and good Advisement; and also to inform them, and to inquire of all those that have been Pillors and Robbers in the Parts beyond the Sea, and be now come again, and go wandering, and will not labour as they were wont in Times past; and to take and arrest all those that they may find by Indictment or by Suspicion, and to put them in Prison, and to take of all them, that be not of good Fame, where they shall be found, sufficient Surety and Mainprize of their good Behaviour towards the King and his People, and the other duly to punish, to the Intent that the People be not by such Rioters or Rebels troubled nor endamaged, nor the Feace blemished, nor Merchants nor other passing by the Highway of the Realm disturbed nor put in the Peril, which may happen of fuch Offenders; and also to hear and deter-6 mine at the King's Suit all manner of Felonies and Trespasses done in

the same County, according to the Laws and Customs aforesaid.

And it is Enacted by 17 R. 2. cap. 10. 'That in every Commission of the Peace thro' the Realm, where Need shall be, two Men of Law of the same County where such Commission shall be made, shall be 6 affigned to go and proceed to the Deliverance of Thieves and Felons, as often as they shall think it expedient,

And it is further Enacted by 2 H. 5. Stat. 1. cap. 4. 'That the Justices of Peace in every Shire named of the Querum, (except Lords, and the Justices of either Bench, and the Chief Baron, and Serjeants at Law, and the King's Attorney for the Time that they shall be occupied in the King's Service, ) shall be refiant in the same Shire, and shall make their Sessions four Times by the Year, viz. in the first Week after Michaelmas, Epiphany, Easter, and the Translation of St. Thomas the Martyr, and other if Need te, and that the same Justices hold their sessions throughout Embard in the same Weeks at any Vene?

Seffions throughout England in the fame Weeks every Year?

These seem to be the most general Statutes relating to the Authority of Justices of Peace, besides which there are a very great Number of subsequent Statutes which give them particular Powers, sometimes to one Justice, sometimes to two, sometimes in their Sessions, sometimes out of their Sessions; of which in this Place I shall no otherwise take Notice than by observing, that where by Statute a special Authority is given to Justices of Peace, it must be exactly pursued.

Salk. 475

#### (C) Df their Commission, and Manner of appointing them.

Lamb. B. I. Brook, Commission, cap. 5. Dalt. cap 3. 1 Lev. 219.

a Justice of Corporation created by

JUSTICES of the Peace can only be appointed by the King's Commission, and such Commission must be in his Name; but it is not requisite that there should be a special Suit or Application to, or Warrant from the King for the granting thereof, which is only requifite for fuch as are of a particular Nature; as constituting the Mayor of such a Town, and his Successors, perpetual Justices of the Peace within their Liberties, (a) Nor can &c. which Commissions are (a) neither revokable by the King, nor determinable by his Death, as the common Commission for the Peace is, which is made of Course by the Lord Chancellor according to his Discretion.

Patent refign. 1 Rol. Rep 135

2 Hawk P.C. 35. 4 Inft. 171. Lamb. B. 1. sap. 9.

The Form of the Commission of the Peace, as it is at this Day, was, according to Hawkins, fettled by the Judges about the 33 Eliz. and is in Substance as followerh.

2 Hawk. P C. 35-

Beginning with a Salutation from the King to the feveral Persons named in it, it afterwards affigns them, and every one of them jointly and feverally, the King's Justices to keep the Peace in such a County, and to cause to be kept all Statutes made for the Good of the Peace and quiet Government of the People, as well within Liberties as without, and to punish all those who shall offend against any of the said Statutes, and to cause all those to come before them, or some of them, who shall threaten any of the People as to their Persons, or the Burning of their Houses, in order to compel them to find Surety for the Peace or good Behaviour; and if they shall refuse to find such Surety, to cause them to be fafely kept in Prison till they shall find it.

2 Hawk. P.C. 35.

Then it goes on, and affigns them, and every two or more of them, (of which Number either fuch or fuch a particular Person among them is specially required to be,) Justices, to inquire by the Oath of good and lawful Men of the same County, of all Felonies, Witchcrafts, Inchantments, Sorceries, Magic Art, Trespasses, Forestallers, Regrators, Ingrossers, and Extortions whatsoever, and of all other Offences of which Justices of the Peace may lawfully inquire; also of all those who shall go or ride armed, &c. or in Companies, to the Disturbance of the Peace, and also of all Innholders, and others, who shall offend in the Abuse of Weights or Measures, or felling of Victuals, &c. and also of all Sheriffs, Bailiffs, Stewards, Conftables, Gaolers, and other Officers who shall be faulty in the Execution of their Offices; and to inspect all Indictments taken before them, or any of them, or other former Justices of the Peace for the fame County, and to make and continue Process against all the Persons so indicted, till they shall be taken, or render themselves, or be outlawed; and to hear and determine all the Felonies and other Offences aforefaid; provided, that if a Caufe of Difficulty shall arise, they shall not

proceed to give Judgment, except in the Presence of some Justice of one of the Benches, or of Affife.

And then it commands them to make Inquiries of the Premisses, and 2 Hawk. P.C to hear and determine the same at certain Days and Places, which they, 36. or any fuch two or more of them, shall appoint; and then it goes on, and commands the Sheriff of the County to return before them, at certain Days and Places to be made known to him by them, fuch and fo many lawful Men of his Bailiwick, by whom the Truth of the Premisses may be best known and inquired; and then concludes by affigning some one of them Keeper of the Rolls of the Peace in the same County, and commanding him to cause to be brought before himself and his Fellows, at the faid Days and Places, the Writs, Precepts, Processes, and Indictments

My Lord Hale gives us the same Commission, which at present, says 2 Hal. Hist. he, consists of two Clauses of Assignavimus; by the first of which each of P. C. 43. them is made a Justice or Conservator of the Peace; by the second Affignavinus Power is given to them, or two of them, whereof one of the Quorum, to hear and determine Felonies, and other Matters; for the bare Stamf. P. C. making them Justices of the Peace, without this Clause, doth not give B. 2. cap. 5. them Power to hear and determine Indictments; he also takes Notice of a Proviso in the said Commission, viz. that in Case of Difficulty arising, then to respite Judgment till the Justices of Assife come into the Coun-

It seems agreed, that Justices of the Peace may by Virtue of their Lamb. B. 1. Commission execute as well the Statutes made before the Reign of cap. 9. Dalt. cap. 5. Edw. 3. for the better keeping of the Peace, such as the Statutes of Win-Crompt. 7, 8. chefter and Westminster, &c. as those made since that Time; and yet the Statutes, which ordain Justices of the Peace, say nothing of the Execution of those former Statutes; from whence, fays Hawkins, it appears 2 Hawk P.C. that the King may by Commission authorise whom he pleases to execute 36. a Statute.

#### (D) Who are qualified for the Office.

PY the Statute 2 H. 5. Stat. 2. cap. 1. it is Enacted, 6 That Justices of Peace shall be made in the Counties of England of most sufficient Persons dwelling in the same Counties, by the Advice of the ' Chancellor and of the King's Council, without taking other Persons ' dwelling in foreign Counties to execute fuch Office, except the Lords and the Justices of Assises to be named by the King and his Council, s and except all the King's chief Stewards of the Lands and Seignories of 6 the Dutchy of Lancafter in the North Parts and in the South for the Fine being.

By the 1 Mar. Seff. 2. cap. 8. it is Enacted, 'That no Person having or using the Office of a Sheriff of any County shall use or exercise the ' Office of a Justice of Peace, by Force of any Commission, or otherwise, in any County where he shall be Sheriff, during the Time only that he ' shall exercise the said Office or Sheriffwick, and that all Acts done by fuch Sheriff by Authority of any Commission of the Peace, during the ' Time abovefaid, shall be void.

By the Statute 18 H. 6. cap. 11. it is Enasted, 'That no Justice of In an Indict-Peace within the Realm of England in any County shall be affigned or ment on this 6 deputed, if he have not Lands or Tenements to the Value of 201. per Statute, it · Annum, except in Cities, Towns Corporate, &c.

must be shewn

a Commission, and did some Act pursuant thereto, not having Lands, &c. Cro. Fac. 643 4. Vol. III.

And now by the 5 Georg. 2. cap. 18. it is Enacted, 'That no Person

fhall be eapable of being a Justice of the Peace, or to act as a Justice of the Peace, for any County within that Part of Great Britain called England, or the Principality of Wales, who shall not have an Estate of Freehold or Copyhold to and for his own Use and Benefit in Possession for Life, or for some greater Estate either in Law or Equity, or an Estate for Years determinable upon one or more Life or Lives, or for a certain Term originally created for twenty-one Years, or more, in Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments, lying in that Part of Great Britain called England, or Principality of Wales, of the clear yearly Value

of one hundred Pounds over and above what will fatisfy and discharge all Incumbrances that may affect the same.

And it is further Enacted, That no Attorney, Solicitor, or Proctor in any Court whatfoever, shall be capable to continue or be a Justice of the Peace within any County for that Part of Great Britain called England, or the Principality of Wales, during such Time as he shall continue in

6 the Business and Practice of an Attorney, Solicitor, or Proctor.

And it is further Enacted, That if any Person, who shall not be qualified according to the Directions of this Act, shall accept or take upon himself the Office of a Justice of the Peace, or shall do any Act as such, the Person so offending shall for every such Offence forfeit and pay the Sum of one hundred Pounds; one Moiety whereof shall be to the King's Majesty, his Heirs and Successors, and the other Moiety to such Person or Persons as will sue for the same by Action of Debt, Bill, Plaint, or Information in any of his Majesty's Courts of Record at Westminster, in which no Essoin, Protection, Wager of Law, nor more than one Imparlance shall be allowed.

Frovided, That this Act shall not extend to any City or Town being a County of itself, or to any other City, Town, Cinque Port, or Liberty having Justices of the Peace within their respective Limits and Precincts by Charter, Commission, or otherwise; but that in every such City, Town, Liberty, and Place such Persons may be capable to be Justices of the Peace, and in such Manner only as they might have

been if this Act had never been made.

Provided also, That nothing in this Act contained shall extend to incapacitate any Peer or Lord of Parliament, or the eldest Son or Heir Apparent of any Peer or Lord of Parliament, or of any Person qualified to serve as Knight of a Shire by an Act intituled, An Act to secure the Freedom of Parliaments, by the further qualifying Members to sit in the House of Commons, to be a Justice of Peace for any County, or to act as such.

fuch.
Frovided also, That nothing in this Act contained shall extend or be construed to extend to incapacitate or exclude the Officers of the Board of Green Cloth from being Justices of the Peace within the Verge of his Majesty's Palaces, or to incapacitate or exclude the Commissioners and principal Officers of the Navy, or the two Under Secretaries in each of the Offices of Principal Secretary of State from being Justices of the Peace, in and for such Maritime Counties and Places where they usually have been Justices of the Peace.

of the Peace, in and for such Maritime Counties and Places where they
usually have been Justices of the Peace.
Provided also, That this Act shall not extend to any of the Heads of
Colleges or Halls in either of the two Universities of Oxford and Cam-

bridge, but that they may be made Justices of the Peace of and in the feveral Counties of Oxford, Berks, and Cambridge, and the Cities and Towns within the same, and execute the Office thereof as fully and freely in all Respects, as heretofore they have lawfully used to execute

the fame, as if this Act had never been made?

- (E) Of their Authority and Jurisdiction purfuant to their Commission, and the general Statutes relating to them: And herein,
- 1. What Jurisdiction they have in Relation to Creason and Mispellion of Creason.

T feems to be clearly agreed, that Justices of the Peace have not Ju-Dalt. cap. 90. risdiction to (a) hear and determine Treason, Præmunire, or Mispri- 1 Hal. Hist. P. C. 305, 350, 372.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 44. 2 Hawk. P. C. 39. (a) In 1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 372. it is laid down as the Opinion of Chief Justice Rolls, that Justices of the Peace may take an Indistment of Treason, tho' they cannot determine it. — But in another Place, v.z. 1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 305. my Lord Hale says expressly, that they cannot take an Indistment of it.

But as these Offences are against the Peace of the King and of the Vide the Au-Realm, any Justice of the Peace may, either upon his own Knowledge, or the Complaint of others, cause any Person to be apprehended for any such Offence, and such Justice may take the Examination of the Person so apprehended, and the (b) Information of all those who can give mate-(b) And these rial Evidence against him, and put the same in Writing, and also bind Informations over such who are able to give any such Evidence to the King's Bench or Gaol-Delivery, and certify his Proceedings to the same Court to which he shall bind over such Informers; and this Doctrine seems to be established by constant Practice, especially since the Statutes of 1 & 2 Pb. & by the Justice, cap. 13. and 2 & 3 Ph. & Mar. cap. 10. which directing Justices of Peace to proceed in this Manner against Persons brought before them for Felony, seem to give them a discretionary Power of proceeding in like Manner against Persons accused of the above-mentioned Offences.

Evidence against the Prisoner, if the Informant be dead, or not able to travel, and sworn so to be; also, says my Lord Hale, by the Opinion of some, if he were bound over, and appear not, they may be read; but this, he says, is questionable. 2 Hal. Hist. P.C. 305. But for this vide 2 Hawk. P.C. 429.

Also by some Acts of Parliament Justices of Peace may take Indict- 2 Hal. Histoments of particular Treasons; but those Presentments they must certify P.C. 44. into the King's Bench or Gaol-Delivery, as the Case shall require; as upon the Statute of 5 Eliz. cap. 1. for maintaining the Authority of the See of Rome; 13 Eliz. cap. 2. for bringing in Bulls for Absolution, Agnus Dei, &c. 23 Eliz. cap. 1. for withdrawing and reconciling, or being with- 1 Leon. 239. drawn from the King's Allegiance.

So by the Statute of 3 H. 5. cap. 7. as to Treason for clipping, &c. 2 Hal Hist. Power was given to the Justices of Peace to inquire and make Process P. C. 45. thereupon, and antiently that Clause was put into their Commission, but now omitted; for by the Statute of 1 Mar. cap. 1. the Act of 3 H. 5. cap. 6. is repealed, and consequently the Act 3 H. 5. cap. 7. that gave Power to Justices of Peace to inquire touching it.

#### 2. What in Relation to Felonies.

It seems to have been a Matter of some Doubt, whether Justices of Cro. Fac. 32. Peace, as such, have Power to hear and determine Felonies, &c. and relv. 46. 2Rol.Rep. 15t. this Doubt seems to have arose from the general Words of 34 E. 3. Dyer 69. pl. 29. cap. 2 Hawk. P.C.

38.

cap. 1. which is express, that the Persons assigned to keep the Peace shall have Power, among other Things, to hear and determine Felonies, &c.

Stamf. P. C. Crompt. 120. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 43. 38.

But it feems to be now fettled, that Justices of Peace have no Power to hear and determine Felonies, unless they be authorised so to do by the express Words of their Commission; and that their Jurisdiction to hear and determine Murder, Manslaughter, and other Felonies and Trespasses, 2 Hawk P.C. is by Force of the second Assignavimus in their Commission, which gives them, or two of them, whereof one of the Quorum, Power to hear and determine Felonies, &c.

Dominus Rex Trin. 7 Georg. I. in  $B_1R_2$ 

And hence it hath been lately adjudged, that the Caption of an Indictversus Carter, ment of Trespass before Justices of the Peace, without adding nection ad diversas Felonias, &c. assignat', is naught.

> But tho' Justices of Peace by Force of their Commission have Authority to hear and determine Murder and Manslaughter, yet they seldom exercife a Jurisdiction herein, or in any other Offences in which Clergy is taken away; and this, fays my Lord Hale, is for two Reasons:

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 46.

1. By reason of the Monition and Clause in their Commission, viz. in Cases of Difficulty to expect the Presence of the Justices of Assise.

2. By reason of the Direction of the Statute of 1 & 2 Ph. & Mar. cap. 13. which directs Justices of the Peace in Case of Manslaughter, and other Felonies, to take the Examination of the Prisoner, and the Information of the Fact, and put the same in Writing, and then to bail the Prisoner, if there be Cause, and to certify the same, with the Bail, at the next Gaol-Delivery; and therefore in Cases of great Moment they bind over the Profecutors, and bail the Party, if bailable, to the next Gaol-Delivery; but in smaller Matters, as Petty Larceny, and some Cases, they bind over to the Sessions; but this is but in Point of Discretion and Convenience, not because they have not Jurisdiction of the Crime.

#### 3. What in Relation to inferioz Offences.

6 Mod. 128.

The Jurisdiction herein given to Justices of Peace by particular Statutes is so various, and extends to such a Multiplicity of Cases, that it were endless to endeavour to enumerate them; also they have, as Justices of the Peace, a very ample Jurisdiction in all Matters concerning the Peace.

1 Lev. 139. 1 Sid. 271. (a)Lat.b 173.

And therefore it hath been held, that not only Assaults and Batteries, but Libels, Barretry, and common (a) Night-Walking, and haunting Baudy-Houses, and such like Offences, which have a direct Tendency to Porh. 208. Baudy-Houses, and fuch like Offences, which have a direct Tendency to Cro. Fac. 32. cause Breaches of the Peace, are cognizable by Justices of the Peace, as Yelv. 46. Trespasses within the proper and natural Meaning of the Word. Trespasses within the proper and natural Meaning of the Word.

Salk. 406. Crompt. 120. Lamb. B. 1. ap. 12.

But neither Perjury nor Forgery, at Common Law, nor any other fuch like Offences, which do not directly tend to cause a personal Wrong or open Violence, are cognizable by them, unless it be by the express Words of their Commission, or of some Statute.

#### 4. How far they have power to proceed on Indiaments not taken befoze themselves.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 46

Justices of the Peace may proceed upon Indictments taken before their Predecessors, which depends upon the Statutes 11 H. 6. eap. and 1 E. 6. 2 Hawk. P.C. cap. 7. par. 6. the former of which, reciting the Inconveniencies that Pleas and Processes upon Indictments before Justices of the Peace had often been discontinued by making of new Commissions of the Peace, to the great Lois of the King, &c. ordains that fuch Pleas, Suits, and Processes before Justices of the Peace shall not be discontinued by new Comm.ssions

of the Peace, but stand in Force, and that the new Justices, after that they have the Records of the fame Pleas and Processes before them, may continue, and finally hear and determine the same; and this is confirmed by the 1 E. 6. cap. 7.

But Justices of Peace have no Power to proceed on Indichments taken 2 Hal. Hifbefore a Coroner, or before Justices of Oyer or Gaol-Delivery, or to P. C. 46.

deliver Persons suspected by Proclamation.

But if an Indictment be taken before the Sheriff in his Torn, by the 2 Hal. Hif-Statute of 1 E. 4. cap. 2. those Indicaments are to be delivered to the P.C. 46. Justices of Peace at their next Session, and they may proceed on those Presentments.

5. By what Justice the Jurisdiction must be exercised; and therein how far a Justice of a County may act out of it, or within a Liberty.

Every fingle Justice has regularly a Jurisdiction thro' the whole 2 Hal. Hift. County, which he alone may exercise for the Preservation of the Peace; P.C. 44. and this Jurisdiction he has by Virtue of his Commission, which consti
Some of Posses but the Posses but the Posses of heavier and described from the Posses but the Posses of Poss tutes him a Justice of Peace; but the Power of hearing and determining Offences is by the Commission given to two, or more, (a) Quorum unus, (a) Cannot &c. and therefore if two Justices, Quorum unus, be impowered to do a be a Session Thing, it must appear that one was of the Quorum.

Justice of the Querum. 3 Mod. 14, 152.

without a

So if a Thing be required to be done by two Justices, they must both 6 Med. 180. be present at the Execution of it; as if two Justices adjudge a Person the Father of a Bastard Child, and the Examination is faid to be by one of them, this is naught; for the Examination being a Judicial Act ought to be by both, and it is not sufficient that one of them examined, and made a Report to the other; but if they are both present, and one alone examines, or asks Questions, it is well enough: So where two Justices are enabled to bail a Person, they ought both to be present to do it, and not one of them first to fign the Recognizance, and then send it to

A fingle Justice cannot bail a Person that is committed by Order of 1 Keb. 857, the Sessions; for he that bails must have as high a Power as he who Sort Vide rommits

But whatfoever Power is given to a Justice, or to two Justices of the 2 Keb. 78. Peace, by any Statute, is given to the Sessions of the Peace, which confifts of a Collection of Justices.

It has been held, that where a Statute fays the next Justice, it must 1 Keb. 559. be the next; but where it says the Justices of Peace in or near the Place,

there any Justice of Peace in the County will serve.

Justices of the Peace are to execute their Authority as Justices of the 2 Hal. Hist. Peace within the County wherein they are Justices, and cannot regularly P. C. 50.

2 Hawk P. C. do a Judicial Act out of fuch County.

Therefore if a Justice of Peace live or be out of the County wherein 13 E. 4. S. b. he is Justice, he cannot by his Warrant setch a Person out of the County Plow 31. a. whereof he is Justice, to come before him in the County where he is whereof he is Justice, to come before him in the County where he is.

And as Justices of the Peace have no coercive Power out of their Coun- 2 Hawk P. C. ty, they cannot make an Order of Bastardy, or such like Orders, out of 37. their County.

But a Justice of Peace may do a Ministerial Act out of the County, Cro Car. 211. such as examine a Party robbed, whether he know the Felons, according 1 700 239to the Statute, or not.

Vol. III. 4 F  $Alf_0$  2 Hawk. P. C. 37. 2 Hal. Hift-P. C. 51. Also by the better Opinion, Recognizances and Informations voluntarily taken before them in any Place are good; for those, says my Lord Chief Justice Hale, are Acts of voluntary Jurisdiction, and may be done out of the County, as well as a Bishop may grant Administration, Institution, or Orders, out of his Diocese.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 51. But a Justice of Peace cannot imprison a Person for not giving a Recognizance, or commit a Person for a Crime, for these are Acts of compulsory Jurisdiction, which he cannot exercise out of his proper County.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 51.

If A. commit a Felony in the County of B. where he lives, and goes into the County of C. and is there taken, a Justice of the Peace of the County of C. may take his Examination and Informations in the County of C. tho' the Felony were committed in the County of B. but my Lord Hale says, that upon his Arraignment in the County of B. he would never allow these Examinations to be given in Evidence, because tho' he may commit and examine, and give an Oath to the Informers, yea and bind them over to give Evidence, or commit them, yet that is but for Necessity of preserving the Peace, for he hath really no Juris-diction in the Case.

1 Hal. Hift P. C. 580. If A, commit a Felony in the County of B, and upon a Warrant iffued against him by a Justice of Peace in the County of B, he is pursued and slies into the County of C, and there is taken, he must not, by Virtue of that Warrant, be carried to a Justice of Peace of the County of B, where he committed the Felony, but to a Justice of Peace in the County of C, where he was taken.

County of C. where he was

1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 581. But if A, were taken by the Warrant in the County of B, and break away into the County of C, and be there taken upon fresh Suit by them that first took him, he may be either brought to a Justice of the County of C, where he was last taken, or before the Justice of the County of C, by whose Warrant he was first taken; for in Supposition of Law he

was always in Custody.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 115.

But if he escape before Arrest into another County, if it be a Warrant barely for a Misdemeanor, it seems the Officer cannot pursue him into another County, because out of the Jurisdiction of the Justice that granted the Warrant; but in case of Felony, Affray, or dangerous Wounding, the Officer may pursue him, and raise Hue and Cry upon him into any County; but if he take him in a foreign County, he is to bring him to the Gaol or Justice of that County where he is taken, for he doth not take him purely by the Warrant of the Justice, but by the Authority which the Law gives him, and the Justice's Warrant is a sufficient Cause of Suspicion and Pursuit.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 581. If A. be a Justice of Peace in two adjacent Counties, tho' by several Commissions, as the Recorder of London is, he, whilst he lives in one County, may send his Warrant to apprehend Malesactors in another, and fend them to Newgate, which is the common Gaol both for London and Middlesex.

4 Co. 46. a. Wigg's Cafe. 2 Hal. Hift. The Justices of the Peace have Jurisdiction of Felonies arising within the Verge.

P. C. 52. 2 Hawk. P.C. 37. 2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 49.

Justices of the Peace for a County have, by their Commission, an express Authority as well within Liberties as without, and may execute their Office within a Town which has a special Commission of the Peace for its own Limits, unless such Commission have a Clause, that no other Justices, except those named in it, shall any way concern themselves in the Keeping of the Peace within the Liberties of such Town.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 47. 2 Hawk P.C.

Also it seems, that the fuch Commission have a special exclusive Cause, of which the Justices have Notice, yet their Acts within a Liberty are not void, the perhaps they may be punished for Proceeding in Desiance of such restrictive Clause, as for a Contempt of the King's Prohibition.

By

By the 9 Georg. 1. cap. 7. feet. 3. it is enacted, 'That if any Justice of Peace shall dwell in any City, or other Precinct, that is a County of itself, situate within the County at large, for which he shall be appointed Justice of Peace, althor not within the same County, it shall and may be lawful for any fuch Justice of Peace to grant Warrants, take Examinations, and make Orders, for any Matters which any one or more Justice or Justices of the Peace may act in, at his own Dwelling-house, althor such Dwelling-house be out of the County where he is authorized to act as a Justice of Peace, and in some City, or other Precinct adjoining, that is a County of it self; and that all such Warrants, Orders, and other Act or Acts of any such Justice of Peace, and the Act or Acts of any Constable, Tythingman, Headborough, Overseer of the Poor, Surveyor of the Highways, or other Officer, in Obedience to any such Warrant or Order, shall be valid, good and effectual in the Law, altho' it happen to be out of the Limits of the proper Precinct or Authority; Provided always, that nothing in this Act contained shall extend to give Power to the Justices of Peace for the Counties at large, to hold their General Quarter-Sessions of the e Peace in Cities or Towns, which are Counties of themselves, nor to impower Justices of Peace, Sheriffs, Bailiffs, Constables, Headboroughs, <sup>6</sup> Tythingmen, Borsholders, or any other Peace-Officers of the Counties 6 at large, to act or intermeddle in any Matters or Things arifing within 6 Cities or Towns, which are Counties of themselves, but that all such · Actings and Doings shall be of the same Force and Essect in Law, and onone other, as if this Act had never been made.

### Leases and Terms Pears.

Lease for Years is a Contract between Lessor and Lessee, for the Possession and Profits of Lands, &c. on the one Side, and a Recompence for Rent, or other Income, on the

This is esteemed in Law a middle Kind of Interest between an Estate Spelm. Rem. 2, for Life and a Tenancy at Will; for those who held large Districts and Tracts of Lands, being unacquainted with the Arts of Husbandry and Tillage, found it their Interest to lease out their Demesnes, which for want of Care and Cultivation lay Waste, and afforded them little or no Profit; and this way of Letting for Years was thought best to anfwer the Defign and Intentions of the Lord, as well as the Expectations of the Tenant; for if they had let them for Life, this had given the Tenants too great a Power over the Lord, because then they would have had a Property in the Freehold, and by fuffering Diffeilins, or feigned Recoveries to be had against themselves, might have shaken or endangered the Inheritance of the Owner; and on the other Side, if they had leased their Land only at Will, sew would have been willing to bestow

any great Pains or Industry upon so precarious a Possession, which the arbitrary Will and Pleasure of a peevish Lord might have defeated.

Originally Leases for Years were but of little Regard, the Tenant having only utile, not directum Dominium, and was faid Tenere nomine alieno; and as he had only the Perception of the Profits, whoever recovered the Freehold reduced likewise the Possession, whether such Recovery were true or feigned; and the Lessee had no other Remedy but an Action of Covenant against the Lessor; and this, at least, was thought a just Construction, that he who had devested himself of the Profits of his Lands for a Time, by giving them to another, should be obliged to maintain that Gift, or be liable to make Satisfaction if he did not; and this was the more reasonable, because the Lessee was equally bound to answer and make good the Rent during the Term; and if he did not, the Law allowed the Lessor to maintain an Action of Covenant, as well as of Debt against him, for with-holding thereof; and as they made this Construction for the Lessor upon the Words Tielding and Paying, which were no express Covenant in themselves, it was but reasonable they should make the like Construction for the Lessee upon the Word Dimisit, which in itself no more imported an express Covenant on his Part; but by making this Construction mutual, they did Justice to both, and by making of it at all, they plainly shewed their Opinions of the Lease to be no other than a Contract or Agreement between the Parties, and not such an Act as transferred any Property to the Lessee; and this is one Reason why Leafes for Years are confidered as Chattels, and go to (a) Executors.

Vice Tit. Covenant, and 1 Salk. 137.

(a) Therefore if a Lease be

made to a Bishop, Abbot, Parson, or any other sole Corporation, and his Successors, for such a Number of Years, yet it shall go to the Executors or Administrators of the Lessee, and not to his Successors, because a Term for Years being looked upon as a Chattel, the Executors or Administrators are the only Persons the Law allows to succeed thereto; and this Succession to the Chattel cannot be altered or controlled by any Limitation of the Party; but yet in such Case it seems, that the Executors or Administrators of the Lessee shall hold it in the Right of, and as Trustees for the Successors; for the Book suys, they shall have it in Auter droit Co. Lit 9. a. 46. b. 90. a. — But this Rule, as to the Successor of Chattels, hath two Exceptions. 1. In case of the King, who by his Prerogative may take any Chattels in Succession, and consequently a Lease made to him and his Successor stor Years is good, and shall go accordingly, and not to his Executors or Administrators. Co. Lit. 90. a. 11 Co. 92. a. — The second Exception is in case of the Chamberlain of London, who, by Custom of the City, construed by divers Asts of Parliament, may take Chattels in Succession for the Benefit of Orphans; but Quare, if this Custom extends to Leases for Years, for the Books only mention Recognizances, Obligations, &c. which are given or entred into to the Chamberlain and his Successor, by way of Security for Orphans Portions; Quare therefore if a Lease may be made to the Chamberlain and his Successor Years. Cro. Eliz. 464. 4 Inst. 249. 4 Co. 65. Fulwood's Case.

Co Lit. 45. b. Mirror 164. 293. 1 Vent. 55.

Another Reason was, because at first these Leases were made but for a small Number of Years, (for my Lord Coke tells us, that by the ancient Law of England, no Man could have made a Lease for above forty Years at the most,) and the Reason thereof seems to be, because they were only made to serve the Occasions and Exigencies of the Lord in cultivating and improving his Demesnes, not to borrow Money on or raise Portions for Daughters, or such other Uses as are now made thereof; therefore there was no Need to extend them to any great Length of Time, since they might be renewed as often as Occasion required; besides, the Lesses, if they were evicted, being only to recover Damages, it would have been fruitless to prolong Leases for the Term of 1000 Years, when the Persons who were to possess under such Leases had no Remedy for their Damages but by Recourse to the Representatives of the original Lessor.

Vide Tit. Fines and Recoveries.

Also another Reason might be, because these Leases for Years were under the Power of the Freeholder to destroy by a Recovery, for the Person coming in by the Recovery, was supposed to come in by Title Paramount, and so was not bound or obliged by them, and by Conse-

quence few would be willing to take Leales for any long Term, which

they might fo eafily be defeated of.

But the Reign of H. 7. it was refolved, that the Lessees should Bro. Tit. not only recover Damages as a Recompense for the Possession lost, but Leases 20. F. N. B. 198, should also recover the Possession itself; and the Statute 21 H. 8. cap. 15. 220. gives the Termor Power to, falsify all Manner of Pecoveries had against Vargh 127. the Tenant of the Freehold, upon seigned and untrue Titles; from whence 4 Co. 80. Men began to limit long Leafes, because by such Purchases they escaped 1 Lev. 46. the Warship, Relief, and other Burthens that were annexed to the ancient Tenures, yet no Alteration was made in the Succession to them, the Law having been formerly fettled as to that Point; and if they had not carried the Succession in the Manner they formerly did, they had lost the End of fuch Limitation.

And tho' at this Day Terms for Years are multiplied to a much longer Duration than they were formerly, and there is now ample Remedy to recover the Term itself, yet the Succession continues the same; for be-fides the Reasons already given, it would be inconvenient to have had one Rule of Property for short Terms, and another for those that were longer, being all of the same Nature, and still no more than Leases for Years; befides, the Difficulty of fixing the just Bounds to any precise Determinate Number of Years, fince one or two Years, more or lefs, would have made very little Difference in Reason, were the Bounds affixed to Leafes of never fo long a Continuance, and long or short are only Terms of Comparison; as a Lease for forty Years is long with respect to one of eight or ten Years, and yet short with respect to another of a hundred Years; therefore that there might be an Uniformity in the Law, all Leases for Years are held to be of less Value than Estates for Life, as being Originally of much shorter Duration, and also because they were under the Power of the Tenant of the Freehold to destroy, and therefore are confidered only as Chattels, and cast upon the Executors.

We shall consider this Head under the following Divisions.

- (A) Of what Things Leases may be made for Pears.
- (B) Of the Persons by whom Leases may be made; and therein, 1. Of Leases by Infants.
- (C) Of Leases made by Husband and Wife: And herein,
  - 1. Of Leafes made by the Husband and Wife by the Common
  - 2. Of Leafes made by them purfuant to the Statute of 32  $H_{\bullet}$  8.
- (D) Of Leases by Tenant in Tail: And herein,
  - 1. What Leafes Tenant in Tail might have made by the Common Law.
  - 2. What Leafes Tenant in Tail may now make to bind his Issue, since the 32 H. S.
  - 3. When and in what Cases the Issue in Tail, or Strangers, thall be bound by voidable Leafes made by Tenant in Tail.

## (E) Of Leases for Lives or Pears by Ecclesiastical Persons: And herein,

1. What Leafes they might have made by the Common Law, and of the feveral enabling and disabling Statutes, with some general Observations on them.

2. Of the Rules to be observed, and Qualifications requisite to

the Perfection of fuch Leases: And therein,

Rule 1. Where an Indenture or Deed is necessary.
Rule 2. When such Leases are to begin: And herein,

1. When fuch Leafes as have no Date at all, or a void or

impossible Date, are to begin.

- 2. Such Leases as have a good Date, and are delivered on the same Day; in what Cases the Day of the Date or Delivery is to be taken inclusive, and in what Cases exclusive.
- 3. Such Leafes as have a good Date, but are not delivered till a Week or Month, &c. after, when they are to begin, and how the Declaration on fuch Leafes is to be framed.
- Rule 3. Within what Time the old Leafe is to be furrendered; and therein of concurrent Leafes.
- Rule 4. That fuch Leafes are not to exceed three Lives or Twenty-one Years.
- Rule 5. Of what Things Leases may be made to bind the Successor.
- Rule 6. What shall be said a usual Letting to Farm upon the several Statutes, and by what Persons.

Rule 7. What Rent is to be referved: And herein,

- 1. That there must be a Rent reserved.
- 2. That this Rent must continue due, and be payable to the Lessors and their Successors.
- 3. That fuch Rent must be the same, or more in Quantity than hath been reserved within twenty Years next before such Lease made: And herein,
  - 1. What shall be said to be the ancient Rent where Variety of Rents have been reserved, or something formerly reserved now omitted or varied.

2. In what Manner fuch Refervation is to be made.

- 3. Where the Addition of more Land, with or without the Addition of more Rent, shall avoid such Leases.
- 4. Where a Refervation of the whole Rent, or only pro Rata on a Leafe of Part, should be good.

Rule 8. That fuch Leafes must be made without Impeachment of Waste.

- (F) Of Leases by Parsons, Aicars and others, with referent to other Qualifications.
- (G) Of the Consent of Consirmation of others to Leases made by Ecclesiastical Persons: And herein,
  - 1. Where Confirmation is necessary either in respect of the Leases or Estates made, or of the Persons making the same.
  - 2. What Perfons are to confirm fuch Leafes or Estates, and ia what Manner.
  - 3. What Estates they who make such Confirmation are to have.
  - 4. At what Time fuch Confirmation is to be made.
  - 5. How far Regard is to be had to the true Naming of the Corporation or Perfons who do confirm.

## (H) Of void or voidable Leases by Ecclesiastical Persons: And herein,

- 1. Against whom Leases not pursuant to the Statutes, or other-wife defective, are void or only voidable.
- 2. By what Means and in what Cafes fuch voidable Leafes may be made good.
- 3. The Manner of avoiding fuch Leafes as are only voidable.

## (1) Of Leases made by those who have but a particular Estate or Interest in the Lands leased: And herein,

- 1. Of Leases made by Tenant in Dower or Curtefy.
- 2. Of Leases made by Tenant for Life.
- 3. Of Derivative Leases, or by one who is but a Lessee for Years himself,
- 4. Of Leafes made by a Diffeifor or Diffeifec.
- 5. Of Leafes made by Joint-tenants or Tenants in common.
- 6. Of Leases made by Copyholders.
- 7. Of Leafes made by Executors or Administrators.
- 8. Of Leafes made by a Bailiff of a Manor.
- 9. Of Leafes made by a Guardian.
- 10. Of Leases made pursuant to Authority.
- 11. Of Leafes made pursuant to Powers in private Conveyances and Settlements.

#### (K) By what form of Mords Leafes may be made.

- (L) What Certainty is requilite to Leafes for Pears as to their Beginning, Continuance and Ending: And herein,
  - 1. With Regard to the Date of the Leafe.
  - 2. With Regard to other Circumstances taken Notice of in the Deed of Lease, whereby to ascertain the Commencement thereof.
  - 3. The Certainty of Leafes for Years as to their Continuance.
  - 4. The Certainty of Leafes for Years as to their Duration and Ending.

- (M) In what Cales and to what Respects an Entry by the Lessee is requisite to the Perfection of his Lease.
- (N) Leafes for Pears, when to take Effect as a Reversion, when as a future Interest, and when neither the one nor the other.
- (O) Leafe's for Pears by Elloppel, how far and against whom fuch Leafes are good.
- (P) Leales for Pears and kuture Interests, how far they may be barred or destroyed, and how far not, and where an Entry before the Term begun is a Visiessin.
- (Q) How far, and by what Means, Leakes for Pears in Trust to attend an Inheritance may be barred or destroyed.
- (R) Leases so: Pears, when merged by Union with the freehold of fee.
- (S) Of Surrenders of Leafes for Pears: And herein,
  - 1. Of Surrenders in Fact or Express: And herein again,
    - 1. By what Words fuch Surrender may be made.
      2. Upon what Estate such Surrender may operate.
    - 3. Of Surrenders in Law, or implied Surrenders: And therein,
    - i. With Regard to Leases in Possession.
      - 2. With Regard to Leases in Futuro.
      - 3. With Regard to the Thing itself fo surrendered.
- (T) Leases, when determined by cancelling the Deed.

# (A) Of what Things Leases may be made for Pears.

FTER fuch Time as Leafes for Years began to be looked upon as fixt and permanent Interests, and that the Lessees were sufficiently provided to defend themselves, and their Possessions, against the Acts and Incroachments as well of the Lessor as of Strangers, Men found it their Interest to improve and incourage this Sort of Property, and therefore extended it to all Sorts of Interests and Possessinos whatsoever, being led thereto by that known Rule, that whatfoever may be granted or parted with for ever, may be granted or parted with for a Time; and therefore not only Lands and Houses have been let for Years, but also Goods and Chattels, tho' the Interest of the Lessee therein differs from the Interest he hath in Lands or Houses so let for Years: For if one lease for Years a Stock of live Cattle, fuch Leafe is good, and the Leffee hath only the Use and Profits of them during the Term; but yet the Lessor hath not any Reversion in them to grant over to another, either during the Term or after, till the Lessee hath re-delivered them to him, as he would have of Lands in case of such Lease for Years, for the Lessor

Godb. 112.
1 Leon. 42.
Owen 139.
5 Co. 16, 17.
Dyer 56. a.
110. a. 212.b.
Bro. Tit.
Leafes 23.
2 Bulf. 7.

hath only a Possibility of Property in case they all outlive the Term; for if any of them die during the Term, the Lessor cannot have them again after the Term; and during the Term he hath nothing to do with them, as consequently of such as die, the Property rests absolutely in the Leffee; fo whether they live or die, yet all the young ones coming of them, as Lambs, Calves, &c. belong absolutely to the Lessee as Profits arifing and fevered from the Principal, fince otherwise the Lessee would pay his Rent for nothing; and therefore this differs from a Leafe of other dead Goods and Chattels; for there if any Thing be added for the Repairing, Mending or Improving thereof, the Lessor shall have the Improvements and Additions, together with the Principal, after the Lease ended, because they cannot be severed without destroying or spoiling the Principal; neither is the Succession of young ones, in case any of the old ones die, to be refembled to a Corporation Aggregate, whereof when any die, those that succeed shall be said Part of the same Corporation, for the Corporation, in its publick Capacity, never dies; but this being a Lease of such and such individual Cattle, when any of them die, the Possibility of reverting Property, which was left in the Lessor, is determined and at an End; but the Leffee in fuch Cafe cannot kill, destroy, fell, or give them away, during the Term, without being subject to an Action of Trespass, as it should seem; but in case of a Lease of a House, Lit. seet. 712 together with Goods, it is usual to make a Schedule thereof, and affix it Co. Lit. 57. d. to the Leafe, and to have a Covenant from the Lessee to re-deliver them at the End of the Term, and without such Covenant the Lessor could have no other Remedy but Trover or Detinue for them after the  ${f Le}$ afe ended.

If one hath a Corody for Life, he may let it to another, or to the Bro. Tit. Grantor himself; so may the Grantee of House-Boot, or Hay-Boot; but Leases 40. in case such Lease be to the Lessor himself, rendering Rent, he can only have them by way of Retainer, being to arise out of his own Provision, or his own Land.

But as to Lands, or other Things of Inheritance, as they may be Hard 357-granted or departed with for ever, fo they may for a Time, and confequently may be leased for Years in all Cases where no Inconvenience or Injury to the Publick is like to ensue; for then Mens private Interests must give way to the Publick, and what might otherwise in its own Nature be good and allowable, must upon that Account be disallowed and stand condemned; wherefore it having been settled, that all Leases for Years were but Chattels, and as such should go to Executors or Administrators; the first Case wherein we find any Objection to a Lease for 9 Co. 97.

Years is, that of the Office of Marshal of the King's Bench Prison, for 1 Rol. Abr. that being an Office of great Trust, concerning the Administration of \$47.

Justice in the Keeping of Prisoners, if it should be granted for Years, 153. Sir might be injurious to the Publick, by being in Suspence till Probate of George Reythe Will or Administration taken out; and if the Officer should die in- wolds sale. the Will or Administration taken out; and if the Officer should die in-nolds's Gales, debted, so that none would prove his Will, or take out Administration, Cro. Car. 587. Then there would be no Officer at all, and Executors or Administrators Hob. 1503. would be in by Act of Law, without Allowance of the Court; also it 3 Mod. 145, might be a Question, if such Office should not be forfeited by Outlawry, or be Assets in the Executor's Hands; and many other Inconveniencies would follow, if fuch Grant for Years were allowed; for the same Reafons it was held likewise, that the Offices of Custos Brevium, Chirographer, Clerk of the Pipe, of the King's Silver, or of the Crown, Remembrancer or Chamberlain of the Exchequer, Prothonotaries, and other Offices in the feveral Courts of Justice, cannot be granted for Years; and tho' the Offices of Sheriff and Coroner were granted for Years, till restrained by 14 E. 3. cap. 7. yet it was never debated what Inconveniencies might ensue by allowing thereof; and these Reasons held equally good Vol. III.

(a) In 2 Chan, against granting the Office of Warden of the Fleet, or any other (a) Ca. 70. it is Gaolership. faid by my

Lord Chancellor, that he thought the Case of a Gaolership not grantable for Years too easily slipt

6 Mod. 57. And altho' it hath been rejoived, that the Smith Sutton's Case. King's Rench Prison cannot be granted for Years, yet it hath been held, for hereby the Danger of the Office's going to Executors is avoided, which the Book fays is the fole Reason why the Office is not absolutely grantable

Also it appears that the Dean and Chapter of Westminster made a Lease Raym. 216. 2 Lev. 71. for Years of the Gatehouse Prison, and the Lessee had committed several The King ver. Offences which amounted to a Forfeiture, for which the Office was feifed, 3 Keb. 32. Lady Brough- but no (b) Objection made to its being let for Years.

(b) Note; There feems a Difference between Sir George Reynolds's Case and this, because in Sir George Reynolds's Case the Grant for Years was from the Crown, in whom all Offices, in Relation to the Administration of Justice, are originally and inherently lodged; and therefore for the Crown to grant out such Office for Years may be liable to the Objections before mentioned; but in this Case the Dean and Chapter are the immediate Grantees of the Crown, and they have the Office to them and their Successors for ever in Fee, and are perpetual Gaolers themselves, and answerable to the Crown, not-withstanding any superior Lease to another; and therefore they always take Security of such Under-Leffce for their own Indemnity.

Hard. 46. Fones and Clerk.

But such Offices as do not concern the Administration of Justice, but only require Skill and Diligence, may be granted for Years; because they may be executed by Deputy, without any Inconvenience to the Publick: Therefore where a Grant for Years was made of the Office of Garbler of Spices in London, it was adjudged to be a good Grant, or at least a good Appointment for Years, within the Intent of the Statute 1 7ac. 1. сар. 19.

Hard. 352.

The Office of Printer was granted for Years 6 Car. 1. and held a good Grant, being but an Employment: So the Office of Post-master was

granted to the Lord Stanbope for Years, and held good.

Hard. 351, 354, 357.

The Office of Register of Policies of Assurance in London concerning Merchants was granted by the King for Years, and adjudged to be a good Grant; because it did not concern the Administration of Justice in any Court, but required only the Skill of writing after a Copy: So the Office of making and fealing Subpana's granted for Years, and allowed to be good; and there several Precedents are cited of Offices granted for Years; as, first, Offices in which the Safety of the Realm was concerned, as the Office of the Warden of a Haven or Port by H. 6. of Gunpowder I Car. 1. of making Gunpowder by Car. 2. Also Offices concerning the Trade of the Realm have been granted for Years; as I H. 7. of the Exchange of Money; 18 H. 8. of Gager; 17 Rich. 2. of Aulnager, tho' a Seal belongs to it, with which the Officer is intrusted; of the Letter-Office, 3 Car. 1. Also Offices in Courts of Justice have been granted for Years; as the Office of Surveyor of the Green Wax, of the Sixpenny Writs in Chancery and Subpana's, of Comptroller and Customer, and of making out Process in C. B. All these, and several others, have been granted for Years; but no Dispute having been made of the Validity of them, how far some of them would hold at this Day, may be a Question.

Dyer 303. Hob. 146. 3 Keb. 80. Amousturer of Clothes appointed by

> à Lev. 245. But where one made a Grant for Years of the Stewardship of a Court-Leet and Court-Baron, this was held void as to the Court-Leet, being a Judicial Office, but good as to the Court-Baron, being only ministerial, and the Suitors Judges thereof; but the Grant appearing afterwards to be for Years, determinable upon the Death of the Lessee, it was held good

> > for both, because there was no Danger of its coming to Executors or Administrators.

One Mrs. Demis was found by Office to be an Ideot a Nativitate; the 2 Chan. Ca. One Mrs. Dennis was found by Onice to be an ideot a transfer, the Prodgers ver. King grants the Custody of Body and Estate to Sir Alexander Frazier, Lady Frazier, his Executors and Administrators, during the Ideocy; Sir Alexander dies, 1 Vern. 9, and then the King grants the Custody to Mr. Prodgers; and whether he 137. S. c. or the Executrix of Sir Alexander had the better Title, was the Question; it was faid to be a Trust in the King, and therefore not grantable to Executors or Administrators, and that if the Grantee die intestate, there would be none to take Care of the Ideot. On the other Side it was faid, that the King had not only a Trust, but an Interest, and might have disposed of the Profits to his own Use, or grant them over as he thought fit, in Case of an Ideot, tho' it was otherwise in Case of a Lunatick, and that it being a Chattel should naturally go to Executors; and to this Opinion my Lord Chancellor inclined, but directed the Validity of the Patent to be tried at Law; and (a) in B. R. the Grant to Sir Alexander (a); Mod 43. was held good; for the King has the same Interest in an Ideot that he had in his Ward, which always went to the Executor of his Grantee, tho' it was otherwise in the Case of a Lunatick.

of an ideal goes to the acors, some

The Office of Park-keeper was granted for Years, and no Objection 2 Rol. Rep. made to it; for this does not concern the Administration of Justice, but 274.

Godb. 413. only requires Diligence and Care.

Dignities or Honours cannot be granted for Years, as to be Earl, Duke, Co. Lit. 16. b. Baron, &c. because then they must go to the Executors or Administra- 9 Co. 97. b. tors, whilst the Estate that should support them would go to the Heir, and so introduce Confusion and Absurdity.

By 23 H. 6. cap. 10. it is provided, that no Sheriff shall let to Farm 23 H. 6. in any Manner his County, nor any of his Bailiwicks, Hundreds, or cap. 10. Wapentakes; which proves that before this Statute it was not unufual to let them to Farm.

By the 12 Car. 2. cap. 23. fest. 27. the Lord Treasurer or Commis- 12 Car 2. fioners of the Treasury for the Time being have Power to let to Farm cap. 23. sett. all or any the Rates or Duties of Excise upon Beer, Ale, Cyder, and 27. other Liquors therein mentioned, so as the same exceed not the Term of three Years; without which Clause the Treasurer or Commissioners of the Treasury could not have made such Lease, tho' perhaps the King himself might, having the absolute Interest and Ownership therein.

By the 12 Car. 2. cap. 25. fett. 3. Power is given to the King's Agents 12 Car. 2. for granting of Wine Licences to any Person or Persons for any Time or cap. 25 sett. 3-Term not exceeding twenty-one Years, if such Person or Persons shall so long live, upon fuch Rent as shall be agreed on, to be paid Half-yearly, and fuch Licence not to be granted to any but those who personally use the Trade of felling by Retail, or to the Landlord of fuch House, nor shall the same be assignable, or of any Benefit but only to the first

By the 12 Car. 2. cap. 25. sect. 16. it is provided, that his Majesty, his 12 Car. 2. Heirs and Successors, may grant the Office of Postmaster General, with cap. 35. set. all Profits, Fees, &c. to any Person or Persons for Life, or Term of Years not exceeding twenty-one Years, under fuch Rents and Covenants as shall be thought best for the Good of the Kingdom.

By the 22 & 23 Car. 2. cap. 14. fell. 6. Power was given to the Master 22 & 23 Car. and Chaplains of the Savoy, to encourage the Rebuilding thereof, to demise 2. cap. 14. any of the Lodgings for any Term not exceeding forty Years, under fuch fett. 6. Rents as they could procure, without renewing.

#### (B) Of the Persons who may make Leases; and therein, first, of Leases made by In= fants.

S to Leases made by Infants, or such as are under the Age of twenty-A one Years, what feems most considerable is, whether any, and what Leafes for Years made by fuch are absolutely and ipfo facto void, or only voidable by them; about which the Opinions of the Books feem a little

Some Opinions are, that all Leafes for Years made by Infants (a) with-Aloor 105. 2 Leon. 218. out Refervation of Rent, are absolutely void, and not only voidable. Hutton 102.

(a) So if a Trifle only had been reserved, as a Pepper corn. 1 Mod. 263. — But 1 Rol. Rep. 441. that a Lease made by an Infant to try his Title is good, tho' no Rent be reserved. Moor 105. 2 Leon. 216. Noy 130.

Lit. feet. 547. 308. a. 1 Lev. 6. Moor 78.

Bro. Tit.

R . W

Other Opinions there are, that Leafes for Years in general by Infants Co. Lit. 45. b. are only voidable, and not void, without taking Notice whether any Rent were referred on fuch Leafes, or not; and fome even feem to hold, that tho' no Rent at all be referved, yet the Leafes are not thereby abfolutely void, but only voidable by the Infants when they come of Age, and that they may confirm the same at their full Age by accepting of Fealty, which is at least incident to every Lease.

Also most of the Books agree, that if a Rent were reserved on such Leafe for Years, then it would be only voidable by the Infant at full Age, without faying how it would be if no Rent at all were referved, unless by Implication that it would be void in fuch Cafe.

Leafes 50. Moor 663. 1 Rol. Abr. 729, 730. 3 Mod. 307. 5 Co. 119. 2 Inst 483. Moor, pl. 132. Cro. Eliz. 127, S 5 7. Poph. 178. 10 Co. 43. Vide Head of Infants.

But all the Books agree, that if an Infant make a Leafe for Years, he cannot plead Non eft factum, but must avoid it by pleading the special Matter of his Infancy; which feems to favour the Opinion of those who hold, that the Lease is not absolutely void; for if the Lease were absolutely void, there does not feem to be any good Reafon why he might not plead Non est factum, as a Feme Covert certainly may do in such Case, whose Lease is absolutely void, so that no Acceptance of Rent after her Husband's Death can make it good.

Latch 199. Godb. 364. Albfield and Ajbfield. Nov 92. and 1 Fon. 157. S. C. which last Book fays, that it was held to be no Forfeiture as to the Lord, but that admityet it was a good Leafe as to all Strangers. and that for

An Infant Copyholder without Licence of the Lord made a Lease for Years by Parol, rendering Rent, and at full Age was admitted, and accepted the Rent, and then oufted the Lessee; and in this Case tho' it was agreed, that a Leafe for Years, rendering Rent, by an Infant, of Freehold Lands was only voidable, yet it was urged that in Case of a Copyhold it would be otherwise, because the Lease not being warranted by the Custom would be a Disseisin to the Lord, and consequently a Forseiture of his Copyhold, which being a great Mischief to the Infant, the Court ought rather to help him, by adjudging fuch Leafe to be absolutely void; but notwithstanding this, it was adjudged that the Lease was a good Lease till avoided, and that a Lease for Years by a Copyholder without ting it were, Licence is not a Diffeifin; and admitting it should be a Forseiture in this Case, yet if the Lord enters for it, the Infant may re-enter upon him, and so is at no Mischief, and therefore having accepted the Rent at full Age, hath made it good and unavoidable.

this Reason principally it was adjudged such Acceptance made it good.

Cro Jac. 320. If an Infant takes a Leafe for Years of Lands, rendering Rent, which Kettley and is in Arrear for feveral Years, then the Infant comes of Age, and still Godb. 120. 2 Balf. 60. 1 Rel. Abr 731. S. C. adjudged,

continues

2

continues the Occupation of the Land, this makes the Leafe good and unavoidable, and by Consequence makes him chargeable with all the Arrears incurred during his Minority; for tho' at full Age he might have departed from his Bargain, and thereby have avoided Payment of the Arrears which the Lessor suffered to incur during his Minority, yet his Continuance of Possession after his full Age ratifies and affirms the Contract ab Initio, and so gives Remedy for the Arrears of Rent incurred from the Time of the Contract made.

But if an Infant possessed of a Term for Years sells it for Money, and Dalf. 64. per after he comes of full Age receives Part of the Money for it, he shall Curiam. avoid the Grant notwithstanding; for the Contract, as faid, being void in the Commencement, it cannot be made good by any subsequent Act.

By Custom in some Places an Infant seised of Lands in Socage may at Co. Lit. 45. b. the Age of fifteen Years make a Leafe for Years, which shall bind him after he comes of Age; for the Custom makes fifteen his full Age for that Purpofe.

An Infant made a Leafe for Years, and at full Age faid to the Leffee, 4 Leon. 4. God give you foy of it; this was held by Mead a good Affirmation of the Leafe; for this is a usual Compliment to express one's Assent and Approbation of what is done.

If the King within Age makes a Lease for Years, this is binding pre- Plow. 212. fently, and cannot be avoided by him either during his Minority, or when Dyer 200. Case of the comes of Age; for the Politick Rules of Government have thought it Dutchy of necessary that he, who is to govern and manage the whole Kingdom, Lancafter. should never be considered as a Minor, incapable of governing himself and his own Affairs.

#### (C) Of Leales made by Husband and Wife: And herein,

#### 1. Of Leases made by the Husband and Wife by the Common Law.

T is clearly agreed, that if a Husband feised of Lands in Right of his Bro. Tit. Ac-Wise make a Lease thereof by Indenture or Deed-Poll, reserving ceptance 10. Rent, that this is a good Lease for the whole Term, unless the Wise by Cro. Fac. 332. Some Act after the Husband's Death she Death the Lease is thereby Wise accepts Rent which becomes due after his Death, the Leafe is thereby Wikes. become absolute and unavoidable; the Reason whereof is, that the Wife 2 And 42. after her Intermarriage being by Law difabled to contract for, or make Co. Lit. 45. b. any Disposition of her own Possessions, as having subjected herself and her whole Will to the Will and Power of her Husband, the Law thereupon transfers the Power of dealing and contracting for her Possessions to the Husband, because no other can then intermeddle therewith, and without fuch Power in the Husband they would be obliged to keep them in their own Manurance or Occupation, which might be greatly to the Prejudice of both; but to prevent the Husband's Abusing such Power, and lest he should make Leases to the Prejudice of his Wife's Inheritance, the Law has left her at Liberty after his Death either to affirm and make good fuch Leafe, or to defeat and avoid it, as she finds most subfervient to her own Interest.

So if the Wife join in such Lease for Years by Indenture, if not made Cro. Fac. 563-pursuant to the 32 H. 8. she is after her Husband's Death at Liberty 406

Cro. Fac. 617 Telv. 1 Cvs. Eliz. 769 1 Rol Abr 350.

Vol. III.

either to affirm it by Acceptance of Rent, or to dissent to, and avoid it by bringing of Trespass, &c. in the same Manner as if she had been no Party thereto; for her joining during the Coverture, when she was not fui Juris, but under the Power of the Husband, will not bind her after his Death; and if the chuses to avoid such Lease, notwithstanding her joining therein, then it is so absolutely defeated ab Initio as to her, that she may plead Non dimisit, because as to any Interest that passed from her she did not demise, nor in Truth had any Power to contract, but the whole Interest passed from the Husband, and the Lessee is in meerly by Virtue of the Husband's Contract; and yet because the Lessee by his Acceptance of fuch Leafe admitted them both to have Power to join therein, he must accordingly during the Coverture declare of the Lease by them both, as an effential Part of the Description of the Lease whereby he makes Title.

2 Co. 61. 3 Co. 21. Plow. 431. a. Sav 109,110,

112. Cro. Car. 527.

But the Indenture or Deed Poll, whereby fuch Leafe was made, being no effential Part either of the Description or Lease itself, because the Husband during the Coverture might have made it by Parol only; there-Cro. Eliz. 438, fore it is neither necessary nor usual for the Lessee in his Declaration to

So also if the Wife's Part in such Lease were meetly void, and her

joining therein would have no Effect to help the Description of the Lease,

make any Mention thereof.

Yelv. I. Wil-Hopkins's Case; and the S. C. 2 Bulf. 13. obscurely pur, seem

The dollowy of the alease by the

loife of her Lands must be in ferson -

fon and Rich. Cro. Car. 165. cont.

then the Lessee ought in his Declaration upon such Lease to leave out the Wife, otherwise his inserting of her as one of the Lessors will vitiate his Declaration; therefore where the Husband and Wife fealed a Leafe for Years of the Wife's Lands, and at the same Time executed a Letter of Attorney to a third Person to deliver such Lease as their Deed to the Leffee, which he did accordingly, and then the Leffee brought an Ejectment, and declared of this by Baron and Feme; to which Not guilty being pleaded, this special Matter was found; and the Court, after Argument, gave Judgment that the Plaintiff had failed in his Declaration, because, as this Case was, it was only the Lease of the Husband; for the Delivery of the Deed being effential to make it a complete Deed, this ought to have been done by the Wife herfelf in Person; for she being not fui Juris could not by fuch Letter of Attorney delegate any Power or Authority whatfoever to another, but such Delegation was meerly null and void; and by Confequence the Attorney's delivering it in her Name was to no Purpole, but it was only the Lease of the Husband, as being only effectually delivered by him; and therefore the Plaintiff ought to have declared accordingly; for upon the Matter it was no Leafe by the Husband and Wife, and then the Plaintiff declaring upon it as fuch, hath

Cro. Fac. 617. Gardiner and Norman

failed in his Description of the Lease whereon he was to recover. Accordingly in another Case, where in Ejectment the Plaintiff declared on a Lease by the Husband only, and Not guilty pleaded, the like special Matter was found as in the former Case, and Exception taken to the Declaration, because the Wife was omitted; yet the Court held the Declaration good, and difallowed the Exception, because her Manner of joining in the Leafe was meerly void, as if she had not been named therein, and then the Plaintiff in his Description of such Lease did well to omit her.

Cro. Eliz 656. Walfall and Heath.

But now if the Husband and Wife join in a Leafe for Years by Parol of the Wife's Lands, rendering Rent, or if the Husband folely make such Cro. 7ac. 563. Parol Leafe, rendering Rent, this determines absolutely by his Death, so Dyer 91, 146 that no Acceptance of Rent, or other Act done by the Wife, will prevent 1 Icon. 192, its Avoidance; the Reason whereof given in the Books is, that her Assent ought to appear to be given at the Time when the Lease was made, which without some Deed or Instrument in Writing it cannot do; but this feems a very indifferent Reason, when in the Case of a Lease for

Years by the Husband, folely by Deed, her Affent appears not at all, but rather the contrary; and yet she may affirm such Lease, if she thinks fit, after his Death, as well as if she had joined therein; therefore a better Reason for this Distinction seems to be, that the Inheritance and Right of the Estate continuing still in the Wife, notwithstanding the Intermarriage, if the Husband does nothing to discontinue or devest that Estate, all Charges of his thereout fall off with his Death, which determines his Power and Interest over the Estate; but a Lease for Years being an immediate Contract for or Disposition of the Land itself, if the same appears in Writing duly executed, so that there can be no Variation or Deviation therefrom attempted by the Lessee after the Husband's Death; the Law fo far gives Countenance to fuch Leafe, for the Encouragement of Farmers and Husbandmen, that the same shall continue in Force till the Wife's actual Diffent or Disagreement thereto; but because there can be no such Certainty of the Terms of a Parol Lease, when nothing appears in Writing to manifest them; therefore they, like other Charges of the Husbend, fall off and drop with his Estate or Interest therein.

If the Husband and Wife make a Leafe for Years of the Wife's Land, Hutt. 102. without Refervation of any Rent, yet it hath been adjudged that this Cro. Eliz. 112. is a good Leafe by them both during the Coverture, and that the Wife, Mordant. after the Husband's Death, may affirm the same by Acceptance of Fealty, or bringing an Action of Waste; so that the Reservation of Rent is not essential to the Existence or Continuance of such Lease after the Husband's Death, but only a Writing attesting the same, and the Wife's Allowance and Approbation thereof; for as the Husband made such Leafe at first, without any Refervation of Rent; so the Wife, if she thinks fit, may continue the Lessee in Possession, after his Death, upon the sime Terms.

The Husband being seised of Copyhold Lands in Right of his Wife in 2 Rol. Rep. Fec, makes thereof a Lease for Years, not warranted by the Custom, 344, 36th, which is a Forseiture of her Estate; yet this shall not bind the Wife, or Savern and her Heirs, after the Husband's Death, but that they may enter and avoid Smith. the Lease, and thereby Purge the Forseiture; and the Diversity seems Cro. Eliz. 149. between this Act, which is at an End when the Lease is expired or de-Head and Chiloner. feated by the Entry of the Lord, or the Wife, after her Husband's 4 Co. 27. Death, and fuch as are a continuing Detriment to the Inheritance; as wilful Waste by the Husband, or such Acts as tend to the Destruction of the Manor, as Non-payment of Rent, Denial of Suit or Service; fuch Forfeitures as these bind the Inheritance of the Wife after her Husband's Death; but in the other Cases the Husband cannot forfeit by his Lease

more than he can grant, which is but for his own Life.

If the Husband, feised of a Copyhold Manor in Right of his Wife, Cro. Eliz. 475. lets Copyhold Land, Parcel thereof for Years, by Indenture, and dies, Conishy ver. this shall not destroy the Custom of demissing by Copy, because the Wife Rusky. may enter and avoid that Leafe, the Husband having no Power by his

own Act or Disposition to bind the Inheritance of his Wife.

A Man feised of Lands, in Right of his Wife, makes a Lease for Cro. Eliz.216. Years thereof by Parol, and then he and his Wife levy a Fine to a 1 Leon. 247. Stranger, and die; it was adjudged, that the Conuzee of the Fine should 2, Co. 77. avoid this Lease; for being made by Parol only, it was absolutely void Thomas. as to the Wife, so that no Acceptance, or Act of hers, after his Death, could make it good; and then the Conuzee, who came in wholly by the Wife, shall take Advantage thereof as the Wife herself should have done, for the Husband's joining in the Fine was only for Conformity; for the whole Estate and Inheritance passed from the Wife, and nothing from the Husband; and of void Acts, or when they begin to be so, Strangers may have the Benefit.

Distriction upon a alease Parol not good agreed the ivife altho en reicht Frontafter The husbands Lath.

3 Leon. 153, Cro. Eliz 152 Cadee and Oliver.

Cro. 740.417.

1 Rol. Rep.

3 Bulf 272. Hob. 204.

1 Rol. Abr.

3 Mod. 300.

Husband in

this Cafe has

dy for the Rent in-

401.

592.

But where the Husband and Wife by Indenture made a Lease for Ninety-nine Years of the Wife's Lands, tho' without Refervation of Rent, and after joined in levying a Fine of the Reversion to a Stranger; the better Opinion was, that the Conuzee should hold subject to this Leafe, for being by Indenture, it was not absolutely void, but only voidable by the Wife after her Husband's Death; and then when she joins in a Fine of the Reversion, before her Time of Election for Avoidance thereof comes, this destroys her own Power of Election, because now she has nothing more to do with the Estate; and it cannot transfer a like Power of Election to the Conuzee, because that was a Thing meerly in Action, and peculiar to the Wife, in Regard of her Coverture, and confequently the Leafe is become absolute, and the Conuzee shall hold fubject thereto.

A. and B. Joint-tenants for their Lives, A. takes C. to Husband, and they, by Indenture, let their Moiety for Twenty-one Years, referving Rent; then the Wife dies, and B. the surviving Joint-tenant, would have avoided this Lease, as the Wife might have done if she had survived her Husband; but it was adjudged, that the Lease being only voidable, and not void, quoad the Wife, by her Death this Power of avoiding it is Quere, if the gone, and cannot be transferred to the surviving Joint-tenant, who claims not under, but Paramount her; and then the Lease is become unavoidable during the Life of the other Joint-tenant, for the Lease what Reme- being good at first, the Wife's Disagreement to make it void, was more necessary than her Agreement was to make it good.

curred after the Wife's Death, for the Reversion to which it was incident goes to the surviving Joint-tenant, but he being in of that by Title Paramount, the Lease has nothing to do with the

Rent, and the Husband, for want of a Reversion, can neither distrain nor avow for the same. But Quare, if he may not maintain an Action of Debt or Covenant in Law, or express Covenant for

Payment of the Rent, if there were any; & vide Bro. Tit. Leases 4. Det. 7. Dyer 28. b. 29. a. 1 Rol. Rep. 442.

Cro. Eliz 287. Grule and Lo. roft. 1 Co. 155. S. C.

Husband and Wife, Joint-tenants for fixty Years, if they, or either of Moor pl. 514. them, fo long live, the Husband by Indenture lets the Land for fifty Years, to commence immediately after his Decease, and dies, the Wife furvives; and if this was a good Lease to bind the Wife, was the Question; it was objected, that it could not bind the Wife, because it was not to commence till after the Husband's Death, and he might have outlived the whole Term; and therefore it was as if he had granted the Term to commence after his Death, which being but a Grant of bare Possibility, had been clearly void. 2. It was objected, that the Husband dying before the Lease took Effect, the Interest in the whole Term vested in the Wife by Survivorship, and then the Husband's Disposition, which took not Effect till his Death, came too late to prevent it; but notwithstanding it was adjudged to be a good Leafe, and not like the Case put of a Grant of his Term after his Death, for there nothing passed till his Death but a bare Possibility only; but here a good Term is created in Interest presently, to take Effect in Possession after his Death. 3. That the Husband having an Interest to dispose of, he might in his Life-time have disposed of the whole Term, and it would have bound his Wife, then here when he hath by an Act, executed in his Life-time, disposed of an Interest in Part of the Term; this, by the same Reason, must be good and binding upon his Wife.

Husband and Wife made a Leafe for Years, by Indenture, of the

Dyer 159. 1 Rol. Abr. 475. 1 Rol. Rep. 132.

Wife's Land, referving Rent, the Lessee enters, the Husband, before any Day of Payment, dies; the Wife takes a fecond Husband, and he at the Day accepts the Rent, and dies; and it was held, that the Wife could not now avoid the Leafe, for by her fecond Marriage she transferred her Power of avoiding it to her Husband, and his Acceptance of the Rent binds her as her own before fuch Marriage would have done; for he by the Marriage fucceeded into the Power and Place of his Wife, and

what she might have done, either as to Affirming or Avoiding such Lease, before Marriage, the same may the Husband do after the Marriage.

A Woman, Guardian in Socage, marries and joins with her Husband, Plow. 293. by Indenture, in making a Leafe for Years of the Ward's Lands, yet Fay. after her Husband's Death she may avoid the same; for tho' the Husband Co. Lit. 351. 2. has absolute Power to dispose of all Chattels, either Real or Personal, 1 Rol. Acr. whereof he is possessed in Right of his Wife, and the Wardship of the 343. Body and Land, in this Cafe is but a Chattel; yet the Wife being possessed of it in Right of the Infant, and accountable to him for the Profits when he comes of Age, the Husband's Disposition shall not bind her after his Death, but that she may avoid it in Right of the Infant, whose Guardian she still continues to be; and her own Joining in the Leafe was not material, because she was then under Coverture, and had no disposing Power at all.

As the Wife's Acceptance of Rent or Fealty, &c. will make good and 3 Bull 274. unavoidable Leases for Years, made by her and her Husband, or by her i Rol. Rep. Husband solely, if it be by Indenture or Deed Poll; so if the Wife die 403 before her Husband, the same Election and Power of affirming or avoiding such Lease descends to her Issue or Heir; for such Leases are good, till those who succeed to the Estate defeat and avoid them by their Dis-

Therefore where a Woman Tenant in Tail, having Issue by a former Telo. 78. Husband, after his Death married a fecond Husband, and they, by In- Guy. denture, joined in a Lease for Years of the Wife's Lands, rendring Rent, and then the Wife died without Issue by the second Husband, so that he was not intitled to be Tenant by the Curtefy, yet it was held, that till the Issue by the first Husband entered, this Lease remained good; and therefore the Husband there recovered in an Action of Covenant against the Lessee, upon Issue found for him, that there was no Entry made by the Wife's Issue, because till then the Lease was still subsisting,

and consequently the Lessee bound by his Covenants in such Lease.

So where a Man seised of Land in Right of his Wise, makes a Lease 9 H. 6. 43.

for Years, rendring Rent, and then his Wise dies without Issue by him,

Erro. Tit.

Avorony 123.

Very 123. whereby he is no Tenant by the Curtefy, but his Estate determined; yet Vangh. 46. he may avow for the Rent till the Heir hath made his actual Entry, because the Lease was at first good, and drawn out of the Seisin of the Wise; and therefore, till the Entry of the Heir, remains good between the Lessor and Lessee, so that the Lessee may maintain an Action of Covenant, and the Lessor Debt or Avowry for the Rent, till the Heir hath

entered.

#### 2. Of Leases made by Husband and Wife pursuant to 32 H. 8.

This Statute hath made an Alteration in the Common Law, and 32 H.S. cab, enabled all Husbands, feised of Lands in Right of their Wives, to make 28. Leases for Twenty-one Years, or three Lives, observing the Directions therein mentioned; and such Leases to bind the Wives and their Heirs, so that they cannot now, after the Husband's Death, avoid such Leases as they might have done at the Common Law; but if the Directions in that Statute are not observed, then the Common Law takes Place, and the Wives, and their Heirs, are at Liberty to avoid such Leases in the fame Manner as they might have done before.

But as to the several Qualifications requisite to make such Leases good and binding, they being treated of at large under the Head of Leafes by Ecclefiastical Persons, Letter (E), we shall here only insert one Case for

the better Understanding of the Statute.

Vol. III.

Husband

Cro Fac. 22. Smith ver. Quettion made upon the Contirunnee of mined the Death of either of them. Cro-FAC. 378. 5 Co. 9.

Husband and Wife, the Husband purchased Land to him and his Wife, and their Heirs, and afterwards he, without his Wife, lets this Trinder.
(a) Note; Land for fixty Years, (a) if they should so long live, rendring 280 l. per there was no Ann. Rent at the two usual Feasts, during the Term, then the Husband dies; and if this Leafe should bind the Wife, by the 32 II. 8. eap. 28. was the Question; and it was held by three Justices that it should; for the thete Words, Words of the Act are, That all Leases to be made by any Person or Persons if, &c. as to baving any Estate of Inheritance in Fee-simple or Fee-tail, in the Right of their Wives, or jointly with their Wives, of an Estate of Inheritance, made the Leafe, before the Coverture or after, shall be good, provided that the Wife be made which, as it which, as it Party to every such Lease to be made by her Husband of any Manors, &c. being the Inheritance of the Wife; and that every fuch Leafe he made by In-Lease by the denture in the Name of the Husband and Wife, and she to seal the same, and that the Rent be referved to the Husband and Wife, and to the Heirs of the Wife, according to her Estate of Inheritance therein; so that the Wisc is appointed to join only when she hath the sole Inheritance by the Appointment of the Rent, to be referved to the Heirs of the Wife, and not when the hath a Joint Estate, as in this Case; and then clearly, by the Body of the Act, the Leafe by the Husband folely is good, and the Proviso does not extend to it.

#### Df Leales by Tenant in Tail: And herein,

1. What Leafes Tenant in Tail might have made by the Common Law.

Co. Lit. 45. b. FF Tenant in Tail after the Statute de donis had made a Lease for I Years, and died, this Lease was not absolutely determined by his Death, but the Issue in Tail was at Liberty either to affirm or avoid it as he thought fit; and the Reason why such Leases for Years were not held to be absolutely determined by the Death of Tenant in Tail, who made them, was, either because they were drawn out of an Estate of Inheritance, which by Possibility might continue for ever, and therefore was capable of enduring fuch a Leafe for Years thereof; or because being executed by the Entry of the Lessee, there ought to be an Act of equal Notoriety to defeat and undo it; which if the Issue in Tail thought fit to wave, the Lessec then continued his Possession in Virtue of the first Contract and Entry; and this was but a reasonable Liberty given to the Issue in Tail, because it might well be supposed that his Ancestor was not qualified to keep all his Possessions in his own Manurance and Occupation, but must necessarily let them out to Farmers and Husbandmen, who, by their Skill and Understanding in the Arts of Agriculture and Husbandry, would be best able to preserve and improve the Soil; and by their yielding an Annual Rent or Income to the Lessor or Tenant in Tail himself, would enable him equally to provide for the Necessaries and Exigencies of himself and his Family; and since the Issue in Tail, who was to succeed to the Inheritance and Possessions of his Ancestor, might be supposed equally ignorant of the Way and Manner of improving and managing them to the best Advantage, and would therefore be under the like Necessity of letting them out to others, and yet, perhaps, not be able to get so good a Rent or Income for them, therefore to prevent the Charge and Trouble of renewing fuch Leafes, or the Difficulty of finding out new Tenants upon every Death, the Law thought fit not

to intermeddle one way or another therewith, but left it to the Choice of the Issue in Tail, whether he would continue them or not. Another Reason why the Law would not condemn such Leases as absolutely void by the Death of the Tenant in Tail, might be the Discouragement that would thereby artie to Farmers and Husbandmen, who would not be eafily induced to take Leafes, or bestow any great Pains or Labour upon Possessions, which they were to hold by so precarious a Title as the Life of the Tenant in Vail only; and therefore for these and other Reasons, such Leases for Years were not looked upon to be absolutely void and determined by the Death of the Tenant in Tail who made them; but the Islue in Tail successively, as each came into the Estate, was at Liberty either to continue or avoid them, as they found convenient; and by this Liberty the Islae in Tail was sufficiently secured against any Injury or Inconvenience arising from the Contracts or Leafes of his Ancestor, and the Statute de donis in no Danger of being impeached, fince it was in the Iffice's own Choice to confider thereof, and to govern himself accordingly, either in the Affirmance or Avoidance of fuch Leases, as he found most for his Advantage; therefore (a) Ac- (a) 7 Co.35 ceptance of the Rent, or Fealty, or bringing an Action for Recovery 300 outstood thereof, or an Action of Waste, were such Acts as amounted to a Confirmation of the Leafe, because these plainly manifested his Intent to conEro, Tit. A:tinue the Leffee in Poffession upon the Terms of his Lease; and by Con-ceptance 12. fequence fuch Issue could never afterwards avoid it during his own Tyer 46, a. Life.

If Tenant in Tail makes a Leafe to A. for twenty Years, and the Bre. Tit A-Lessee makes a Lease to B. for ten Years, and then the Tenant in Tail ce timee 13. dies, and the Issue accepts the Rent of B. this is no Affirmation of the Leafe, because B. was under no Obligation of paying his Rent to him, and is answerable for it over again to A. and therefore his Fayment to the Issue in Tail was voluntary, and in his own Wrong, and the Issue's Acceptance thereof not conclusive more than if he had received it of a meer Stranger; and by Confequence the Isfue in Tail, notwithstanding fuch Acceptance, may enter and avoid the Lease; but if the Issue had accepted the Rent from A. this had amounted to a Confirmation of the Leafe made to A and by Confequence he could not after avoid the Lease to B. which was derived thereout; but if A. had assigned five Acres of the Land in Leafe to B. for the Residue of twenty Years, and the Issue in Tail had accepted the Rent from B. this would amount to a Confirmation of the intire Leafe to A. because the Rent issuing out of the Whole, and out of every Part of the Land, B. as to these five Acres, fucceeded in the Place of A. by having his whole Interest therein; and then the Issue in Tail, by Acceptance of the Rent from one, whose Part, as to him, was equally chargeable with the whole Rent, hath given his Confent, that the whole Estate chargeable therewith shall continue, tho' he chose to take his Rent out of Part only; for otherwise he would do Injustice to A. who would be liable to make Recompence to B. for the Overplus of the Rent, and yet have no recompencing himfelf, if the Islue might defeat the Residue of the Lease remaining in his

Tenant in Tail, before 27 H. 8: of Uses, made a Feoffment in Fee to Tyer 51. b. the Use of himself and his Heirs; and after he and his Feossees made a 7 Co. 37. \*. Leafe for Years, rendring Rent, and after the Statute made, Tenant in 1 Rol. Rep. Tail dies feifed, and his Issue aliens the Land by Fine before Entry upon 3 Leon. 154. the Lessee, or Receipt of the Rent; and the great Question was, if he 2 Bull. 44. might after avoid this Lease; and by the better Opinion of the Justices 4 M.d. 50 of both Benches, præter Sanders, the Alience could not avoid it, whether he received the Rent or not, for the Lease was not absolutely void by the Death of the Tenant in Tail, but only voidable by the Issue by his Entry; then when the Issue, before such Entry, conveys over the Land

to a Stranger, the Lease being not then avoided, continues still a Charge upon the Estate, and the Stranger cannot enter to avoid it, because a Right of Entry can no more be transferred to a Stranger than a Right of Action, and by Consequence the Conuzee must hold subject thereto, having no Means to avoid it.

Co.Lit. 349 a. Mor 315. Dier 51.b.

If Tenant in Tail enfeoffs his eldest Son within Age, and he after full Age makes a Lease for Years, and then the Father dies, whereby he is remitted to the Estate-tail, yet he shall not avoid the Lease; so if the Son had diffeised his Father, and had made a Lease for Years, and then the Father had died, by which the Disseisin was purged, yet the Lease would continue good and unavoidable, because in these Cases the Estate, out of which the Lease was derived, is not defeated, but only the Nature of it altered and changed; and the Lease for Years being an immediate Disposition of the Land itself, so long as that continues in the same Ferson that made the Lease, so long there is an Estate capable of enduring the Leafe, and consequently the Lessor shall not avoid it; but if the Son, after such Feoffment or Disseisin had at full Age, granted a Rent-Charge, Common of Pasture, &c. and then the Father had died, this Remitter and Alteration of the Nature of the Estate would discharge the Land of those Charges, because being granted at first out of a defeasible Estate, they were of Course liable to be deseated with that Estate, and then when that Estate is defeated and gone, such Collateral Charges drop and fall off with it; but the Leafe for Years, in the other Case, carries the very Possession of the Land itself, and then the Alteration that is made by the Remitter can only work upon the Revertion which was left in the Leffor, not upon the Possession of the Lessee, which was divided and taken out of the Estate before that Remitter took Effect; and the Lease being made when he was of full Age, prevents the Operation of the Remitter as to that Lease, which was his own Act.

Moor, pl. 1143.

Tenant in Tail made a Feoffment in Fee to the Use of himself and his Heirs, and after made a Lease for Years, rendring Rent, and died; the Issue accepted the Rent, and it was held, that this did not affirm the Lease, because the Issue was remitted to the Estate-tail by Descent, and so the Lease utterly void, being made by the Father, then Tenant in Fee-simple; and the Difference between this Case and the Case next but one above-mentioned, feems to be, that the Leafe there being before 27 H. 8. the Possession passed from the Feossess, and not from the Tenant in Tail himself, and then when that Statute came, it could not execute the Possession to the Use, as to the Reversion which was left in the Feoffees; and so the Possession of the Lessee continued untouched by that Statute, and drawn out of the legal Possession of the Feosses, and then the bare Remitter of the Issue, as to the Reversion, could not defeat the Possession of the Lessee, which was not drawn out of any Estate his Ancestor had then in Possession, but he must avoid it by Entry upon the Aid 'and Construction of the Statute de donis; but in this the Lease for Years is drawn out of the Fee-simple and Estate, which the Tenant in Tail had in Possession himself; and then the Remitter, which is wrought by the Descent, deseating that Estate, avoids the Lease likewife.

Dyer 279. a. Plow. 436. If Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for ten Years to begin ten Years hence, and dies, and the Issue within the ten Years enters and makes a Feossment in Fee, the Feossee, at the End of the ten Years, shall have Election either to assume and make good such Lease, or to avoid it; for upon the Death of Tenant in Tail the Possession was become vacant, and none had a Right to enter but the Issue in Tail, for the Time of the Lessee's Entry was not yet come; then, when the Issue enters generally, his Primary Right was, in respect of the Inheritance, descended to him as Issue in Tail, and he had no Occasion to direct his Entry at that

Time to any other Purpose; and therefore his Entry shall be intended, in Respect of the Estate-Tail descended to him; and when after such Entry he makes a Feoffment in Fee to a Stranger, this transfers the Poffession just in the same Plight as the Issue in Tail himself had it, without any thing done to determine his Election one Way or another; and then the same Power of Election passes incorporated in the Feossiment; and the Feoffee, when the Time for making Use thereof is come, may use it either to determine the Lease by ousting the Lessee, or to affirm or make

it good by Acceptance of Rent from him.

If Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for Years, to begin after his Death, Tyer 279. a. rendering Rent, and dies, and the Issue accepts the Rent, yet Manwood Plow 436. was of Opinion, that he might notwithstanding enter, and avoid the Leafe; and the Reafon he gave was, because the Lease did not take Effect in Possession during his Life; fed Catlyn hoc negavit, and it seems with good Reason; for fince the Estate-Tail is an Estate of Inheritance, capable of enduring fuch a Leafe, where the Difference is between letting it to begin presently, and letting it to begin after his Death, or, as it is in the next preceding Cafe, to begin ten Years hence, when he himfelf dies in the mean time, does not at all appear; for the Leafe binds from the Time of the making in one Case, as well as in the other, tho' the Time of their Commencement in Possession be different; and since the Iffue in Tail is no more bound by the one than the other, it feems hard and inconfistent to take from him his Power of Election to continue the one Leafe, and yet to allow it him in the other; therefore it should seem the Leafe in neither Case is (a) absolutely void, but that the Issue hath (a) But quere; Election to continue or avoid it, as he himself thinks fit, and by Confe- for in Carth. duence his Acceptance of the Rent hath determined his Election to con-\*Cafe of Sytinue the Leafe, and then he can never enter after to avoid it.

Culmore, it is faid to be agreed and resolved by the Court, that if Tenant in Tail makes a Lease of any of the Lands intailed to commence after his Death, this is void ab Intio; and to is Cro. Jac. 458.

If Tenant in Tail makes a Leafe for Life, by which he gains a new 7 Co. 42.

Reversion in Fee during the Life of Tenant for Life, and after he grants Co Lit. 349.45. a Rent-charge, or makes a Lease for Years, and then the Tenant for Life Moor 325. dies, whereby he is become again Tenant in Tail, and the Reversion in Fee, out of which the Rent-charge or Leafe for Years were to take Effect, defeated, yet shall the Lease or Rent continue good against himself, because tho' they were granted out of a defeasible Possession, yet they were granted likewise by him who had the true and antient Right in him, and such Grant or Lease would have bound both, if the defeasible Possession had been in Hand, and the antient Right in another, and both had joined therein; so by the same Reason, when such deseasable Possessica and antient Right are conjoined in one Person, and he makes such Lease or Grant, tho the one fails, yet the other will be called in to support them; so if such Tenant in Tail had made a Feoffment in Fee upon Condition, to the Use of himself and his Heirs, and then had made such Lease, or granted fuch Rent-charge, and after the Condition were broken, yet the Lease or Grant would still continue good against him during his own Life, because made by one who had all the Right, both antient and new, in him at the Time of making or granting thereof.

A. Tenant in Tail, Remainder to B. in Tail, A. makes a Leafe for the 1  $V_{ent. 357}$ Life of the Leffee not warranted by the Statute of 32 H. 8. and dies, Anonymus. leaving B. in Remainder his Heir, B. by Indenture makes a Lease for 99 Years, to commence after the Death of the Tenant for Life, rendering Rent, then the Tenant for Life furrenders to B. upon Condition, and dies, B. suffers a common Recovery with single Voucher, and dies, the Leflee for Years enters, and the Heir of A. distrains for the Rent; and if this Distress was lawful, was the Question; for the Lessee it was argued, Vol. III.

that it was not; for either B. was remitted by the Surrender, or he was not; if he was remitted, then the Leafe for 99 Years, which was derived out of the new Reversion in Fee, descended to him from A. was by such Remitter determined, the Reversion out of which it was derived being vanished and gone; and then he could not distrain for Rent where no Leafe was in Being; or if he was not remitted, the Acceptance of the Surrender being his own Act, and but upon Condition, then he was full in of the defeafible Estate descended to him from A. and by Consequence his Recovery with fingle Voucher could not bind his Entail, nor the Remainder over; and then when he died without Iffue, (as to make it a Case it should seem he must,) both his deseasable Estate by the Death of the Tenant for Life, and his own Estate-Tail were determined and gone; and consequently, admitting the Lease continued, (which it did not,) yet his Heir was not intitled to the Rent, but those in Remainder, but it was adjudged in C. B. that the Distress was lawful; for the Lease for Life made by A, could be a Difcontinuance no longer than during the Life of the Leffee; then when B. after the Death of A. made a Leafe for 99 Years by Indenture, he having then the Right of the Entail in him, cloathed with a defeafible Fee-simple, this Lease when the Discontinuance was at an End, (as it was by the Surrender of the Tenant for Life, or at least by his Death,) is good against himself by Estoppel, ir not in Foint of Interest, and then he being Tenant in Tail, the Recovery with fingle Voucher binds that Estate-Tail and Remainder, and by Confequence his Heir has good Title to the Rent, and his Distress well taken Note; A Writ of Error was brought of this Judgment in B. R. and the Case is argued, but no Judgment appears, nor are the Reasons before-mentioned taken Notice of in the Report; but yet they feem eafily deducible from the Report, and are the chief Reasons (as it should feem) upon which the Judgment in C. B. could be founded.

Dyer 51 b in Margin. A. Tenant for Life, Remainder to B. in Tail, B. lets to C. for Years, to commence after the Death of A. then B. fuffers a Recovery to D. and dies, the Lease for Years holds good against D. In this Case it must be intended that the Recovery was suffered after the Death of A. for during his Life B. was not Tenant to a Precipe; then admitting the Recovery to be after the Death of A this was after such Time as the Lease took Effect against B. so as to be absolutely binding upon him; then when he afterwards suffers a Recovery, this bars the Estate-Tail, in respect of which only the Lease was voidable, and by Consequence the Recoverer, who has not that Estate-Tail, must hold subject to the Lease, and can no ways avoid it.

1 Lev. 167.
1 Sid 260.
Rayn. 132.
1 Keb. 778.
Opey and
Thomasius.
4 Mod. 6.
S. G. cited,
and there
faid by Juflice Dolben,
that it was
neither well

So Tenant in Tail, with Power to make Leases, &c. made a Lease for twenty-one Years not pursuant to his Power, and then levied a Fine, and died, leaving Issue; and if the Conuzee should avoid this Lease, as the Issue might have done, if the Fine had not been levied, was the Question; for the Lease did not take Essect during the Life of the Tenant in Tail; and it was agreed, that (a) if Tenant in Tail grant a Rent, or acknowledge a Statute, or make a Lease for Years to begin after his Death, that these are void as to the Issue, and not only voidable; but if Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for Years without Reservation of any Rent, this is not void, but only voidable, because the Issue may affirm it by Accept-

stated nor well reported in the Books; for upon the Roll it was thus: A Man seised in Fee made a Lease for 99 Years, if three Persons so long lived; then he settled the Reversion upon himself in Tail, with Power to make Leases for 21 Years, and then he made such a Lease, and died; the Son, who was the Issue in Tail, and not the Father, (as it is reported in the Books,) levied a Fine, and sold the Reversion; the first Lease determined, and the Court thought the Connzee might avoid the second Lease, because it never was in the Election of the Tenant in Tail, or his Issue, to avoid it, they having conveyed away their Estates before this second Lease was to commence; for if Tenant in Tail makes a Lease to commence in prasenti, and conveys away his Estate by Fine, the Conuzee must hold it charged with such Lease; secus where it is to commence in future, because it cannot be avoided before its Commencement; but no Judgment was given. (a) Vide Cro Fac. 455. Griffin and Stanlope.

ance of Fealty; and by all, except Twifden, the Leafe in the principal Case was held not to be absolutely void upon the Death of the Tenant in Tail, but only voidable, because it was an immediate Disposition of the Land itself, and therefore differed from the Cates of collateral Charges granted thereout; and they held it to be for the Benefit of the Iffue to have fuch Leases only voidable; and so indeed it is, as appears by all the Cafes and Reasons before-mentioned; then this Lease not being absolutely void, but only voidable, when the Tenant in Tail levies a Fine, by which he binds the Estate-Tail, and bars the Issue before the Time of Election for Avoidance thereof is come; this does not make the Leafe indefeafible, but transfers the Estate chargeable with the future Leafe just in the same Manner it was in the Hands of the Tenant in Tail, without any Act done either to affirm or avoid it; and then the Conuzee, when the Leafe is to commence in Fossession, must have the same Election of avoiding or affirming it, as the Issue in Tail would have had; for if the Lease was voidable, and the Levying the Fine before its Commencement had no Influence upon it one way or another; then it must continue voidable still, and it must continue so only as to the Conuzee; for the Tenant in Tail, or his Issue, have nothing to do therewith, and by Confequence, if it does not continue voidable as to the Conuzee, then he may use his Power either to affirm or avoid it, as he sees most convenient; and a Diverfity was taken between a voidable Lease by Tenant in Tail, which is to commence in prafenti, and fuch voidable Leafe as is to commence in faturo; for (a) if Tenant in Tail makes a Leafe for Years to begin (1) For which presently, which is not warranted by 32 H. S. and consequently is void- vide 2 Lev. able by his liftue, if he in the mean time conveys over the Land by Fine, 17, 28. the Conuzce shall hold subject to that Lease, and shall never after avoid Person versus it, because the Lessee was then actually in Possession of his Lease, and so Bush and that Possession divided and taken out from the Inheritance which the Hadyon. Conuzee purchased; and then the Right of Entry, which would have come to the Issue, and was necessary to the Avoidance thereof, cannot by the Fine be transferred to the Conuzce, who is a Stranger; and the Issue is bound by the Fine from making any Use of that Right of Entry, and by Confequence the Leffee shall take Advantage thereof, and hold his Leafe without Avoidance from either; but where fuch void ble Leafe is to commence in future, and before the Commencement of it the Tenant in Tail levies a Fine to a Stranger, there the Election to avoid or continue it passes incorporated in the Fine, and it cannot be faid to be either a Right of Entry or a Right of Action; for the Lessee not being yet in Possession, no Entry is needful or can be made to avoid his Leafe, and the Fine has no Effect upon it one Way or other, but leaves it just as it was; and by Confequence being voidable after the Fine as much as it was before, the Conuzee only can use the Power of avoiding or continuing it, fince the Issue is bound by the Fine, and has nothing to do with it, and it must continue the same after the Fine as it was before, because the Time for its Commencement was not then come; and it could not be either affirmed or avoided before it had a Beginning, and so it should seem to be; and for the fame Reasons, where Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for Years, to begin expresly after his Death, this is not absolutely (b) void (b) But quare, by his Death, but only voidable, notwithstanding the Opinion at the & sade fugra-

Beginning of the Cafe. Tenant in Tail of a Manor before 27 H. 8. made a Feoffment in Fee to 1 Rst. Rep. his own Use, and died, and in the Time of the Issue the Statute of Uses 260. is made, and after the Issue makes a Lease for Years of a Tenement, and Charlton. Parcel of the Manor, rendering Rent, and dies; whereby his Islue was remitted by the Descent of the Fee and Freehold in Law, without Entry, tho' the first Issue was not remitted, by reason of the Statute of Uses, which executed the Possession in the same Manner as he had the Use (and that was in Fee) before Entry, and his Issue makes a Feossment in

Fee of the Manor, and Livery in another Part, not in the Tenement, leased in the Name of the whole; and if this was a Discontinuance of this Tenement, was the Question; Coventry was of Opinion it was not, because the Issue who made the Lease granted it out of the Fee and Right of the Tail, which was in himself at the Time of the Lease made; and therefore by the Remitter of his Issue the Lease was not void before Entry, but only voidable; for he might have made it good by Acceptance of the Rent from the Lessee; and by Consequence if this was a Lease continuing at the Time of the Feoffment of the Manor, this Tenement could not pass by the Livery made in another Part of the Manor; but at last the whole Court held, that this Tenement passed by the Feostment, because by the Remitter of the Issue the Lease for Years was absolutely defeated and gone, and the Lessee become Tenant at Sufferance; and if the Issue had accepted the Rent, this would not have made the Leafe good, because the Reversion and Inheritance, out of which it was derived, was by the Remitter vanished and gone; and then by the Continuance in Possession of the Tenant at Sufferance at the Time of the Feoffment of the Manor is no Impediment to the Operation of the Livery upon that Tenement, and that the Remitter destroyed the Lease in this Cafe.

Dyer 107. b. Yelv. 150. 1 Rol. Rep. 260. Godb. 324. 2 Rol. Kep. 491.

Tenant in Tail, Reversion in the Crown, makes a Lease for Years, 115. a. 332.b. rendering Rent, and dies, leaving B. his Son and Heir in Tail, who accepts Hob 324,346. the Rent, and hath Iffue C. then B. commits Treason, and is attainted Cro. Eliz 519. thereof, and by Act of Parliament all his Lands and Possessions are forscited, and given to the King; and if the King was concluded by this Acceptance of the Rent by the Issue, so that he shall be adjudged in by him, and could not avoid the Lease so long as there was any Issue in Tail, was the Question; and it was adjudged, that by the Attainder the Estate-Tail was determined, and the King in in Point of Reverter, and Co. Lit. 45 b. then all Leases, Charges, &c. of the Tenant in Tail are determined as if he were dead without Issue; the Reason whereos, given in the Books, is, that if it should be otherwise, the King would have two Fees in him, which the Law will not allow; and this may be a good Reason; but it is fuch a one as wants another Reason to explain it; for the same Books agree, that if Tenant in Tail with the Reversion in the King had made a Leafe for Years, and after had levied a Fine to the King, that the King in that Case should not avoid the Lease for Years, no more than he should if the Remainder in Fee had been in a Stranger, or in the Tenant in Tail himself, and yet in these Cases the King hath two Fees in him, and the Law allows them to confift well together; therefore the Reason of the Difference between the Cases seems to be, that where the Reversion is in the Crown, the Crown by Confequence must be the Donor, and give out the Effate-Tail: Now all Donations created a Tenure between the Donor and Donce, to which Homage, Fealty, and other Duties and Services were incident and annexed, as the Conditions whereby the Tenant was to hold and continue the Enjoyment of his Land; now Treason was the greatest Violation possible of these Duties of Fidelity, Obedience, and Service, whereto the Tenant was obliged, as the very Terms and Conditions upon which the King at first was prevailed on to give him the Land, and which he, when he accepted thereof, undertook to observe, by the Solemnity of an Oath; fo that when the Donee commits Treason, he breaks the Condition whereby he holds his Estate, and the King is in for that Condition broken, and by Consequence his Title by Virtue of that Condition is paramount all Leafes, Grants, or Charges of the Tenant in Tail; for they being derived out of his conditional Estate can subfist no longer than that does, and by his Attainder of Treason the Condition is broken, and that Estate forseited and gone to the King in Reversion, who gave it; and by Confequence all derivative Leafes or Charges thereout are determined likewise; but now when the King has only the

Remainder in Fee, there is no immediate Tenure of the King, nor is the Tenant obliged to any particular Duties or Services of Homage or Fealty to the King, as annexed to his Donation, more than any other of his Subjects; for he had not his Estate of the Gift of the King, but of his own immediate Donor; and then tho' the Law has given the Forfeiture of all Estates for Treason to the King, of whomsoever held, yet this is a positive Law, introduced but lately, and the King in such Case is in under or by Way of Coveyance of the Estate-Tail, and his Title thereto begins but from the Time of the Treason committed, and by Consequence he shall hold subject to all Leases or Charges made by the Tenant in Tail before that Treason committed, as the Tenant in Tail himself should have done; and in the principal Case, where the Issue in Tail by Acceptance of the Rent had made good the Leafe of his Ancestor, as against himself, if the Remainder in Fce had been in the Crown, and the Issue in Tail had been after attainted of Treason, tho' the King should have the Forsciture, yet he should hold it subject to the Lease, which the Issue by such Acceptance had made good against himself; and it should feem likewise, that the King being a Stranger, and coming in under the Estate-Tail, shall be bound by that Lease, not only during the Life of the Issue who accepted the Rent, but also as long as there were any Issue of the Body of the Donee; for the King being a Stranger cannot have the Right of the succeeding Issue to avoid it; and whether the Right of Entry or Action, which fuch fucceeding Issue would have had to avoid the Lease, be transferred to the King, depends upon the Words and Construction of the Act of Parliament which gives the Forsciture in such Case; so in the Case of the Fine, where the Tenant in Tail makes a Leafe for Years, and after conveys the Lands by Fine to the King, tho' the King in that Case was the immediate Donor, and had the Reversion in him at the Time of the Fine levied, yet he should be bound by such Leafe, because the Fine was only a Conveyance of Record, and passes the Estate to the King, as it would do to any other Person, and confequently the King shall take subject to that Lease, as any other Person must do; and in the principal Case, where the Reversion was in the Crown, tho' the Lease for Years had been in every thing warranted by 32 H. 8. and the Issue in Tail after the Death of his Ancestor had accepted the Rent, and then been attainted of Treason, yet the King should hold discharged thereof; because by the Attainder the Estate-Tail was forfeited, determined, and gone, as if the Tenant in Tail had died without Issue, and the King was in of his old or immediate Reversion.

If Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for Years, and dies without Issue, 8 Co. 34, the Lease is absolutely determined by his Death, tho' it were in all Things Moor 133. pursuant to the 32 H. 8. fo that no Acceptance of the Rent by him in the Co Lit 44. a. Remainder or Reversion can make it good; for the Estate, out of which Cro Eliz. 602. it was derived, being determined, that likewise must fall off with it; and ceptame 19. the Intent of the Statute was only to enable the Tenant in Tail by fuch Leafes to bind his Issue, which in no Case before he could do, not to bind or any ways affect those in Remainder or Reversion after the Estate-

Tail determined.

So if Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for three Lives according to 32 H. 8. this is no Discontinuance, but determines with the Estate-Tail; and where Cro. Car. 156. Salvin and Clerk holds that it is a Discontinuance, all the other (a) Books are against it; and (b) Vaughan says, that (a) As 8 Ca. Case is all false, and misreported, and that such Lease being warranted 34. a. by the Stature cannot be a Discontinuance; because the Parliament, to Co.L t. 333. a. which every Man is Party, allows of such Leases; which if they were Noy 66. Tortious, as all Discontinuances are, the Parliament would never have Sav. 77. allowed; and therefore if a Warranty were annexed to such Lease, yet (b) Vangh. it would make no Discontinuance, because that determines with the 383 Estate likewise.

Vol. III. B =4 M

3 Co. 50. 9 Co. 140. b. 2 Rol. Abr. 59. Walter and Fackfon. But if fuch Leafe for three Lives were not warranted by 32 H. 8. then it would be a Discontinuance, because it was a greater Estate than the Tenant in Tail had Power to make, and passed by Livery, which took out the Estate from the Tenant in Tail, and turned it into a Reversion in Fee, determinable upon three Lives; so if such Lease for three Lives, not warranted by that Statute, were made of Parcel of the Demesses of a Manor, and then the Tenant in Tail should lease for Life, or convey the Manor in Fee to another, and the Lesse attorn, yet the Reversion thereof would not pass, because the first Lease was a Discontinuance of that Parcel, so as the Reversion thereof for the Time was no Parcel of the Manor.

Godo 9 pl.

Tenant in Tail, the Remainder in Fee, the Tenant in Tail makes a Leafe for Lives, according to 32 H. 8. and after dies without Issue, and before any Entry he in the Remainder grants over his Remainder by Fine; and if the Conuzee of the Fine might enter upon the Lessee, and avoid his Lease, was the Question. Fenner argued that he could not, because where a Freehold is given by Livery, it cannot be defeated without Entry; and cited a Case where a Man made a Lease for Life, Remainder in Fee, the Tenant for Life granted over his Estate; then a Formedon was brought against the Grantee or Assignce, and the Tenant for Life died, pending the Suit; and it was held by all the Justices, (except Littleton and divers Serjeants,) that the Writ should not abate, unless he in the Remainder had entered; so here, and then when before Entry, he in the Remainder grants over his Remainder, the Grantee shall have it but as a Remainder, for so is his Grant, and so the Estate of the Tenant for Life, which was but voidable, is made good; and of this Opinion were Wyndam and Periam; but Mead and Dyer held, that by the Death of Tenant in Tail without Issue, the Lease made by him, tho' for Life, was absolutely void; and not only voidable, because by his Death without Issue, the Estate, out of which the Estate for Life was derived, is determined and gone; and so must the Estate for Life be also, for cessante causa cessat & effectus; and this seems the better Opinion and most consonant to the Cases before put; for the Death of the Tenant in Tail without Issue was in Law as much the Determination of the Lease for Life, as if it had been expresly so limited; and then when that Time comes, the Operation of the Livery, and the End for which it was made ceases, and then there needs no Entry to avoid that which by Effluxion of Time and Operation of Law is already spent and run out; and therefore the Conuzee of the Fine comes immediately to the Possession both in Law and Right, and the Lessee's Continuance of Posfession after is a Wrong and Trespass to him, and cannot be by Force of the Lease which is run out and expired, and by Consequence must have determined the Operation of the Livery with it.

1 Fon. 61, 62. 2 Rol. Rep. 498.

But if Tenant in Tail makes a voidable Lease for Years or Life, and dies, and the Issue, before Entry on the Lesse, levies a Fine to a Stranger, the Conuzee shall not avoid the Lease, because such Lease being only voidable by Entry, when the Issue before Entry conveys over the Land by Fine, the Power of Entry, which was the only Means of avoiding such Lease, is by the Fine destroyed and gone; for a Right of Entry cannot be transferred to a Stranger, any more than a Right of Action; so if the Tenant in Tail himself, after such Lease, had levied a Fine to a Stranger, or even to the Reversioner, and died, yet they could not avoid the Lease ever after, because if they could, it must be by reason of the Right of Entry transferred by the Fine, which would have come to the Issue if no such Fine had been levied; and the Law absolutely condemns all Alienations of Right only, whether it be Right of Entry or of Action, and consequently in these Cases, by such Alienation, the Lease is become absolute and unavoidable.

A Woman, Tenant in Tail, makes a Lease for Years, not warranted Dyer 46. b. by 32 H. 8. and after takes Husland, and they have Issue, and then in Margent. the Wife dies, the Issue cannot avoid this Lease during the Life of the Margent. Husband, because he is Tenant by the Curtesy of the Freehold and Re- 363. 1. version expectant thereupon; and tho' he should surrender his Estate by Co.Lit.326.a. the Curtefy to the Issue, yet this would not help him to avoid the Lease 338. a. b. & till his Death, because his Estate, as Tenant by the Curtefy, is a Continuance of his Wife's Estate, and so long as that lasts, the Issue's Time for avoiding the Lease is not come, and notwithstanding the Surrender, yet as to the Lessee, who is a Stranger, the Estate by the Curtesy has still an Existence and Continuance, as if no Surrender had been made; for he being a Stranger shall not suffer by such voluntray Act of the Tenant by the Curtefy; and (a) Halfh was of Opinion, that the Power (a) In a Bendlo of avoiding such voidable Leases runs so in Privity to the Issue in Tail, 65. but 2: that if such Issue should marry, and his Wife, after the Death of the Ancestor in Tail, be endowed of the Reversion of the Lands in Lease, that she should not avoid the Lease, as her Husband, the Issue in Tail, might have done; because tho' she be in, in Continuance of the Estate-tail, yet she is not privy to her Husband as to that Purpose; also it was further held in the principal Case, that if the Woman, Tenant in Tail, had before Marriage acknowledged a Statute, and then married, and died, that this Statute should be extendible in the Hands of the Tenant by the Curtely, and of the Issue too, if he came in by Surrender of the Tenant by the Curtefy during his Life; but if after such Statute the Woman had made a Lease for Years, rendring Rent, and then married, and died, leaving Issue, the Statute should not be extended upon the Lessee; for as the Statute was absolutely void and determined as to the Issue, and the Lease voidable by him likewise, the Statute shall never be set up against the Lessee, tho' the Issue in Tail thinks fit to wave his Power of avoiding the Lease; for then that would take away from him the Rent, which might be the chief Inducement that prevailed on him to affirm such Lease; or if such Lease were in all Respects warranted by 32 H. 8. and so not voidable by the Issue; yet since the Statute fell off, and became void by the Death of the Tenant in Tail, as to the Issue it shall never take Place against the Lessee, because that would take from the Issue the Rent, which 32 H. 8. never intended to permit, but, on the contrary, made the Issue's Enjoyment of the Rent the principal Reason of their Investing the Ancestor with Power by such Lease to bind the

But if Tenant in Tail grants a Rent-Charge, and after makes a Lease 2 Rol. Rep. for Years, or Lives, warranted by 32 H. 8. the Lessee shall hold the 499 Land charged during the Lease, not only in the Life-time of the Lessor, but also after his Death; by Jones and Telverton: For this Rent-Charge meddles not with the Possession, as the Statute in the other Case does; and therefore the Lessee, in Respect of the Possession which he hath, shall be liable to pay the Rent reserved to the Issue; whereas in the other Case, if the Statute should prevail, this would deprive the Issue from distraining for the R'ent, by devesting the Lessee of the Possession whereon the Diffress ought to be made.

#### 21. Alhat Leafes Tenant in Tail may now make to bind his Mue, lince the 32 H. 8.

Here we shall premise, that the Statute 32 H. 8. cap. 28. is an enabling Co. Lir. 440 Statute, and was made purposely to give the Tenant in Tail (amongst others) Power, by observing the Directions therein specified, to bind his Issue; fo that they shall not now, after his Death, avoid such Leases as

they might have done before by the Common Law, which was found to be very inconvenient, and a great Discouragement to Farmers and Lessees, who after they had paid great Fines, and been at great Costs and Charges in building and otherwise improving the Lands and Tenements so leased to them, were, after the Death of their Lessors, cruelly expulsed and put out (as the Statute speaks) by the Heirs of the Lessors, by reason of private Gists in Tail, &c. to their great Impoverishment and Undoing; therefore to prevent such Mischies for the suture, that Statute provides, that all Leases to be made of any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or other Hereditaments, by Writing indented, under Seal for Term of Years, or for Term of Life, by any Person or Persons being of full Age of Twenty-one Years, having any Estate of Inheritance, either in Fee-simple or Fee-tail, &c. shall be good and effectual against the Lessors and their Heirs, &c. provided that the said Act shall not extend to any Leases to be made of any Manors, Lands, &c. being in the Hands of any Farmer or Farmers, by Virtue of an old Lease, unless the same old Lease be expired, surrendered or ended, within one Year next after the making of the faid new Leafe; nor to any Grant to be made of any Reversion of any Manors, Lands, &c. nor to any Lease of any Manors, Lands, &c. which have not been most commonly letten to Farm, &c. by the Space of twenty Years next before; nor to any Lease to be made without Impeachment of Waste, or which shall exceed the Number of Twenty-one Years, or three Lives, from the Day of the Making thereof; and that upon every fuch Lease there be received yearly, during the same Lease, due and payable to the Lessors and their Heirs, &c. to whom the same Lands, &c. after the Death of the Lessors, would have come if no such Lease had been made, so much yearly Farm or Rent, or more, as hath been most accustomably yielden or paid for the Manors, Lands, &c. fo letten within twenty Years next before such Lease thereof made, &c.

These are the several Qualifications requisite to all Leases to be made by Tenant in Tail to bind his Issue within the Statute, the particular Branches whereof being considered under the next Head, Letter (D), I shall here only mention some scattered Cases, not so easily reducible to

the Method there used.

Hard. 89. Cother and Merri. k.

Tenant in Tail, to him and the Heirs Male of his Body, had Issue two Sons by divers Venters, and died, the eldest Son entered and made a Lease for Twenty-one Years, referving Rent generally to him and his Heirs and Assigns, and died without Issue, leaving two Sisters, his Heirs at Law; and if by this Refervation the Rent belonged to the second Brother, to whom the Reversion descended as Heir Male of the Body of the Father, was the Question; for if not, then the Lease could not bind him within 32 H. 8. and it was strongly urged, that the Rent could not go to him, because he was neither Heir General nor Special to the Leffor, that the Refervation being to the Heirs of the Leffor could not go to the Brother of the Half-Blood; but notwithstanding it was adjudged to be a good Lease, and that the Rent should go along with the Reversion; for the Words of the Statute are, that the Rent shall be referved to the Lessor and his Heirs, or to those to whom the Lands. would go if no fuch Lease had been made; and Judges are to expound Statutes, fo as not to frustrate the Defign and Intent of them; and here the Intent was, that the Rent should go along with the Reversion; and so it may here, for Rent naturally follows the Reversion, and the second Brother is Heir to the Intail and Reversion, tho' not to the Lessor, and Heirs dicuntur ab Hæreditate, and therefore shall be taken secundum subjectam materiam, & ut res magis valeat, to comply with the Intent of the

(a) Syst 115. Statute; and they cited (a) Austen's Case as a Case in Point, and so

Judgment was given accordingly.

Two

Two Coparceners Tenants in Tail, the Husband of one of them, after Lat. 5 45 her Death, being Tenant by the Curtefy, joins with the other in a Leafe Temper's for Years, rendering Rent to them and their Heirs; this was held no good Leafe within 32 II. 8. because it is not referred to the Donce and his Heirs, but to the Tenant by the Curtefy, jointly with the other, for Rent goes strictly as it is referved by the Lessor, and not otherwise; and perhaps as this Refervation is, if the Tenant by the Curtefy should furvive, the whole Rent would go to him by Survivorship, and so the Issue of the other Coparcener have no Recompence for his Part of the Lands leafed; or if the Rent should not furvive, in Regard of their several Interests in the Lands leased, yet since Heirs, in case of the Coparcener who joined, must be intended Heirs of the Body, to bring it within 32 H. 8. so must it likewise be in the Case of the Tenant by the Curtesy, and that may not happen to be the Issue inheritable by force of the Gift, because he may have Issue a Son by a former Venter, who would be Heir of his Body; and therefore this seems to differ from the former Case, because the same Word Heirs being applied to both indifferently, cannot be intended to mean one Sort of Heirs in one Case, and another in the other; and the Tenant by the Curtefy can have no Heirs of his Body inheritable as Heirs of his Body to the Entail, for he had no Estate-tail in him; and therefore Heirs of his Body, if it should be so construed, cannot be restrained or governed by the same Reasoning as will prevail in the Case of the Coparcener.

So if Tenant by the Curtefy, and the Heir in Reversion in Tail, join Palm 484. in a Lease for Years, rendring Rent to them and their Heirs, this Lease Lath 257 is not warranted by 32 II. 8. by reason of such general Reservation, Street ver to the Heirs general Reservation. which will carry a Moiety of the Rent, at least, to the Heirs general of the Tenant by the Curtefy, and fo may cut off the Issue in Tail from that Recompence the Statute intended them as the Confideration

of their Ancestors being allowed by such Leases to bind them.

Lands were given to Baron and Feme, and to the Heirs of their two Godb 102 pl. Bodies; the Baron dies, leaving Issue by his Wife, who makes a Lease 119. for Years according to 32 H.S. and if this Lease was good by that Statute, was the Question; the Objection against it was, that the Statute fays, the Leafe shall be good against the Lessor and his Heirs, and the Issue does not claim as Heir to the Wife only, but as Heir to them both; but Il yndham and Rhodes, Justices, agreed clearly that the Lease should bind the Issue within the Intent of that Statute, for between Baron and Feme there are no Moieties, and the Wife furviving is perfect and absolute Tenant in Tail, and consequently may make all such Leases as that Statute impowers Tenants in Tail to make.

Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for Years, rendring 20 s. Rent, and Dyer 123. after releases all the Rent except 12 d. and dies, and his Issue accepts 304 a. pl. 55the 12 d. and the Question was, if thereby he were concluded to distrain 2 Kell Kell for the other 19 s. referved upon the Lease; and Sanders and Catlyn Ley 78. were of Opinion that he was concluded, but H'biddon and Dyer contra; and put this Case, that if the Lessor, after such Lease, should grant to the Lessee that he should hold his Lease without Impeachment of Waste, yet the Issue may maintain an Action of Waste against him, of which there feems no Doubt; or that the Issue, if he had not accepted the 12 d. might have diffrained for the whole 20 s. for if fuch Release, either of Rent or Waste, should prevail, the Statute 32 H. 8. would be totally eluded; but it should scem, the Issue's own Acceptance of the Rent hath concluded him, for his own Time, to distrain for any more.

If Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for Years, reserving the usual Rent Hard 90, 9, to his Issue, without any Reservation to himself, this is not pursuant to the Words of the Statute; yet Fleming, Chief Justice, held it to be a good Refervation, and the Lease not voidable, for this Reason, within 32 H. 8. Vol. III.

because the Issue, for whom the Statute chiesly intended to provide, fustains no Prejudice.

3 Co 64. b.

An Estate is made to Husband and Wife and the Heirs of the Bodo of the Husband, the Husband makes a Lease for forty Years, rendring

Dyer 246. a.

1 Leo. 148. & vide 2 Bendl. 74. Fl. 58.

(a) Poph. S.

(h) Co. Lit. 44. a. 45. b. Rent, and dies, the Issue accepts the Rent, yet this shall not bind him, because his Time for Acceptance thereof was not come, the Whole being vested in the Wife for her Life by Survivorship. Tenant in Tail makes a Leafe for twenty Years, rendring the usual Rent, Habendum from Michaelmas next enfuing; this feems a good Leafe,

tho' it did not begin from the making of the Lease, according to the Proviso 32 II. 8. for the Intent of the Statute was only that the Lease should not exceed the Number of twenty-one Years from the making, which this Lease did not; and in the Margent a Case is of (a) Thompson and Trafford 35 Eliz. in B. R. was cited to be adjudged, per totam Curiam, that it was a good Leafe, and well warranted by the Statute; tho my Lord Coke lays it down for one of his (b) Rules, that Leases upon that Statute are not good, if they do not commence from the Day of the Making, which perhaps may be reconciled upon the faid Diversity, where they are under Twenty-one Years; and where not fo, that from the Time of the fealing and executing the Leafe, till the Expiration thereof, there does not intervene more than Twenty-one Years; for if the Commencement of the Lease be at such a Distance, that between the Time of the fealing and executing thereof, and the Expiration, there do not intervene above Twenty-one Years, then such Lease seems to be without any Aid from this Statute, tho' the Time for Continuance thereof in the Possession of the Lessee be under Twenty-one Years; for otherwise the Tcnant in Tail might so procrastinate the Commencement of the Leafe, as to have always the greatest Park of the Twenty-one Years running out in the Time of his Issue, which the Statute never intended to Countenance.

1 Leon. 148.

So where one made a Lease for ten Years, and after made another Lease for eleven Years, both these Leases are good, because they do not in all exceed Twenty-one Years, and fo the Inheritance not charged with more than a Leafe for Twenty-one Years, which the Statute allows.

Cro. Car. 44.

Leases by Tenant in Tail, or Husband seised in Right of his Wife of Copyhold Lands, are not within this Statute of 32 H. 8. but remain perfectly at Common Law.

Tenant in Tail made a Lease to a Feme Covert for Life, the Husband

Moor.pl. 1084. Sydnam and

Capps. (a) 5 Co. 2.

furrenders, and then the Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for three Lives, and dies; the Wife, after the Death of her Husband, entered, claiming her Lease, and dies; and (c) held, that the Issue shall not avoid the Co. Lit. 44. b. Lease for three Lives, and yet a conditional Surrender of a former Lease hath been expresly held not to be a sufficient Surrender to make good any new Lease to be made by Virtue of this Statute; Quære therefore the Difference.

> 3. When and in what Cases the Issue in Tail, or Strangers, hall be bound by boidable Leafes made by Tenant in Tail.

2 Bulf.42, 43. Errington ver. Errington. 4 Mod. 3. S. C. cited.

As this has already been in some Measure cleared under the first Branch of this Head, there remains but a few Cases here to be inferted.

Baron and Feme, Tenants in Special Tail, with Reversion in Fee to the Baron, the Baron dies, A. his Son and Issue in Tail, having also the Re-

Reversion in Fee, by Indenture, in the Life-time of the Wise, makes a Leafe to B. for forty Years, to begin after the Death of the Wife, rendring Rent, and dies without Issue; C. his Sister, to whom the Reversion descended in the Lise-time of her Mother, levies a Fine come ceo, &c. with Proclamations to J. S. then the Wise, Tenant in Tail, dies; and if J. S. the Conuzee of the Fine, was bound by this Lease, was the Question: No Judgment is given in the Case, but the Opinion of the Court, upon the first and second arguing of the Case, seemed to be, that the Conuzee could not avoid this Leafe; and the Reason they went upon was, because this Lease, at first, took its Effect out of the Estatetail by way of Conclusion, and out of the Reversion in Fee by way of Interest; but the taking Effect by way of Conclusion was at an End by the Death of the Issue who made it, because he died before the Estate-tail came to him, and so it rested barely upon the Reversion in Fee, which was well charged therewith; then when C. the Sister, inheritable likewise to both the Intail and Reversion in Fee, levied a Fine in the Life-time of the Mother; this passed the Reversion in Point of Interest charged with that Leafe, and it likewise carried the Estate-tail; (not as an Estate-tail, for that none could have but the Donees and their Issue, inheritable by Force of the Gift; much less when the Issue who levied it had then nothing in the Inrail, her Mother, who had the whole Estate-tail in her, being then living;) but it passed the Estate-tail by way of Bar or Extinguishment, so that the Lease, which would have taken Place out of the Estate-tail by way of Conclusion, if it had ever come to the Lessor, and which did take Place out of the Reversion in Point of Interest, now that the Estate-tail is put out of the way by Virtue of the Fine, the Leafe then takes Place out of the Reversion presently, and by Confequence the Conuzee, who has that Reversion by Conveyance subsequent to the Lease, must hold it subject thereto; and the Sister could not by the Fine convey over the Possibility of avoiding the Leafe, which she herself would have had if the Estate-tail had come to her; and some held, that if either the Brother, or Sister, after the Brother's Death, had acknowledged a Statute, and then after levied the Fine, and then the Mother had died, that the Estate-tail would be so barred and gone, quoad the Conuzee of the Statute, that he might lay on his Statute against the Conuzee of the Fine, who hath the Fee-simple absolute in him, out of which the Leafe or Statute were to take Place; and the Iffue in Tail only is inheritable to the Privilege of avoiding fucli Charges by Virtue of his Estate-tail, not the Conuzee, who is a Stranger, and cannot have that Estate. But afterwards when Coke came to be Chief Justice, he was clear of Opinion, that the Conuzee of the Fine was not bound by this Lease, for he held the Lease to be clearly and absolutely void as against the Sister and her Conuzee, and not only voidable; indeed if the Son had come to the Estate-tail, it would have bound him, and so it would his Conuzee, if he had levied the Fine in the Life of his Mother; but he dying in the Life-time of the Mother, who was perfect Tenant in Tail, the Sifter was not at all bound by this Conclufion, but the Lease, as to her, was absolutely void; and then of all void Charges a Stranger may take Advantage, tho' of fuch as are only voidable, Privies only, and not Strangers, can take Advantage: And he divided the Case, and put it as if the Reversion in Fee had been in the Donor, and fuch Donor had made a Lease for Years, or granted a Rent-Charge, and then the Issue in Tail, in the Life of the Tenant in Tail, had levied a Fine, and then the Tenant in Tail had died, clearly the Conuzee of the Fine should hold the Land so long as there were any Issue in Tail; for during that Time the Conuzee hath a Fee-simple; and tho' the Issue in Tail here had the Reversion in Fee, which he passed to the Conuzee, together with a Fee determinable on the Failure of Issue, and that the Conuzee cannot have two Fee-simples in him, yet he hath

fuch a Fee-fimple as shall be discharged of the Lease during the Continumee of the Estate-Tail, if it had not been barred, and the one Feefimple shall not determine or drown the other, but both shall have Continuance quoad Strangers, as if they were in feveral and diffinct Persons; and he also held, that if the Daughter in this Case had entered, and accepted the Rent, yet clearly this Acceptance would not have bound her, or made good the Leafe, because, as to her, it was absolutely void, and not only voidable; and this feems the most reasonable and best Opinion; but no Judgment was given, but the Cale ended by Agreement.

4 Mo 1, 2. Carth. 257, 258. 1 Show 3-0.

A. Tenant in Tail, with Reversion to himself in Fee, makes a Lease i 8alk 338. for 99 Years, if two Lives should so long live, to commence after the Determination of a Lease for Years then in Being, A dies, leaving B. his eldest Son and Heir, who being the Issue in Tail levied a Fine to the S.C. Symonds Use of himself and his Heirs; the first Lease determines, then B. enters and Cudriore upon his Father's Lessee; and if his Entry was lawful, was the Question, and it was adjudged, that it was not; for this was an Interest derived out of the Estate-Tail, and also out of the Reversion, and being made by Tenant in Tail was not absolutely void as against his Issue, but only voidable; then when the Issue, without taking the Advantage the Law gave him in respect of his Estate-Tail to avoid this Lease, levies a Fine of the Estate, his Estate-Tail by such Fine is extinguished or barred and gone, and by Confequence his Power to avoid this Leafe in respect of that Estate-Tail is gone likewise, and the Conuzee has no Power to avoid it, because he is a meer Stranger, and no ways in Privity of the Estate-Tail, nor could this Fower to avoid the Leafe be transferred to the Conuzee, when the Issue in Tail had it only in respect of his Estate-Tail, which is now barred, or rather extinguished, as it was held to be, and so the Leafe took Place of the Reversion in Fee. Note; This Cafe seems to differ from that of Errington's fupra, where the Son, who had made the Lease, died without Issue in the Life-time of his Mother, who was perfect Tenant in Tail.

1 Rol. Abr. \$42. 1 Fon. 60. Hatton 84. Cro. Fuc. 088. 2 Rol. Rep. 490, 498. Crocker and Kelfey. 1 Sid. 62 1 Keb. 182 S. C. cited.

Husband and Wife Tenants in Special Tail, with Remainder to the Hufband in Fee, by Conveyance made by the Husband, during the Coverture have Issue a Son, the Husband dies, the Son in the Life-time of his Mother levies a Fine to the Use of himself and his Heirs, the Wife after makes a Lease for twenty-one Years without referving the antient Rent, and so not warranted by 32 H. 8. and dies, the Son hath Issue, and by his Will devises these Lands to the Defendant, and dies, the Defendant enters upon the Lessee, who brings Ejechment; and it was adjudged in B. R for the Plaintiff, and that Judgment afterwards affirmed in Error in the Exchequer Chamber, after divers Arguments; and in the Case two Points were made: 1. If this Leafe, being made by a Jointress within 11 H. 7. and not warranted by 32 H. 8. be voidable by the Islue in Tail, upon the Statute 11 H. 7. in Case no such Fine had been levied. 2. If the Conuzee of the Fine should have the same Power to avoid the Lease, either in Respect of the Estate-Tail or the Remainder in Fee, as the Issue should have had, if no such Fine had been levied. As to the first Point, it was refolved, that this Leafe was not within the 11 H. 7. for it was no Difcontinuance, but only an ordinary Leafe for Years, which the Wife might furvive, and therefore this differs from a Leafe for Life or Lives made by a fole Jointress, not warranted by 32 H. 8. for that makes a Discontinuance presently, and is expresly within 11 H. 7. also this differs from the Case put in (a) Sir George Brown's Case, that if a Woman Jointress in Tail accepts a Fine come ceo, &c. and grants and renders the Land for 500 or 1000 Years, to evade the Act, that yet this is an Alienation within the Meaning of that Act, as much as if the had expresly levied a Fine for 500 or 1000 Years, because in both Cases, after her Death, fuch Fine would bind the Issue in Tail, which that Statute in-

(a) 3 Co. 51. 2 Rol. Rep. 491; & vide 3 Keb. 333, 436, 448.

tended to prevent; but because such Fines passing only an Interest for Years, and not meddling with the Freehold, make no Discontinuance, nor can be forfeited with collateral Warranty, therefore during the Life of the Jointress they continue good, she continuing still Tenant in Tail, as she was before, at least in Case of the Fine levied by her for Years; but after her Death the Islue in Tail may avoid them, because otherwise they would be prejudicial to him in binding his Inheritance, and fo would be equivalent to a Discontinuance, and therefore after the Death of the Jointress in such Case the Issue in Tail may avoid them by 11 H. 7. but not before; but this Lease for twenty-one Years being made in the ordinary Form, by Indenture, is not within the Statute 11 H. 7. and therefore if the Jointress in this Case had made a Lease for 100 or 1000 Years by Indenture only, this would be no Alienation within II H. r. because the Issue might avoid it by the Statute de Donis; so that there appears a manifest Difference between Leases for Life or Lives, and Leases for Years, and also between Leases for Years made by Fine, and Leases for Years made only by Indenture or Deed Poll; but if fuch Leafe, either for Lives or Years, were in all Things warranted by 32 H. 8. then they would be good and binding upon the Issue. As to the second Point, if the Conuzee of the Issue in Tail should have the same Power of avoiding the Leafe, either in Respect of the Estate-Tail or the Remainder in Fee, as the Issue h mself should have had if no such Fine had been levied; as to this it was refolved, that he should not, but that the Lease was good, and unavoidable; for notwithstanding the Fine levied by the Son, the Mother continued perfect and absolute Tenant in Tail; and therefore the Lease made by her would not have been absolutely void against the Issue but only voidable, if he had levied no Fine; but now having levied a Fine, this hath barred the Issue and the Entail, so that the Issue himself cannot avoid this Lease; for he hath nothing to do with the Entail, and the Conuzee cannot avoid it, because he is a Stranger to the Entail, which could not be transferred to him by the Fine, but only be extinguished as an Estate-Tail; and the Statute de Donis helps only the Issue and those in Reversion or Remainder; and tho' the Fine carried likewise the Remainder in Fee, and after the Death of the Wife the Entail was not in Esse, but determined; yet this was only between the Conuzor and Conuzee; for as to the Feme, and all Strangers, the Estate-Tail continues fo long as there is any Issue, and no Diversity when the Fine of the Issue is precedent to the Leafe, and where subsequent; for the Lease is good against all, but those who are aided by the Statute de Donis; and when the Iffue in Tail by his own Act hath extinguished or barred the Estate-Tail, and destroyed the Privity, the Lease continues good and unavoidable fo long as any of the Issue in Tail are in Being; and if the Feme in this Case, after the Fine levied by the Issue, had made a Feoffment in Fee, and died, the Feoffee should have held the Land against the Issue and his Conuzce, so long as there were any Issues in Tail; and if Tenant in Tail makes a Leafe for Years, and after levies a Fine to him in the Reversion, and dies, leaving Issue, tho' in this Case he in Reverfion shall be in of his antient Reversion, yet he shall not avoid the Lease during the Lives of the Issues in Tail; for as to Strangers, the Estate-Tail hath Continuance in Right, tho' as to other Purpofes he shall be in of an Estate in Fee; and therefore the Difference between this and Sir George Brown's Case is, that the Lease there for three Lives was a Discontinuance, and then 11 H. 7. gives Title of Entry to him to whom the Interest after the Death of the Feme should appertain, to avoid it; but here this Leafe for Years was no Discontinuance, nor at all within that Statute; and then it remains at Common Law, where none but the Iffue in Privity of the Estate-Tail, or those in Reversion or Remainder, shall avoid it; and here the Estate-Tail, as to all Strangers, hath Continuance, and then the Issue cannot avoid it, because he hath no Estate-Tail, Vol. III. 40

nor the Conuzee, because a Stranger to the Entail; and so the Lease remains absolute and unavoidable.

Carth. 260. in the Cafe of Symonds and Cudmore, who doubt-

If Tenant in Tail makes a future Lease, and dies before it is to commence, fuch Leafe is meerly void, without more Circumstances; but the Issue in Tail has his Election to make it good by accepting the Rent, or by Holz C. J. by Distraining and Avowry, which amounts to an Admittance of the against the Lease, and so estops and concludes the Issue to deny it; so that the three others, Election of the Issue in that Case is only to support and make good the Leafe by some Act of his own Conclusion, and not an Election to avoid it by his own Act, because there is no such Act necessary; for the Law esteems it void ipso facto by the Death of the Tenant in Tail, unless the Issue doth by some Act make it good.

Where Leafes are voidable only, the fame may in fome Cafes be avoided by one Person, and yet revived and made good by another; and in some Cases an Avoidance of such Leases by one Person concludes all others to revive or fet them up again; wherein the Diversity is between those who at the Time of the Avoidance have the absolute Fee and Inheritance in them, and those who have only a temporary and particular

Estate or Interest therein.

7 Co. 35.

Therefore if Tenant in Tail, or Bishop, make a Lease for Years not Co. Lit. 46. a. warranted by the Statute, fo that the Issue in Tail or Successor may avoid them, if during these Leases the Temporalties come into the Hands of the King by the Vacancy of the Bishoprick, or the Wardship of the Issue, and his Lands come to the King, or any other, upon the Death of the Tenant in Tail, by reason of a Tenure by Knight's Service; in these Cases the King, or other Guardian, may avoid these Leases in Right of the Bishoprick or Issue, whether made by the Ancestor within Age, or by the Ward himself; but yet the Successor, or Issue, when they come to the actual Possession of these Lands themselves, may by the Acceptance of the Rent, &c. and Waver of the Possession, re-establish and set up such Leases again; so where the King sor his Primier Seisin avoided a Lease for Years made by a Tenant in Tail, yet it was adjudged, that after Livery had, the Issue in Tail had Election either to defeat or abide by fuch Avoidance; and therefore if he accepted the Rent from the Lessee, and waved the Possession, this set up the Lease again.

7 Co. 36.

So if the Wife of Tenant in Tail, being endowed of those Lands, avoids a Leafe made by her Husband during the Coverture, for thirty or forty Years, yet after her Death the Issue in Tail, by Acceptance of Rent,

and Waver of the Possession, may set up such Lease again.

Ce. Lit. 46. a. 7 Co. 3. Gidb. 325.

So if Tenant in Tail makes a Lease for thirty or forty Years, rendering Rent, and dies without Issue, his Wife privement enseint with a Son, and the Donor enters, and as to himself avoids the Lease; then the Son is born, and the Lessee re-enters; the Son at full Age may either affirm or avoid such Lease, as he thinks fit; for the Lease was not absolutely determined or avoided, more than the Estate-Tail itself, out of which it was derived, but only secundum quid, and subject to be set up again upon the Birth of the Issue, which revived the Estate-Tail; but if such Lease were made by the Tenant in Tail before Marriage, rendering Rent, and then he married, and died, leaving his Wife privement enfeint, and the Donor enters, and as to himfelf avoids the Leafe, yet if the Wife be after endowed, the Leafe is revived as against her, because her Estate is guodam modo a Continuance of the Estate-Tail of the Husband, and therefore revives all Charges made by him before the Marriage; but if the Wife be after delivered of a Son, and dies, now the Islue may again avoid that Lease or affirm it, as he thinks fit; or if such Lease were made after Marriage, and the Wife, being endowed thereof, avoids that Leafe, yet after her Death the Issue in Tail may revive it; for in all these Cases the Avoidance of such Leases being only by those who had a temporary Estate or Interest in the Land, cannot bind those who

fucceed to the Inheritance thereof, but that they may, if they think fir, re-chablish and set up such Lease again, which, as to them, was at first

only voidable, and not absolutely void.

But if a Woman be endowed of an Advowson, which was appropriated, atted, during the Coverture, and the presents, and her Presentee is ad-Co. Lit. 46 to mitted, instituted, and inducted, tho' the Incumbent dies during the Life of the Dowress, yet is the Appropriation deseated and dissolved for ever; because the Incumbent, who came in by her Presentation, had the whole Fee and Estate in him, as much as any Incumbent ever can have, and consequently there can be no reversionary or contingent Interest less to revive the Appropriation; but if the Wise in this Case had died before any Presentation, then the Appropriation had remained untouched; for then nothing had been done to deseat or alter it, and make it presentable; for the actual Presentation only deseats and dissolves the Appropriation, not the hare Power of Presenting, without it be reduced into Execution.

### (E) Of Leases for Lives or Dears by Ecclefiastical Persons: And herein,

1. What Leafes they might have made by the Common Law; and of the several enabling and disabling Statutes, with some general Observations on them.

As to Leases made by Ecclesiastical Persons, by the Common Law, Comp. Incombwe shall but briefly observe, that all Ecclesiastical Persons had in 415.
former Times as sull Power and Authority to lease, grant, or alien their
Possession, as Temporal Persons had, that is, if the Grant, &c. made
by a sole Corporation was with the Consent of others, whose Confirmation was in such Case necessary; for the Deans and Chapters, Masters
and Fellows of Colleges, Masters and Brethren of Hospitals, and such
like Corporations Aggregate, might of themselves alone, without the
Consent or Confirmation of any, have made long Leases for Lives or
Years, or Gifts in Tail or Fee, at Pleasure; yet Bishops, Deans, &c.
seised in Right of their Bishopricks, Deanries, &c. so Archdeacons, Prebendaries, Parsons, Vicars, &c. if they aliened or leased, must have had
the Consent and Confirmation of others, that had the Power of constring in that Behalf, and then their Grants, &c. were as good as those
made by Aggregate Corporations.

But the Law, as to the Capacity of Clergymen in granting, leafing, &c. being greatly altered by divers Acts of Parliament, and those not a little intricate and perplexed, it will be necessary to set down the Statutes themselves, to render the Cases reducible to them more clear

and intelligible.

The first Statute concerning Leases by Ecclesiastical Persons, which is also the only Statute that gives Directions concerning Leases by Tenant in Tail, or Husbands seised of Lands in Right of their Wives, is 32 H. 8. 32 H. 8 cap. 28. which provides as followeth: Where great Numbers of the cap. 28. King's Subjects have heretofore taken Leases of Lands, Tenements, and other Hereditaments, for Term for Years, and divers of them for Term of Life, and have given and paid great Fines and Sums for the same, and also been at great Costs and Charges, as well in and about great Reparations and Buildings upon their said Farms, as otherwise concerning their said Farms; yet notwithstanding the said Farmers,

after the Deaths or Refignation of their Lessors, have been, and be daily, with great Cruelty, expulsed and put out of their said Farms and Takings by the Heirs or Successors of their said Lessors, or by fuch Persons as have Interest therein, after the Deaths or Resignations of their faid Lessors, by reason of privy Gifts of Intail, or for that the Lessors had nothing in the Lands, Tenements, or other Heredita-6 ments so letten at the Time of the Leases thereof made, but only in the Right of their Wives, or such other like Cause, to the great Imopoverishment, and in a Manner utter undoing, of the faid Farmers; for Reformation whereof, be it enacted, &c. That all Leafes to be made of any Lands, Tenements, or other Hereditaments, by Writing indented; under Seal, for Term of Years, or for Term of Life, by any Person or Persons, being of full Age of Twenty-one Years, having an Estate of Inheritance either in Fee-simple or Fee-tail, in their own Right, or ' in Right of their Churches and Wives, or jointly with their Wives, of an Estate of Inheritance, made before the Coverture or after, shall be good and effectual in the Law against the Lessors, their Wives, 6 Heirs and Successors, and every of them, &c. Provided that the said ' Act shall not extend to any Leases to be made of any Manors, Lands, <sup>6</sup> Tenements or Hereditaments, being in the Hands of any Farmer or <sup>6</sup> Farmers, by Virtue of an old Leafe, unless the same old Leafe be expired, furrendered, or ended, within one Year next after the making of the said new Lease; nor shall extend to any Grant to be made of any Reversion of any Manors, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, which have not most commonly been letten to Farm, or occupied by 6 the Farmers thereof, by the Space of twenty Years next before such Lease thereof made; nor to any Lease to be made without Impeachment of Waste, nor to any Lease to be made above the Number of 'Twenty-one Years, or three at the most, from the Day of the making thereof; and that upon every such Lease there be reserved yearly, during the fame Lease, due and payable to the Lessors, their Heirs and Successors, to whom the same Lands should have come after the Deaths of the Lessors, if no Lease had been thereof made, and to whom the Reversion thereof shall appertain, according to their Estates and Interests, so much yearly Ferm or Rent, or more, as hath been ' most accustomably yielden or paid for the Manors, &c. so to be letten within twenty Years next before such Lease thereof made; and that every such Person or Persons, to whom the Reversion of such Manors, Ec. so to be letten shall appertain, as is aforesaid, after the Deaths of ' fuch Lessors, or their Heirs, shall and may have such like Remedy and Advantage, to all Intents and Purpofes, against the Lessees thereof, their Executors and Assigns, as the same Lessor should or might have had against the same Lessees; Provided also, that this Act extend not to give any Liberty or Power to any Person to take any ' more Farms, Leafes or Takings, of any Manors, &c. than he should or might lawfully have done before the making of this Act; nor extend to any Liberty or Power to any Parson, or Vicar of any Church or Vicaridge, for to make any Leafe or Grant of any of their Messuages, Lands, Tenements, Tythes, Profits or Hereditaments, belonging to their Churches or Vicaridges, otherwife, or in any other Manner, than they should or might have done before the making of this Act. This Act extends only to sole Corporations, as Bishops, Deans, &c.

10 Co. 60. a.

This Act extends only to fole Corporations, as Bishops, Deans, &c. but as to Corporations Aggregate, as Deans and Chapters, &c. tho' they be seised in Right of their Churches, this is no enabling Statute; for they, by the Consent of the major Part of them, might have made any Leases or Grants of their Estates without Limitation before this Statute, and so they might have done after, till by other subsequent Statutes they were restrained, this being meerly enabling, and not at all restraining them; and tho' by this Statute the sole Corporations before mentioned

could

could not, without the Confent and Confirmation of others, have made Leafes for three Lives, or Twenty-one Years, yet with Confirmation they might have made longer Leafes, or absolute Alienations, of any of their Possessions; and therefore to restrain Bishops, and other Ecclesiastical Perfons, were the Statutes of 1 & 13 Eliz. made, which are as follow.

For restraining of Bishops, the 1 Eliz. cap. 19. says, 'That all Gists, Grants, Feoffments, Fines, and other Conveyances, or Estates, from the first Day of this present Parliament had, made, done or fuffered, or to be had, made, done or fuffered, by any Archbishop or Bishop, of any Honours, Castles, Manors, Lands, Tenements, or other Hereditaments, being Parcel of the Possessions of his Archbishoprick or 6 Bishoprick, or united, appertaining, or belonging to any of the same, to any Person (other than the (a) Queen, her Heirs and Successors) (a) This Sta-whereby any Estate should or might pass from the Archbishop or tute leaving Bishop, other than for Term of Twenty-one Years, or three Lives, Bishops their

her H. irsand Successors, to

from fuch Time as any Leafe, Grant or Assurance, shall begin, and former whereupon the old accustomed yearly Rent, or more, shall be referved granting to payable yearly during the said Term of Twenty-one Years, or three the Queen, Lives, shall be utterly void; any Law, Custom, &c. notwithstanding.

little Effest, for that many Estates were granted to the Queen, upon Design that she should grant them over to others, to prevent which was the Statute 1 Fac. 1. cap. 3. msde, which disables all Archbishops and Bishops from granting any of their Possessions to the King, his Heirs or Successors, and makes all such Leases, Grants, Gr. to the King, his Heirs or Successors, utterly void and of none Effect. 11 Co. 71. Gilf. Codex 679.

The Statute which disables all other Ecclesiastical Persons is 13 Eliz. cap. 10. which is as followeth: 'And for that long and unreafonable Leafes made by Colleges, Deans and Chapters, Parfons and Vicars, 6 and others, having Spiritual Promotions, be the chiefest Causes of the 6 Dilapidations and the Decay of all Spiritual Livings and Hospitality, and the utter impoverishing of all Successors, Incumbents of the same, be it enacted, 'That from henceforth all Leases, Gifts, Grants, Feoffments, Conveyances or Estates, to be made, had, done or suffered, 6 by any Master and Fellows of any College, Dean and Chapter of any 6 Cathedral or Collegiate Church, Master or Guardian of any Hospital, <sup>6</sup> Parson, Vicar, or any other, having any Spiritual or Ecclesiastical ' Living, or any House, Lands, Tithes, Tenements or other Heredita-' ments, being any Parcel of the Possessions of any such College, Cathe-6 dral, Church, Chapel, Hospital, Parsonage, Vicaridge, or other Spiritual Promotion, or any ways appertaining or belonging to the same, or any of them, to any Person or Persons Bodies Politick or Corporate (other than for the Term of Twenty-one Years, or three Lives, from 6 the Time as any fuch Lease or Grant shall be made or granted; whereupon the accustomed yearly Rent, or more, shall be reserved and payable during the faid Term) shall be utterly void, and of none ' Effect, to all Intents and Purpoles whatfoever; any Law, Custom, &c. 6 notwithstanding: Provided, &c. that nothing herein extend to make 6 good any Leafe, or other Grant, to be made by any fuch College or Collegiate Church within either of both the Universities of Oxford and ' Cambridge, or elfewhere, within the Realm of England, for more Years than are limited by the private Statute of the same College: Provided 6 also, that this Act shall not extend to any Lease hereafter to be made, 6 upon Surrender of any Leafe heretofore made and now continuing, for that the Lease to be made do not contain more Years than the Residue of the Years of the former Leafe, now continuing, shall be at the Time of fuch Leafe hereafter to be made, nor any less Rent than is referved in the faid former Leafe.

5 Co. 2. 4 Co. 76. Meor 253. Cro. Fac. 112. 2 Rol. Abr 466. 1 Leon. 306. Yelv. 106. 1 Mod. 205. 2 Mod. 56.

5 Co. 15. b.

11 Co. 75. 1 Rol. Abr.

1 Rol. Rep. 151.

On these Statutes we shall observe, 1. That the Statute of 1 Eliz. cap. 19. is but a private or particular Statute, and must be specially pleaded, else the Court will take no Notice of it; but 13 Eliz. cap. 10. is a general Law, whereof the Judges are bound ex officio to take Notice, tho' it be not pleaded, because it extends to all Ecclesiastical Persons whatsoever, except Bishops, who were before provided for by the 1 Eliz. cap. 19.

2. It has been adjudged and held in Parliament, that the King was bound by 13 Eliz. cap. 10. tho' not named, because the Statute was general and for the publick Good; but for some Time the Law was held otherwise, and therefore where a Lease was made to the King by a Dean and Chapter, and the King had affigned it over, after that, the Law came to be held that the King was bound, the Assignee had his Lease made good to him in Chancery against the Statute, because he could not know the Law in a Matter fo dubious.

Comb. Incum. 417.

3. That all Leafes made according to 13 Eliz. by any fingle Corporation, if not warranted likewise by 32 H. 8. must be confirmed by those who by Law are to confirm the same.

Comp. Incum. 419.

4. That these Statutes of 1 & 13 Eliz. are meerly restraining, so that tho' Bishops, and other Ecclesiastical Persons, might, with the Confirmation of those required by Law, have made any Lease or perpetual Grant, yet now no Confirmation whatever will make them good for above three Lives, or Twenty-one Years.

10 Co. 60. b. Moor 108.

5. That no Leafe by an Archbishop or Bishop for three Lives, or Co. Lit. 45. a. Twenty-one Years, made according to the Exception of I Eliz. is good to bind the Successor, if it be not in every Thing pursuant to 32 H. 8. unless it be confirmed by the Dean and Chapter; for Leases for Twentyone Years, or three Years, being only exempted and taken out from the general Disability imposed on Bishops by the first Part of the Act, receive no Sanction at all from that Act, but as they are taken out to rest upon 32 H. 8. and therefore tho' they are for Twenty-one Years, or three Lives; yet if Part of the Land were not in Possession, or that the old Lease were not surrendered or expired within one Year before the new Lease made, or in any other Respect, such new Lease were not warranted by 32 H. 8. to bind the Successor, there must be the Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter; because at Common Law such Confirmation was necessary; and these Leases not being warranted by 32 H. 8. which is the only Statute that enables Bishops solely to make Leafes to bind their Successors, remain at Common Law, and by Consequence, without Confirmation, are voidable by the Successors as much as if they were made for one Hundred Years or Lives.

11 Co. 76 Magdalen College's Cafe.

6. That 13 Eliz. cap. 10. hath been always construed largely and beneficially to prevent all Inventions and Evafions against the true Intent thereof; therefore where the Statute says, Master and Fellows of any College, yet it hath been often held, that be the College incorporated by that Name, or by the Name of Warden and Fellows, or Warden and Scholars, or Warden, Fellows and Scholars, or Master, Fellows and Scholars, or Master and Scholars, or Frovost, Fellows and Scholars, or by any other Name of Corporation, and be the College Temporal for the Advancement of the Liberal Arts and Sciences, or meer Ecclesiastical or Mixt, that all these are within the Restraint of this Act; so where the Statute says Master or Wardens of any Hospital, be the Hospital incorporated by any other Name, and be it a fole Corporation, or Corporation Aggregate of many, yet the Statute extends to

14 Eliz. cap.

The next Statute that made any Alteration in these Things was 14 Eliz. which as to Houses in Cities and great Towns is as followeth: Whereas in an Act made 13 Eliz. there is no Branch to avoid certain Leafes to

be made by Masters and Fellows of Colleges, Deans and Chapters of Cathedral or Collegiate Churches, Masters or Guardians of any Hospital, or by any Parlon or Vicar, or any other having any Spiritual or Ecclefiaftical Living; Be it Enacted, That the faid Branch, nor any Thing therein contained, shall not extend to any Grant, Assurance, or Leafe of any Houses belonging to any Persons or Bodies Politick or Corporate aforesaid, nor to any Grounds to such Houses appertaining, which Houses be situate in any City, Borough, Town Corporate or Market-Town, or the Suburbs of any of them; but that all such Houses and Grounds may be granted, demised, and assured as by the Laws of this Realm and the feveral Statutes of the faid Colleges, Cathedral Churches and Hospitals they lawfully might have been before the making of the faid Statute, or lawfully might be, if fuch Statute were not, so always that such House be not the Capital or Dwelling-House used for the Habitation of the Persons abovesaid, nor have Ground to the same belonging above the Quantity of ten Acres; provided that no Lease shall be permitted to be made by Force of this Act in Reversion, nor without referving the accustomed yearly Rent at the least, nor without charging the Leffee with the Reparations, nor for longer Term than forty Years at the most; nor any Houses shall be permitted to be 6 aliened, unless in Recompence thereof there shall be a good and sufficient Affurance made in Fee-simple absolutely to such Colleges, Houses, Bodies Politick or Corporate, and their Successors, of Lands of as good · Value, and of as great yearly Value at the least, as shall be aliened; • any Statute to the contrary notwithstanding."

Note; This Statute makes no Alteration of the Statute I Eliz. nor has Comp. In. umb. any Relation to it, but only to the Statute 13 Eliz. and therefore gives 423.

no Power to Bishops to let Houses, otherwise than according to I Eliz. Note also, That this Statute need not be found by Verdick, being a Cro. Eliz. 564.

general Law.

By this Statute it is expresly provided, that no Lease shall be made of fuch Houses in Reversion; but by 13 Eliz. no Restraint being made of so the Leases, it was found necessary to provide against them by another Statute, viz. the 18 Eliz.

Which reciting, that fince the making of the 13 Eliz. divers Eccle- 18 Eliz. esp. fiastical and Spiritual Persons, and others having Spiritual or Ecclesiastical in coming-Livings, have from Time to Time made Leafes for Term of twenty-one edby 43 Elizary or three Lives long before the Expiration of the former Years. Years, or three Lives, long before the Expiration of the former Years, Scattle is a contrary to the true Intent and Meaning of the faid Statute; Be it general Law, therefore Enacted, That all Leases to be made by any of the faid Eccle- 4 Co. 76, 120, 6 fiastical, Spiritual, or Collegiate Persons, or others, of any of the said 2 Rol. Abr. Ecclesiastical, Spiritual, or Collegiate Lands, Tenements, or Heredita-465. 6 ments whereof any former Lease for Years is in Being, not to be exe pired, surrendered, or ended within three Years next after the making of any fuch new Lease, shall be void, frustrate, and of none Effect, and that all and every Bond and Covenant for renewing or making of any Lease or Leases contrary to the true Intent of this Act, or of the said · Act made in the faid 13th Year, shall be utterly void; any Law, Statute, &c. Provided, that this Act, nor any Thing therein contained, fhall extend or be prejudicial to make frustrate or void any Lease or Leafes heretofore made by any of the faid Spiritual or Ecclefiaftical · Perfons, or any of them; but that the same, and every of them, are of the like Force and Effect, as they, or any of them, were before the · making of this prefent Statute.'

The Statute of 18 Lbz. has Relation only to the Statute 13 Elize to H.b. 260. restrain Leases in Reversion where above three Years of the first Lease is Crime and then to come, but leaves the Statute of 14 Eliz. perfectly at large as to Taylor. Houses in Cities, without making void such Leases, or any Bonds or Covenants concerning them; for as to fuch Houses the Statute of 14 Eliz

is a new Law, and fets loofe the 13 Eliz. therefore where an Action of Covenant was brought against the Dean of Lincoln and one of the Prebendaries, upon a Covenant made by the Dean and Chapter, by their special Names jointly and severally, to make a Lease of a House in London, tho' it was argued to be void upon the Statute 18 Eliz. that Statute extending only to 13 Eliz. and not to the 14 Eliz. which, as to Houses in Cities, repealed 13 Eliz. and makes all Leases thereof good, so they do not exceed forty Years, &c. and are not made in Reversion, which was not prohibited by 13 Eliz. Also the Statute 14 Eliz. forbids Alienations of such Houses, except there be full Recompence given to the Church at the same Time, so as with such Recompence they may alien such Houses in Fee, which was not permitted by 13 Eliz. and it was adjudged accordingly; and it is (a) said, the Reason of repealing 13 Eliz. as to Houses in Market-Towns, was to make those Places more populous.

10 10 \$ 20.1. - 15-

Moar 739. Dean and Canons of Windfor ver. Sir Gilbert Pergoin.

But to avoid the Force of those Statutes of 13 Eliz. and 18 Eliz. and the Claufe making void Bonds and Covenants against them, a Contrivance was fet on foot to this Effect; the Dean and Chapter of Hindfor, in the 35th Year of Eliz. made an Agreement amongst themselves by Lots to have an Assurance of a Lease to each of them, of certain Part of the Possessions of their Church, which, after the Lots cast, whereby every one knew his own Leafe, they executed the Assurance in this Manner; the Corporation enters into an Obligation of 500 L to every Canon that was to have a Lease, and the Payment limited to be within a short Time before the Expiration of the old Leafe in Being, and the Canon the fame Day entered into an Obligation to pay the College  $510 \, l$ , at the same Time, if they did make a Lease according to a Schedule annexed, which Schedule was Verbatim the Demife agreed to be made; and it was farther proved, that the Intent and Agreement betwixt them was, that the one 500 l. should be stopped for the other 500 l. and that the Corporation should have only the 10 l. for the Lease; which Matter being disclosed in Chancery, the Lord Keeper Egerton made a Decree, that the Obligation of 500 l. made by the Dean and Canons to each Canon was void by 18 Eliz. and in the same Case a Precedent was shewn between Fry and the Dean and Canons of Wells, decreed 44 Eliz. in Chancery, which was thus; Fry gave to the Dean and Canons of Wells 1000 l. and took an Obligation of 2000 l. with Condition to repay the 1000 l. and for Non-payment brought an Action of Debt against the Dean and Prebends, and obtained a Judgment, and made a Deseazance thereof, that if they make a Lease to him of Land then in Lease to Sir Amias Pawlett for fifteen Years to come, then the Judgment should be void; and the Truth of the Case was, that the roco l. was paid, and 600 l. thereof employed in Payment of Tenths due by the Church; yet by the Opinion of Popham, Anderson and Periam it was decreed in Chancery, that the Judgment was void by 18 Eliz. which makes void Bonds and Covenants for making Leases against that Statute or 13 Eliz. cap. 10. but by Way of Arbitrament they awarded to Fry the 600 l. that was paid and employed in the Affairs of the Church, and after the 43 Eliz. was made to extend to Judgments in fuch Cases.

Another Statute concerning Leafes made by Colleges in the two Universities, and the Colleges of *Hinchester* and *Eaton*, is 18 Eliz. which adds one Thing more, as followeth: 'That no Master, Provost, President, Warden, Dean, Governor, Rector, or chief Ruler of any College, Cathedral Church, Hall or House of Learning in any of the

- 'Universities of Cambridge and Oxford, nor any Provost, Warden, or other Head Officer of the Colleges of Winchester or Eaton, nor the Cor-
- oporation of any of the same, by what Title, Stile, or Name soever they now be, shall, or may be called, after the End of this present Session of
- 6 Parliament shall make any Lease for Life, Lives or Years, of any Farm, 6 or any their Lands, Tenements, or other Hereditaments, to the which

anv

any Tythes, Arable Land, Meadow, or Pasture doth or shall appertain, except that the one Third Part at least of the old Rent be reserved in ' Corn for the faid Colleges, Cathedral Churches, Halls and Houses, ' that is to fay, in good Wheat after the Rate of 6 s. and 8 d. the Quarter, or under, and good Malt at 5 s. the Quarter, or under, to be delivered yearly upon a Day prefixed at the faid Colleges, Cathedral Church. · Halls or Houses; and for Default thereof to pay to the said Colleges, Cathedral Church, Halls or Houses in ready Money, at the Election of the faid Leffees, their Executors, Administrators, and Affigns, after the Rate of the best Wheat and Malt in the Market of Cambridge, for the Rents that are to be paid to the Use of the House or Houses there, (and so for Oxford and Winchester, in totidem Verbis,) and in the Market of Windfor for the Rents that are to be paid to the Use of the House or 6 Houses at Eaton, is or shall be due, without Fraud or Deceit; and that · all Leases otherwise hereaster to be made, and all collateral Bonds or 6 Afforances to the contrary by any of the faid Corporations shall be ' void in Law to all Intents and Purpoles; the fame Wheat, Malt, or 6 Money coming of the fame, to be expended to the Use of the Relief of 6 the Commons and Diet of the faid Colleges, Cathedral Church, Halls 6 and Houses only, and by no Fraud or Colour let or fold away from 6 the Profit of the faid Colleges, Cathedral Church, Halls and Houses, and the Fellows and Scholars in the same, and the Use aforesaid, upon 6 Pain of Deprivation of the Governor and chief Rulers of the faid Cole leges, Cathedral Church, Halls and Houses, and all other thereunto consenting: But this Act, or any Thing therein contained, shall not extend or be in any wife prejudicial to any Leafe to be made of a Barn called Muncken Barn, with a certain Portion of Tithes rifing, growing, 6 and being in the Parish of Southwerk in the County of Suffex, being 6 Parcel of the Possessions of Maudhin College in Oxford, so that the Term 6 demised in and by the faid Lease exceed not the Number of ten Years from and after the Feast of St. Michael the Archangel next coming, • neither shall this Act extend to any Lease to be made by the President • and Scholars of the College of St. John Baptist in Oxford to any Heir • Male of Sir Thomas Winte, Founder of the said College, which Lease fhall be made according to the Meaning of the Foundation and Statutes of the faid College, of the Manor of Fifield, and no other Hereditae ments.3

In the Construction of this Statute it hath been holden, that it is a 1 Lecn 306. private Act, because it concerns only those particular Places; and there-Sav. 129. fore must be pleaded or given in Evidence, or found by a Jury, otherwise the Court is not bound to take Notice of it.

Also it is said, that in a Declaration upon a Lease made by any of the Leon. 306, these Colleges it ought to be shewed, that the Corn was reserved according to the Statute, otherwise this may be good Cause to move in Arrest of Judgment; but of this it may be doubted; for in the Case itself, cited in Leon. for that Purpose, it appears that that Exception was disallowed; for the it does not appear in the Declaration that Corn was reserved, yet it may be that it was reserved in the Lease; and if not, yet the other Party ought to shew it; and therefore the Exception to the Declaration for not shewing it was disallowed.

By the Statute 22 Car. 2. it is Enacted, 'That for ever hereafter the Mayor, Commonalty, and Citizens of London may and shall have a Market, to be kept three or four Days in the Week, as to them shall feem convenient, upon the Ground now set out by the Assent of the Dean and Chapter of the Cathedral Church of St. Paul, London, for a Market-place within Newgate, and that the said Dean and Chapter shall make and give one or more Lease or Leases of the said Ground to the said Mayor, Commonalty, and Citizens, and also of the Wall of the said Church-yard, abutting severally upon Pater-noster-Row and the Vol. III.

6 Old Change, for the Term of forty Years, referving the yearly Rent of 6 four Pounds for the Ground of the said Market-place, and Two-pence

for every fuperficial Foot of the Ground or Soil of the faid Wall, as it is now fet out by the Surveyors of the City and of the faid Dean and Chapter, and so from forty Years to forty Years for ever, at the like vearly Rent, and one Year's Rent, after the Rates aforesaid, to be paid by way of Fine for each of the faid Grounds respectively, upon the 6 making every new Leafe thereof; which faid Leafe and Leafes shall be good and effectual in the Law, as against the Dean and Chapter, and their Successors, and all Persons claiming by, from, or under them, and that no House, Shed, or other Building, shall stand, or hereaster be erected and fixed upon the said Market-place, other than the Market-6 House already built with the Consent of the said Dean and Chapter; any thing in this or any other Act to the contrary notwithstanding: And whereas the faid Parsons or Vicars, or some of them, (within the faid City of London) are interested in several Glebe-Lands or Grounds, the which they cannot rebuild themselves, nor let such Lease or Leases as may be an Encouragement to others to rebuild the same; Be it Enacted, That the faid Parfons and Vicars, and every of them respec-6 tively, be impowered, and are hereby impowered to let fuch Leafe or Leases of their said Glebe-Lands or Grounds, with the Consent and <sup>6</sup> Approbation of the Patron or Patrons, and Ordinary, for any Term onot exceeding forty Years, and at fuch yearly Rents, without Fine, as can be obtained for the same.'

Tyer 69. a. Hob. 7. 1 Rol. Rep. 443. Before we mention any Cases, or make any Observations on the foregoing Statute, it may be necessary to take Notice, That at Common Law if a Parson had made a Lease for Years of his Glebe-Land, to begin after his Death, or granted a Rent-Charge in that Manner, and such Lease or Grant were confirmed by the Patron and Ordinary, this would have bound the Successor of the Parson; because here was the Consent and Concurrence of all Persons interested, and the Lease or Charge bound immediately from the persecting of the Deed by the Parson, Patron, and Ordinary, tho' it was not to take Effect in Possession till after the Parson's Death; but now no Confirmation whatever will make such Lease or Grant good against the Successor, by reason of the Statutes made to avoid them.

Mettl. 57. Mayor and Commonalty of Winchefter's Case.

If a Person obtain a Grant to build Houses on Church or College Lands, this is confirmed, (in Case where Confirmation is necessary,) yet this Grant is no Alienation against the Statutes, but is only a Covenant or Licence, and nothing else; for the Soil remains in the Grantor, and by Consequence the Houses built thereon are in him.

Comp. Incumb.

If a Parish be upon the Design of inclosing Lands, and a Parson hath Tithes in Kind, and Common for Beasts thereout, the Chancery may decree him to take a Quantity of Ground elsewhere, in Lieu thereos.

Comp Incumb. 334.

So where one had a Lease of Tithes in Kind, it was ordered in Chancery, that a Commission should go forth to set out other Meadow and Ground in Lieu thereof; the Reason of which Cases seems to be, either for the Prejudice the Publick might suffer, if such Recompence in no Case should be allowed, or for that the Successor of the Parson have no Injury thereby, being recompenced in other Lands; sed quære why an Act of Parliament in such Cases ought not to be procured; for it should from the Chancery, as well as the other Courts, are bound by all Acts of Parliament, which are positive Laws, and have no Liberty of breaking thro' them, upon any Pretence of Convenience or Necessity, more than other Courts.

Hob. 269. Noy 5. By the Statute of 14 Eliz. as appears before, all those who were restrained by 13 Eliz. have Liberty given them to alien Houses in Cities absolutely, so as at the Time of such Alienation there be a Recompence in Lands given to them, and their Successors, of as great Value as the

Houses

Houses aliened are; but this Liberty of aliening, upon fuch Recompence to be given, extends only to Houses; for as to Lands they have no such Power, nor can they exchange them, to bind their Successors, upon any Recompence whatfoever; and quare whether fuch House may be exchanged for Lands of greater Value, without Licence, against the Statutes of Mortmain.

It is agreed, that Corporations of Mayor and Commonalty, Bailiffs & Side 16:2. and Burgesses, and such other Lay Corporations, are out of all the beforementioned Statutes, and may make Leafes, and other Estates, as they

might ever have done.

It hath been adjudged, that a Spiritual Person not Beneficed is not Degg. 135. It hath been adjudged, that a Spiritual Person not Beneficed is not within the 2t H. 8. cap. 13. which prohibits Spiritual Persons from taking Mi.k 4 Car. t. in Sca.car', Leases to Farm, &c. for Life, Years, or at Will, in their own Name, or Change and

in the Name of any other Person or Persons to his Use, &c.

A Lease being made to a Spiritual Person against 21 H. 8. and a Bond Lyr 27, 18. or Obligation taken for Performance of Covenants, the Obligee brought 358. a an Action of Debt upon this Bond, and had Judgment; which proves 1 Leon 308. that the Lease was not absolutely void between the Lessor and Lessee, as 3 Keb. 436. the Words of the Statute are; and tho' in Dyer, where this Case is reported, this is not mentioned to be any Caufe of the Judgment, yet Periam in 1 Leon. held it to be the greatest Cause of the Judgment; and fo it appears to have been adjudged in another Place; for the Statute inflicts a Penalty of 10 l. for every Month that the Clerk shall occupy fuch Farm, and therefore it cannot be void; but the Leafes made void by that Statute are only those which Spiritual Persons before that Act, or after, had, and before Michaelmas then next following were not bargained, fold, or granted away.

In an Action upon 21 H. 8. cap. 13. against a Parson for taking of Bro. Tit. Farms, it is a good Plea to say, Non habit feu tenuit ad firmam contra Affion sur le Formam Statuti; and the Defendant may give in Evidence, that the Farm State 2. was for the Maintenance of his House, &c. according to the Proviso in

the Statute for that Purpofe.

Also the Writ grounded on this Statute ought to be Qui tam for the Bro. Astion King and Party; and therefore a Writ, which demanded the Whole, was fur le Stat. 4. ruled not to be good; but that Statute need not be mentioned in the Writ.

By another Act, intituled, An Act for eretting of Hospitals, or Abiding 35 Eliz. cap. or Working-Houses for the Poor, it is (amongst other Things) provided, 5. sett. 2. That all Leases, Grants, Conveyances, or Estates to be made by any Corporation so to be founded exceeding the Number of twenty-one Years, and that in Possession, and whereupon the accustomable yearly Rent, or more, by the greater Part of twenty Years next before the taking of fuch

Lease, shall not be reserved, and yearly payable, shall be void.

As to the Persons who may be said to be seised in Right of their 4 Leon. 51. Churches, fo as to be impowered by the Statute of 32 H. 8. to make Action and Leases for three Lives, or twenty-one Years, to bind their Successors, it Prit Ier.

appears to have been adjudged, they he feised in Right of his Prehen.

Cro. Eliz 350 appears to have been adjudged, tho' he be seised in Right of his Preben- Watkinson dary, and not in Right of his Church, may yet within the Equity of and Atan. that Act make Leases for three Lives, or twenty-one Years, to bind his Co. Let. 44 b. Successor, observing the several Qualifications required by the Act; for 3 Bulf. 290. the Words of the Act being general, all Persons having an Estate of Inhe-Leases 9 ritance in Right of their Church, with a special Exception of Parsons and Palm. 105. Vicars only, shew the Intent of the Act to include and take in all but Comp. In. uris those so excepted; and Popham said, that in Dr. Dale's Case, for an House 325. near St. Paul's, it was so adjudged, and so had been twice adjudged in his Experience; and Fenner faid, it was so adjudged in the Case of a Treasurer of a Church, and Prebendaries are Ecclesiastical Persons, for they are admitted and instituted, and have Locum in Choro, & Vocem Pa Cineun.

1 Lev. 112. 1 Sid. 158. I Keh. 5:6. Bis ver. Holt. Palm. 105. Eufden ver. Dennis.

So likewise it hath been adjudged, that a Chancellor of a Cathedral Church may make Leafes for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, within this Statute, to bind his Successor; so of a Treasurer, Archdeacon, and Precentor; for they are Prebendaries, and more, for they are generally chosen out of the Prebendaries, and have those Dignities superadded or annexed; and tho' Chancellors and Treasurers are in some fort Ministerial, yet are they not inter minores Ordines, as the Ostiarii and Vergers are, who are only Servants to carry Candles and Wax, keep the Doors, &c. but the others are seised in Fee in Right of their Church, &c. and have moreover these Dignities superadded; but a Case was cited to have been adjudged in the Exchequer, that Leases made by the Chanters of St. Paul's must be confirmed; for it was said, they are not properly Chanters, but Singing-Men only, and mineris Ordinis; but Chanters, properly fo called, Precentors, &c. are majoris Ordinis; as the Bishop of Sarum, in Right of his Bishoprick, is Pracentor Anglice; which shews it to be Honorary, and a Spiritual Dignity.

Bro. Tit. Age If a Parson, Prebend, Mayor, Dean, Abbot, &c. or any other sole 04, So. Corporation make a Lease for Years, either upon these Statutes, or at Common Law, tho' the Lessor be under the Age of twenty-one Years, yet he shall not avoid such Lease for that Cause; for since they are admitted to exercise such Offices or Functions, tho' withir. Age, they are likewife by Law supposed capable of doing all Things belonging thereto, as other Ferious of full Age may do; and therefore fuch Acts as are done by them in their Politick Capacity, which is subject to no Age or Infirmity, as the Body natural is, are valid, and effectual, notwithstanding their

Minority, which in such Case is not material.

2. Of the Bules to be observed and Qualifications requifite to the Perfection of Leafes by Ecclefiaftical Perfons: And therein,

Rule 1. Where an Indenture of Deed is necessary.

Co. Lit 44. b. 3 Keb. 379.

The first Thing to be observed upon the several Statutes before-mentioned concerning Leafes is, that as well upon the Statutes of the I & 13 Eliz. as upon 38 H. 8. the Leases to be made by Virtue thereof must be by Indenture; for tho' the Statutes I & 13 Eliz. do not require it, vet in that and all other Qualities and Properties required by the 32' H. 8. (except concurrent Leases only) they must follow the Pattern thereof; Stile's Cafe. and (a) if the Deed be indented, whether it begin This Indenture or not, Co Lit. 143. a. is not material; for notwithstanding that, it is an Indenture; on the contrary, if it be not indented, the calling it an Indenture will not make

2:9. a. Cro. říliz. 472. it fo. 2 Inft. 672. 2 Rol Abr 22.

Comp. Incumb. 317, 800.

But the most observable Thing under this Head is, how far a Parol Leafe or Agreement by the Parfon with his Parishioner or a Stranger for his Tithes shall be good, and how far and in what Cases not; concerning which there are various Cases and Opinions in the Books, many of which have no Foundation from the Statute, but stand intirely on their own Bottom.

Godh. 371

And herein all the Books agree, that if a Parson lease or grant over 2 Rol. Abr 63. his Tithes to a Stranger for Life or Years, or even for a Year, that such re Fiz. 188, Lease or Grant must be in Writing; and if it be not, it will be abso-Perk. fed 62. lutely void; the Reason whereof is, because Tithes are Things which Coo Fac. 31-, lie meerly in Grant, and whereof no manual Occupation can be; till they are actually collected, they are not Things substantive, whereof the Pro-

1 1 c.n. 23. 2 Brownl. 11, 17. 2 Keb 376.

perty can be changed by the Notoriety of Livery and Seisin, or any actual taking of Possession; but their whole Essence before they are fevered and divided confifts only in Notion and Idea; therefore without Deed the Grantee or Lessee can make no manner of Title to them; for without that, there is nothing can be done to invest him with the Property thereof, but the Essence and Substance of his Title is to be derived from the Deed, granting or leasing them to him; and for this Reason it is that he must not only have a Deed thereof, but must also in pleading shew it with a Profert hie in Curia; for otherwise the Court, which is to judge secundum allegata & probata, can no more adjudge his Title good, than if he had no Decd at all; but yet (a) if such Grant or Lease be made of (a) 1 Leon. 23. Tithes without Deed, and the Grantee or Lessee sues for them in the Whitby ver. Spiritual Court, the Defendant must plead that all the Title that the Sanders. Plaintiff has is by Lease without Deed; nor can he suggest this Matter to ground a Prohibition on; but he ought either to fet out his Tithes without who hath the Title to them, which will discharge him, or he ought to prescribe in modo Decimandi, and surmise, that the Tithes belong to J. S. with whom he liath compounded to pay fuch a Sum for all Tithes.

But if a Parson lease his Rectory or Parsonage for Years, in this Case 2 Rol Abr. 63. the Tithes and Offerings will pass as incident to the Rectory, tho' there Bro. Tit. Inbe no Deed, because the Rectory is the Principal, and the Lease of that cidents 7. being good without Deed, the Tithes and Offerings, which are but as Part of, Tit. Leases or accessory to the Rectory, must pass likewise, tho' they are not named; 15, 20. and some hold, that by such Parol Lease of the Rectory the Tithes will Tit. Grant 44, 59. pass, tho' there be no House, but only the Church and Church-yard.

If a Portion of Tithes hath been long used with a Chapel, by Grant Clayton, sett. or Lease of the Chapel, with all the Tithes thereunto belonging, this is 25. Bradafordiscent Description to pass the Tithes, tho' generally a Portion of Comp. Incumb. Tithes ought to be so named; but it does not appear whether in this 338.

Case the Grant or Lease of the Chapel were by Deed or not.

As concerning Leases of Tithes to the Parishioner himself, who ought to pay them, there are Variety of Opinions in the Books, how far fuch Leases or Agreements shall be good without Writing, if they are made for the Life of the Parson, or for Years, or for one Year only, and how far, and in what Cases, the Assignee of the Parishioner shall take Advan-

tage of, or be bound by fuch Leafes or Agreements.

First then, most of the Books agree, that if the Parson, in Considera- Cro. Fac. 137. tion of fuch a Sum then paid, or so much annually to be paid, by the Cro. Eliz. 188, Parishioner, do contract or agree by Parol with him, that he shall retain Noy 121. his Tithes, or shall be discharged of the Payment of his Tithes during Telv. 94. the Life of the Parson, or for so many Years as he shall be Incumbent, 2 Leon. 29. that is void; and the Reason given is, because as a Lease this cannot be 3 Leon. 357Godb. 333. good without Writing, and as a Composition or Agreement it cannot be Palm. 377. good, because it is uncertain at the making of it.

But yet some Books hold such Parol Agreement for the Life or Incum- 2 Rol. Abr. 63. tency of the Parson to be good, and that if he demands Tithes against Goodb 3330 it in the Spiritual Court, a Prohibition shall be awarded to stay his

It is held in feveral Books, that tho' fuch Parol Agreement with the Hetley 31, Parishioner for the Life or Incumbency of the Parson be not good, yet 107, 122. if it be for so many Years certain, that this is good, the it be not by Parishioner for the Life or Incumbency of the Parishion be not good, for Yelo. 94. if it be for so many Years certain, that this is good, tho' it be not by Noy 121. Deed or Writing; because it is in Nature of a Composition or Agree-3 Leon. 257. ment with the Parishioner himself, who ought to pay them; and that 2 Brownl. 11. therefore if he sues in the Spiritual Court for Tithes, against such Agree-Gold. 354, Godb. 354, ment, that a Prohibition shall be awarded to stay his Proceeding.

2 Brownl. 17.

374 Cro. Fac. 668.

# Leases and Terms for Dears.

Hetley 31.

So it is likewise held, in Pursuance of that Opinion, that if the Parishoner, after fuch Agreement to retain his Tithes for Years, makes a Leafe of those Lands to another, that the Lessee also shall be discharged of the Payment of Tithes, because the Discharge runs along with the Land; but others held the contrary; and that if the Affignee be fued in the Spiritual Court he shall have no Prohibition, because by such Parol Contract no Interest was transferred to the Parishioner, but it was only a Personal Agreement between the Parties themselves, and cannot extend to Strangers.

Yelv. 94. Harvker and Brothquith.

2 Rol. Abr 63. Palm. 377.

But all, that hold such Parol Agreement for Years to be good, hold Cro. Fac. 668. likewise, that if the Agreement were with the Parishioner, his Executors Godb. 333. and Assigns, that there the Executors or Assigns of the Parishioner, or even their Lessee at Will, shall take Advantage thereof; and if they are fued in the Spiritual Court shall have a Prohibition, and compel the Parson to take his Remedy upon the Contract; and that if the Executors of the Parishioner has made a Lease over at Will, they shall have their Remedy over against the Tenant at Will, who came in under the Benefit of fuch a Discharge, and therefore ought to be contributory to the Charge of it, and that granting fuch Prohibition is a Means to compel the Parson to seek his true Remedy.

Palm. 36. Aldricke's Cafe. Palm. 377. 2 Leon. 73. Wellock's Cafe.

Griffin.

And yet we find fome Cafes, where fuch Agreement was by Deed with the Parishioner and his Assigns, that the Parishioner, or Assignee, being sued in the Spiritual Court, would have no Prohibition, because as they held, the Covenant or Agreement passed no Interest in the Tithes; and therefore for Breach of fuch Covenant, the Affignee had no Remedy but by Action of Covenant on the Deed.

2 Leon. 29. Pupl. 140. Indiler ver. 130 b. 333. Palm. 377.

Accordingly also several Books held, that the' such Parol Agreement for Life, or Years, be not sufficient Foundation for granting a Prohibition, yet fuch Suit in the Spiritual Court is a Breach of the Contract or Agreement, for which the Party may have Remedy by Action upon the Cafe, upon the Affampfit that he should hold discharged.

1 Rol. Abr. 43. Brown ver. Kinman.

1 1.ev. 24. Raym. 14. 1 Keh. 5, 21. 2 Kch. 34. 3 Keb. 24.

So likewife it is held in feveral Books, that tho' fuch Parol Agreement to retain for Life, or Years, be not good by way of passing an Interest; yet if an Action of Debt be brought upon the Statute 2 E. 6. and the Agreement be pleaded and found for the Defendant, that this shall be fufficient to bar the Plaintiff of the treble Damages given by that Statute; so if Nibil debet be pleaded, and such Agreement be given in Evidence, it is sufficient to excuse the Defendant from the Penalty of treble Damages.

2 Leon. 29. 3 Leon. 257. 62.00 103 Lev. 24. Karm. 14. 1 Keb. 5. · 1. 45. 333, 1.4. Lat. h 1.76. New 59. Comp. In. temb.

But the best Opinion seems to be, that such Parol Agreement with the Evo. Fac. 137. Parishioner himself for more than one Year is void; and even to make Hob. 176. Cro. Ehz. 188, good this, it ought not to be entered into till after the Corn fown, because when once the Corn is fown, then it is supposed to be in effe, and growing all that Year; and then fuch Agreement is in the Nature of a Sale of a Thing or Chattel actually in effe, which, like Sales of other Goods and Chattels, needs no Writing; but if it be for more than one Year, then it is in the Nature of a Lease or Grant of the Parson's Right or Interest in the Tithes, which before they are in effe consist only in Notion; and therefore to bind the Parlon, there ought to be a Deed or Writing; and if there be not, he may fue for them in the Spiritual Court, and shall not be tied up by a Prohibition; and such Parol Grant or Agreement, for more Years than one, is not only void for all the Years after the first, but in the whole; for the Contract being intire, must be void in all, or good in all, and shall not be good and void by Parcels.

240.

Coop. Incumb. But a Diversity seems to be taken in I are Books between the Parson or Vicar and the Impropriator; the Parfor raicar, they fay, may leafe

his Tithes for one Year without Deed, but the Impropriator cannot, but Latch 176. it will be absolutely void; and it is said to be so ruled in Bennet and Nov 89 Suell's Case, and in the Case of Bellamy and Balthorp, it appearing that Godb. 374 the Lease for one Year by the Impropriator, which was held void, being to a Stranger, of the Tithes of the whole Parish, by the Opposition that follows in faying otherwise it is, if it be a Lease of the Tithes for a Year, by the Parson himself, it must also be meant of a Lease to a Stranger, and not to the Parishioner himself, who ought to pay them; and then it follows, that a Parson, or Vicar, may lease the Tithes of their whole Parish for one Year to a Stranger without Deed, which, it feems, they may do notwithstanding the Books above-mentioned, as is proved by constant Practice; for perhaps it would be difficult and troublesome for the Parson himself to collect all the Tithes in Specie, and it may be feveral of the Parishioners will not take Leases, or agree or compound for their own Tithes; and therefore if the Parson can find one who will take all that Trouble off his Hands, and leave him more at Liberty to attend his Cure, it feems reasonable he should be at Liberty to fer his Tithes (as they call it) to fuch Person for that Year; and it would be too troublesome and unreasonable to expect, that upon every fuch yearly Setting of his Tithes, he should be forced to be at the Expence of a new and formal Lease in Writing, especially since such Setting or Leasing is generally made about Easter, when the Corn is actually growing and in a good Forwardness; and therefore such Setting or Leasing is rather a Sale of a Chattel in esse, than a leasing or making over of a Thing only in Potentiality or Idea, and then such Sale may be good without Deed, as it would be of any other Goods or Chattels; and why the Impropriator himself, in the like Case, should not have the same Power, seems hard to be accounted for; tho perhaps in the Case where this Difference is taken, the Vendee, or Lessee, strictly speaking, could not justify in Trover against the Owner, as by Virtue of the Lease qua Lease without Deed. But Quere, if he had pleaded it as a Sale for a valuable Confideration, if that would not have altered the Cafe, and made good his Justification in taking them after they were fevered.

A Parson by Parol leased his Tithe Hay to the Vicar, and the Vicar Lat. b 115. paid the Rent for the first Year, but finding that the Rent was more Vicar of Anthan the Tithe was worth, refused to hold the Bargain any longer; and ford's Case. being fued in the Court of Requests, (which was a Court of Equity) and Palm. 423.

then not Pleading there any Notice of his Refusal, and Sentence and Do. 5. C. by the then not Pleading there any Notice of his Refusal, and Sentence and De-Name of cree being given for the Parson, the Vicar prayed a Prohibition; and it Harris and was agreed by the Court, that if the Vicar had received the Profits, Dilworthy, he was fuable in the Court of Requests for the Rent; and that if he had given Notice of the Refusal of the Bargain, he had been discharged of the Rent from the Time of the Notice given, because he had no Remedy for the Tithes, for that it was a void Contract in Law; and by Dodderidge and Jones the Case is the same, tho' he hath not given

Notice.

By all the Cases before-mentioned, it appears how unsettled a Point Comp In umb. this is; and it is faid now to be the constant Practice of the Courts at 339, 340. Westminster not to grant Prohibitions upon the Suggestions of such Agreements, but to leave it to the Spiritual Court to determine; and if the Party thinks himfelf there aggrieved, he may appeal; and this feems to hold still, as to such Parol Leases under the Term of three Years; for if they be above three Years, then by the Statute of Frauds and Perjuries they are made to have the Force only of Leafes at Will; and if under three Years, yet by that Statute there must be yearly reserved two Thirds, at least, of the full improved Value of the Thing demised.

## Rule 2. When fuch Leases are to begin.

Co. Lit. 45 a. 5 Co. 6. Mountjey's Case. 3 Keb. 379

And herein the Statute of 32 H. 8. is different from the Statutes of 1 & 13 Eliz. for the 32 H. 8. requires such Leases to begin from the Day of the making, but by the Exceptions in 1 & 13 Eliz. they are to begin from the making thereof; and the Diversity between these Expressions will appear more fully by the following Case, which we will reduce under the following Heads.

#### 1. When such Leases as have no Date at all, or a boid or impossible Date are to begin.

Co. Lit. 46. b. 2 Co. 5. 2 Inst. 674 Yelv. 194. Hob. 140. 2 Rol. Abr. 21. Plow. 402. 1 Rol. Abr. 848. Latch 61.

As to fuch Leafes as have no Date at all, or a void or impossible Date, as the 30th Day of February, or the 40th of March, these must begin from the Delivery, for there is no other certain Indicium of the Time of their taking Effect; and therefore the Delivery, which is solemn and notorious, gives them from thenceforth a Sanction, and binds the Parties thereto.

2. Such Leafes as have a good Date, and are delivered on the same Way; in what Cases the Way of the Wate or Delivery is to be taken inclusive, and in what Cases exclusive.

Co. Lit. 46. 340. I Rol. Abr. 849.

Where Leases have a good Date, and are delivered on the same Day, Comp. Incumb. Habendum for Twenty-one Years, without faying from what Time or when they shall begin; the Leases in this Case shall begin from the Delivery, for the Delivery makes it presently to be the Deed of the Lessor, and when nothing appears to the contrary, the Lands contained in such Deed shall pass to the Lessee at the same Time; for otherwise it would be the Deed of the Lessor to no manner of Purpose; and there can be no Reason to affix the Time when the Lands shall pass after to one Day more than to another, therefore the Delivery, which in this Cafe makes it the Deed of the Lessor, shall likewise fix the Terminus a quo the Contract or Lease shall begin.

Hob. 140. 5 Co. 1. 2 Co 5. a. 2 Inft. 674. Moor 879. Cro. Car. 263. Dyer 286, Hob. 73. 2 Rol. Abr. 520.

So if a Lease be made for Twenty-one Years, Habendum from the Making, or from the Sealing and Delivery, or from henceforth, this shall take Effect from the Delivery, whether there be a Date or not, for the Delivery gives Sanction to the Deed, and before Delivery it is no Cro. Fac. 264. Deed at all; and by Consequence from henceforth, or from the Making, must relate to the Time of its taking Effect as a Deed, and not from any other Time; and in such Case the Day of the Delivery is taken inclufive; so that if such a Lease be delivered the 20th Day of June, the Lease shall determine on the 19th Day of June inclusive; and tho' the Lease was delivered at four of the Clock in the Afternoon, or at any Time after on the said 20th Day of June, yet that whole Day shall be taken inclusive to prevent Clamonr and Incertainty, by making Fractions (a) Latch 157, and Divisions in a Day; and yet in (a) Latch, where one declared of Alsop's Case. a Lease of 25 March, Habendum abinde for a Year, rendring Rent at Michaelmas and the Annunciation; and objected that the last Annunciation was not within the Year; fed non allocatur; for abinde shall be taken a Confestione, and exclusive of the Day.

But if a Lease be made to begin a Die confectionis, or a Die Datus, 5 Co. 1, 94there the Day of the Delivery, or the Day of the Date, is to-be taken Co. Lit. 46 exclusive, because the Preposition a is Privative of the whole Day before Cro. Fac. 258. which it is prefixed; and therefore if the Lessee in such Case should de- 340 clare of an Ejectment the Day of the Delivery or Date, it would be Cro. Eliz. 766. against him, because that was before his Title began.

So if a Lease be dated and delivered the same Day, and the Habend' Co. Lit. 46.b. be a Datu, or from the Date hereof, it has been held, that the whole Dyer 218. Day of the Date is to be taken exclusive; and by Consequence, that Moor 41. from the Date, and from the Day of the Date, are all one, if there be a 2 Inft. 674. Date; but if there be none, then the Date shall be taken for the Day of 2Rol. Abr. 520.

the Delivery, and that whole Day be excluded.

But yet the contrary to this has been adjudged in one Case where an Cro. Fac. 135. Ejectment was brought on a Lease made 1 January 3 Jac. Habend' a Osburn and Datu Indenturæ prædict', and the Ejectment was the same Day, and after Rider. Verdict for the Plaintiff it was moved in Arrest, &c. that this Lease being S. C. made Habend' a Datu Indenturæ prædict', was as much as from the Day of the Date, as in 5 Co. 1. and then the Ejectment being alledged the fame Day, is ill; but all the Court resolved that the Date is the Time of the Delivery, and it differs from the Time or Day of the Date, and therefore the Ejectment being alledged Postea the same Day was good enough, and the Plaintiff had Judgment.

So where the Archbishop of York 6 Novem. 18 Eliz. by Indenture, Moor 107, made a Lease for Twenty-one Years Habend' a Datu Indenture, no 1 And. 65. Exception was taken to it, which proves that a Datu Indenture is the Fox and Colfame as from the making, and that the Day of the Date, or Day of the lier. making, is not to be taken exclusive in such Case, because then the Lease would not be warranted by the Exception in I Eliz. which says other than for three Lives, or Twenty-one Years from the making,

which is inclusive of the Day of the making.

Ejectment by the Successor of a Prebend upon a Lease made for Life 3 Lev. 438. Habend' a Datu; and if this should bind the Successor was thrice ar- Hatter and gued, and for the Plaintiff urged, that it should not; that a Datu is all Ash. 2 Salk, 413. one with a Die Datus, and then Livery being made the same Day that S. C. the Indenture bears Date was void, because it cannot expect. 2. That this was a Lease in Reversion, not being to begin in Point of Interest till the Day after the making or Date, which is not good by 13 Eliz. cap. 10. for here the Day of the Date is excluded; but it was answered and refolved, that in Propriety of Speech Datus, or dated in English, is the very Act of the Delivery of the Deed; for Datus in Latin, being taken Participly, is given or delivered in English; and Datus Substantively taken in Latin is the Date or Delivery in English, which fignifies all one; and in Clayton's Case, the six Months were taken the most extensively to make good the Deed by Inrolment, but to make a Word of an equivocal Sense as this is, (which may be taken either inclusive or exclusive of the Day of the Delivery or Date of it) to make the Lease void is unreasonable, therefore it shall rather be taken in such Sense as may make it good, nt res magis valeat quam pereat; and therefore it was adjudged good by the three puisne Judges, the Chief Justice Treby dissenting, tho' he was at first of the same Opinion, and so also was Powell, but afterwards changed it for the Defendant; which shews the Nicety of these Distinctions.

3. Such Leafes as have a good Date, but are not delivered till a Week or Month, &c. after, when they are to begin, and how the Beclaration on such Leases is to be framed.

2 Inft. 674.

221. b.

And it is to be observed, that every Deed shall be intended to be de-Cro. Fac. 264 livered on the same Day it bears Date, unless the contrary be proved; and it is the best Course (as the Law intends) to deliver it on the same Cro. Eliz. 773. Day that it bears Date; therefore where in an Ejectment the Plaintiff Cro. Jac. 646. declares of a Lease dated I Novem. Habend' a Confectione, or a Die Datus, Sigillationis & Delibrationis Indentura pradict, and lays the Ejectment 2 Novem. tho' it was objected that the Declaration was not good, because it did not appear when the Lease was sealed and delivered, and it might be delivered long after the Date; and the Course is to fay, that fuch a Day and Year dimisit per Indenturam, bearing Date the same Day and Year; yet it was adjudged, that the Declaration was good, because when he declares that he let by Indenture of fuch a Date, it shall be intended to be delivered on the same Day, unless it be shewn with a Primo Deliberatum at another Day; and he, who pleads a Deed of fuch a Date, cannot by Replication, or other Pleading, maintain it to be delivered at another Time, for that would be a Departure.

Cro. Fac. 264.

But if the Truth be that the Lease was sealed and delivered at another Time than it bears Date, then the Plaintiff ought to shew it in his Declaration; or the Defendant, if it be material for him, may shew in his Plea the Delivery at another Time than the Date, and traverse, that it

was delivered on the Day it bears Date.

Cro Fac. 258.

Accordingly an Ejectment was brought of a Lease made 12 December Lewellyn and Habend' a Primo Die, and upon Not guilty, the Jury found the Lease Williams. dated 1 December, Habend' from henceforth, but delivered 12 December, which proves, that where the Date and Delivery were at several Times, they ought to be distinguished in the Declaration; but the Question there was, whether this Lease was the same whereof the Plaintiff declared, that is, whether being limited to take Effect from henceforth, the Day of the Date should be taken exclusive, so as to warrant the Declaring of a Lease a Primo Die; for it was objected, that this did not warrant the Declaration, because from henceforth, and from the Day of the Date, are feveral Commencements, the one beginning on the Day it is fealed and delivered, the other the Day after; but it was resolved per Curiam, that they are both one, being a Computation up to a Time past; and when the Lease is sealed at a Day after the Date, whether it be limited to begin from henceforth, or from the Day of the Date, yet in Pleading it shall be alledged to begin from the Day on which it is dated; and Serjeant Moor took this Diversity in another Case, that if one leases Land in Interest, Habend' a Datu, there the Day of the Date shall be taken inclusive, the Date and Delivery being both on the same Day; but where it does not begin in Interest at the Time it is dated, as where the Date and Delivery are feveral, and the Habend' is a Datu, there the Day of the Date shall be taken exclusive, because it is to commence from the Date, that is, from the Day of the Date, for the Date in that Case can mean nothing elfe, fince it is not delivered till after; and therefore the Computation of its Commencement being from a Day backwards, that whole Day shall be excluded; and perhaps this Diversity may reconcile the Cases of Clayton, 5 Co. and Osburn and Rider, Cro. Jac. 135. hefore put; for in Osburn's Case the Lease was made the same Day it was dated, and so began then in Interest; but the Case cited in Clayton's Case, is to prove the Date and the Day of the Date to be all one, was

from a Computation backwards upon the Statute of Inrolments, which appoints them to be inrolled within fix Months after the Date; and there it was adjudged that a Deed inrolled upon the last Day of the six Months, accounting the Day of the Date exclusive, was yet well inrolled within the Statute; but this, as has been observed, was a Computation backwards, and that from the Date, and from the Day of the Date, is all one, is only an Unde fequitur of my Lord Coke's own, from the Case of the Inrolment; and in his 1 Inst. 46. where he mentions it again, yet he cites for it Clayton's Case and Dyer, where that Case of the Involment is reported: And the the Case of Bacon and Waller, 3 Bulf. was adjudged according to Clayton's Case, that the Date and Day of the Date were all one, and the Day to be taken exclusive; so that a Lease there dated and delivered 26 May, Habend' from the Date, did not begin till 27 May; yet it appears both by (a) Bulf. and Rolls, that the Judgment therein given was (a)3 Bulf. 203. founded on the Case of Lewellin and Williams, where the Date and Day 1 Rol. Rep. of the Date were held all one; yet as it appears, the Reason of that Case 387. Bacon was upon a Computation from a Time past, and that the Lease therein was upon a Computation from a Time past, and that the Lease therein did not begin in Point of Interest upon the Day it bore Date, and by Consequence was no Warrant for the Judgment that was given in Bacon and Waller's Case; and then that Judgment being founded on the Authority of the former Cafe, can be of Authority no farther than as it agrees with that former Case, and then it is of none at all, because, as appears before, it varied materially from it; and fo the Diversity taken by Serjeant Moor, which is likewise warranted by the Case of (b) Osburn (b) Cro. Fac. and Rider, seems to remain unshaken, and to be the true Distinction for 135.

fettling the Books.

In Ejectment the Plaintiff declares of a Lease 7 Jan. by Indenture Cro. Jac. 647. dated 6 Decemb. Habend' a Die Datus Indenturæ prædict', and gave in Scavage and Parker Evidence a Lease dated 6 Decemb. Habend' a tempore confectionis Inden-Parker. tur.e, and it was held not the same Lease whereof the Plaintiff declares, because, says the Book, a Die Datus excludes the Day; but a better Reason seems to be, because it does not agree in Point of Description with the Lease whereof he declares; for if the Declaration had been of a Lease 7 Jan. Habend' a 6 Die Decembris, then by the Authority of Lowellin's Case this had been good, yet being upon a Computation from a Time past, the Day of the Date must be pleaded exclusively; but when he declares of a Lease 7 fan. by Indenture dated 6 Decemb. Habend' a Die Datus, this must be intended a Description of the Lease as it is comprised in the Indenture; and when he afterwards shews an Indenture, containing a Lease Habend' a tempore confectionis, this is a Description of another Lease, and not of that which was to begin a Die Datus; and this likewise seems to be the Reason, that in another Case, Hob. 73. where the Plaintiff, in Bar of an Avowry, pleads a Lease 30 March, Ha- Moor, pl. 1188. bend from the Feast of the Annunciation next before, and upon Traverse Skinner. of the Lease modo & forma, the Jury found a Lease to the Plaintiff on the 25th Day of March for one Year from thence next enfluing, and tho' held not to be the same Lease the Plaintiff pleaded, because this begins on the 25th of March inclusive, and the Lease pleaded from the 25th of March exclusive; yet Plaintiff had Judgment, being found in Substance that Plaintiff had such a Lease as by Force thereof he might have Common the 11th of April following, &c. but agreed clearly, that if he had declared so in Ejectment, it would have been against him, because there he demands and recovers the Term, and therefore must set out his Title truly, which appears to have been by a Leafe dated and executed the 25th of March, Habend' from thenceforth; and therefore a Lease execured but the 30th of March, and dated the 25th of March, Habend' from thenceforth, could not be the same, not agreeing in Point of Description; but if the Truth had been that the Lease had been executed but the 30th of March, then, it seems, he might have declared of a Lease

then made, Habend' from the 25th Day of March, being a Computation from a Time past, tho' the Lease were dated 25 March, Hakend' from thenceforth, because it did not then begin in Interest: But Quere if the better Way in all these Cases, to prevent any Mistakes, be not to declare of a Lease dated such a Day, Habend' from thenceforth, or from the making, or from the Day of the Date, or Day of the making, &c. exactly as it is in the Lease, with a Primo deliberat' such a Day, if the Truth be so, rather than to take upon him to judge when the Day shall be taken inclusive, and when exclusive, and so as in this Case to declare of the Habend' a 25 Die Martii, when in Truth the Habend' was worded from henceforth; tho' if the Lease had been executed but 30 March, it feems that if he had declared of a Lease 30 March, Habend a 25 March, this had been good, for the Reasons before-mentioned.

Cro. Car. 502. Loyd verius Gregory.

A Lease in Reversion was made to commence ad Festura Annunciationis after the former Lease should be determined; and it was objected, that it ought to be a Festo Annunciationis; yet the Court held it to be all one; for that there shall be no Fraction of a Day: But Quere how this would have made a Fraction of a Day; for there feems to be a whole Day's

Difference, ad including the Feast-day, and a excluding it.

Yelv. 131. Bootbe.

A Parson leases by Indenture the Tithes of 200 Acres of Land to the Edmonds ver. Owner of the Land, of which he, and his Wife, and his Heirs were feifed, Habend' from Mich. next following to him and his Heirs, during the Life of the Parson, the Lessee dies, and his Wife had the 200 Acres for her Jointure, and married B. who let the 200 Acres to the Plaintiff; the Heir of the first Husband grants also to the Plaintiff the Tithes of those Lands at Will, and he being fued for Tithes by the Parson against his own Leafe brought a Prohibition; but a Confultation was after granted; for by Fleming, Fenner, and Williams, the Lease being for Life, and to begin at a Day to come, was void; for tho' Tithes are spiritual, and are not extinct in the Land, yet in the Conveyance of them they ought to follow the Nature of Land, Rent, or other Hereditaments in Effe, which cannot be granted for Life at a Day to come; but Telverton and Croke thought, that this Lease being to the Owner of the Land did not enure by way of Interest, but by way of Discharge; for the Plaintiff hath pleaded, by Force of which the Lessee was seised of the Tithes to him and his Heirs for the Life of the Parson; they, as Judges, could not intend it to be otherwise; and besides, it cannot be intended by way of Discharge, because there are no such Words in the Lease, and it was inore for the Lessee's Benefit to have it by way of Interest than by way of Discharge; for then this would be such a Privilege annexed to the Land as could not be granted over; whereas here the Wife was Owner of the Land, but the Son and Heir of the Lessee took upon him to be Owner of the Tithes; and Telverton inclined, that the pleading of the Lease, and of the Seisin by Force of it, was not good.

Pop. 9. Trafford.

A Lease of Houses within the 14 Eliz. cap. 11. may be made for Thompson ver. Years from a Time to come; for that Statute does not require them to begin from the making, or Day of the making, but only that they do

Comp. Incumb. 341.

not exceed forty Years from the making.

And it is faid, that a Lease for Lives being avoided at Common Law, for that it was made to commence from a Time to come, an Injunction

was granted out of Chancery to continue Possession.

Moor 637, 759A Lease to three for their Lives, Habend' a Die Datus, is good, if Livery be made after the Day of the Date, because till Livery nothing passes, and being made after the Day of the Date, it may then operate presently; secus if Livery had been made on the Day of the Date, because then the Operation of it must have been suspended till the next Day, which the Law will not allow.

#### Rule 3. Within what Cime the old Lease is to be surrendered; and therein of concurrent Leases.

Another Rule to be observed in making of Leases upon these Statutes Co. Lit. 44 %. is, that if there be an old Lease in Being, it must be surrendered, ex- 5 Co. 2.

p.red, or ended within a certain Time after the making of the new Moor, pl. 1084. Leafe; and fuch Surrender must be absolute, and not conditional; for then the Intent of the Statute might be eafily evaded, by fetting up fuch

old Leases again, upon Breach of the Condition.

And fuch Surrender may be fafely made either to a Corporation Sole 1 Rol. Rep. 82. or Aggregate, upon their Promise to make a new Lease; for if any single Sir George Person, or sole Corporation makes such Promise, and resules after to make the Lease, an Action on the Case will lie against them, and if such Bernelle Ewebank. the Leafe, an Action on the Case will lie against them; and if such Pro-Comp. Incumb. mise be made by a Corporation Aggregate, tho' no Action will lie against 346. them, because being a Corporation they cannot be bound without Deed, yet the Person who surrendered may sue in Equity, and compel them to a specifick Persormance of their Promise, and to make a new Lease; but such Suit must be against some of them by Name, as the Dean in particular, and the Chapter of the same Place generally; and such Suit in Equity feems the best Way in case the Surrender were made to a sole Corporation or fingle Person, because in the Action at Common Law Damages are to be recovered only, but no new Leafe made, as they will decree in Equity; but now fince the Statute of Frauds and Perjuries, 29 Car 2. which requires all Surrenders to be in Writing, it is usual to have a Co- cap. 3. venant from the Person or Corporation, to whom the Surrender is made, that they will within fuch a Time make a new Lease under such and such Terms; but, as it feems, that Statute does not extend to Surrenders in Law, by taking of a new Leafe in Writing.

The Statute of 32 H. 8. provides, that such old Lease shall be expired, surrendered, or ended within one Year next after the making the new Lease; and the Statute 18 Eliz. enacts, that all Leases to be made by any of the Ecclefiastical, Spiritual, or Collegiate Persons, or others, within 13 Eliz. cap. 10. of any Lands, &c. whereof any former Lease, &c. for Years is in Being, and not to be expired, furrendered, or ended within three Years next after the making of any such new Lease, shall be

void, and of none Effect.

And a Surrender in Law by taking of a new Leafe, either to begin Pop 9. presently, or at a Day to come, seems a good Surrender within these Sta- Plow 106. tutes; for by taking fuch new Lease, tho' it be to commence at a future Comp Incumb. Day, the first Lease is presently surrendered and gone, and shall not 345.6. continue good till the Day on which the second Lease is to commence; but by Accordance of first first of the second Lease is to commence; but by Acceptance of fuch second Lease the first is immediately determined; hecause both Leases cannot consist together, and the first cannot be diffolved or furrendered in Part, and therefore must be surrendered for the Whole.

One Small being possessed of the Manor of Paddington by a Lease for Degg 132. Years from a Bishop, the Bishop made a Lease to another for three Lives, Small's Case and before Livery the Tenant furrendered his former Term; and it was held, that this Surrender was made in Time, and the fecond Leafe good, because it was no complete Lease till Livery, and before that, the first Lease was surrendered and gone.

And this Rule, that if there be any old Leafe in Being, it must be Comp. Lecumb. surrendered, expired, or ended within the Times before mentioned, is 343necessary not only when Bishops, and other sole Corporations, mentioned in 32 H 8. make Leases by Authority of that Statute for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, without the Affent or Confirmation of others, 4 T

but also when any Spiritual or Ecclesiastical Corporation Sole (other than Bishops) do make such Leases, tho' with the Consent and Confirmation of those who by Law are to confirm the same, and also when any Spiritual, Ecclesiastical, or Collegiate Corporation Aggregate make such Leases whereto no Confirmation of others was ever requisite: For the better Understanding whereof, it will be necessary to consider the Learning of concurrent Leases, and what Persons, upon the several Statutes before mentioned are capable of making them, and in what Manner.

Moor 107.
1 And. 65.
Fox and
Collier.
1 Leon. 36.
3 Leon. 131.
Palm. 464,
466, 467.
Latch 241.
1 Leon. 59.
Co. Lit. 45.
Ley 78.

a.c.

To begin then with Bishops; it is to be observed, that at Common Law Bishops, with the Confirmation of their Dean and Chapter, might have aliened the Possessions of their Church for ever, or have made Leases for what Term of Years they thought fit; and this would have bound their Successors, tho' it were for 5000 Years; but a Bishop without such Confirmation could not have made a Leafe to bind his Succeffors, tho' but for one Year; both of which being great Mischiefs, were remedied by 32 H. 8. and I Eliz. for whereas before 32 H. 8. Bishops could not make any Lease at all to bind their Successors, unless it were confirmed by the Dean and Chapter; now that Statute enables the Bishops alone, without such Confirmation, to make Leases of all or any of their Possesfions, fo they do not exceed three Lives, or twenty-one Years; but if Bishops had a Mind to make Leases or Grants for any longer Term, or in any other Manner, than this Statute warranted, then fuch Leafes or Grants were out of the Protection of this Act, and remained perfectly at Common Law, as they were before, and by Consequence must have the like Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, in order to bind the Successor, as they must have in all Cases at Common Law; and because it was found by Experience, that many Bishops made an ill Use of this Power, and chose to make Leases for long Terms of Years, rather than keep within the Bounds this Statute had prescribed them, and sometimes to make absolute Alienations of their Possessions, and then get the Dean and Chapter to confirm fuch Leafes and Alienations, whereby the Succeffor was oftentimes left without fufficient to keep up Hospitality, or sustain their Dignity; therefore to remedy this Mischief was the Statute of I Eliz. made, which makes void all Gifts, Grants, &c. or Estates of any Honours, Castles, Manors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments, being Parcel of the Possession of the Bishoprick, (other than for twentyone Years, or three Lives,) fo that now, after this Statute, no Confirmation whatever will make good any Bishop's Lease, if it exceed that Term, because then the Statute makes it void, and by Consequence not capable of receiving any Sanction from a Confirmation: But upon these Statutes was the concurrent Lease invented, which has generally obtained, and been held good, and is in this Manner:

Afoor 107.

1 And 65.

1 Leon. 36.

3 Leon. 131.

Palm. 464,

&c.

Latch 241.

If a Bishop solely makes a Lease for twenty-one Years according to the Statute of 32 H. 8. and within four or five Years, or more, before the End of that Lease makes a new Lease to another for twenty-one Years, to begin from the making, &c. this fecond Lease, if it be confirmed by the Dean and Chapter, and be in every Thing else pursuant to the Exception in the 1 Eliz. is good as a concurrent Leafe, for these Reasons: 1. Because such Lease, tho' it be not good within the 32 H. 8. by reason the first Lease is not surrendered or expired within a Year after the making thereof; yet being confirmed by the Dean and Chapter, it remains a good Leafe at Common Law, and then if it be not void within the Exception of 1 Eliz. the Successor shall be bound; and that it is not void within that Statute, appears both from the Letter and Meaning of the Exception; for the Words are, other than for twenty-one Tears, or three Lives, from fuch Time as any fuch Leafe shall begin; now this second Leafe does not exceed twenty-one Years from the Time it begins, being for twenty-one Years only from the making, and so within the express Words of the Exception. 2. This is not void within the Meaning of

the Exception, because for so many Years as were to come of the first Lease this is good only by Estoppel, and not in Interest; for the second Lessee can have no Benefit of it so long as the first Lease endures, and then against the Successor there is in Effect no more than a Lease for twenty-one Years in Being, since the second Lease being in Effect void for all the Years that are to come of the first Lease, those Years that are to come of the first Lease and those that will then remain of the second Lease make in all no more than twenty-one Years at one Time, and so not against the Meaning of that Exception. 3. Such second Lease is so far from being prejudicial to the Successor, that it is rather for his Benefit; for now he will have the Rent referved on the first Lease during the Refidue of that Term, and may also at the same Time recover the Rent reserved upon the second Lease, being only for Years, because the Lessee is estopped to fay he did not take such Lease under such Reservation; and so the Successor will have two Rents instead of one; tho' if the fecond Leffee should enter, and be evicted by the first Lessee, this would cause a Suspension of the Rent reserved on the second Lease; but however, the Successor suffers no Prejudice, because the he cannot distrain for the fecond Rent during the Continuance of the first Lease, and tho' the Re-entry of the first Lessee should amount to an Attornment, and give the Rent thereon referved to the fecond Leffee, yet the Bishop, or his Successor, may always maintain an Action of Debt against the second Lessee for the Rent, and so will in all Events be sure of one Rent.

But this Lease, tho' it be not either against the Letter or Meaning of 10 Co. 60. E. the Exception in I Eliz. yet fince it is not warranted by 32 H. 8. it Moor 109. must be confirmed by the Dean and Chapter, as before the I Eliz. all Co. Lit. 45. Leases not pursuant to 32 H. 8. must have been, to bind the Successor, and fuch Confirmation must be in the (a) Life of the Bishop who (a) But one makes it.

that Confir-

mation of such concurrent Lease in the Vacation of the Bishoprick, is good enough. 4 Leon. 78. Quare.

But after such Lease for Years the Bishop cannot make a Lease for Co. Lit. 44. b. three Lives to be good by way of concurrent Lease, tho' it be confirmed by the Dean and Chapter; but such second Lease, whether it be made to begin presently, or by Way of Lease or Grant in Reversion, and Moor 253. Attornment upon it, is against the Exception in the I Eliz. and by 1 Leon. 59. Consequence shall not bind the Successor; for the Words of the Exception are, other than Leases for three Lives, or twenty-one Years, in the Disjunctive; so that there ought to be only one, or only the other in 1 And. 193. Being at a Time against the Successor, and not both together: for which Ley 78. But after such Lease for Years the Bishop cannot make a Lease for Co. Lit. 44. b. Being at a Time against the Successor, and not both together; for which Ley 78.

Reason also, after a Lease for three Lives, the Bishop cannot make a Cro. Eliz. 111.

Lease for twenty-one Years to bind the Successor, tho with the Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, because then there would be both a Lease for three Lives and twenty-one Years in Being at a Time, which that Statute does not allow of; and if the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease in Reversion for three Lives should be said to the Lease should Lives should be good as a concurrent Lease, then would the Successor have no Remedy for the Rent thereon reserved during the first Lease; not by Distress, because the Possession was only a Pledge for the Rent Vide Tit. reserved on the first Lease; not by Action of Debt, because that does Rents. not lie for Rent referved on an Estate of Freehold during the Continuance thereof; nor by Affife, because he had no Seisin of it; and tho' ex Vi Termini the Rent is payable, because after the Lease for Years determined the Lessor may distrain for all Arrears; yet that is only a Possibility or Contingency; for the Lease for Years may outlast the three Lives, and then they, by reason of their Reversionary Interest, having the present Rent of the Lessee for Years, if they all die before the Determination of the Lease for Years, the Bishop and his Successors will lose all that Rent, and so have nothing to maintain Hospitality, or sustain

the Dignity of their Sees, which this Statute of r Eliz. intended chiefly to provide for; and tho' the first Lease were for three Lives, and the second only for twenty-one Years, yet that will not bind the Successor; because tho' an Action of Debt might be maintained against the Lessee for Years for the Rent referred on his Lease during the Lease for Lives, yet fuch Lease for Lives and Years at the same Time is against the Words of the Exception of I Eliz. which are in the Disjunctive; and also it may happen that the Lessee for Years is worth nothing, and then if the three Lives should outlive such subsequent Lease for Years, the Successor of the Bishop would lose all that Rent, and so suffer in his Revenues, against the Design and Meaning of the Act; which proves, that the concurrent Lease holds Place only where both are for Years; so that the certain Determination of the first, and Commencement of the fecond are known immediately upon the making thereof, and that the Successor will in all Events be sure of a Remedy by way of Distress, for the one Rent and the other, as they respectively commence; and also by Action of Debt or Covenant upon the Contract in the mean Time, if such concurrent Lease should be construed to pass a Reversionary Interest, and intitle him to the Rent reserved upon the first Lease by an unwary or wilful Attornment of the first Lessee. And this concurrent Lease for Years has not escaped the Censure of some learned Men, tho being adjudged at first in the Exchequer Chamber, by a Majority of ten Judges, it has been ever fince allowed for Law; but my Lord Chief Justice Vaughan says, that this concurrent Lease is neither within the Letter or Meaning of the Statute 1 Eliz. the Words of which are, other than for twenty-one Tears, or three Lives, and in that Cafe there is another Lease in Esse than for twenty-one Years, or three Lives; for there are two Leafes in Esse, and so more than the Statute warrants; and that the Statute intended, when the first Lease expired, the Bishop who should then be should have the Advantage to make a new Lease, which by allowing fuch concurrent Leafe may be prevented perpetually, except by way of Remainder; and as for the Intent of the Statute, he faid, tho' the Party is estopped in pleading, yet the Jury are not, but may find the Truth of the Case; and if the Party dies to whom such concurrent Leafe is made, neither his Executors nor Administrators are estopped; for otherwise they would pay a Rent for nothing, which would be in their own Wrong, and against the Right of the Testator.

Degg 111.

3 Keb. 378.

Comp. In amb.

It appears by the Cases before-mentioned, how and in what Manner Bishops may make concurrent Leases, not being restrained therefrom by the 1 Eliz. In the same Manner likewise might Deans and Chapters, Master and Fellows of any College, and other Persons mentioned in the 13 Eliz. cap. 10. not being restrained therefrom by that Statute; but that being found a great Mischief, was remedied and qualified by 18 Eliz. cap. 11. which makes all Leases by any of the said Ecclesiastical, Spiritual, or Collegiate Persons, or others, of any of their Ecclesiastical, Spiritual, or Collegiate Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments, whereof any former Lease for Years is in Being, not to be expired, surrendered, or ended within three Years after the making of any such new Lease, to be void, and of none Essect; so that within these Bounds they may likewise make concurrent Leases for Years.

2Brownl.134, 158, 164. Alor 875.

The Dean and Chapter of Norwich, 8 Eliz. made a Lease to A. for ninety-nine Years, to begin after the End of a former Lease then in Being, and which happened 35 Eliz. afterwards in 42 Eliz. the Dean and Chapter made a Lease to the Plaintiff for three Lives, rendering the antient Rent Quarterly, and covenanted to acquit and save harmless the Plaintiff and the Lands demised to him, during the Lease, by reason of any Lease made by them, or any of their Predecessor; and Livery was made upon it; but it did not appear whether it was the same Dean that made the Lease to A. nor that A. had then entered; and now the

Plaintiff being evicted by the Affignee of A. brought his Action of Covenant against the Dean and Chapter, and had Judgment by reason of the express Covenant; and also, because it did not appear that the Dean, who was Party to the Plaintiff's Leafe, was dead; for it was agreed, that the Leafe to the Plaintiff would be void against the succeeding Dean by the 18 Eliz. because there were then above three Years of the first to come; but Coke held, that the' there were four or five, or more, Years of a former Lease to come, yet if that former Lease were furrendered within three Years after the making of a fecond Leafe for Years, fuch Surrender would make good the fecond Leafe; but if the first Lease were for Years, and the second for Lives, then the' there were but two Years to come of the first Lease, yet the second would be void, which perhaps may be for the Reasons mentioned in the concurrent Leafes by Bishops; but if so, then what my Lord Coke says in the same Cafe must be a Mistake, that if the Plaintiff (whose Lease was for three Lives) had procured A. within three Years to have furrendered his Leafe to him, that this would have made good his own Leafe, which cannot be if what he faid before be true; ideo Q.

But for fuch Houses, and so much Land, as by 14 Eliz. they may Comp Incurso.

let for forty Years, they cannot make Leafes in Reversion or concurrent 344. Leases, because that Statute expresly forbids Leases in Reversion thereof; and the 18 Eliz. relates only to the 13 Eliz. as appears by the fol-

lowing Case.

In Trespass upon Special Verdict it was found, that the Dean and Gra. Eliz. 564 Chapter of Paul's made a Lease for forty Years, of a House in London, Hant and to begin presently, there being then ten Years of a sormer Lease to a Singleton. Stranger to come; and the Court held this fecond Leafe meerly void by 13 Eliz. and not warranted by 14 Eliz. which makes good Leafes of Houses in Market-Towns for forty Years, so they be not made in Reversion; and this Leafe, tho' it be made to begin presently, yet there 1 Vent. 246. being another Lease in effe, is a Lease in Reversion for so much as re- Carter 9. mains of the former Lease; and so it was resolved in C. R. 14 Car. 2. in the Case of Wynn and Wild, of a Lease of the Dean and Chapter of Westminster; and the this was properly a concurrent Lease, yet being a Lease in Reversion, it is forbidden within the express Words of the 14 Eliz. and so void against the Successor.

A Vicar having made a Lease for Years of a House in a Market-Town, 1 Vent. 244. and of Lands thereunto appertaining, Anno 1672, when there were but 2 Lev. 61. two Years of that Leafe to come, let it to another for Twenty-one 3 Keb. 46, Years from Michaelmas then next, referving the antient Rent during the Bayly ver Term, payable at the four most usual Feasts, or within ten Days after, Murin, and this Leafe was confirmed by the Archbishop, (Patron of the Vicaridge) and the Dean and Chapter of Canterbury; and if the succeeding Vicar was bound by this Lease, was the Question; and adjudged by all the Court, that he was not. 1. It was adjudged that the Death of the Vicar, by eighty Days, did not make fuch Non-refidence as would avoid the Lease within the Statute of Non-residence. 2. That tho' the Rent were referved at the usual Feasts, or within ten Days after; and therefore, as it was urged, the Term ending at Michaelmas would be expired before the last Day of Payment, tho' for the other Days it was agreed to be for the Successor's Advantage, because the Predecessor might die within the ten Days, and then the Successor would have that whole Quarter's Rent; yet the Court resolved that the Reservation was good in the Whole, and that being referved during the Term, there should be no ten Davs given to the Lessee for the last Payment, according to Barwick and Fifter's Case, Cro. Jac. 227, 233. 3. It was adjudged that this was a Leafe in Reversion, and so not warranted by 14 Eliz. which, as to Houses in Market-Towns, repeals the 13 Eliz. but excepts Leases in Reversion; and this Lease being to commence at Michaelmas next Vol. III,

was properly a Leafe in Reversion, and differs from a Grant of a Reverfion; and also they all but Hale held, that if this Leafe, in this Case, had been made to commence prefently, yet it would have been vold, there being another Leafe in Being, fo that for fo many Years as were to come of the former Lease, it would be a Lease in Reversion; and they held, that the 18 Eliz. which permits concurrent Leafes, fo that there be not above three Years of the former Leafe, &c. extends only to 13 Eliz. and recites that, but not the 14 Eliz. nor makes any Alteration thereof; but Hale doubted of this, and inclined rather contrary, that if the Lease had been made to commence prefently it had been good, because there was not then three Years of the former Lease to come, and he thought the 18 Eliz. was as a Qualification as well of Leases upon the 14 Eliz. as upon 13 Eliz. 1. Because the 14 Eliz. is as an Appendix to 13 Eliz. and only enlarges it as to Houses in Cities and Market-Towns; and therefore the 18 Eliz. reciting the 13 Eliz. does by Confequence recite also the 14 Eliz. 2. Because there is such a Connexion between all the Statutes concerning Ecclefiastical Perfons, that they have been generally taken in the Construction of one another; and that tho' 32 H. 8. is not recited either in the 1 or 13 Eliz. yet a Leafe is not warranted by those Statutes, unless it hath the Qualifications required by 32 H. 8. 3. From the great Rummage it would make in Leafes, if they should be void, when there was ever so little of a former Leafe unexpired.

Popla S.

The Prefident and Scholars of Magdalen College in Oxford made a Leafe of a House, &c. for twenty Years, and ten Years before the Expiration thereof made a Leafe to another for twenty Years, to begin after the Expiration of the first Lease; tho' this be in strict Propriety a Lease in Reversion, yet it was said to be good and to stand well with 14 Eliz. because these Contracts or Leases do not intermix, but the one stands well with the other, and both together do not exceed the forty Years comprifed in the Statute, which do not hinder Leafes to be made from a Day to come; but this Opinion is (a) denied to be Law, and feems also to be expresly against the foregoing Cases, where such Lease Carter 12, 15. to begin at a Day to come, there being then another Lease in effe, is condemned, tho' both did not exceed the Term of forty Years in the Whole.

(a) I Vent. 246. 3 Keb. 107.

#### Rule 4. That such Leases are not to exceed three Lives oz Twenty-one Pears.

· . Co. 61. b. 02 4.

A fourth Rule to be observed for making these Leases good in Law is, that they do not exceed three Lives, or Twenty-one Years, from the making thereof; therefore if a Bishop makes a Lease for four Lives, and one of them dies in the Life of the Bishop, so that at his Death there are but three Lives in Being, yet the Leafe is void against the Succeffor, because being void by I Eliz. at the Time when it was made, no fubfequent Accident can make it good.

Cro. Car. 95. Owen and 1: rice. Hetley 22.

So if a Leafe be made for three Lives in this Manner, viz. to one for Life, Remainder to a fecond for Life, Remainder to a third for Life, this Leafe is void against the Successor, because otherwise the two first would be dispunishable of Waste during their Lives, by reason of the intermediate Remainder, and fo Dilapidations, and other Mifchiefs, which the Statutes intended to provide against, would be let in.

Ley 74. Buttop of Hereford's Cafe. 5 Co. 15. a.

So if an Archdeacon makes a Lease for three Lives according to the Statutes, and the Lessees make a Lease for 100 Years, which is confirmed by the Archdeacon, Bishop, Dean and Chapter, yet such Lease shall not bind the Successor; or if a Bishop makes a Lease for three

Lives,

Lives, referring the antient Rent, and they make a Leafe for 100 Years, If three Men so long live, which is confirmed by the Bishop and Chapter; vet may the Successor avoid this Lease, and yet these are out of the Words of the Statutes; but if they are not to be construed to be within the Meaning thereof, the Statutes would fignify nothing, and all Ecclefluftical Persons, by such Evasions, might get out of the Acts, and make what Alienations they pleafed.

If a Leafe be made to A. for the Lives of B. C. and D. this is a good Cro Jac. 76. Leafe, for a Leafe to one for the Lives of three others, and a Leafe to Baugh ver. three for their Lives is all one within the Intent of these Statutes; for Hauss. three Lives are the Measure of the Estate, which is all the Statutes require; but a Leafe for Ninety-nine Years, determinable on three Lives, feems not good within the Statute of the 1 & 13 Eliz. which make void all Estates, Gists, Grants, &c. (other than for three Lives or Twentyone Years) fo that a Leafe for Ninety-nine Years, determinable on three Lives, being neither of those, falls within the Disability and Voidance of the first Part of those Acis.

But a Lease by Husband seised of Lands in Right of his Wife, or Gra Car. 22. jointly with his Wife, of an Estate of Inheritance for fixty Years, if they Smith ver. should so long live, was held sufficient to bind the Wife surviving within Timder. the 32 II. 8. and no Question made of it; the only Dispute there being, whether the Wife ought not to have joined in the Indenture of Leafe; and that such Leafes for Ninety-nine Years, determinable on three Lives, are good within that Statute, appears from the Reasoning in (a) Whitlock's (a) 8 Co 12. Case; where it is adjudged, that if a Man has Power to make Leases & vide 3 absolutely or generally (as the several Persons comprised in the Statute Keb. 595. of 32 H. 8. have) and a Proviso or Restraint comes after, (as in that Act it does) that fuch Leafes shall not exceed the Number of Twentyone Years, or three Lives, at the most; there a Leafe for Ninety-nine Years, determinable on two or three Lives, is good within the first Part of the Act, and not made void by the last Part thereof, because it does not exceed the three Lives thereby allowed, tho' it be not directly for three Lives; but now a Leafe for Ninety-nine Years, determinable on three Lives, upon the Statutes of 1 & 13 Eliz. is just the reverse of this; for the first Part of these Acts makes void all Estates, Gifts, Grants, &c. by the Persons therein mentioned, and the last Part saves only Leafes for Twenty-one Years, or three Lives, &c. fo that this Leafe being void by the first Part of these Acts, and not within the Saving of the last Part, being neither for Twenty-one Years, or three Lives, shall not bind the Successor within these Acts; sed Quere de boc.

But the statutes provide that these Leases shall not exceed 1 Leon. 326. Twenty-one Years, or three Lives, yet such Leases for fewer Years, or 5 Co. 6. b. Lives, are good; for the Intent of the Statute was only to abridge the S Co. 70. b. Power of making long and unreasonable Leases, by reducing them to fuch a determinate Number of Years or Lives, which they flould not exceed, but might be made as much under as the Parties pleased.

# Rule 5. Of what Chings Leases may be made to bind the Succelloz.

A fifth Rule to be observed in making of Leases upon these Statutes Co Lit 44 b. to bind the Successor is, that they must be made of Lunds or Tenements 47. a. 142 a. Corporeal and Manurable, whereto Refort may be had for the Rent re144 a.
ferved thereout by way of Diffrese, for otherwise the Sun Co. 51 ferved thereout by way of Distress; for otherwise the Successor may be i Leon. 353. without any Remedy for the Rent, and so Dilapidations, Poverty, and Bro. Tir. all the other Mischiefs, the Statutes intended to provide against, be let Leafes 17,21 in: therefore Leafes of Fairs Markets, Liberties, Franchises, Advanced Tit. Grant in; therefore Leafes of Fairs, Markets, Liberties, Franchifes, Advow-44, 59.

fons, Commons, Piscaries, Offices, Hundreds, Tithes, or any other incorporeal Inheritance, tho' with Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, or other Persons required by Law to confirm the same, will not bind the Successor.

But for the better Understanding of this Rule, it will be necessary to take Notice of some Distinctions which plainly arise out of the Books.

5 Co. 3. A100r 778. Palm. 175. Hard. 326.

1. All the Books agree that a Lease for three Lives of Tithes, or Jewel's Case. other incorporeal Inheritances before-mentioned, will not bind the Suc-Cro. Jac. 111, ceffor, tho' the antient Rent be referved, and the Lease or Grant confirmed; the Reason whereof is, that if such Lease or Grant should be good against the Successor, he would then be without the Tithes, &c. 2. Sand. 303. and have no Remedy for the Rent thereon reserved; for distrain he could not; because there would be no Place wherein to take any Distress, the Things leased or granted being perfectly incorporeal and invifible; an Assise he could not have, because either he had not Seisin, or if he had, yet there would be nothing to put in View of the Recognitors; and an Action of Debt he could not maintain during the Leafe, because, being for three Lives, that is an Estate of Freehold, which will endure no Action of Debt fo long as it continues; and fo the Succeffor would in fuch Case have no Manner of Remedy for the Rent reserved, which would be against the express Provision and Intent of the several Acts.

47. 2.

2. It is held likewise in some Books, that a Lease for Twenty-one Co. Lie. 44 b. Years of fuch incorporeal Inheritances, tho' they have been usually demised, and the ancient Rent be thereout reserved, that yet this is voidable by the Successor within these Statutes; because tho' the Rent referved be good by way of Contract between the Lessor and Lessee, and that Debt may be maintained for Recovery thereof; yet, they fay, it is not fuch a Rent as is incident to the Reversion, nor shall pass with it to the Successor; and therefore the Successor having no Remedy for the Rent, shall not be bound by the Leafe.

Cro. Fac. 112. Moor 778. Ley 76. Palm. 105. Hard. 326. Raym. 18. 1 *Lev.* 108 2 Sand. 304. 1 Keb. 63 z Keb. 727.

But this Point feems to have been shaken by contrary Resolutions fince Jewel's Case, for some Books expresly hold such Lease for Years to be good against the Successor, because, they say, he has Remedy for the Rent by Action of Debt, and fay it has been so adjudged, and take the Diversity between such Lease for Years and a Lease for Life; also they say, that the Rent issues out of the Tithes in Point of Render, tho' not in Point of Remedy, because no Distress can be taken for it; but that is supplied by the Action of Debt which lies for such Rent, and shall devolve on the Successor; and that such Rent does not lie only in Privity of Contract, as a Sum in Gross, but is incident to the Reverfion, otherwife the Successor could not have it, being only privy to the Estate, not to the Personal Contracts of his Predecessor; and to this Opinion the Court inclined, but thought it a Point of great Consequence, and therefore to avoid it, gave Judgment on another Point which was

Cro. Fac. 453. Afoor 201. 5 Co 4. 2 Rol. Abr. 451. Vaugh. 203, 204. 2 Sand. 303. 1 Leon. 333.

3. All the Books agree that a Lease for three Lives, or Twenty-one Years, of a Manor, with the Advowson Appendant, or of Lands or Houses, and of Tithes usually let therewith, referving the antient Rent, &c. is good, and shall bind the Successor within these Statutes; for tho' the Rent does not issue out of the Advowson, Tithes, &c. in Point of Remedy, yet the Rent is greater in Respect thereof, and the Successor has his Remedy for the whole Rent upon the Lands or other Corporeal Inheritances let therewith; ( fed Quere, if the Tithes should be worth 2 or 300 l. per Ann. and the Lands not above 4 or 5 l. &c.) and Vaughan proves this from the express Words of 13 Eliz. which are, That all Leafes, by any Spiritual or Ecclefiastical Persons, having any Lands, Tenements, Tithes or Hereditaments, (other than for Twentyone Years, or three Lives, &c.) shall be void; so that the Statute plainly shews, that some Way or other Tithes may be leased for twentyone Years, or three Lives; and if they cannot be leafed fingly, it must be

with Lands usually letten therewith.

Therefore where the Dean and Chapter of Norwich leafed a Parfonage 1 Lev 323. and Common of Pasture, rendering Rent, and 1 E. 6. surrendered their Corhet and Possessions to the King, and afterwards the King granted the Parsonage, Cleer, cited. without speaking of the Common of Pasture; and it was held, that the Patentee of the Parsonage should have all the Rent, and no Apportionment should be in Respect of the Common; because all the Rent issued out of the Parlonage, and nothing out of the Common.

A Bishop, having an Advowson appendant to a Manor in Right of his Cro. Eliz. 690. Bishoprick, grants the Advowson for twenty-one Years, and this was Armiger ver. confirmed by the Dean and Chapter, yet held within the Restraint of such and I Eliz. and void against the Successor; because, as was said, it was not Helland. fuch an Hereditament whereout a Rent could be reserved; but a better Reason seems to be, because no Rent was at all reserved, and then, to be fure, neither the Fredecessor nor Successor could have any Benefit thereof by way of Contract, or otherwise; nor did it appear to have been

ufually letten.

The Bishop of Oxford, having priman Vesturan sive Tousdayan of certain Palm. 174. Lands, after 1 Eliz. lets it to the Plaintiff for three Lives, rendering the ford's Cafe. antient Rent, and dies, and his Successor, the now Defendant, enters Co. Lit 47. a. upon him, and takes the Hay; and it was urged, that this was not like 142. 4. 186 b. the Leafe of a Fair, because this concerned Land, and was to be taken upon the Land, and so the Successor was not without Remedy, because he might distrain the Grass when it was cut; but per Curiam it was held, that if the Bishop had had Vesturam, or primam Vesturam, or Tensuram, from such a Day to such a Day, this had been such an Hereditament as might have been leased; for there the Bishop, or his Lessee, might have mowed, and after fed it, during that Time, and then the Successor might have distrained the Cattle; but here the Bishop had only primam Vesturam, viz. only the cutting of the Grass once within such a Time, and then his Interest is at an End, and he cannot after feed it; so that it is no Hereditament within the Statute, whereof any Leafe can be made to bind the Successor.

If a Bishop, Dean and Chapter, or any other Persons restrained by 5 Co. 15. a. these Statutes, grant the next Avoidance of any Church which they 10 Co. 60. b. have in Right of their Bishoprick, Deanry, &c. tho' with Confirmation Cro. Eliz. 207, of all Persons interested therein, yet the Successor shall avoid it; for 1 And. 241. this is fuch an Hereditament as the Statutes intended to restrain them 1 Mod. 204. from binding their Successors by, and no Rent can be referved out of it; 2 Mod 56. for fuch Grant of the next Avoidance can bring no manner of Benefit to the Successor.

It has been feveral Times held, that Bishops, or other Ecclesiastical 4 Co. 24.

Persons, are not restrained either by the 1 or 13 Eliz. from making Leg 80.

Grants of Copyhold Lands in Fee in Tail or for Lives or for any Grants of Copyhold Lands in Fee, in Tail, or for Lives, or for any 253. Or side Number of Years, according to the Custom of the Manor, and that no 3 Co. 7. Confirmation is necessary to make such Grants good, tho' they are made Moor, pl. 276. by a fole Corporation, as by a Bishop, Prebendary, &c.

4 Leon. 117. Heydon's Cafe.

Sav. 66.

The Bishop of Winchester, 5 Eliz. with Confirmation of the Dean and Dyer 370. 6 Chapter, granted an Annuity or annual Rent out of Lands, Parcel of 10 Co 61. the Possessions of his Bishoprick, with Clause of Distress to it, pro Con-Hob. 97. filio impenso & impendendo pro Termino Vit.e sue, and dies; the Grantee i Rol. Rep. brought Debt against the Executors of the Bishop for Arrears incurred 164, 171. in his Life-time; and the only Question was, whether upon the 1 Eliz. Cro Car. 49. this Grant was void against the Successor, so that the Grantee could not maintain a Writ of Annuity against him, but only an Action of Debt Vol. III.

against the Executors of the Grantor; the Case does not appear to be adjudged, but it is cited in feveral Books, that the Annuity was determined by the Death of the Grantor; for the this was not Parcel of the Possessions of the Bishoprick, but only issuing out of them, yet if the Succeffor should be charged with it, this would tend to his Prejudice and

Impoverishment, which the Statutes intended to prevent.

15 Co. 61. Ley 72. Bridg. 30. S. C cited.

So where a Writ of Annuity was brought against the Successor upon a Bishop of Grant made by his Predecenor, and Communation by the Dean and Chefer's Cafe, Chapter, yet it was adjudged that it would not lie, because it was not Grant made by his Predecessor, and Confirmation by the Dean and cited 30 Eliz averred that it had been usually granted, tho' it was averred to be reamford. 346.

Lev 72. fonable; and it appears by these Cases, that if to avoid this Act a Writ of Annuity were brought against a Parson or Vicar, who prayed in Aid of the Patron and Ordinary, and upon Default Judgment is given for Plaintiff, this likewise is within the Equity of the said Act, and void against the Successor; so if a Writ of Annuity were brought against a Bishop upon Title of Prescription, or otherwise, and Judgment given against him by Verdict or Confession, yet this is restrained by 1 Eliz. because the Bishop is charged with the Annuity in respect of the Bishoprick; and therefore the Successor would be charged with the Arrears incurred in the Life of the Predecessor, as it is held 48 E. 3. c. 26. and so tend to the Diminution of the Revenues, and Impoverishing of the Church.

5 Co. 15 a. 1 Rol Rep. 17 L.

So if a Rent-charge be granted by any Corporation restrained by these Statutes, tho' this Rent-charge be not Parcel of their Possessions, yet it is against the Equity of the Statutes, and void against the Successor; for if Bishops, and other Ecclesiastical Persons, were at Liberty to grant what Rent-charges they thought fit, and that these should be good and binding upon the Successor, he might have his Possessions so clogged and incumbered as not to be able to keep up Hospitality, or sustain the Dignity of his Function, and so the good Design of these Acts be wholly eluded.

1 Vent. 223. 2 Lev 68. Keb. 69. Davenant ver Billiop of Salisbury.

In Covenant Plaintiff declared of a Lease by the Predecessor of the Defendant, in which was a Covenant, that he and his Successors would pay all Taxes during the Term, and affigns for Breach, that fuch a Tax was made by Parliament for the Royal Aid, and that the Plaintiff was forced to pay it, the Defendant refusing to discharge it, unde Actio accrevit, &c. and the only Question was, whether this were such a Covenant as should bind the Successor as incident to the Lease by 32 H. 8. for it is clear, if a Bishop had made a Covenant or Warranty, this had not bound the Successor at the Common Law, without the Consent of the Dean and Chapter; and if it should now be taken that every Covenant would bind the Successor, the Statute of I Eliz. would be of no Effect: But it was held, this Covenant would not bind the Successor; 1. Because it is not averred that such Covenants had been used in former Leases, as it ought to have been, to prove it an antient Covenant. 2. If this Covenant had been in former Leases, yet it could not bind to pay this new Tax by Parliament; but it must have been intended only of such as were then in Use, viz. Synodals, Pensions, Tenths granted by the Clergy, Procurations,  $\mathfrak{S}_c$ . but it was held however, that this Covenant would not avoid the Lease.

Of Grants of Offices by Bishops, &c. within these Statutes, vide Tit. Offices.

Rule 6. What half be faid a usual letting to Farm upon the several Statutes, and by what Persons.

A fixth Rule to be observed in the Construction of Leases upon these Statutes arises upon the Words of 32 H. 8. that that Ad Shall not extend to any Lease of any Monors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments which have not most commonly been letten to Firm, or occupied by the Farmers for the Space of twenty Years next before such Lease thereof made. The first Construction that prevailed was, that this letting to Farm within the twenty Co. Lit. 44. 5. Years ought to 12 by some Person who had an Estate of Inheritance Dyor 271. therein; and therefore if the Heir in Tail were in Ward of the King for Degg 106 twenty Years, and during that Term the King, or his Grantee, made Leases of Lands of the Ward which had not been usually letten or occupied in Farm for twenty Years before, this letting them to Farm by the King, or his Grantee, during the twenty Years Wardship, is not such a letting to Farm within the Intent of the Statute, as will enable the Heir in Tail, when he comes of Age, to make a I rale for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, of those Lands, to bind his Islac, so if such Lease were made by Tenant by the Curtefy, Tenant in Dower, or the like, of Lands which before that Time had not been most usually letten to Farm for twenty Years, their letting to Farm of fuch Lands for the greater Part of twenty Years will not impower the Issue in Tail, when he comes into Fossession, to make a binding Lease of such Lands within the Intent of the Statute; for the Intent of the Statute was only to make good Leates of fuch Parts of the Land as had been before usually letten by those who were Owners of the Inheritance, and best knew what was most proper to be let out, and what not, and therefore did not intend to establish Leases made of any other Possessions than those, which the Owners of an Estate of Inheritance therein had, for the greater Part of twenty Years, thought fit to lease to Farm; for if the Leases of Tenant in Dower, Tenant by the Curtefy, Guardian by Knight's Service, or fuch like, who, having only a particular Estate therein, would be for making Money of it all, and letting out the whole for Rent; if Leafes made by fuch for eleven or twelve Years, or more, according to the Time they lived or had Interest therein, should be a letting to Farm within this Statute; then might the Issue in Tail, when he came into Possession, make a Lease for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, of the Capital Messuage or Mansion-House, or, perhaps, of the whole Estate, because those particular Tenants had fo done for eleven or twelve Years, or more; and then if fuch Tenant in Tail should die the next Day, his Issue would not have a House to put his Head in; which never was the Intent of the Statute.

So where the Temporalties of a Bishoprick come into the Hands of the Palm. 175 6. King, and he keeps them twenty Years, or more, and during that Time Bishop of lets to Farm for eleven Years, or more, Lands which had not been before Oxford's Cafe, accustomably letten, and then appoints a Successor, and restores him the Temporalties, he cannot by any Leafe bind his Successor, for those Lands, which had no other Warrant for his leafing thereof, than only that the King, whilst the Temporalties were in his Hands, had let them to Farm for eleven Years, or more; and he might have let the Bishop's Palace, or the Demesses about it; and then if the Successor might likewise make a binding Lease thereof for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, and should die, or be removed soon, the Mischief intended to be remedied by the Statute, in giving the Farmers a fecure and lasting Possession during their Leafes, would introduce a much greater upon the Successor, by shutting him out of all the Houses and Lands belonging to the Bishoprick for

twenty-one Years, or three Lives; and fo, instead of maintaining Hospitality, as the Books speak, would occasion nothing but Quarrels and Contentions; fo for the same Reason, a letting to Farm by a Disseisor, or any other who has not a rightful Estate of Inheritance, tho' it be for the greater Part of twenty Years, is not a letting to Farm by fuch a Person as will enable the Tenant in Tail, Bishop, or other Person intended to be provided for by this Statute, to make any binding Leafe of Lands which were not accustomably letten to Farm for the greater Part of twenty Years, by those who had a rightful Estate of Inheritance therein.

But as the Mischief would be great, on the one Hand, to construe the Statute in fuch a Manner, as would impower the Persons before-

mentioned to determine of what Parts and Possessions Leases might be made good and binding against the Successors, Issues in Tail, and other Persons intended to be bound by the Act; so, on the other Hand, a Construction not less hurtful to them seems to have obtained upon the fame Words of the Statute; which provides, That it shall not extend to any Leafe of any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or Hereditaments which have not most commonly been letten to Farm, or occupied by the Farmers for the Space of twenty Tears next before such Lease thereof made; upon which Words it is held, that the Lands to be leased within that Statute must be fuch, and fuch only, as have been letten to Farm, or occupied for eleven Years, or more, at one or feveral Times within the twenty Years next before the Lease for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, to be made; fo that if Lands have been formerly let to Farm never fo long, or often, yet if the Tenant in Tail, or Bishop, should keep them in his own Hands fifteen or twenty Years, these Lands cannot be leased for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, to bind the Issue or Successor, till they have undergone a Probation of twenty Years longer, and within that Time have been letten to Farm, or occupied by Farmers for eleven Years, or more; fo if the Temporalties come to the Hands of the King, and he should keep the Lands usually letten in his own Hands forty or fifty Years, more or less, and then restore the Temporalties to the Successor, he must then begin to let them to Farm, till they have run out in Farmers Hands eleven Years at least, otherwise he can make no Lease for twentyone Years, or three Lives, within this Statute. So if a Diffeifor after a Lease for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, expired, enter upon the Bishop, or Tenant in Tail, and hold the Lands twenty Years, or more, and then the Bishop, or Tenant in Tail, or their Issue or Successor, enter, tho' thefe Lands were demisable, and actually demised, within the Statute, but just before the Diffeifor entered, yet now they cannot be again leafed for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, till they have been in Far-

Co. Lit. 44.b. Cro. Eliz. 708. Mallet and Mallet. Sir Folin Mervyn's Cafe.

(a) Where the Cafe

Archbishop

These Reasonings and Instances were pressed and urged in (a) a Case by Twisden and Chief Justice Keeling, against Windham and Moreton, was, that the and they thought them fo confiderable, that it put them upon finding out a more easy and natural Construction.

mers Hands for eleven Years at least; and so it is in the Power of the King, the Diffeifor, nay of the Bishop, or Tenant in Tail himself, to evade and elude the Intent of the Act, by keeping the Lands ten or twelve Years in their Hands; and tho' they die, or are removed prefently, yet the Successor or Issue can have no Benefit of the Statute till

1604. made a Leafe for three Lives, rendering the antient Rent, in 1630, this Leafe was furrendered, and the Lands remained unlet till 1662, when the Archbishop made a Lease thereof to the Plaintift's Lessor, rendering the same Rent as was reserved in 1604. and died, and the then Archbishop entered, and let to the Defendant; and whether these Lands, not having been let since 1630, could be leased again, was the Question; and Twisden and Keeling, for the Reasons herein mentioned, held they might 1 Lev. 212. 1 Sid. 316, 416. Raym. 165. 2 Keb. 213. Pemble versus Stern.

For

after eleven Years at least.

For they held, that the Clause consisted of two Parts in the Difjunctive, and if either of them were observed, it was sufficient to warrant the Leasing for three Lives, or twenty-one Years, within the Intent of the Statute; the Words are, that that All shall not extend to any Lease of any Manors, Lands, &c. which have not most commonly been letten to Farm; this is the first Part of the Disjunctive, and is general; the other Part is, or occupied by the Farmers thereof by the Space of twenty Tears, &c. and they thought this the most natural and genuine Meaning of the Words, that the Lands to be leased must either be such as have been most commonly letten, that is, such as are not reputed Part of the Demesnes of the Bishoprick, or such as have been occupied by the Farmers thereof by the Space of twenty Years, &c. that is, if the Bishop has let out Part of his Demesnes to Farm, and the Occupation of the Farmer has been approved for twenty Years together, as not any ways inconvenient to the Bishop, the Statute will presume that they are Lands sit to be let; and for the Authorities against this Opinion, Twisden said, in Mallet's Case, that Point came in unnecessarily; and Keeling, that it came in on a foolish Argument, and therefore was of no great Weight; and so in Sir John Mervin's Case, the Point never came in Question, but only dictum fuit pro Lege; and for my Lord Coke, (tho' he were a grave and learned Man,) yet he was not infallible, nor did he desire to be accounted so, and this Opinion of his was not Judicial, that if it had come to an Argument he might possibly have thought otherwise; for Keeling faid himself was of that Opinion, till he came to consider the Case, and weigh the Inconveniencies of that Construction; and it was said, that Queen Elizabeth kept the Temporalties of the Bishop of Ely above twenty Years in her Hands, and yet no Question of his Leases after; and they said likewise, that the Lord Coke's Inference was false, and not warranted by the Statute, viz. that if it had been leased for eleven Years it would be sufficient; for the first Part of the Statute, as to leasing, seems to refer to a more antient Time; also it was held, that if the other Construction prevailed, these Lands, or any other which continued unlet for eleven Years, could never after be let again for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, because they were not most accustomably letten, &c. by the Space of twenty Years, which makes it the more reasonable to reject fuch Construction; sed Quære if by letting them again to Farm for eleven Years, or more, the Power given by the Statute to leafe for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, be not fet up again; but Quere whether fince as it appears before, the letting to Farm by the King, or a Disseisor, &c. is not sufficient within this Statute, whether likewise their keeping it in their Hands for eleven Years, or more, be of any Prejudice to the Bishop, or his Successors, or to the Tenant in Tail, or his Issue; for if the Statute only intended letting to Farm by the Bishop, or Tenant in Tail himself, then all the Objections before-mentioned seem to lose their Force, unless where the Bishop, or Tenant in Tail, keep the Lands undemised in their own Hands for eleven Years, or more.

A Lease made by the Predecessor of the Plaintiff for three Lives, ren- Cro. Eliz 874. dering Rent, and confirmed by the Dean and Chapter, and the Defen-Bishop of dant claiming under it avers, that it was the usual and antient Rent, Scory. and the Land usually demised; the Plaintiff replies, that it was usually before that Lease retained in the Hands of his Predecessors for Hospitality, and traverses absque boc, quod fuit magis usualiter dimissa, &c. and it was held a good Traverse; for fince 32 H. 8. appoints that the antient Rent shall be referved, it is thereby implied that the Land should have been usually demised, otherwise the antient Rent cannot be re-

ferved.

Another Thing required by the Statute is, that these Leases be made Co. Lit. 44. b. of Lands usually letten to Farm, &c. upon which Words it hath been 6 Co 37.6.

2 Jon. 29. Moor 759. Raym 167. Sau. 66. 1 Leon. 4. 4 Leon 117; 4 Y adjudged,

adjudged, that a Demise by Copy of Court-Roll is sufficient; for that is in Judgment of Law but an Estate at Will; and, without Question, Lands demised at Will by those who have the Inheritance, rendering Rent, are Lands accustomably letten to Farm within the said Act, and so it was ruled 7 Eliz. in Sir James Mervin's Case, where Tenant in Tail let a Copyhold by Indenture, rendering the same Rent as before, and held a good Lease within 32 H. 8. and Williams said, he had known it thrice fo adjudged in his Time, in the Case of Tenant in

Moor 199. 5 Co. 5. b. Lord Mountjoy's Cafe.

But where Tenant in Tail had Power, by a particular Act of Parliament, to make Leases for Life, Lives, Years, or at Will after the Custom of the Manor, yielding the true and antient Rent,  $\Theta c$ . and he made a Leafe both of Freehold and Copyhold by a Deed at Common Law, referving such a Rent, this was held not to be warranted by the Statute as to the Copyholds, because the Statute speaks of Leases at Will by the Custom of the Manor; which imports, that the Statute did not intend that Copyholds should be demised otherwise than they were before the Statute, and that was by Copy of Court-Roll, not by a Lease for Years, and the Rent to be reserved thereon was cultomary Rent, not Rent upon a Lease for Years at Common Law.

## Rule 7. What Bent is to be referbed: And herein,

#### 1. That there must be a Rent reserved.

Moor 593. Carter versus Claypole. Sav. 128.

As to this the Statute is express, that a Rent must be reserved; and 1 Leon. 306. therefore where the College of All Souls in Oxford made a Lease without Refervation of any Rent, tho' it was but to try a Title, yet it was held void, the Statute being express and positive; and therefore no Construction or Pretence can be urged to avoid the Statute; but in that Case it did not appear that no Rent was reserved, but only the Plaintiff had not shewn that there was any reserved, and yet there might be, in the Lease; and if not, the Defendant ought to shew it; and so the Exception disallowed.

#### 2 That this Rent must continue due, and be payable to the Lellogs and their Succellogs.

This also is so strictly required by the Statute, that it hath been held. 5 Co. 6. 4. that if a Bishop, Tenant in Tail, &c. make a Lease of Land, the antient Rent whereof was 10 l. and referve but 5 l. per Annum during his Life, and 101. per Annum after his Death, to the Issue or Successor, yet this Lease shall not bind, because the Rent originally reserved was not pursuant to the Statutes; tho' there can be no Pretence of Prejudice to the Issue or Successor, more than if the Bishop, or Tenant in Tail, &c. should release the Rent, or any Part of it, during their own Lives, which furely they may do; ideo Quare.

- 3. That such Bent must be the same, or more in Quantury than hath been reserved within twenty Pears next befoze such Lease made: And herein,
  - 1. That hall be said to be the antient Kent where clas riety of Rents have been referved, or something formerly referved now omitted or varied.

As to this, where Variety of Rents have been referved, as formerly Hard. 325, 10 l. then 20 l. then 30 l. and lastly 40 l. per Ann. or econtra formerly 326. Morice 40 l. then 30 l. then 20 l. and lastly 10 l. per Ann. the 10 l. in the one ver. Antrobus Case, and the 40 l. per Ann. in the other Case, are the Rents to be re-per Hale. ferved on any new Lease to be made; but with this Diversity between Leafes made by Virtue of the several Statutes before-mentioned, and Leafes by Virtue of Powers in private Conveyances and Settlements; for upon Leases made by Virtue of the several Statutes before-mentioned, this was the Measure immediately after these Acts passed, and must continue so still; because the same Acts being to warrant every successive Lease as well as the first, there can be no Variation of the Rent in any other Lease to be made from the Rent, that, upon Construction of those Statutes, was in the first Lease, made by Virtue thereof, fettled to be the antient and accustomed Rent, and confequently the Variety of Rents in fuch Leases must have been only before the Statutes; but upon Leases made by Virtue of Powers in private Conveyances and Settlements at this Day, referving the old and accustomed yearly Rent, or the most antient and accustomable yearly Rent, there the Rent referved on any Lease then in Being, or upon the Lease made last before such Settlement or Conveyance, seems to be the Measure of the Reservation upon any Lease after to be made by Virtue thereof; for the Intent of fuch Power, as well in fuch Settlements as upon the several Acts before-mentioned, was only that they, who were to make Leases by Virtue thereof, should not put the Estate in any worse Condition, than it was the Time of such Settlement, or of those Acts made, but keep it in the same Plight and Condition as it then refpectively was; and the Rent referved last before the making of such Settlement, or of those Acts, may well be called old or ancient in respect of the new Rent to be reserved on such Lease, to be made after fuch Settlement, or after those Acts; but the Lord Cowper, in the Case of Lord (a) Mobun and Orby, feemed to make a Doubt of this Con- (a) 2 Vern. ftruction of the Words antient and accustomable Rent, and thought the 531, 542. last Rent no certain Rule to go by; for suppose it were leased once at Preced. Chan, a greater, and twice at a lesser Rent, he thought the antient Rent must be that reserved on the first Lease, for the two last might be made by a Tenant in Fee, who was not bound to referve the antient Rent, but might let it for nothing, if he pleased; but upon the 32 H. 8. or the fame Words in private Powers, viz. fo much yearly Rent, or more, as hath been most accustomably yielded or paid within twenty Years next before fuch Lease thereof made; if a greater Rent had been reserved before the twenty Years, yet the Reserved within the twenty Years, tho' it were less, must be the Measure of the Reservation upon Leases to be made by Virtue of that Statute, or of private Powers, worded in the same Manner; but if within the twenty Years it had been let once at a greater, and twice at a leffer Rent, then the Question will remain, which of the Reservations will be the Measure of the Rent to be reserved on any two new Leafes to be made; and how far the Opinion of my Lord Chancellor Cowper will outweigh the Opinions of my Lord Ch. Just. Hale

and Holt is confiderable, tho' their Opinions feem to fix a standing Rule to go by, whereas his leaves it at great Uncertainty, from which no Rule can be formed; for it may have been let twice formerly at a less Rent, and once, on the last Lease, at a greater; and if the first Reservation in this Case, being greater, shall be the Rule, why should not the two first, in this Case, tho' they are leffer; for his Reason seems to turn upon the Priority and Antiquity of the Rent, fo that the first Rent, according to his Opinion, and the last Rent, according to their two Opinions, are to be the Measure of the Reservation.

6 Co. 37. Cro. Fac. 76. Co. Lit. 44. b. Moor 759. Dean and Chapter of Worcester's Cafe.

In some Cases Leases, by Virtue of these Statutes, will be good, tho there be an Omission of Things formerly reserved, or a Variation in the Rent reserved in Point of Time; therefore where the Dean and Chapter of Worsester were seised of the Manor of H. in Fee, in Right of their Church, of which Manor one G. was Copyholder for Life, under the antient Rent of 8 s. and 8 d. payable at the four Quarter-Days of the Year, and Heriotable at the Death of the Tenant, and the Copyholds of that Mand? were grantable by Custom for three Lives; the Dean and Chapter 24 Eliz. by Indenture, under their common Seal, demise the faid Lands to G. and his Affigns for the Lives of A. B. and C. and the Survivor of them, rendring 8 s. and 8 d. Half-yearly, and without Refervation of any Heriot; and after this Leafe made the Dean dies, and his Successor and the Chapter enter to avoid this Lease upon 13 Eliz. (amongst other Reasons,) 1. Because the antient Rent was not reserved by Reason of the Loss of the Heriot. 2. Because the Rent was not payable, as it used to be; for before it was payable Quarterly, and now it is referved payable Half-yearly, which is not so beneficial to the Succeffor; but it was adjudged, that notwithstanding these Objections the Leafe was good, and should bind the Successor; for the 13 Eliz. does not avoid any Leafe, if the accustomed Rent, or more, be referved; and here the accustomed Rent is referved, and the Omission, or Loss of the Heriot, is not material, because that was not a Thing Annual or depending upon the Rent, but perfectly cafual and accidental. 2. That tho' the Rent was formerly referved Quarterly, and now Half-yearly, yet the Leafe is good, and so would have been if it had been referved only Yearly; for the Words of the Act are, whereupon the accustomed yearly Rent, or more, shall be reserved; so that if the Rent be reserved Yearly, the Words of this Act are fatisfied, and this Word Tearly, not 5 Co. 4. b. 5. b. being in Mountjoy's Case, makes the Difference; and yet this Rent had

not all the beneficial Qualitles the other Rent had; for whilst it continued Copyhold, the Lord might have entered for a Forfeiture upon the Denial or Non-payment of the Rent, which now, upon this Leafe thereof, at Common Law, he cannot do.

If the Rent was antiently payable in Gold, and it is now referved payable in Silver, this Leafe shall not bind the Successor; for the Variation may be prejudicial to the Heir or Successor, or by the Fall of Silver; and tho' the same may be said were it reserved in Gold, as it used to be, yet by continuing the Species of Reservation formerly made, they have used all the Precaution the Statute required, and the accidental Fall after can be no ways imputed.

5 Co. 4. b.

But if a Quarter of Corn was antiently reserved, and now a Lease is made, referving eight Bushels of Corn, this is good; for the Refervation is the same both in Quality, Value and Nature, and differs only in Words.

Palm. 106. Eusden and Dennis.

A Precentor or Chanter of St. Paul's, being seised of the Parsonage of S. in Jure Cantaria, leased a Portion of Tithes for two Years, rendring 81. per Ann. and referving Pasturage for a Colt in the Land of the Lessee, and the Lease being expired, his Successor made a Lease for Twenty-one Years of the faid Portion of Tithes, rendring 8 l. per Ann. but omitted the Running of the Colt; yet the Lease was held good, be-

cause it was a Thing referved our of the Lands of the first Lessee only which the Successor could not reserve, such first Lessee not being his Tenant of the Tithes; otherwise perhaps if the Reservation had been general.

#### 2. In what Manner such Reservation is to be made.

All that feems necessary here to be observed is, that there must be a particular Mention or Specification of the Sum intended to be reserved, as well upon Leases to be made by Virtue of these Statutes, as upon Leafes by Virtue of Powers in private Conveyances and Settlements; for otherwise the Heir, or Successor, would be put to infinite Trouble, Vexation and Expence, if the Reservation might be allowed to be made in the same or as general Terms, as the Power itself was; and the Neceffity of averring and proving what was the antient and accustomable

Rent to lie upon them.

Therefore where a Bishop was seised, in Right of his Bishoprick, of Cro. Car. 95. three Manors which had been usually let together at the Rent of 321. Owen yer. per Ann. and made a Lease of the said three Manors, except such and Thomas. such Parts thereof, rendring the antient usual accustomed yearly Rent, 3 Keb. 380. and the Rents and Services at the Days and Times usually accustomed, without specifying any Rent or Sum in particular; it was adjudged that this Leafe should not bind the Successor, because the usual and accustomed Rent was 32 l. per Ann. where all the said three Manors had been let without any Exception; whereas now Part being excepted, that which was the usual and accustomed Rent for the Whole, cannot be faid the usual and accustomed Rent for Part; or when Part is excepted, and then the Reference being general to the ancient and accustomable Rent, nothing at all is referved, and by Consequence the Successor not bound by fuch Lease; this appears to be the Reason in the Book for the Avoidance of that Lease, and being sufficient for the Furpose, there needed no other: But it will appear by the following Case, that if the whole three Manors had been let without any Exception, yet the Refervation in fuch general Terms would have been sufficient to have avoided the Leafe.

Fitton Gerard, Tenant for Life, with Power to make Leases for Trin. 1706. Twenty-one Years, or three Lives, so as upon every Lease of such in Canc. Lord Lands as have been usually letten, and Fines taken for them, the old Mohun and accustomed Rent, or more, be yearly reserved, and so as upon every Lease of other Lands not usually letten, nor Fines taken for them, there be reserved the best improved Rent that can be gotten for the same, and the Lessees to execute Counterparts thereof; Fitton by Indenture 21 Decemb. 1702, demises to the Desendants all such Lands as have been usually letten, and Fines taken for them for Ninety-nine Years, if three Persons should so long live, with a Reservation in these Words, Tielding and Paying therefore the respective old and accustomed yearly Rents; and if this Reservation was pursuant to the Power, was the Question; and my Lord Chancellor Cowper, being assisted with the two Chief Justices Holt and Trever, decreed, that this Lease was not good to bind the Remainder Man; but my Lord Chief Justice Holt differed in Opinion, and held this Lease good. 1. Because the Reservation being in the very Words of the Power, if the Power was good, the Reversation must be so to, for the same Words must have the same Meaning in both; and if a Sum certain had been reserved, yet it must have been averred to have been the antient and accustomable Rent, or more; and therefore this Referention, in the Words of the Power, may be helped by fuch an Averment, and consequently is good. 2. That if any of the Lands comprised in this Lease had not been antiently let, tho' the Reservation in such Manner, Vol. III.

as to them, would be void, yet the Leafe would remain good as to the others. 3. Tho' all the Lands were comprised in this one Deed of Lease, yet the Remainder Man, who is to have all the Deeds in his Custody, might eafily distinguish them as well as if they had been fet by several Leases, as they were formerly; but my Lord Chancellor and Trever held this Leafe void against the Remainder Man, and not pursuant to the Power. 1. Because it was never intended that the Words of the Power should be turned verbative into a Reservation in Leases; and to say, that if the Words in the Power are good, they cannot be had in the Refervation, is a strange Position. Suppose in the Power to make Leases it were provided, that in every such Lease there should be inserted such Covenants as are usual in Leases in that County, and a Lease were made in the very Words of the Power, would this be good? Certainly not; nor could it be aided by any Special Verdict, finding the Covenants usual in that County. 2. The Question in this Case is not between the Lessor and Lessee, (between whom perhaps the Lease may be good, and the Rent recoverable;) but the Question is, as to the Remainder Man, whose Remainder and Inheritance is to be charged by a Power which is to be taken strictly, and is not pursued; for the Intent thereof was, that a certain Rent might be referved upon every Lease to be made, so that he in Remainder may know how to come at it, and form his Action for the Recovery thereof, which, as this Refervation is, he cannot do, but will be involved in perpetual Controversy and Uncertainty; for he must not only aver and avow that the Sum he distrains for is the antient Rent, but must also prove it; for if the Tenant can shew another more antient Rent, then he may nonfuit the Remainder Man, and so toties quoties he distrains or avows for any Rent, the Tenant, by shewing that another Rent has been reserved, may baffle him and keep the Land in spight of his Teeth, without any Rent at all, till he is so lucky as to hit upon the true Sum referved upon every feveral Leafe, which will be very difficult for him in Remainder to do, and is no ways agreeable to the Power; but if a certain Sum had been reserved, and the Counterpart fhewn under the Tenant's Hand, he must either shew a more antient Rent, or it will be prefumed for the Plaintiff; and if he should shew one more antient, the Consequence of that will be the Avoiding of his own Lease, which, to imagine he should attempt, is absurd; and without defeating of the Lease he can never avoid Payment of the Rent when it is referved in Certainty; but as it is referved here, it is wholly uncertain; and my Lord Chancellor faid it was the first Attempt that ever was made to delegate the Power generally that was to have been executed particularly, and was a new Invention tending to introduce Perjury, Forgery and Frauds, and therefore was not to be countenanced.

Lord Mobun yor. Orby.

So in the same Case, where Tenant for Life had made a Lease of the Lands not usually letten, reserving therefore the best and most improved Rents for the same, according to the Words of the Power; this was held so utterly uncertain, that nothing was offered to support it.

Lewfon ver. Piggot. But a Case was therein cited, where Mr. Venables of Cheshire had Power, by a Settlement, to make Leases of Lands antiently demised, reserving, at least, 12 d. for every Cheshire Acre; and he made a Lease of all the Lands antiently demised, reserving all the Rent intended to be reserved; and tho' these Words were very general and uncertain in themselves, the Reservation was held good, because it might easily be ascertained by the Reservation of 12 d. at least, for every Cheshire Acre, because it is known what a Cheshire Acre is; and that may by Admeasurement be at all Times ascertained, and depends not upon uncertain Evidence.

Hard 325-6. Morrice and Antropus. A Precentor of St. Paul's made a Lease of Lands, the antient Rent whereof was 40 l. and a couple of Capons, and he now reserves only the 40 l. and takes a Covenant from the Lessee to pay yearly, over and above

the 40 l. a couple of Capons, or 6 s. and 8 d. yet this was held such a Covenant as amounted to a Refervation, and therefore the Leafe good against the Successor; but the Truth was, the Lease there was made to Baron and Feme, and the Baron only covenanted in that Manner, which would not bind his Wife if she survived; and for that Reason neither would the Successor be bound.

#### 3. Where the Addition of moze Land, with or without the Addition of moze Kent, chall aboid fuch Leafes.

Tenant in Tail, or any Spiritual Person, in Right of the Church, Ley 74, 77 feifed of a Manor whereof the Copyholds and Services have not usually Cro. Eliz. 340 been let, but only the Freehold Demesnes, and they make a Lease of 341. Tanfield the whole Manor, referving fuch a Sum only as amounted to the antient ver. Rogers. Rent; this Lease shall not bind the Issue or Successor; but the Reservation in that Case was several, viz. reserving the antient Rent in Certainty for the Lands antiently let, and another distinct Rent for the Copyhold and Services, not usually before letten; and therefore the Leafe was good as to the Lands antiently let, because for them the antient Rent was reserved.

A Prebend usually let, with Exception of all Crab Trees, &c. at Cro. Fac. 458. 171. per Ann. was now let for three Lives at that Rent, without the 3 Bulf. 290. Exception, and adjudged that the Lease was void to bind the Successor, Bole. because there was more let than had been antiently; for by the Exception of the Trees, the Fruits and Boughs, and Soil itself, were excepted, which now by this Lease pass to the Lessee; and so more being let than formerly, it is not warranted by 32 H. 8. and then the Rent thereout referved cannot be faid to be the antient Rent, and by Confequence is

made void against the Successor by 13 Eliz.

Tenant in Tail, by Special Act of Parliament having Authority to 5 Co 5. make Leases, &c. Reddendo verum & antiquum Redditum, makes a Lease Moor 197. of Lands antiently demised, and of an Acre of Waste not before de-Lord Mountmised, reserving the antient Rent, and so much more as the Acre of joy's Case. Waste was worth; and yet held, that this Addition of Acre of Waste spoiled the whole Lease, because the Rent being intire in the Reservation iffued out of the Whole, and out of every Part thereof, and the Acre of Waste being never demised before, it could not be said verus & antiquus Redditus, which issued out of that which never before yielded any Rent

If two Farms have usually been let severally, the one for 20 l. and the 5 Co. 4. 5. other for 10 l. and a Bishop, Tenant in Tail, &c. makes a Lease of both 1 Co. 139. together, rendring 30 l. per Ann. and die, &c. this Lease shall not bind Cro. Car. 23. the Issue or Successor, for the antient Rent issuing formerly out of the 3 Keb. 380, two Farms severally, according to the aforesaid Proportion, now issues wholly out of each, and out of every Part of each; and where before the Rents were several, now are they entire; and it was said to be but Wantonness, to save Parchment and Paper, to join them together in one Leafe, when they were usually, and ought to have been, let severally; and there was no Necessity or Colour of Convenience to join them in one Leafe; and if he might join two, he might as well join twenty, which would be very prejudicial to the Successor, since it is a kind of Seigniory and Prerogative to have several Tenants; therefore if 40 l. per Ann. had in that Case been reserved for the two Farms, which is 10 l. per Ann. more than the antient Rent of both; yet this shall not bind, not because more is reserved than the antient Rent, (for that the Statute allows,) but because by their being joined, if the Tenant should prove Infolvent, the Lofs would be the greater upon the Issue or Successor.

Devisee

1 Leon. 147, 148. Read and Nafb. Devisee for Life, with Power to make Leases, whereupon the old and accustomed yearly Rent shall be reserved, entered and built a new House upon the Land, and then made a Lease for twenty-one Years, reserving only the antient Rent, &c. and argued, that this could not be said to be the antient Rent, because Part of it is issuing out of the new House; but the Justices would not suffer it to be argued, but held the Rent to be well enough reserved.

#### 4. Thhere a Referbation of the whole Rent, or only pro-Rata on a Leafe of Part, thall be god.

1 Mod. 203. 2 Mod. 57. 3 Keb. 192, 372,583,595. Threadneedle vcr. I ynam.

On a special Verdict the Case was in Substance no more than this; a Bishop seised of two Manors in Right of his Bishoprick, which had usually been let for 67 l. 1 s. 5 d. per Annum, now makes a Lease for twenty-one Years of one of those Manors only, referving the whole Rent; and if this was a good Lease within the Statute 1 Eliz. was the Question: The Objections against it were; 1. That the Remedy for the Rent was not so ample and beneficial as it was before; for before the Rent issued out of both, now out of one only, and the Statute is to be taken strictly, to prevent Dilapidations and Decay of Spiritual Livings. 2. That this was not the old accustomed Rent, because it did not issue out of the same Lands, but out of less; and if that be allowed, you may leave but a Moiety or quarter Part, or but one or three Acres, to answer 100 l. per Annum. 3. It was objected, that now the Bishop could not lease the other Manor at all; for if for the antient Rent, perhaps it is not worth fo much; if for lefs, it is not the antient Rent; or supposing he could lease the other Manor for less Rent, yet the antient Rent, which the Statute chiefly defigned to provide for, will not be at all the better fecured; for now, being referved out of one Manor only, that will be the only Fund to answer it for the future; and if the Value of Lands should fall, as probably they may, there will be no sufficient Security or Distress for the old Rent, tho' perhaps the new Rent, being less, will be abundantly fecured; and of this Opinion was Vaughan and Ellis; but Atkins and Wyndham held it a good Lease; and after the Death of Vaughan, North being of the same Opinion, it was adjudged a good Lease, and this Judgment affirmed in B. R. upon a Writ of Error; for the antient Rent being referved, the Statute is satisfied, and what is not in Leafe is in the Bishop's own Hands; and tho' the Distress for the antient Rent be not so large, yet the Bishop cannot complain, having the Residue of the Lands in his own Hands, or out upon another Lease; and by Wyndham, if a Bishop should enlarge a Garden or Orchard, it would be unreasonable so to tie him up, as to force him to hold the Residue of the Tenancy in his own Hands, and never fuffer him to demife it again, because he cannot reserve the antient Rent, as that issued out of every Part of the old Land; but he agreed, that if the Bishop in this Case had made a Lease of both Manors, reserving the antient Rent out of one of them only, this would not have been good to bind the Successor, because he departed with the whole Land chargeable with the antient Rent, and yet confined the Successor's Remedy for such Rent to Part of the Lands only; but in this Case he having the Residue of the Lands in his own Hands, it is clearly out of the Mischief of the Statute.

5 Co. 5, 6.

If Lands usually let at such a Rent descend to two Coparceners in Tail, each may let her own Part, reserving Rent pro Rata; for it would be unreasonable that the Frowardness or Perwerseness of one Sister, in not complying to join in a Lease with the other Sister, should hinder them both from making Leases at all; and the Descent, which caused the Coparceny, was an Act of Law, which they could not prevent or

hinder,

hinder, and the Acts of Law do no Injury to any one. So if a Manor was usually let at 10 s. per Annum Rent, and a Tenancy escheats, and then a Leafe is made of the whole Manor, referving 10 s. per Annum, this is good, tho' the Rent issues also out of the Tenancy, and that never was in Lease before; bur the Escheat was the Act of Law, and by that the Seignory being extinct ought not to turn to the Prejudice of the Lord; but if the Lord had purchased the Tenancy, he could never have leafed it within 32 H. 8. or the other Statutes, because the Purchase was his own Act, and therefore the Tenancy having never been leafed before, no antient Rent can be referved thereout, no more than a Manor which had never been leafed can now be leafed by Virtue of any of those Statutes.

The Books are not agreed, whether a Bishop, Tenant in Tail, or any Co. Lit. 44.6. Spiritual Person, &c. of Lands usually let for a certain Rent, may make 3 Keb. 379, a Lease for Part thereof, reserving Rent pro Rata; but the better Opi- 380. nion feems to allow of such Leasing, because this in Effect is the antient 5 Co. 4, 5. Rent; and otherwise, perhaps, they could not lease at all, if they had not a Power of dividing the great Farms; and Mountjoy's Case, which is contrary, they fay, was adjudged upon a private Act of Parliament for enabling a particular Tenant in Tail to make Leafes, which neither his Estate nor the Law would allow of, (as the Lease there was for 300 Years,) but upon the other Statutes, if all the Circumstances thereby required are observed, a Lease of Parr, rendering a proportionable Rent, feems to have no Inconvenience in it, or be any ways against the true Meaning of the Starutes.

## Rule 8. That such Leases must not be made without Impeachment of Waste.

The last Rule to be observed in making of Leases upon these Statutes Co. Lin. 44. b. is, that they must not be made without Impeachment of Waste; and 45 a. tho' this is expressly provided for in 32 H. 8, only, yet it hath been bean and resolved upon the 13 E hz. and held upon the 1 E hz. that the several Chapter of Persons therein respectively mentioned are by the Equity thereof re- Worcester's strained from making Leases dispunishable of Waste; for if, as the Pre-Case. Atrained from making Leates dispunishable or waite; 101 st, as the rie-amble speaks, long and unreasonable Leases are the chiefest Causes of Palm. 468. Comp. Incurve Dilapidations, and the Decay of all Spiritual Livings and Hospitality, 357. much more would they be so if they were made dispunishable of Waste; and therefore those Statutes being made to prevent such unreasonable Leafes for the future, must by Consequence prohibit their Power of committing or fuffering Waste; but if Bishops should not be restrained by i Eliz. from making such Leases, yet they must at least be confirmed by the Dean and Chapter, otherwise they will be void by 32 H. 8.

And altho' they are confirmed, yet if the Lessee should go about to 11 Co. 49, commit Waste, he may be stopped by Prohibition, and attached if he 98.6. 3 Buls. 91. persist in it; for so may the Bishop himself, or any Ecclesiastical Person, Moor 917. if they commit Waste, either in cutting down the Timber Trees, or pull-Zaker's Case. ing down or deficing of the Houses or Possessions of the Church; and 2Rol.Abr.813. fuch Waste is also a good Cause of Deprivation; and as the Bishop, or Hob. 36. other Ecclesiastical Person, cannot justify the doing of such Waste, other Kent. other Ecclesiattical Person, cannot juiting the doing of the state of them for Reparations, Fuel, or such like Necessaries, no more can their 3 Inft. 304. Godb. 259. Tenants or Leffees, who derive under them.

But where a Prohibition was moved for, to hinder a Parson from dig- 1 Sid. 152. ging of Lead and Coal-Mines in his Glebe, the Court denied it, because I Lev 107. he having the Fee in him in as high a Manner as ever any Body will have Count de it, if he cannot open the Mines, they will never be opened at all; nor is Rutland's Vol. III.

this opening of Mines any Cause of Deprivation by the Canon Law; and the Reason of prohibiting the cutting down of Trees in the Churchyard by 35 E. 1. is, because they were planted in Defence of the Church, and also because such cutting them down is Waste; and it is said in one Book, that the Parson hath such an Estate in him, that he may maintain an Action of Waste, for Waste in cutting down Trees by his Termors.

6 Co. 37. Cro Car. 95.

Note; Leafes may be made without Impeachment of Waste two Ways; 1. Expresly by Words in the Lease, declaring the same: Or, 2. Impliedly by Construction of Law; as if a Lease be made for Life, the Remainder for Life, this is dispunishable of Waste, and so not warranted by the Statutes; because in Waste the Place wasted is to be recovered, as well as treble Damage, which the Reversioner in this Case cannot do, without destroying the intermediate Estate for Life.

6 Co. 37.

But if a Lease be made to one for three Lives, this Lease is good, because it is not dispunishable of Waste, and the Occupant, if any happen, shall be punished for Waste within the Statute of Gloncester, cap. 5. which gives an Action of Waste against any one that held in any Manor for Term of Life or Years; and an Occupant in this Case holds for Term of Life.

# (F) Of Acases by Parsons, Uicars and others, With respect to other Qualifications.

S to Leases made by Parsons, Vicars and others, having Benefices or Promotions with Cure of Souls, as to which these Things are to be observed.

6. Lit. 41 550.

r. That Parsons and Vicars are expresly excepted out of 32 H. 8. so that comp. Incumb. they are not, as other fole Corporations, enabled by that Statute to make any Leafes to bind their Successors without the Confirmation of the Patron and Ordinary, but remain as they did perfectly at Common Law, for any Thing in that Statute. 2. That they are not restrained by 13 Eliz. from making Leafes for twenty-one Years, or three Lives; but then such Leases must not only be confirmed by the Patron and Ordinary, but must also be made with Conformity to the eight Rules or Qualities mentioned, otherwise they will not bind the Successor. 3. They, as well as others, are reftrained by 13 Eliz. from making Leafes for any longer Time, notwithstanding any Confirmation, or Conformity to the Rules before-mentioned.

Atvor, 1.1. 836. 1 Rd. Abr 476. Tyer 292, 293.

But it is not necessary that the Lessor be a Priest; for if a meer Lay-Cro. Eliz. 775 man be instituted and inducted to a Benefice, and make a Lease for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, which is confirmed by the Patron and Ordinary, and then the Incumbent is deprived quia mere Laicus; yet the Leafe remains good, and shall bind his Successor, because it was made by a Parson de Pasto pro Tempore, whereof the Law takes Cognizance, by the Solemnity of his Institution and Induction; and People can take Notice of no other; fo if the Parson were after deprived for contracting of Matrimony, when the Law was that Priests could not marry, or for not reading the Articles within two Months, &c. yet their Leafes being confirmed by the Patron and Ordinary remain good against the Successor, as well since the Statutes before-mentioned, as they did at Common Law before the making thereof; because being made by a lawful Incumbent pro Tempore existente, they ought not to be impeached by any subsequent Act or Neglect of the Parson.

But

But if he who makes fuch Lease be leut a supposed Incumbent, or Cro. Fac. 552' be in a Church by a Super-Institution, or the like seeming Title, and so Palm. 22. be reputed the legal Incumbent, he cannot make a Lease to bind after of the true Incumbent: therefore where d was his Death, or the Death of the true Incumbent; therefore where A. was made lawfully Bishop of Offery in the Time of Edw. 6. and after, in the Time of Queen Mary, B. was confecrated Bishop of that Diocese, living A who was not deprived, and then B. made a Leafe of Parcel of the Poffessions of the Bishoprick, and then A. died, and B. survived him about three Years; yet after his Death it was adjudged, that this Leafe should not bind the Successor, because it was a voluntary Act, and tended to the Impoverishing of the Successor, and A. not being deprived, continued Bishop still; so that the Confectation of B. was a meer Nullity, and never made him Bishop of that Diocese; but yet they held, that all Iudicial Acts done by B. as Inflitutions, Certificates,  $\mathcal{C}c$ . were good, because they were necessary, and could then be performed by no other.

So if one were appointed Bishop of a Diocese, but never ordained or Bro. Tit.

consecrated, (as, it is said, in the Time of Ed. 6. some were not,) then Leafes 68. Leafes made by fuch Bishops, tho' confirmed by the Dean and Chapter, will not bind their Successors; because for Want of Ordination and Confectation they are no Bishops at all, and consequently their Acts null and void in themselves; but if one were lawful Bishop at the Time of making fuch Lease, no Deprivation after will avoid the Lease, because there was nothing wanting when it was made, and the Deprivation after shall

not impeach that which was good in itself before.

If the Incumbent, be he Clerk or Layman, were under the Age of Bro. Tit. Ago twenty-one Years at the Time of making a Leafe, yet shall not his Suc- 80. cessor avoid it for this Cause, if there was nothing else wanting; for tho' he ought not to have been admitted under Age, yet after such Admission he continues rightful Parson till deprived, and then all Acts done by him in the mean Time continue good and unavoidable; also in his Politick Capacity, as Parson, his Age is not material nor imputable.

Tho' Leafes made by Parfons or Vicars be in all Respects well made, yet by Non-residence they become void by Virtue of the Statute 13 Eliz. cap. 20. which is as followeth; viz. 'That the Livings appointed for · Ecclefiastical Ministers may not by corrupt or indirect Dealings be transferred to other Uses, be it Enacted, That no Lease hereaster to be made of any Benefice or Ecclesiastical Promotion with Cure, or any · Part thereof, and not being impropriated, shall endure any longer than while the Leffor shall be orderly resident, and serving the Cure of such 6 Benefice, without Absence above eighty Days in any one Year, but ' that every such Lease immediately upon such Absence shall cease and be void, and the Incumbent fo offending shall for the same lose one 'Year's Profit of his faid Benefice, &c. and that all Chargings of fuch Benefices with Cure with any Pension or Profit out of the same to be ' yielded or taken, other than Rents upon Leafes to be made according to the Meaning of this Act, shall be utterly void: Provided, That every Parson, by the Laws of this Realm allowed to have two Benefices, e may demife the one of them, upon which he shall not be then most ordinarily refident, to his Curate only that shall there serve the Cure for him; but fuch Leafe shall endure no longer than during such Cu-' rate's Residence without Absence above forty Days in any one Year.'

This Statute, tho' it extends only to those who have the Cure of 2 Roll. dor 465. Souls, yet by reason of the Multiplicity of Parsonages and Vicaridges in Telv. 106.

England, hath been held to be a general Law, whereof the Judges are thought to take Notice without pleading of it.

bound to take Notice, without pleading of it.

Upon an Action of Trespass brought, and Not guilty pleaded, the Telv. 106. Jury sound the Desendant Vicar of D. and that he such a Day leased 1 Brownl. 208 his Vicaridge to J. S. for three Years, rendering Rent, which J. S. as-figned one Acre, Parcel thereof, to the Plaintist, and that the Desendant

was absent several Quarters in one Year, viz. sixty Days in several Quarters; and it was adjudged for the Defendant, that this was fuch an Absence as avoided his own Lease within that Statute.

Noy 116 Sidner ver. Calvert.

So it is faid to have been adjudged, that if a Parson be absent at feveral Times, viz. ten Days at one Time, and twenty Days at another, and fo till eighty Days be fulfilled in one Year, that this is fuch a Nonresidence within the Statute as shall avoid his Lease.

1 Bulf. tit. Twanlie.

And yet where it was found by Special Verdict, that a Parson made Sheppard ver. a Lease of his Glebe and Tithes, and was absent by the Space of eighty Days in a Year; yet because it was also found that he did-upon all Occasions refort to his Parish, and performed Divine Service in the Church four Days in a Week, and duly ferved the Cure thereof, tho' he I ved in another Parish, which was a Non-residence within the Statute 11. 8. yet this was not fuch a Non-residence as should avoid his Lease within the Statute of 13 Eliz. for that they held must be a Non-residence for eighty Days together at one Time in the Year.

Degg 126.

By this it appears, the furest way to avoid the Lease (if the Case will bear it) is to alledge the Absence for eighty Days together, because then the Cure must most certainly be neglected; but since it also appears, that if the Cure were not neglected, tho' the Absence were for eighty Days in a Year at several Times, that this should be no Avoidance of the Leafe; therefore the other Cases, which hold the Absence at feveral Times, till eighty Days be accomplished in a Year, sufficient to avoid the Leafe, must be intended such an Absence as was accompanied with the Neglect of the Cure; otherwise the Cases will not be confistent and uniform.

Degg 126.

And note; Where any Leafe becomes void for Absence above eighty Days, no Confirmation of the Patron and Ordinary can fave it.

Cro. Eliz. SS. Gosnall and Kindlemarfo. Cro. Eliz 490. kins.

If an Information be brought on the Statute 13 Eliz. cap. 20. or if that Statute be pleaded to avoid a Leafe, Bond or Covenant, for the Enjoyment thereof, it ought to be faid, not that the Incumbent was Earl of Lin- absent, but also that he was absent eighty Days & ultra; for to say coln ver. Hof- cighty Days, and nothing more, is not sufficient within this Statute, which fays above eighty Days; for he may be absent eighty Days, and come again in the Night of the 80th Day; and if so, he is no Offender within this Statute, and therefore it ought to be expresly alledged, and not by Implication.

2 Bulf. 202. Rudge and Memas.

So it must be also said, that he was absent eighty Days & ultra in a Year; otherwise it will not be good, for so is the Statute expressly.

Cro. Eliz. 590. Moor 540. 5 (0 21. Butler and Goo al. Vaughan. A 100r 448.

Also it must be shewed that the Incombent was voluntarily absent; for if he were absent, or did not serve the Cure, by reason of Sickness, Sufpension, or because he was inhibited by the Ordinary from serving the Cure, or was ejected by any out of the Parsonage House, or upon Cro Hizitoo, the Account of any other Restraint, this is no such Absence as will avoid Collins ver. any Leases, &c. within these Statutes.

Cro Eliz. 123. Aloor 270 Afote and Hales.

These last Cases prove the Unreasonableness of the Construction that has been made of this Statute in the following Case; where a Parson, after 13 Eliz made a Lease to one, for Twenty-one Years a die confectionis, of Lands usually letten, rendring the ancient Rent, and this was confirmed by the Parron and Ordinary; then the Parson died; and the Question was, if his Death was fuch a Non-residence as that eighty Days after being incurred should avoid the Lease; Moor reports this Case, that the Judges were divided in it, and that the Judgment was given against the Defendant, Under-Lessee of A. in an Action of Debt brought by A. for the Rent; yet the Reason of it was for his Misrecital of the Statute, whereby he would have avoided the Leafe to A. and confequently the Under-Leafe to himself; but Cro. reports the Case to be adjudged, that

the Death of the Parson was a Non-residence within that Statute to avoid his Leases; for they said, the Intent of the Statute was to provide against Dilapidations, and for Maintenance of Hospitality, and therefore must be intended to avoid Leases, not only for Non-residence, but also by the Death or Refignation of the Parson, for otherwise Dilapidations would be in the Time of the Successor, and he could not maintain Hospitality; and Hale says, this was adjudged, as it is reported by Cro. by the Opinion of three Judges against one, but says it was a hard Opinion; and therefore (a) where the same Point came in Question, (a) 2 Lev. 61, it was adjudged that the Death of the Parson was not such a Non-resi- 1 Vent. 244. dence as should avoid a Lease duly made. 1. Because the Intent of the 3 Keb. 46, Statute was only to oblige the Parsons to Residence, by imposing a Bayh and Forfeiture upon them of a Year's Value of their Benefices if they did not Munday. reside, which could not be, if Death were a Non-residence within that Statute; for immediately, upon the Death of the Incumbent, all the Profits of the Living, except for Supply of the Cure in the Vacation, belong to the Successor; how then could the Bishop sequester them for the Use of the Poor, for a whole Year, as the Statute directs. 2. It is plain the Statute meant a wilful Negligence, because it says, the Party jo offending; but Death is involuntary, and cannot be punished; and a Person who is dead cannot be absent, for he is not in esse. 3. The Statute of 14 Eliz. which allows Leases of Houses in Market-Towns for forty Years, would be of no Essection, if Death should be interpreted a Non-residence to avoid them. 4. The Consirmation of the Patron and Ordinary would be to no Purpose, and their Permission to make Leases for Twenty-one Years, or three Lives, with fuch Confirmation, would be vain and idle, if fuch Leafes should continue no longer than during the Parson's Life, for he might have made them good during his own Life, without any fuch Permission or Confirmation. 5. These Cases above cited prove that the Non-residence, within this Statute, must be fuch as is voluntary; and therefore Sickness, Inhibition by the Ordinary, &c. which are involuntary, are a good Excuse of Non-residence within this Statute, and so have been allowed.

But for as much as feveral Evafions were found out to frustrate and elude the true Intent of the faid Statute of 13 Eliz. cap. 20. therefore by another (b) Act of Parliament it was provided as followeth, viz. (b) 14 Eliz-That where fundry evil disposed Persons have defrauded the true cap. 11. sects Meaning of the last mentioned Statute, by Bonds and Covenants, of 6 fuffering other Persons to enjoy Ecclesiastical Livings, and the Fruits thereof, for that such Bonds and Covenants are not in Law taken to be Leases, altho' indeed they amount to as much; be it therefore enact. ed, That all Bonds, Contracts, Promifes and Covenants, hereafter to 6 be made, for suffering or permitting any Person to enjoy any Benefice or Ecclefiastical Promotion, with Cure, or to take the Profits or Fruits ' thereof, (other than fuch Bonds and Covenants as shall be made for 6 Affurance of any Leafe heretofore made) shall, to all Intents and Purposes, be adjudged of such Force and Validity, and not otherwise, as Leafes by the fame Persons, made of such Benefices and Ecclesiastical ' Promotions, with Cure; and be it further declared and enacted, That all Leases, Bonds, Promises and Covenants, of and concerning Benefices and Ecclefiaftical Livings, with Cure, to be made by any Curate, ' shall be of no other nor better Force, Validity or Continuance, than if ' the same had been made by the beneficed Person himself, that demised, or shall demise the fame to any such Curate.

And by another (c) Act for Continuance of the faid Statutes of (c) 45 Eliz-13 Eliz. cap. 20. and 14 Eliz. cap. 11. there is another Claufe, by way cap. 9 of Addition, 'That all Judgments to be had, for the Intent to have and enjoy any Leafe contrary to the faid Statutes, shall be deemed Vol. III.

e void, in fuch Sort as Bonds and Covenants are appointed to be void

for that Purpofe.

The Statute of 13 Eliz. cap. 20. as appears by the express Words thereof, extends only to Leafes to be made after that Statute; therefore where a Parson made a Lease for fixty Years before the 13 Eliz. which was confirmed by the Patron and Ordinary, and then the Parson died, and his Successor, after the Statute of 14 Eliz. cap. 11. gave a Bond that the Lessee should enjoy the Lease during the Term, and after became Non-resident for above eighty Days in one Year, and so would have avoided both the Leafe and the Bond; yet in an Action of Debt brought thereupon, it was adjudged that neither of them were within either of those Statutes; for as to the Lease, that being made and duly confirmed before 13 Eliz. was good at Common Law; and then the Boud given for Enjoyment of fuch Lease, tho' it were given after 14 Eliz. yet it was neither within the Words nor Intent of that Statute, which extends only to Bonds given after that Statute, for Enjoyment of Leafes, contrary to 13 Eliz. cap. 20. which this Leafe, that was made before, cannot be faid to be; nor could the Successor himself avoid this Lease, and then the Bond given for the Enjoyment thereof cannot be unlawful.

Comp. Incumb. 361, 364.

Also the said Statute of 13 Eliz. cap. 20. extends only to avoid Leases for Non-refidence or Absence for above eighty Days in one Year, and the Statutes of 14 Eliz. cap. 11. and 43 Eliz. cap. 9. avoid only Bonds, Covenants, Promises and Judgments, made or given for Enjoyment of Ecclesiastical Livings or Benefices, become void for such Non-residence or Absence, and not where the Living, &c. became void by Death, Refignation or Deprivation, &c. which are Voidances at Common Law.

3 Bulf. 202. 1 Rel Rep. ver. Rudge.

Therefore where a Parlon covenanted with A, that he should have his Tithes for thirteen Years absolutely, without faying, if he should so long 493 Thomas live, and continue Incumbent, and afterwards, before the Expiration of the Term, refigned his Benefice, and fo became Abfent or Non-refident for above eighty Days; and the Successor, after Induction, ousted A. of the Tithes, upon which he brought an Action of Covenant against the first Parson, who pleaded the Statute of 14 Eliz. in Bar; but it was adjudged by Coke, Doddcridge and Haughton, that tho' this Leafe was void by the Refignation, yet the Action well lay upon the Covenants in the Leafe, for the 13 Eliz. avoids Leafes only where the Parfon becomes Absent or Non-resident for above eighty Days in a Year; and the 14 Eliz. as appears by the Preamble, intended only to avoid Bonds, Covenants and Promises, made or given for the Enjoyment of Ecclefiaftical Livings, or the Fruits thereof, upon Pretence that they were not Leases within the said Statute 13 Eliz. and enacts, that they shall be of fuch Force and Validity, and not otherwife, as Leafes by the same Perfons would have been, and fo extends to Avoidance thereof for Absence, or Non-residence, for above eighty Days only, as the other Act did the Leafes themselves; but this Resignation was an immediate Voidance of the Lease at Common Law, and an Action thereby attached in the Lessee, immediately for Breach of the Covenant, before the Avoidance, by Absence or Non-residence for above eighty Days, by Force of the Statute had incurred; and these Statutes did not intend to intermeddle with Avoidances at the Common Law, but left them as they were before, and by Confequence this Refignation, which defeated the Interest of the Lessee at Common Law, was a Breach of the Covenant, for which the Action well lay; fo they held if the Parson had died, or been deprived, &c. which would also in Consequence have defeated the Interest of the Lessee; yet an Action of Covenant would have well lain against him or his Executors, because the Covenant was absolute, and this Avoidance of his Interest was an Avoidance at the Common Law, and not by Force of either of these Statutes; and then at Common Law flich Lease or Covenant is good, and the Parson, at his Peril, is to take care that the Leafe or Covenant be made good according to his Agreement; as if Tenant for Life covenants that another shall enjoy his Lands for Twenty-one Years, and afterwards commits a

Forfeiture, yet he shall be bound by his Covenant.

But if a Parson makes a Lease for thirty or forty Years, if he so long Wheeler and Heydon, per live, with Covenants for Enjoyment thereof accordingly, this so qualifies Heydon, per live, with Covenants for Enjoyment thereof accordingly, this so qualifies Heydon, per live, with Covenants for Enjoyment thereof accordingly, this so qualifies the Lorse Heydon, per live and the covenants. the Leafe and Covenant, that tho' his Death will determine the Leafe, Haugiten. yet it will be no Breach of the Covenant; but yet by fuch Leafe and Covenant he takes upon him to do no other Act whereby to avoid the Leafe; therefore if he refigns, or otherwife voids the Living, an Action of Covenant will lie against him; but if this Clause were added, viz. and shall so long continue Parson, then this Clause leaves him at Liberty to avoid it by Refignation, Non-refidence, or otherwife, because it qualifies the Leafe to continue no longer than whilst he continues Parson, and in the mean Time leaves it in his Election how long or short a while that shall be.

A Clerk entered into an Obligation, the Condition of which was, that Moor 641. he being presented, instituted and inducted to a Benefice then void, Webb ver. should, upon Request of the Patron, resign; and he afterwards made Hargrave. a Leafe to the Patron, and then became absent for above eighty Days together, whereby the Lease became void; and then being requested by the Patron to refign, which he refused, the Patron brought an Action of Debt upon the Bond, to which the Defendant pleaded the Statutes of 13 & 14 Eliz. and that after his Induction he let the Leafe to his Patron the Plaintiff, and then was absent above eighty Days together, and averred that the Obligation was made for the enjoying of the Benefice let by the faid Leafe, and to the Intent to compel him not to avoid the Lease by Absence, for sear of being required to resign, and demanded Judgment, &c. upon which the Plaintiff demurred; and the whole Court held the Plea good, and the Averment to be very apt, because the Obligation being made generally to resign upon Request, might well be averred to be for this particular Purpose, and so void.

This Case fully proves, that the Bonds which have been attempted Cre. Eliz. So, and taken from Parfons upon making Leases, with Condition that they 49% should duly serve the Cure, and not be absent from their Benefice by the Space of eighty Days, when they appear, or can be averred to be given for Security of Leafes made by fuch Parfons, will be void within these Statutes, and no Recovery allowed thereupon; but Bonds, with Condition not to refign, or do any other Act which should cause an Avoidance at Common Law, tho' they are made for Security of fuch Leafes, yet they will be good and binding, unless the Parson can shew an Avoidance by Absence for above eighty Days, and also aver that the Bond was given to prevent such Avoidance; for otherwise, if the Lease becomes void by Refignation, or other voluntary Act of the Parson, (except such Absence for above eighty Days) the Bond is presently forfeited at Common Law; and the Statutes will no more relieve upon Account of any Absence after, than they would against a Covenant for that Purpose; but if such Bonds were given, with a Condition in the Disjunctive, not to be absent above eighty Days, nor to resign or do any other Act, which should cause an Avoidance of the Lease at Common Law, Quære, whether the whole Bond be absolutely void, or if it shall be good or bad, according as the Avoidance first happens to be either upon these Statutes or at Common Law.

A Parson let his Rectory for three Years, and covenanted that the 4 Leon. 38-9. Lessee should have and enjoy it during the said Term, without Expul- pl. 104. sion, or any Thing done or to be done by the Lessor, and was also Comp. Incumb. bound in an Obligation to the Lessee for Performance of Covenants, and bound in an Obligation to the Lessee for Performance of Covenants, and 364. afterwards, for not reading the Articles, was iplo facto deprived by the

Statute 13 Eliz. whereby the Leafe became void; yet it was the Opimon of all the Justices, that the Bond was not thereby forfeited, because the Lessee was not ousted by any Act done by the Lessor, but rather for a Nonfeasunce, and so out of the Compass of such Covenant; as if one be bound not to do any Waste, permissive Waste is not within the Danger of it; but otherwise it would have been, if the Lessor had covenanted not to omit the doing of any Thing whereby the Leafe fhould become void.

3 Bulf. 203. 364.

So if one be bound by Obligation to make fuel a Leafe for Twenty-Comp. In umb. one Years, this is good, and shall bind him; but then it feems, that if this Leafe becomes afterwards void for Non-residence, and the Bond be put in Suit, if it be averred that the Bond was given for Security of fuch Leafe against Non-residence, this will avoid the Bond also.

1 Bulf. 111. 364

If the Parfon's Leffee affigns over his Leafe to another, and the Parfon Comp. Incumb. be absent above eighty Days in a Year, the Lessee may also plead the Statutes of 13 & 14 Eliz. for the Avoiding of his own Affignment and Agreement with the Affignee, because if he affigned over no more than what the Parson demised to him, such Assignment must be subject to the fame Determination the original Lease itself was; and if that be determined, hc, who claims under the Parson, may as well shew it in Avoidance of his Assignment, as the Parson might in Avoidance of his own Leafe.

Cro. Eliz. 529-30 Lee co Ux' ver. Colebill.

It hath been held, that if a Parson makes a Lease for Years, which after becomes void by the Statutes for Non-refidence, and there is an Olligation for Performance of Covenants, altho' there be fome Covenants which do not concern the Lease comprized in the Indenture, yet is the Bond intirely void; otherwise all the Meaning of the Statute would be defrauded by putting in a lawful Covenant into the Indenture.

Comp. Incumb. 364. Degg 124.

Tho' the Statutes aforefaid make void Leafes, Bonds, &c. where the Parfon is Non-refident, and neglects to ferve the Cure for above eighty Days together, yet fuch Leases or Bonds, &e. are not void ab initio, but only from the Time that such Absence of eighty Days shall be compleated; for the Words of the Statute are, shall endure no longer but while the Lessor shall be ordinarily resident, (therefore so long it shall endure) and serve the Cure without Absence above eighty Days in one Year, but that every fuch Leafe, immediately upon fuch Absence, shall cease and Le void; therefore till fuch Absence of above eighty Days be accomplishcd, the Leafe is good and in Being.

Cro. El.z. 78. Wallis and Car. Cro Eliz. 245

Accordingly it hath been adjudged, that if fuch Leafe by Indenture be made, containing Covenants on the Lessor and Lessee's Part, and after by Absence for above eighty Days both the Lease and Covenants do become void; yet an Action of Covenant doth well lie for the Leffor or Lessee, for any Covenant broken before the End of the eighty Days Absence; but if he was absent for above eighty Days, tho' Part of the 5 Leon 102. Time incurred pending the Action, and before Plea pleaded, yet it is a fufficient Absence, and may be pleaded in Avoidance of the Lease.

Pyer 372.a b.

Therefore if in fuch Case an Action of Covenant be brought, the Defendant must not only plead the Statutes, which make the Lease and Covenants void, but must also plead the Performance of Covenants to the Time of the eighty Days Absence expired.

First.

Earl of Line taken not only to alledge the Absence or Non-residence fully, but also coln ver. Hof- that the Statutes be truly recited; therefore where the Statute of Eliz. was recited with this Clause, tam dia (where the Words are tam cito) quam, &c. aut aliqua pars inde venerit ad aliquam possessionem, vel usum inhilitum, vel, Ec. (which Words, by 14 Eliz. cap. 11. are repealed and appointed to be omitted) Judgment was given against the Party for this Mifrecital, without any Regard to the Matter in Law.

Tho' the Statute of 13 Eliz. cap. 20. doth allow a Parfon or Vicar that Comp. In.u. b hath Benefices to demife the one of them, upon which he shall not be 362ordinarily resident, to his Curate, yet it is thought from 14 Eliz. that 1 Leon 100 if fuch Curate leafe the fame over to another, tho' he himfelf is not Petit. absent above forty Days in any one Year, if the Incumbent or Parson be abfent above eighty Days in the fame Year, that this shall avoid the Curite's Leafe; because 14 Eliz. fays, that all Leafes, Bonds, &c. of Benefices and Ecclefiastical Livings with Cure to be made by any Curate thall be of no other nor better Force, Validity, or Continuance, than if the fime had been made by the beneficed Person himself that demised or shall demise the same to any Curate; yet by Tanseld, when a Parson leafeth to his Curate, who leafeth over, the Statute doth not make the Leafe void by any Absence of the Parlon; but of the Curate only by forty Days; for otherwise, as he held, the Intent of the Statute might be easily frustrated, which was, that he that served the Cure should be the Occupier of the Glebe and Tithes belonging to the Church, and none

But admitting that the Parson's Absence for above forty Days should Comp Incinit. not avoid the Curate's Lease, yet we must distinguish who shall be said a 362 fufficient Curate for that Purpose; and that is only one who is legally admitted by the Ordinary of the Place, according to the Laws of the Land; for otherwise he is no Curate, altho' he serves the Cure, and is resident; so that if the Parson should make a Lease of the Glebe and Tithes to fuch a nominal Curate, yet by the Parson's Absence for above eighty Days the Lease will be avoided; and If they should be sequestered; in this Cafe, according to the Statute, the Parson cannot plead that they are let to his Curate, because he is no Curate in Law, and his having a Cure there is an Offence against the Law, of which it is not reasonable

that either the Incumbent or Curate should take Advantage.

Note; It has been held, that a Parsonage may be a Manor; as if be- Comp. Incumb. fore the Statute Quia Emptores Terrarum, the Parson, with the Patron 362. and Ordinary, had granted Parcel of the Glebe to divers Persons, to 1 Rol. Rep. hold of the Parson by divers Services; this makes the Parsonage a Manor; 202, 203, and if the same he a Copyhold Manor, then notwithstanding all the Sta 4 Co. 23, 24. and if the same be a Copyhold Manor, then, notwithstanding all the Statutes before rehearfed, Parions and Vicars, as well as all other Ecclefiastical Persons, may grant Copies for Life, in Tail or in Fee, according to the Custom of the Manor: For the Copyholder, doth not derive his Estate out of the Estate or Interest of the Lord only, but from the Custom, and is faid to be in by Custom, without any Regard to the Person of the Grantor; and these Grants by Copy are good without the Confirmation of the Patron and Ordinary, and are not voided by Non-residence or Death, &c. of the Parlon; neither do any of the Statutes aforefaid extend or relate to Rectorics and Titles that are impropriated and become Lay-Fee, and remain in the Hands of Laymen, but that they may do with them as with any other Inheritance whereof they are feiled; but Appropriations in the Hands of B shops, Colleges, or other Ecclesiastical Perfons, are liable to the aforesaid Statutes and Rules, as other Inheritances whereof they are feifed; and so are Impropriations, if by Prefentation, &c. the Vicaridge be restored to the Church out of which it was endowed; for by fuch Presentation they are become for ever after presentable, and the Impropriation is destroyed.

In Debt upon Bond to perform Covenants in a Lease made by the De- 3 Leon. 102. fendant, the Parfon, to the Plaintiff, the Defendant pleads 14 Eliz. and Coxe's Cafe. Absence for above eighty Days, &c. and held a good Plea in Avoidance, &c. but then Exception was taken to the Pleading, because the Defendant fays, that the faid Church is a Parochial Church cum Cura Animarum, but does not fay, that it was so at the Time of the Lease and Obligation made; for it may be, that at the Time of the Lease there was a Vol. III. 5 C Vicar.

Vicar, and then it was not cum Cura Animarum; and upon that Exception

Judgment was given for the Plaintiff.

Godb. 29. p1. 38. Marrow's Cafe.

Debt upon a Bond with Condition to pay fuch a Sum, the Defendant pleads the Statute 13 Eliz. that all Covenants, Bonds, &c. made for the enjoying of Leases made of Spiritual Livings by Parsons, &c. should be void, and avers that this Bond was made for the enjoying of such a Lease; but because the Condition was expresly for Payment of Money, the Tustices held it clear for Law, that the Bond was good, and out of the Statute; and so by this Case it appears; that such Averment will not hold good against an express Condition to another Purpose; and this differs from Hargrave and Webb's Case, which was only to resign generally on Request, and therefore might well and consistently be averred to be to the Intent to compel, not to avoid the Lease by Absence; for fear of being required to resign.

# (G) Of the Consent of Consermation of others to Leases made by Ecclesiastical Persons: And herein,

1. Where Confirmation is necessary either in Respect of the Leases or Estates made, or of the Persons making the same.

S to this it is to be observed, that no Confirmation whatever of any A S to this it is to be objetived, that he Successor.

Lease or Estate made by Ecclesiastical Persons, not conformable to Co. Lit. 44,45 the eight Rules or Qualities before-mentioned, will bind the Successor, except only in the Case of the concurrent Lease; for that not being construed to be within the Restraint either of the 1 or 13 Eliz. remains as it did before at Common Law; and as at Common Law Confirmation was necessary to make such Lease good against the Successor, not being warranted by 32 H. 8. (unless the old Lease were surrendered or expired within one Year after the making of the new Lease,) so it is still, and with Confirmation will bind the Successor; this seems to be the chief, if not the only Use of Confirmation, as to any Persons allowed to make Leases within 32 H. 8. But there appears this Difference between concurrent Leases made by Archbishops or Bishops upon the 1 Eliz. and concurrent Leases made by other Ecclesiastical Persons on the 13 Eliz. for upon the I Eliz. the concurrent Lease is not restrained to any certain Time before the Expiration of the first Lease, but may be made three, four, five Years, or more, before the Expiration thereof, so that both Leases in the whole do not exceed twenty-one Years, upon the Construction before taken Notice of; that the second Lease is void, or at least good by Estoppel only, for so many Years as are then to come of the first Lease; but concurrent Leases to be made by any of the Ecclesiastical Persons within the Restraint of 13 Eliz. will not be good to bind the Successor, unless the former Lease for Years be surrendered or expired within three Years next after the making of such new Lease; but this is expresly provided for, not by the 13 Eliz. but by the 18 Eliz. as has already been

3 Co. 75. 10 Co. 60. a.

We are next to confider where Confirmation was necessary at the Common Law, and where it continues so at this Day, in respect of the Persons making any Leases or Grants of their Ecclesiastical Possessions: The Persons who were restrained by the Common Law from making any Leafes,

Leafes, Grants, or Estates to bind their Successors withour Confirmation, were only Sole Corporations, as Bishops, Abbats, Deans, Parsons, Vicars, Prebends, and fuch like; for Corporations Aggregate might make what Leafes they pleased, without Confirmation of any other Persons whatsoever; but the Prudence of the Common Law never thought fit to trust fuch Sole Corporations with any Alienation or Disposition of their Pos-fessions to bind their Successors, without the Concurrence and Confirma-tion of other Persons; and tho' Bishops and Abbats were construed to have the whole Estate and Right of the Land in themselves, which Parfons, Vicars, Prebends, and fuch like, had not, yet as to the binding their Successors they had no more Power than the others, without the Concurrence and Confirmation of the Persons substituted and appointed by Law for that Purpose.

And where fuch Sole Corporations make any concurrent Leafe upon Comp. In imb. the Statutes before-mentioned, the Law continues the same at this Day, 366. and they must be confirmed in the same Manner as any other Leases or Co. Lit. 44. Estates made by the same Persons must have been at the Common

So also Parsons, Vicars can make no Lease at this Day, tho' it be with Co. Lit. 44. b. Conformity to the eight Rules before-mentioned, to bind their Successors, Cro. Eliz. 18. without Confirmation of the same Persons who by Common Law were Comp. Incumb. required to confirm all Leases, Grants, or Estates made by them; for they are expresly excepted out of 32 H. 8. and consequently continued as they were at Common Law till 13 Eliz. imposed a total Restraint on them, as well as all other Ecclesiastical Persons, to make Leases to bind their Successors for any longer Term than twenty-one Years, or three Lives, and tho' by that Statute they are left at Liberty, as well as other Ecclefiastical Persons, to make such Leases, yet having no Ability by 32 H. 8. to make them folely, as other Sole Corporations had, therefore to make good even such Leases against their Successors, they must have the Confirmation of the same Persons, and in the same Manner, as they must have had at the Common Law before the making of any of those

The Grant of antient Offices belonging to Ecclesiastical Persons are not 10 Co. 60. within any of the Statutes before-mentioned, but remain as they did at Vide Tit. Common Law, and therefore may be granted with the antient Fee; but Offices. then all fuch Grants must be confirmed to bind the Successor, because they must have been so at the Common Law:

### 2: What Persons are to confirm such Leases of Chates, and in what Manner.

As to the Persons who are to confirm such Leases or Estates, we must take Notice, that this varies according to the Nature of the Persons who make such Leases, and the Nature of the Title of the Persons who are to confirm the fame.

Therefore if a Parson makes a Lease for three Lives, or twenty-one Callingood Years, or less, observing the Rule before-mentioned, this is to be con-Bro. Tit. firmed only by the Patron and Ordinary, and no Confirmation of the Leafes 64. Dean and Chapter is required thereto; for they have nothing to do with 1 Co. 153. that which the Bishop doth, as Ordinary, in the Life-time of the Bishop.

But if the Bishop be Patron of the Church in Right of his Bishoprick, Br. Tit. and also Ordinary, then the Dean and Chapter ought likewise to confirm Leafes 64. all Leases made by the Parson, because in such Case the Advowson of Co. Lit 300 5. the Church is Parcel of the Bishoprick, which he cannot charge to bind his Successor without the Concurrence and Confirmation of the Dean

and Chapter; and how far the Successor of the Parson will be bound in

fuch Case, will appear hereafter.

Dyer :39. Bent. So. Tucker.

So where a Priest in the Cathedral Church of Hells being Parson Imparsonee of the Church of H. made a Lease by Indenture for 100 Years Hodges versus before 13 Eliz. rendering Rent to him and his Successors; and this was confirmed by the Dean and Chapter only, without any Confirmation of the Bishop, who was Patron and Ordinary; then the Parson died, and his Successor accepted the Rent, and after, before 13 Eliz. made a Lesse for forty Years, which was confirmed by the Bishop, Dean and Chapter; and it was adjudged, that the first Lease was ipso facto void and determined by the Death of the Parson who made it, so that no Acceptance of the Rent by the Successor after could make it good, for Want of the Patron and Ordinary's Confent.

Dyer 61. b. Plow. 529. I Rol. Abr. 4S1.

So where a Prebendary in a Cathedral Church, or an Archdeacon, 106. b. 240.4. made a Lease for Years of Parcel of their Possessions, to which Confirmation was requifite, and this was confirmed only by the Dean and Chapter, without any Confirmation of the Bishop; it was held, this Lease should Co. Lit. 300. b. not bind the succeeding Prebendary or Archdeacon; because the Bishop is Patron and Ordinary of every Prebend, and may be so of an Archdeaconry; and therefore to make good Leafes by them against their Successors, the Bishop's Confirmation ought likewise to be had, as well as

the Dean and Chapter's.

Dyer 356. a.b. 1 Leon. 235. Co. Lit. 329. I Rol. Abr. 2 Bulf. 290. 11 H. 6. 9.

But upon the Books there feems a manifest Diversity between the Confirmation of the Bishop, as Patron and Ordinary, without Confirmation likewife of the Dean and Chapter, and their Confirmation without the Bishop's; as also between the Resignation, Deprivation, or Translation, and the Death of the Bishop, who so alone confirmed as Patron or Ordinary; for if any Dean, Archdeacon, Prebendary, Parson, or Vicar had made any Lease for Years at the Common Law, or should make fuch Lease at this Day, whereto Confirmation is requisite, and the Bishop, as Patron and Ordinary, confirms such Lease, without any Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, and then the Dean, Archdeacon, Frebendary, Parson, or Vicar dies, or is removed, and the Bishop collates another as Patron and Ordinary; yet cannot such Incumbent avoid the first Lease, tho' it was not confirmed by the Dean and Chapter; because he came in purely by the Collation of the Bishop, as Patron and Ordinary, without any Aid or Concurrence from the Dean and Chapter; and therefore, as Lit. feet. 648. Littleton fays, ought to hold himself content, and agree to that which his Co. Lit. 343. b. Patron and Ordinary have done, for he comes in subsequent to such Charge: But, as appears by the Cases before put, the Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter alone, without the Bishop's Confirmation likewise, will not be effectual to bind the succeeding Archdeacon, Prebendary, Parson, Vicar, &c. because he derives no Title under them, nor comes in with their Affent or Concurrence; for they have nothing to do with the Collation of any Person, but the Bishop does it absolutely, and in Virtue of his own Fower as Patron and Ordinary; and therefore if such Leases

want his Confirmation, those who come under him may avoid them, notwithstanding any Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, under whom they derive no Title; but because such Advowson or Right of Collation is also Parcel of the Possessions of the Bishoprick, and to bind the succeeding Bishop, the Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter is requisite; as in all other Cases where the Bishop, who is a Sole Corporation, makes any Disposition of the Possessions of his Bishoprick; therefore, without fuch Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, the succeeding Bishop; or his Incumbent, shall avoid such Lease: But here another Diversity arises between the Translation, Resignation, or Deprivation of the Bishop, and his Death. In the first Case it is held, that the Leases confirmed by him alone, without the Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, will bind the

fucceeding

mation 21,30.

fucceeding Bishop, and his Incumbent, during his Life; but in case of fuch Bishop's Death, such Leases so confirmed by him alone, as Patron and Ordinary, will not bind the fucceeding Bishop, or his Incumbent; and a Diverfity is taken where a Bishop, &c. makes any Estate, Lease, Grant of a Rent-charge, Warranty, or any other Act which may tend to the Diminution of the Revenues, which should maintain the Successor, there the Refignation, Deprivation, or Translation of the Bishop, &c. is all one with his Death; but where the Bishop is Patron and Ordinary, and confirmeth a Leafe made by the Parfon without the Dean and Chapter, and after the Parson dieth, and the Bishop collateth another, and then is deprived, translated, or refigns, yet his Confirmation remaineth good; for, fays my Lord Coke, the Revenues that are to maintain the Successor are not thereby diminished; but this seems a very precarious Reason; and a better Reason of the Diversity seems to be this, that when the Bishop, as Patron and Ordinary, has by Deed under his Hand and Seal subscribed his Confirmation of the Lease, this ought to be binding upon him, at least during his own Life; and therefore tho' he be afterwards translated, deprived, or resigns, yet since these are either by his own immediate Acts, or occasioned by his Default, it is not reasonable they should be allowed to avoid or derogate from his own Acts, which otherwise would have bound during his Life; for the Law never permits any to avoid or derogate from his own Acts; but these Reasons have no Place after the Bishop's Death, for then his Confirmation is at an End, and can be no longer binding on his Successor, since he had no Power to charge the Possessions of the Bishoprick any longer than during his own Life, without Concurrence and Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, who are by Law substituted and appointed to that Purpose.

But yet it is most adviseable to have the Confirmation likewise of the Dyer 106. b. Dean and Chapter upon fuch Leafes made, and in feveral Books their 221. b. Confirmation is either pleaded or admitted, fince without it the Leafe Plow. 528. cannot bind any longer than during the Bibbook Tife who for the Bro. Tit. cannot bind any longer than during the Bishop's Life, who so con- Leafes 64. Tir. Confir-

firmed it.

In some Cases the Confirmation of the Patron is necessary, and in some not; wherein this Diversity is taken in the Books, that such Sole Corporations, who have not the absolute Fce and Inheritance in them, as Prebends, Parsons, Vicars, and such like, if they make any Leases or Estates there to bind their Successors, the Patron must confirm the same; but such Sole Corporations who have the whole Estate and Right in them, as Bishops, Abbats, &c. or such Corporations Aggregate who have the whole Fee and Inheritance in them, as Dean and Chapter, Master, Fellows, and Scholars of any College, Hospital, &c. these may make Leases to bind their Successors, without any Confirmation of the Patron or Founder, tho' the Bishop, Abbat, Dean, Master, &c. were presentable; and the Reason of this Diversity appears in the Nature of the Right with which each is invested.

But if a Parsonage or Vicaridge be a Donative, then the Confirmation 1 Rol. Abr. of the Patron alone is sufficient to all Leases, &c. made by the Parson or 481. Vicar, and shall bind the Successor without the Confirmation of any Dyer 273-

other.

If there be a Patron paramount, as well as an immediate Patron, Con- Co. Lit. 300. E. firmation of the immediate Patron, without the other's Confirmation, is Comp. Incumb. not good; as if a Parson be Patron of the Vicaridge of the same Church, 372. and the Vicar makes a Leafe, confirmed by the Parson and Ordinary, this is not good without the Confirmation of the Patron of the Rectory also, because both have an Interest in the Possessions of the Vicaridge.

If the Bishop of A. be Patron of the Church, Presentative of B. which Rod. Abr. lies within his Diocese, and this is the Corps of a Prebend in the Church 479.

Hallier. Cro Eliz. 587. Dr. Herbert and Munday. 1 Sid. 57. 1 Keb. 280 Gie and Rider. Vol. III. 5 D

of  $\mathcal{A}$  and the Bishop of  $\mathcal{A}$  is also Patron of the Church of  $\mathcal{C}$ , which is also Presentative, and lies in the Diocese of the Church of  $\mathcal{D}$  and afterwards the Church of  $\mathcal{C}$  is lawfully annexed and united by the Assent of the Bishops, Deans and Chapters, of both Dioceses, to the said Prebend of  $\mathcal{B}$  and afterwards the Bishop of  $\mathcal{A}$  doth collate  $\mathcal{F}$ .  $\mathcal{S}$  to the said Prebend, which now by the Union doth consist of both Churches, and doth instal him in the Cathedral Church of  $\mathcal{A}$  and then the Prebendary makes a Lease for Years, which is confirmed by the Bishop, Dean and Chapter of  $\mathcal{A}$  and not by the Bishop of  $\mathcal{B}$  yet this is a good Confirmation, for by the Union the Bishop of  $\mathcal{D}$  hath annexed the Church of  $\mathcal{C}$  to the Prebend of  $\mathcal{B}$  and so hath deprived himself of the Power of Confirmation as Ordinary; for after the Union, the Prebendary is invested in both Churches by his Instalment, without any other Presentment, Admission, Institution or Induction to the Church of  $\mathcal{B}$ . or  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Comp. Incumb. 370.

Dyer 40. b. 273. a. b. 349. pl. 18. Plow. 538. 1 Rol. Abr. 478, 481. Degg 120. F. N. B. 194.

3 Co. 75. b. 17 E. 3 40. Regist. Orig. 230.

Comp. Incumb. 371.

Dyer 373.
Wallround
and Pallard.
Rol. Abr.
428, 481

If the Dean of any Cathedral Church makes a Lease or Grant of any of his Possessions, whereof he is sole seised, to bind his Successors, and Confirmation be necessary thereto, this must be confirmed by the Bishop and Chapter of the same Church, and not by the King, altho' he be the Patron of fuch Deanery; because, as hath been said, the Dean and Chapter have the whole Fee and Inheritance in themselves, and then the Patron's Concurrence or Confirmation is not necessary; but it feems to be a Doubt, whether the Confirmation of the Bishop be necessary to fuch Grant or Leafe; and feveral Books feem to hold, that the Confirmation of the Chapter alone, without the Bishop, is sufficient to make good the Dean's Leafes or Grants that need Confirmation; but yet it is laid down as a Rule in the Parson's Counsellor, that the Bishop's Confirmation, as well as the Chapter's, is necessary to all Leases and Grants made by the Dean; and what is faid by Fitz. that the Bishop and Chapter are in Law looked upon but as one Body, feems also to Favour this Opinion; for it is reasonable that the whole Body should consent to the Granting of their Possessions, and not that the Bishop, who is the Head of the Body, should be unconcerned therein; also the Possessions of the Dean are faid to be derived from and carved out of the Bishoprick, and the Bishop de Jure is said to be Patron of the Deanery, which are all strong Arguments to prove the Bishop's Confirmation necessary, tho' no Book Cafe can be found expresly to warrant it, but rather the contrary, as appears by the Cafes first cited, wherein no Notice is taken of the Bishop's Confirmation, or that it was necessary; ideo Quere.

But if such Deanery be meerly Donative, then the King's Consent and Confirmation, as Patron, must be obtained, and that without the Bishop's Confirmation is sufficient, as in all other Donatives, wherewith the Bishop has nothing to do.

The Dean of Wells might antiently have passed his Possessions belonging to his Deanery, with the Affent of the Chapter, without the Bishop's Confirmation; and after this Deanery of Wells was furrendered by the Dean thereof, with all the Possessions thereunto belonging, and so disfolved by Act of Parliament; this Diffolution was confirmed and a new Deanery erected, and the Nomination of a new Dean, and his Successors, given to the King and his Successors; and it was thereby also enacted, that the Dean and his Successors might demise, grant or part with any of their Possessions, in the same Manner and Form as the antient Deans might and used to do; in this Case, if the new Dean made any Lease or Grant of any of his Possessions, the Bishop's Confirmation is not necessary thereto, but only the Chapter's, because that alone was sufficient before; neither is the Confirmation of the King requifite, because this is not a meer Donative of the King, tho' he hath the Nomination of the Dean; and by the Statute the new Deanery is made of the same Nature as the old one was, which could not be a Donative, because the

Dean and Chapter might, without the Confent or Confirmation of any others, have paffed away their Poffessions.

It has already been shewn, that all Leases or Grants made by Arch- 3 Co. 75. bishops or Bishops, whereto Confirmation is necessary, are to be con- 10 Co. 60. a. firmed by the Dean and Chapter; for the Law, not thinking fit to trust 2 Co. 39. the Bishop alone with the Disposition of his Possessions to bind his Succeffors, did for that Reason (amongst others) constitute the Dean and Chapter to give their Confent and Confirmation to all Leafes or Grants made by him to bind his Succeffors.

But if a Bishop hath two Chapters, and makes a Lease of any of the Dyer 58. a. E. Possessions of his Bishoprick, whereto Confirmation is necessary, and this 282. b. is confirmed only by one Dean and Chapter, this will not bind the Ney 94. Successor of the Bishop, for both are but one in respect of the Bishop, if Co. Lit. 301. the Bishop is chosen by both. So it is if a Bishop be Patron of an Ad-477. vowson in Right of his Bishoprick, and collates a Clerk, who makes a Leon. 234. Lease for Years, and the Bishop and one Dean and Chapter only confirms it, this will not bind the succeeding Clerk of the succeeding Bishop, for want of Confirmation by the other Dean and Chapter; but the of Litchfield both Deans and Chapters have used to confirm such Leases, yet if one and Coventry's Dean and Chapter have surrendered their Possessions to the King, and Case. then the Bishop, or his Clerk, make a Lease, whereto Confirmation is Latch 237. necessary, and this is confirmed by the remaining Dean and Chapter only; yet this is good, and shall bind the Successor, because by the Surrender the one Dean and Chapter is dissolved, and are as if they never had been; and altho' after fuch Surrender, the Dean and Chapter, who so furrendered, were again erected, yet Confirmation by the other would be fufficient; as was held by the greater Part of the Justices in Ireland, and by five Justices in England, who certified their Opinion to be so into Ireland.

If two Bishopricks, that were originally distinct, be by lawful Au- 12 Co. 71. thority united, and the Usage hath been ever since the Union, that the feveral Deans and Chapters have made Confirmations feverally, viz. each Dean and Chapter of the Leases or Grants of the Possessions of their respective Bishoprick, but the Charter of Union is not extant, or cannot be found; fuch feveral Confirmation is good, because it shall be intended, by reason of the Usage, that the Union was made Spiritually, and in fuch a Manner, that notwithstanding the same, that all Leases and Grants should severally be confirmed as they were before the Union; and this either to prevent Confusion, .or by reason of the Remoteness of the feveral Deancries; and then Modus & conventio vincunt Legem, and fuch Confirmation by one Dean and Chapter, of their own original Poffessions, is good; secus if the Union were made generally, for then both ought to confirm.

If a Bishop hath no Dean and Chapter, then his Grants are to be Dav. I. confirmed by the Clergy of his Diocefe, where Confirmation is necessary; 1 Rol. Abr. for the Law will not trust any sole Corporation with the Disposition 477. of his Possessions, as hath been before observed.

Whenever a Dean and Chapter are to confirm any Lease or Grant, Comp. Incumb. the Dean himself must join with the Chapter, and Confirmation by his 367. Subdean, Deputy or Proctor, will not be fufficient; for they have no Power to charge the Possessions of the Church, neither is any Stranger capable of being a Dean, Substitute or Proctor, but only one of the Chapter.

Therefore, where upon a Composition for Tithes, a Parson granted 11 H. 4. 84. an Annuity to the Abbey of Battel, and this Grant was confirmed by Bro. Tit. Corthe Bishop, Dean and Chapter, being Patrons; but in the Deed of poration 17. Confirmation it appeared that the Dean was absent, and did not put his Palm. 461, Seal thereto, but that the Chanter, who was his Commissary, did it for Latch 237. him; and there it was held, that the' the Dean might have a Commissary

or Deputy to exercise his Spiritual Jurisdiction, yet that such Deputy or Commissary cannot charge the Possessions of the Church.

Dyer 233. b. Comp. Incumb 308. Latch 251. Palm. 480.

A Leafe was made by the Free Chapel and College of Windfor under the common Seal, but the Dean or Warden himself was not Party to the Leafe, but one who was his Deputy in his Absence; and upon a Suit in Chancery, to fet aside the Lease, a Statute of the College was shewn for the Authority of the Deputy to exercise and perform the Office of Dean in all Things in Person', & Collegium, &c. yet the Judges held, that the Confirmation by the Deputy was not good, for that he had no Authority to confirm this Lease by the College Statute provided; for that by the Word Collegium, all the Possessinos of the College were not to be understood, but only the Site and Circuit of the College, or Place of its Situation; which Case seems to prove, that if by the Statutes of a Church or College, the Deputy Dean may confirm Grants, and join in the making of Leafes, as if the Dean himself was present, and joined therein, that then such Confirmation will be good; for the Founder or Patron may make what Laws he pleases for the Regulation of the Corporation, and when he has invested the Deputy-Dean with fuch Power, this has the same Sanction that any other Laws for the Regulation of that Corporation have.

Noy 94. Palm. 460, 480. Latch 237, 250. I Jon. 158,

As a Deputy-Dean, generally speaking, cannot confirm Leases, so neither can he who is but a meer Commendatory Dean, viz. a Dean by Recipere in Commendam; for the he may take the Profits, because that was one End of his having the Deanery in Commendam, and may, with the Chapter, chuse a Bishop, and also exercise Spiritual Jurisdiction, and fue or be fued by that Name, because those Acts are of Necessity, and for the Advantage of the Deanery; yet cannot he confirm Leases, for this is meerly a voluntary Act, and such Commendatory Dean is but Depositarius, and not a Dean compleat; but if a Dean be elected Bishop, and before his Confectation obtains a Dispensation to hold his Deanery in Commendam, fuch Dean may well confirm Leafes, &c. and if he be translated to another Bishoprick, and after his Election, and before Confectation, obtains a Dispensation to hold the same Deanery in Commendam with his fecond Bishoprick, his old Title remains; and Confirmations, and other Acts done by him as Dean, are as good in Law as if he had never been made Bishop; for there is a great Difference between a Recipere in Commendam, and Retinere in Commendam; the one comes in purely by Virtue of the Dispensation, and has no other Title; the other comes in legally at first as Dean, and by Virtue of the Dispenfation is only enabled to continue fo still, for that gives him no original new Title, as in the other Cafe, and therefore he is as much Dean as he was before; and the fame Distinction holds between Recipere and Retinere in Commendam, in Case of Bishops; for a meer Commendatory Bishop in the Recipere cannot confirm Leases, &c. but in such Case the Archbishop is to do it; also the Guardian of the Spiritualties cannot confirm Leafes; for such Confirmation, being a meer voluntary Act, and being to transfer a Right to another, none are capable of it but those who have the Estate and Right in themselves, which such Commendators in the Recipere, Substitutes, Rectors, Deputies, and Guardians of the Spiritualties have not.

Where there is a meer Commendatory Dean in the Recipere; Quere, whether the Bishop's Leases and Grants are not to be confirmed by the Clergy of the Diocese, in Case where there is no Dean and Chapter, or

by whom else.

Yorif. Incumb. 308.

All Leafes or Grants, which need Confirmation of a Dean and Chapter, are to be confirmed by the Dean and major Part of the Corporation, and being so confirmed are good, the several of the particular Members differt, or are not present; for the Dean and major Part of the Chapter make the Corporation, and the others have no Negative Voice to hinder

fuch

such Majority from doing any Corporate Act; for otherwise, by the Corruption or Perverseness of one or two Members, the whole Corporation might fuffer; and that this was the Law, appears by the following Act of Parliament.

6 Albeit that by the Common Laws of this Realm of England, all 33 H. 8, sap-Assents, Elections, Grants and Leases, had, made and granted, by the Dean, Warden, Provost, Master, President, or other Governor of any Cathedral Church, Hospital, College, or other Corporation, by what-

foever Name they be incorporated or founded, with the Assent and Consent of the more or greater Part of their Chapter, Fellows or

Brethren of such Corporation, having Voices of Affent thereunto, be as good and effectual in the Law, to the Grantees or Lessees of the

same, as if the Residue of the whole Number of such Chapter, Fellows and Brethren of fuch Corporation, having Voices of Assent, had thereunto confented and agreed; yet the said Common Law, notwith-

standing divers Founders of such Deaneries, Hospitals, Colleges and

Corporations, within the faid Realm, have, upon the Foundations and Establishment of the same Deaneries, Hospitals, Colleges, and

other Corporations, established and made, amongst other their peculiar Acts, local Statutes and Ordinances, that if any one of fuch Corpora-

tion, having Power and Authority to affent or diffent, should and would deny any such Grant or Grants, that then no such Lease, Elec-

tion or Grant, should be had, or leased or granted; and for the Per-

formance of the same have been, and be daily thereunto sworn; and so the Residue may not proceed to the Persection of such Elections,

Grants and Leafes, according to the Course of the Common Laws of

this Realm, unless they should incur the Danger of Perjury; for the avoiding whereof, and for the due Execution of the Common Law

univerfally within this Realm, and every Place, in one Conformity of

Reason to be used, be it Ordained, Established and Enacted, by the Authority of this present Parliament, That all and every particular Act,

Order, Rule and Statute, heretofore made, or hereafter to be made, 6 by any Founder or Founders of any Hospital, College, Deanery or

Corporation, at or upon the Foundation of any fuch Hospital, College,

Deanery or Corporation, whereby the Grant, Leafe, Gift or Election,

of the Governor or Ruler of fuch Hospital, College, Deanery or Cors poration, as have or shall have Voice or Assent to the same, at the

Time of such Grant, Lease, Gift or Election, hereafter to be made,

flould be in any wife hindered, or let by any one, or more, being the 6 leffer Number of such Corporations, contrary to the Form, Order and

5 Course of the Common Law of this Realm of England, shall be from

henceforth clearly frustrate, void, and of none Effect, with an Abroga-

s tion of all Oaths heretofore taken to fuch Effect, and a Penalty of 51.

on any Person who should for the future give such Oath.

When the Dean and Chapter are to confirm any Lease, there ought not only to be a Majority of them, but they ought also to be personally present, and Capitulariter Congregati in one Place; which, with other Circumstances relating to the Manner of their Confirmation, will appear

by the following Cafe, which was thus: The Bishop of Fornes makes a Lease for Years, the Chapter consisting Dav. 42, 43, of eleven Persons, viz. the Dean and ten Prebends, confirm it in this &c. Dean Manner, viz. the Dean makes one J. S. a meer Layman, his Proctor or and Chapter Substitute, to give his Assent to all Leases and Grants; this Proctor, and Case. three of the Prebends only meet together, and fix the Chapter-Seal to Dyer 145. the Confirmation of this Lease, which Confirmation was made in the 1 Rol. Abr. Name of the Dean and Chapter; after that three others of the Prebends, 479. at several Days, by themselves, subscribe their Names to the said Confirmation; and after the Death of the Bishop, his Successor enters upon the Lessee; and it was adjudged lawful, for that the Lease was void 5 E

after the Death of the Bishop who made it, for want of Confirmation; 1. Because no Confirmation was made by the Dean himself, but only by the Proclor, which was not fufficient; for he was meerly a Stranger to the Chapter, and not capable of such Procuration; and therefore all he did is void both by the Canon and Common Law; for in the Canon Law the Rule is, Absens non potest demandare Votum suum, nisi uni de Capitulo; and there is another Rule, Oportet quod Procurator semper institutus sit de Collegio; and another, Votum dari non potest per Literas; and agreeable to this is the Rule of the Common Law; for in the Parliament the Peers may give their Vote by Procurator or Proxy, but their Proctors must be Barons, and Members of the same House; and a Stranger is not capable of being a Proxy; and admitting he were, yet where a Corporation passes any Interest, the Members thereof cannot give their Assent by Proctors or Substitutes; and so the Doubt in Dyer seems to be resolved.

Dyer 145.

Dav. 47, 48,  $\mathfrak{S}_{\ell}$ Show Par. Cases 29.

2. It was adjudged, that the the Deed of a Corporation needs no Delivery, as the Deed of a natural Person does, but that the Fixing of the 2 Rol. Abr. 23. Corporation Seal gives Perfection to it, yet the major Part of the Corporation ought to be present when the Seal is so affixed; for the major Part of the Chapter make the Corporation, and their Act is the Act of the Corporation, tho' the others do not agree; but here was only the Proctor of the Dean and three of the Chapter present when the Seal was affixed, which is not sufficient; for there ought then to be a Majority present, otherwise it may be said to be cum Assensu, but not Consensu, and it ought to be cum Affensu & Consensu of the Dean and Chapter; for no more than a Body Natural can do any perfect Act, if it be difmembered, the Head in one Place, and the Hands in another, no more can a Body Politick; and therefore they ought to be Capitulariter congregati in a certain Place; tho' it was agreed, they are not confined to meet in their Chapter-House, but might meet at any other Place; but at such Meeting and Scaling there ought to be a Majority then present; for if they fet their Names at feveral Times, and in several Places, after, this makes it not to be the Act of the Corporation, but Factum Singulorum in their fingular and private Capacity, and fo shall not bind; also it was held, that the major Part of the Members being assembled, ought to give their Voices and Confents fingly and distinctly, and not in a confused and uncertain Manner, as in the Choice of Knights of the Shire; and when the major Part doth so consent, their Consent ought to be expressed by their fixing of the Seal to the Deed of Confirmation or other Grant.

Dyer 282. b. in Margin.

The Corporation of the Mayor, Bailiffs, and Burgesses of Windsor made a Leafe for Years, one Bailiff only affenting; and this was held a void Lease, if there were two Bailiss, because then one is not a Majority; but as to the Burgesses, it was held, that if the greater Part of them affented, this would be sufficient, tho' they were not present at the Sealing, if their Assent was had before; but Quere; for the foregoing Case seems to be an Authority that there must be a Majority present at the Time of the Sealing; for that is the Act which expresses their Consent; and unless there be a Majority then present, no Assent at any other Time can make that good, which, for Want of a Majority, was void when it was done; but in that Case it appears, that the Consent of the Majority was not had till after the Sealing; whereas in this Case the Consent of the Majority was before the Sealing, tho' fuch Majority was not present at the Sealing; and therefore Quære if this makes any Difference.

Chafin's Case. Plow. 199. I Rol. Abr. 478. S H. 7. 7, S. 2 Leon. 176. 4 Leon. II.

But here a material Difference is to be observed between a real Interest and a bare Authority or Power only, as to the Manner of concurring in fuch Leases; for if a Dean be seised of Lands in Right of him and his Chapter, or a Master or Warden of an Hospital or College in Right of himself and the Brothers and Sisters or Fellows of the same College, or a Mayor in Right of himself and the Commonalty, and the Dean, Master, Clerk's Cusc. Bro. Tit. Confirmation 30. Tit. Faits (45.) Co. Lit. 300, 346. Godb. 210. Ireland and Barker-

Warden,

Warden, or Mayor make a Lease by Indenture between the Dean and Chapter, Master or Warden, and the Brothers and Sisters or Fellows of the fame Hospital or College, or between the Mayor and Commonalty of the one Part, and J. S. of the other, whereby the Dean with the Affent and Confent of the Chapter, or the Master with the Affent of the Brothers and Sisters, or the Warden with the Affent of the Fellows and Scholars, or the Mayor with the Affent of the Commonalty, leafe fuch Lands to J. S. and with such Assent or Consent put thereto their Common Seal, this is a void Lease; for the Chapter, Brothers and Sisters, Fellows and Scholars, or Commonalty are equally feifed, and have an equal Right and Interest in the Lands with the Dean, Master, Warden, or Mayor, and therefore ought to join in the Leafing or Granting Part of the Deed, and not only to give their Assent; for they all make but one Person in Law; and a Body cannot be distinct, so as that one Part may affent to the Acts of the other; but if the Dean were fole seised of the Lands in Right of his Deancry, the Master or Warden in Right of their Master or Wardenship, or the Mayor in Right of his Mayoralty, then the Lease of the Dean, Master, Warden, or Mayor alone, with the Assent and Consent of the other Persons before-mentioned, is sufficient; because the Dean, Master, Warden, or Mayor only are seised and have a real Interest, and the other Persons before-mentioned have no Interest at all, but only a bare Right or Power of affenting to the Leafes or Grants of their respective Heads, and therefore their Assent or Consent is sufficient, without joining in the Leasing or Granting Part; so if an Abbat or Prior be seised of Lands in Right of the Abby or Priory, yet because the Monks are all dead Persons in Law, and not capable of having any Lands, of being impleaded, and such like Acts, therefore the they, together with the Abbat or Prior, constitute and make up but one Body, yet the Abbat or Prior only have the Power of Leafing, and the Affent or Confent of the Convent must be had and expressed by affixing their Common Seal, in the same Manner as where the Chapter, having no Interest in their own Right, are to assent to the Leases of their Dean; so likewise where a Parson makes a Lease for Years, he only is to grant or lease the Lands, and the Patron and Ordinary are only to give their Confent by affixing their respective Seals, and expressing their Consent or Assent in the Body of the Deed; for the Parson is the principal Grantor, and the others have not any real Interest in the Lands, tho' the Law has thought fit to require their Affent to all Leafes or Estates to be made by the Parson.

A Dean, seised of Lands in Right of him and his Chapter, made a pyer 40. b. Lease for Years, the Chapter confirmed this Lease by a distinct Decd, in Margin. and it was held not good; because their Deeds being severed cannot operate at all, fince they are but one intire Body, and therefore cannot fever in their Acts; but if after such Lease they had all joined in a Confirmation, this had amounted to a new Leafe, and been good as to the joint Act of them all, as the original Lease itself would have been, if all

had joined in the Leasing Part.

A Lease for Years was made in this Manner; Præpositus, Socii, & Scho- 1 Leon. 134. lares Collegii Reginalis in Oxonia, Gardianus Hospitalis, &c. and Excep- 4 Leon. 85. tion taken that it ought to have been Guardiani, in the Plural Number, Provost of Queen's Colfor the College consists of many Persons, and each of them is capable, lege, Oxon. and therefore not like an Abbat or Convent; but per Curiam it was held good, and the College is but one Body, and as one Person, and therefore Guardianus is sufficient to describe it by.

As a Patron may confirm explicitly by his Deed or Writing, fo may 5 Co. 15. he also confirm by Consequence of Law; for if a Person makes a Lease Newcomb's for Years to the Patron, who grants or affigns it over to another, this Cafe. amounts to a Confirmation in Law by the Patron; because a Confirmation in Law by the Patron in Confirmation in Confi tion being nothing but an Affent under the Hand and Seal of the Party confirming, fuch Affent in this Cafe fufficiently appears by his affigning over the Leafe to another; but without fuch Assignment, the Ordinary's Confirmation will not make good the Leafe to the Patron to bind the Successor, because in the Acceptance of the Lease the Patron was only passive, and executed nothing under his Hand and Seal which could amount to a Confirmation, as in the other Case, where he makes an actual Affignment over; but in Cafe of fuch Confirmation in Law, the Patron ought to be absolutely scifed of the Advowson; otherwise it will bind only according to the Estate he hath therein, as will appear hereafter; but Quere, if the Affignment in this Case were without Writing, if that would be good, or could amount to a Confirmation.

Another Difference observable in the Manner of confirming such Leases

Dyer 52, 338. 5 Co. St. Co. Lit. 297. Aloor 479, 4S1. Co. Lit. 300. Bendl. 23S. 1 And. 47. Hetley 75.

Cro. Eliz 447, as we are treating of, is, as to their Duration or Continuance; for if a Parson makes a Lease for twenty-one Years at this Day, and the Patron and Ordinary confirm his Estate therein for seven Years, or, reciting the Lease, confirm Dimissionem prædis?, & etiam Indenturam eidem scripto Confirmationis annexam, & omnia in eadem content', quoad septem Annes solummodo, & non ultra, yet is the Estate or Lease well confirmed for the twenty-one Years; for when they confirm the Estate of the Lessee, that is intire, and cannot be divided: So where a Prebendary made a Leafe of a Rectory, Parcel of his Prebend, for seventy Years before the Statutes, and the Bishop, reciting the Demise, confirmed the said Demise or Lease for fifty Years, and no more, and the Dean and Chapter likewife confirmed the same in the same Manner, it was held by all the Justices, that they might confirm severally, and that their Confirmation was extendible to the whole feventy Years; for when they confirm Dimiffionem prædict', they confirm that Demise or Lease, which comprehends and includes the whole Term of seventy Years, and then the Words pro Termino fifty only, & non ultra, come too late, and are repugnant to the Confirmation of Dimissionem pradict, which included the whole Term of feventy Years; but it was agreed, that if after fuch Recital of the Demise they had confirmed the Land to the Lessee for fifty Years only, this had been a good Confirmation for fifty Years only, and no fuch Repugnancy in the Confirmation; and so if the Demise had been of thirty Acres, they might have confirmed the Leafe as to one or more Acres, or might have confirmed all, or Part, on Condition; and a Diversity was taken between a bare Assent without any Right or Interest, and an Affent coupled with a Right or Interest; for the Termor, who is F. N. B 49: to perfect an Act by his Attornment, cannot affent for a Time, nor upon Co.Lit 343. b. Condition, nor for Part of the Thing granted, but it shall enure absolutely to all; because he having but a bare Right cannot qualify or apportion it; but the Bishop, who is Patron, and the Dean and Chapter, have an Interest in the Parsonage or Prebend, and every Part of it; for the Patron hath Jus conferendi; and a Release to the Patron of an Annuity in the Time of Vacation is good, and the Patron and Ordinary may charge the Glebe in the Time of Vacation, and therefore having an Affent cloathed with an Interest may qualify it as they please: Another Difference was taken in the Cases before-mentioned between a Lease for Years and an Estate of Freehold or Inheritance; for if a Parson or Prebendary make a Leafe for Years, Confirmation may be made of the Land, as has been faid, for a lesser Number of Years, or of the Lease for a leffer Number of Acres; for the Years or Acres are feveral, altho' the Lease or Term, or Land, are one; so that if a Lease be made for five Years, rendering 201. per Annum Rent, the Years are feveral, fo that an Action of Debt will lie for the Rent every Year; but if a Parson or Prebendary before the Statutes had made a Lease for Life, a Gift in Tail, or a Feoffment in Fee, and Confirmation had been made of the Land to the Lessee, Donee, or Feoffee for an Hour, this is good for

Cro. Eliz. 19. 21 H 7.41. ever, for the Freehold or Inheritance passing by one and the same Livery is intire, and then the Confirmation, which is an Act of lefs Notoriety, cannot break or divide it; for such Confirmation being an Affent to an Act which passed the whole, must extend to the whole which passed by

### 3. What Estates they who make such Confirmations are to have.

As to the Estate they who make such Confirmation ought to have, to Comp. In:umb make the Leafe effectually binding upon the Successors, this regards 372 chiefly the Patron, whose Advowson or Right of Patronage being a temporal Inheritance, and confidered as fuch, is to be governed by the fame Rules as other temporal Inheritances are; and therefore his Confirmation, being in Nature of a Charge upon the Advowson, is to be directed by the Estate which he hath in the Advowson, and can continue no

longer than that endures.

Therefore if the Patron be but Tenant in Tail, or Tenant for Life, his Co In. 320. Confirmation shall bind only such Incumbents as come into the Church 1 Roll Ahr during his own Life; and accordingly it was agreed by Coke and Dod- 1 Rol. Rep. deridge, that if a Parson makes a Lease for Years, which is confirmed by 361. the Patron and Ordinary, the Patron being Tenant in Tail, and the Pa-Bridge 95 tron and Parson both die, and the Issue in Tail doth present another, his 1 Lessue 234. Fresentee shall hold the Rectory discharged of such Lease; and also they agreed, that altho' the Issue in Tail after a Presentation levies a Fine, yet the Presentee of the Conuzee, when the Church becomes void again, shall hold it discharged; because the Confirmation was deseated by the Presentation of the Issue in Tail before the Fine levied; but if the Patron, Tenant in Tail, discontinues the Estate-Tail, the Lease confirmed by him shall stand good during the Discontinuance, or if the Estate-Tail be barred, it shall stand good for the whole Term; for now the Estate of the Patron, in respect whereof the Estate was only voidable by the Presentee of the Issue in Tail, is become an absolute and unavoidable Fee.

So if the Patron had a conditional Estate in the Advowson, and he Co Lit. 300. b. confirms a Lease of the Parson's, and after the Condition is broken, this defeats also his Confirmation, so that the succeeding Incumbent shall not be bound by it; for his Confirmation, which was in Virtue of, and derived out of his Estate in the Advowson, could not be more lasting than

that Estate itself was.

If the Chaplain of a Chantry or Free Chapel, that was a Donative, had Dier 252. made a Leafe for Years before the Diffolution of Chantries, and the 1 Rel. Abr. Patron of the Chapel, being feifed of the Patronage in Tail, had con-480. firmed it, this should not have bound the Chaplain of the Issue in Tail; because the Tenant in Tail could not, by any Act of his, bind the Issue in Tail after his Death; and in such Case, if the Patronage of the Donative came to the King, by the Statute of Chantries, neither the King, nor his Clerk, should be bound by the said Lease; but if the Donor had levied a Fine after the Confirmation, by which the Issue in Tail was bound from avoiding the Leafe, the King also should be barred; and as the Issue in the other Case would not have been bound, no more would the King, who comes in subject to all the Advantages or Disadvantages the Issue in Tail was capable of, or liable to.

If Tenant in Tail of an Advowson, and his Son and Heir Apparent, 1 Rol. Abr join in a Grant of the next Avoidance, and after the Tenant in Tail dies, 482. the Son shall avoid the Grant, because he had nothing in the Advowson

at the Time of the Grant made.

Tyer 72 b. in Margin. 1 Leon. 234 Lancaffer ver. Lucas

A Parson makes a Lease for Years, and there being three Coparceners or Tenants in common, who are Patrons, all ought to join in the Confirmation, elect will not bind the next Incumbent; because they are all but one Patron; 1cr Coke: But if there be a Composition to present by Turns, Quere if a Leafe confirmed by him, that hath the next Turn when the Church voids, shall not be good to bind his Presentee. But in the first Case it is held, that if one of the Patrons, and the Ordinary, confirm the Leafe, and the Parlon dies, and then the Ordinary collates by Lapfe, this Confirmation by the one Patron is good, and that the Collatee shall not avoid it; and this faid there to be adjudged upon long and good Argument, and the Case cited for it is Lancaster and Lucas; which does not appear to be adjudged in Leonard, but is there faid to be adjourned; ergo Quære Caufam; for the Ordinary hath no Interest, but presents in Right of the Patron, and therefore his Clerk shall be so far bound, and no farther, than the Clerk of him who suffered the Lapse should have been; but Popham argued, that this Title of Lapfe was an Interest in the Ordinary, and not an Authority only, and then all who come in under that Interest shall be bound by the Ordinary's Confirmation of the first Lease; and he said, that at the Beginning the Patron was not restrained to any Time to present his Clerk, but the six Months was appointed, at the Instance and Suit of the Ordinaries, by a Canon confirmed in the Council of Lateran; before which Time the Ordinaries had not any Lapfes; but after the faid Canon they had an Interest, which the Civilians call Interesse caducum & conditionale; and it is such an Interest, that if the Bishop dieth before Collation or Presentment, so as the Temporalties come to the King, the King shall present. Quere of this.

Dier 133. a. 1 Rol. Abr. 479.

If the Husband and Wife, Patrons of a Church in Right of the Wife, confirm a Lease made by the Patron, yet this shall not bind the Presentee of the Wife, if the furvives her Husband, nor her Heirs, nor their Prefentees after her Death, because the Deed was void quoad the Wife, being a Feme Covert, and the Husband had nothing but in her Right, which dled with him.

Africa 6-,481 Dyer 72. b. 133. 1 1 7011. 454. i Rol. Abr. Co. Lit 46. a. Co. 36. 110b. 7.

Tho' he who confirms as Patron hath the Fee-fimple of the Advowsion in him, yet if before he confirms he liath granted away the next Avo.dance, his Confirmation of the Prefentee's Leafe will not be good to bind Cio Car. 582. the Presentce of the Grantee of the next Avoidance, unless such Grantee doth also confirm; and if the Presentee of him who liath the next Turn doth enter and avoid fuch Leafe, (as he well may,) and then dies, and the Patron of the Fee prefents a new Incumbent, who is admitted, instituted, and inducted, this new Incumbent shall hold the Benefice discharged of the Leafe, as his Predecessor should have done, the' he came in by the Presentation and Admission of the Patron and Ordinary, who confirmed that Leafe: So if the Bishop were Patron in Right of his Bishoprick, and after such Lease made by the Parson, the Bishop, Dean and Chapter had granted the next Avoidance to another, and then after they had all confirmed the Lease; yet upon the Incumbent's Death, if the Grantee of the next Avoidance prefents, and his Clerk is admitted, instituted, and inducted, and avoids the Lease, it shall never after take Place against any subsequent Incumbent, tho' he come in by the same Patron who confirmed fuch Leafe; the Reason of these Cases is, because the Grantee of the next Avoidance and his Presentee come in by Title paramount the making or perfecting of fuch Leafe, and the Prefentee or Parfon having the whole Fee in him, when he has once defeated the Leafe, it fhall never after revive or take Place against any subsequent Incumbent; and tho' Littleton feems to be of Opinion, that the Parfon hath not the Right of the Fee-simple in him, yet he explains himself to mean as to the bringing of a Writ of Right; for otherwise it is the Act of the Patton which chargeth or gives, and the Patron and Ordinary do only affent, and then the Leafe being avoided by him who hath the Fee-

simple of that Land which was so leased, it can never after be set up again, being totally defeated by his Title Paramount. Another Reafon may be, that having granted the next Avoidance before such Leafe made or perfected, the Grantee is now become the prefent Patron, and ought to concur in all Acts whereby the Poffession should be charged; for as before fuch Grant, the Patron's Confirmation, who had the whole Fee in him, would have been fufficient; to now having granted away Part of that Fee, the Grantee ought to join likewise, that so the Confirmation may be by all who have any Interest in the Parsonage, as well those who have the prefent and possessionary Interest, as those who have the future and reversionary Interest, since otherwise the Confirmation is not compleat, and the Leafe is then liable to Avoidance for want thereof.

If a Church be full of a Parson, and after another is made Parson 1 Rol. Ale. and inducted, and he makes a Lease for Years, which is confirmed by 47.7. the Patron and Ordinary, yet the Lease is void, because he who made it

was not Parson, the Church being full before.

So if a Church be void, and one enters and occupies of his own Wrong, I Rol. Altr. without any Prefentation or Institution, and occupies as Parson, and 477-makes a Lease for Years, which is confirmed by the Patron and Ordi-10 H. 6. 34nary; yet this is void, because the Lessor was no Incumbent, for none Legg 120 can be Parson or Incumbent without Presentation or Collation, and so he had nothing in the Parfonage; fo a Leafe by a Parfon, Vicar, Prebend, &c. before Induction or Instalment, tho' confirmed, shall not bind the Successor, because till then they have nothing in the Temporal

But if a Church be void, and one prefents by Usurpation, and the 9H.6.33.34. Incumbent of the Usurper, after Admission, Institution and Industion, 1 Rol. 2br. makes a Leafe for Years, which is confirmed by the Ufurper as Patron, and by the Ordinary, and after, in a Quare Impedit, the true Patron recovers, and removes the Incumbent; yet it feems the Leafe shall stand, because there was a Patron de fasto, who made and confirmed such Lease, and the Parson coming in by all the Solemnities of Law when the Church was void, the People could take Notice of no other, and therefore all Acts done by him, and legally confirmed, are good; but Rolle cites this Cafe, that the Successor of the rightful Patron after Recovery shall avoid such Lease, because it was not made or confirmed by

a rightful Parson or Patron; ideo Queere.

King Ed. 6. being Patron of a Church full of an Incumbent, by his Pyer 244. Letters Patents grants the Advowson to the Bishop of Coventry and Phw 400.

Litchfield and his Successors, and grants, that after the Avoidance of the 1 Co 155 d. Church by Death, Refignation, or otherwise, that the said Bishop, and 10 Co. 48. a. his Successors, should hold the said Church in Proprios usus; the Bishop after, by Indenture, makes a Leafe for forty Years, to begin at fuch a Time as the faid Parsonage should come to the Hands of him, or his Successors, by Death, Refignation, or otherwise; and this is confirmed by the Dean and Chapter; the Bishop dies, then the Incumbent dies, and the Successor of the Bishop enters and makes a Lease for Twentyone Years, &c. and by all the Justices it was held, that the first Lease was absolutely void, for the Lessor had nothing in the Parsonage impropriate during the Life of the Incumbent, and he furvived the Leffor, and therefore it could never take Effect; and it could not be good by Estoppel, because the Truth of the Case appeared in the Indenture of Leafe itself, that he had nothing during the Incumbent's Life, which Case farther proves that the whole Fee is in the present Incumbent; and, as in the Cases before-mentioned, the Avoidance of a Lease by the pretent Incumbent shall be an Avoidance of it for ever; so in this Case, for want of the present Incumbent's joining, the Lease shall never arise.

### 4. At what Time such Confirmation is to be made

Co.Lit.300. b. As to the Time of Confirmation, generally speaking, it is not material, whether it be before or after the making of the Lease, which is to be so confirmed, so it be made in the Life-time of the Parties who make the Lease; for the Confirmation is but an Assent or Agreement by Deed to the making of such Lease or Grant, and not a Confirmation of the Estate itself, as will appear more fully by the following Cases and Diversities.

Colut.301. a. 3 Leon. 17. 4 Leon. 223. Eithop of Rochefter's Cafe.

If a Diffeifor makes a Charter of Feoffment to A with a Letter of Attorney to deliver Scifin, and before Seifin given, the Diffeifee confirms the Fstate of A or the Deed made to A this is clearly void, tho' Livery be made after; for this must enure as a Confirmation of the Estate, which cannot be good before the Estate passed, which before Livery it did not; but if a Bishop had made a Charter of Feossiment before the Statutes, with a Letter of Attorney, and the Dean and Chapter before Livery confirm the Deed, this is a good Confirmation, and Livery made after is sufficient; so if the Bishop had granted a Reversion, the Dean and Chapter might confirm the Deed or Grant before Attornment.

Co Lit 301 a.

1 Rol. Abr.
478.

Palm. 466.
Latch 240.
Dimmo.k's
Cate.

So if a Bishop at the Common Law had granted Lands by Deed to the King, and before Involment the Dean and Chapter, by their Deed, confirm the Deed of the Bishop, and after the Deed of the Bishop is involled, this is a good Grant and Confirmation, because as to the Bishop it was a perfect Deed, and therefore capable of being confirmed; tho' to enable the King to take there wanted Involment, which might be at any Time after; the same Law, if the Bishop had made a Lease for Years to the King, Confirmation of the Lease before Involment would be good.

Co Dir 300. b.
1 Rol. Abr.
480.
7 H. 4. 15.
Bro. Nov.
Cafés 201.

So if the Patron and Ordinary had by Deed given Licence to the Parson to grant a Rent-Charge out of the Glebe, and the Parson had granted it accordingly, this was good, and should bind the Successor, tho' this was not a Confirmation subsequent, but a Licence precedent.

Owen 33.

So if a Bishop makes a Lease for Years at this Day, which needs Confirmation, and the Lease is made on the second of May, and confirmed on the first of May, this is a good Lease by Catlin and Southeot; but Hray objected, that a Lease cannot be confirmed before it be made; to which they replied, that the Assent before was a good Confirmation of the Lease made after.

So where a Bishop made a Lease the second of May, which was confirmed the third of May, and fealed the fourth of May, this was held a good Confirmation.

1 H. 6. 8. 1 Kd. Abr 480 Lyce 106 So where the Deed of Confirmation bore Date before the Deed confirmed, but by Agreement the Deed confirmed was first delivered, the Confirmation was held good; for a Confirmation is but a meer Assent by Deed to the Grant, and therefore may be either before or after the Grant or Lease itself, or at the Time of the Lease or Grant; as if a Parton makes a Lease with the Assent of the Patron and Ordinary, this is a good Confirmation; and so where the Dean and Chapter are to confirm blewise, if their respective Seals are affixed.

r Ret Am 480 5 H 6. 6

And yet it hath been holden on the contrary, that if a Confirmation be made and delivered before the Grant or Leafe to be confirmed, that this is not a good Confirmation; and tho after the Grant or Leafe the Deed of Confirmation be delivered again, yet that will not make it good, for that it was a Deed by the first Delivery; and the second Delivery will not make it good as an Assent, because the Assent ought to be by Deed, and the first Delivery was void; but that Confirmation may be

made before the Grant or Leafe to be confirmed; the other Cafes are express, and the Reason of the Thing seems likewise to make for it; for the Confirmation being nothing but an Affent or Agreement that the Bishop or Parson may make such Lease, &c. when this Assent appears under Seal, and a Lease, &c. made pursuant to it, there can be no Reafon to impeach the Leafe after, which has all the Sanction that the Law requires, viz. the Concurrence and Affent of the Persons appointed by Law to that Purpose, and before or after are only Circumstances of Time, which feem not material when the Affent, which is the Substance, fufficiently appears.

Therefore if a Bishop makes a Lease for Twenty-one Years according Moor 66. pl. to the Statutes, and after makes a concurrent Leafe for Years of the 180. same Land to another, and after, before any Confirmation of the second Leafe, the Bishop makes another concurrent Lease to a third Person, which is immediately confirmed, and after the second Lease is confirmed also; in this Case the second Lease shall be good and effectual by the Confirmation, altho' the last Lease was confirmed before it, because the Confirmation adds nothing to it, nor conveys any Interest,

but only makes it more perdurable and effectual.

And upon this Reason it hath been adjudged, that Lease's made before 5. Co. 15. 13 Eliz. for more Years than are allowed thereby, being confirmed Cafe. after the said Statute, are good, and shall bind the Successor; for the Cro. Eliz. 18. Confirmation is only an Assent, and when it is made relates to the making Higgins ver. of the Lease, which being before the Statute, remains at Common Law, Grant. and by Consequence binds the Successor; also such Confirmations being Cro. Car. 38. only to perfect Leases made before that Statute; are not within the Inonly to perfect Leafes made before that Statute; are not within the Intent thereof.

So where an Archdeacon, Impropriator of a Parsonage 12 Eliz. let Cro. Eliz. 430. Part of his Glebe for fifty Years, and the Bishop, Patron of the Arch-Moor, pl.636, deaconry, and the Dean and Chapter, 15 Eliz. confirmed that Lease, Denny and then the Archdeacon died: and it was held it. That the Statute Denny and and then the Archdeacon died; and it was held, i. That the Statute Eakenstal, 1 Eliz. extended only to the immediate Possessions of the Bishoprick, and here the Land let was not any Part of the Possessions of the Bishoprick, but of the Archdeaconry, and the Confirmation, tho' it is necessary, yet at most it amounts only to an Assent, and the Interest passes from the Archdeacon, and not from the Bishop; but if the Bishop had been diffeifed of any of the Possessions of the Bishoprick, and after had confirmed the Land to the Disseisor, this would not bind his Succeffors, because here the Confirmation passed an Interest, and without fuch Confirmation the Bishop himself might have entered and restored the Possession, and no Act of his singly can bind his Successor. 2. It was adjudged that this Confirmation, tho' after 13 Eliz. should bind the Archdeacon's Successor, because the Lease to which it relates was made before the Statute, and that Statute restrains only from Alienating, not from Confirming.

But if a Bishop, Parson, or any other sole Ecclesiastical Corporation, Co. Lit. 301. makes a Lease for Years, which needs Confirmation, this Confirmation 21 H. 7 1. ought to be made in the Life and during the Incumbency of the Leffor, Degg 118. for after his Death, Refignation, Deprivation, or other Amotion, the In which last Leafe is become void for want of Confirmation; and then Confirmation Book the inade after cannot revive it, tho' it be made in the Vacation before any contrary is Successor comes in.

held by

But if a Parson makes a Lease for Years, which is not confirmed by 5 Co. 15. the Bishop or Patron then in Being, but by the succeeding Bishop and Cro. Car. 38. succeeding Patron, this is a good Lease, and shall bind the Successor, because the Lease was absolutely good against the Parson himself who made it, and the Confirmation was only necessary to make it binding on the Successor; and in this Case, the Lease being duly confirmed during Vol. III.

the Incumbency, had all the Sanction the Law requires; for there is no prefixt Time for the Confirmation of fuch Leafes, fo it be made during the Life and Incumbency of the Lessor.

### 5. How far Regard is to be had to the true Naming of the Corporation or Persons who do confirm.

Bro. Tit. Leases 45. Dyer 83, 86, 11 Co. 21. Hob. 32. 1 Leon. 307. But for this vide Head of Corporations.

Herein we shall only observe, that Corporations Aggregate, as Dean and Chapter, Mayor and Commonalty, Warden and Fellows, &c. may make or confirm Leases, without expressing either the Christian or Surname of the Dean, Mayor, Warden, &c. because in their Politick Capacity, as a Corporation Aggregate, they continue always the fame, and are faid never to die; but in Leases or Confirmations by a Bishop, Dean, Mayor, &c. or other fole Corporation, both their Christian and Surname, or at least their Christian Name, ought to be expressed, because they are subject to Death and Succession, &c. and therefore must be particularly named to show whose Lease, &c. it was, and so some hold too in the first Case.

# (H) Of void or voidable Leases by Ecclesiasti= cal Dersons: And herein,

a. Against whom Leases not pursuant to the Statutes, or otherwise defeatibe, are boid or only boidable.

Sav. 110 Hard. 326. 2 Leon. 138. 1 Rol. Rep. 1 Keb. 182.

Cro. Eliz.440. HERE it is to be observed, that if a Bishop grants the next Avoidance of a Church, which is not warranted by 1 Eliz. because it is a Thing which lies meerly in Grant, out of which no Rent can be re-Cro. 7ac. 173, served; or makes a Lease of the Advowson of a Church, or grants an 2Brownl. 164. Annuity out of the Possessions of his Bishoprick, or makes a Lease of Cro. Eliz 207, Tithes for three Lives, or a Lease of any other of his Possessions, not pursuant to all or any of the eight Rules before-mentioned; yet in none Co. Lit. 45. a. of these Cases is such Lease or Grant void or voidable by the Bishop 10 Co. 59. b. himself who made it, but remains good against him during such Time as he continues Bishop; but as to the Successors of the Bishop, such Leafes or Grants are void or voidable, as the Case happens to be, as will appear hereafter; and the Reafon such Leases or Grants are good against the Bishop himself, who made them, is because they were so at the 11 Co. 73 a. Common Law, and the Statutes were made only for the Benefit of the Successors, that they should not be bound by the Acts of their Predeceffors, which might turn to their Prejudice and Difadvantage; but not to give the Bishop himself Power to avoid or derogate from his own Acts, which would be against all Rules both of Law and Equity, and therefore was not within the Meaning of the faid Statutes; for then he would be impowered by Act of Parliament to do wrong to other Persons, which it cannot be prefumed the Parliament intended to allow.

7 Rol. Rep. 151.

So where a Bishop, by Deed inrolled, gave Lands to the Queen, without the Consent of the Dean and Chapter, yet it was held that this was good against the Bishop himself who made such Gift.

So for the Reasons before-mentioned, tho' the 13 Eliz. cap. 10. says 1 Brown! 21. that all Leases, Gifts, Grants, &c. made by any Persons or Corpora2Brownl. 134,
158. utterly void and of none Effect to all Intent, Constructions and Purposes; 3 Co. 60. yet it hath been adjudged, that a Lease made by a Dean and Chapter I Leon. 308. against the said Statute shall not be avoided, nor any Covenants therein Co. Lit. 45 a. contained, during the Life and Continuance of the Dean that made the Lease; so that if they have made a Lease for Years of any of their Posdessions, and before the Expiration thereof make a concurrent Lease also for the same Lands, and then make a third Lease for Lives, with express Covenant, that the Grantee for Lives shall enjoy the Land against the second or concurrent Lease, and Grantee for Lives being in Possession is evicted, and brings Covenant against the Dean and Chapter; in this Case, tho' the Lease for Lives be void by the 13 Eliz. yet it was agreed by the Justices, that because the Dean who made the Lease for three Lives was living, and continued Dean at the Time of the Eviction, that the Leafe was not void, and by Confequence an Action was well maintainable against the Dean for Breach of the Covenant therein contained.

So where a Master and Fellows of a College, by deed inrolled, made 11Co.67.78.b. a Leafe for Years, not warranted by that Statute, and afterwards fuf- 1 Rol. Rep. fered a Fine, and five Years to pass without Claim; tho' this was void 171. against the succeeding Master, yet by Construction the Lease and Fine were held good against the College, (tho' it be a Corporation Aggregate that never dies,) during the Life of the Master, who was Party to the Leafe, and made no Claim, because he was the Head and principal Part

of the Corporation.

So if a Dean, Archdeacon, Prebend, Parson, or other sole Corpora- Hetley 24: tion, make Leases of their sole Possessions, not warranted by the said Cont. 1. 45. 4. Statutes, yet they shall bind themselves during their own Time, because 380. the Statutes were made to provide chiefly for the Benefit of the Successors, and not to relieve the Parties themselves against their own Acts or Grants; tho' it is held by Popham, that if a Parson made a Lease without referving any Rent, that this should not bind even himself; but

But where there is a Chapter that hath no Dean, as the Chapter of 1 Mod 204. the Collegiate Church of Southwel, there Grants or Leases made by them 2 Med. 56. contrary to 13 Eliz. are void ab initio against themselves; and so of Leases Hard. 326. or Grants by any other Corporation Aggregate, who have no Head or 3 Co. 60. principal Person; for they must be either void ab initio, or good for Co. Lit. 45. a. ever, because they continue always the same, and one has no Superiority 325. b. 341. or Power more than another; but in Case of a Dean and Chapter, Master and Fellows, &c. tho' they are a Corporation Aggregate, and never die, yet Leases or Grants made by them, contrary to the said Statutes, shall bind during the Time of the Dean, Master, &c. who was Party thereto, because such Dean, Master, &c. who are the Head of the Corporation, are subject to Death and Succession, as other sole Corporations, and therefore shall have no Aid from this Statute to avoid their own Leases; but only their Successors, for whose Benefit the Statute was made, together with the Chapter, shall have Power to avoid such Leases, &r. but if the Dean and Chapter, Master and Fellows, &c. were all equally feifed, and the Dean and Mafter folely should make a Lease, tho' it were in all Respects warranted by the Statutes, yet this Lease seems void ab initio at Common Law, because the Dean, Master, &c. had no fole Seisin whereof to make any Lease at all; but the Chapter in the one Case, and Fellows in the other, having an equal Estate and Interest, ought to have joined in such Lease or Grant, and for want of their joining such Lease or Grant seems void at Common Law, as it would be for a Misnomer, &c. and then the Lessee cannot hold it against the Dean and Chapter, if they feek to avoid it.

Dyer 239.

As Leafes and Grants, not warranted by the Statutes, are not void against the Lessors and Grantors themselves, so neither are Leases or Grants made without due Confirmation, where Confirmation is necessary, but only by the Grantor's Death or Amotion.

7 Co. 35. Count. of Bedford's Cafe.

If a Bishop makes a defective or voidable Lease or Grant, not only the Successor, but also the King, when the Temporaltes come into his Hands, may take Advantage thereof, by avoiding them during the Vacancy of the Bishoprick, in Privity and Right of the Bishop; but yet this shall not so absolutely avoid the Lease, but that the succeeding Bishop may make the same either good or vold, at his Election, as to himself; and this either expresly, as by actual Agreement to the Lease or Grant of his Predecessor; or implicitly, as by Acceptance of Rent incurred after the Death of the Predecessor; or doing any other Acts, which amounts to an Agreement in Law; and therefore this differs from the Cases before put, where Avoidance of a Lease by a Parson shall avoid it, not only for his own Time, but also against all his Successors, so that they can never after fet it up again, or affirm it by any Act of theirs whatfoever; for the Parfon hath the whole Fee-fimple in him as much as any of his Successors can ever have; and therefore when he once avoids the Leafe, as to the whole Fee-simple which he hath, he avoids it for ever, so that it can never after revive; but the King hath not the Feefimple in the Temporalties, but only the Custody or Guardianship of them during the Vacation of the Bishoprick, which is but a temporary and qualified Interest; and therefore what he does shall not be binding on the Successor; but if the Successor himself avoids such Lease or Grant; then it is the fame with the other Cafe, and no fucceeding Bishop after can revive or fet it up again, because it was avoided by one who had the whole Fee-simple and Estate in him.

But here a Difference is to be observed betwixt such Leases as are actually void by the Death, &c. of the Lesson, and such as are only voidable; and here again we must distinguish, 1. Between the Person leasing. 2. Between the Things leased, and the Leases themselves; and because the Common Law, with respect to the three Distinctions, holds good still, where the feveral Statutes before-mentioned are not purfued, we shall consider how the Common Law stood in these Particulars, which, together with the Reasons thereof, will shew how the Law is at this Day

upon the faid Statutes.

The first Distinction to be observed is between the Persons leasing, that is, between fuch fole Corporations as had the whole Fee-simple absolutely in them, as Bishops, Abbots, &c. and such sole Corporations as were looked upon only to have a qualified Fee-simple, as Parsons, Vicars, Prebends, Provofts in Cathedral Churches, and others who were

Poph. 121. 102, 341. 6 Co. S. α. Hetl. SS. I Rol. Abr.

Bro. Tit. Ac- Presentative or Collative, and not Elective. As to Leafes by Parsons, Vicars, &c. if by the Common Law any ceptance 9,10, As to Leafes by Parions, Vicars, &c. if by the Common Law any 20, 26. Tit. of these had made a Lease for Years of any of the Possessions of their Confirmation Church, without Confirmation of Patron and Ordinary, &c. such Leases 21. Tit Dean by their Death, or other Avoidance, had become absolutely void withfer 18, 19,32, out Entry or other Ceremony, so as no Acceptance of the Rent, or 33,52. other Act done by the Successor, could affirm or make them good or F. N. B. 50. binding over against themselves; but Leases for Years by Bishops, Ab-Plaw. 204. Cro. Eliz. 18. bots, &c. tho' without Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, or Assent of the Covent, were not absolutely determined by their Death, &c. Dyer 46, 239 but continued good till some Act done by the Successor to avoid them; Co. Lit. 45. b. for they have, and always were allowed to have the whole Fee-simple and Inheritance of their Possessions in themselves; and therefore before the third Council of Nice, Anno 710. might by their fole Alienation, without the Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, have bound their

1 Rol. Rep. 361. Bridgm. 94. 3 Co. 65. Raym. 166. 2 Keb. 325.

Successor for ever; and the by that Council such Alienations are restrained, as hurtful and injurious to the Church, and the Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter made necessary; yet this is only quoad Binding the Successor; for the Fee-simple continues still in them, and therefore Leafes for Years made by them fublish after their Death or Removal, as they would do if they had been made by a Tenant in Fee of any Lay Possessions, till the Successor comes to avoid them by Aid of the Canons made at that Council, which have received a Sanction from our Law.

So it was in Case of Abbats, Priors, or Deans, &c. where they were Vide the Aufole seised, if they had made a Lease for Years of any of their Possessions, thorities suthis had not absolutely determined by their Death, &c. because they had tra. the whole Fee-simple in them; and therefore such Leases continued good till the Successor came to avoid them, for Want of Confirmation of the

Persons substituted by Law for that Purpose.

Therefore where a Prebend made a concurrent Lease for Years of Hard 156. Tithes, rendering the antient Rent, without Confirmation of the Dean Sir John Theand Chapter, yet it feems to be allowed, that this was not abfolutely void Sir Henry by his Death, &c. but only voidable; and then Acceptance of the Rent Herbert. by the Successor will make it good during his Time; for Leases not warranted by those Statutes remain at Common Law, which makes them only voidable, not actually void upon the Death, &c. of the Person who makes them.

The fecond Distinction to be observed is, between the Things leased, and the Leases themselves.

It has been before observed, that Leases for Years by Parsons and Vi- Vide the cars determine absolutely by their Death, without Entry, or other Cere-Books fupre. mony; but if rhey make a Lease for Life or Lives, and die, or are removed, yet the Leafe continues good rill some Act done by the Successor to avoid them; the Reason is, because such Lease for Life or Lives being an Estate of Freehold, could not pass without the Solemnity of Livery and Seisin; and therefore to defeat that, there must be an Act of equal Notoriety, viz. the Entry of the Successor; and by Consequence, if the Successor before such Entry accepts the Rent, or does any other Act signinifying his Confent to fuch Leafe, this affirms the same during his Time, so as he can never after avoid it, because it was only voidable, not actually void by the Lessor's Death, &c. and consequently capable of an Affirmance; and the Law is the same at this Day, as to Things which lie in Livery.

But as to Things which lie in Grant or Prender, there feems a Diversity Cre. Fac. 173. between the Common Law and the Law as it stands at this Day upon Comp. In. umb. the before-mentioned Statutes; for if a Bishop makes a Lease for Lives 380-1.

Palm. 175.

of a Portion of Tithes, or other Things not manurable, referving the Degg 134, antient Rent, and dies, &c. and his Successor accepts the Rent, yet this 318. Acceptance shall not bind him, because the Lease was absolutely void by Bro. Tir. the Bishop's Death, &c. who made it, without Entry, or other Ceremony; Lease 41. and the Reason of its being so absolutely void is, because the Things leased lying only in Grant or Prender, no Rent could be thereout referved, recoverable by the Successor; for distrain he could not, because there was nothing wherein a Distress might be taken; and an Action of Debt would not lie, because the Lease being for Lives, no Action of Debt was maintainable till after the Lives ended; and therefore fince his Acceptance of the Rent due at one Day, will not enable him to fue for it, if afterwards denied, he shall not be bound by such Acceptance; but it the Tithes, or other Things lying in Grant had been let for Years, there the Successor's Acceptance of the Rent would have bound him during his Time, because then he might have an Action of Dett for any Arrears that should incur after; and this Construction seems to arise wholly from the Statutes before-mentioned, which, as appears before, were made wholly to provide for the Successor, that he might not be impoverished Vol. III.

5 H

or prejudiced by the Acts of his Predecessor; for at Common Law all Leafes for Lives or Years, as well of Things which lay in Grant as of

Things which lay in Livery, were only voidable after the Bishop's Death, &c. not actually void: And herein the Law at this Day, as to Bishops, appears to be the Reverse of the Common Law as to Parsons, Vicars, &c. for as their Leases for Years were absolutely void by their Death, &c. but their Leases for Life or Lives only voidable; so here the Bishops Leases for Lives are absolutely void by their Death, &c. whereas their Leafes for Years are only voidable by their Successor: But Quare whether the Common Law made any fuch Distinction as to Things in Livery and Things in Grant, either in Case of Bishops, or Parsons, Vicars, &c. for the only Distinction taken Notice of in the Books is, between Bishops, &c. who had the whole Fee absolutely in them, and Par-fons, Vicars, &c. who had only a qualified Fee, and between Leases for Years by Parsons, Vicars, &c. and Leases for Life or Lives made by them; but it seems clear, that if the Law be so at this Day as to Bishops, when they make Leafes of Things in Grant, fo it is as to all other Ecclefiastical Persons, (except Parsons, Vicars, &c.) within the Statutes beforementioned, that Leases for Lives of Things in Grant determine absolutely by their Death, for the Reasons before given; but Leases for Years of fuch Things in Grant are only voidable by the Successor, not absolutely void; but as to Parsons, Vicars, &c. Leases for Years made by them, whether of Things in Livery or Things in Grant, determine absolutely by their Death, if not duly confirmed, or the Statutes not purfued; because then they remain at Common Law, where their Death or other Amotion was an absolute Determination of all Leases for Years in general made by them, and consequently of Leases for Years of Things in Grant, as well as others; and this Distinction in the principal Case between Leases for Lives of Things in Grant, and Leases for Years thereof, by Bishops, and other Ecclesiastical Persons within the said Statutes, (excent Parsons, Vicars, &c.) that the one are absolutely void by the Death, &c. of the Lessor, and the other only voidable, seems to be a rea-10 Co 60,61, fonable Distinction, and to reconcile all the Books, which make it a great Cro Fac. 173. Question, if Leases in general by Bishops, &c. not pursuant to the said Statutes, are absolutely void by the Death, &c. of the Lessor, or only voidable; for if Leases for Years by them of Things which lie in Grant are only voidable, and not actually void, because the Successor is not without some Remedy for the Rent, and therefore may adhere to that, If he pleases, and affirm the Lease for his Time; much less are Leases for Years or Lives of Things which lie in Livery (tho' the Statutes are not purfued,) absolutely void by the Death, &c. of the Lessor, since in fuch Cases the Successor has as full and ample Remedy for the Rent by Distress, or otherwise, as he would have had if all the Circumstances required by the Statutes had been purfued; and then quilibet potest renunciare Juri pro se introducto; and if the Successor thinks fit to wave the Defect of fuch Circumstances, and abide by the Leafe, it would be unreasonable, and against the Intent of the Statutes, to put it out of his Power so to do, by making the Lease actually void, so as no Acceptance of the Rent, or other Act done by him, could affirm it; but where his Acceptance of the Rent at one Day will not help him to any Remedy for it the next, there it would be unreasonable that such an unwary Act should strip him of the Benefit intended for him by the said Statutes, and where he had no Remedy for the Rent, should have none for the Land neither, which would totally frustrate the Design and Intent of the Act, and tend to the Impoverishment of most Successors to Ecclesiastical Per-

2 Rol. Rep. 161. Ed. Coke's Cuse. 1 Fon. 406 Cro Car. 95. E ( 0. 2.

Palm. 175. ford's Cafe.

But this Acceptance of Rent, which shall affirm a voidable Lease, must Bishop of Ox- be by him who is perfect Successor; therefore where the Successor of a Bishop, before he had a Restitution of the Temporalties out of the King,

accepted the Rent referved by his Predecessor upon a voidable Lease, yet it was held, that notwithstanding this Acceptance, he might well enter and avoid the Lease; because before such Restitution he was not persect Succeffor; and then such Acceptance of the Rent shall not bind him, any

more than if he had been a perfect Stranger.

So where a Master of a College, or Head of any Corporation Aggregate, 11 Co. 79. a accepts Rent upon a voidable Lease made by his Predecessor, and the 1 Rol. Rep. rest of the Corporation, without Authority in Writing from the Corpo- 172.

Magdalen ration to accept the same, this Acceptance shall not affirm the Lease College's Case. during the Life or Continuance of fuch Master or Head who so accepted it; for the Right being as much in the Fellows, or other Members of the College, as in the Master, &c. himself, he cannot by any Act of his own conclude or bind them from their Entry upon any voidable Leafe; also he himfelf, in their Right, may enter to avoid fuch Leafe, notwithstand-

ing his own Acceptance of the Rent.

If a Bishop's Bailiss, of his own Head, and without any Order from the 1 Rol. Abr. Bishop, receives Rent upon a voidable Lease made by the Predecessor of 416. the Bishop, this shall not bind the Bishop; but where a Bishop made a Helley 24. Lease for Lives of certain Lands, Parcel of the Manor of A. reserving Wheeler ver, Rent, but not in all Things pursuant to the Statutes, and by Consequence Danby. voidable by the Successfor, and then the Bishop dies, and another is made, and the Bailiff of the Manor came to him, and shewed him in general, that there were certain Rents in Arrear of the faid Manor, and thercupon the Bishop commanded him to receive the said Rents, which he did accordingly, and amongst the rest, the Rent upon the said voidable Leafe, and after paid all the faid Rents to the Bishop, without giving him Notice particularly of that Rent; yet this Acceptance shall bind the Bishop; because he ought to take Notice what Leases are made by his Predecessor, and what Rent he himself received; for if he had no Title, he ought not to have received the Rent at all; if he had, he must be supposed to know it, and then his Acceptance of the Rent shews his Affent to the Lease upon which it was referved.

Also it is to be observed, that so far as the Lessor is bound by any void Poth. 121. or voidable Leafe, so far also the Lessee, his Executors or Assigns, which I Leon. 309. foever of them have the Intercst, are bound thereby, and no further; therefore when the Lease is not void without Entry, if Rent be in Arrear after the Death of the Predecessor, the Successor hath Remedy to recover fuch Arrears, if he chuses to affirm the Lease; but if the Lease be absolutely void, the Successor hath no Remedy at Law for any Rent incurred

after the Death of his Predecessor.

So the Lessee of a voidable Lease, after the Death of the Lessor, may 1 Leon. 309. maintain an Action of Trespass against any Stranger, who shall enter or do any other Act of Trespass upon the Land before the Lease be actually avoided.

### 2. By what Means, and in what Cales, such boidable Leases may be made god.

This in a great measure has been explained under the foregoing Divi- Dyer 239. fion; it remains only to shew, that besides Acceptance of the Rent, there Fiz. Tit, are other Ways by which such voidable Leases may be affirmed, as by Abbot 9. distraining for Rent due at the Death of the Predecessor, or by bringing ceptance 15 an Action of Waste against the Lessee; or, in case the Lease be for Life or Lives, by bringing an Assise for the Rent due after the Death of the Predecessor, or Acceptance of Fealty from the Lessee; all these amount to an Affirmance of fuch voidable Leafes, and make them good against

the Person who so affirms them, for his own Time, because these Acts shew a sufficient Intent in the Successor to continue and acquiesce in the Leases made by his Predecessor.

#### 3. The Manner of aboiding of fuch Leafes as are only poidable.

Dyer 222 Ayer and Ome. 1 Sid 7. Young and Wr ght. Dyer 28. a. in Margin.

This may be done either by Entry, where the Lease is of Things corporeal and manurable, or by Claim where the Leafe is of Things incorporeal; as where a Lease for Years is made, rendering Rent, upon Condition to be void for Non-payment, this Lease shall not be void without a Demand made of the Rent; for if it were otherwise, it would be in the Power of the Lessee to make the Lease void at any Rent-Day he thought fit, and fo to add the Wrong of making the Lease void to that of Non-payment of the Rent.

Afoor 52. Dyer 222.

And where an Entry is to be made, this may be done either by the Bailiff of the Party that would enter, or by other Persons deputed for that Purpose; but a Bailiff, meerly in Virtue of his Office, cannot make an Entry for his Master without special Warrant, because his Office is to manage his Master's Lands, and to take the Profits thereof to his Master's Use; but to gain new Lands, which his Master had not before, does not belong to his Office as Bailiff; besides, an Entry being a Thing which the Master may or may not make, his Bailiss shall not determine his Election therein.

1 Rol. Abr. 514.6 Dumper vcr. Syms. Bro. Tit. Corforation 9. 6 Co 38. 4 Co. 119.

Where a Corporation Aggregate have Title of Entry to avoid a Leafe, they cannot command their Bailiff to enter, unless it be by Deed; for their Parol Command in such Case is void, and the Entry thereupon tortious; because as a Body Politick they are invisible, and incapable of Acts as Natural Persons are: But yet, per Curiam, if one distrains as Bailiff to a Corporation, tho' in Truth he be not Bailiff, yet he may make Conuzance as such, and if the Corporation agree thereto, it is good without Deed; because the Command he had in such Case is not traverfable.

4 T.eon. 181. Wood versus Chizer.

But a Bishop may by Parol command his Servant to demand a Rent, or make an Entry, and this is good; because as a Sole Corporation he is capable of the same Acts as all Natural Persons are.

Cro. Eliz. 167. 2 Leon. 97.

A Dean and Chapter made a Lease for Years, rendering Rent, and for Default of Payment the Lease to be void; the Rent was Arrear, Willis versus and not paid; then they made a new Lease to another Person, and affixed their Seal to it in the Chapter-House, before any Entry made upon the first Lessee, and at the same Time made a Letter of Attorney to one to enter and make Delivery of this Lease upon the Land, who accordingly did it; and it was objected, that this fecond Leafe was void; because the Deed being perfected as the Deed of the Corporation, by their affixing their Seal to it, the Delivery after by the Attorney was void, it being perfect before; and the first Perfection of it as a Deed could not make it a good Leafe for Years, because the first Lessee was in Possession, and they made no Entry to avoid it; but yet per Curiam it was held to be a good Lease, and that there was no other Means for a Corporation to make a Lease but this; and Gawdy said, it was not the Deed or Lease 2 Rol. Abr. 23. of the Corporation till Delivery, as of another Person; and therefore Flud and Gre where it is said in Davis 44. to be agreed, that if a Dean and Chapter put their Chapter Seal to a Deed, this is a perfect Deed thereby, without any Delivery; this must be understood when the Dean and Chapter are in Possession, not when they are out of Possession, or have only a Right; and so the Diversity appears to be taken upon the Books; for otherwise

I Vent. 257. 3 Keb. 307. Good versus

the Leafe must be inevitably void in such Case; for till it be sealed, the Attorney cannot deliver it as the Deed of the Corporation; and if the Sealing perfects it prefently as their Deed, so that it cannot be delivered after, then it is void for Want of an Entry, and so all Ways the Lease would be void; which would be a very unreasonable Construction, when it may be so easily avoided; and in the latter Books it is said, that tho' the Putting of the Seal of a Corporation Aggregate to a Deed carries with it a Delivery, yet the Letter of Attorney to deliver it upon the Land suspends the Operation of it as an Escrow till Entry, &c. but yet the Corporation, if they think fit, may after the Indenture of Leafe in- 1 Leon 306. groffed make a Letter of Attorney to another, to feal and deliver it as Carter versus their Deed or Lease to the Lessee upon the Land, without first affixing Clayfool. their Seal to it; and so it was done in the Case of the Wardens and Fel-President, Sea. lows of All Souls College in Oxford; but then, as it feems, the Attorney of St. Folia's must affix the Corporation Seal to it, and not any other Seal; but yet in College versus one Book it is held per Curiam, that a Corporation Aggregate, as there Lord Norristhe President, Fellows, and Scholars of St. John's College in Oxford, making a Lease, are to subscribe and seal it, and then deliver it by their Attorney, having a Letter of Attorney for it, and that they could not deliver it in any other Manner; but whether the Attorney might also affix their Seal or not, is not mentioned in the Cafe.

# (I) Of Leases made by those who have but a particular Estate of Interest in the Lands leased: And herein,

### 1. Of Leases made by Tenant in Dower of Curtesy.

A S to which it will be sufficient to observe, that if Tenant in Dower Bro. Tit. Ac-or by the Curtesy make a Lease for Years, reserving Rent, and ceptance 14, die, this Leafe is absolutely determined, so that no Acceptance of the 19. Tit. Leafes 17, 19. Rent by the Heir or those in Reversion can make it good; tho' their Plow 30,272. Estate is quodam modo a Continuance of the Estate of their Husband or Cro. Car. 398, Wife, yet it is a Continuance of it only for Life, and they have no 399.

Power to contract for, or intermeddle with the Inheritance, and confer Vangh. 8c-1. quently their Leases or Charges fall off with the Estate whereout they were derived, and the Lessee is become Tenant by Sufferance by his Continuance of l'ossession after.

## 2. Of Leases made by Tenant foz Life.

Tenant for Life can make no Leases to continue longer than his own Poit. 105. Life; but if Tenant for Life makes a Lease for twenty Years generally, 1 Co. 147. and after he in the Reversion confirms that Lease, and then the Tenant Anne Mayfor Life dies, tho' this at first would have determined by the Death of heav's Caic. the Leffor, yet the Confirmation hath made it good and unavoidable for the whole Term; but if the Lease had been for twenty Years, if the Lessor Tenant for Life should so long live, there if the Reversioner had confirmed this Lease, yet it would not prevent its Voidance upon the Death of the Tenant for Life; the Diversity between which Cases is this, that in the first Case the Lease being made generally for twenty Years, nothing appears to the contrary but that it was a good Lease for that Vol. III.

Time absolutely; for the Death of the Lessor, which would determine it sooner, does not appear in the Lease itself; then when the Reversioner, who alone could take Advantage of that implied Limitation, thinks fit to wave it, and confirms the Leafe, as it was made at first, for twenty Years absolutely, this makes it his own Lease for so much of the Time as would have fallen into his Reversion by the Death of the Tenant for Life, before the twenty Years run out; but in the other Case, the Death of the Tenant for Life being made the express Limitation and Circumscription of the twenty Years in the Lease itself, no Confirmation of that Leafe, as so limited, can enlarge it to extend beyond the Life of the Lessor, that being the express Determination assixed to it.

10 Co. 49. a.

And yet we find one Case where it is held, that if a Man makes a Lease for Twenty-one Years, if the Lessee so long live, and after the Lessor and Lessee join in a Grant by Deed of the Term to another, and after the first Lessee dies within the Twenty-one Years, that yet the Grantee shall enjoy it during the Residue of the Term absolutely. But to reconcile this Case with the other, it must be intended, that in the Assignment no Notice is taken of the express Limitation affixed to the Lease, but that they joined in an Assignment of the Lease for the Residue of the Twenty-one Years, and then it may well be construed to amount to a Consirmation by the Lessor for that Time, as the Lessor may confirm the Land to the Leffee for any longer Time, and thereby enlarge his Estate or Interest.

Co. Lit. 47. b. 6 Co. 15. a. 1 Rol. Abr. 378.

If A. Lessee for the Life of B. makes a Lease for Years by Indenture, and after purchase the Reversion, and then B. dies, A. shall avoid his own Leafe, notwithstanding he hath now an Estate capable of supporting the Lease for the whole Term, for he may confess the Lease for Years as it was, and avoid it by shewing his own Estate in the Lands at the Time of that Lease made, and is not estopped to do this, because an In-

terest passed from him, he well may confess and avoid.

Co. Lit. 45. a. Dyer 234. b. Moor, pl. 196. pl. 939. Poth. 57. 6 Co. 14.

B. Tenant for Life of C. and he in the Remainder or Reversion in Fee join in a Lease for Years by Indenture, this, during the Life of C. is the Lease of B. who then only had the present Interest in the Lands, and the Confirmation of him in the Remainder or Reversion; but after the Death of C. then this becomes the Lease of him in the Reversion or Remainder, and the Confirmation of B. for the Lessors having several Estates in them in several Degrees, the Lease shall be construed to move out of each one's respective Estate or Interest as they become capable of supporting thereof, which is the most natural and useful Construction of the Lease, especially as there can be no Estoppel in this Case, by reason of the several Interests which passed from each; and therefore during the Life of Tenant for Life, if the Leffee, being evicted, should declare of a Lease by both, this would be against him, as was adjudged, because for that Time it was only the Lease of the Tenant for Life.

### 3. Of Perivative Leases, or by one who is but a Lessee for Pears himself.

Vide Tit. Af-Covenant.

As a Lessee for Years may assign or grant over his whole Interest; so signment and he may grant it for any fewer or lesser Number of Years than he himself holds it; and fuch Derivative Lessee is compellable to pay Rent, perform Covenants, &c. according to the Terms agreed in fuch Grant or (a) Bro. Tit. Assignment; also it is said in (a) Broke, that a Termor so assigning may distrain for the Rent, without any Power reserved for that Purpose, tho' a Person who assigns his whole Interest cannot, because he has no Reversion.

But fuch Derivative Lessee is not liable to the Rent reserved on the 2 Vom 275, original Leafe, otherwife than as his Cattle may be liable to a Distress 374 for vide for Rent arrear to the original Lessor, as any Stranger's Levant and I Leon. 179. Couchant may be; for there is no Privity between him and the original Lessor, as there is between a Lessor and Assignee; and therefore such a one, tho' he take the whole Term, except one Day, shall not be liable to any of the Covenants in the original Leafe.

Leffee of a Prebend makes an Under-Leafe, and the Leafe being protty Preced Chan-Leffee of a Prebend makes an Under-Leafe, and the Leafe being pretty far fpent, he requested the Tenant ro surrender, to enable him to renew, and offerred to give any Security to grant him a new Lease for so 2 Vern. 383. many Years as he had to come in his old one; but the Tenant was S. C. obstinate and would not, unless his Landlord complied with some Demands of his; upon which he brought his Bill in Equity to enforce him to a Compliance; but my Lord Keeper said, tho' it were a Benefit to the Plaintiff, and no Prejudice to the Defendant, yet there being no Agreement in the Deed for that Purpose, he could do nothing in it.

But now by the 4 Georg. 2. cap. 28. felt. 6. it is enacted in the Words following, viz. 'Whereas many Perfons hold confiderable Estates by Leafes for Lives or Years, and leafe out the fame in Parcels to several Under-Tenants, and whereas many of those Leases cannot by Law 6 be renewed without a Surrender of all the Under-Leafes derived out of the same, so that it is in the Power of any such Under-Tenants to e prevent or delay the Renewing of the principal Leafe, by refusing to furrender their Under-Leases, notwithstanding they have covenanted so 6 to do, to the great Prejudice of their immediate Landlords, the first Leffees; for preventing fuch Inconveniencies, and for making the Renewal of Leales more easy for the future, be it Enacted by the 6 Authority aforesaid, That in case any Lease shall be duly surrendered in order to be renewed, and a new Lease made and executed by the chief Landlord or Landlords, the fame new Lease shall, without a Surrender of all or any the Under-Leafes, be as good and valid, to all Intents and Purposes, as if all the Under-Leases derived thereout had been likewise surrendered at or before the Taking of such new Lease; and all and every Person and Persons, in whom any Estate for Life or Lives, or for Years, shall from Time to Time be vested by Virtue of ' fuch new Lease, and his, her, and their Executors and Administrators, fhall be intitled to the Rents, Covenants and Duties, and have like Remedy for Recovery thereof; and the Under-Leffees shall hold and enjoy the Under-Messuages, Lands and Tenements, in the respective "Under-Leases comprized, as if the original Leases, out of which the respective Under-Leases are derived, had been still kept on Foot and continued; and the chief Lundlord and Landlords shall have and be intitled to such and the same Hereditaments comprized in any such 'Under-Leafe, for the Rents and Duties referved by fuch new Leafe, 6 fo far as the same exceed not the Rents and Duties reserved in the Lease, out of which such Under-Lease was derived, as they would have had in case such former Lease had been still continued, or as they would have had in case the respective Under-Leases had been renewed " under such new principal Lease; any Law, &c.

## 4. Of Leases made by a Disselsoz or Disselse.

If a Diffeifor makes a Leafe for Years, or grants a Rent-Charge, and Co. Lit. 300. the Disseisee confirms it, and after re-enters, yet he shall not avoid the Poph. 50. Lease or Rent, because by his Confirmation of them he hath departed with so much of his antient Right, which incorporates and mixes with the Lease or Grant, so that he can never after avoid them.

Co. Lit 48.b. Davies ver. Bri. ges.

If one be diffeifed of Lands, and whilft he is out of Poffession intends Cro. Eliz 483 to make a Lease for Years, the way is to prepare a Deed of Lease, and Stephens ver. after he hath figned and fealed it, before any actual Delivery thereof, as his Deed, to deliver it as an Escrow to a third Person, to be delivered Cro Eliz. 446 as his Deed after Entry and actual Possession taken in his Name, or Jennings ver. after Signing and Sealing before actual Delivery, he may make a Letter of Attorney to a third Person, to enter upon the Land in his Name; 2 Rol. Abr. 25. and after fuch Entry to deliver it upon the Land, or elsewhere, as his Deed, to the Leffee; and tho' fuch Letter of Attorney be affixed to the Deed, and to make it an effectual Letter of Attorney, that must be fealed and delivered, yet the Sealing and Delivery of that by the Leffor, tho' affixed to the Deed of Leafe, will not be construed a Delivery of the Leafe itself, because no such Intent appears, but the contrary; and therefore the Delivery of the Letter of Attorney shall have no more Influence upon the Deed of Lease, than if it had not been affixed thereto; or such Disseise may prepare a Deed of Lease, and at the same Time execute a Letter of Attorney to a third Person, to enter upon the Land, and after fuch Entry to fign, feal and deliver the Leafe as his Act and Deed to the Lessee; and all these ways are good, because the Delivery is the essential and finishing Part of a Deed; and if the Possession and Seisin be reduced before that comes, the Delivery after is as effectual as if the whole Deed had been prepared and executed after; because till the Delivery the Deed took no Effect, and when the Delivery was, he was in actual Possession, and consequently might make such Lease; but if such Dissession out of Possession, had sealed and delivered the Deed of Lease as his Deed, tho' he had after actually entered upon the Land, and then delivered the Leafe again as his Deed, yet no Interest would pass to the Lessee by either of these Deliveries; for, as his Deed, it took absolute Effect by the first Delivery, and then the second Delivery, to make it his Deed, was void and to no Purpose; for a Deed cannot have two Deliveries; and the first Delivery, to make it a Leafe, was void, because he was then out of Possession, and had only a Right of Entry, which he could not transfer to a Stranger; and therefore the Leafe is absolutely void to carry any Interest to the Lessee; and so it would be, if after such Delivery of it as his Deed, he had made a Letter of Attorney to enter and deliver it as his Deed upon the Land, for the first Delivery made it his Deed effectually; but that could pass no Interest, because he was then out of Possession, and the second Delivery, to make it a Deed, was void, because it was his Deed by the first Delivery, and therefore cannot be delivered again; and Quare, in the Case above-mentioned, if the Letter of Attorney were at the Conclusion of the Deed of Lease, in the very same Parchment or Paper, whether the Diffeisee could distinguish his Sealing and Delivery of that as a Letter of Attorney, so that it should not amount to a Sealing and Delivery of the Deed itself, and thereby make void any after Delivery, when the Possession and Seisin were reduced.

Plow. 137.

The Heir after the Death of his Ancestor, before any actual Entry, may make a Lease for Years, because the Possession in Law was cast upon him immediately by the Death of his Ancestor, and none had Possession in Fact; but if a Stranger had first entered by Abatement, then such Lease made by him after would be void, because by the Entry the Stranger gained Possession in Fact, which devested the Posses session in Law of the Heir, and so he had neither Possession in Fact nor Law, whereof to make a Lease, and consequently the Lease must be void.

Bre. Tit. Lenfes 57. Sav. 55.

If the Heir of the King's Tenant in Capite, or in Socage, before Livery, or after Office found, makes a Lease for Years, this seems to be good, for fuch Leafe being only a Contract between the Lessor and Lessee, may be made before any actual Entry, by Reason of the Pos-

fession and Seisin in Law, which were cast on him by the Death of his Ancestor; but if he had made a Fcoffment in Fee, or a Lease for Life before Livery fued, these could not be made without actual Entry into the Land to make Livery of Seifin, and fuch Entry would be an Intrusion upon the King's Possession, and amounts to a Forseiture, by attempting to take a Freehold out of the King.

### 5. Of Leafes made by Joint-tenants of Tenants in common.

As to Leafes by Joint-tenants and Tenants in common, we shall here, for Method Take, fet down some of the most remarkable Cases relating thereto, tho' these Matters are more fully treated of under their proper

1. Then if two Joint-tenants are in Fee, and one lets his Moiety to Co Lit. 163. 7. S. for Years, to begin after his Death, this is good, and shall bind Bro. Tit. the other if he survives, because this is a present Disposition, and binds 1 Rol. Abr. the Land from the Time of the Lease made, so that he cannot after 848. avoid it; but a Devise for Years in such Manner, by one Joint-tenant, would not bind the other furviving, because that is no present Disposition nor binding upon the Devisor himself, in as much as he may revoke or cancel his Will, and so destroy that Devise; and therefore such Devise, not taking Effect to any Purpose till his Death, comes too late to prevent the Survivorship, which being the elder Title, shall be preferred, and shut out the Devise; so all Grants or Charges, by one Joint-tenant out of the Land, fall off with his Life, and cannot affect the Survivor, because they being no immediate Disposition of the Land itself, that comes whole and intire to the Survivor under the first Title, and by Confequence over-reaches all intermediate Charges or Grants thereout by the other Joint-tenant who is dead.

But if one Joint-tenant grant Vesturam or Herbagium terræ for Years, Co. Lit. 186. and dies, this shall bind the Survivor; so if two Joint-tenants are of a Water, and one grants a separate Piscary for Years, and dies, this shall bind the Survivor, because in these Cases the Grant of the one

Joint-tenant gives an immediate Interest in the Thing itself whereof they

are Joint-tenants.

If two Joint-tenants for Life are, and one of them makes a Leafe for Moor, pl. 514. Years of his Moiety, either to begin prefently, or after his Death, and Poph. 96. dies, this Leafe is good and binding against the Survivor; the Reason Barton. whereof is, that notwithstanding the Lease for Years, the Joint-tenancy 3 Bulf. 273. in the Freehold still continues, and in that they have a mutual Interest 1 Rol. Rep. in each other's Life, fo that the Estate in the Whole, or any Part, is not 401. to determine or revert to the Lessor till both are dead; for the Life of Plow. 263. the one, as well as of the other, was at first made the Measure of the Cro. 74c. 91. Estate granted out by the Lessor; and therefore so long as either of 3 Bulf. 131. them lives, if the Joint-tenancy continues, he is not to come into Pof- Co. Lit. 184. b. fession. Now these Joint-tenants having a reciprocal Interest in each other's Life, when one of them makes a Lease for Years of his Moiety, this does not depend for its Continuance on his Life only, but on his Life and the Life of the other Joint-tenant, which soever of them shall live longest, according to the Nature and Continuance of the Estate whereout it was derived; and then so long as that continues, so long the Lease holds good, and by Consequence such Lessee shall hold out the surviving Joint-tenant and the Reversioner, till the Estate, whereout his Lease was derived, be fully determined; but if a Rent were referved on fuch Lease, this is determined and gone by the Death of the Lessor, for the 1 00 96. Survivor cannot have it, because he comes in by Title Paramount the Co. Lit 185 a. Vol. III.

S K

Lease,

Lease,

Lease, and the Heirs of the Lessor have no Title to it, because they have no Reversion or Interest in the Land; but Quere, if the Executors or Administrators cannot maintain an Action of Debt or Covenant, either upon the Covenant in Law, or express Covenant, for Payment of

the Money, if there be any.

Cro. Fac. 91. Whitlock ver. Horton.

A. and B. Joint-tenants for their Lives, A. by Indenture leafes the Moiety which he holds in Jointure with B. to C. for fixty Years from the Death of B. if he the faid A. shall so long live, and demises the other Moiety to C. for fixty Years from his own Death, if B. shall fo long live; then A dies, and B furvives; and it was adjudged, that this Lease was void for both Moieties; for by the first Words it was a good Leafe from A. of his Part, upon the Contingency of his furviving B. but that never happened; and as to B.'s Part, A. had no Power to Lease or Contract for it during the Life of B. tho' he had happened after to furvive him, for that it was but a bare Possibility, which could not be leased or contracted for; and therefore the Lease was void in the Whole.

Cro. Fac. 377. 1 Rol. Rep. 309. 3 Bulf. 130. 1 Rol. Abr. 131. Daniel

A. and B. Joint-tenants for their Lives, A. leases his Part for fixty Years, if he and B. so long live, then B. surrenders his Part, and takes back a new Estate, then A. dies, living B. and it was adjudged that this Leafe made by A. was determined by his Death; for the Jointtenancy, which would have given them or their Leffees an Interest in and Wadding- each other's Life, is by the Surrender of B. determined and gone, and then the Leafe of A. stood single on his own Life, and consequently by his Death is determined; so it would be, if after such Lease for Years by one Joint-tenant, they had made Partition of the Joint-Estate, and then the Lessor had died, his Lease would be at an End, because the Joint-tenancy, which should have supported it after his Death, is by the Partition defeated and gone.

Co.Lit. 186. a.

If one Joint-tenant or Tenant in common makes a Leafe for Years Cro. Fac. 83, of his Part to his Companion, this is good, for this only gives him a Moor, pl. 194. Right of taking the whole Profits, when before he had but a Right to the Moicty thereof; and he may contract with his Companion for that Purpose, as well as he may with any Stranger.

# 6. Of Leases made by Copyholders.

Mo. r 184.

If a Copyholder takes upon him to make Leases not warranted by the 1 Salk. 186. Custom of the Manor, and without the Lord's Licence, this is a Forseiture of his Copyhold, but no Disseisn to the Lord; and the Lease is good against every Body but the Lord.

Aloor 292. Hetl. 122. 1 Bulf. 189.

And ir feems not to be material whether fuch Leafe be by Parol or in Writing; but it must be a perfect Lease, and must have a certain Beginning and certain End, for otherwise the Lease is void, and carries but an Estate at Will at most, which is no Forseiture.

2 Mod. 79. Richards ver-Seley.

A Copyholder for Life having got B. to be bound with him for 100 Land given him a Counterbond, executes a Deed, whereby reciting the Counterbond, and the Estate A. had in the Lands for Life, A. covenants, grants and agrees for himself, his Executors, Administrators and Affigns, with B. that he, his Executors and Administrators, should hold and enjoy these Lands, from the making of the Deed, for seven Years, and so from the End of seven Years to seven Years, for and during the Term of Forty-nine Years, if A. should so long live, and a Covenant, that if the 100 l. were paid, and B. indemnified, the Deed to be void; and the Question was, whether this would amount to a Lease for Fortynine Years, if the Copyholder should so long live; and so being in the Case of a Copyhold, and no Custom to warrant such Lease, be a Forfeiture

I

Forfeiture of the Estate; and it was argued to be no Lease, because such Construction would be a Wrong to both Parties, to the one by defeating lis Security, and to the other by a Forfesture of his Estate; which would be unjust, when by construing it only to be a Covenant for the whole, each might be fafe, and their Intention answered; and it was faid, that the Cafes, wherein fuch Words have been held to amount to a Lease, were all of them in Cases of Freehold, where no such Mischief could enfue; but the Court notwithstanding inclined this was a good Lease by the Intention of the Parties, and consequently a Forseiture; for then the Jury would have found it so; but if the Words had been doubtful, and fuch as would admit of divers Constructions, there, to prevent a Forfeiture, it should be taken to be only a Covenant, but here the

Words are plain and clear; but no Judgment was given.

A Copyholder, by Articles of Agreement, covenanted and promifed 2 Keb. 267.

with another, that he should hold at Halves for a Year, according to the Lenthall ver. Custom of the Manor, at such a Rent, and so from Year to Year for five Years; this was adjudged no Forfeiture, for the Prejudice that would enfue on fuch Construction to the Copyholder; also the Leafe being worded fecundum Consuetudinem Manerii is tied up to the Custom of the Manor; so that if there be no Custom to warrant this Manner of Leasing, the Lease itself falls to the Ground; also there was further in the Lease a Covenant, that if the Lessor put out the Lesse, he should be allowed so much Rent by way of Retainer; so that the Lessee was at Uncertainty whether he should enjoy it during the whole Term; for this gave the Leffor Liberty to put him out, making the Allowance agreed upon and stipulated between them; and besides, it was doubted if the Words covenant and promise that be should enjoy for such a Time, would amount to a Lease, or were not rather relative to enjoying after a Lease made; for the Word covenant is none of those reckoned up to make a Lease; and in the Cases where it hath been so held, it was joined with the Word agreavit, which imports a mutual Consent or Agreement of both Parties; and here tho' there be the Word agreed or Agreement, yet it is only in the Style of the Articles; also here the Covenant is, quietly to enjoy, which a fortiori does not make a Lease, but regards only the Manner of enjoying it after a Leafe made, and being only to hold at Halves, it can be no Lease: This is the Manner of reporting this Case, which rifes so by Jumps and Steps, and is so incoherently put, that it is hard to conclude any thing from it relative to the Matter before us; besides that the Gift of the Case seems to turn upon the Words holding at Halves; for they are to govern and explain the Words covenant and promise, which of themselves may be applied to ten thousand other Things, and have no Meaning at all, till the subsequent Words explain what it is he covenants and promifes; and the Words bolding at Halves are of so ambiguous and doubtful a Signification, that, according to the Rule taken in the foregoing Case, they might well leave Room for the Court to make such a Construction as should prevent a Forseiture; and in one Case it is exprefly held, that exposing to Half is no Lease, but only a Liberty to Cro. Eliz. 143. plough and fow, but passes no Interest, nor can the Lessee have Trespass Hare versus for breaking the Soil; but in the same Book it is said, that if he had Ciceley.

exposed it to Halves for two or three Corps, this had been a Lease. An Infant Copyholder, without Licence of the Lord, made a Lease for Latch 199. Years by Parol, rendering Rent, and at full Age was admitted, and Godb. 364. accepted the Rent, and then ousted the Lessee; and in this Case, tho' it 1 fon. 157. was agreed, that a Lease for Years, rendering Rent, by an Infant of in all the Freehold Lands was only voidable; yet it was urged, that in Case of a S.C. between Copyhold it would be otherwise; because the Lease not being warranted Ashfeld and by the Custom, would be a Diffeisin to the Lord, and consequently a Assertion of the Confequently as Assertion of the Confequently a Forfeiture of his Copyhold, which being a great Mischief to the Infant, the Court ought rather to help him, by adjudging fuch Lease to be abso-

lutely

lutely void; but notwithstanding this it was adjudged, that the Lease was a good Leafe till avoided, and that a Leafe for Years by a Copyholder without Licence is not a Disseisin; and admitting it should be a Forseiture in this Case, vet if the Lord enters for it, the Infant may reenter upon him, and so is at no Mischief; and therefore he having accepted the Rent at full Age hath made it good and unavoidable; and Jones lays, that it was held to be no Porseiture as to the Lord, but that admitting it were, yet it was a good Leafe as to all Strangers, and that for this Reafon principally it was adjudged fuch Acceptance had made it

Cro. Car 253. I Fon. 249. I Rol. Abr. 508. Matthewi

A Copyholder for Life made a Leafe for a Year by Indenture dated fuch a Day, and the fame Day by another Indenture makes a second Leafe to the fame Party for a Year, to commence such a Day, being two Days after the first Lease would expire, and by another Indenture, ver Wheston, dated the same Day and Year, makes a third Lease of the same Lands to the same Party, to commence such a Day, being two Days after the fecond Leafe would expire, and fo betwixt each Leafe two Days betwixt the Beginning of the new Leafe and the End of the former; and if this was a Forfeiture of his Lstate, because the Custom of the Manor warranted a Leafe but for a Year only, was the Question; and it was agreed, that whether the Custom of the Manor, or the general Custom of the Realm, allows a Copyholder to make a Leafe for a Year, this ought to be a Leafe in Pottestion, and he cannot, after such Leafe made, make another in Reversion; and these three Leases being made all at one Time, shall be intended one intire Contract, and so a Lease for three Years, which is more than the Custom warrants, and consequently a Forseiture; and the Intervention of two Days between each Leafe was but a Fraud and Covin to defeat the Lord of his Forfeiture, which shall not avail; and therefore it was adjudged against the Copyholder, that he had forfeited his Estate.

Cro. 7.10. 308. 1 Bulf. 215. I Rol Abr. 597. I utterell and VI ofton.

So where a Copyholder, who, by the Custom of the Manor, could make a Lease for one Year only, made a Lease for a Year, excepting the last Day of the Year, & six de Anno in Annum, excepting the last Day of every Year, during his own Life; this was adjudged by all the Court clearly to be a Forfeiture, and the Exception of a Day at the End of every Year to be only a Shift to evade the Custom; which it cannot do; for it is a Leafe certain for two Years at leaft, excepting two Days, which In Lifect is a Lease for no more than one Year; and if he might by such Exception of a Day or two, at the End of two Years, get out of the Reach of a Forfeiture, he might then make a Leafe for twenty Years, or what other Time he thought fit, which the Law will not permit; and in Bulf. this Manner of Leafing appears expresly to have been by Articles, by way of Covenant, that he should have the Land in that Manner, not by Words of immediate Leafing, which make this a direct Authority that a Covenant that he shall have or enjoy such Lands, amounts to an immediate Leafe, and not a Covenant barely; and tho' it were in Case of a Copyhold, yet it would not fave the Forfeiture.

1 Rol. Abr. 558. 2 Alad. 81.

So if a Copyholder makes a Leafe for a Year, & sic de Anno in Annum during ten Years, this is clearly a good Leafe for ten Years, and if not 1 Bulf. 199. warranted by the Cuftom, will be a Forseiture of his Estate.

These Cases being so adjudged, and that a Copyholder cannot, either by way of Covenant or of executory and renewable Leases annually, prevent the Forseiture of his Estate, if he exceeds the Number of Years warranted by the Custom, and has no Licence from his Lord for that Purpose; let us see if there be any Way yet found out to avoid this Mischief, and yet make over to the Leffee fome Certainty that he shall enjoy the Lands after the Term warranted by the Custom is expired, without which few will care to take Leafes for fo short a Term as the Customs of most Manors generally allow; and we find one Case where an Attempt Coo. Fac. 301. of this kind was made, and it feems to have fucceeded accordingly; the Lady Moun-Case was this: A Copyholder made a Lease for a Year only of his Copy-tague's Case, hold Land, according to the Custom, and covenanted that after the End of this Year the Lessee should have or enjoy the same Lands for another Year, and fo de Anno in Annum for ten Years; this was held by Telverton, Justice, to be no such Lease as would make a Forfeiture, because had a lawful Estate but for one Year only; and the Court agreed with him herein; and this feems to be a very reasonable Construction; for when he had in express Terms leased it but for one Year only, and after in the Deed covenanted for the Lessee's having or enjoying it for a longer Term, this Variation in the Manner of Expression must vary the Sense of it likewise; for now it appears that he intended by the Covenant something different from the Lease itself, otherwise he would not have departed from that Form of Expression, which was the most proper and natural whereby to fignify his Intentions of Leafing; and then it would be unjust and unnatural to strain the Covenant, which has a Meaning proper and peculiar to itself, to fignify the same with the first Part of the Deed, which varies not only in Form, but was also intended to quite another

And perhaps in fuch Covenant it may be still better if it were Worded 1 Rol. Abs. to permit and fuffer the Lessee to have, hold and enjoy the Lands in such 848 Manner; for a Covenant in that Form, even of Freehold Lands, will not 3 Bulf. 2522.

Manner; for a Covenant in that Form, even of Freehold Lands, will not 2 Mod. 81. amount to an immediate Leafe, because the Words permit and suffer prove that the Estate is still to continue in him from whom the Permission is to come; for if any Estate thereby passed to the Covenantee, he might hold and enjoy it without any Permission from the Covenantor; and therefore in such Case the Covenantee hath only the bare Covenant for his Security of Enjoyment, without any actual Estate made over to

## 7. Of Leales made by Executors or Administrators.

Executors and Administrators, as they may dispose absolutely of Terms Vide Tit. Exefor Years vested in them in Right of their Testators or Intestates, so may cutors and Adthey leafe the same for any fewer Number of Years, and the Rent re-ministrators ferved on such Leases shall be Assets in their Hands, and go in a Course of Administration.

So where Lessee for fifty Years of a Reversion expectant upon a Lease 6 Co. 63. for Life makes his Will in Writing, and thereof one B. his Son, an Infant 67. b. of three Years of Age, Executor, and dies, Administration is granted Sir Moyle to C. durante Minori Etate of B. generally, then C. makes a Lease for Finch's Case. ten Years, without referving any Rent, for ought appears, and yet this Prince's Cafe. Lease was held good; because by the Ecclesiastical Law, Minor 17 Anwis non admittitur fore Executor; and therefore Administration being granted generally during his Minority, the whole Term and Power of disposing thereof, for that Time, vests as absolutely in the Administrator as it would have done in the Executor himself, if he had been of an Age capable of acting therein; because for that Time the Testator died quasi Intestatus, and the Administrator for that Time hath the same Power as if he had actually died Intestate; and therefore such Lease is good, at least till the Executor attains his Age of seventeen Years, when such Administration ceases; and some held, that such Lease would hold good after, till the Executor avoided it by actual Entry, by reason of the general Power which such Administrator had in the mean Time; and therefore fuch continuing Acis are not ip/o fa = 0 determined by the ceasing of the Administration, but are only voidable in the same Manner Yol. III. 5 L

as other Leafes would be, viz. by an Entry of the Executor, when he comes to take upon him that Office; but if the Administration had been special, ad Opus, Commodum & Utilitatem of the Executor during his Minority, & non aliter, nec alio modo, as it was in Prince's Cafe, then none could make Title by Virtue of fuch a Leafe made by fuch special Administrator, even during the Minority of the Executor; because the Nature and Manner of the Administrator's Power appearing in the very Title which the Lessee must make to such Lease, this Lease would appear not to be purfuant thereto, because it could not be of Necessity, nor for the Use or Advantage of the Infant, fince it could not take Effect during the Life of the Tenant for Life; and therefore fuch Lease would be condemned as void presently.

### 8. Of Leases made by a Bailiff of a Manoz.

Tit. Leafes Cro Fac. 99. 1 Rol. Abr. 339.

A Bailiff of a Manor cannot, by Virtue of his Office, make Leafes for Bro. Tit. A Bailiff of a Manor cannot, by Virtue of his Office, make Leafes for Baily 40, 41. Years; for his Business is only to collect the Rents, gather the Fines, look after the Forfeitures, and fuch like; but he hath no Estate or Interest in the Manor itself, and therefore cannot contract for any certain Interest thercout; but the Lord of the Manor may give him a special Power to make Leafes for Years, as he may do to any Stranger; and then fuch Leafes, if they are pursuant to the Fower, and made in the Name of his Lord, will be good as Leafes by the Lord himself; for the Bailiff, tho' he hath fuch Power, cannot make them in his own Name; but a general Bailiff of a Manor may make Leases at Will, without any special Authority, because being to collect and answer the Rents of the Manor to his Lord, if he could not let Leases at Will, the Lord might sustain great Prejudice by Absence, Sickness, or other Incapacity to make formal Leafes, when any of the former Leafes were expired; and fuch Leafes at Will are for the Benefit of the Lord, and can be no ways prejudicial to him, because he may determine his Will when he thinks fit.

2 Chan. Ca. Sir Charles Huffey.

Also if a Bailiff of a Manor bath a special Power to make Leases for Years, as he ought to make them in the Name of his Master, so they Rothwell ver. ought to be made in Writing, that the Authority may appear to be purfued; therefore where a Bailiff conflituted by Writing to receive Rents, manage and let the Lands, made a Parol Leafe for eleven Years, and the Leffce, being turned out at Law upon an Ejectment, brought a Bill for Relief in Chancery, yet it was difmissed, because he had only a Parol Leafe, which the Bailiff had no Power to make.

## 9. Of Leafes made by a Guardian.

Lit. fed. 123, Vaugh, 18.

A Guardian in Socage may make Leafes for Years in his own Name, and the Lessee may maintain Ejechment thereupon; for this Guardian is Co.Lit 88,89, a Perfon appointed, not by any special Designation of the Party, but by the Wildom of the Law, in respect of the Lands descended to the Infant; fo that where no Lands descend there can be no such Guardian; and his Office originally was to instruct the Ward in the Arts of Tillage and Husbandry, that when he came of Age he may be the better able to perform those Services to his Lord, whereby he held his own Land; and tho' the Office now be in fome measure changed, as the Nature of the Tenure itself is, fince the Time that the Socage Tenants bought off their Perfonal Labours and Services with an annual Rent to the Lord, yet it is still called Socage Tenure, and the Guardian in Socage is still only where Lands of that kind (as most of the Lands in England now are,) descend to the Heir within Age; and tho' the Heir after sourteen may chuse

chuse his own Guardian, who shall continue till he is twenty-one, vet as well the Guardian before fourteen, as he whom the Infant shall think fit to chuse after fourteen, are both of the same Nature, and have the fame Office and Employment affigned to them by the Law, without any Intervention or Direction of the Infant himfelf; for they were therefore appointed, because the Infant, in regard of his Minority, was supposed incapable of managing himself and his Estate, and consequently derive their Authority, not from the Infant, but from the Law; and that is the Reason they transact all Affairs in their own Name, and not in the Name of the Infant, as they would be obliged to do, if their Authority were derived from him; and if their Authority were derived from him, it would by no means answer the Intention of the Law in appointing them; for then all Acts done by Virtue of such derivative Authority could be of no more Force than if done by the Person himself who gave that Authority, fince none can communicate more Power to another than he has himself; and that would invalidate all their Contracts, and make them savour of the same Imbecility as if made by the Insant himself; therefore to enable them to take effectual Care of the Infant, and his Affairs, the Law has invested them, not with a bare Authority only, but also with an Interest, till the Guardianship ceases; and to prevent their Abuse of this Authority and Interest, the Law has made them accountable to the Infant, either when he comes to the Age of fourteen Years, or at any Time after, as he thinks fit; and therefore their Authority and Interest extends only to such Things, as may be for the Benefit and Advantage of the Infant, and whereof they may give an Account; which is the Reason they cannot present to any Benefice in Right of the Heir, because they can make no Advantage thereof, (for that would be Simo-Vide Tits

ny,) and confequently have nothing therein whereof they can give an Guardian. Account; and therefore the Infant himself shall present thereto.

From what has been faid it appears, that a Guardian in Socage hath Bro. Tit. not only a bare Authority, but an Interest in the Lands descended, and Garden 19, therefore, during that Time, may make Leafes for Years in his own 70. Name, as any other who hath an Interest in Lands may do; for he is 98. quali Decinus pro Tempore; and therefore if he makes Leafes for Years Shopland to continue beyond the Time of his Guardianship, such Leases seem not ver. Ridler. to be absolutely void by the Infant's coming of Age, but only voidable 2. Rol. Abr. by him, if he thinks fit; for they were not derived barely out of the Brifden ver, Interest of the Guardian, or to be measured thereby, but take Effect Hussey. also by Virtue of his Authority, which, for the Time, was general and absolute; and therefore all lawful Acts done during the Continuance of that Authority are good, and may subsist after the Authority itself, by which they were done, is determined; and confequently the Infant, when he comes of Age, may by Acceptance of Rent or other Act, if he thinks fit, make fuch Leafes good and unavoidable; but a Guardian by (a) Nurture cannot make any Leases for Years, either in his own Name, (a) But note; or in the Name of the Infant; for he hath only the Care of the Person A Testamenand Education of the Infant, and hath nothing to do with his Lands dian, or one meerly in Virtue of his Office; for fuch Guardian may be, tho' the In- appointed fant hath no Lands at all, which a Guardian in Socage cannot.

12 Car. cap. 24 is the same in Office and Interest with a Guardian in Socage. Vaugh, 179.

A. lets Land to B. for four Years, and the Lands being holden in 1 Leon. 158, Bocage, and the Heir under fourteen, the Guardian in Socage by an 322. Socage, and the Heir under tourteen, the Guardian in Socage by an A-Leon. 7. Indenture, before the first Lease was expired, lets the same Lands in his Owen 45, 56. own Name to B. for eight Years; and if by this Acceptance of a new Willis and Lease from the Guardian in Socage the first Lease was surrendered, was Whitewood. the Question; and it is faid to be holden by the Court, that it was surrendered, or if it could not be properly called a Surrender, for Want of a

the Statute

(a) Hutton 105.

Reversion in the Guardian in Socage, yet they held, that at least the first Lease was thereby determined by Admittance of the Lessor's Power to make such present Lease, which, if the first should stand in the way, he could not do; and a Guardian in Socage hath Power to make Leafes for Years; and the this Case is cited in (a) Hutton to be no Surrender, yet it was in a Case, where the Question was of a Surrender strictly and properly fo called; and therefore tho' it were not to be cited for an Authority of a Surrender properly so called, yet it might amount to a Determination of the first Lease, which, in the principal Case, all the Court agreed in that it did; but they held, it would be otherwise in Case of such Lease made by a Guardian per Nurture, for he can only make Leases at Will; and therefore such second Lease at Will must be absolutely void, when the Lessee was in Possession already by Virtue of a Lease for Years.

Plow. 293. Osborne's Cafe.

If a Woman, who is Guardian in Socage to her Son, marries again, and the Husband and she join in a Lease of the Infant's Lands, this Leafe, upon the Death of the Husband, becomes void; for the Interest which she had in the Lands was in Right of the Infant, and therefore shall not bind her, as those Acts shall in which she joins with her Husband in parting with her own Possessions.

### 10. Of Leafes made pursuant to Authozity.

1 Rol. Abr. 330.

If one hath Power, by Virtue of a Letter of Attorney, to make Leafes for Years generally, by Indenture, the Attorney ought to make them in 9 Co. 76 b. 77. the Name and Stile of his Master, and not in his own Name; for the Cro. Eliz. 115. Letter of Attorney gives him no Interest or Estate in the Lands, but only an Authority to supply the Absence of his Master by standing in his Stead, which he can no otherwise perform than by using his Name, and making them just in the same Manner and Stile as his Master would do if he were present; for if he should make them in his own Name, tho' he added also, by Virtue of the Letter of Attorney to him made for that Purpose; yet such Leases seem to be void, because the Indenture being made in his Name, must pass the Interest and Lease from him, or it can pass it from no Body; for it cannot pass it from the Master immediately, because he is no Party; and it cannot pass it from the Attorney at all, because he has nothing in the Lands; and then his adding, by Virtue of the Letter of Attorney, will not help it, because that Letter of Attorney made over no Estate or Interest in the Land to him, and confequently he cannot, by Virtue thereof, convey over any to another; neither can such Interest pass from the Master mediately, or thro' the Attorney, for then the same Indenture must have this strange Effect at one and the same Instant, first to draw out the Interest from the Master to the Attorney, and from the Attorney to the Lesse, which certainly it cannot do; and therefore all fuch Leafes made in that Manner feem to be absolutely void, and not good, even by Estoppel, against the Attorney, because they pretend to be made not in their own Name abfolutely, but in the Name of another, by Virtue of an Authority which is not purfued; and therefore this Cafe of making Leafes by a Letter of Attorney, feems to differ from that of a Surrender of a Copyhold, or 9 Co. 76. 77. of Livery of Seisin of a Freehold, by Letter of Attorney; for in those Combes's Case. Cases when they say, Ho A. and B. as Attornies of C. or by Virtue of a Letter of Littorney from C. of fuch a Date, &c. do furrender, &c. or deliver to you Scifin of fush Lands; these are good in this Manner, because they are only Ministerial Ceremonies or Transitory Acts in Pais, the one to be done by holding of the Court Rod, and the other by delivering of a Turf or Twig; and when they do them as Attornies, or by

Virtue

Virtue of a Letter of Attorney from their Master, the Law pronounces thereupon as if they were actually done by the Master himself, and carries the Possession accordingly; but in a Lease for Years it is quite otherwise, for the Indenture, or Deed alone, convey the Interest, and are of the very Essence of the Lease, both as to the Passing it out of the Lessor at first, and its Subsistence in the Lessee afterwards; for the very Indenture, or Deed itself, is the Conveyance, without any subsequent Construction or Operation of Law thereupon; and therefore it must be made in the Name and Stile of him who has such Interest to convey, and not in the Name of the Attorney, who has nothing therein; but in the Conclusion of such Lease it is proper to say, In Witness whereof A. B. of fuch a Place, &c. in Purjuance of a Letter of Attorney hereunto annexed, bearing Date such a Day; or if the Letter of Attorney be general, and concerns more Lands than those comprised in the present Lease, then to say, In Pursuance of a Letter of Attorney, bearing Date fuch a Day, &c. a true Copy whereof is hereunto annexed, hath put the Hand and Seal of the Master; and so to write the Master's Name, and deliver it as the Act and Deed of the Master; in which last Ceremony of delivering it in the Name of the Master by such Attorney, this exactly agrees with the Ceremony of furrendering by the Rod, or making of Livery, by a Turf or Twig, by the Attorney, in the Name or as Attorney of his Master; which proves that there is a great Diversity between using the Name of the Attorney in the making of Leases, and using his Name in making a Surrender of Copyhold, or Livery of Scisin of a Freehold Estate.

The King, by Letters Patent, gave Authority to his Surveyor to Moor, pl. 191. make Leases of such Lands, reserving the antient Rent, and the Surveyor Dyer 132. makes Leafes by Indenture between the King ex una parte, and J. S. ex altera parte, and the Indenture Testatur quod Dominus Rex dimisit, &c. and the Conclusion was, in cujus rei testimonium the Surveyor Sigillum fuum apposuit; and the Court held these Leases to be void, because not pursuant to his Authority; for a Bailiff cannot make Leases in his own Name, tho' it be but de anno in annum, and of Lands usually let, but he ought to make them in the Name of his Master; fo here the Surveyor ought not to have put his own Seal to the Leafe, but the Seal of the King, for without the King's Seal it cannot be his Leafe; and the Manner of Pleading such Lease proves this, for the Words are; Quod Dominus

Rex per A. B. Sigillum suum apposuit; and a great Case was cited, where

such Lease by a Bailiss, in his own Name, was held to be void.

In Ejectment the Case was, that one A. devised Lands to B. his Son Cro. Eliz 678,

The Ejectment the Case was, that one A. devised Lands to B. his Son Cro. Eliz 678,

in Tail, with divers Remainders over, and makes one C. Overfeer of his 734. Piagot Will, and willed that he should have the Education of his Son till he came to the Age of Twenty-one, and to receive, set and let, for the fold P the fold Lands so since him and it was for the fold P. the fold Lands so since him and it was for the fold P. the fold Lands so since him and it was for the fold P. the fold Lands so since him and it was for the fold P. the fold Lands so since him and it was for the fold P. the fold Lands so since him and it was for the fold P. the fold Lands so since him and it was for the fold P. the fold Lands so since him and it was for the fold P. the fold Lands so since him and it was for the fold P. the fold Lands so since him and the fold P. the fold Lands so since him and the fold P. the fold Lands so since him the fold P. the fol faid B. the faid Lands fo given him, and thereof to Account to the faid B. being allowed his Charges, &c. C. makes a Leafe for feven Years in his own Name, with Refervation of Rent to himself; and this Leafe, by Computation, was to continue Half a Year after B.'s Attaining his full Age; and if this Leafe was good for any Part of the Term, was the Question; C. being dead, and B. not yet of Age; and it was argued to be good for the whole Term, or at least during the Minority of the Son, and only void for so much as exceeded the full Age of the Son. Son, and only void for so much as exceeded the full Age of the Son, and that C. had an Interest in the Land, and not a bare Authority only; for then all Leases must have been made in the Name of the Infant, and so he might avoid them whenever he thought fit, which the Testator never intended to impower him to do. But Popham, Clench and Fermer held that, as this Devise is, C. was but a Guardian for Nurture, and could not make Leafes at his own Will and Pleafure, for then he might make them for one Hundred Years; but here he can only make Leafes at Will, for there is no other Time certain appointed, and is but in the 5 M

Nature of a Bailiff, and accountable; and therefore it was adjudged that the Lease was void; from which Case it appears, that if the Authority had been sufficient to enable him to have made Leases for Years, such Leases made by him during the Continuance of that Authority would not have determined therewith, but should have sublisted during the whole Term for which they were made; and the Infant in fuch Case could not, when he came of Age, have avoided them, as he may Leaser made by his Guardian in Socage, if he thinks fit, because the Lessee would have been in by the Will and Devise, not by the Guardian par Nurture, admitting the Authority or Devise had been sufficient for that Purpose, which in none of the following Cases of Devises it seems to be.

Aloor 774. Yelv. 73. Carpenter and Collins. Dyer 26. b.

One devifed Lands to his Son when he comes to the Age of Twentyfour Years, and in the mean Time that his Executor shall have the Overfight and Dealing of all his Lands and Goods; this gives the Executor no Interest to make a Lease certain for Years, but only an Authority to oversee and order the Land in Right of the Son, and for his Use and Benefit, as wanting Difcretion to manage it himfelf; but the whole Estate remains in the mean Time in the Son by Descent, and the Executor can only make Leases at Will; for there is no express Devise to him of the Lands till the Son comes to Twenty-four, nor any express Authority to make Leafes for Years in the mean Time; and the Heir shall not be disinherited, tho' but for a Time, without a manifest Intent in the Will to that Purpose; and where in that Case the Son died before he came to Twenty-four Years of Age, it was held, that whether the Devise gave the Executor an Interest or an Authority only, yet it determined by the Death of the Son, whenever that happened, for it was only affixed to his Care of the Son, and confequently determined by his Death, and was never intended to exclude the next Heir till the Son should or might have attained his Age of Twenty-four Years; and then the Executor having Power to make Leafes at Will only, the next Heir may, whenever he thinks fit, determine them by Entry, or otherwise.

Cro. Eliz 190. Parker and Plummer. Cro. Eliz.252. Smith ver. Havens. Hob. 285. Balder ver. Blackbourn.

But if the Words of the Will had been, that the Executor should have the Land, or the Profits of the Land, to his own Use, without Account, till the Son should come to Twenty-four, provided, or to the Intent that he should bring up and educate his Child or Children; this would not only amount to a Trust and Confidence in the Executor, but would also fix such an Interest in him for answering the Purposes of the Will, as would go to his Executors, tho' he should die before the Son Dyer 210. fl. attained the Age of Twenty-four Years; and the Education of the Child, or Children, is no fuch Matter of Privity or Confidence, but that another may do it as well; and confequently in this Cafe, fuch Executor may make Leases for Years, till the Son should or might attain to the Age of Twenty-four Years; and this would not determine, tho' the Son should die before that Age, till by Computation of Time he might have attained that Age, if he had lived.

Moor, pl. 143. 3 Co. 19, 20. Boraston's Case. 1 Chan. Ca. 114. 2 Chan. Rep. 136.

One devised Lands to his Wife de Anno in Annum, till his Son should come to the Age of Twenty-one Years; this was by all the Justices held fuch an Interest only as would determine by the Death of the Son, tho before Twenty-one; for the Intent was only that his Wife should have the Lands during the Minority of the Son, by reason of his supposed Incapacity to manage them during that Time, which Reason is at an End by his Death; and this the rather appears to be his Intent by the Words de Anno in Annum, which are executory and applicable to each fingle Year, and shew his Caution, not to give it to his Wife for any determinate Number of Years, lest his Son should die in the mean Time, whose Death, or Attainment of Twenty-one Years, he intended should be the Defermination of the Wife's Interest; but by Dyer it would have been otherwise, if the Words had been, till the Son should or might come

to that Age; and therefore this Case differs from Boraston's Case, and the other Cases, which were adjudged, upon a special Reason, that for Payment of Debts, or for the Support and Provision of the Devisce or Executrix, or for Maintenace of his Children generally, where he had feveral; there in fuch Cases, tho' the Son to whom it was devised at fuch an Age should die before that Age, yet the Executrix, or Devisee, should have such an Interest vested in them for those Purposes, as fhould not determine till the Son should or might have attained that Age, if he had lived; and consequently such Executrix, or Devisee, may make Leases for Years, which shall continue as long as their own Interest therein.

### 11. Of Leafes made pursuant to powers in private Conbegances and Settlements.

As in the Settlements of Estates in Families, it is usual to limit but Vide ante the Case of Orby Estates for Life to the present Takers, to prevent their Power of Aliena- and Lord tion and Defeating their Issue of the Provision intended them by such Mehun, Let-Settlement; and yet it is necessary the Land comprized in such Settle-ter(E); and ments should be continued in the Occupation and Manurance of Tenants and Farmers, who, being skilled in the Arts of Husbandry, know best how are in a great to improve and manage them to Advantage; and therefore to incourage Measure the Industry of such Farmers, it is become customary to impower the Te-conformable nants for Life to grant Leases for a certain Time, which otherwise they could not do, having themselves but an uncertain Interest determinable tions reon their Deaths; it will therefore be necessary to consider these kind of quired un-Leases, and how far their Pursuing or Deviating from the several Powers der that whereon they are founded will invalidate them, and how far not; as Head. also upon what Sort of Settlements such Powers may be referved, and what not.

Tenant for Life, upon a Settlement made 12 Jac. 1. had Power to Vaugh 28 to make Leases of all or any the Lands which at any Time heretofore have 35. been usually demited or letten in Possession for three Lives, or any 37. Baruck Number of Years determinable on three Livas, or for Twenty-one Years, Tustian Trior under, referving the Rent thereupon now yielded or paid, or more, fram ver. fo long as the Lessees, their Executors and Assigns, duly pay the Rents, Viscountes and perform the Conditions, according to the true Meaning of their Indentures of Lease. The Tenant for Life makes a Lease of several Parts of those Lands, for Years determinable on three Lives, so long as the Lesses, their Executors and Assigns, duly pay the Rents, &c. (verbatim as in the Power) referving the same Rents which were reserved 12 7ac. 1. when the Settlement was made, and specifying particularly what those Rents were; and other Lands, called Loffeld, which were found not to have been in Lease since 12 Eliz. (when they were let for Twenty-one Years at 1001. per Ann. Rent) he now leafes those Lands for Twentyone Years, rendering 100 l. per Ann. Rent, and with the same Clause as in the other, viz. fo long as the Leffees, their Executors and Affigns, duly pay, &c. the Rents due at Mich' for the Lands in the first Lease. were found to, be in Arrear, but paid in a Month after; and the Rent upon the Lease of Losseld was duly tendered, but not received; and before the next Rent-Day the Defendant, as Heir at Law in Remainder, entered, upon whom the Lessess re-entered to maintain their Leases, &c. and I. It was agreed, that the Limitation in the feveral Leafes, so long as the Lessees, their Executors and Assigns, duly pay, &c. was well warranted by the Power being in Terminis the same with the Power, and therefore was good. 2. That by Non-payment of the Rent at the Days, the Leafes were determined, so that no Acceptance after could

fave or fet them up again. 3. It seemed to be agreed that the first Lease

was good, because expresly found, that the Rents reserved were the same as were referved 12 fac. 1. and that necessarily implies that those Lands were then in Leafe, and being antient Lands, they shall be presumed to have been usually demised; and the rather, because no Doubt was made of this at the Trial. But 4. It was adjudged that the Lease of Lofield was not warranced by the Power; 1. Because the Qualifications annexed to the Power of Leafing shew, that Land not so qualified was not to be leased; now the Land to be leased by Virtue of this Power, was such as had been usually let, which must be twice at least; but Losseld appears to have been let but once; therefore not within the Power; also ufually may fignify the common Continuance of Land in Leafe; as Land leased for 500 Years long since, is Land usually demised, tho' it were demised but once; but then the Words, at any Time, shew that it must be of Lands which had been usually at all Times let, which Lofield was not, being out of Leafe for above twenty Years before the Settlement; but chiefly and laftly this Leafe was adjudged void, because the Power was to make Leases, reserving the Rent thereupon now yielded or paid, viz. at the Time of the Settlement; and this Land not having been leased for twenty Years before, could be under no Reservation of Rent at that Time, and by Confequence the Rent thereupon then referved (which was none) could not be referved upon any After-Lease to be made; and the Words (or more) will not hold, because they are Words of Relation, and must refer to some Rent before, which here was none at all; and because more or less are Words of Comparison, and Comparatives necessarily suppose a Positive; but nothing, or no Rent, is a meer Privative; and yet the Objection against this Construction seems confiderable; for it was faid, that the Words (at any Time heretofore nfually demised) imply that some Lands were not then in Lease; therefore the Clause of reserving the Rents, which were then yielded and paid, must extend only to the Rent of such Lands as were then in Lease, and not for the others, which were not then in Lease; yet since he had a Power of leasing them, if they had been at any Time thereto-fore usually demised, he might lease them, reserving what Rent he (a) 2 Rol. Abr. pleased; and so is one (a) Book express in Point as to the Reservation; but the Difference between that Case and this is, that there the Power was to let all or any the Lands generally, without any Restraint; whereas this is restrained to Lands usually letten, which, as appears afore, this of Lofield was not, having been let but once; and therefore the very Power of Leasing fails as to that; otherwise the Refervation of a Rent, tho' none was referved before, seems no great Objection against the Lease; ideo Quare.

2 Fon. 31.

ford's Case.

1 Vent. 294. 2 Lev. 150. 3 Keb. 544. 547. Walker and Wake-

A Settlement was made to the Use of A. for Life, with Remainders over, provided that the Tenant for Life may make Leases of the Premisses, or any Part thereof, so as upon every Lease there be reserved 5 s. an Acre for every Acre of the Land or Premisses so demised; the Tenant for Life leases a Rectory, (which was not included within the Settlement, and confifted only of Tithes, without any Glebe,) referving Rent, or without any Rent at all referved; and if this were a good Lease within this Power, was the Question; it was argued not, because Construction is to be made upon the whole Clause, and the latter Words, which appoint the Rescription of 5s. Rent for every Acre of Land, shall restrain the general Import of the Word Premisses to Land only, which can only confift of Acres; otherwise it may as well be faid, where a Power is to make Leases, so as the antient Rent be reserved, that you may, by Virtue of this Power, lease Lands which were never before demised, and that the Words antient Rent shall only be applied to the Lands which had been antiently or usually demised; but it was answered and resolved by the Court, that this Lease was within the

Power, and so would a Lease of Lands, not usually demissed, in the Case before put; for the Power being General and Affirmative at first to make Leafes of all or any Part, the Restraint which comes after under the so as, &c. shall be extended no further than the very Words themfelves import, that is, in the one Cafe to fo much an Acre for that which confifts of Acres, and to the antient Rent for that which was antiently or usually demised in the other; and this Resolution was founded chiefly on Cumberford's Case, where the Power was to make 2 Rol. Abr. Leafes of all or any Part, fo as fuch Rent, or more, were referved 262. upon every Leafe which was referred within the Space of two Years before; and a Leafe was made of Part of these Lands which had not been demifed within two Years before; and it was refolved to be a good Lease, and that he might reserve any Rent he pleased, because the Power was general to lease all, and therefore the restrictive Clause should be applied only to such Lands as had been demised within two Years before; but Hale, in the principal Case, said, if it had been res integra, he might perhaps be of another Opinion. Note also, this Case seems against the Case of Vaugh. 35. before.

If Land hath been leased, by Virtue of a Contract, from Year to 2 Rol. Abr.

Year for three Years, this cannot be faid to be usually letten, because 262.

this is but one Leafe, tho' renewable every Year.

If a Feoffment in Fee be made to the Use of A. for Life, Remainder 1 Co 134. a. to B. in Tail, with Power for A. to make Leafes referving, or fo that 139. Report St. he referve the accustomable Rent, payable to all those who shall have 8 Co. 71. a. the Reversion or Remainder; if A. makes Leafes accordingly, these 1 And. 273. Leafes derive their Essence out of the Feossmann, and after they are Harcourt ver. made do, in Point of Time, precede all the other Estate limited by that Poole. Feossment; so that the Rent thereupon referved, shall go with the Re- 261. version or Remainders thereby limited, as a Rent properly so called, and 2 30n. 35. not as a Sum in Gross; and therefore those in Reversion or Remainder may diffrain, or have an Action of Debt for Recovery of it, as if they were seised in Fee, and had made such Lease; and where one (a) Book (a) 100 139. calls it a Sum in Grofs, this is denied to be Law in (b) another, and (b) in 2 fon. feveral Books cited to prove it a Rent; but in (e) Poph. it is faid to which is cihave been a Doubt, in the Lord Dyer's Time, if such Leases should be ied 1 And. good, unless there were a Clause, that the Feoffees, and their Heirs, 273. 2 Rol. should stand seised to the Use of such Lessees; for which Reason it may Abr. 261. not perhaps still be amiss to insert such a Clause, tho such Leases have ever fince been held to be good without fuch Claufe; for fince the fame Deed that limits the Estates to A, and B, gives A. Power to make Leafes for fuch a determinate Time, these Leases cannot be derived out of the Interest of A. for that being but for his own Life, is not commensurate to such Leases, which at all Events are to last for such a Time; and if fuch Leafes were to determine upon A's Death, the Power would be nugatory and idle, because without it he might have made fuch Leafes; but the Power being to make Leafes which shall endure longer than the Life of A. these Leases, when they are made, must be derived out of the same Root as the Estate of A. himself is, that is, out of the Estate of the Feosfees, who for that Purpose have a Kind of Scintilla Juris left in them to serve such suture Leases when they are made, and by Consequence must be seised to the Use of such Lessees, and then the Statute of 27 II. 8. prefently carries the Possession accordingly, and the Power, being coeval with the other Estates, may well subject them to the Execution thereof, since he who is Master of his own Estate, may dispose of it upon what Terms he thinks fit.

But these Leases can only be made by Virtue of such Powers upon Estates executed by Transmutation of Possession; therefore if one bargains and fells Lands to another by Indenture enrolled for the Life of the Bargainee, with Power for the Bargainee to make Leases for three Lives, Popl. 81.

Vol. III,

or twenty-one Years, yet this is of no Effect to give him any such Power; for here is no Transmutation of the Possession at Law, but only a Use raifed by Virtue of the Confideration, to which the Statute immediately carries a Possession, according to that Use; but for the Residue of the Estate, it continues wholly in the Bargainor, as it was before; and then the Persons who are to be the Lessees being unknown, no Confideration can arise from them to the Bargainor, and by Consequence no other Use can then be drawn out of him; and if the Use does not arise at the Time of the Bargain and Sale, it can never arise after; because when the Deed is once perfected, its Operation, as to creating any new or further Interest, is then at an End, and confequently no Leafes can be made upon fuch a Conveyance, for Want of a Confideration to raise a Use to the Lesses.

1 Co. 176. Aloor 144, 145. a Rol. Abr. 3 Keb. 809. Raym. 247. Baynes ver. Belfon.

So if one covenants to stand seifed to the Use of himself for Life, Remainder to his Wife for Life, with divers Remainders over, with a Power for the Covenantor, for divers good Caufes and Confiderations, to make Leafes for Lives or Years, &c. this Power is perfectly void, so that he Leales for Lives or Years, Gc. this Power is perfectly void, to that he  $Cro. \mathcal{F}ac.$  180. cannot by Virtue thereof make Leafes, even to his Sons or Daughters, or any other of his Blood, much less to Strangers; because such general Confideration can raife no Use at all, and no Averment of a particular Confideration can help it; because his Intent appears to be general, with regard to the Persons to take such Leases, as to the Consideration whereon they are to be made; for his Intent then was not to demife to one Person more than to another; and since such Leases are to arise and take their Effect out of the Estate of the Covenantor, there must be a Consideration to raife a Use for that Purpose at the Time of the Covenant made, which in this Cafe there cannot be, when neither the Persons nor the Confideration are known; and if there be no Confideration to raife fuch Use at the Time of the Covenant perfected, it can never arise after, because the further Operation of the Deed then ceases; but upon a Feoffment, Fine, or Recovery, where the Estate is executed, and a Change of the Possession made presently, there no Consideration is requisite to raife any of the Uses; and then, by Virtue of the Power which is created at the same Time with the Conveyance itself, the Lease may be made at any Time after.

I Chan. Ca. 101, 263-4 Prince and Green versus Chandler. 40 Eliz. 3 Chan. Ca. 91.

And yet where one covenanted to stand seised to the Use of himself for Life, Remainder to his eldest Son, with Power for himself to lease a finall Part for forty Years, which he accordingly afterwards did, for a Provision for a younger Child; and tho' at Law this was not good, yet the Lord Chancellor Egerton, upon a Bill in Chancery, decreed, there should be Relief; because the Son claimed by the same Conveyance by which the Power was limited, and the Conveyance was intended to have been by Livery, but that the Father was advised such Covenant to stand feised would do as well; also the Law in Mildmay's Case was not then adjudged; fo that neither the Party, nor his Counsel, did then know but that fuch Power was warranted by Law.

Godb. 327. pl. 419.

A Husband seised of Lands in Right of his Wife, he and his Wife levy a Fine to the Use of themselves for their Lives, and after to the Use of the Heirs of the Wife; Proviso, that it shall and may be lawful to and for the faid Husband and Wife, at any Time during their Lives, to make Leafes for twenty-one Years, or three Lives; and the Wife, being Covert, made a Lease for twenty-one Years; and it was adjudged a good Leafe against the Husband, tho' made when she was a Feme Covert, and altho' it was made by her alone, by reason of the Proviso: This is the Case Verbatim, as it is put in the Book: But surely the Reporter must be mistaken; for as it is put, there appears no Power for the Wife solely to make Leases, but only in Conjunction with her Husband; therefore the Power must be intended for the Wife solely, or for the Husband and Wife, or either of them; and then, no Doubt, such Lease by the Wife

alone will bind the Husband, because it takes its Effence out of the line,

to which both were Parties, and confenting.

A. feised of a Reversion in Fee expectant upon an Estate for Life to B 8 Ce, 69, 70. covenants to levy a Fine, &c. to the Use of himself for Life, Remainder 2 Rel. Alr. to the Use of himself in Tail, &c. with Power to A. to make any Lease 260. Whito the Use of Leases in Possession or Reversion of all or any the Premisses, provided that such Lease, or Leases, non excedat super numerum trium Vitarum and Lutwich ver. Majus, or twenty-one Years, and so as the accustomable Rent be re- Piggott. ferved, payable during such Lease or Leases; a Fine is levied accordingly; then A. makes a Lease to C. for ninety-nine Years, if two Lives should fo long live, to begin after the Death of B. rendering 141. per Annum (the antient Rent) to him, his Heirs, and Affigns, and to fuch Person and Persons to whom the Inheritance shall after his Death appertain; and if this was a good Lease, pursuant to his Power, was the Question; and adjudged that it was; because the first Part of the Power was to make Leafes absolutely and indefinitely, in Possession or Reversion, and the Restraint which came after was only, that they should not exceed three Lives, or twenty-one Years, which this Leafe here does not; for tho' it is for ninety-nine Years, yet it is determinable on two Lives, which is less; also the Power being to make Leases as well in Reversion as in Possession, and for Lives as well as Years, could not have been executed, as to making Leases for Lives, in any other Manner; for they could not be made for Lives in Reversion, as they may for Years determinable on Lives; and a Lease in such Manner was most consonant to the Nature of his Estate, which was but a Reversion after the Estate for Life to B. But the Court agreed, that if one hath Power generally to make Leafes for three Lives, he cannot make a Lease for ninety-nine Years, if three Lives fo long live; for this is not purfuant to his Power, which was only to make Leases for three Lives; and there being no other Liberty given in the Power, he cannot vary from it; because such Powers being to charge the Inheritance of a third Person, are to be taken strictly. 2. It was adjudged, (a) that the Refervation was good, because such Lease, (1) 1 Ated. after it is made, comes in by Virtue of the Power above all the Limita- 108. tions, and takes its Essence, not out of the Estate for Life, but out of 2 Lev. 27. the Estate of the Conuzees before all the other Estates, and then they coming in after, in the Nature of Reversioners, the Reservation to them is good.

It was faid by the Judges, in 3 Keb. that the Construction in Whitlock's 3 Keb. 746. Case, that a Person, having Power to make Leases for three Lives, could not make a Lease for ninety-nine Years, determinable on three Lives, was too nice, and expresly contrary to the Intent of the Parties.

Yet in a late Case, where A. made a Settlement, and limited the Mich. 8 George Estate to himself for Life, Remainder to his Son B. for Life, with seve- 2. Rattle ral Remainders over, with a Power to B. when he came into Possession, ver. Postam to a figure or limit the same to any Woman that he should married in B. R. to affign or limit the fame to any Woman that he should marry, or for the Use or in Trust for her, in lieu of her Jointure; B. on his Intermarriage, by Deed reciting his Power, demifed the Estate to Trustees for ninety-nine Years, in Trust for her, if she should so long live; and tho' it was agreed, that this was no greater Estate than by the Power he was enabled to make, being to determine on her Death, and that an Estate for Years was, in the Eye of the Law, of shorter Duration than an Estate for Life; yet it was resolved, that the Power being positive, and specifying what Estate was to be limited, ought to be construed and pursued strictly, being to arise out of the Estate of a third Person; and (a) 8 Co. 70. they agreed the Rule laid down in (a) Whitlock's Case, that all positive Ley 74. they agreed the Rule laid down in (a) Whitlock's Case, that all positive Co. 45. particular Powers must, in all material Circumstances, be positively and S. Ruse laid particularly purfued.

One had Power in Effect to make Leases for the Lives of A. B. and C. 3 Keb. 44. and he makes a Lease to them for their three Lives, and the Life of the Alles versus. longer Pine.

longer Liver of them; and this was held to be fufficient within the Power, because for three Lives generally; and for three Lives, and the longer Liver of them, is all one, fince without fuch Words it would have

gone to the Survivor.

2 Bulf 216. 1 Rol Rep. 12. 2 Rol. Abr. Fox versus Prickwood.

Tenant in Fee makes a Lease for Life, and after levies a Fine to the Cro Fac. 347. Use of 7. S. for fifteen Years, Remainder to the Use of himself for Life, with Power for himself to make Leases for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, in Possession; and the Question was, if by Virtue of this Power he might make Leases during the Continuance of the Term for fifteen Years, or not till after that was ended; and per Curiam clearly, he may make Leases presently in Possession; for the Power issues out of the whole Estate, and by Virtue thereof he may make Leases in Possession prefently, and need not stay till the Term ended, or that the Lands came into Possession; also the Termor shall have the Rent reserved thereon; and they agreed, that, as this Power was, he could not make Leases in Reversion, but the Term of fifteen Years was immediately subject to the Power, and when that is executed it will charge the Possession.

Palm. 468. Cro. Eliz. 5. 6 Co. 33. 1 Leon. 35. 3 Leon. 130. 4 Leon. 64. Raym. 247.

Tenant for Life, with Power to make Leafes for twenty-one Years, rendering the antient Rent, makes a Lease for twenty-one Years, to begin such a Day after; this is not pursuant to the Power, and consequently void, because pro Tempore it is a future Lease, which this Power does not warrant, but it ought to be made in Possession; for if he might Moor 199. make them in Reversion or in futuro, tho' but a Month after, he may Poph. 9. as well make them to begin twenty Years after, or after his Death, Cro. 7a 318. and so defeat the Intent of the Power, which being to charge the Estates 2Rol. Abr. 261. of third Persons, is to be taken strictly.

Dyer 357. 3 Leon. 71. 1 Leon. 36. 4 Leon. 66. 2 Rol. Abr. 261.

But where a Husband seised of Lands in Right of his Wife made a Lease for twenty-one Years pursuant to 32 H. 8. and after by a private Act of Parliament it was enacted, that the Husband should have those Lands for his Life, Remainder to his Wife for Life, and that all Leafes and Grants thereof made or to be made by the Husband for three Lives, or twenty-one Years, referving the antient Rent, should be good; and the Husband after made a Lease of these Lands, to begin after the Expiration of the first Lease, and it was held good; for the Lands being in Lease at the Time of the making of the Act, the Intent of the Act seems to warrant fuch Leafe in Reversion, and the rather, because there was no Restraint from making Leases in Reversion, as there is in 32 H. 8. which feems implicitly to give a Power of leafing them in Reversion; but they agreed, that if the Lands had not been in Lease at the Time of making the Act, or if a Leafe had been made in Possession pursuant to the Act, the Husband could not in either of these Cases have made a Lease in Reversion, or to begin at a future Time; because then the Power might well be executed by making Leafes in Possession, which here, having but a Reversion himself, he could not; also they held, that a Commission from the Queen to make Leases for twenty-one Years, to fave her the Trouble of making them, would not warrant Leafes in Reverfion.

2 Rel. Abr. 261. Hele verfus Green, adjudged on a ipecial Veraitt.

One possessed of a Manor for ninety-nine Years by his Will devises it to A. his Wife, for her Life, with Power to let, fet, or make Estates out of it, and that in as ample Manner as I myself might, if I were living; and after the Death of A. he devises it to B. his Daughter, and the Heirs of her Body begotten, and dies, and A being his Executrix, confents to the Devile, and after makes a Lease of Part of the said Manor to C. for ninety-nine Years, if three Lives fo long live, and dies; this was adjudged a good Lease against B. the Daughter; tho' it was objected, that the had Power to dispose of it only during her own Life, because otherwife she might descat the Remainder limited to the Daughter; but the Court held, that the Disposition made by her should continue after her Death, otherwise the Power would be meerly idle, fince without it she

might have disposed of it during her own Life.

One seised of Lands in Fee makes a Lease for ninety-nine Years, if 1 Lev. 167. three Lives should so long live, and after settles the Reversion on him- 1 81d 260. felf in Tail, with Power to make Leafes for one, two, or three Lives, or Raym. 132. for twenty-one Years in Possession, and after he makes a Leafe for twenty- 910. Opey one Years, to begin after the Expiration of the first Lease; and if this ver. Tromawas pursuant to his Power, was the Question; and the Court agreed, sius; & vide that where Tenant in Possession makes a Settlement with Power to make 1 Cham. Ca. Leases generally, there he can only make Leases in Possession; but where 17. he that makes the Settlement had only a Reversion at the Time, there he may make Leafes out of that Reversion; for that agrees with the Intention of the Parties, which is to be the Guide in the Construction of all fuch Powers; but here the Power being expresly to make Leases in Possession, this Lease, which was of the Reversion only, is not within the Power, as the Court seemed to agree; tho' it was urged, that a Lease in præsenti of the Reversion was consonant to the Intent of the Parties, and such a Lease in Possession as the Nature of his Estate would admit of; ideo Quære: And note, The Case of Slocomb and Hawkins, as it is reported in Cro. Jac. seems to impeach the Diversity agreed on by the Cro. Jac 318. Court; for there it is put, that Tenant in Fee of a Manor, which was Slecomb ver. then in Lease for Years, levies a Fine thereof to the Use of himself for Hawkins. Life, Remainder to his eldest Son in Tail, with Power for the Tenant S. C. for Life to make Leafes at any Time for twenty-one Years; and before the first Lease expired the Tenant for Life made a Lease for twenty-one Years, to begin after the Determination of the first Lease, and died; and tho' the Settlement itself was of a Reversion, and the Power general, yer this Lease in Reversion was adjudged void, for that, as the Court said, it ought to have been a Lease in Possession; but Telverton, who reports the same Case, mentions it as a Settlement of Lands in Possession, and that the Tenant for Life made a Leafe for twenty-one Years, and after, before the Expiration thereof, made another Leafe for twenty-one Years, to begin after the Expiration of the first Lease; and this second Leafe was adjudged clearly void, and contrary to the Meaning of the

Power. Devisee for Life, with Power to make Leases for twenty-one Years, 1 Leoni 47-8. whereupon the old accustomed yearly Rent shall be referved, makes a Read and Lease for twenty-one Years, under the old Rent, &c and a Year before Nafb. the Expiration of that Lease he makes a Lease to another for twenty-one Years, to begin prefently; this Leafe feems to be good within his Power as a concurrent Lease, because it is no Charge upon the Reversion, nor is there any more than twenty-one Years in toto against the Reversioner; but this Power would not warrant the making of Leases in Reversion; for then he might charge the Inheritance ad Infinitum.

Tenant for Life, with Power to make Leases for three Lives, or twenty- 9 Co. 76. a. one Years, cannot make fuch Leafes by Letter of Attorney, by Virtue of 1 Rol. Abr. his Power; because such Leases not being derived out of the Interest of 330. the Tenant for Life, but by an Authority derived from the Tenant in Fee, and to charge the Estate of third Persons, the Trust for that Purpose is personal, and cannot be delegated to another.

A. makes a Lease to B. for Life, and after levies a Fine to the Use of Noy 66.

C. for Life, Remainder to himself in Fee, with a Proviso or Power to Cook versus make Leases for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, and that the Conuzees Bromebill. make Leases for twenty-one Years, or three Lives, and that the Conuzees should stand seised to such Uses, afterwards A. covenants to stand seised to the Use of D. in Tail, with divers Remainders over, and after grants the Reversion aforefaid to E. for Life, who distrains B. and avows, and Judgment was given against the Avowant; because by the Covenant to stand seised, &c. A had destroyed his Power of making Leases, and by Vol. III.

Consequence the Grant to E. not being derived thereout, could not affect any of the preceding Estates.

I Chan. Ca. Pawey and Bowen.

v Chan. Ca. 10. Pollard

Moor 514, 611, 645. 2 Lev. 149. 1 Vent. 291. 3 Keb. 512. no Livery; but Hale thought it no Forfeiture, because by the scaling of the Deed the Lease takes Effect, and then the Livery comes too late.

Carth. 427-8. 2 Salk. 537.

5 Mod. 244.

378. Winter

ver. Loveday.

One hath Power to make a Lease for ten Years, and he makes a Lease for twenty Years; yet in Equity this is good for ten Years, and so has been settled several Times.

One having Power to make Leases for twenty-one Years in Possession, made a Lease to A. for twenty-one Years in Trust for the Payment of ver. Greenvill. Debts; but the Leafe was made to commence from a Time to come, and fo not purfuant to the Power; yet being made for the Payment of Debts, was supported in Equity.

If one makes a Feoffment in Fee to the Use of himself for Life, with Power to demife, leafe, grant, or devife the Lands for three Lives, or twenty-one Years, yet this gives him no other Power in Effect than to limit the Use of the Land for three Lives, or twenty-one Years; for all accord, that Leases to be made by him by Virtue of such Power take their Essence it is the best out of the original Feossment; therefore if he makes a Lease for three Way to make Lives, and makes Livery of the Land, this is a Forfeiture of his own Estate for Life; because he himself being only Tenant for Life, cannot out of that Estate make such Leases; and when he takes upon him to make Livery of the Land, he takes upon him to make the Lease as Owner of an Estate sufficient for that Purpose, which he is not; and to make such Leases no Livery is requisite, because they taking Effect out of the first Feoffment, the Livery made upon that is sufficient to supply all the future Limitations to be made in Pursuance thereof; but if he pursues the Words of the Power, and says only, I demise or lease such Lands to you for three Lives, this is sufficient, and will be taken in Execution of the Power a good Lease for three Lives; so if he only says, I limit the Use to you for three Lives, &c. this likewise is sufficient, because this in Effect is the Substance of his Power, and the Statute presently carries the Possession after such Use: So if one hath Power only to limit new Uses, and he gives or devises, &c. the Land itself, this is also good, and enures to a Limitation of the Use; because the Use is but an Equity to have the Land itself, and when he gives, demises, or devises the Land itself, he also gives all his Use and Equity therein, and then the Statute executes the Possession accordingly.

It was found by a special Verdict, that A. being seised of the Manor of M. did, on his Son's Marriage, settle the same to the Use of himself for Life, and after to the Use of his Wife for Life, then to the Son in Tail, with the following Proviso or Power; viz. That it should be lawful for the said A. during his Life, and for his Wife, after his Death, during her Life by Deed indented to make Leases, either in Possession for the Term of one, two, or three Lives, or for the Term of thirty-one Years, or for any other Icrm or Terms, Number or Numbers of Years, determinable upon one, two, or three Lives, or in Reversion for one or two Lives, or for thirty Years, or for any other Term or Number of Years, determinable upon one or two Lives, so as such Demise be not made of any the Antient Demessie Lands, Parcel of the said Manor, or of any other Lands which for the Space of seven Years had been read as Demessie Lands and so as the retiret Posts and Lears had been used as Demessie Lands, and so as the antient Rent was reserved; afterwards A. by Deed makes an absolute Lease for thirty Years of Copyhold Lands, Parcel of the said Manor, which were in the Tenure of J. S. for the Term of two Lives, to commence after the two Lives then in Being; and in this Case it was held by Holt Chief Justice, Turton and Eyre Justices, contra Rokesby, 1. That a Lease of Copyhold Lands was not warranted by the Power, being within the Exception of Antient Demesne Lands, all Copyhold Lands being Antient Demesne, it being an inseparable Quality of every Copyhold, that it was Time out of Mind Parcel of the Manor. 2. It was held by the faid Justices, against Rokesby, that a Lease for thirty Years absolute in Reversion after

two Lives, might be made by A. or his Wife of any Lands which were in their Power of Leasing; and herein Helt held, that a Lease to commence at any Day to come, is properly a Leafe in Reversion; but in this Case it signifies a Lease to commence after some Interest in Being at that very Time when the Leafe in Reversion was made; that this Power to lease for Life in Reversion must be taken to be a Lease of the Reverfion itself, and not a concurrent Lease, and that it cannot be otherwise, because a Freehold cannot commence in futuro; and where there is a Power given to make Leases in Possession and Reversion, in such Case if a Lease is made in Possession, and afterwards some Life drops, he cannot make a new Leafe in Reversion of the same Lands, because his Power is executed by making the first Lease; that where a Qualification is annexed to a Power of leasing, which, if observed, goes in Destruction of the Power, the Law will dispense with such Qualification; as where there is a Power to make a Lease of a Manor, or of any Part thereof, fo as the antient Rent is referved, yet he may by this Power make a Lease of the Services, Parcel of the Manor upon which no Rent can be referved, otherwise the express Power would be defeated.

A Man made a voluntary Settlement on his Son for Life, and after to Abr. Eq. his first and other Sons in Tail, with Power to the Son to make a Lease Gooding ver. in Possession for ninety-nine Years, determinable on three Lives, and also to make Leases for fixty Years, to commence after his Death, if he had Issue Male, to continue so long as he had Issue Male; the Son makes a Lease to his Father in Trust for one of his younger Children, but the Lease was not pursuant to the Power; yet it was decreed good, and taken to be a Lease made by the Father after a voluntary Settlement.

## (K) By what Form of Mords Leases may be made.

HERE it may be laid down for a Rule, That whatever Words are fufficient to explain the Intent of the Parties, that the one shall devest himself of the Possession, and the other come into it for such a determinate Time, whether they run in the Form of a Licence, Covenant, or Agreement, are of rhemselves sufficient, and will in Construction of Law amount to a Leafe for Years as effectually as if the most proper and pertinent Words had been made use of for that Purpose; and on the contrary, if the most proper and authentick Form of Words, whereby to describe and pass a present Lease for Years, are made use of, yet if upon the whole Deed there appears no fuch Intent, but that they are only preparatory and relative to a future Leafe to be made, the Law will rather do Violence to the Words, than break thro' the Intent of the Parties; for a Leafe for Years being no other than a Contract for the Possession and Profits of the Lands on the one Side, and a Recompence of Rent or other Income on the other, if the Words made use of are sufficient to prove fuch a Contract, in what Form foever they are introduced, or however variously applicable, the Law calls in the Intent of the Parties, and models and governs the Words accordingly.

My Lord Coke tells us, the Words demise, grant, betake, and to Farm Co. Lit 45 b. let, and whatever other Words amount to a Grant, may serve for a Lease 2 Mod. 250. for Years.

So, he fays, dedi is a sufficient Word to make a Lease for Years.

Co. Lit. 301 . b.

Bro. Tit. Leases 71. 4 Inft. 111, Co. Lit. 45. b. 2 Co. 17. a.

But there are feveral other Words which are equally fufficient to make a Lease for Years; therefore in Case of the King, if he makes a Lease for Years, under the Exchequer Seal, in these Words; Sciatis aucd nos commissimus Custodiam of such Land to such a one, this is a good Lease, and the Lessee may plead it as a Demise or Lease of the Land itself; for this sufficiently shews the Intent of the King to depart with the Possession of the Land for the Time, and therefore amounts to an effectual Leafe; and this being the Ufage in the Exchequer, all other Courts are bound to take Notice thereof.

5 H. 7. 1. 1 Leon. 129. 3 Bulf. 252. 1 Sid. 428. 1 Mod. 14. 2 Keb. 561. 2 Lev. 194. 3 Kcb. 761. Hard. 366.

So if one only license another to enjoy such a House or Land till such a Time, this amounts to a present and certain Lease or Interest for that Time, and may be pleaded as such, tho it may be also pleaded as a Lease for Years and traversed, the Lessee may give the Licence in Evidence to prove it.

1 Leon. 136, 303. 173. Owen 97. 1 Rol. Abr. 847. 3 Bulf. 252. Etz. Tit. Affife, pl. 412.

So if A, by Articles covenants with B, that he shall have or enjoy such Land for such a Time, this is a good and effectual present Lease, Cro. Eliz. 1, because here are sufficient Words to prove a Contract, that the one shall relinquish the Possession, and the other come into it; but if the Covenant had been with B. that C. a third Person, should have or enjoy fuch Lands of A, for such a Time, or that the Executors of B, should have or enjoy it for that Time, this would be no Leafe to C. the Executors of B. but only a bare Covenant with B. for when these Words have their natural and binding Force as a Covenant with B. they cannot at the same Time have a different Construction, and amount to a Lease to C. or the Executors of B. who are Strangers to the Contract, and no Parties to the Deed, nor the Executors of B. yet in effe; neither can these Words amount to a Lease to B. because the Intent is manifest that he himself is to have nothing in the Land, but is only a Trustee of the Covenant for C. or his Executors; also if these Words should amount to a Lease to C. or the Executors of B. when they came in effe, this would take off from their Operation as a Covenant with B. for the same Words cannot at the same Time have two different Conftructions and Operations; and it cannot be faid they are a Covenant with B. by the first Words, and a Lease to C. or the Executors of B. by the last Words; for that C. or the Executors of B. shall enjoy the Land, is the very Explanation of the Covenant with B. and gives Life and Force to it, and without that he covenants with B. for nothing; for till these Words are added, the Covenant with D. is but a dead Letter, and has no Meaning or Sense in it.

Bro. Tit. 60. Nov 14. Palm. 201. 1 Leon. 118, 3 Bulf. 252. 1 Fon. 231. Hob. 35. Moor S61. 1 Rol. Rep. 3 Bulf. 204. 1 Rol. Abr. 847. Yelv. 85.

So where one by Articles Covenants, Grants and Agrees with 7. S. Leafes 20,30, that he shall have such Lands, or have, hold and enjoy such Lands for so many Years, these are Words sufficient to shew a present Contract for Cro. Jac. 42, the Lessee's enjoying of these Lands, and therefore amount to a present Lease of them as effectually as if there had been the V. ords dimisit, locavit, or fuch like; and tho' there were in the same Articles a Covenant to make a good and perfect Lease, as Counsel should advise, yet that would not prevent or destroy the Operation of the first Words as a pre-Crc. Car. 207. fent Leafe, such Covenant only being in Majorem Cautelam, that the Lessee might require further Assurance by Fine, or the like, if he found it necessary; and the Difference is, where such Articles, by way of 2 Brownl. 23. Covenant, are made by him who is Owner of the Land; and where they are made by a Stranger, or one who has then nothing in the Lands; in the first Case they amount to a present and absolute Lease, but not in the other, because a Man cannot be supposed to lease what he has not; or if it might be fo supposed, yet when it appears in the very Articles

1 Brownl. 136. Cro. Eliz. 223. Bro. Tit. Leafes 21. only cont. per Fineux.

that he has nothing in the Lands, his Covenant then can have no other Construction, but that he will procure the Owner of the Lands to permit the Covenantee to hold and enjoy those Lands; which is the proper and natural Interpretation of the Words of Covenant, when he

himself has nothing whereof to make a Lease.

A Controversy was between two Persons touching a Lease for Years. Cro. Eliz 233 A Controveriy was between two regions touching a Leale for 1 cars, which of them had Title to it, and they submitted to the Award of Trustee and 7. S. who awarded that one of them should have the Land; this was rewer. held to be a good Gift of the Interest of the Land, that is, an Award, 2 Keb. 268. that the whole Lease, or Interest in the Land for the Term then to come, belonged to one exclusive of the other; but if the Award had been, that the one should permit the other to enjoy the Term, this, it is said, would not have given him the Interest in the Land, nor would amount to a Leafe; that is, as I suppose, because the Permission being to come from the other Party, the Interest must be supposed to be and continue in him; and it could not amount to a Lease, or an Award of a Lease; not to a Leafe, either from the Arbitrator or the other; not from the Arbitrat r, because he had nothing in the Land, and was only to award what the other should do; not to a Lease from the other, because it was only the Act and Award of the Arbitrator; neither could it amount to an Award of a Leafe from the other, because it was only that he should permit the other to enjoy the Term, which he might do without making a Leafe; and the Words being spoken by the Arbitrator, who was a third Person, cannot have the same Operation as if they had been spoken by one who had Interest in the Lands to another, but must be taken according to the Literal Sense and Meaning thereof.

Articles indented in Writing were made between A. and B. in this Cro. Eliz. 486. Manner: Imprimis, it is covenanted and agreed between the Parties, that Moor, pl. 638. A. doth let such Lands for and during five Years, to begin at Mich' next 847. following, under 10 l. a Year Rent; or provided that the Lessee shall 2 Rol. Abr. pay 10 l. at Mich' and Lady-day, by even Portions during the Term; 449-also the said Parties do Covenant, that a Lesse shall be made and sealed, Palm. 201. according to the Effect of these Articles, before the Feast of All Saints next ensuing; yet this was held to amount to an immediate Lease, by reason of the first Words in the present Tense, and that the last Words were only for making fuch a Leafe in Writing for further Affurance; and the rather here, because the Lease to be sealed was to be made after the

Beginning of the Term.

One faid to another, you shall have a Lease of my Lands in D. for 2 Bendl. 7. Twenty-one Years, paying therefore to l. per Ann. make a Lease in Moor, pl. 31. Writing and I will feal it; this was agreed by all the Justices to be a Cro. Eliz 33, 306. good Parol Lease for Twenty-one Years, tho' no Writing was made of it, (being before the Statute of Frauds) for the Intent of the Parties was sufficiently expressed, and the making of it in Writing was but for

further Assurance, and lest to the Lessee, if he thought it necessary.

One made his Will in this Manner: I have made a Lease to J. S. 2 Bendl. 3 for Term of Twenty-one Years, paying but 20 s. Rent; this was held a good Lease or Devise by the Will for Twenty-one Years, and that the Word have should be taken in the present Tense, as Dedi is in a Deed

of Feoffment, to comply with the Intent of the Testator.

But now on the contrary, if the most proper Form of Words of whereWords Leasing are made use of, yet if upon the whole Deed there appears no of Covenant, fuch Intent, but that it is only Preparatory and Relative to a future in the Case of Lease to be made, the Law will rather do Violence to the Words, than Copyholds break thro' the Intent of the Parties, by construing a present Lease, amount to a when the Intent was manifestly otherwise.

Forfeiture, vide furra Under Leases made by Copyhalders-

Noy 128.

Therefore where Articles were drawn between A and B in this Sturgeon ver. Manner: Articles agreed upon, &c. Imprimis, A. doth demise such a Painter. Close to B. to have it for forty Years, and a Rent reserved, with Clause of Distress, &c. In Witness whereof, &c. and afterwards there was written in the same Paper a Memorandum, that these Articles are to be ordered by Counsel of both Parties, according to the due Form of Law; and because the Intent of both Parties appeared by that Memorandum, and by a Leafe actually drawn by Counfel, but never fealed, (upon fome Difagreement between the Parties) it was ruled by the Court, upon Evidence in Ejectment, that these Articles were not a sufficient Lease, and the Jury found accordingly; and yet here was the Form and Words of a prefent and immediate Demise or Lease.

1 Rol. Abr. 848. Pleazance and Higham. 2 Mod. S1.

So where Articles of Agreement are drawn between A. and B. in this Manner: First, the said A. is contented to demise such Lands, &c. to the faid B. from Mich' next for fix Years; and after these Words, the Rent referved is 1001. per Ann. a Re-entry for Non-payment of the Rent, a Covenant for Reparations, and a Covenant to do fuch other Thing; and these Articles are fealed and delivered by the Parties; yet they do not amount to a Lease, but are only preparatory Covenants or Instructions towards a Lease, and never were intended to have the Force or Effect of a Lease themselves; besides that, the Word contented imports only an Approbation of fomething to be done after; this Cafe is cited in (a) Cro. Fac. in this Manner: That if one Covenants and Grants with another that he shall have and hold such Lands for ten Years, that this is a good and absolute Lease for that Time; but if he Covenants and Grants that he shall enjoy those Lands for ten Years, this is no Lease, because it founds only in Covenant; Quere of the Difference between holding and enjoying, for there feems none; therefore the Case must be mistaken.

(a) Cro. Fac. 172.

Dyer 150. 1 Co. 155. Hob. 35. Moor 480. 1 Rol. Abr.

One made a Lease for Life, & Provisum est, that if the Lessee die within fixty Years, that then his Executors and Affigns should enjoy the Land in his Right for fo many Years as should be behind of the fixty Years from the Date of the Lease; this was held to be only a Covenant, and no Leafe, for which there are divers Reafons affigned in the Books; Bendl. pl.115 as first, because the Words purport an Agreement, and not a Grant, and so found only in Covenant, which is a very unintelligible Reason. Another Reason given is, because if it should be construed a Demise, it must be void, because there is no Person in esse to take it; for the Executors are not in rerum natura, hor Parties to the Deed. Another Reason given is, because nothing of the said Term was given to the Leffee himself for Life, as Remainder to him and his Executors for fixty Years. A fourth and last Reason is, because there is no Certainty either of the Beginning or Ending thereof, and therefore it cannot be a good Lease; but a better Reason than any of these seems to be, that he having in the first Part of the Deed made a Lease in express and proper Words, must be supposed to mean something less in this last Part of the Deed, which varies so widely in the Form of Expression, and which has a natural and proper Meaning of its own as a Covenant, but cannot amount or come up to a Lease without Violence and Force done to the Words, as well as the Intent of the Parties; and this the rather feems probable, because Moor holds clearly, that if it had been provided that if the Lessor die within fixty Years, that then he demised the Land to another (who was also a Party to the Deed) for so many of the fixty Years as should be then to come; this would be a good Lease, for here he comes into the very fame Form of Expression made use of in the first Part of the Deed, which was an actual Demise, and therefore must be supposed to mean the same Thing in the latter Part too, and consequently such Words would make it an actual Demise.

A. feised of Lands in Fee by Indenture covenants with B. before Cro. Fac. 172. Easter then next, to convey those Lands by Fine or other Assurance to 1 Rol. Abr. B. and his Heirs, to the Use of him and his Heirs, with a Proviso, that 2 Mod. 80. if A. paid to B. 100 l. at the End of thirteen Years, that then he might re-enter, and that then all Affurances should be to the Conuzor, and covenanted and granted for him and his Heirs, that B. and his Heirs should enjoy those Lands till the End of the said thirteen Years, and for ever after, if the 100% were not paid; and B. covenanted to pay Annually, during the thirteen Years, two Capons, and that during the thirteen Years he would not commit Waste; no Assurance was made within the Time; and if this, upon the whole Deed, amounted to a Lease for thirteen Years, was the Question; and it was adjudged that it was no Leafe, but only a bare Covenant, and this Judgment affirmed in Error; for the Intent of the Parties was to make Assurance of the Inheritance by way of Mortgage, and the Covenant was only that he should enjoy the Lands during the Time of the Mortgage, whether it continued thirteen Years only, or for ever; and if a Fine had been levied, or a Feoffment made, it is plain this Deed had been no Leafe, but only a Covenant to lead or declare the Uses of such Fine or Feoffment; and tho' none was levied or made, yet the Deed still continues of the same Nature as it did at first, or as if such Fine or Feoffment had been actually executed; and the Covenant on B.'s Part, that he would do no Waste, does not expound it otherwise, for that was only that he, being a Mortgagee in Fee, should do no Waste, for which otherwise there would be no Remedy.

So where one, by Indenture inrolled, for Money bargained and fold Cro. Fac. 659. Lands to one and his Heirs, provided, that if the Bargainor for five Palm. 201. Years paid annually 101. to the Bargainee at the Days limited in the 241. Deed, and at the End of the faid five Years should pay 240 l. then the I Rol. Abr. Bargain and Sale to be void; provided also, and it is further covenanted 859. Pauleles and agreed between the said Parties, that the Bargainee, his Heirs or and Black-Affigns, shall not intermeddle with the actual Possession of the Premisses, or the Perception of the Rents and Profits, till Default be made in the Payment of the faid Sums; this was held to be no Leafe to the Bargainor for five Years, but only in the Nature of a Lease at Will, by reason of the Negative Words, that the Bargainee should not intermeddle with the Rents and Profits for that Time, and confequently fo long was to

leave the Bargainor in Possession as he was before.

So where A. acknowledged a Recognizance to B. of 200 l. and B. by Co. Ent. 85. a. Indenture of Deseasance, did covenant, promise and agree with the said Bradston ver-A. that if A. his Heirs or Assigns, should, after such a Time, convey such Buck. an Advowson to him and his Heirs; and if the faid B. his Heirs, Executors, &c. shall, and may at all Times hereafter, peaceably and quietly have, hold, use, occupy, possess and enjoy the said Advowson, without the Let, Suit, Trouble, &c. of the said A. or any other Person or Persons, &c then the Recognizance to be void; and the Question was, if this last Clause amounted to a Lease of the Advowson; and the Court was of Opinion that it did not, for the Intent of the Parties was not to make a Lease of it, but only a Condition to defeat the Recognizance, and that this last Claufe should have Relation to the Estate in Fee precedent, being if the said A. his Heits, &c. which cannot be intended of a Lease; also the Clause is indefinite, at all Times hereafter, and does not limit any certain Time for Life or Years wherein Advowson shall be peaceably enjoyed, and therefore shall be intended during the Estate in Fee besore mention'd; but no Judgment was then given.

If one makes a Lease for Life, and after grants that the Lands or the Dyer 124. pl. Reversion shall remain to another for Twenty-one Years after the Death 40. 125. pl.

Plow. 148, 150. Bro. Tit. Leafes 71. Yelv. 85. 1 Brownt. 136

of the Tenant for Life, these Words are sufficient to pass a Reversionary Interest by way of suture Lease without Attornment, tho' there is not the Word Demise, or any other Word usual or proper to describe a Lease for Years by; but here being Words sufficient to prove a present Contract for the Reversionary Interest of these Lands after the Estate for Life determined, these in Case of a Lease for Years, which is but a Contract, are in themselves sufficient and adequate to any other Form.

# (L) What Certainty is requilite to Leases for Ocars as to their Beginning, Continusance and Ending: And herein,

### 1. Mith Regard to the Date of the Leafe.

I Mod. 180.

As to the Date, that may be considered either as it is an impossible Date, or an uncertain Date, between which the general Difference taken in the Books is, that if a Lease be made to begin from an impossible Date, there the Lease shall take Effect from the Delivery, because it could not be any Part of the Agreement between the Parties, as from the 30th Day of February, or the 32d Day of April next; but where the Limitation is uncertain, as a Lease in October, Habendum from the 20th of November; without saying from November next following or preceding, or what other November; this Uncertainty vitiates the Lease itself, because it was Part of the Agreement, that the Lease should begin from the 20th Day of some November or other; but it not appearing to the Court what November was intended, they cannot determine it for the Parties, and therefore for such Uncertainty the Lease itself becomes void.

1 Sid 461. 1 Vent 84. 2 Keb. 656 So where a Lease is made to begin from the Nativity of our Lord God last past, without saying from the Feast of the Nativity, this Lease shall begin presently, because it could be no Part of the Agreement between the Parties that the Lease should begin from the Nativity itself, which is past so many Hundred Years ago, and therefore for this Impossibility of Relation the Lease shall begin presently; but if it were to begin from the Nativity of our Lord God generally, or from the Nativity of our Lord God next ensuing, omitting the Word Feast, Twisden was of Opinion such Lease would be void, for the Uncertainty of its Commencement; but Sid. in reporting of the Case, seems to be of a contrary Opinion, and makes a Quære, if it shall not begin presently; and in Truth this seems the most reasonable Opinion, for as to Impossibility of Relation, there is the same in this as there is in the other; and therefore by the same Reason it shall begin presently.

t Rol. Abr. 349. Darcett's Casc. 850. Elme ver Leaves. In Ejectment, if Plaintiff declares on a Lease by J. S. 20 August for twenty Years a Festo Annunciationis beatse Marise Virginis ultimo Praterito ante Datum bujus Indentura, or Indentura pradicta, where no Mention is made of any Date or Indenture before in the Declaration, this Lease shall be taken to commence from the Feast of the Annunciation next before the 20th of August in that Year, wherein the Declaration is, because it must have been so construed if the Words ante Datum bujus Indenturae had been omitted, and the Addition of those Words can be to no Purpose, nor of any Use, when no Indenture at all is mentioned before, and therefore shall be void, or as if they had been totally omitted.

If a Lease be made for thirty-one Years, Anno 1531. and after, Anno 1 Rol. Abr. in these Words, Novevitis me dictis 31 Annis finitis & completis dedisse & 1 Leon 199, concessisse omnia Præmissa to J. S. Habend' & tenend' a Die Confectionis Præfentium (Termino priedict' finito) usque ad Finem Termini 31 Annorum tane immediate sequentium plenarie complendorum, this Lease shall begin in Computation from the Expiration of the first Lease for thirty-one Years, and shall continue for thirty-one Years after such Expiration of the first Leafe; for if it should begin from the Day of the making of the Deed, then there would be four Years thereof to come after the Expiration of the first Lease, which would be plainly against the Intent of the Parties; and therefore it shall be interpreted that he shall have it for thirty-one Years after the Day of the Date, and the Expiration of the first Term of thirty-one Years, viz. after both.

So where Leffee for an hundred Years made a Leafe for forty Years Godb. 166. to B. if he should so long live, and after leased the same Lands to C. Dyer 261 b. Habend' for twenty-one Years from the End of the Term of B. to begin in Margin. and be accounted from the Date of these Presents; and the Question was, if the Lease to C. should be said to begin presently, or after the Term of B. and the Judges were clear of Opinion, that the Leafe to C. should not be accounted from the Time of the Date, but from the End of the Term of B. because by the first Words it is a good Lease in Reversion in that Manner, and then it shall not be made void by any subsequent Words, or, as Coke faid, the last Words ought to be construed to give an Interest as a future Interest presently, and the actual Possession after the Expiration of the first forty Years Term is well granted by the first

A Man made a Lease for Years, to begin at the Feast of our Lady 1 Leon. 2273 Mary, for twenty-one Years, without shewing in Certainty at which of pl. 308. the Feasts of our Lady, viz. the Annunciation or the Purification; yet Anderson held it a good Lease, and that the Lessee might determine the Certainty of the Beginning of the Leafe, by his Entry, at which of the Feasts he thought fit; but Periam doubted; and in Truth this Case seems within the Rule before laid down to be void, for the Uncertainty of the Time of its Commencement.

In Ejectment the Plaintiff declares upon a Lease for Years, Hahend' 4 Leon. 145. from the Sealing and Delivery, and declares that the Sealing and Deli-Hisham vers very was 1° Maii, and the Ejechment was the fame Day; and it was Co.k moved in Arrest of Judgment, that the Ejectment could not be supposed the same Day, for the Lease did not begin till the next Day ensuing the Sealing and Delivery; but the Court difallowed the Exception; for where the Lease is to begin from the Time of the Sealing and Delivery, or generally to hold for twenty-one Years next following, the Ejectment may well be supposed to be the same Day; for the Beginning of the Lease is prefently upon the Sealing and Delivery; and therefore fuch a Leafe shall end the same Time and Hour.

If an Indenture of Demise bears Teste 4 May, 10 Jac. and is deli- 1 Rel. Abr. vered 5 May, 10 Jac. Habend' a Festo Annunciations Beatle Mariæ Vir-850.
ginis tum ult' præterit', pro Termino viginti unius Annorum prox' sequent' Hob. 18.
Moore ve Datum dila Indentura, this Lease commences in Computation from Lady-Hussey. Day before the Date, and in Interest the 5th Day, which is the Day next after the Date; and so all the Words of the Indenture shall take Effect the 5th Day, being the Day of the Delivery of the Deed, and then the Lease will determine on the Feast of the Annuaciation twenty-one Years after; and therefore the Count which was of fuch a Leafe, omitting Datum Indenture, was held to be well enough warranted by this Leafe found in hec Verba, the Ejeclment not being laid till the 5th of May.

4 Leen. 14pl 52 Frice versus Toflers In Ljectment the Plaintiff declared upon a Lease made 14 Jan. 30 Elizatrom Charlemas before for three Years, and upon Evidence the Plaintiff shewed a Lease bearing Date 13th Jan. the same Year, and proved to have been then executed; and it was moved, for this Variance between the Declaration and the Evidence, that the Jury might be discharged; but Anderson Chief Justice said, that the Evidence was sufficient to support the Declaration; for if the Lease was sealed and delivered 13 Jan. it was then a Lease 14 Jan. and exteri Justiciarii concesserunt.

ī Rol. Abr. 850. Cornijo ver. Caufey. If an Indenture of Demise bears Teste 25 March, 15 Car. and is delivered the Day of the Date, and the Habend' is from and after the Day of the Date of these Presents, for and during the Time and Term of seven Years from hencesorth next and immediately following sully to be compleat and ended, this Lease begins in Computation from the Delivery of the Deed, which was the Day of the Date, and in Interest the next Day after the Date, and so all the Words will have an Operation; for it appears that he was not to have the Possession till the next Day after the Date, by the Words Habend' from and after the Day of the Date, which excludes the Day of the Date, but that the seven Years should commence by Computation from the Delivery, viz. from hencesorth, which refers to the Limitation of the seven Years; and therefore where the Plaintist declared on this Lease by Indenture dated 25th of March, Habend' a Die Datus for seven Years, it was adjudged against him, for by Computation it began a Datu Indenture.

Plow. 198. Tyer 177. pl 35. C. Lit. 45 b. 1 Co. 154. 3 Rol. Abr. 849. 4 Leon. 106.

It one makes a Lease to A. for twenty-one Years, and after makes another Lease to B. for Years, to begin a Fine & Expiratione pradict Termini 21 Anner' Dimissor' to A. and then the Lease to A. is determined, either by an express Surrender, or by an implied Surrender in Law, as by A.'s Acceptance of a new Lease for Life from the Lessor, the Lease to B. shall begin presently; but if the Lease to B. had been to begin post Finem & Expirationem prædict' 21 Annor', there the Lease to B. should not begin upon the Surrender, Forseiture, or other Determination of the fiest Term to A. till the twenty-one Years actually run out by Effluxion of Time; the Reason of which Difference is, that in the first Case the Word Term comprehends as well the Estate or Interest in the Land, as the Time for which it is demifed; and therefore the fecond Leafe being limited to begin a Fine & Expiratione prædist' Termini 21 Annor', whenever the Term, which includes also the Estate and Interest, is determined, the Lease to B. shall begin; but in the other Case, the Lease to B. is not to begin till after the End and Expiration of the twenty-one Years, which cannot be ended but by Effluxion of Time.

6 Co 34. Cro. Fac 71. Bishop of Bish and Wells's Case. I yer 312. pl. S9.

The Bishop of Bath and Wells, 18 H. 8. made a Lease in Writing to A and B for fixty Years; Provifo, that if the faid A and B die within the faid Term of fixty Years, that then, after the Death of the faid A. and B. and of the longer Liver of them, it shall be lawful for the said Bishop, and his Successors, to enter into the faid Lands; A. dies, the Bishop dies, and his Successor, 22 H. 8. demises the said Lands to C. Habend' cum, post sive per Mortem, sursum Redditionem, vel forisfat' præd' B. vacare contigerit, for fixty Years, with Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, and then B. dies within the fixty Years, and the Grantee of the Bishop would avoid this Lease to C. 1. Because being limited to begin upon one of three Accidents, viz. Death, Surrender, or Forfeiture, none of which happened, it could not begin at all; for it was not determined by the Death of A, and B, within the fixty Years, as all the Court agreed, but continued till the Lessor, or his Successors, entered; for so it was express provided by the Lease, and that was a meer Condition, and not a Limitation; and then the fecond Leafe, as was argued, cannot begin at all, or at least the Time thereof shall run on from the Death of B. the Survivor; but it was adjudged the second Lease was good; for it was only limited cum per Mertem, sursum Redditionem, Ge. the first Lease fhould

should determine, but also cum post Mortem vacare contigerit; so that this second Lease may well begin when the first Term by Essuxion of Time is run out post Mortem of the Parties; and this differs from a Remainder limited to one after the Death of another; there it ought to begin immediately after the Death, without any Interim; but here it shall not begin till after the first Term run out post Mortem, whenever in such Manner vacare contigerit, and is a good Leafe presently in Point of Interest, to take Effect in Possession whenever the first Lease, by any of these Accidents, happens to be at an End, and is a good Interesse Termini in the mean Time; and this Construction ought to be made, to support the Lease, because it shall be taken most strongly against the Lessor, and for the Benefit of the Lessee.

If A. reciting that B. hath a Leafe for Years of fuch Lands, demifes 1 Bendl. pl. 72. the same Lands to C. for Years, to begin after the End or Determination 1 And. 3 of the faid Lease to B. where in Truth B. hath not any Lease at all of Lyer 116. those Lands, the Lease to C. shall begin presently; for in Judgment of Law, Bro. Tit. a void Limitation and no Limitation is all one; fo if he recites a Leafe Leafes 62. which in Construction of Law appears after to be void, or misrecites a good Ploto. 148. Leafe in a Point material, Habend' from the End of the faid Leafe, this 1 Rol. Abr. new Lease shall begin presently; tho' where the first Lease is good in Law, Cro Car. 399. and only misrecited in a Point material, the new Lease can begin pre- 1 Fon. 355. fently only in Enumeration of Years, not in Interest, till the End of the Miller and first Lease; for in these Cases the Commencement of this new Lease, Manwar.ng. Co. Lit. 46. b. being referred to a Thing which is not, cannot be any ways ascertained 1 Leave. 77. or governed thereby, and then it is as if no fuch Recital had been, which 1 Keb. 300. would have left the Lease to begin presently, as the strongest Construction Basset versus against the Lesson, since there is nothing now to ascertain or determine Leavis.

2 Leon. 11. its Beginning at any other Time.

Vaugh. 73. 2 Lev. 242.

So where the Queen-Mother, having the Inheritance of certain Lands 1 Lev. 234. fettled on her for her Jointure, 14 Car. 1. reciting, that whereas Queen 1 Sid. 460. Eliz. 22 April, in the 42d Year of her Reign, had demised those Lands 2 Keh 522. to fuch a one, &c. (whereas the Leafe intended was in Truth 32 Ehz.) Feet verius the faid Queen-Mother did thereby demise the faid Lands, to begin after Beikeley. the End or Determination of the Estate granted to the other, per Literas Patentes prædictas, for twenty-one Years; and the Question upon this Mifrecital was, when the fecond Leafe for twenty-one Years should begin, whether after the Expiration of the first Lease made 32 Eliz. tho' falsely recited to be made 42 Eliz. or whether it should begin presently; for if fo, the first Lease would continue beyond the twenty-one Years limited in the fecond Leafe, and so the Leisee have no manner of Benefit of it; and yet notwithstanding it was adjudged, that for this Mifrecital the second Lease should commence presently; and so the Lessee was obliged to pay a Rent of 601. per Annum for the whole twenty-one Years, tho' he had nothing in the Lands all that Time; and this Judgment given in C. B. was afterwards affirmed upon Error in B. R. and in this Cafe the Court agreed, that if the Date of the recited Lease only had been miftaken, and the second Lease had been of the Lands, Habend' after the Expiration or Determination of the Estate or Lease of the first Lessee generally, in fuch Cafe the fecond Leafe had been good, and should not have begun before; for then there had been sufficient Certainty for the Time of its Commencement, and then Utile per Inutile non withitin; but here being limited to begin after the Determination of the Estate granted per Literas Patentes prudictas, where there were no fuch Letters Patent, and so the Relation idle and null, the second Lease begins presently, as if no fuch Recital or Relation had been, and there is no Utile at all; for 1 Leon 201. it is tied up to begin after a Lease which is not; and the Court denied Periam's Opinion to be Law, that if I let Lands to B. to begin after the

Hib 128. Cathers ver. Payjon.

Expiration of a Lease thereof, which I have made to J. S. where in Truth I have made no Lease to J. S. that the Lease to B. shall never begin; this was denied to be Law, and against the Current of all Authorities; also the Court said, the principal Case here differs from Withers and Caffon's Cafe, where one made a Leafe for Years, Habend' a Festo Purificationis, and after by Deed, reciting that he had made a Leafe to commence a Festo Annunciationis, granted the Reversion to another, and that Grant held good; for in the Grant of the Reversion the Misrecital of the particular Estate is not material in the Case of a common Person, so long as he hath a Reversion in him; but here in the principal Case one Term is recited to give Certainty to the Commencement of another, and is tied up by fuch precife Words to begin after the Determination of the Leafe granted by the faid recited Letters Patents, that this cannot be referred to a Leafe that varies in the Date, tho' agreeing in other Circumstances, (which yet is not here, for the Certainty of the Term to B. is not recited,) and tho' a Lease is good without a Date, yet when a Lease is recited to be of such a Date, a Lease which bears another Date cannot be faid to be fuch recited Leafe; fo that the Leafe here must begin presently; which, by the Way, makes the Grant good, either to pass the Reversion with Attornment, or being by Indenture, to take Effect upon the Surrender, Forfeiture, or other Determination of the first Term; and fuch Recital makes no Estoppel either against the Lessor or Lessee, or any claiming under them; or if it should, yet the Jury are not estopped to find the Truth; and then the Court shall judge accordingly.

Vareh. Sz. 1 Veut. St.

Vrugh. 73,80. Row and Huntington. Dyer 93 pl.28. 4 Co. 74. Palmer's Cafe.

And this Rule, that if the former Lease be misrecited in the Date, &c. and a new Leafe made, to begin after the Expiration of the faid recited Leafe, that fuch new Leafe shall begin prefently, holds as well in the Lease itself, as where the Jury find an Indenture of Lease, whereby it is recited, that the Lessor made such former Lease of such Date, and under fuch Rent, without finding it so in Fact, but only by way of Re-Cro. Eliz. 603. cital in the Deed, fuch fecond Leafe shall in Construction of Law be adjudged to begin prefently, tho' in the Deed it is limited to begin after the Expiration of the first Lease so recited; because the Jury do not actually find the first Lease, but only a Recital of it in another Deed, which Recital may be falle, for ought appears to the Court; and then the fecond Leafe shall begin presently, as if no such first Lease were at all, fince the not finding it effectually is as if there were none fuch made.

2 Rol. Abr. 55. Ayleworth.

King Hen. 8. in the 31st Year of his Reign leased Lands to one for Hilfwell and twenty-one Years, and after granted the Reversion to a Bishop, who reciting all the Lands contained in the Letters Patent, and the Land itself before leafed, by Name, and reciting the Letters Patent thus, That whereas H. 8. by his Letters Patents dated 20 H. 8. where in Truth they were dated 31 H. 8. and also misreciting the Day of the Date, grants all the Lands, Tenements, &c. to the first Lessee for a certain Number of Years, post Expirationem hujusmodi Literarum Patentium; in this Case it feems, that the Date being mistaken, and the Commencement of the new Lease referred to the Expiration of the said Letters Patent, when in Truth there were no fuch Letters Patent as were recited, the second Leafe shall begin presently, and so by Acceptance thereof will amount to a Surrender of the first; aliter it would have been if the second Lease had been limited to begin after the End of the first Term generally.

2. With Regard to other Circumfiances taken Potice of in the Ded of Lease, whereby to ascertain the Commencement thereof.

As to other collateral Circumstances taken Notice of in the Deed of Leafe, in order to ascertain the Commencement thereof, these are va-

rious, according to the Agreement of the Parties.

Therefore if one makes a Leafe for Years to another for so many Years 2 Leon 86, as J. S. shall name, this at the Beginning is uncertain; but when J. S. Godb. 25. hath named the Years, this afcertains the Commencement and Continuates. Leafe accordingly, and in the mean Time if the Leafe accordingly, and in the mean Time if the Leafe accordingly. ance of the Leafe accordingly, and in the mean Time, if the Lessee 6 Co 35. enters, he seems to be Tenant at Will; (but Quere if by such Entry Plow. 6, 373, before the Commencement of the Lease he is not a Disseisor, as other 524. Lesses are who enter before their Time;) but if the Lease had been 1 Ro made for so many Years as the Executors of the Lessor should name, this could not be made good by any Nomination; because to every Lease there ought to be a Leffor and Leffee; and here the Nomination which ascertains the Commencement not being appointed till after the Death of the Lessor, makes the Lease desective in one of the main Parts of it, viz. a Lessor, and therefore of Consequence must be void; which is also the Reason that in the first Case the Nomination ought to be made in the Life-time of the Lessor, and not by J. S. after his Death, for then it will be void.

If a Person makes a Lease for so many Years as he shall live, or the Co. Lit. 45. b. Parson of D. makes a Lease of his Glebe for so many Years as he shall be 1 Rol. Abr. Parson there, these Leases are said to be absolutely void, for the Uncertainty of their Continuance; because none can say how long the Lesson will live, or be Parson; and then it cannot be a Lease for Years, when by no Possibility the Number of Years can be ascertained; but if the Lease were for twenty-one Years, or any other certain Number of Years, if the Lessor should so long live, or continue Parson, or if J. S. should so long live, these are good; because the Lease at first is certain for the determinate Number of twenty-one Years, tho' the Death of J. S. may determine it sooner; and that is a common and usual Limitation, and feems to have been introduced to obviate the Objection of Uncertainty in the other Manner of Leafing; but even in that Case it should seem that the Lessee will be Tenant at Will, or if Livery were made, will be Tenant during the Life or Incumbency of the Lessor, and so have the Freehold in him, tho' for Want of Certainty in the Number of Years, he cannot be said Lessee for Years.

One made a Lease of Blackacre to A. for ten Years, and of Whiteacre 5 Co. 7. to B. for twenty Years, and after by Indenture reciting both Leafes Moor, pl. 340. makes a Lease to C. of Blackacre and Whiteacre for forty Years, Habend' Cro. Eliz. 199. after the End or Determination of the said several Demises made to A. 2 Leon. 105. and B. and then the Lease to A. of Blackacre determines; and if the Lease to C. therein should begin presently, or if C. must wait the Determination of the other Lease to B. likewise before his Lease should commence, was the Question; because it was urged, that this Lease should begin all at one Time, and not to have several Commencements; but it was adjudged, that this Lease to C. in Blackacre should begin presently; for the Habend' shall be taken respective Reddendo singula singulis, viz. that the first Lease of Blackacre to C. for forty Years shall begin presently after the Determination of the first Lease thereof made, and so for Whiteacre, when the first Lease thereof determines; because every Deed shall be taken strongest against the Lessor or Grantor, and most beneficially for the Lessee or Grantee, which in this Case without such Construction would be the Vol. III.

Reverse thereof, and against the plain Intent of the Parties, by letting in the Lessor to the Possession and Enjoyment of the Lands comprised in the first Lease, till the second Lease, which had no Relation thereto, were determined.

1 Vent 137. Fitzgerald.

In Ejectment the Plaintiff declared, that 7. S. demised to him per 2 Keb. 796. quodd' Scriptum Obligatorium such Lands, Habend' a Die Datus Indentur.e prædie; on Not guilty pleaded, it was found and adjudged for the Plaintiff in Ireland; and it being affigned for Error here, that there was no Time specified when this Lease should begin; for it was Habend' a Die Datus Indenturæ prædit?, and no Indenture was mentioned before, but only Scriptum Obligatorium; yet per Curiam it was resolved; that the Writing should be intended an Indenture, tho' improperly called Scriptum Obligatorium; for every Deed obligeth; or if it should not be intended an Indenture, then it begins presently, as if it had been from an impossible Limitation, as the 40th of Sept. or such like.

I Lev. 20. Randal. 2 Sid. 165. S. C. by the Name of Clerk versus Candle.

Copyhold Land was granted to A. B. and C. for their Lives successive; Chantrell ver, and then the Lord grants and demises the faid Land to D. for forty Years, after the Death, Surrender, Forfeiture, or other Determination of the Estate to A, B, and C, then A, and B, die, C, marries, and dies, and his Wife holds herself in for Life by the Custom, as her Free Bench, and dies; and if the Lease for forty Years should commence from the Death of the Husband, or of the Wife, was the Question; for if it should begin from the Death of the Husband, it would be now ended, and so the Ejectment not maintainable; if from the Death of the Wife, there would be yet twenty Years of the Leafe to come; and the Court agreed, tho' the Law will not supply these Words, which should first happen, so that the Leafe should begin upon the Death, Forfeiture, Surrender, or other Determination, which should first happen, yet they thought in this Case it should not begin till after the Death of the Wife; for that is the first effectual Determination thereof; for it does not determine to any Purpose by any of the other Ways, fince the Wife is in, in Continuance of her Husband's Estate, for Life; and it cannot reasonably be intended that this Leafe should begin during the Continuance of the precedent Estate, which by Possibility may continue longer than the forty Years; for the Wife may outlive the forty Years, and then the Lease for forty Years from the Death of the Husband would be void.

Mich 6 Georg. 2. in Canc Irish versus Hook.

So in a late Case where R. had a Lease of twenty-one Years of Copyhold Lands, to commence after the Determination of the Estate which A. at that Time had therein, and the Widow of A. being intitled to her Free Bench, and happening to outlive her Husband twenty-one Years, it was held by my Lord Chancellor, that the Estate of the Wife was only an Excrescence of her Husband's Estate, which did not determine till the Wife's Death, at which Time the Leafe made to B. should commence, and continue for twenty-one Years.

#### 3. The Certainty of Leases for Years as to their Continuance.

Plow. 271. Say versus Smith and Fuller.

As to the Certainty of Leases for Years, as to their Continuance, this ought to be ascertained either by the express Limitation of the Parties at the Time of the Lease made, or by a Reference to some collateral Act, which may with equal Certainty measure the Continuance thereof, otherwise it will be void.

Plow. 271.

Therefore where a Man made a Lease for ten Years, and granted that if the Lessee, his Heirs, or Assigns, should pay to the Lessor, or his Asfigns, fuch a Parcel of Tyles at the End of every ten Years then next ensuing, that then he, his Heirs, or Assigns, should have a perpetual

Demise of the Premisses from ten Years to ten Years continually following, and out of the Memory of Man; this was held to be a good Leafe but for ten Years certain, because for all the Years to come it was uncertain, (besides the Repugnancy and Nonsense of the Words extra Hominum Memoriam,) for the Payment of the Tyles was to precede all the ten Years that ever should be, and so must last to the End of the World, before any fecond ten Years, by Virtue of the Leafe, was to begin; and then to be fure there could be no ten Years at all; and so all the other ten Years, being to begin upon an impossible Condition precedent, can never take Place at all, but are absolutely void and idle.

If A, lets Lands to B, for fo many Years as B, hath in the Manor of Co, Lit. 45, b. D. and B. hath then a Term for ten Years in that Manor, this makes A.'s 6 Co 35. Leafe to him good, and fixes the Measure and Continuance thereof, for 1 Rol. Abr. that B. shall have the Lands demised for ten Years: So a Lease to one 849. during the Minority of J. S. who is then ten Years of Age, is a good 3 Co. 19. Lease for eleven Years, if J. S. so long live; for if he die sooner, that Plow. 522. determines the Lease, since nothing appears to extend it beyond his Life,

and his Minority ceafes by his Death.

If I have a Rent of 20s. per Annum in Fee issuing out of Blackacre, 6 Co. 35. b. payable annually at the Feast of Easter, and I grant that Rent to another Plow. 273. till he shall have received of the same Rent 21 1. the Grantee shall have Co. Lit. 42 a. the Rent for twenty-one Years certain; because the Land is a certain Security for 20 s. per Annum, which will take up twenty-one Years certain to answer 21 l. and therefore so long the Grant of the Rent shall have

But if a Man lets Lands of the Value of 20 s. per Annum till 21 l. be 6 Co. 35. levied of the Issue and Profits, this is but a Leafe at Will without Livery, because it is uncertain whether the Land will be every Year of an
Ero. Tit.
Leafes 67. equal Value; and tho' Livery should be made, whereby he will have a Co. Lit. 42. a. Lease for Lise, or a Freehold Estate, yet this will be determinable upon 3 Buls. 100. the 21 l. levied; for by the original Contract he was to have it no longer Plow. 273. than till the Money levied.

If a Woman be ensient with a Son, and a Lease is made till such Issue 6 Co. 35. in Ventre sa Mere shall come to full Age, this is a Lease only at Will, and cannot be any Leafe for Years; because it is uncertain when, or whether ever the Son will be born, and confequently the Beginning, Continuance, and Ending of this Leafe is uncertain; and therefore it cannot be faid any Leafe for Years, fince it is to begin prefently as a Leafe, and yet nothing appears in the Deed itself, nor is there such a Reference to any collateral

Circumstance as may then measure the Continuance thereof.

If A. seised of Lands grants to B. that when B. pays to A. 20 s. that Co. Lit. 45. b. from thenceforth he shall have and occupy the Lands for twenty-one 6 Co. 35 a. Years, and after B. pays the 20 s. this is become a good Leafe for twenty849. one Years from the Time of fuch Payment made; for tho' the Commencement of it was contingent and uncertain, and depended upon B.'s Election to pay the 20 s. yet after he had paid them, this takes off all Uncertainty, and fixes the Commencement and Continuance of the

If one makes a Lease to J. S. for twenty Years, if the Coverture be- Plow. 273. tween A. and B. shall so long continue, this is a good Lease for that Time Prima Facie, tho' the Dissolution of the Coverture may determine it sooner; and there also it seems, that a Lease to one generally during the Coverture between A. and B. is a good Lease; but this surely can be no other than a Leafe at Will; for the Uncertainty how long the Coverture will continue takes off from any Certainty in the Number of Years that can be affixed to such Lease, and consequently it cannot be esteemed any Lease for Years more than where it is for so many Years as the Lessor shall live, or continue Parson, &c.

14 H.S. 13. Bro. Tit. Leafes 13.

If one lets Lands for one Hundred Thousand Days, this by Bro. is a good Lease for that Time, because the Measure and Continuance thereof by Days is as certain as it would be if it were for so many Years as comprehend those Days, fince Days are Part of and go to make up the Years; tho' it should seem that this cannot be properly called a Lease for Years, because the Years are only an accidental Circumstance in the Enumeration of the Days, not any Part of the original Contract between the Parties.

6 Co. 35.6. Bro. Tit. Leafes 13.

If a Man makes a Lease for Years, without saying how many, this shall be a good Lease for two Years certain, because for more there is no Certainty, and for less there can be no Sense in the Words.

Bro. Tit.

If one makes a Lease for ten Years at the Will of the Lessor, this is a Leafes 13,22 good Leafe for ten Years certain, and the last Words are void for the 14 H. 8.13. a. Repugnancy by Bro. but if one lets Lands at Will for a Year, & sic de Anno in Annum, this is a Lease only at Will by the first Words, and the last Words being repugnant shall not controul them, or add any more Certainty to its Continuance.

1 Rol. Abr. 851. 6 Co. 35. b.

If a Man leases Lands for such a Term as both Parties shall please, this is but a Lease at Will, because what that Term will be is utterly uncertain; and the Pleasure of the Parties seems to be limited to attend the Continuance as well as the Commencement and first Fixation thereof.

3 Bulf. 158. I Rol. Rep. 287. I Rol. Abr. 850. Dyer 24.

A Parson made a Lease of his Rectory to one for three Years, and fo from three Years to three Years, and fo from three Years to three Years, during his Life; or, as it is in Rolle, for three Years, and at the End of those three Years for other three Years, & sic de tribus annis in tres annos, during the Life of the Leffor; the whole Court held it clearly a Lease for twelve Years; but by Dodderidge, if the Lease had been for three Years, and fo from three Years to three Years, and fo from the faid three Years to three Years; this had been but a Lease for nine Years, because the Words from the faid three Years tie up the Relation Retrospectively to the three Years last mentioned, which made in all but fix Years, and then there are but three Years more added, which make the whole but nine Years; and for the Words (during the Life of the Leffor) they cannot enlarge it to any farther certain Number of three Years, by reason of the Uncertainty of the Lessor's Life, and therefore beyond the twelve Years, or nine Years, it amounts only to a Lease at Will, unless Livery were made, which must necessarily pass a Freehold determinable upon the Leffor's Death.

3 Keb. 760, bam ver. Graves.

And yet in one Book where a Lease was made for three Years, and 768. Ferring- after the End of those three Years for other three Years, & sic de tribus annis in tres annos, during the Life of the Lessor, this was held to be only a Lease for nine Years, because the Words & sic de tribus annis shall be referred to the three Years last mentioned; for otherwise these Words would exclude the three Years next after the fix Years, and make the three last Years to begin after nine Years, and so make a Chasm in the Lease, by shutting out the three Years next after the six Years, so as for the three last Years it should be only a future Interest; which Case seems to be of a new Stamp, and to thwart the preceding Case, as to the Resolution of its being a Lease for twelve Years; and there Fones and Wild held, that a Leafe a tribus annis in tres annos was but a Lease for three Years to commence in futuro.

c Lev. 241. College of Mancheiter ver. Trofford.

Error of a Judgment in Ejectment at Lancaster, where the Case on a Special Verdict was this: The College in the Time of Queen Eliz. reciting a Leafe made by them 1 E. 6. demised to one Trafford for Twentyone Years, rendering 201. per Ann. Rent, Habendum from the End of the faid Term, made in the Time of E. 6. and then follows a Condition of Re-entry for Non-payment of the Rent, and after that a Covenant and Grant, that after the faid Twenty-one Years ended he shall have the Land for other Twenty-one Years, and fo from Twenty-one Years to

Twenty-

Twenty-one Years, till Ninety-nine Years are past thence next ensuing shall be compleat and ended; and it was found that there was no Lease made in the Time of E. 6. and that fince the Date of the Lease made in the Time of Queen Eliz. more than Ninety-nine Years are passed, but from the End of the Term of Twenty-one Years Ninety-nine Years are not yet come; so that the Question was only, if the Lessee shall have Ninety-nine Years in all from the Time of the Date of the Lease Tempore Eliz. or Ninety-nine Years over and above the first Twenty-one Years; for it was agreed, that tho' no Number of Twenty-one Years will center in Ninety-nine, yet the Term shall last for Ninety-nine Years, which is a certain Term, and the odd Years shall be rejected; and it was adjudged at Lancaster, that the Lessee shall have Ninety-nine Years besides the first Twenty-one Years, which shall not be accounted Parcel of them, and that by reason of the Word thence; for if it should be from the making of the Lease Tempore Eliz. it would be hence; but thence is a Word which denotes another Time, not the present Time, and so thence must refer to the making of the first Twenty-one Years, because there is no other Time to which it can refer, there being no Lease at all I E. 6. to which it can refer, and so the Term is not yet expired; and so was the Opinion of the whole Court, and affirmed the Judgment.

If a Man makes a Lease for a Year, and so from Year to Year, Plow 273. Quandin ambabus partibus placuerit, this is a Lease for two Years certain 6 Co. Lit. 45. b. at least, and at most, after three Years, this is but an Estate at Will; Cro. Fac. 308. so if a Parson makes a Lease for a Year, and so from Year to Year as 1 Bulf. 215. long as he shall continue Parson, or as long as he shall live, this is a 1 Rol. Abr. Lease for two Years at least, if he lives and continues Parson so long; I Lev. 46. and after the two Years, or at most after three Years, but an Estate at 2 700. 5.

1 Lutw. 214.

One made a Lease for three Years, and after for three Years, and so Noy 143. from three Years to three Years until ten Years be expired; this was re- 1 Rol. Abra folved to be a Lease but for nine Years; and that the odd Year should 850. Bro. Tit. be rejected, because that cannot come to fall within any three entire Leases 49. Years according to the Limitation, which in this Case are to be taken Plow. 273. all together as one Year, or else so much of the Limitation, as cannot 522 a. come within that Description, must be rejected; and this seems to 2 Co. 23. agree with Brook and Plowden, which in general hold a Limitation in 2 Lev. 242. that Manner from Year to Year for forty, fifty, or one Hundred Years to be a good Leafe for the whole Term, because this is no such Break of an odd Year, at the latter End of the Lease, as there is in the other

One made a Lease de Anno in Annum, quamdiu ambabus partibus pla- Cro. Eliz.775. there the Lessee entered and occupied for two Years, and also for Part King.

1 Mod. 3. of the third Year, and then died, and for Rent arrear for Part of the 1 Sid. 423. third Year Debt was brought against his Executors; and upon Nihil 2 Keb. 543. debet pleaded, and Verdict for the Plaintiff, it was moved in Arrest, &c. Gostwick verthat after the two Years, this being a Lease at Will determined by his Mason. Kelw. 63. Death, and then no Action lies for the Rent of the third Year; and of this Opinion was Popham; but it was held by Gawdy and Fenner, that tho' at first this was a Lease certain but for two Years, yet when he occupied Part of the third Year, this was then become a Lease certain for that Year also, so that neither of them could avoid it; for otherwise, after that the Leffee hath been at great Charges in Manurance, the Leffor, by a Determination of his Will, might strip him of all his Profit.

A Parol Lease was made de Anno in Annum, quamdiu ambabus partibus Hill. 7 Anno placuerit; it was adjudged that this was but a Lease for a Year certain, in B.R. Legg and that every Year after was a springing Interest, arising upon the first ver. Hacketh Contract and Parcel of it; so that if the Lessee had occupied eight or ten S. C. Years, or more, these Years, by Computation from the Time past, made an

entire Leafe for so many Years; so that if Rent was in Arrear for Part of one of those Years, and Part of another, the Lessor might distrain and avow as for fo much Rent arrear upon one entire Leafe, and need not avow as for feveral Rents due upon feveral Leafes, accounting each Year a new Leafe; and it was also adjudged, that after the Commencement of each new Year, this was become an entire Leafe certain for the Years past, and also for the Year so entered upon, so that neither Party could determine their Wills till that Year was run out, according to the Opinion of the two Judges in the last Case; and this seems no way impeached by the Statute of Frauds and Perjuries, which enacts, that no Parol Leafe for above three Years shall be accounted to have any other Force or Effect than of a Leafe only at Will; for at first, this being a Lease certain only for one Year, and each accruing Year after being a springing Interest for that Year, is not a Lease for any three Years to come, tho' by a Computation backwards, when five or fix or more Years are past, this may be said a Parol Lease for so many Years, but with this the Statute has nothing to do, but only looks forward to Parol Leafes for above three Years to come; and this Opinion, in the principal Case, seems to be confirmed by the like Resolution of the Court, where the Plaintiff declared, that he retained the Defendant Anno 1657. for one Year then next enfuing, and fo from Year to Year, Quamdiu ambabus partibus placuerit; and lays it, that Anno 1661. the Defendant withdrew himself from his Service for a Month, per quod, &c. and the Court held, that tho' the Retainer at first was for a Year certain, yet after every other Year begun, the Retainer held for that Year also, and gave Judgment for the Plaintiff.

2 Keb. 16.

2 Salk. 413.

And yet there are other Cases in which it seems to have been held, that a Lease made de Anno in Annum, quamdiu ambabus particus placuerit, is a Leafe for two Years certain at first, and after a Leafe for every feveral Year that the Lessee holds on; and that if upon such Lease three Years Rent be Arrear, the Declaration must be of several Leases for so many Years as were past; and in these Cases it is held, that there must be Half a Year's Warning given to oust the Lessee, and that unless such Warning be given, it will be Evidence of an Agreement to hold for another Year; from which Cases it appears that there is yet no uniform unanimous Opinion fettled as to this Matter.

, Lev. 359. Panton ver. Dam.

One let a Stable for a Week for 8 s. and fo from Week to Week at 8 s. a Week, Quandin ambabus partibus placuerit; this was held, at most, but a Lease for three Weeks certain, and for the Residue at Will; so that the Leffee, at the End of the three Weeks, was not punishable for negligent keeping of his Fire, that being only an involuntary Waste, wherewith Lessee at Will is not chargeable.

### 4. The Certainty of Leases for Pears as to their Duration and Ending.

As to this, tho' the preceding Point may feem to have taken in all that can come in properly here, fince the Continuance of Leafes for Years must shew their Determination likewise; yet there are some Cases remaining which feem more properly to be inferted under this Head.

2 Bendl. 2. pl. 2. 13 (0.66. 9 Co. 9. Oro. Fac. 378. 3 Bulf. 131. 1 Rol. Rep. 309, 310. 5 1 con. 103 N 15%

Therefore where a Lease was made for forty Years to two Persons, if they lived fo long, or to A. for forty Years, if he and B. should fo 2 Broconl. 292. long live, or the Lessor and Lessee, or the Lessor and J. S. should so long live; in all these Cases the Death of either of them determines the Leafe, because their Lives are the Collateral Measure and Limitation of the Continuance of the Term, or rather the Condition whereon the Estate depends; and by the Death of one of them, the Condition is as much broken as if both were dead, fince, with Regard to the Condition,

tion, both made but one Person; and they cannot now both so long live, one being dead already; and the Condition being intire cannot be fevered or divided, so as when Part of it is broken and gone, the Estate should still subsist and hang upon the other Part thereof; and therefore this differs from a Lease to two Persons for their Lives, for this gives an Estate to both for their Lives, and both have an Estate of Frechold therein in their own Right, and confequently this cannot determine by the Death of one of them, for then the other could not be faid to have an Estate for his Life, as the Lessor at first gave it; but Rolle feems to think, that where it is to two for forty Years, if they fo long live, that this does not determine by the Death of one of them, because it is an Interest in both, which shall survive; but the other Books are against it, because their Life is but a Collateral Condition and Limitation of the Estate, and therefore is broken when one dies.

Upon Articles of Intermarriage between A. and B. it was agreed that  $\frac{1}{2}$  Vent. 74 the Defendant, Father of A. should settle the Lands in Question upon C. Bailes ver. Wenman, & for his Life, and after his Death upon B. for her Jointure, with a Procide 1 Brown.
vifo, that C. should make a Lease thereof to the Defendant for Ninety30. nine Years, if he, and D. his Wife, should so long live, which Lease 1 Mod. 187. was made accordingly; then D. dies, and if by her Death the Leafe was determined, was the Question between the Defendant and the Plaintiff, Lessee of C. and the Court, upon the first opening of the Case, without Argument, were all of Opinion that it was, and gave Judgment

accordingly on the Reasons of the foregoing Case.

One made a Lease for forty Years, if A. his Wife, or any of their Moor, pl. 375. Issue, should so long live; and it was adjudged that the Lease was not 3 Buls. 131, determined by the Death of one of them, but should continue till all 183 were dead, by reason of the Disjunctive or, which goeth to and governs 310. the whole Limitation; but if the Words had been, if A. and bis Wife 2Brownl. 292. and Issue should so long live, there clearly, by the Death of any of them within the forty Years, the Term had been at an End, by reason of the 1 Leon. 74, Completing and which consists all together and makes all their Lives 6.4. Copulative and, which conjoins all together, and makes all their Lives Co. Lit. 225. a. jointly the Measure of the Estate.

A Lease was made for Twenty-one Years, if the Lessee so long Cro. Eliz. 643. lived and continued in the Lessor's Service; the Lessor dies: Per Curiam, Wrenford yer. the Leafe is not determined, because it was the Act of God that he could Gyles.

ferve no longer.

A Lease is made to two for Years, with a Proviso, that if the said Dyer 67. pl. Lesses die within the Term, that the Term shall cease; they make Par- 10. Lit. 219. tition, or one aliens his Part, and dies, yet the Lessor cannot enter into his Part, but the Assignee or Executors of the Lessee (if no Assignment) shall have that Part during the Life of the Survivor, and there shall be no Occupancy; and it is not like a Lease made to two for Term of their Lives; there if they make Partition, and one dies, his Part shall revert to the Lessor presently; and if it had been to them for their Lives & 3 Ast. pl. 8. eorum diutius vivent', yet this would not have prevented the Reverter 3 Bulf. 131. upon such Partit.on, quia expressio eorum quæ tacite insunt nibil operatur. and the Partition breaks the Joint-tenancy, and defeats the Right of 310. Survivorship, and so lets in the Reversion immediate to each one's single Part; but in the principal Case, the Lease at first is general and absolute to both for fo many Years, which gives them a Joint-tenancy in the Term, and will carry it to the Survivor and his Executors; and then the Froviso, which comes after, tho' it straiten it from going to the Executors of the Survivor, yet it does not give it to the Lessor till both are dead within the Term; and the Partition or Alienation breaks the Joint-tenancy, and prevents the Survivorship, and consequently none but the Alienee, or Executors of the Lessee, can have that Part during the Life of the other Lessee.

(M) In

# (M) In what Cales and to what Respects an Entry by the Lesce is requisite to the Perfection of his Leafe.

Co. Lit. 46. b. 5 Co. 124. b. Cro. Fac. 61. 2 Mod. 249. 2 Vent. 203,

S to this it is to be observed, that at Common Law no Lease for 51. b. 270. a. A Years, whether it were with or without any Refervation of Rent, Plow. 142. b. was looked upon to be compleat till an actual Entry by the Lessee; for tho' the Lessor had done all on his Part to perfect the Contract, so that he could not afterwards any way derogate from or avoid it, yet till there was a Transmutation of the Possession by the actual Entry of the Lessee, it wanted the chief Mark and Indication of his Confent thereto, without which it might be unreasonable to adjudge him in actual Posfession to all Intents and Purposes, since it might so happen that such Lease was made in his Absence, and when he knew nothing of it, and perhaps might be greater than he would be willing to give, or the Estate might be so incumbered as to bring a Load upon him rather than any Advantage; for which Reasons (amongst others) the Law would not cast the immediate and actual Possession upon him nolens volens; and for this Reason it was, that till actual Entry he could not maintain an Action of Trespass or Ejectment, because those Actions, complaining of an immediate Violation of the Possession, could not be proper for him who had no actual Possession; but yet the Lessor having done all that was requisite on his Part to devest himself of the Possession, and pass it over to the Lessee, had thereby transferred such an Interest to the Lessee, as he might at any Time reduce into Possession by an actual Entry, as well after the Death of the Lessor as before, and such an Interest as he might before Entry grant over to another, or if he died before Entry, it would go to his Executors, or to the Survivor and his Executors; if the Grant were made to two jointly, any of which might enter at their Pleasure, and so reduce the Contract into an actual Execution; for it was perfect and compleat on the Lessor's Part, and the Perfecting of it on the Lessee's Part was intirely in his own Power, and left to his own Discretion to use when and as he thought fit; and therefore this differed from a Lease for Life, or a Feoffment in Fee, for these being Estates of Freehold must necessarily be executed by Livery of Seisin, which carried the immediate and actual Possession to the Lessee or Feossee, in as much as the Operation of the Livery could not be in Suspence for the Prejudice that might thereby accrue to Strangers, who, after such solemn Transmutation of the Possession by Livery, could take Notice of no other Tenant of the Freehold, and therefore must necessarily bring their Præcipes against them for Recovery of their Rights, which if they might after be defeated and eluded on Pretence of any Difagreement, or that there was no actual Confent or Agreement thereto, and fo the actual Possession not vested in them would be greatly injurious to the Rights of Strangers; besides that, the Livery can be made to none but the Lessee or Feoffee himself in Person, or some other Person lawfully authorized by Letter of Attorney to receive the same; and therefore they can no ways be supposed ignorant of the Terms upon which they took it, and so no such Reason for suspending the actual Execution of it; and therefore if a Leafe were made to A. for Life, the Remainder to B. for Life, and then A. died, a Release to B. and his Heirs, before actual Entry, would be good to enlarge his Estate, because he had the Freehold in Law in him, immediately upon A.'s Death, to answer to the Præcipes of all Strangers as fully as he could ever have it by any Entry. But now in the Case of a Lease for Years it is quite different, as has been shewn,

and therefore till actual Entry, which is an Agreement on his Part, in Case of such Lease for Years, equivalent to the Acceptance of Livery in Case of the passing of a Freehold, the Lessee for Years hath not the Possession, and as he hath not the Possession, so neither hath the Lessor a Reversion to grant either to the same Lessee or a Stranger; but yet if a Rent were referved on such Lease for Years, and before actual Entry of the Lessee, or Commencement of his Lease, the Lessor should release to him all his Right in the Land; tho' this would not be fufficient to carry the Reversion by way of Enlargement of his Estate, yet would it extinguish the Rent, because every Deed must be taken most strongly against the Grantor, and to be made to some Purpose or other; and fince this cannot operate on the Estate to enlarge that, or carry any further Interest to the Lessee, yet it may well operate upon the Rent which was issuing out of the Land, and coming to the Lessor, in respect of the Land he had departed with, and therefore shall be construed to extinguish and determine that rather than it shall be totally vo.d.

And this way of executing Leases for Years, by an actual Entry, was 2 Mod. 251. always held necessary at the Common Law, and for a considerable Time after the Statute of Uses likewise, till the way was found out of conveying a Freehold by a Leafe for a Year, and a Releafe thereupon, according to the common Form now used; for it being found troublesome and inconvenient to put the Lessee under a Necessity of making an actual Entry in all Cases before a Release could be effectual thereupon to inlarge his Estate, especially where the Lands lay at any considerable Distance from the Place where the Deeds were executed; therefore to prevent this Trouble and Inconvenience for the future, they began to construe, that where the Words and Consideration were sufficient to raise a Use, tho' it were but for a Year, that the Statute would carry the actual Possession after it, and consequently make the Lessee equally capable of enlarging his Estate, by a Release thereupon, as if he had actually entered by Force and Virtue of the Lease; and the Consideration, if it were valuable, the never so small, was looked upon to be sufficient for the Raising of a Use, and therefore 5 s. or such other Consideration, came to be the Standard in a Lease for one Year, which in Time grew to be a Thing meerly of Course and Form, as the interting of the 5 s. was, which was feldom or never paid, tho' the Lessee, by his Acceptance of the Lease upon such Consideration, was estopped to deny or aver against it; but because there were some Opinions, that where a Conveyance might enure too ways, either at the Common Law or upon the Statute, that there the Common Law should be preferred and take Place. 1. Therefore to bring the Leafe more effectually within the Statute, they likewise inserted the Words therein Bargain and Sell, which, together with the Confideration, were held even at Common Law sufficient to raise a Use; and then the Statute, which came after, carried the Posfession accordingly, without any actual Entry made by the Lessee; and so the Conveyance, by way of Lease and Release, grew in Time to be the most common and easy Method of transferring a Freehold or Fee, and so has now continued for several Years, almost to the Disparagement of Conveyance by Livery; and to bring the Lease still more effectually within the Statute, it was afterwards used at the End of the Lease to fay, That such Lease was made to the Intent, that by Virtue of the Statute of Uses, the Lessee might be in actual Possession, and be thereby enabled to accept and take a Grant and Release of the Freehold and Inheritance thereof, &c.

## (N) Leases for Pears, when to take Effect as a Reversion, When as a future Interest, and when neither the one not the other.

1 Leon. 171. 4 Leon. 23. tornment 41, Dyer 26. 4. 58, 124. pl. 40, 125. fl. 44, 178, 233. pl. 10, 117 pl. 76, 350 pl. 18, P'000. 148, 150, 151. Bro. Tit. Leafes 71. Yelv. S5. 4 Ce 53. Dyer 46.

422. h.

1 Bendl. fl.

Ploge, 432,

6 Co. 36. Fone, having made a Lease for Life or Years to A. of Lands, does Cro. Fac. 72. I after make another Lease for Years to B. of the same Lands, or of Cro. Eliz. 152. the Reversion of those Lands, Habend' the said Lands, or the Reversion of those Lands, to the said B. cum post sive per Mortem, Resignationem, Sursum Redditionem, vel aliquo alio Modo vacare contigerit; in this Case B. Bro. Tit. At- hath Election to take fuch Lease either as a Reversion or a Reversionary Interest, if he can prevail for an Attornment of A. the Tenant in Posses-Lit. seet 576. sion, or if not, yet as a future Interesse Termini such Lease will be good to take Effect in Fossession upon the Determination of the first Lease, be it by Death, Surrender, Forfeiture, Effluxion of Time, or any other Way; the Reason whereof is, that when the Lessor hath expresly departed with, and made over an Interest to the Lessee for such a Time, and this Interest cannot take Effect in Possession, because the Lessor himfelf had not the Poffession to give, but must therefore be carved and de-376-7.
1 Bendl. 286. rived out of the Reversion which the Lessor had, the Lessee prima Facie hath a Reversion, or Reversionary Interest for the Time, in the same Manner as the Lessor or Grantor himself had; but then the perfecting fuch Leafe as a Reversion, or a Reversionary Interest, to draw after it the Rents and Services, depending on the Will and Pleasure of the Te-1 Brown 1,136, nant in Possession, whether he will attorn, and become Tenant to such Lessee or Grantee, or not; and if he thinks fit not to attorn, it cannot pass as a Reversion, or Reversionary Interest; yet this shall not totally invalidate and void such Lease or Grant, if by any other Means it can be made good and become effectual; and this it may as a future Interse Termini, to take Effect in Possession on the Determination of the first Lease, when or what Way soever that happens; and therefore, as such, it shall take Effect, rather than be absolutely void, when the Lessor or Grantor hath done all in his Power to devest himself, on the Possession for fo much a longer Time; but then fuch fecond Lessee hath only an Election to take it as a Reversion, or Reversionary Interest, when the Lease is made to him by Deed Poll or Indenture; for if it were made by Parol, then he can only take it as a future Interesse Termini, to take Effect in Possession upon the Determination of the first Lease, when or which Way foever that happens, and not as a Reversion, or Reversionary Interest, to draw after it the Rents and Services; because a Reversion cannot be granted or pass without Deed; for a Deed is of the very Effence of the Grant of a Reversion, or Reversionary Interest, and without it no Reversion, or Reversionary Interest, can pass out of the Leffor.

And this introduces a threefold Distinction in the Manner of making fuch Leafes for Years, where there is a prior Leafe or Estate then in Being: 1/t, When they are made by Parol. 2dly, When by Deed Poll. And, 3dly, When by Indenture or Fine.

As to the first, If one makes a Lease to A for ten Years, and the same 1 louis 421. b. Day makes a Parol Leafe to B. for ten Years of the same Lands, this second Lease is absolutely void, and can never take Effect either as a Cro Eliz. 160. future Interesse Termini, or as a Reversionary Interest, tho' the first Lessee should forseit or otherwise determine his Estate, or the the first Lease

Hatt. 1-5. Pro. Tit. Leafes 48. Moor 185 pl 329. Dyer 112. pl. 49.

werg

were on Condition, and the Condition broke within the ten Years; neither shall the Lessor have the Rent reserved upon such second Lease, but fuch second Lease is absolutely void, as if none such had been made; the Reason whereof is, because the first Lease being made for ten Years, the Lessor during that Time had nothing to do with the Possession, or to contract with any other for it; and the second Lease being made the same Day, and for no longer Term than the first ten Years, could not pais any Interest as a future Interesse Termini certainly; for the first Lessee had the whole Interest during that Time, and his Forseiture or Determination of it sooner, which was perfectly contingent and accidental, shall never make good the second Lease as a future Interesse Termini, when as the Time of making thereof it was absolutely void, for Want of a Power in the Lessor to contract it; and as a Reversionary Interest it cannot be good, for Want of a Deed; for a Reversion, whether it be granted for Life or Years, not being capable of Execution either by Livery of Seifin, or Entry and Transmutation of the Possession, there can be no Evidence of the Creation or Existence of such a Grant, without a Deed to ascertain it; and therefore a Deed in such a Case is as effential to the making good the Grant, as Livery of Seisin or Entry in the other Cases, where they deal for the Possession; and by Consequence this second Lease not being good, either as a future Interesse Termini, or a Reversion, must be absolutely void; but now if such second Lease had been made for twenty Years, then it had been good as a future Interesse Termini for the last ten Years, and void for the first ten Years, for the Reasons before given, but for the last ten Years it had been good; because when the first ten Years were elapsed, the second Lessee might then execute, and reduce it into Possession by Entry, as well as if it had been at first made in Possession: for it had been good for the whole twenty Years if the first Lease had not stood in the Way, and that can stand in the Way no longer than it continues, and therefore by its Determination lets in the fecond Leafe; but as a Grant of the Reversion such second Lease could not be good, for Want of a Deed, for the Reasons before given; neither could any Attornment help it, or let in the second Lease till the first ten Years run out by Effluxion of Time.

But now, 2dly, if fuch second Lease had been made by Deed Poll, Vide the Authen it might well enure as a Grant of the Reversion, and draw after it thorities the Rents and Services of the first Lessee, if he would consent to attorn, cited above. and by Consequence, whenever the first Lease determined by Surrender, Forfeiture, or otherwife, such second Lessee having the immediate Reverfion must come in for the Residue of his Term; but without such Attornment to make it operate as a Grant of the Reversion, this second Lease, tho' by Deed Poll, would be absolutely void, as if it were made only by Parol, because during the first ten Years the Lessor had no Power to contract for the Possession; and therefore if this Grant could not take Effect as a Grant of the Reversion, which was all the Lessor had a Power of, it must likewise be absolutely void; but if such second Lease by Deed Poll had been for twenty Years, then with Attornment this would be a good Grant of the Reverlion presently, to take Effect in Possession whenever the first Lease determined, or if no Attornment could be had, yet it would enure as a future Interesse Termini for the last ten Years, and would be absolutely void for the first ten Years, as much as if it had been

made by Parol.

But now, 3 dly and lastly, if such second Lease for ten Years had been Vide the Aumade by Indenture or Fine, then this would have been good as a prefent thorities to Lease, by reason of the Estoppel to both Parties by the Indenture or the first Di-Fine, and therefore whensoever the first Lease determined the second sinction. Fine, and therefore whenfoever the first Lease determined, the second Lease should commence in Possession; and in the mean Time the second Lessee, by reason of the Estoppel, would be obliged to pay the Rent re-

ferved in an Action of Debt; and if fuch fecond Leffee could prevail for an Attornment, then his Lease would enure as a Grant of the Reversion, and draw after it the Rents and Services of the first Lessee, and would take Effect in Possession whenever that determined; but without such Attornment, tho' the second Lease would be good between the Parties, by reason of the Estoppel, yet not as a Reversion, and therefore such fecond Lessee could have no Remedy for the Rents and Services of the

T Co 155. a. 433. 4.

So if one had made a Leafe for Life, or for eighty Years, if the Lessee Cro Eliz. 322. should fo long live, and after by Indenture let the same Lands to another Plow 422 a for Years, to begin presently, and then the first Lease determined by Death, Surrender, or Forfeiture, the second Lessee should have the Lands in Possession presently, for the Residue of the Years; because such second Lease, by reason of the Estoppel, took Essect between the Parties presently, and therefore shall come in Possession whenever the first Lease is out of the Way; but if such second Lease were only by Deed Poll, then there must be an Attornment to make it good as a Grant of the Reverfion, as there must likewise in the other Case, where it was made by Indenture; and without such Attornment the second Lease could only take Effect in Possession upon the Determination of the first Lease by the Death of the Lessee, according to the express Limitation, and not upon any fooner or other Determination by Surrender, Forfeiture, or otherwife; much lefs, if fuch fecond Leafe were by Parol, could it take Effect upon any other Determination of the first Lease; for tho' in these Cases the first Lease, depending upon the Life of the Lessee, was uncertain how long it would continue, yet so long as it did continue, the first Lessee had the sole and absolute Possession, and the Lessor no Power to contract for any Thing but his own Reversion during that Time; and therefore if his second Lessee cannot attain the Reversion, the Contract can take no Effect for the Possession till the Death of the first Lessee; because that being the Lessor's own Limitation affixed to such Leafe, he cannot deal for the Possession before that Time comes; and therefore no accidental Determination of the Lease sooner shall let in the fecond Leffee, unlefs he can prevail for the Reversion by Attornment of the first Lessee, in Case of the Lease by Deed Poll, or unless in Case of the Indenture, which, by reason of the Estoppel, shall let him in whenever the first Lease is out of the Way, whether he obtained an Attornment or not.

3 Leon. 17. 4 Leon. 23. 5 Co. 113. Mallorie's Cafe.

But in all the Cases before-mentioned, if such Lease by Indenture or Deed Poll were by Way of Bargain and Sale for Years, then, it should seem, it would pass as a Reversionary Interest presently, without any Attornment, by Force of the Statute of Uses, and it being only for Years, there would need no Inrollment of the Indenture or Deed Poll: And note; By the Statute of Frauds and Perjuries, 29 Car. 2. no Parol Leafe for above three Years is to have any other Effect than only as a Leafe at Will; fo that fuch Parol Leafes now for ten or twenty Years are out of Doors.

## (O) Leafes for Pears by Estoppel, how far and against whom such Leases are good.

I F one makes a Lease for Years by Indenture of Lands wherein he hath Co. Lit. 47. nothing at the Time of such Lease made, and after purchases those 227. a. very Lands, this shall make good and unavoidable his Lease, as well as Plow. 434. if he had been in the actual Possession and Seisin thereof at the Time of 4 Co. 53. fuch Leafe made; because he, having by Indenture expresly demised those Sar. 98 Lands, is by his own Act estopped, and concluded to say he did not de-Owen 96. mise them; and if he cannot aver that he did not demise them, then 1 Leon. 206. Cro. Eliz. 562.

which expressly affirms that he did demise them, and consequently the Moor, #1 323. which expressly affirms that he did demise them, and consequently the 2 Brown 150. Lessee may take Advantage thereof, whenever the Lessor comes to such Cro. Car. 110. an Estate in those Lands as is capable to sustain and support that Lease; 1 Rol. Abr. and this Estappel by Indenture is so mutual and reciprocal, that if a Man 871. and this Estoppel by Indenture is so mutual and reciprocal, that if a Man Cro. Fac 73. takes a Lease for Years by Indenture of his own Lands, whercof he himself is in actual Seisin and Possession, this estops him during the Term to fay the Lessor had nothing in the Lands at the Time of the Lease made, but that he himself, or such other Person, was then in actual Seisin and Possessin thereof; for by Acceptance thereof by Indenture, he is for the Time as persect a Lesse for Years, as if the Lessor had at the Time of making thereof the absolute Fee and Inheritance in him; but if such Lessee of his own Lands, being ejected by the Lessor, should bring an Ejectment, and the Lessor should plead Not guilty, and give the Leafe, and some Matter of Forseiture thereof, in Evidence to support his Plea, without pleading, and relying on the Estoppel, and the Jury should find the special Matter, viz. that the Defendant had nothing in the Lands at the Time of fuch Leafe made, but that the Plaintiff himself was then in actual Seisin and Possession thereof, whether the Court upon this Verdict are bound to adjudge according to the Truth of the Cafe, viz. that fuch Leafe by one who had then nothing in the Lands was void; or if they are to adjudge according to the Law, working by Way of Estoppel upon such Lease by Indenture, seems a Doubt upon all the Books; but my Lord Coke lays it down for a Rule, that the Jury do well to find the Truth, viz. that the Leffor had then nothing in the Lands; but then upon fuch Finding the Court is to adjudge, according to the Operation of Law upon the Estoppel wrought to both Parties by the Indenture, that they are bound; but if the Jury, understanding that the Lessor had nothing in the Lands at the Time of the Lease made, and that therefore his Leafe could not be good in Fact, but only by way of Estoppel, and inferring from thence that they, who are fworn to fay the Truth, were not bound by fuch an Estoppel, which was plainly against the Truth, should therefore give a general Verdict against the Lease, that the Defendant was guilty of the Ejectment; in this Case, says my Lord Coke, 4 Co. 53 such Jury are liable to an Attaint; and this seems the better Opinion; for Rawlin's tho' it be true that the Jury are not bound by the Estoppel, and there- Cate. fore may find that the Lessor had nothing in the Lands at the Time of the Leafe made, which is a Truth of Fact the Lessee is estopped to affirm, and is the only Subject Matter of the Estoppel; yet the Consequence of fuch Estoppel, and how far the Lease is made good thereby against the Parties, is a Matter of Law, and not of Fact; and therefore if they take upon them, first, to find that the Lessor had nothing in the Lands at the Time of the Leafe made, and then to find that fuch Leafe is void, or, which is all one, to find that fuch Leafe was void, because the Lessor had then nothing in the Lands, as the effential Caufe which induced them to find fuch Leafe to be void, or that there was no fuch Leafe; in this Vol. III.

they take upon them to judge of Matter of Law, and in so doing exceed their Duty, and consequently, if they are mistaken, lay themselves open to an Attaint; for in Truth of Fact there was fuch Lease made, and in Truth of Fact the Lessor had nothing in the Lands at the Time of making thereof; and all this is their Duty, and belongs to them to find; but whether such Lease so circumstanced be good or void, is Matter of Law for the Court to adjudge, upon these Circumstances; and therefore if they will take upon them to anticipate the Judgment of the Court, by giving their own Judgment thereon, they must do it at their own Peril, and if they mistake be liable to an Attaint.

Co. Lit. 47. b. Rol. Abr. 871.

But if fuch Lease for Years were made by Deed Poll of Lands wherein the Lessor had nothing, this would not estop the Lessee to aver that the Lessor had nothing in those Lands at the Time of the Lease made; because the Deed Poll is only the Deed of the Lessor, and made in the first or third Person; whereas the Indenture is the Deed of both Parties, and both are as it were put in and shut up by the Indenture, that is, where both feal and execute it as they may and ought; for otherwise, if the Lessor only seals and executes the Indenture, the Lessee seems to be no more concluded than if the Leafe were by Deed Poll; for it is only the Sealing and Delivery of the Indenture as his Deed, that binds the Lessee, and not his being barely named therein, for so he is in the Deed Poll; but that being only sealed and delivered by the Lessor, can only bind him, and not the Lessee, who is not to seal and execute it; and it should seem that such Lease by Deed Poll binds the Lessor himself as much as if it were by Indenture, because it is executed on his Part with the very same Solemnity, and therefore it should seem he is bound by fuch Leafe by way of Estoppel.

Crn. Eliz 37,

And yet it is generally faid, that thefe Estoppels ought to be mutual, or otherwise neither Party is bound by them; therefore if a Man takes a Co.Lit. 352. a. Lease for Years of his own Lands from an Infant or Feme Covert by Indenture, this works no Estoppel on either Part, because the Infant or Feme, by reason of their Disability to contract, are not estopped; therefore neither shall the Lessee be estopped, because all Estoppels ought to be murual.

1 Rel. Abr.

So if a Man takes a Lease for Years of his own Lands by Patent from the King, rendering Rent, this shall not estop the Lessee, as an Indenture between common Persons in such Case would do; because the King cannot be estopped; for it cannot be presumed the King would do Wrong to any Person, and therefore being deceived in his Grant makes it absolutely void; and if he be not estopped, neither shall the Lessee; because all Estoppels ought to be mutual; but perhaps there may be some Difference between these Cases of a bare Acceptance of a Lease from such Persons, as by reason of their Imbecility, Incapacity, or other Impediment arising from their own Persons, could not make such Lease, but that the same was either absolutely void, or at least voidable on their Part; and therefore the Lessee may shew such Incapacity to avoid them, as made by Persons who wanted Power or Ability to contract; and so the whole Contract must fail, not for Want of a sufficient Estate in the Leffors, (for if they were of full Age, and fole, &c. that would not be material,) but for Want of a sufficient Power or Ability to contract; but now when such Lease is made by a Man of full Age, tho' by Deed Poll, why this should not bind and estop him as well as if it were made by Indenture, seems hard to understand; for he hath executed it on his Part with the same Solemnity; and tho' it cannot bind or estop the Lessee, because ne never executed it, yet why that should invalidate it on the Lessor's Part, whose Deed it was, and who did all he could to bind himfelf, docs not feem very intelligible; befides that, the Books, which put the Cafe of the Leafe by Deed Poll, faying only that the Leffee is not estopped thereby, seem to allow that the Lessor is notwithstanding eftopped; for otherwise they would take Notice of their being both at

large, as they do in other Cafes.

If Lessee for Years accepts a Lease for Years of a Stranger by Inden- t Rel. Alir. Estoppel between them, and not a Confirmation; for nothing appears that the Lessor knew the Lessee then had any Thing in the Lands, and then it is the same with the other Cases, and works by way of a bare Estoppel; but Fenner thought it a Confirmation, against all the other

If one lets Lands to me, by Deed inrolled, unknown to me, and Bro. Tit. brings Debt upon the Lease, I may say ne Lessa pas, as Littleton held; Leases 36. but by all the Justices, he who made such Lease is concluded to say the 7 E. 4. 29. contrary, which Case seems to be an Authority in Point to establish what has been laid down, that in Cafe of a Deed Poll, (as this which is called a Deed inrolled must be intended to be,) the Lessor himself is estopped, tho' the Lessee be at large; and this cannot be intended an Indenture, because then the Lessee would have been estopped likewise, if he had fealed it, which in this Cafe it appears he did not, because it was unknown to him, and therefore was not estopped, whether it were by Indenture or Deed Poll.

Thefe Estoppels continue no longer on either Part than during the Co. Lit. 47. b. Leafe, for as they began at first by making of the Leafe, so by Deter- 8 Co. 44. mination of the Leafe they are at an End likewife, for then both Parts Cro. Eliz. 36. of the Indenture belong to the Lesfor.

1 Rol. Abr.

When an Interest actually passes by the Lease, there shall be no Co. Lit. 47. b. Estoppel, tho' the Interest purported to be granted be really greater, than i Vent. 358. the Lessor at that Time had Power to grant; as if A. Lessee for the Carth. 247-8. Life of B. makes a Lease for Years by Indenture, and after purchase 1 Salk. 275. the Reversion in Fee, and then B. dieth, A. shall avoid his own Lease, tho' feveral of the Years expressed in the Lease are still to come; for he may confess and avoid the Lease which took Effect in Point of Interest, and determined by the Death of B. So if Lessee for ten Years make a Leafe for twenty Years, and afterwards purchaseth the Reversion, yet it shall bind him for no more than ten Years, for the same Reason; because when he made a Lease for twenty Years, this was certainly a good Lease for ten Years, and so far an Interest passed, which he may confess, and avoid it for the Residue, by saying, that he had no more than for ten Years in it himself; sed Quere of this, for the Reason seems not fatisfactory.

In Ejectment, Plaintiff declared of a Lease for five Years, and upon 2 Lev. 140. Not guilty pleaded, the Jury found that the Lessor of the Plaintiff had 3 Keb. 492. only a Term for three Years in the Lands leased, & fi, &c. Hale held Williamson. this Verdict against the Plaintiff, for the Judgment should be, that the Plaintiff recuperet Terminum fuum Pradittum, which is five Yeurs; and here the Lessor's Interest does not continue so long, and perhaps the Defendant may be the Reversioner after the five Years ended, and then by this Means the Plaintiff's Lessor will recover the Land for two Years more than he hath Right to do; and faid, that for this Reason he had before caused another Plaintiff to be nonsuit; Wild was of the same Opi-

nion, but Twisden inclined cont. & Adjurnatur.

If a Man takes a Leafe for Years of the Herbage of his own Land by Co. Lit 47. b. Indenture, this works an Estoppel to say that the Lessor had nothing in 871. the Lands at the Time of the Leafe made, because it was not made of the Lands themselves; and this in Consequence will avoid the Lease

If Baron and Feme join in a Leafe for Years by Indenture, rendering t Rol. Abr. Rent, where the Baron hath all the Estate, and the Wife nothing; in S77. Cro. Eliz. 70 this Case, after the Death of the Baron, the Lessee, in an Action of Breerton yet, Debt Evans.

Debt brought by the Feme, shall not be concluded to fay, that at the Time of the Lease made the Feme had nothing in the Lands; for this shall not enure by way of Estoppel, because an Interest actually passed, tho' not from the Feme. But another Reason given is, because the Feme being Covert was not estopped, and by Consequence neither shall the Lessee, because all Estoppels ought to be mutual.

Co. Lit. 45. a. 1 Rol. Abr.

If Tenant of the Land, and a Stranger, join in a Leafe for Years by Indenture, this is the Leafe only of the Tenant, and the Confirma-Cro. Eliz. 701. tion of the Stranger; and yet the Lease operates, as to the Stranger, by way of Conclusion, and so it does to the Lessee with respect to the Stranger, because he having nothing in the Lands, the Indenture could no otherwise take Effect as to him.

Co.Lit. 45. a. I Rol. Abr. \$77.

If A. feised of ten Acres, and B. of other ten Acres, join in a Lease for Years by Indenture, these are several Leases according to their feveral Estates, and no Estoppel is wrought by the Indenture to either Party, because each have an Estate whereout such Lease for Years or Interest may be derived; and the Reason why Estoppels at any Time are allowed is, because otherwise when the Party hath nothing in the Lands, the Indenture must be absolutely void, which would be hard to fay, when he hath, under Hand and Seal, done all in his Power to make it good, and fince it can be good no otherwise, it shall be good by Estoppel rather than be absolurely void; but when an Interest passes from each Lessor, the Indenture works upon such Interest to carry that, and therefore leaves no Room for its operating by way of Estoppel; but yet since both equally joined in the Leafe, without distinguishing the several Interests they had therein, the Indenture works by way of Confirmation, with respect to each from whom the whole Interest did not pass; that is, A's Confirmation for B.'s Part, and as B.'s Confirmation for A.'s Part; for fince the Leafe of the whole was undiffinguished, and by Reaion of the feveral Interests that passed from each excludes any Estoppel, therefore rather than the Indenture shall be void with respect to the Part of each other, it shall be construed a several Confirmation by one of the other's Part, and by the other of the other's Part, which is the least Operation the Indenture can have with respect to each, from whom no Interest passes, without being absolutely void.

I Rol. Abr. 877.

So if two Tenants in common of Lands join in a Leafe for Years, by Indenture, of their feveral Lands; this shall be the Lease of each for their respective Parts, and the Cross Confirmation of each for the Parts of the other, and no Estoppel on either Part; because an actual Interest passes from each respectively, and that excludes the Necessity of an Estoppel, which is never admitted, if by any Construction it can be avoided, as being one of those Things which the Law looks upon as odious, because it chokes and disguises the Truth.

Co. Lit. 47. a. 1 Rol. Abr. 878.

But if two Joint-tenants for Life, or in Fee, join in a Lease for Years by Indenture, reserving Rent to the one of them only, this shall give him the Rent exclusive of the other; and here the Estoppel turns not then upon the Interest passed by the Lease, for that is several, according to their several Rights, as in the other Cases, which excludes any Estoppel; but it turns upon the Reservation of the Rent, which being made in this Manner, to one exclusive of the other, by Indenture, works an Estoppel against all the Parties to say the contrary; and tho' the Rent issues out of one Part as well as the other, yet it not being Part of the Thing demised, but moving as it were rather by way of Grant from the Lessee after the Lease made, the Lessors are confidered as accepting it in this Manner by Indenture, which concludes them as well as it doth the Leffee; but if the Leafe had been by Parol, or Deed Poll, referving Rent to the one Joint-tenant only, this would not have excluded the other Joint-tenant from an equal Share therein, because this Reservation coming as it were by way of Grant from the Leffee,

Leffee, and being only by Parol, or Deed Poll, could not eftop or conclude the Lessors, who, with respect to the Rent, were as it were Grantees, and only passive therein; and the Rent shall follow the Reversion in Proportion to their several Estates in that, as the Cause for which the Rent was referved or granted in that Manner, and so let in

both to an equal Participation thereof.

If two Coparceners join in a Lease for Years, by Indenture, of their 1 Rol. Abr. several Parts, this is said in one Book to be but as one Lease, because Moor, pl. 939. they have not several Freeholds therein, but only one, as both making Milliner ver. but one Heir, and therefore shall join in an Assise; but Moor is cont. Robinson. where in Ejectment the Plaintiff declared of a Lease by two Coparceners quod dimiserunt; and Exception being taken to it, the Exception was allowed, because the Lease was several as to each Coparcener, for their own respective Moiety; and this seems the better Law, because tho' they have but one Freehold with Regard to their Ancestor, and therefore if they are disseised shall join in an Assise, yet as to their Disposing Power thereof they have feveral Rights and Interests, so that neither of them

can lease or give away the Whole.

If A. Mortgages Lands to B. upon Condition to re-enter on Pay- 1 Rol. Alr. ment of 101. and after A. before the Day of Payment is come, being \$74,\$76. in Possession, makes a Lease for Years, by Indenture, to C. and then after Omelaughland performs the Condition, this shall make the Lease to C. good against himself by Estandard and in the condition. himself by Estoppel; and it was farther adjudged, that even the Feosfee of A. should be bound by this Lease, which took its Effect only at first by Estoppel, because he, coming in under one who was estopped, should be himself estopped likewise, which was still a stronger Case than the first; and this was adjudged in *Ireland*, and afterwards affirmed on a Writ of Error here, and seems a very reasonable Judgment; for if a subsequent Purchase shall make good a Lease of Lands by Indenture, tho' the Lessor had nothing in those Lands at the Time of the Lease, and therefore his Lease at first could only take Effect by Estoppel, much more in this Case, where the Lessor had a Possibility of coming into the Lands again, shall his Performance of the Condition after make the intermediate Lease; and so it should seem too if the Condition were broken at the Time of the Lease, so as he had then nothing but an Equity of Redemption; yet if he should after be admitted to redeem in Chancery, this would make good the intermediate Lease which took Effect at first only by Estoppel.

B. Tenant for Life of C. and he in the Remainder or Reversion in Co. Lit. 45. a. Fee join in a Lease for Years by Indenture, this, during the Life of C. Moor, pl. 196. is the Lease of B. who then only had the present Interest in the Lands, Poph. 57. and the Confirmation of him in the Remainder or Reversion; but after Moor, pl. 939. the Death of C. then this becomes the Lease of him in the Reversion or 6 Co. 14, 15. Remainder, and the Confirmation of B. for the Lessors having several Estates in them in several Degrees, the Lease shall be construed to move out of each one's respective Estate or Interest as they become capable of supporting thereof; which is the most natural and useful Construction of the Lease, especially as there can be no Estoppel in this Case, by reason of the several Interests which passed from each; and therefore during the Life of the Tenant for Life, if the Lessee, being evicted, should declare of a Lease by both, this would be against him, as was adjudged, because for that Time it was only the Lease of the Tenant for

Life.

(P) Leases for Pears and future Interests. how far they may be barred or destroyed, and how far not, and where an Entry be= foze the Term begun is a Disseilin.

It has already appeared, that all Leafes for Years at the Common Law, when they come in effe, are to be executed by the Entry of the Leffee; we shall therefore now consider what Care the Law has taken for the Prefervation and Security of fuch Leafes as are limited to begin at a future Time, and so cannot be executed by Entry presently; what Power the Leffee hath over fuch an Interest, and whether, and by what Acts, either of the Lessor, or Strangers, the same may be barred and

prevented from ever taking Effect.

Cro. Eliz. 15. 5 Co. 124. 3 Leon. 156, 15S.

As to future Interests, if one make a Lease for Years, to commence after the Death of a Tenant for Life, or after the End of a Leafe for Years then in Being; and after the Death of the Tenant for Life, or 3 Med. 198. Expiration of the Term for Years, a Stranger enters by Tort, yet may the Lessee of the future Interest grant over his Term for Years, before or without any Entry made, and thereby transfer over his Power of entering and reducing it into Possession to the Grantee, for till Entry of the Lessee of such suture Interest, the Lesse is not executed, but remains in the same Plight as it was upon the first making thereof, and then no intermediate Acts, either of the Lessor, or of Strangers, can disturb or hurt it; because whoever comes to the Possession, whether by Right or Wrong, takes it subject to such future Charge, which the Leffee may execute by his Entry whenever he thinks fit, as by a Title Prior and Paramount to all such intermediate Violations of the Posfession; but if the Lessee of such future Interest had once entered after the Death of the Tenant for Life, or End of the Lease for Years, and had after been put out, then he could not grant over his Term and Interest to a Stranger, because by his Entry the Lease was actually executed, and being after defeated by the Entry of another, he had only a Right of Entry left in him; which Right of Entry the Law will not fuffer him to transfer over to a Stranger no more than a Right of Action; and for the same Reason, because in both Cases it may incourage Champerty and Maintenance; but in the other Case, where he hath not entered, he only transfers over fuch Interest as he himself had, and which the tortious Entry of the Stranger hath not diffurbed or altered from what it was at the first making thereof.

Cro. Eliz.127. Leon. 118. Wheeler ver.

So if one makes a Leafe for Years, to begin two Years hence, and after the two Years expired before any Entry, and whilft the Lessor Theroughgood continues still in Possession, the Lessee may grant over his Term and Interest to another, because his Interesse Termini was not devested or turned to a Right, but continued in him in the same Manner as it was at first granted; and in the same Manner he transfers it over to another, who by his Entry may reduce it into Possession whenever he thinks fit.

5 Co. 123. Cro. F.ac. 60. Saffin's Case. 1 Leon. 99. 3 Med. 198.

One made a Lease for Years, to begin after the End or Determination of a former Lease for Years then in Being; the first Lease determined, and before Entry of the fecond Leffee, he in Reversion entered, and made a Feoffment in Fee, and levied a Fine with Proclamations, and five Years passed without Entry or Claim of the second Lessee; and if his Term was barred, was the Question; and it was adjudged, that by this Fine and Nonclaim his Term was barred, because after the first Lease expired, the fecond Leafe was actually then come in effe, and reducible

into Poffession by an Entry prefently; and then his not entering, which was his own Fault and Laches, shall not stop the Operation of the Fine from running against him; but if such Fine had been levied during the Continuance of the first Lease, there it was agreed that the Operation thereof should not begin to run on against the second Lessee till the first Leafe were determined, because till then the second Leafe was only an Interesse Termini, which the second Lessee could not reduce into Posfession by any Entry till the first Lease determined, and so was not obliged to take Notice of the Acts of Strangers, or of the Ter-tenant in Possession; for if such suture Interest might be devested before it came in esse, the Lessee or Grantee thereof, having never entered, would have no Means to revest it, and therefore till it comes in effe, the Law takes care and fecures it to the Lessee or Grantee in the same Manner as it was at first granted; but when the first Lease is at an End, then the fecond Lessee is to take care of it himself; and if he suffers five Years to elapse after that Time without Entry or Claim, this will bar such Interest, because his Right then commences in Possession, and from thenceforth the Operation of the Fine begins to run on against him; and where in Noy it is held, that if A leases to B for Years, but yet A. Noy 123. ftill continues in Possession, and after levies a Fine with Proclamations, Ar blood verand five Years pass, that this does not bar the Term of B. but only 1 Vent. St. carries the Reversion of A. this Case was denied by Twisden to be Law, 1 Sid. 459. for till the Entry of B. the Lessor hath no Reversion; and therefore the Fine can only operate on the Possession.

As the Lessee must enter when his Lease comes in Possession, so if he 2 Leon. 99. enters before, this is a Diffeisin; therefore where one brought Debt for Cro. Eliz 169. Rent, and declared upon a Lease 29 Septemb. Habendum from the Feast 1 Rol Abr. of St. Mich' next ensuing for Twenty-one Years, rendering 101. per Ann. Dyer 89, 96. Rent, Virtute cujus Defendant 29 Septemb. entered, &c. on Nibil debet 2 Rol. Abr. pleaded, and found for the Plaintiff, it was moved in Arrest of Judg- 120. ment, that here, by the Plaintiff's own shewing, there is no Rent in Arrear, for he fays Virtute cujus Defendant 29 Septemb. entered, which is a Day before the Commencement of the Term; and then fuch Entry is a Diffeifin, because he had then no Right to enter; and this the Court clearly agreed, and that no Continuance of Possession, tho' after the Term actually begun, would purge the Diffeifin or alter the Estate of the Lessee; but yet they agreed that Debt lay for the Rent in respect of the Privity of Contract upon the Lease made, but that the Disselsin having gained a tortious Fee, that should not give way to the Term for

Years, tho' it were legal, being but a Chattel.

So where A. made a Lease to B. 23 Septemb. Habendum to him for 1 Lev. 45. Eighty-one Years from Mich' next enfuing, if C. should so long live, and 1 Keb 54 from and after the Day of the Death of C. for Thirty-one Years more; Hennings the Lessee enters the 23 Septemb. and so, before the Commencement of the Term, continues in Possession for some Years; then the Lessor reenters, and the Lessee being out of Possession after the Death of C. and during the Continuance of Thirty-one Years, affigns over that Term to the Plaintiff's Lessor, who being kept out of Possession, brings Ejectment, and recovered. 1. It was held, that the Term not being to begin till Mich', this was till then a future Interest, and the Lessce's Entry before was a Disseisin, and not a Possession, by Virtue of the Lease. 2. That whether this Lease for Thirty-one Years were only a Continuance of the first Term, and that both together made but one Term, as Bridgman held, because the last Day of the Life of C. shall be conjoined to the first Day of the Thirty-one Years, and so no Fraction be allowed, especially being for Eighty-one Years, which B. cannot be supposed to out-live; or whether they were two distinct Terms, as others held; yet either way it was not turned to a Right by the Entry of the Lessor, because B. was not possessed by Virtue of the Term, but by Disseisin, and to

purge that was the Entry of the Lessor; for if a Stranger had entered after Mich, and diffeifed the Leffor, this would not have turned the Term to a Right, because as to that the Time for Entry of the Lessee was not come, nor was his Entry in respect of that; no more will the Entry of the Lessor turn it to a Right, and then it was well assignable to the Plaintiff's Lessor, especially if it should be taken as a future Interest, as some held it should; for then the Lessee was never in Possession by Virtue thereof, and consequently the Lessor's Entry could not turn it to a Right.

Cro Eliz. 905. Waller ver. Campian.

But where one declared of a Lease 16 April, Habendum from the Annunciation last past for ten Years, Virtute cujus intravit & habuit tenementa prædict' from the faid Annunciation; this was held good, and that the Lessee was no Disseisor; for it shall be intended that he entered and occupied before by Agreement, and took a Diversity between this Case where the Commencement of the Lease is limited from a Time past, and where it is limited to begin at a Time to come; there the Entry of the Lessee, before that Time, is a Disseisin.

### (Q) How far, and by what Means, Leases for Pears in Trust to attend an Inheri= tance may be barred or destroyed.

Morris. 1 Sid. 459. 2 Vent. 329. S. C. cited, Em vide Tit. Wes and Trufts, and where Equity will fet afide Truft Terms in Favour of Dowerels, under thefe Titles.

Cro. Car. 110. If Lessee for Years assigns over his Lease in Trust for himself, and Isham ver. I after purchases the Inheritance, and occupies the Land, and then levies a Fine with Proclamations, and the Lessee does not claim this Leafe within five Years after the Fine levied, this Fine and Non-claim will bar the Interest of the Lessee, tho' he who levied the Fine had himself the Possession by reason of the Trust; for this Trust passed included in the Fine, and the Trustee not making Claim within the five Years, his Interest is barred thereby, and consequently so is the Interest therein of the Costui que Trust. But Note; it appears in other Books, where this Resolution is cited, that the Conuzee was a Purchasor of the Estate, and then having no Notice of the Term, nor having made any Agreement for it, to have it affigned in Trust for himself, if the Fine had Jointress, &c. not barred it, but it should have been set up against his Purchase, he would have been fo far cheated and deceived in his Purchase; and therefore it is faid it would have been otherwise, if by Agreement this Term had been to be affigned in Trust for the Conuzee, and that upon very good Reason; for he who hath the Inheritance, and in Trust, for whom a Term or Estate by Extent is assigned, must be taken as Tenant at Will to his Trustee, and consequently that his Possession is the Possession of the Trustee, and then a Fine levied by him who hath the Inheritance, will work only upon that, when it appears that it was so intended, and that the Term should be kept on Foot, and not barred; whereas in the Case of Isham and Morris there does not appear to have been any fuch Intention, nor does it appear that the Conuzee knew any Thing of the Term.

Hard. 400. Forus ver. Salisbury.

A. seised of Lands, for Continuance thereof in his Name and Blood, &c. makes a Leafe to B. for five Hundred Years, in Trust for himself during Life, and after in Trust for his Brother, and so to others; and after A. being in Possession according to the Trust, covenants with D. to stand seised of those Lands, upon the same Considerations as in the Lease, to the Use of himself for Life, with Remainders over, as in the Lease, and upon the same Trusts, and that the said Lease, and all Estates made or to

be made by him should be to the same Uses and Trusts, and then A levies a Fine, and five Years pass, A. still continuing in Possession according to the Trust, and after A.'s Death the Lessee enters; and if this Lease was barred by the Fine and Nonclaim for five Years, was the Question: No Judgment appears to have been given; but Ilile seemed to be of Opinion that it was not, because here appeared no Intent to bar it; for A. was but Tenant at Will, and the Fine did not displace the Lease; as if Lessee for Years levies a Fine, and five Years pass, the Lessor is not barred, because Nihil operatur by the Fine, and Partes Fines nihil babuerunt may be pleaded to it; otherwise it would be if such Fine had been levied by the Tenant for Life; therefore where Leffee for Years intends to levy a Fine, it is usual for him first to make a Feosfment, whereby he transfers the whole and present Possession and Fee to the Feoffee, and then the Fine operates upon the whole Estate so united in the Feoffee; but here the Lease for Years was antecedent to the Estate of the Leffor upon which the Fine operates, and was subsisting in another Person, viz. in the Lessee, at the Time of the Fine levied; and he cited the Durchess of Richmond's Case in C. B. which is said to be the same in Terminis, and to be so adjudged, 1. Because the Lessor was only Tenant at Will, and there was a mutual Confidence between them: 2. By reason of the Privity that was between them: And he also cited one Heal's Case, where A. conveyed Lands in Fee to B. with a Covenant to make further Assurance, then B. lets to A. for forty Years, and then, on Request, A. makes further Affurance, the Leafe is barred without precedent Agreement to the contrary, for that would have faved the Leafe, and then the further Affurance would have been taken only to operate by way of Corroboration and further Confirmation of the Leafe; but the principal Case in Hard. seems to be very darkly put in the Book; for it does not appear to whom the Fine was levied; and the Notion of the Term being antecedent to the Fine, and therefore not barred, for that Reason, seems strange; for if it were subsequent, it could not most certainly be touched by the Fine; and there in another Book this Case is cited as a Case in I Lev. 271, Point, that the Term was barred by the Fine; and this feems agreeable to some of the following Resolutions.

It was held per Curiam, that a Fine levied in Pursuance of a Trust can- I Keb. 24-5. not destroy any Lease made by Cestui que Trust; but tho' a Fine levied by Cestui que Trust does not destroy or extinguish the Trust, yet it is not fafe to do it, for the Danger of not being able to prove an Agreement

to the contrary.

A. seised in Fee makes a Lease to B. for an hundred Years, in Trust 1 Vent 55,80, to attend the Inheritance, B. enters, then A. enters, and receives the 1 Sid. 349, Profits, and after makes a Leafe for fifty-four Years, and covenants to 458. levy a Fine fur Conuzance de Droit, to confirm that Leafe, and a Fine is 2 Keb 521, afterwards levied accordingly, and five Years passed without any Claim 597, 650. made by B. and it was adjudged in C. B. and affirmed afterwards upon Error in B. R. 1. That when A. entered upon B. he was but Tenant at Will to him, to which Estate it is not always requisite that there be the S. C. eited. express Consent of both Parties; but if there be any thing tantamount, it is sufficient, as here the Trust implies, that the Lessor shall take the Profits, being Cestui que Trust, which includes at least an Estate at Will. 2. That when A made the Lease for fifty-four Years, tho' this would not be a Diffeifin, because the Reversion was in the Lessor himself, who made that Lease, yet by this the Lease for an hundred Years was devested, displaced, and turned to a Right. And, 3. That being so devested, this was barred by the Fine and Nonclaim; and it was held, that A. only should have the Term of an hundred Years, devested or not, and not B. Who was but his Trustee; and in this Case A. hath made such Election by levying the Fine to corroborate the Term of fifty-four Years, and there is no Reason that A. Should have the Land against his own 5 Y

Fine; besides, if the Term of an hundred Years should not be barred by the Fine and Nonclaim, then B. must have it, which was never intended; and it is but reasonable such Term should be subject to be barred or extinguished by Cestui que Trust of that and the Inheritance; and a general Rule was taken in this Case, that when Lessee at Will, or he who enjoys the Land by express or implied Assent of his Grantee or Feoffee, makes a Lease for Years, or levies a Fine, that this shall be construed an Ouster, Disseisin, or Bar, when such Construction tends to the Establishing a lawful Estate, as in the principal Case; but when such Construction rends to the Destruction of an honest Estate or Interest, then such Lease or Fine shall be no Ouster, Disseisin, or Bar; and therefore Keeling Chief Justice put these two Cases: If one makes a Lease for Years, for Security of Money by way of Mortgage, and as the Course is, continues in Possession, and takes the Profits, and then levies a Fine to 7. S. and pays the Interest duly, and the five Years without Notice or Claim pass, that this shall be no Bar to the Lease of the Mortgagee: So if one purchase Lands, and for the better Security had a long Lease assigned to 7. S. in Trust to attend the Inheritance, and then takes the Inheritance to himself by Fine, and five Years pass, and there are Mortgages made in Time after the first Lease made, and before the Fine levied, yet such Fine does not destroy the first Lease to 7. S. but that the Purchasor may use it to defend himself against the Incumbrances; and thought this Difference would reconcile all the Books.

3 Mod. 195. Smith versus Pierce.

One by Will devised Lands to Trustees for ninety-nine Years, in Trust for the Payment of his Debts and Legacies, Remainder to A. his Brother in Tail; but if A. gave Security to pay the faid Debts and Legacies, or should pay the same within such a Time, then the Trustees should affign the Term to him, &c. A. enters after the Death of his Brother, with the Affent of the Trustees, and received the Profits, and paid all the Legacies, and also all the Debts but 181. and afterwards A. levies a Fine to the Use of himself for Life, Remainder to his Wife for Life, with divers Remainders over, and dies, leaving his Wife and one only Daughter his Heirs at Law; the Wife enters, and five Years were past without any Claim; and now the Daughter, in the Name of the Trustees, brought an Ejectment; and the Questions were, 1. Whether this Term for ninety-nine Years was bound by the Fine and Nonclaim. 2. Whether it were devested and turned to a Right at the Time of the Fine levied; for if it were not, then the Fine would not operate upon it: No Judgment appears to have been given in it; but upon the Difference taken in Freeman and Barns's Case, it should seem not to be barred; for then it must turn to the Prejudice of honest Creditors, who were Strangers and third Persons, and by his Entry on the Trustees could be only Tenant at Will, because his Entry was with their Consent, and no manner of Intent appears in him to devest their Estate or Interest, and then his Fine shall operate only on his own Estate-Tail, like a Fine levied by a Mortgagor, who is but Tenant at Will to the Mortgagee, and whose Acts being by Permission of the Mortgagee, shall not turn to his Prejudice; tho' some faid, the five Years and Nonclaim passing in the Life-time of the Wife, who was the Survivor, made a great Difference in the Case; ideo Quære.

2 Vent 329, 330. Dighton ver. Greenvil. If one takes an Assignment of an Estate extended upon a Statute in the Name of J. S. in Trust to attend the Inheritance which he hath in himself, and after he by Lease and Release, and Fine levied in Pursuance thereof, conveys that Reversion and Inheritance to another, and five Year's pass without any Claim made by J. S. the Trustee, yet this will not bar the Estate or Interest upon the Extent, if it appears that the Conuzee of the Fine was a Purchasor of the whole Estate, and so after his Purchasor J. S. to be Trustee for him of the Statute Interest; but in such Case the Fine shall operate only upon the Inheritance, and not to the barring the

Statute Interest, which is to attend and go along with the Inheritance by way of Trust for the Purchasor; but if the Purchasor had no Notice of fuch Statute Interest standing out, nor was by Agreement to have the Trust thereof upon his Purchase, then, rather than he should be cheated thereby, the Fine of Cestui que Trust should operate to the Barring his own Trustee.

Upon Evidence to a Jury at the Bar, on Trial of an Issue out of Chan- 3 Keb. 564. cery, it was agreed, that if one makes a Leafe for an hundred Years in Trust for himself and his Wife, and afterwards they both join in levying a Fine to a Purchasor, for a valuable Consideration, who hath no Notice of this Lease in Trust, tho' the Fine does not convey the Term itself to the Conuzee, the Estate in Law being in the Trustee, yet this destroys the Trust, so that the Lease shall not hurt the Purchasor.

These Reasons and Resolutions seem to make it manifest, that in the  $_{Hard.\ 400}$ . Case of Focus and Salisbury if the Conuzee of the Fine were a Purchasor for a valuable Confideration without Notice of the Term, that then the Fine would fo destroy the Trust of that Term, that it should not hurt him; but if the Fine were only in Purfuance and Corroboration of the former Estates, then there would be no Reason in the World that it should operate so as to destroy the Term.

## (R) Leases for Bears, when merged by Anion With the Frechold or Fee.

NOTHER Way, whereby a Term for Years may be defeated, is by way of Merger, when there is an Union of the Freehold or Fee and Term for Years in one Person at the same Time; in this Case the greater Estate merges and drowns the lesser, because they are inconsistent and incompatible; and yet there are feveral Exceptions out of this Rule, not only where fuch Union is transitory, but even where it is permanent and continuing.

First then, if a Man makes a Lease for Years to A. and afterwards Co. Lit. 52. a. makes a Feoffment in Fee to B. with a Letter of Attorney to A. to make Moor 11,280, Livery, and he makes Livery accordingly, yet this shall not drown or extinguish his Term, because he did it only as Servant to the Lessor, and can be also that the lessor, and can be also that the lessor. in his Stead and Right, and the Feoffee after Livery made is in by the 495. Lessor, and claims nothing from the Lessee, neither shall his Term pass merged or confounded in the Fee, which by the Livery he gave to the Feoffee, because he gave it only in Right of the Lessor, and not in his own Right; tho', perhaps, to fecure his Term, and fettle the Reversion (which was all that was intended to pass) in the Feoffee, it may be proper for him after such Livery to make an Entry for his Term, because the Livery gave the actual Possession, tho' the Agreement and Intent of the Parties will direct it to as to transfer only the Reversion expectant upon that Term after the Lessee hath re-entered.

If the I effor infeoffs his Leffee for Years to several Uses, the Interest 7 Co. 48. a. of the Lessee is saved by 27 H. 8. of Uses, which saves to all Persons, 66. a. and their Heirs, which be or shall be seised to any Use, all such former Cheyney's Right, Title, Entry, Interest, &c. as they might have had to their own Case. proper Use in or to any Manors, Lands,  $\mathcal{C}c$  whereof they be or shall be feifed to any Use, as if that Act had not been made; and therefore in fuch Case his Term being faved expresly by this Act, he may enter and enjoy it, as if the Feoffment to Uses had been to any Stranger.

Cro. Jac. 643. 2 Rol. Rep. 245. Ferrers and Curfon. 2 Mod. 254. cited.

 $\mathcal{A}$ . leafes to B. for Years, and after the Leffor by Indenture inrolled and Fine conveys those very Lands to the Lessee, and others, and their Heirs, to the Use of them and their Heirs, to the Intent that a Common Recovery should be had and suffered against them, with Voucher of the Leffor, and that he should vouch over the common Vouchee, to the Use of D. and E. and their Heirs; all which was done accordingly; and the Question was, if by all or any of these Acts the Term were extinct and gone; for the Reversioners, who were in under the Recovery, brought Debt against B. the Lessee for Rent; and on Nibil delet pleaded, and all the faid special Matter found, it was adjudged, that the Term still had Continuance, and was not merged; for altho' it was merged and extinct by the Union of Estates till the Recovery came, yet when that was suffered the Uses thereof were guided by the Bargain and Sale inrolled, and then it is all one as if it had been no Conveyance or Affurance to fuch Uses ab Initio, and is within the Equity and Intent of the Saving of the 27 H. 8. and is like a Feoffment to Uses, and then the Term and Rent are revived; for the Intent of the Statute was not to hurt those who had Estates, but to preserve them; and it was agreed per totam Cur. that if a Fine or Feoffment had been made or levied to the Lessee for Years, that the Term would not have been extinguished, but should be preferved by 27 H. 8. The Objection against all this was, that the Bargain and Sale and Fine were to his own Ufe, otherwise he could not have been Tenant to the Pracipe for fuffering of the Common Recovery, and therefore, being to his own Use, there was nothing to be faved within that Statute; but it was answered and resolved, for the former Reasons, that his own Term was faved within the Equity and Intent of the Sta-

2 Mod S, 9. Nurfe and Yearsvorth.

One seised of Lands in Fee makes a Lease to B. for ninety-nine Years, to fuch Uses as he should by his last Will direct, afterwards he makes his Will in Writing, (having then no Issue by his Wife privement enfeint,) and thereby devises these Lands to the Heirs of his Body on the Body of his Wife begotten, and for Want of fuch Issue, to B. and his Heirs, and dies, and about a Month after a Son was born, who by Virtue of this Devise enjoys the Land, and after his full Age suffers a Common Recovery, and then devifes the Lands to the Plaintiff, and dies; the Plaintiff brought this Bill against B. to have this Lease for ninety-nine Years assigned to him; and for the Defendant it was objected, That an Estate in Fee being by the Will limited to B. who was also Lessee for ninety-nine Years, the Term was thereby drowned. 2. That this was in Nature of a Devise to an Infant in Ventre sa Mere, which, as was objected, is not good, if there be none born at the Time when the Devise should take Place: But notwithstanding it was decreed, that the Defendant should assign the Term to the Plaintiff; for that such Devise to an Infant in Ventre sa Mere is good as an Executory Devise, and the' the Lands descend to the Heir at Law in the mean Time, or go to the Devifee in this Case, yet it is subject to be defeated by the coming in Fife of the Infant, and the Term for Years in the mean Time was only fuspended, and by Consequence must revive in the Lessee when the Accession of the Inheritance, which occasioned that Suspension, is deseated, and the Term being created subject to the Uses of the Will, must follow the Devise of the Inheritance, as a Trust to be disposed of as the Cestuz que Truft shall direct.

Tyer 111. b. 10 Margin, per Po ham and Anderson.

If one make a Feoffment in Fee to the Use of himself for Years, without limiting any other Estate, the Use shall not result to him in Fee; because that would merge the Term, against the express Declaration and maniscal Intent of the Parties; and therefore in such Case the Reversion in Fee must continue and settle in the Feosse.

In Ejectment the Case was thus: Cook let to Fountain for ninety-nine 2 Lev. 126. Years, and two Years after by Lease and Release Cook conveyed the Mod 107 Inheritance to Fountain and another, to the Use of Cook and the Heirs of his Body, with divers Remainders over; and if by this Conveyance the 1 Vent. 195, Leafe for ninety-nine Years was merged and destroyed in all, or in Part, 280. was the Question: First, it was agreed, that if such Conveyance to Uses Cook versus had been by Fine or Feosiment, it would not have been destroyed, but Fountain. would have been preferved by the Saving in 27 H. 3. fo likewife they agreed, that if there had been no Lease for a Year, but the Release had been immediate to the Lease of ninety-nine Years to such Uses, in this Case also the Lease for ninety-nine Years had been preserved by Force of that Statute; but here being a Leafe for a Year precedent, it was argued, that this was to the Use of the Lessee, and then by Acceptance thereof he admitted the Lessor's Power to make such Lease, and by Consequence this was a Surrender of the Lease for ninety-nine Years before the Release to the other Uses came to take Place, and then the Release after cannot revive it; and it was faid, tho' this be all one Conveyance, yet it differs from a Feoffment; for it will not purge a Disseisin, nor make a Discontinuance; and if before the Release the Lessee grants a Rent-Charge, acknowledges a Statute, confesses a Judgment, or makes a Lease for Half a Year, and then a Release is made to him and his Heirs to fuch Uses; yet it was faid that he who hath the Inheritance would have no Remedy to avoid these Charges, but in Chancery. On the other Side it was argued, that this was no Merger of the ninety-nine Years Lease; or if it were, yet for no more than a Moiety; for the Reason of Merger and Extinguishment is not, as hath been argued, the Party's Admittance of the Lessor's Power to make a Lease, but because of the Accession of the immediate Reversion to the particular Estate a Merger is effected; and therefore a new Lease by the Lessor to his Lessee is not a Merger or Surrender of the first Term, if there be any interpoling or intermediate Term; and yet in that Case the Lessee admits the Lessor's Power to make the Lease presently, as much as in the other; and then if the Union and Accession of the two Estates be the Cause of the Merger, the Quantum of the Thing granted will be the Measure of that Merger, and by Consequence the first Lease here shall be extinguished but for a Mojety of the Lands. But, 2dly, it was argued, That it was not extinguished for any Part; for his Term is faved within the Letter, or at least within the Equity of 27 H. 8. for the Intent of the Saving therein was to preferve the Balance between the Cestui que Use and his Feosfices, according to the Rule of Equity, by which they were governed before: Now suppose that Fountain had a Lease for ninety-nine Years before this Statute, and that Cook had defired him to accept a Feoffment to his Use, without Doubt the Chancery would not have compelled him to affign till the ninety-nine Years expired; and the fame Right seems now to be preferved by the Saving, and the Words are general, all that shall be feifed to any Use, not all that shall be seised by Feossment or Fine; so that the Seisin to Use is the only Thing the Statute regarded, and not by what Sort of Conveyance, and Lease and Release are now a common Conveyance; and the Lease being expresly faid to be to enable him to accept a Release to other Uses, shall not be construed to any other Intent, or to be to his own Use, otherwise than to enable him to accept such Release; and then if it should be admitted that the Lease for ninety-nine Years were extinguished by the Lease for a Year, yet by the Release it is revived; for being but one Conveyance, it is within the Equity of the Statute; and Cro. Jac. 643. is a stronger Case, and yet resolved there, that tho' the Bargain and Sale had destroyed the Term for a Time, yet by the Recovery it was revived, because then but one Conveyance ab Initio; so here. To all this it was replied, That the very Reason of Merger was the Admittance of the Lessor's Power to demise, and then the whole is Vol. III.

furrendered, because he admits the Lessor to have Power to demise the Whole, tho' he had but a Moiety, to himself; and that where there is an intermediate Estate, no Merger shall be, does not make against it, for the intermediate Estate disproves his Admittance, that the Lessor hath fuch Power; but here is no fuch intermediate Estate or Impediment, and being Joint-tenants per my & per tout, by the Lease, the Whole is Merged by Admittance of the Lessor's Power to demise the Whole, tho' they agreed that a Merger may be Part of an Estate or Term, and not for another's Part. Hale cited a Case, 6 Car. 1. Hele Sevam, where A mortgaged Lands to B for Years, B re-demises to A. upon Condition, that if he does not pay fuch a Sum, that he shall reenter; and in the first Conveyance were Covenants for farther Assurance by A. then B. defires him to levy a Fine, which A. does accordingly; and there it was agreed, that the Term re-demised was extinguished; but if it had been expressed to what Intent the Fine was, it was agreed there would have been no Extinguishment of the Term; and in this Case the Lease is found to be ea intentione to enable him to take a Release, but no Judgment appears to be given; but it feems reasonable that the Lease for Ninety-nine Years, in this Case, should not be merged, or at least but for a Moiety, and even in that Case Equity would set up the Moiety or the whole Term again.

2 Bulf. 12. Chamberlain ver. Ewer. If Tenant pur auter vie makes a Lease for Years, and dies, living Cestui que vie, by this the Lessee for Years is become Occupant, and then this Accession of the Freehold merges his Estate for Years, because they cannot consist together in one Person; but if in that Case the Lessee for Years had made a Lease at Will, and then the Cestui que vie had died, (which was the principal Case) it was adjudged that the Tenant at Will was the Occupant, and by Consequence the Lease for Years, which was in another Person, not drowned or merged, there being no Union of the Term for Years, and the Freehold in one Person; and then the Lessee for Years may, by Determination of his Will, enter and enjoy his Term, and the Occupant cannot prevent or hinder him, because he Claims in quasi by the first Lessor who had made such Lease for Years, and to which the Estate for Life, during the Life of the Cessus que vie, was subject and liable.

Bro. Tit.
Surrender 52.
2 Bulf. 12.
Bro. Tit.
Leafes 83.

If Tenant pur auter vie makes a Lease for Years to A. Remainder to B. for Years, and A. enters, and then the Tenant pur auter vie dies, here A. the Tenant for Years, shall be Occupant, by reason of the Possession he had in him when the Life fell; and yet his Term for Years is not drowned, by reason of the intermediate Remainder to B. for Years; for this Estate by Occupancy is in the Nature of a Reversion expectant upon both the Terms for Years, as it was in the Tenant pur auter vie himself after these Leases made; and in some Cases a Term for Years, and a Freehold, may consist together in one Person; as if Lessee for twenty Years makes a Lease to his Lessor for five Years, this Term for five Years is not drowned in the Freehold or Fee of the Lessor, by reason of the intermediate Reversion for fifteen Years in the first Lessee.

Cro Jac. 619. Salmon ver. Swann.

The Case in Effect was this; A. seised in Fee grants an Interesse Termini to B. for one Hundred Years, to begin at such a Time, and before that Time makes a Lease for Twenty-one Years to C. to begin in Possessin presently; then B. before the Commencement of his Term, grants it to A. who after grants a Rent-Charge, and the Grantee of the Rent-Charge distrains C. for it; and the only Question was, whether the Interesse Termini were drowned in the Inheritance, or if it had any Existence in A. so that he might thereout grant the Rent, for then it would avoid the second Lease for Years, being before it, and by Consequence be liable to the Payment of the Rent; and it was resolved that it was drowned in the Inheritance, for notwithstanding the second Lease for Years, the Interesse Termini is not so severed from the Reversion, but

that by Grant thereof to him who hath the Inheritance fuch future Term or Interest is drowned, and shall never rife again; and by Consequence this Rent shall not Charge the Possession of the Termor who had the Estate before the Rent granted, and comes Paramount it; for tho' there was a Severance of Possession by the second Lease, yet the Interesse Termini being granted before that Lease, and to continue for a longer Time, that fecond Leafe was subject to be deseated by the Interesse Termini when it took Effect; and therefore the Interesse Termini was quast immediate to the Freehold and Inheritance, and therefore might drown in it.

My Lord Coke lays it down for a general Rule, that one cannot have Co. Lit. 339. a Term for Years in his own Right, and a Freehold in Auter droit, but Plow 418. that his own Term shall drown in the Freehold; and puts these Cases: If Bracebridge a Man, Lessee for Years, intermarries with the Feme Lessor, this shall ver. Cook merge and drown his own Term for Years; but if a Feme Leffee for Years intermarries with the Lessor, her Term is not thereby drowned, becaufe, fays he, one may have a Term for Years in Auter droit, and a Freehold in his own Right, as the Husband in this Case shall have; so if Lessee for Years make the Lessor his Executor, the Term is not thereby drowned, because the Lessor hath the Term in Auter droit. So also if a Master of an Hospital, being a sole Corporation, by the Consent of his Brethren, makes a Lease for Years of the Possession of the Hospital, and afterwards the Lessee for Years is made Master, the Term is drowned Causa qua supra; but if it had been a Corporation Aggregate, the making of the Lessee Master had not extinguished the Term, no more than if the Lessee had been made one of the Brethren; but if Lessee for Years Plow. 419, of the Glebe be made Parlon, the Term is merged, by reason of the 420. Union of the Term and Freehold in him to his own Right and Use, tho' 3 Leon. 111. he has them in feveral Capacities.

But this Rule seems to admit of divers Exceptions; for where the Hus- Cro. Fac. 275. band, possessed of a Term for Years, took Wife, and after the Inheritance I Bulf. 118. descends or comes to the Wife, the Term for Years of the Husband is not Sleep. thereby drowned or merged, because the Descent was an Act of Law, which the Husband could not prevent, and therefore shall not turn to his Prejudice; but he shall have the Inheritance in Right of his Wife, and the Term for Years in his own Right, as he had before, and therefore may give away or dispose of the Term as he thinks fit, notwithstanding such Descent of the Inheritance to his Wife; and this was the Opinion of Fenner, Croke and Fleming, Chief Just. and so given in Direction to a Jury in a Trial at Bar; and upon a general Verdict to that Purpose they gave Judgment accordingly; and Croke seemed to make a Question, if the Husband, in this Case, had Issue by his Wife after the Inheritance descended to her, so as thereby he was intitled to be Tenant by the Curtefy, and to have a Freehold in his own Right, if this should Merge the Term rill the Wife's Death; and yet he faid this was a much stronger Case; but Williams totis viribus against the Judgment, and held the Term clearly extinct; but notwithstanding Judgment was given ut supra; and in this Case all the Court agreed, that if the Lease had been made upon Trust for the Advancement of such a Woman, and the Lessee had after intermarried with that Woman, and then the Inheritance had descended to her, that this would not merge the Term, but that he might clearly dispose thereof to the Purpose intended, because the had it in Auter droit, and to another Use; so in another Book it seems Godb. 2. to be agreed, that if a Man, being possessed of a Term for Years in Right of his Wife, purchases the Inheritance, that by this the Term for Years, tho' in Right of his Wife, is merged and extinct, because the Purchase was the express Act of the Husband, and therefore amounts in Law to a Disposition of the Term, by reason of the Merger consequent thereupon; but a bare Intermarriage of the Feme Termor with the Rever-

fioner will not work a Merger of the Term, because by the Intermarriage the Term is cast upon the Husband by Act of Law, without any Concurrence or immediate Act done by him to obtain the fame; and therefore in such Case the Law will preserve the Term in the same Plight as it gave it to the Husband, till he by some express Act destroys

or gives it away.

Co. Lit. 338. b.

But where the Husband himself is Lessee for Years, and intermarries Plow. 418. b. with the Lessor, this merges his own Term, because he thereby draws to himself the immediate Reversion, in Nature of a Purchase by his own voluntary Act, and fo undermines his own Term; whereas in the other Case, the Term being existing in the Feme till the Intermarriage, is not thereby fo drawn out of her, or annexed to the Freehold, as to merge therein; because that Attraction, which is only by Act of Law consequent upon the Marriage, would, by merging the Term, do wrong to a Feme Covert, and so take the Term out of her, tho' the Husband did no express Act to that Purpose, which the Law will not allow; but in such Case, if the Feme should survive, and have Dower of those Lands, this seems a Merger of her Term for a third Part, at least, because now she hath the Term and Freehold both in her own Right, and then the Accession of the Freehold must pro tanto merge and drown the Term.

Co. Lit. 338. 420. a.j

So also in Case where the Lessee for Years makes the Lessor Execu-Plow. 418. b. tor, the Term is not merged, because cast upon him without any Act or Concurrence of his, as a Consequence of his being made Executor; and therefore the Act of Law, which cast it upon him, shall preserve it in the same Manner as if he had been a Stranger, without any Regard to the immediate Freehold he had in his own Right, which was only accidental.

Moor, pl. 157.

But if a Feme Executrix takes Husband, and the Husband after purchases the Reversion, and dies, yet the Feme surviving shall not have the Term to any other Purpole but as Assets to pay Debts; for as to any Right of her own therein, the Term is extinct by such Purchase of the Husband, because that was his own express voluntary Act, and therefore amounts to a Disposition of the Term by the Merger wrought thereupon; and fo it was held by all the Justices.

Ero. Tit. Leases 63. Tit. Surrender 3 Leon. 111, 420. a.

So if one who hath a Lease for Years as Executor purchase the Inheritance, this merges the Term, because the Purchase was his own express Act; nay, Baron Clerk held, that tho' the Inheritance in such Case had descended on the Executor, that this likewise would merge the Term, which, how far it is Law, may be a Question; but as well in the Plow. 419 b. Cafe of the Purchase as of the Descent, all agree that the Term would not be extinct as to Creditors, much less in Case where the Lessor is only made Executor of the Lessee for Years; tho' Plow. seems to insinuate, that even in that Case the Term is suspended during the Life of the Leffor; for he fays, that after his Death the Term shall be revived.

3 Leon 157, , 5S. Bre. Tit. Leafes 58.

Land was given to the Husband and Wife, and to the Heirs of the Husband; the Husband makes a Lease for Years, and dies, the Wife enters and intermarries with the Lessee; and it was holden that his Term was not extinct, because the Entry of the Wife put a total Interruption to the Interest of the Lessee, and avoided the Term intirely as to herself, because she was in of the Freehold by Survivorship Paramount the Leafe; and then the Leafe cannot take Place again till after her Death against the Heirs of the Husband, and whether she will outlive the Term or not is uncertain; fo that during her Life, the Lessee had no Interest, but only a bare Possibility, which cannot be touched or hurt by the Intermarriage, but continues just as it was before.

# (S) Df Surrenders of Acases for Dears: And

- 1. Of Surrenders in fact of Erpsels: And herein again,
  - 1. By what Moeds such Surrender may be made.

IT will not be here necessary to enter into a particular Inquiry con- Co. Lit. 337. E cerning the Nature of a Surrender, or of the several Words whereby 2 Vent. 206. fuch Surrender may be made, it being fufficient to fay in general, that Pork. Sect. a Surrender is a Yielding up of an Estate for Life, or Years, to him who 584. hath the immediate Estate in Reversion or Remainder, wherein the

Estate for Life, or Years, may drown by mutual Agreement.

So that any Form of Words, whereby such an Intent and Agreement Perk. Sect. of the Parties may appear, will be fufficient to work a Surrender; and 607, 608. the Law will direct the Operation and Construction of the Words action, 488. cordingly, without the precise or formal Mention of the Word Surrender 1 Leon. 179, in the Conveyance; but then the Party, who would have the Benefit of 280. fuch Conveyance to work as a Surrender, must plead it by the very <sup>2</sup> Leon. 50. Words Sursum reddidit, because that only can properly describe the <sup>497</sup>.

Operation of the Conveyance as a Surrender; and whoever would take 17. Operation of the Conveyance as a Surrender; and whoever would take 2 Vent. 206. Advantage of a Thing in Pleading, must determine it to that particular 3 Mod. 301. Species of Operation whereof he would fo have the Advantage; therefore if Lessee for Life, or Years, say to the Lessor that his Will is, that the Lessor shall enter into his Lands, and shall have the same, or is content that the Lessor shall have again the Land, and by Virtue thereof the Lessor enters into the Land, this is a sufficient Surrender; so if the Leffce fay to him in the Reversion or Remainder, that he will occupy the Lands no longer, or that I furrender to you fuch Lands, &c. and he in the Reversion or Remainder thereupon enters into the Land, these were sufficient and effectual Surrenders at the Common Law; but if such Words had been spoken privately by the Lessee, or by a Stranger, and not by way of Address to him in Reversion or Remainder, this could not amount to a Surrender, because there could appear no mutual Agreement of the Parties for that Purpose.

So if Lessee for Years Remise, Release, Discharge, and for ever Dyer 251. [4]. Quit-claim to his Lessor all his Right, Title and Estate in or to such 21, 93.

Lands; this has been held to amount to a Surrender, because a Lease Cro. Fac. 169 for Years confisting only in Contract, these Words are sufficient to dis- 1 Lev. 144. folve that Contract, and let in the Reversioner; but such Words, in 1 Keb. 807. Case of a Lease for Life, would not amount to a Surrender, because that being an Estate created by Livery, must be deseated by Act of equal Notoriety, or express Words of Conveyance of the Freehold, which the before-mentioned Words are not, but rather applicable to a Thing which lies only in Grant; but of that Quare; for it hath been adjudged, that 3 Mod. 301. if Tenant for Life Grant, Surrender and Release to him in the Reversion, that this was sufficient, and so would have been tho' there had not been the Word Surveydor because the Word Grant would operate as a Surthe Word Surrender, because the Word Grant would operate as a Sur- 3 Lev. 284. render after the Conveyance executed; and that fuch Surrender, tho' Thompson without Notice or express Agreement of the Surrendree, would be good ver. Lea.b.

till actual Difageeement thereto.

But now by the Statute of Frauds and Perjuries it is provided, That no Leases, Estates or Interests, either of Freehold or Terms for Years, shall be surrendered, unless it be by Deed, or Note in Writing, figned by the Party who makes fuch Surrender, or some other lawfully au-Vol. III

thorized thereunto, or by Act and Operation of Law; so as Surrenders in Law, or implied Surrenders, remain as they did at Common Law, if the Leafe, which is to draw on fuch Surrender, be in Writing purfudnt to that Statute.

### 2. Apon what Estate such Surrender may operate.

1 Leon. 303. Owen 97. Perry ver. Allen.

It appears by the Definition before given of a Surrender, that the same is a Yielding up of an Estate for Life, or Years, to him in the immediate Reversion or Remainder; but here a Question may arise, what Estate in the Reversion or Remainder will be susceptible of such Surrender; for if the Estate in Reversion or Remainder be but for Years, Oro. Eliz. 173. it seems a great Doubt in the Books, whether a Lease for Years in Posfession may be surrendered, so as to merge and drown therein; and it is commonly faid, that Years cannot drown in Years; therefore where Lessee for twenty Years made a Lease for ten Years, and the Lessee for 1 Leon. 323. ten Years surrendered to his Lessor, this has been held to be no Surrender, so as to merge the ten Years in Possession, but only to transfer them by way of Assignment or Accession to the Number of Years then left in the Leffor; because they held, that Years could not drown in Poph, 30.

Co.Lit.218.b. feems to be now fettled, that fuch Surrender is good, and shall merge the first Term; wherein they agreed, 1. That if the Term in Reversion the first Term; wherein they agreed, that the greater would merge were greater than the Term in Possession, that the greater would merge the Lesser as ten Years may be surrendered and merge in twelve or sourteen Years. 2. It was held by Gawdy, Fenner and Popham, that the the Reversion were for a less Number of Years, yet the Surrender would be good, and the first Term drowned; as if one were Lessee for twenty Years, and the Reversion expectant thereupon were granted to one for a Year, who granted it over to the Leffee for twenty Years, that this would work a Surrender of the twenty Years Term, as if he had taken a new Lease for a Year of his Lessor; for the Reversionary Interest, coming to the Possession, drowns it, and the Number of Years is not material; for as he may surrender who hath the Reversion in Fee, so he may to him who hath the Reversion for any lesser Term; and therefore Popham held, that where Lessee for twenty Years makes a Lease for ten Years, and the Lessee for ten Years surrenders to his Lessor, viz. the Leffee for twenty Years, that this is good, and the Leffor shall have so many of the Years as were then to come of his former Term of twenty Years, that is, as it feems, fo many Years as were to come of his Reversion shall now be changed into Possession; and he held further, that if such Lessee for twenty Years had made such Lease for ten Years, and then granted over the Reversion for ten Years only, viz. no longer than the Lease for ten Years was to continue, and such Lessee for ten Years had attorned, then the Grantee of the Reversion should have the Rent and Services, and the Grantor the Residue of the twenty Years; and that the Lessee for ten Years might surrender to the Grantee of the Reversion for ten Years, and he thereby would have in Possession so many Years as were then to come of his Reversion; and if he had a lesser Term in the Reversion than the Lessee himself had in the Posfession, it should go to the Benefit of the first Termor for twenty Years, who was his Grantor; for the Term in Possession is quite gone and drowned in the Reversion, to the Benefit of those who have the Reverfion thereupon, having Regard to their Estate in the Reversion, and not otherwise; to all which Fenner agreed; and it appears by the Case of Cook and Fountain supra, to be taken for clear Law, that a Lease for Ninety-nine Years might be drowned by his Acceptance of a Leafe from the Reversioner even for one Year.

But now, whether a Leafe for Years in Possession may be surrendered, fo as to be merged in a Leafe in Remainder, be the Term in Remainder greater or lesser than the Term in Possession, seems to be no where settled; indeed my Lord Coke says, that if there be A. Lessee for twenty Co. Lit 173. 1 Years, Remainder to B. for ten Years, and B. release all his Right to A. that here A. hath an Estate for thirty Years, for one Chattel cannot drown in another, and Years cannot be confumed in Years; but whether if A. had granted and furrendered his Estate and Term to B. it would have been merged, does not appear; and Perkins holds, that if a Perk. Sett. Lease for Life be of Lands, the Remainder to a Stranger for Years, and 589. the Lessee for Life surrenders his Estate to him in the Remainder for Years, it cannot take Effect as a Surrender, because an Estate for Life cannot drown in an Estate for Years; which Reason seems to prove, that an Estate for Life cannot be surrendered to or merge in a Reversion, if it be only for Years; ideo Quære.

#### 3. Of Surrenders in Law, or implied Surrenders: And herein,

### 1. With Regard to Leases in Possession.

As to the Surrender in Law of Leases in Possession, this is wrought Bro. Tir. by Acceptance of a new Lease from the Reversioner, either to begin Leases 14. presently, or at any Distance of Time, during the Continuance of the Dyer 93. pl. 28. first Lease; and the Reason such Acceptance of a new Lease amounts Perk. Sest. to a Determination and Surrender of the first is, because otherwise the 617. Lessee would not have the full Advantage he hath contracted for by 3 Leon. 2440 Acceptance of the second Lease, if the first should stand in the way, and 5 Co. 11. consume any of these Years comprized in the second Lease; for which 605.

Reason, and to enable the Lessor to perfect and make good his second 2 Rol. Abr.

Contract, the Lesse must be supposed to wave and relinquish all Benefit 495. of the first; therefore if Lessee for thirty Years takes a new Lease, tho' but for three Years, and to begin ten Years hence, yet this is presently a Surrender and a Determination of the whole first Term of thirty Years, because thereby he admits the Lessor's Power to make such Lease, which, if the first should stand in the Way, would be void, because the Lessee had the Lands already for a Term of a much larger Duration; and tho' fuch fecond Leafe be made to him in futuro; and at Common Law, tho' it were even by Parol, yet it would be a present Surrender of the first Lease, because the Admittance of the Lessor's Power to make such a Leafe, which is the Cause of the Surrender, is then at the Time of the Contract made for such second Lease, and therefore the Operation of it, to cause a Surrender of the first, must be then presently too, or not ar all; and it cannot be a Surrender of the last twenty Years, and remain good for the first ten Years, because that would make a Fraction and Severance of the Leafe, which at first was entire, and passed by one intire Contract, and therefore cannot either by any Surrender in Law, or even by any express Surrender, be curtailed and divided; the Consequence of which is, that such Acceptance of a new Lease being a present Surrender of the first, the Lessor may enter and take the Profits for the whole thirty Years, faving only the three Years comprized in the second Lease. Another Reason perhaps of such Surrender may be, because the Lessor, having already made a Leafe for thirty Years, cannot, during the Continuance of that Term, make any other Leafe to transfer the Possession; but yet having the Reversion expectant upon that Term, he may transfer that for any lesser Time, or to begin at any Distance he thinks fit; and then if the second Lease be by Deed, it may as well be supposed to

carry the Reversion, the Union whereof with the Possession, tho' for never so short a Time, will, as has already appeared, merge the Possession; and tho' the second Lease, which may be supposed to carry the Reversionary Interest, is not to commence till ten Years hence, yet the first Lessee has the Interest and Right thereof in him immediately, and then Possession and Reversion being inconsistent in one Person at one and the same Time, the one must merge and drown the other.

Dyer 140. 2 Rol. Abr. 495. A Husband, seised of Lands, made a Lease for ninety Years by Indenture, and after enseoffed certain Persons, and took an Estate to him and his Wife in Tail, and after the Termor took a new Lease by Parol of the Husband for eighteen Years only, to begin presently; then the Husband died, and his Wife evicted the Termor; and it was held she lawfully might, for the first Lease was surrendered and drowned in Law by the Acceptance of the second, and then the Wife's Estate, by Survivorship, came in Paramount the second Lease; and tho' the second Lease, which was the Cause of the Surrender of the first, was voidable by the Wife after her Husband's Death, yet the Surrender of the first, wrought by the Acceptance thereof, was absolute and present.

1 Bendl. pl. 59. 1 And. 32.

One let Lands to A. for Life and twenty Years over, and after let the same Lands to B. for forty Years, to commence after the Death of A. and the End of the said twenty Years; then B. intermarries with A. and A. dies; and B. the Husband, hath the Term for twenty Years, yet his Term of forty Years is not surrendered by it, because that was not begun, but was a suture Interesse Termini, to begin wholly after the first Lease ended, so there was no Union at all of the Terms.

3 Bulf. 203 4. 1 Rol. Rep. 387. 2 Rol. Abr. 497. 498. 2 Mod. 176

If Lessee for Years makes a Lease to his Lessor for all but a Day, this is clearly no Surrender of his Lease, because the Day disjoins the Union and prevents the Merger, which would have followed if the Lease had been for the whole Term; for then the Lessor would have had the whole Estate entire in him, as he had before he made the Lease, and consequently the Lease would be merged and drown in the Reversion.

# Leon. 30.

Lesse for Twenty-one Years took a Lease of the same Lands for forty Years, to begin immediately after the Death of J. S. it was held in this Case, that this was not any present Surrender of the first Term, because J. S. might wholly outlive that Term, and then there would be no Union to work a Surrender; and it being in Equilibrio in the mean Time, whether he will survive it or not, the first Term shall not be hurt till that Contingency happens, for if J. S. die within the first Term, then what remains of it is surrendered and gone by the taking Place of the second.

Moor 94,139. Lord Treafurer and Barton.

A Man makes a Lease for one Hundred Years, the Lessee makes a Lease for twenty Years, rendering Rent, with Clause of Re-entry, and after grants his Reversion to the first Lessor, he shall neither have the Rent nor Re-entry, because the Reversion, to which it was annexed, is extinct and gone by way of Surrender; otherwise it would be, if one make a Lease for Years, rendering Rent, and after grants the Reversion for Life, or Years, to which an Attornment is had, and after such Grantce surrenders; yet the Grantor shall have again the Rent, because it was once a Rent incident to the Reversion, which by the Surrender is restored whole again as it was before.

Ploav. 107. Co.Lit. 218. b.

If one makes a Lease for forty Years, and the Lessee takes a new Lease for twenty Years, upon Condition, that if he does not do such an Act, that the Lease shall be void; and after he breaks the Condition, whereby the second Lease is avoided, yet the Surrender of the first continues, for that was absolute by Acceptance of the second, and the Condition was only annexed to the second Lease; so if the Lessor had granted the Reversion to the Lessee upon Condition, and after the Condition were broken, yet the Surrender of the Term would continue, because the Condition was annexed only to the Grant of the Reversion.

fior

fion, and moved from the Leffor as his Terms of the Leffee's Enjoyment of fuch Grant; but the Surrender, which is wrought by Acceptance of fuch Grant, and moves from the Leffee himself, was al solute; and the Diversity is, when the Lessor grants the Reversion to the Lessee upon Condition, and when the Lessee grants or surrenders his Estate to the Lessor upon Condition; for a Condition annexed to a Surrender may revest the particular Estate, because the Surrender itself is conditional.

So if such second Lease were by Baron seised in Right of his Wife, Dyer 140and after the Baron died, and the Feme avoided the fecond Leafe, yet pl. 43.

the Surrender of the first, by Acceptance thereof, is absolute.

Lessee for Life made a Lease for Years, rendering Rent, and after fur- Cro. Eliz. 264: renders to the Leffor upon Condition, then the Leffee for Years takes Brewsfer and a new Lease for Years of the Lessor, and after the Lessee for Life per- Sir Tionas forms the Condition, and evicts the Lessee for Years, who re-enters, and the Lessee for Life brings Debt for the first Rent reserved; and it was ruled, that it was not maintainable; for the Lease out of which it was referved is determined and gone; for the' the Surrender of the Tenant for Life, which made the Leffee for Years immediate to the first Leffor, and fo enabled him to make fuch Surrender, was conditional, yer the defeating of the Estate for Life, by Performance of the Condition, cannot defeat the Estate of the Lessee for Years, which was absolute, and well made, and then the Rent referved thereon is gone likewise.

If one be Lessee for Life or Years, and take a new Lease of the same Cro Eliz. 873. Lands, the fuch fecond Leafe be void for any Defect in the making Moor 636. or Execution of it, as if it were for Life, to begin at a future, &c. yet 1 Keb. 285. it is a Surrender of the first Lease; for the Acceptance of the Indenture in the Contracting and Agreement to have a new Leafe, makes a Surrender of the first Lease before the Livery is made; and therefore tho' that be void, yet it cannot set up the first Lease again, which was before furrendered; and fuch Contract for a new Leafe is a good Evidence

to a Jury of a Surrender.

But if such second Lease were void for Want of Power in the Lessor Hutton 104. to make it, then notwithstanding such Admittance of the Lessee the first Watt and Lease would not be surrendered; therefore where one made a Lease for Maidwell. forty-one Years by Indenture 14 Nov. 1616. to A. to commence from the Annunciation which should be Anno 1619. and after, the same Year, by another Indenture bearing Date 3 Dec. made a Lease to B. for ninetynine Years, to commence from the Annunciation then last past, by Virtuc whereof B. entered and was possessed, and then the Lessor by another Indenture 16 Nov. 1617. made another Lease of the same Lands to A. to commence from 17 Nov. 1619. for forty-one Years, who accepted thereof, and after the Commencement of his Term A. entered and was possessed, and made his Will, and his Executors let to the Plaintiff, &c. and the only Question was, if the Acceptance of the second Lease by A. had determined, discharged, or extinguished the first Lease, so as to let in the intermediate Lease to B. and it was adjudged, that it had not; because by the Lease to B. for ninety-nine Years, and his Entry, the Leffor had but a Reversion, and could not by his Contract after with  $A_*$ give any Interest to A. and the first Lease to A. was good as a future Interesse Termini, to take Effect in Possession when the Time came, and thereby pro tanto to defeat the Lease for ninety-nine Years to B. and if it had not been for the Lease to B. there had been no Question but that the first Lease to A. had been by such Acceptance of the second Lease surrendered and gone; but that intermediate Lease, being for so great a Number of Years, disables him, during that Time, to contract for any lesser Number of Years, as the Lease for forty-one Years was.

If A. lets to B. for ten Years, who lets to C. for five Years, C. cannot Perk. Sett. 604. furrender to A. by reason of the intermediate Interest of B. but in such Vol. III.

Case B. may surrender to A. and after so many Years C. likewise, because then his Lease for five Years is become immediate to the Reversion of A.

### 2. With Regard to Leafes in futuro.

Cc. Lit. 338. 5 Cc. 11. 10 Cc. 53. Cr. Eliz. 522, 605. Porh. 9. 2 Rol. Abr.

Surrenders in Law of Leases in future, or suture Interests; and these can no otherwise be surrendered; for an express Surrender of such suture Lease or Interest is not good; therefore if one makes a Lease for Years, to begin at Michaelmas next, this suture Interest cannot by any express Surrender be merged, because there is no Reversion wherein it may drown; for till the Entry the Lessee hath no Possession, and by Consequence there can be no Reversion wherein that Possession, and by Consequence there can be no Reversion wherein that Possession may drown; but yet if such Lessee before Michaelmas take a new Lease for Years, either to begin presently, or at Michaelmas, this is a Surrender in Law of the first Lease presently; because thereby he presently admits the Lesson's Power to make such Lease, which if the first Lease should stand he could not do; and since such Lessee hath contracted for a new Interest, inconsistent with the first, his Acceptance of such new Interest waves and dissolves the first, because the Contract whereby it was made was intire, and therefore the whole first Lease is surrendered presently.

2 Rol. Abr. 494, 495.

Leffee for Years, to begin prefently, cannot till Entry or Waver of the Poffession by the Lessor merge or drown the same by any express Surrender, because till Entry there is no Reversion wherein the Possession may drown; but if the Lessee had entered, and assigned his Estate to another, such Assignee before Entry might have surrendered his Estate to the Lessor; because by the Entry of the Lessee the Possession was severed and divided from the Reversion, which Possession, being by the Assignment transferred to the Assignee, may without any other Entry be surrendered, and drown in the Reversion.

### 3. With Regard to the Thing itself so surrendered.

Cro. Eliz. 873. Alaor 636. Cro. Far. 84, 177. z. Rol. Abr. 496. As to the Nature of the Thing furrendered, herein we must observe, that the Acceptance of a new Lease, which will work a Surrender of the sirst, ought to be of something of the same Nature and Kind with the sirst; otherwise there can be no Surrender of the first; because there is no Inconsistency but that both may stand together; therefore if Lessee for Years accepts a Grant of a Rent, Common, Estovers, Herbage, or the like, for Life or Years, out of the same, or if such Lessee for Years accepts of a Lease of the same Lands at Will only, all these amount to a Surrender and Determination of the first Lease; because they admit the Lessor's Power to deal or contract for the Lands, or a certain Charge out of it, which being inconsistent with the Interest of the Lessee under the sirst Lease, dissolve, and destroy it.

Huft 105. C.o. Fac. 84. So where Leffec for fixty Years of an Advowson did, after the Church became void, take a Presentation to himself of the Lessor, and was admitted, instituted, and inducted, this was adjudged to be a Surrender of his Lease; for by the Acceptation of the Parsonage he thereby gives a new Interest for Life in that which was the chief Fruit of his Lease, and consequently such Interest, being inconsistent with his Interest under the first Lease, amounts to a Determination and Surrender thereof.

Cro Tri = 7. But if Leffce for Years of a Park accepts a Grant of the Office of Park-2 Roll Alir. keeper of the fame Park for Life or Years, this is faid to have been ad-406 ERIRODS, judged no Surrender of the Leafe for Years; because such Office is colla-

Godo 419, 425. 2 Rol. Rep. 357, 361.

teral

teral to the Land, and not any ways iffuing out of it; and yet Coke and Dodderidge thought, that whether he had the Office of Park-keeper first, or the Leafe for Years of the Park itself first, that the Accession of the other to it would merge and drown the first, for the Inconsistency that a

Man should be Park-keeper to himself; ideo Quere.

So where one made a Lease for ninety-nine Years of a Manor, and Cro. Jac. 84, after made the Lessee Bailist of the same Manor for twenty-one Years, 177. this was adjudged to be no Surrender of his first Lease: 1. Because the 2 Rel. Abr. Bailiff, as such, had no Interest in the Lands, but an Authority only. 496. 2. Because the Bailiwick was no Part of the Thing demised, but of another Nature; for the Bailiff, as such, is a meer Servant, and all he doth is for the Benefit, and in the Name of his Master: So if such Lessee of a Manor were made Surveyor or Steward for Life, this would not determine his Leafe; because in these Capacities he is only a Servant, and acts in the Name of his Master, and therefore no Inconsistency therein with his having a Leafe of the Manor.

But where Lessee for Years of a House or Castle accepted a Grant of Pyer 200. the Custody thereof for Life or Years, this was adjudged a Surrender Cro. Fac. 177 thereof; because the Custody is of the same Thing which was leased, 2 Rel Rep.

and a Man cannot be Keeper to himself.

If Leffce for Years of Lands accepts a new Leafe by Indenture of 2 Rol. Abr. Part of the same Lands, this is a Surrender for that Part only, and not Fifth versus for the Whole; because there is no Inconsistency between the two Leases Campion. for any more than that Part only which is fo doubly leafed; and tho' a Contract for Years cannot be fo divided or fevered, as to be avoided for Part of the Years, and to subfift for the Residue, either by Act of the Party, or Act in Law, yet the Law itself may be divided or severed, and he may furrender one or two Acres, either exprefly, or by Act in Law, and yet the Leafe for the Residue stand good and untouched; because here the Contract for the Residue remains intire; whereas in the other Case, the Contract for the Whole would be divided, which the Law will not allow.

### (T) Leases, when determined by cancelling the Deed.

S to Leases for Years, owing their Existence to the Deed or Inden- Bro. Tit. ture whereby they are created, fo that the Cancelling or Deffruc- Leafe, 6, 16 tion thereof shall destroy and avoid the Lease, a Diversity seems to be Cro. Car. 399. taken in the Books between fuch Things as lie in Livery, and may be Mior 35 executed by a tual Entry, and such Things as lie only in Grant, whereof pt. 116. no actual or manual Occupation can be had; therefore if one had made a Leafe for Years, at Common Law, of Lands or Houses by Deed or Indenture, and tear, rafe, or cancel it, yet this would not deffroy the Continuance of the Leafe itself; because such Leafe of Lands or Houses lying in Manurance and actual Occupation might at first have been made by Parol only, without any Deed or Indenture; and therefore fuch Deed or Indenture being not of the Effence of the Leafe, the Destruction or Cancelling thereof ihall not defeat or destroy the Lease or Interest of the Lessee; because his actual Entry into the Land, and Continuance of the visible Possession and Occupation thereof, gives sufficient San tion and Notoriety to the Contract, as to the Interest of the Lessee in the Lands and Houses themselves, tho' thereby the Deed itself, and all Co-

venants, which had their Existence only by the Deed, are defeated and avoided; but if the King made a Leafe of fuch Lands or Houses by Letters Patent, which are Matter of Record, if the Letters Patent and Inrollment are destroyed or cancelled, the Lease itself falls to the Ground; because these Letters Patent and Inrollment, which were of the Essence of the Creation and Continuance of the Lease, are destroyed and lost: So if a common Person had made a Lease for Years, or a Grant for Years, of Tithe, Common, Advowfons, or other Things which lay meerly in Grant, in fuch Cases the Cancelling or Destruction of the Deed, whereby they were created and sublisted, must necessarily destroy the Interest of the Grantee likewise; because such Deed was of the very Essence of the Deed or Grant, without which it could not have been made at first, nor can sublist afterwards, such Deed being the only Evidence of the Contract, which could not be executed by any actual Poffession or manual Occupation; but now, since the Statute of Frauds and Perjuries, which makes all Leafes for above three Years to have only the Force and Effect of Leases at Will, unless they be in Writing, and figned by the Party, &c. the Deed or Writing whereby fuch Leafe is made seems to be of the same Essence of the Lease itself; and therefore the Cancelling or Destruction of that seems to destroy and avoid the Lease itself, because it destroys all Evidence allowed by Law for the Support thereof; tho' in such Case, Chancery frequently sets up the Lease again, or decrees the Party to execute a new one for the Residue of the Term, which is not against the Prohibition of the Act, because there was once a good and effectual Lease made pursuant to the Sta-

19 Car. 2. cap. 3. And tho' that Statute excepts Leases not exceeding the Term of three Years, yet not absolutely even those; for it goes on, 'not exceeding the Term of three Years from the making thereof, whereupon the Rent reserved to the Landlord during such Term shall amount unto two third Parts at least of the sull improved Value of the Thing demised, and that no Leases, Estates, or Interests, either of Freehold or Terms for Years, or any uncertain Interest, not being Copyhold or customary Interest of, in, to, or out of any Messuages, Manors, Lands, &c. shall be assigned, granted, or surrendered, unless it be by Deed or Note in Writing, signed by the Party so assigning, granting, or surrendering the same, or their Agents thereunto lawfully authorised by Writing, or by Act and Operation of Law.'

# Legacies.

(A) What a Legacy properly is.

(B) Where a Legacy shall be said to be well given: And herein,

1. What Words make a good Bequest.

- 2. What shall be sufficient Description of the Person to take.
- 3. What shall be sufficient Description of the Thing given, and what shall be said to be bequeathed.
- (C) What hall be an Ademption of Extinguiment of a Legacy.
- (D) There a Legacy thall be prefumed to be a Satisfaction of a Debt or Duty owing from the Testator.
- (E) De Legacies vested og lapsed: And herein,
  - 1. Where it shall be a lapsed Legacy by the Legatee's dying in the Life-time of the Testator, and where in such Case it shall vest in another Person, to whom it is limited over.
  - 2. Where a Legacy shall be faid to be vested or lapsed, being to be paid at a future Time, to which the Legatee did not arrive.
- (F) Of Conditional Legacies, and how far the Condition must be complied with, otherwise the Legacy will be forseited.
- (G) Of Specifick and Pecuniary Legacies, and the Difference between them.
- (H) Of abating, refunding, and gibing Security for that Purpofe.
- (1) Of Reliduary Legacies and Legatees.
- (K) Dt the Payment of Legacies: And herein,
  - 1. What shall be a good Payment, and to whom to be made.

2. At what Time a Legacy is to be paid.

- 3. Where the Legatce shall have Interest, and Maintenance in the mean Time.
- (L) Df the Executor's Assent to a Legacy.
- (M) Legacies, in what Court, and how properly recoverable.

## (A) What a Legacy properly is.

Swinb. 17. Godolph. 271. (a) The Word Devife is sper cially appropriated to a

(a) Legacy is defined a Gift or Bequest of a (b) particular Thing by Testament, in which an Executor is (c) named, or by a Writing in Nature thereof, called a Codicil, and in which no Executor is named.

Gift of Lands, the Word Legacy to a Gift of Chattels; the both are used promiseuously. Godolph. 271. (b) For if a Man dispose or transfer his whole Right or Estate upon another, this, according to the Civil Law, is called Hareditas, and he to whom it is transferred is termed Hares; but by our Law he. only is called Heir, who succeeds to Lands and Tenements. Godolph. 271-2. (c) But the a Writing, in which a Person expresses his Mind to grant such and such Things after his Death, cannot be called a Testament, unless an Executor is named, yet it is of Force and Effect sufficient to pass what he therein declares, and Administration shall be granted, with the said Writing or Codicil annexed, to the next of Kin, and such Administrator is obliged to observe the Directions of such Writing, and pay the Legacies as far as he has Assets. Vide Tit. Executors and Administrators.

Preced. Chan. 269, 300.

A Donatio Causa Mortis is a Gist in præsenti, to take Essect in suturo after the Party's Death, and is in Nature of a Legacy, and waits upon the Death of the Testator, and is ambulatory and open till his Death, and may therefore be revoked, as a Will may, but has no Dependance on the Will; and therefore by a general Revocation of all former Wills feems not to be revoked, without added, and all Gifts, Legacies, &c. But if one just before his Death give any Money, or other Goods, to another absolutely, this is not a Donatio Causa Mortis, because not revocable; otherwise if he had said, This shall be yours, if I die, or any Thing to that Purpose.

Cro. Fac. 345-6. 2 Bulf. 207. the Statute

If one by his Will in Writing devise a certain Legacy in Money, and afterwards fays to his Executor, I have by my Will given fuch particular Legacies, I would have you increase the same to such a Sum, this by the but vide now Civil Law is termed Commissium Fidei, and a good Legacy.

29 Car. 2. and Tit. Wills and Testaments.

2 Leon. 119.

If a Man covenants with J. S. to pay him 201. and afterwards by Will he devises to him 20 1 in Discharge of the said Covenant, this is not a Legacy fuable in the Spiritual Court, but remains still a Debt, recoverable at Common Law.

2 Leon. 119. Davies and Percie's Case; & vide infra Letter (M).

But if A, covenants with  $\mathcal{F}$ , S, that he will pay 20 l, a-piece to B, C, and D. and afterwards he devises 201. a-piece to B. C. and D. in Discharge of this Covenant, these are good Legacies, and recoverable in the Spiritual Court, the Covenant in this Case being with a Stranger, and therefore B. C. and D. have no Remedy, but by applying as Legatees.

### (B) Where a Legacy that be faid to be well given: And herein,

### 1. Alhat Mords create a gwd Bequest.

HERE we must observe, that altho' in Grants and Deeds of Gist the Law requires a set Form of Words, yet in last Wills and Testa-Godolph. 281. 2 Vern. 467. ments, which are prefumed to be made at the Time when the Testator is inops Concilii, the Law regards chiefly the Intention of the Testator,

and

and therefore any Words, which manifest his Intention to create or give a

Legacy, will be sufficient for that Purpose.

As if a Man by his Will fays, I do give, bequeath, devise, order or ap- Godolph 281. point to be paid, given or delivered; or My Will, Pleasure or Defire is, that he shall have or receive, or keep or retain; or I dispose, or assign, or leave fuch a Thing to fuch a one; or Let fuch a Person have such a Thing; these, or the like Words, are fufficient to create a good Bequest.

So if the Testator says, I depute such a Thing to A. B. or I assign such a Godolph. 282. Thing to C. D. these are good Bequests or Legacies.

faid, that a Legacy may be given by Signs, Becks, or Nods, by the Head, Hands, or Eyes, or by shewing a pleased or displeased Countenance, or by other Motion of the Body; because the Law regards more the Meaning and Intention, than the Words of the Testator. Godolph. 282-3.

So if a Man by Will gives 100 l. besides the Cloak, &c. this is a good Godolph 282.

Bequest of the Cloak, &c. as well as of the 100 l.

So if a Man fays, Out of the 1001. which I bequeathed A. I give B. 501. Goddlyb. 282. this is a good Bequest of the 50 l. to B. because only a false Demonstration in an immaterial Circumstance, which shall not vitiate the Legacy; but in this Case A. takes nothing; for Words of Diminution shall never be construed to give a Legacy by Implication.

But if the Demonstration be totally false, as if the Testator says, I he- Goldph. 282,

queath to A. the 1001. which I have in my Cheft, and there is not any

Money in the Chest, the Legacy is void.

If the Testator says thus, If my Son A. marries B. let not my Executor Godolph. 2830 give him 1001, these Words, on A's not marrying B, are said to be suffi-

cient to give him the 100 l.

If a Legacy be given by the Testator to the Son of one who is indebted God. 17th. 284. to him, and the Testator adds these Words, I should or would leave him more, if his Father had paid me what he owes me, it is held, that if afterwards that Son happens to be his Father's Executor, he is by thefe Words freed from that Debt, which his Father owed the Testator.

If there be a Devise of a Personal Thing to A. for Life, directing him 2 Vern. 467. at his Death to give it to B. this amounts to a Devise of the Use of it

only to A. for Life, Remainder to B.

A devises his Land to B. in Fee, paying 400 l. whereof 200 l. to be 2 Vern. 181. at the Disposal of his Wise, in and by her last Will and Testament, to Robinson ver. whom she shall think fit to give the same; these Words vest an absolute wide Heb. 9. Interest in the Wife, so that tho' she dies intestate, her Administrator shall have the 200 l.

If a Man gives Legacies to his Children, to be paid at Twenty-one or 2 Vern. 513. Marriage, and if any of them die before Twenty-one or Marriage, the Thomas and Thomas Legacy of such Child to be disposed to two or more of the Children Thomas. then living, in such Manner as his Wife (whom he made Executrix) should think fit, and one of the Children dies under Age, and unmarried, the Mother (a) may appoint such Legacy to any one of the other Chil- (d) But if an dren, and it will be good.

Power to distribute a Sum of Money amongst Children at Discretion, and he makes an unreasonable or indiscreet Disposition, it will be controuled in a Court of Equity. 2 Vern. 513 — As where a Man having two Daughters, one by a former Wise, and another by his second Wise, devised his Estate to his Wife, to be distributed between his Daughters as his Wife should think fit, and she having given 1000 l. to her own Daughter, and but 100 l. to the other, the Court decreed an equal Distribution. 1 Vern. 355. Cragrave and Perroft; & vide 2 Vern. 421. S P.

It a Man devise 40 l. to be paid J. S. by him to be disposed of in 2 Chan. Ca. fuch Manner as, the Testator should, by a private Note, acquaint him 198. with, and dies without such Appointment, this is said to be a good Clerk. Bequest to the Party.

But it has been held, if A. gives all his Goods, Plate, and Furniture Pafeb. 1730. at F. to A. his Wife for Life, and declares that he will dispose thereof Davers and after the Death of A. by a Codicil, and makes A. Residuary Legatee of Gibbs, decreed, all

all other his Personal Estate, then makes two Codicils, but takes no Notice of the Goods, Plate and Furniture at F. and makes his Wife one of his Executors, that the Wife should not have the absolute Interest in the Goods, Plate and Furniture at F. but that it should be distributed after her Death as an indisposed Interest, and she to have her Widow's Part thereof only.

2 Vern. 153 Wareham and Erown.

If one devise his Land for Payment of his Debts and Legacies, and devises 400 l. a-piece to two of his Sisters, and to his third as much as his Executor shall think fit; the third shall have 400 l. also, and be made equal to her other Sifters, if the Estate will hold out.

#### 2. What wall be a sufficient Description of the person to take.

It feems agreed, that if a Man devifes Legacies to all his Children Iver 177. Co.Lit. 112. b. and Grand-children, that this extends only to those who were in effe at Preced. Chan 470. But in the Time when the Will was made, for then the Will speaks, and none 2 Vern. 105. born after are to be let in, unless there had been future Words in the where a Man Will, to all his Children or Grand-children which should be born or be living a-piece to all at his Death.

the Children of his Sifter; it is there faid to have been decreed, that a Child born after the Will, and before the Death of the Testator, should take, the Word Children comprehending all.

2 Vern. 710. Musorave ver. Parry.

If a Man devise the Surplus of his Estate to his Grand-children living at his Death, Grand-children born after his Decease shall not take; for if he had so intended it, he would not have restrained it to Children living at his Death.

2 Vern. 405. Weld and Eradbury.

If one devise the Surplus of his Personal Estate to the Children of A. and B. and neither of them has a Child at the Time of making the Will, or the Death of the Testator, the Devise is executory, and shall extend to any Children that A, and B, shall afterwards have; and the Children of each shall take per Capita, and not per Stirpes, they claiming in their own Right, and not as reprefenting their Parents.

2 Vern. 106.

If A. devise 1500 l. in Trust for the Children of B. and B. has only one Child, and feveral Grand-children, the Child only shall take, and the Grand-children shall not come in for Shares; but if B. had not a Child living, the Grand-children might have taken by the Name of

2 Vern. 431. Nevil and Nevil decreed.

It a Legacy of 500 L is given to the eldest Son of A, to be begotten, to place him out Apprentice, and A. has a Son born after the Testator's Death, the Legacy shall be paid him tho' not born in the Testator's Life, and tho' it was given to him for a particular Furpole.

a Chan Rep t. Bretton ver. Bretton.

If Money is devised to younger Children, where there are divers Daughters, and a Son, who by Birth is a younger Child, but is Heir at Law to a confiderable Estate of Inheritance, he shall not be confidered

as a younger Child, so as to take by the Devise.

1 Vern. 35.

A Man, by Will, devised all his Goods in such a House to G. for Danvers ver. Life, and after his Deceease to the Heir of J. S. and the Point was, Farl of Clarendon.

Life, and after his Deceease to the Heir of J. S. and the Point was, whether he that was Heir of J. S. should take these Goods as Devise, tendon. and the faid Goods to go to his Executors, altho' fuch Heir die in the Life-time of G. or whether he who was Heir to  $\mathcal{T}$ . S. at the Time of G.'s Death should have them; and tho' it was urged, that those Goods were only the Furniture of the Capital House; yet my Lord Chancellor was of Opinion, that they absolutely vest in him that was Heir of J. S. at the Time of his Death, and decreed accordingly.

The Duke of Bolton, by Will, devised in these Words, viz. Item, I 2 Vern. 546. give and bequeath unto such of my Servants, as shall be living with me

at the Time of my Death, one Year's Wages; per Lord Keeper, Stewards of Courts, and fuch who are not obliged to spend their whole Time with their Master, but may also serve any other Master, are not Servants within the Intention of the Will; but I will not narrow it to fuch Servants only that lived in the Testator's House, or had Diet from him.

A. gave Legacies of 15 l. a-piece to each of his Relations of his Father 2 Vern 351. and Mother's Side, and gave the Surplus of his Personal Estate to B. Fones and made C. his Executor: the Executor paid to the Testator's Ecale. and made C. his Executor; the Executor paid 15 l. to the Testator's Cousin German, and 15 l. a-piece to her four Children; and the Court allowed the Payment to the Children, and would not restrain the Devise to the Relations within the Statute of Distributions.

But notwithstanding this Case, it seems the established Doctrine of Preced. Chan. the Court of Chancery, to make the Statute of Distributions the Rule 401. Roach and Measure of such general Devise; as where A. devised all his Real wer Hammond. and Personal Estate for the Use of his Relations, without specifying any in Particular, or using any other Words; and it was agreed to be the Rule of the Court, in the Construction of such Devises to Relations, that those, who by the Statute of Distributions would be intitled to the Perfonal Bstate in Case he had died Intestate, should, upon such general Devifes, be let into the fame Proportions only; and my Lord Chancellor faid, he thought it the best Measure for setting Bounds to fuch general Words, and that it had been often ruled accordingly in this Court.

### 3. What thall be a sufficient Description of the Thing given, and what hall be faid to be bequeathed.

Godolphin fays, that in order to find out the Testator's Meaning, with Godolph. 272. respect to the Things he intended to give away, it is necessary chiefly to Regard the (a) Time when the Will is made, for it is a Presumption (b) But in of Law that the Testator's Mind was not altered, unless it otherwise another appear by sufficient Evidence; therefore, says he, if a Father bequeath says, that to a Son (who is a Student) all his Books, and afterwards buys other this Rule Books, the Books fo bought pass not.

must be underttood

the Testator makes use of Words in the present Tense or suture Tense, and that if it be doubtful, whether they refer to the Time past or to the Time to come, they shall be understood to relate unto the Time that is to come; and that therefore if a Man devise his Corn indefinitely, it shall be understood all such as he hath at the Time of his Death. Godolph. 274.

But it feems clear, both by our Law and the Civil Law, that a De- Swinb. 418. vise of all a Man's Personal Estate passes whatever he died possessed of, 1 Salk. 237. and not that only which he had at the Time of making his Will; for Devise Letthe Personal Estate being transient and fleeting, and, from the Necessity ters (B) and of Dealing and Traffick, liable to daily Alterations; if the contrary Refo- (H). lution should prevail, it would put Men under the Difficulty of making a new Will every Day, and create the greatest Perplexity imaginable.

Also it hath been determined in Chancery, that if a Man devises to 2 Vern. 688. his Wife all his Personal Estate, at a Place called W. all his Personal Estate, as Coaches, Horses, &c. there at the Time of his Death shall pass, tho' not there at the Time of making the Will, the Personal Estate being fluctuating and varying until the Time of the Testator's Death.

But where a Man devised to his Niece all his Goods, Chattels, Abr. Eq. 201. Houshold-Stuff, Furniture, and other Things which then were or should Berrige. be in his House at the Time of his Death; and some Time after died, leaving about 265 l. in ready Money in the House; and it was decreed, that this ready Money did not pass, for by the Words other Things, shall be intended Things of like Nature and Species of those beforementioned.

2 Vern. 538. Gayre and GAYYE.

If a Man devise his House, and all his Goods and Furniture therein, to his Wife for Life, and after her Decease, to his Son R. and his Heirs, except his Pictures, which he gives to his Sons A. and B. and he has Pictures in Boxes as well as those hung up in the House, and likewise Pictures at his Death which he had not at the Time of making his Will; and it is proved in the Cause that he had Skill in Pictures, and frequently bought Pictures and fold them again; the Exception of the Pictures shall extend as well to the Pictures hung up as Furniture as to those in Boxes, and as well to those in the House, at the Time of the Will, as to those bought in after the Will made.

### (C) What hall be an Ademption or Extin= guildment of a Legacy.

Swinb. 522, \$26.

Winburne distinguishes between the Ademption and Translation of a D Legacy; the first, he says, is the Taking away a Legacy before bequeathed, which may be done by an express Revocation thereof, or it may be done fecretly and by Implication, as by giving away or voluntarily alienating the Thing devised. Translation of a Legacy is the Bestowing of the same upon another, which is likewise an Ademption; and therefore there may be an Ademption without a Translation, but

there can be no Translation without an Ademption.

Swinb. 522.

The Ademption of a Legacy is no more to be prefumed than the Revocation of the Testament, unless it be proved; and therefore if the Testator bequeaths all the Corn in his Barn, and lives after the making of his Will till all the Corn is spent, and other Corn is put in the Place thereof, this spending of the Corn is no Ademption of the Legacy, and therefore the Legatary shall have such Corn as is found in the Barn when the Testator dieth, unless the Corn found in the Barn at the Death of the Testator be greater in Quantity than was the Corn at the Time of the Will made, for fo much is due, but not a greater Quantity than was the first.

Swinb. 522.

So if the Testator bequeath a Ship, and afterwards, by Piece-meal, repairs and renews the same, so that there remaineth nothing of the old Ship but only the bottom Tree, here is no Ademption of the Legacy,

but the Legatee may recover the whole Ship.

Szvinb. 523-4.

If a Man bequeath a House, which afterwards he voluntarily pulls down, or which is blown down by the Wind, or is confumed by Fire, and afterwards he erects a new House where the old House stood; Swinburne is of Opinion, that the Legatee in neither of these Cases can have the new House, it being a general Rule of the Civil Law, that a House bequeathed being destroyed, if the Testator build another in the same Place, the Legacy is extinguished, unless the Meaning of the Testator were otherwise.

Savinb. 523.

But if the Testator do bequeath an House, and afterwards, by Piecemeal, repair the fame, fo that there is no Part of the old Matter or Stuff remaining, the Will of the Testator is not hereby presumed to be changed; and therefore the Legatary may recover the House so repaired, for it is

deemed to be the same House still in Law.

Swinb 524.

Also if the Testator, being constrained by Necessity, as for the Payment of his Debts, supplying himself or his Family with Food and Necessaries, &c. alienate the Thing bequeathed; this is no Ademption of the Legacy, and therefore is the Executor bound to redeem the fame, or to pay the just Value thereof to the Legatary.

So if the Thing bequeathed be not fully alienated, as if it be pledged Swink. 525. or pawned, the Legacy is not thereby extinguished; and therefore the Executor in this Case is bound to redeem the same, and to restore it to the Legatary, or to pay the Price thereof, if he fuffer it to be for-

If a Legacy be given to one Person, and afterwards in the (a) same Swinb. 528. Will the same Thing is given to another Person, this is Ademption of (a) So if by the Legacy as to the first Person, for the utmost Constancy shall be preTestament he had given funed in the Testator till the contrary appears; and therefore in this it to one Case they shall divide the Legacy between them.

Person, and

another, this would be no Ademption, unless it appeared the Testator's Intention that it should be so; as if he had said, that which I did bequeath to A. I give B. these or the like Words wholly take away the Legacy. Swinb. 529.

If a Man bequeaths a Legacy in these Words, viz. I give to my Niece Rayn. 335. A. 5001, which my Sifter B. hath now in her Hands of mine, as by Bond Pawlet's appears; and after the Money is paid in, and ten Years after Payment Cafe. thereof the Testator dies, yet the Legacy is good though the Security is altered; for by the Words, no more is intended but that the Legacy should be as fure as he could make it.

So where a Man devised in the following Manner, viz. I give and de- Alv. Eq. 302. vife to A. my good and only Uncle, the Sum of 500 l. that is to fay, that Orme and Bond and Judgment he gave me for 400 l. and 100 l. in Money, and 2 Vern. 681. makes his Wife Executrix, and defires her to be kind and affifting to S. C. his Uncle, that he might live as became a Gentleman; the Uncle fome Time after fold an Estate, and with the Money paid off 320 l. and took up the Bond, and had the Judgment vacated, and gave a new Bond for the remaining 801. and fome Time after the Testator died, and the Uncle, having Notice of this Will, brought his Bill for this Legacy of 500 l. For the Executrix it was infifted that this was a specifick Legacy of that particular Bond and Judgment, and they being cancelled and altered before the Testator's Death, was an Ademption of the Legacy as to fo much; and besides, they urged that this Payment of the 320 l. amounted to a Release, so that the could only be intitled to the Residue. On the other Side it was infifted, that the Divertity is where the Money is voluntarily paid in by the Person who owes it, and where the Testator flies for and recovers it; in the first Case the Legacy continues still good, because the Money only comes home to the Personal Estate; but in the other Case, the Testator suing for it, shews that he intended to make it his own, and therefore would not leave it to the Legatee to recover; and the Justice of the Uncle ought not to prevent the Affection of the Nephew, and no Alteration of his Intention appeared. My Lord Keeper was clear of the same Opinion, and decreed the 801. Bond to be delivered up, and the Residue of the Legacy to be paid.

One by Will devised thus: Item, I give and hequeath to my Grand-Abr. Eq. 302. daughter Mary Ford (the Plaintiff) the Sum of 401. heing Part of a Fird and Debt due and owing to me for Rent from G. M. she allowing what Charges shall be expended in getting the same. Item, I give and bequeath unto my Grandsons A. and B. the Rest and Residue of what is due and owing to me from the faid G. M. which is about 401, to be equally divided between them, they allowing Charges as aforefaid. After the Testator received the whole Debt owing for Rent from G. M. and for the Plaintiff, it was infifted that there was a Difference between a specifick and pecuniary Legacy; that tho' the Disposing of a specifick Legacy might be an Ademption of it, yet this being a pecuniary Legacy, the paying the Money to the Testator would be a Loss of it. On the other Side was infifted upon the Difference between a voluntary and compulsory Payment, that the' the first was no Ademption, yet the second was, and that the Testator obliged G. M. to pay in the Money; but my Lord Chancellor was of

Opinion, that there was no Foundation for the Difference taken in the Books between a voluntary and compulsory Payment, for the latter might be with an Intent to secure the Legacy at all Events, and decreed the Plaintiff the 40 l. Legacy.

Zwinb. 530.

If a Man bequeath 100 l. to a Man, and in the fame Testament gives him 100 l. without taking Notice of the first 100 l. the second Disposition is understood to be but a Repetition of the former, and all but one Legacy, unless it appears that the Testator intended him 200 l. in all.

Preced. Chan.

A. devises to his younger Son 750 L and afterwards buys him a Cornet 263. Hoskins of Horse's Commission, and paid 650 l. for it; and it was proved to be and Hoskins. intended this 6501. should be discounted out of the Legacy, and that he would strike so much out of his Will as soon as the Accounts came from London to him, but died before they came, without altering his Will; and it was held, that this Money paid for the Commission should go in Diminution of the Legacy, and be taken in Payment and Satisfaction of fo much.

2 Vern. 115. Fenkins and Powel, and there the

If A. by Will devise 200 l, to his Daughter, and afterwards on her Marriage gives her more than that Sum, this is an Extinguishment of the Legacy.

Case of Elkin Head cited, where Payment in the Testator's Life-time was adjudged a Satisfaction of the like Sum devised.

1 Vern. 95. Husbands.

So where the Testator directed that 400 l. should be laid out in finish-Husbands ver, ing a House which he was building, and living after the making of the  $\operatorname{Will}$  to expend a greater Sum in that Service, it was decreed against the Heir at Law, that this was an Extinguishment and Satisfaction of the 400 L altho' the House was not compleatly finished at the Testator's Death.

### (D) Where a Legacy thall be presumed to be a Satisfaction of a Debt or Duty owing from the Testator.

1 Salk. 155. 2 Salk. 508. 2 Vern. 177, 258, 298.

Abr. Eq. 203. THE Intention of the Testator being the prevailing Rule to go by in the Construction of Wills, it has been from thence established as Doctrine, that wherever a Person, by his Will, gives a Legacy as great or greater than the Debt he owes to the Legatee, that fuch Legacy should be a Satisfaction of the Debt, on the Presumption that a Man must be intended just before he is bountiful, and that his Intent is to pay a Debt, and not to give a Legacy.

2 Vern. 111. Bloyes and Bloyes cited to have been adjudged.

As where a Man by Marriage Settlement provides 400 l. for Daughters, and having two Daughters, by Will gives them 200 l. a-piece for their Portions, without taking Notice of the Settlement; and it was held, that the 200 L a-piece should be a Satisfaction of the Portion by the Settlement.

2 Vern. 498. Brown ver. Darufon.

So where a Man had prevailed on his Wife to join in felling 7 l. 10 s. Preced Chan per Ann. of her Jointure, and after 61. 10 s. per Ann. more, and having given two feveral Notes, that his Executor should pay her the said two feveral Sums during Life, he after makes his Will, and thereby gives her 141. per Ann. during Life, out of certain Lands; and this was held to be a Satisfaction of the Notes.

So where one fettles his Estate on Trustees, to be fold for Payment Preced. Chan of his Debts, with Power of Revocation, then he marries a Daughter, 158. Bromley gives her a Portion, and covenants that the Husband shall have the Estate 15001. cheaper than any other; after he, by Will, revokes the Settlement, gives the Husband 1500 l. and dies; and this Legacy was held to be in Satisfaction of the 1500 l. secured by the Settlement.

So if A. by Marriage-Articles, agrees to leave his Wife 800 l. and her 2 Vern. 555 Jewels, &c. but it is declared, that notwithstanding the Articles, she herry ver should not be debarred of any Thing he should give her by Will; and A. Eerne. by Will, makes a Disposition of his whole Estate among his Children, &c. and gives his Wite 10001. The Wise must wave the Articles, or the Will, for the cannot have both; for his making a Disposition of the whole Estate, shews that he intended that every Part should be performed.

So where a Child, intitled by his Father's Marriage-Articles to a Share 2 Vern 556 of his Father's Personal Estate, has a Legacy given to him by the Will of his Father; and it was held, that if he will have the Legacy, he must wave the Benefit of the Articles.

So where a Freeman of London made his Will, and devised Legacies Trin. 17:9. to his Children more than their Orphanage Parts would amount to, Nebells vers without taking any Notice whatfoever of the Cufton; and it was held by the Master of the Rolls, that these Legacies should be a Satisfaction of their Orphanage Shares, to which they were intitled by the Custom in the Nature of a Debt; and that the Legacies should not come out of the Testamentary or dead Man's Part, because it is held in this Court, that they shall not take both by the Will and the Custom too; but where fuch Legacies are less than their Orphanage Shares, whether they shall be pro tento a Satisfaction, he was in great Doubt, and fent it to the City to certify, tho' he feemed rather to think they should in that Case take both, especially if none of the Devisees in the Will were thereby disap-

But tho' the Cases on this Head have prevailed thus far on the Circumstances attending them, and the (a) Intention of the Testator, yet (a) That in as a Legacy is a Gift or Gratuity, it is to receive the most favourable all these Construction; and therefore if it be less than the Sum due, payable on these and the like Circumstances that the less the Intention of a (b) Contingency or future Day, on these and the like Circumstances the Party it will be construed an additional Bounty, and not a Satisfaction.

ought to be the Rule,

1 Salk. 508. (b) Tho' the Contingency does a dually happen, and the Legacy thereby become due, yet it shall not go in Satisfaction of the Debt, because a Debt which is certain, shall not be merged or loft by an uncertain and contingent Recompence; for whatever is to be a Satisfaction of a Debt ought to be so in its Creation, and at the very Time it is given, which such contingent Provision is not. Preced Chan. 295.

As if A. give a Bond to B. her Servant, to pay her 201. per Ann. 2 Vern. 478. Quarterly, for her Life, free from Taxes; and by Will, without taking Atkinson ver Notice of the Bond, gives 201. per Ann. for her Life, payable Half- Webb. yearly, but not faid free of Taxes, B. shall have both the Annuities, for Preced Chan. that, by the Will, not being so advantageous as the first, cannot be pre- and the Reafumed a Satisfaction.

given, be-

cause the second Annuity being payable Half-yearly, and charged on Land, by which it will be liable to Taxes, cannot be so advantageous.

So where A. on his Marriage covenanted to purchase and settle a 2 Verm 50%. Jointure of 201. per Ann. on his intended Wite, and if he died before Perry ver fuch Purchase or Settlement made, she should have 3001. out of his Estate for her own Use; the Marriage was had, and the Husband died before any such Settlement was made; but by his Will he devised to his Wife 330 l. for her Life, with Power to dispose of 30 l. Part thereof, at her Death; and it was held, 1. That she had a Right to 300 l. and Vol. III.

Interest, and that the Executor could not now be at Liberty to settle 20 l. per Ann. as the Testator might have done. 2. That she should have the 330 L as an additional Bounty and Provision for the Wife.

2 Vern. 258. Duffield and Smith.

By a Marriage Settlement, in Case of Failure of Issue Male, a Remainder of the Estate was limited to Daughters, until they should raise 3000 l. for Portions; there was Issue of the Marriage a Son and two Daughters; the Father devised 7001. a-piece to the Daughters, and died; the Son afterwards made his Will, and devised to the Daughters to the amount of 7000 l. without any Mention of its being in Lieu or Satisfaction of any Thing due to them, and gave his Land to his Heirs Males, and died without Issue; and it was held clearly that the Father's Legacy could be no Satisfaction, not being adequate in Value; besides, the Father had a Son then living, and it was altogether contingent and uncertain whether 3000 l. would ever arife and become payable or not, and therefore it was but reasonable that the Father should make some certain Provision for his Daughters; but as to the Son's Legacy of 7000 l. it was by two Lords Commissioners, against Rawlinson, decreed a Satisfaction; but upon an Appeal to the Lords the Decree was reversed; for the Daughters being Heirs at Law, and disinherited, there was no Ground for the Court to make a strained Construction to their Prejudice, in Favour of a voluntary Devisee.

8 Salk. 155. Per ock. 2 Fern. 593. 5. C.

H. owed his Niece A. 100 l. by Bond, and having two other Nieces Cuthbert ver. B. and C. makes his Will, and bequeaths 300 l. to his Niece A. and to his two other Nieces 2001. a-piece; after that he borrowed another 100 l. of his Niece A. and being indebted to her in 200 l. died; and to prove that the 300 l. should go in Satisfaction of the Debt, it was infifted on as a Rule in Equity, that where a Testator, being indebted, gives his Debtee a Legacy greater than his Debt, it shall go in Satisfaction; for a Man shall be intended to be just before he is kind; otherwise where a Legacy is less, for that is neither to be just nor kind, and shall not be taken to go in Satisfaction of any Part. But per Cowper, Lord Chancellor, it might be as good Equity to construe him to be both just and kind, if he intended to be both, that if any Part of this 300 l. be applied to the Payment of the Debt, as for so much it is not a Gift; whereas a Legacy must be taken to be a Gift or Gratuity, and there (a) But whe- being Assets, and some (a) Proofs of the Testator's greater Kindness to A. than his other Nieces, his Lordship decreed her the whole 3001. over

ther any A. than his other Ni Parol Evi-dence ought to be admitted in those Cases, vide Tit. Evidence.

Preced. Chan. 314.

If a Legacy of 100 l. is given to A. by 7. S. and another of 50 l. by J. D. and of both Wills A.'s Father is made Executor, who having by a Marriage Settlement Power to charge his Land with 2000 l. for Portions, devises 1000 l. equally between his Daughters; by devising it to them equally, according to the Marriage Settlement, he shews that he intended them an equal Benefit, and therefore the 1000 l. shall not be in Satisfaction of the Legacies given A.

2 Salk. 508. Cranner's Cale.

A. indebted to B. 501. left him a Legacy of 5001. and made him Executor, and after the making of his Will borrowed 150% more of him; and the Master of the Rolls held, that the Legacy should be a Satisfaction of both Debts; but Harcourt, Lord Chancellor, reverfed his Decree, and held, that in those Cases, if a Legacy be less, it shall not be a Satisfaction. So if the Thing given be of a different Nature, as Land, it shall not go in Satisfaction of Money; so if the Legacy be upon Condition, for by the Breach he may be a Lofer, whereas the Will intended it for his Benefit.

A. by Will, gave fix feveral Annuities for Lives, three of 10 l. each, Trin. 1729. Crempton yer, and three of 5 l. each, to be paid out of his Personal Estate, and gave all Sale. the Rest of his Real and Personal Estate to E. his Wife, whom he made

fole Executrix; the Annuitants were his Sisters and their Children; and about two Years after the Wife makes her Will, and gives two Annuities of 51. each to two of the 51. a-year Annuitants in her Husband's Will, but gives them to them and their Heirs, in case they happen to overlive fuch a one, who by her Husband's Will had 101. per Ann. for Life; the likewise gives another Annuity of 101. per Ann. ro one and her Heirs, and another of 51. to another and her Heirs, who had each of them the like Annuities for Life by the Husband's Will; but in the Disposition of these Annuities she takes no Manner of Notice of her Husband's Will, or that they had any Annuities thereby given them; and the only Question was, whether the four Annuities given to the Persons in Fee, by the Wife's Will, should be taken to be only in Satisfaction of the like Annuities for Life, given to the same Persons by the Husband's Will; and it was argued that they should, because the Husband's Annuities being payable only out of his Perfonal Estate, and the Wife being his Executrix, she was in the Nature of a Debtor for them; and wherever a Person, by his Will, gives a Legacy as great or greater than the Debt he owes to the Legatee, it has always been taken to be a Satisfaction of the Debt. But per Lord Chancellor, this Doctrine has already been carried too far, and he would never carry it further; for tho' it is true, a Man ought to be just before he is bountiful, and therefore shall be presumed to pay a Debt rather than give a Legacy to the fame Person, when it is the same Sum, or more, than he owes him; yet why may he not be both just and bountiful when there are Assets to answer both, as in the present Case; and there can be no Pretence to fay, that the two first Annuities of 51 each can be a Satisfaction of the like Annuities given by the Husband, because they are given upon the Contingency of over-living fuch a-one, which has not yet happened, and possibly never may; and then shall the Annuities for Life, which are certain, be extinguished, by giving the same Persons Annuities in Fee, on a Contingency that may never happen; and if that be so, as to these Annuities, there is no Reason to imagine the Wife had a different Intention as to the others, or that she intended two of them should go in Satisfaction of the like Annuities given by her Husband, and the other two not; and the Cases where a Legacy has been held to be a Satisfaction of Debt, are where the Debt was owing by the same who gave the Legacy; but if fuch Legacy be given upon a Contingency, or to take Place at a future Day, it is no Satisfaction of the Debt; and therefore in the principal Cafe it was decreed, that the Annuities given by the Wife were distinct additional Annuities, and not an Enlargement only of the Husband's Annuities from an Interest for Life to an Interest in Fee, as it was urged to be, and therefore should go in Satisffaction of those Annuities; which the Court held they should not, but that the Annuitants should take both.

## (E) Of Legacies vested or lapsed: And herein,

1. Alhere it thall be a lapsed Legacy by the Legacæ's dying in the Life-time of the Testatoz, and where in such Case it thall best in another Person, to whom it is limited over.

Abr. Eq. 296,

T feems by the Rule of the Civil Law, and by the Cases on this Head, that if a Legacy be devised to J. S. and he dies in the Life-time of the Testator, that the Legacy is lapsed, there being no such Person to take at the Time when the Will is to take Effect.

z Vern. 521. Elliot and Davenport. So where A. by Will, reciting that B. owed him 400 l. gave and bequeathed that 400 l. to him, provided he out of the 400 l. paid feveral Sums in the Will mentioned to his Wife and Children, and the Rest and Residue he freely and absolutely gave to him, and willed and required the Executor to deliver up the Security immediately upon his Death, and not to claim or meddle with the Debt, or any Part thereof, but to give such Release or Discharge, as B. his Executors or Administrators, should require or think sit; B. died in the Life-time of the Testator; and it was held, that the Money directed to be paid the Wise and Children was well devised; but as to the Residue devised to the Debtor himself, that it was a lapsed Legacy, he dying in the Life-time of the Testator; altho' it was admitted, that if the Testator had said, I forgive such a Debt, or that my Executor shall not demand it, or shall release it, that would have been a good Discharge of the Debt, tho' the Debtor died in the Life-time of the Testator.

Abr. Eq. 296. Rurnet and Holgrave.

A. devised an Estate to his Wife for Life, and after to the Plaintiff, his Niece, and her Heirs, upon Condition and to the Intent that she pay 400 L to fuch Person, as his Wife by her Will in Writing, or any other Writing, should direct and appoint, and dies; the Wife after marries a fecond Husband, and then makes a Will in Writing, and thereby, reciting the Power given her by her former Husband's Will, appoints the 400 l. to be paid to her Husband, his Executors or Administrators, and that when he shall have fully received the 400 l. he shall pay 100 L out of it to B. 50 l. to C. and 50 l. to D. and makes her Husband her Executor; and then goes on, and fays, that she has published this her last Will and Testament in the Presence of three Witnesses; and the Husbands subscribed that he does approve of this Will; afterwards the Husband died before her, and makes her Executrix of his Will and Residuary Legatee; then B. and C. die, both intestate, and afterwards the Wife dies, and the Defendants take out Administration to her, with the Will annexed, and also Administration to B. and C. and the Question was, whether this Appointment being made by Will, and the Appointee dying before the Appointor, this should be in the Nature of a Legacy, and so the Appointment void, the Testatrix surviving the Nominee; and my Lord Keeper held, that if it was a Thing purely Testamentary, it would be plainly a lapfed Legacy, but that in this Cafe the 400 l. was not in its own Nature Testamentary, but they take as Nominees, and it is but the Execution of a Trust; and decreed the Money to be paid.

z Vein. 466-7. Earles ver. England. Preced. Chan. 200. S. C.

So where E. made her Will, and devised in these Words, I give unto my loving Kinsman R. H. the Sum of 300 l. one 100 l. Part whereof, he doth owe me, which I intend to give to my Cousin S. H. his youngest Daughter; but my Will and Desire is, that he will give the said 300 l. to his Daughter S. H. at the Time of his Death, or sooner, if there he Occasion, for her hetter Advancement and Preferent; the Testatrix at the Time of making her Will was in England, and it happened that R. H. died in Ireland, eight

Days before the Death of the Testatrix; afterwards S. H. died, at the Age of fixteen, and unmarried, and the Plaintiff was her Administrator; and it was decreed at the Rolls, and affirmed by my Lord Chancellor, that the Words I defire, or I will, amount unto an express Devise, and that the 100 l. Bond to the Testatrix should be assigned to the Plaintiff, and the 200 L paid him, with Interest, from the Time of exhibiting the Bill; altho' it was infifted upon, that a Benefit was defigned R. H. and that he was not a bare Trustee; for he was to have the Interest of the 300 l. for his Life, unless his Daughter had Occasion for it before his Death, which she had not.

But if the Testator gives his Sister 350 l. upon Condition that she, at 2 Vern 176. or before her Death, give to her Children 2001, thereof, and the Sifter Brilled ver. dies in the Life-time of the Testator, the whole Legacy is lapsed; altho' Coward. it was infifted, that if the Devise had been only of the Interest of the 200 % to the Testator's Sister for Life, and the Principal to the Children, that had been a good Devise to the Children as to the 2001. and it would not have been loft by the Mother's dying in the Testator's Life-time, and the Intention of the Testator in this Case amounted to as much; but it was adjudged ut supra, the Court taking it, that being a Devise of Money, the absolute Property vested in the first Legatee: Quære.

But however a Legacy may become void or lapfed by the Legatee's dying in the Life-time of the Testator, yet it is plain, that if in such Case there be a Limitation over to another, that the Limitation over is good, tho' the first Legatee die in the Life-time of the Testator; as where A. devised 500 l. a-piece to his two Grandchildren by Name, and Presed. Chin. if either of them die, his Share to go to the Survivor; one of them died 4 9, 471. in the Life-time of the Testator; and it was held, that his Share should

go to the Survivor, and was not a lapfed Legacy.

So if A. devise 1500 L. a-piece to the four Children of J. S. by Name, 2 Vern. 207 to the Sons to be paid at their Age of twenty-one Years, and to the Miller and Daughters at eighteen, or Days of Marriage; and in Case one or more of the aforesaid Children shall happen to die before his, her, or their Vern. 611. respective Legacy or Legacies shall become due, then such Legacy or Ledsone and Legacies shall go to the Survivors of them; and in Case three should die, Hickman, then the Survivor to take the whole; if one of the Children dies in the S.P. decreed. Life-time of the Testator, the Survivors shall take that Share, and it shall not be a lapsed Legacy.

So where a Legacy of 50 l. was given to A. at Twenty-one, or Mar- 2 Verm. 378. riage, and 50 l. to B. at Twenty-one, or Marriage, and in the Close of Dorrel and the Will the Testaco added. If any Legace dies before his Legacy is payed Molesworth. the Will the Testator added, If any Legatee dies before his Legacy is pay- I Vern. 425. able, the same shall go to the Brothers and Sisters of such Legatee; A. dying 2 Vern 653, in the Life-time of the Testator, it was adjudged no lapsed Legacy, but 744. S. P.

that it should go to the Brothers and Sisters.

So where a Man devised to A, and B. the two Daughters of his Bro- Abr.  $E_{f=2.98}$ ther G. to be paid within a Year after the Death of his Wife, viz. 501. Scoolding und to A. and 501. to B. if they shall be both alive at the Time of Payment, Green. but if either of them should die before, then the said 100 l. to the Survivor of the faid two Daughters; one of the faid two Daughters died in the Life-time of the Testator; and the only Question was, whether the furviving Daughter should have the whole 100 l. or only the 50 ly and Rawlinfon and Hutchins, Lords Commissioners, were clearly of Opinion, that the should have the whole 100 l. they faid, that by the first Clause of the Will it is a joint Devise to them of the 100% in which Case, if the Will had gone no farther, if one had died, it would have furvived to the other; then the viz. that comes after is only a Severance of it, in Case they should both live to the Time of Payment, which they did not; and then the last Clause of the Will, in Case either died before the Time of Payment, is a new Substantive Devise of the whole 100 % to the Survivor; and decreed accordingly. Vol. III.

Sa

Abr. Eq. 243. Trin. 1730. Hunt and Berkley.

So where one made his Will, and, after several Legacies, gave and devised all the Rest, Residue, and Remainder of his personal Estate to three Persons, whom he thereby made his Executors, one of them died in the Life-time of the Testator; and the only Question was, whether the two furviving Executors should have the whole, or whether the third Part should be distributed, according to the Statute, amongst the next of Kin; and the Master of the Rolls, on Time taken to consider of the Case, and citing most of the Authorities, both out of the Civil and Common Law, was of Opinion, and decreed accordingly, that the two furviving should take the whole.

2. Where a Legacy thall be faid to be bested or lapsed, being to be paid at a future Time, to which the Legatæ did not arribe.

This Distin-Etion is laid down in Dyer 59. 1 Leon. 177. Swinb. 311, Godb. 182. 2 Vent. 342. Cloberie's Cafe. 2 Chan. Cases 2 Salk. 415 Carth. 52. 1 Vern. 462. 2 Vern. 673. Preced. Chan. Eq. 294,

The Rule and Distinction which hath obtained in these Cases, and which is agreeable to the Rule of the Civil Law, is, that if a Legacy be devised to one generally, to be paid or payable at the Age of Twentyone, or any other Age, and the Legatee dies before that Age, yet this is fuch an Interest vested in the Legatee, that it shall go to his Executor or Administrator; for it is debitum in præsenti, tho' solvendum in suturo, Off. Ex. 347. the Time being annexed to the Payment, and not to the Legacy itself; but if a Legacy be devised to one at Twenty-one, or if, or when he shall attain the Age of Twenty-one, and the Legatee dies before that Age, the Legacy is lapfed; and tho', fays my Lord Cowper, this Distinction was at first introduced upon very slender Reasons, and probably upon no other but from a constant Willingness in the Civil Law to stretch in Favour of a particular Legatee against the Residuary Legatee, who went away with the whole Surplus of the personal Estate; yet it being the Rule of the Ecclesiastical Courts, it is fit that the same Rule should be observed in Chancery, as this Court has now a concurrent Jurisdiction with the Ecclefiastical Courts in Matters of this Nature, and therefore there ought to be a Conformity in their Resolutions, that the Subject might have the fame Measure of Justice, in which Court soever he fued.

Pasch. 7 Anna, Strick ver. Hudson, in Canc.

2 Salk. 415. Snell and Dee.

Cheele.

But if Legacies are given to Children, and if any die, their Legacies to furvive, yet after Twenty-one, or Marriage, there shall be no Survivorship, tho' the Words are general.

2 Vern. 673. So if a Legacy of 50 l. is devised to J. S. when of the Age of sixteen Stapleton ver. Years, and Interest in the mean Time, to be paid Quarterly, this is a Legacy vested, and shall go to the Representative of the Legace, because it carries Interest.

But if A. devise in these Words, viz. I give 100 l. a-piece to the two Children of J. S. at the End of ten Years after my Decease, and the Children die within the ten Years, this is a lapsed Legacy, and is so in all Cases where the Time is annexed to the Legacy itself, and not to the Payment of it; tho' it was objected, that this differed from the Case where a Man devises 100 l. to J. S. at his Age of twenty-one; because it is a Contingency whether he will attain to that Age; but the Expiration of the ten Years is inevitable.

Abr. Eq. 295 6. Orflow ver. Scutb.

So where one being possessed of a very considerable Estate, Part in Jamaica, and Part in England, and being himself residing in Jamaica made his Will, and thereof several Executors, some for his Estate in Jamaica, and others, residing in England, for his Estate here, and, amongst other Things, devised in these Words, viz. I give and bequeath to J. S. now under the Custody of R. D. the Sum of 2000 l. at the Age of twenty-one Tears, to be paid by my Executors in England, and devised all

the Rest and Residue of his Estate to the Plaintiff, and died. having attained his Age of eighteen, made his Will, and thereby devised this Legacy, and all his Estate, to the Defendant; and my Lord Chancellor held this a lapfed Legacy, and that it was a vain Endeavour in the Defendant's Counsel to construc it a present Legacy, and therefore vested by the Word now, because it was a plain Description of the Condition of the Legatee, viz. now under the Custody of, &c. for otherwise they must stop at now, which would be playing with the Words; and tho' the Word paid was made Use of, yet it was plainly intended a Designation of the Persons by whom the Legacy was to be paid, viz. by his Executors in England, which was proper, he having two Sets of them.

Where Legacies or Portions charged on the real Estate are vested, or shall fink in the Inheritance, for the Benefit of the Heir at Law, vide Title Heir and Ancestor.

## (F) Of Conditional Legacies, and how far the Condition must be complied with, other= wife the Legacy will be forfeited.

I F a Legacy be given on Condition not to dispute the Will, and the 2 Vern. 91. Legatee commences a Suit, whereby he disputes the Validity of the Will, yet this is no (a) Forfeiture of the Legacy, if there was probabilis (a) If the Caufa Litigandi. Lord of a Copyhold

Manor comes to a Copyholder, and requires him to do his Services, and the Copyholder aniwers, If they are due, he will do them, but it shall be tried at Law first, whether they are due or not; this is no Forfeiture, being no wilful Refusul. 1 Rol. Abr. 506. 1 Rol. Rep. 429. 3 Bulf. 80, 268. 4 Co. 21. b.

But what we are here chiefly to confider is, how far Conditions, annexed to Legacies which restrain Marriage, are to be performed, and how, and in what Case, the Neglect or Non-performance of them will forfeit the Legacy.

And here we must observe as a general Rule, that all Conditions in Swinb. 266. Restraint of Marriage are to be considered strictly, being prejudicial to

Society, as they hinder the Propagation of the Species.

Therefore by our Law, as also by the Civil Law, a Devise upon Con- Godolph. Orth. dition not to marry, or not to marry a Person of such a Profession or Leg. 45-Calling, is void, whether there be a Limitation over, or not; for (b) every Swinb. 266. Person ought to be at Liberty to marry when he pleases; and therefore 1 Mod. 30. Conditions restrictive of that Power are against Law, and void.

nuity be be-

queathed by a Man to his Wife for so many Years, if she shall remain a Widow so long, this is a good conditional Bequest, because of the particular Interest every Husband has in his Wife's remaining a Widow; for thereby she will the better take Care of the Concerns of his Family, in Respect of which he may well allow her a Maintenance for that Time, to cease when she removes herself into the Interest of another Family. Godolph. Orph. Leg. 45. — But if a Stranger give a Legacy upon such Condition, it is not good; for there is no more Reason for restraining a Widow from marrying, than a Maid. Godolph. 46. — Where a Man devised, after Debts and Legacies paid, the Surplus of his Estate to his Wife and his Son John, equally betwist them, and adds, whom I make my Executors, and farther wills, that the should continue his true Widow; but if she marry again, my Will is, she shall render the Right of being my Executrix to my Son Roger, to be Partner with his Brother John in the Executorship; and it was held, that by the Wife's marrying again, she had as well lost her Share of the Surplus, as her Right to the Executorship 2 Vern. 308 Barton versus Barton.

Also by the Civil Law, a Gift or Devise upon Condition not to marry (a) If one be without (a) Consent is void, tho' there be a Limitation over; for the Executor or Maxim there is, Matrimonium debet effe liberum.

Legatee, upon Condition he marry with the Confent and Approbation of another, and if he marry against their Consent, that the Executorship or Legacy shall go to another; yet he shall have the Executorship or Legacy: But in this Case it is said, that he is bound to ask Consent, and to marry; for both these Parts of the Condition are lawful, tho' the Part is not, that restrains him from marrying against the Consent of another. Godolph 46.

Swind 267.8. 1 Vern. 20.

But tho' Conditions which restrain Marriage generally are void, yet both by our Law and the Civil Law, a Condition, that restrains Marriage as to Time, Place, or Person, is good; as not to marry before

Twenty-one, not to marry at York, not to marry a Papist, &c.

2 Chan. Ca. 22, 138. 1 l'ent. 199. 1 Vern. 20 2 Vern. 293. Preced Chan. 565. the iame Distin-Stion; and there faid, that tho a be no Forbeing limit-

But the prevailing Distinction in the Courts of Equity as to this Matter is, between such Conditions as are good, and bind the Legatee, and such as are only in Terrorem; as to which it is clearly agreed, that if a Legacy be given to a Person upon Condition that he or she marry with the Confent of 7. S. that in such Case the Condition is only in Terrorem, and the Legatee does not forfeit, tho' the Marriage was without fuch Confent; but if in this Case the Legacy had been limited over to another, the Marriage without Confent had been a Forfeiture; and the Reason hereof is, not only from the Intention of the Testator appearing more Lawyer may strongly in the latter, than in the first Case, but also because the Courts cannot in this Cafe relieve against the Forfeiture, without doing an Injury festure, not to the Person to whom it is limited over.

ed over, yet the Parties themselves might not be so learned, and therefore it would be some Terror to them to venture to break it; and without this Distinction, Strangers Executors might run away with a great Part of a Man's Estate from his Children.

1 Chan. 58. Fleming and Waldgrave. 2 Vern. 573. S. C. eited; and there said, that there may be a Differ-

3

If by Leafe 9000 l. is secured for a Feme Sole, in case she marries not contrary to the Liking of A, and if the doth, then for such Person as A. shall nominate, and for Want of such Nomination, for A. and she marries without the Consent of A. yet he cannot dispose of the Lease otherwife than for her Benefit; for it would be the most unreasonable Thing imaginable, that his Giving or Refusing his Consent should have any Influence in an Affair that was to turn so much to his own Advantage. ence between a Condition that a Person shall not marry without Consent, and where it is that the

Party shall not marry against Consent.

1 Vent. 199. i Med 300. 1 Chan. 138. Try and Porter.

If A, devise a Messuage, &c, to B, his Wife for Life, Remainder to C. his Grand-daughter in Tail, upon Condition that she marry with the Confent of his Wife and D. and E. or the major Part of them, and if the marry without their Confent, or die without Issue, the same to remain to F. and her Heirs; and C. marries without the Consent of any of them, who, as foon as they hear of it, declare their Dislike to the Marriage, but afterwards confent to it; yet C. shall not be relieved in Equity, for the Jubsequent Assent cannot devest the Estate which was before vested in F, neither can there be any collateral Averment that the Condition was intended only in Terrorem.

2 Vern. 580. Adefgret.

A. devised 300 l. to B. her Daughter, and that if she married under Mesgret ver. Twenty-one, without Consent of the Executors, or major Part of them, the Legacy to go to the Children of her Sister, the Wife of C. and made C. and two others Executors; B. being at the House of C. there marries h's Son, by a former Wife, with his Privity, being under Twenty-one; B. and her Husband bring a Bill for the Legacy, C. in Favour of his other Children, infifts that the Legacy is forfeited; the other Executors confessed they had Notice of the Courtship, and did not contradict or disapprove disapprove of it, and the 300 l. was decreed the Plaintiff's, there being, at least, a tacit Consent.

A. devised to his Daughter M. 100 l. to be paid by his Executors Abr Eq. 11. upon her Day of Marriage, or Age of Twenty-five Years, which should Amos ver. first happen, upon Condition that she should marry with the Consent of Hornes fuch and fuch Perfons; and if the married without their Confent, then to have 50%, only, and no more, and gave the Residue of his Personal Estate to the Desendants; M. married the Plaintiff, without such Confent, before the was twenty; and it was held by the Master of the Rolls, that this was more than a Clause in Terrorem, and that the Devise of the Surplus of the Personal Estate was a Devise over of the 50% on 11.'s Disobedience.

One, by Will, devised 1300 l. to his Daughter A. to be paid at her Mich. 1688. Age of Twenty-one Years, and if she died without Issue before Twenty- Pawlett and one, then to go over to B. provided that if she married before Twenty- Dogget in Cass. one, without Consent of certain Persons, then to go over to C. She did marry before Twenty-one, without fuch Consent, and upon a Bill brought by B. it was decreed that A should give Security,  $\mathcal{C}c$  for the Money, if the died before Twenty-one without Issue; and the Master of the Rolls, who heard the Caufe, faid the Law was now fettled accordingly, but the Decree was so ordered as to serve both Contingencies, viz. that upon her Marriage before Twenty-one, without Confent, the Money should go to C. yet so that if she died before Twenty-one without Issue, it should go to B. according to the Devise.

A. by Will, gave Portions to his Daughters, without mentioning any 2 Vern. 452. Time of Payment, upon Condition that they married with the Consent Afton and of his Wife; and if any married without such Consent, her Portion to go over; on a Bill brought by the Daughters for their Portions, it was decreed accordingly, but on Security to refund in case the Condition should be broken; for it was held, that tho' the Marriage without Confent was but a Condition subsequent, yet the Court could not relieve against the Forseiture, by reason of the Devise over, altho' it was admitted to be a hard Condition, no Time being limited, but goes to a Marriage at any Time, even after the Age of Twenty-one Years.

The Defendant's Father devised to him, who was his Heir at Law, all Abr. Eq. 112; his Lands, &c. (except fuch and fuch Parts,) charged with the Sum of 113. King 2500 l. to his Daughter (fince married to the Plaintiff) at her Age of ver. Withers, Twenty-one Years, or Marriage, which should first happen; and devised the excepted Lands, in Trust, to be sold for the Payment of his Debts, provided that if his faid Daughter should marry in the Life-time of her Mother, without her Confent first had in Writing, then 500 l. Part of the faid 2500 l. should cease, and should be applied towards Payment of his Debts charged on the faid excepted Lands, and appoints his Wife to be Guardian of his faid Daughter, and makes her Executrix, and dies; the Daughter attains her Age of Twenty-one Years, and without the Consent or Privity of her Mother intermarries with the Plaintiff, who was a Gentleman of some Estate, and called to the Bar, but had made no Settlement or Provision for his Wife; and therefore the Defendant, the Heir at Law, refused to raise or pay any Part of his Sister's Portion; and infifted likewife, that by her Marriage without her Mother's Confent, 500 l. Part of her Fortune, was become forfeited; whereupon the Plaintiffs brought their Bill to have the whole Portion raifed by Sale of the Land charged therewith. Per Lord Keeper, this is a Portion to be raised out of Lands, and therefore to be considered as Land; and tho it be to go towards Payment of Debts on Breach of the Condition, and there appear one Hundred and twenty Creditors concerned, yet none that are in Danger of losing their Debts; and it is then to be considered as it stands upon the Condition itself, and therefore the Plaintiff must have her whole Portion, for the Testator has appointed two Periods Vol. III. 6 G

of Time to intitle her to it, viz. Marriage, or the Age of Twenty-one; and as she has attained that Age, it becomes a vested and settled Interest in her, not to be devested by the Marriage without the Confent of the Mother, for that Consent cannot, in any Reason, be carried farther

than during her Minority.

Preced. Chan. 562. Semphil ver. Bayly. Decreed in the Dutchy Court by Lechmere Chancellor, and King of Justice Dormer.

A. having Issue three Daughters B. C. and D. devised 10001. to be paid to B. at the Age of Twenty-one, or Marriage, upon Condition that she married with the Confent of his Executors; and likewise devised to her several Messuages, &c. upon the like Condition, and after several other Legacies and Bequests he devised the Residue of his Estate to his Executors, for the Benefit of his Children; B. married, against the Consent of the Executors, a Person who made his Addresses to her in her C. J. against Father's Life-time, which the Father knew, and was dissatisfied at; she the Opinion had likewise Notice given her by the Executors of her Father's Will, and that by marrying without their Consent she would be in Danger of forfeiring her Legacy; and that they could not approve of that Match, because they knew that her Father disliked it in his Life-time; yet it was held, that there being no express Limitation over, the Devise of the Residue being after Debts and Legacies paid, that the Condition was only in Terrorem, and that the Marriage, without Consent, did not amount to a Forfeiture of the Legacy, &c.

## (G) Of Specifick and Pecuniary Legacies, and the Difference between them.

Specifick Legacy is a Gift or Bequest of a particular Thing, such A as the Testator's Horse, Cow, &c. and differs from a Pecuniary Legacy, or a Sum of Money, in that the Legatee is not, in case of De-(a) But the ficiency of Assets, to (a) abate in Proportion, as Pecuniary Legatees a Specifick must do.

Legatee has a Preference, and is not to abate in Proportion with other Legatees, where the Estate falls short, as to the Payment of Debts, yet he cannot in any Case have more than the Testator could or did devise to him; and therefore where a Freeman of London devised a Lease for Years to J. S. who was evisted of a Moiety thereof by the Widow claiming it by the Custom; and it was held, that the Specifick Legatee should have no Satisfaction for this Eviction out of the Surplus, the Testator having Power to dispose only of a Moiety. 2 Vern. 111.

2 Vern. 688. Sayer and Sayer. Preced. Chan. 392. S. C.

2 Chan. Ca.

2 Salk. 416.

25, 17t. 1 Vern. 31.

Preced. Chan. 393.

So if a Man devise his Personal Estate at W. this is as much a Specifick Legacy, as if he had enumerated the several Particulars of it; and tho' the other Legacies fall short, yet the Legatee must have this Specifick Legacy intire.

But if the Testator devise his Personal Estate at A. and his Personal Estate at B. and then devises a Legacy out of his Personal Estate, and has no Personal Estate but what lies in those two Places, the Pecuniary Legacy must be paid out of these Specifick Legacies thus particularly devised.

Preced. Chan. 393-4.

So if after several Specifick Legacies the Testator devises a Pecuniary Legacy, or Sum of Money, out of all his Personal Estate whatsoever; in this Case the Pecuniary Legacy shall come out of the Estate at

If a Horse, or Term for Years, which is specifically devised to another, be taken in Execution by Creditors on a Judgment obtained, (as they may be) the Specifick Legatee shall have Recompence in Equity against the Executors, or Residuary Legatees, for the Value, who are to have nothing till after the Debts and Legacies paid.

7. S.

7. S. having 4000 l. secured to him by Bond in the Names of A. and Alr Eq. 298.

B. in Trust for himself, devised it to his Daughter, (now married to Lord Caplethe Plaintiff) and made her Residuary Legatee, and by the same Will tax ver. Lord Eurspeace. devised a Lease he had in Farm to R. D. and there not appearing Affets at his Death to pay his Debts, this Farm devised to R.D. was fold for Payment of Debts; afterwards, by Decree of this Court, the 4000 L was adjudged to be Affets to pay Debts, and was brought into Court, there to remain for that Purpole; the Plaintiff propoled to have what remained of the 4000 l. paid out of Court to him, all Debts being (as it was faid) paid, and the Defendant R. D. opposed it till he had first had a Satisfaction out of it for the Value of the Farm devised to him, and fold for the Payment of Debts; the Court held, that the Devise of this Sum of Money was a Specifick Legacy, and therefore R. B. can have but a proportionable Part of the Value of his Specifick Legacy out of it.

## (H) Of abating, refunding, and giving Secu= rity for that Burpose.

PEcupiary Legatees shall abate in Proportion to the Desiciency of Cro. Eliz. 467. Affets; and therefore if the Ecclefiastical Court go about to compel Moor 413. an Executor to pay a Legacy without Security to refund, a Prohibition Owen 72. will be granted; for tho' an Executor may pay a Legacy without such Allen 40. Caution or Security, yet he is not obliged to do it.

So if a Man devise several Legacies, as 100 l. to one, and 50 l. to 1 Vern. 31. another, &c. there altho' he directs the Legacy of 100 l. to be paid in Brown and the first Place, yet if the other Legacies fall short, then the Legatee of Allen. the 100 l. must make a portionable Abatement of his Legacy.

So if a Legacy be given to Executors for Carc and Pains, yet this 2 Vern. 434. shall give such Legacy no Preference, but the Executors must abate in Fretwel and Proportion.

As to Refunding and Abating, it feems clear, that Creditors may com- 1 Vern. 94. pel Legatees in Equity to refund when Assets become deficient, altho' 2 Vent. 358, there was no Provision made for refunding at the Time the Legacies 360. were paid.

So where A being indebted to B. made C his Executor, and C. wasted 1 Vern. 162. the Estate, and died, having devised several Legacies, and made D. Executor, which Legacies D. paid, and B. having exhibited a Bill against D. the Executor of C. for his Debt due from the first Testator, and against the Legatees in the Will of C. to compel them to refund their Legacies, there not being sufficient Assets of the first Testator, it was decreed accordingly; (a) for a Creditor may follow the Assets in Equity, in whose (a) 2 Vern. Hands foever they come.

Also one Legatee may compel a Pecuniary Legatee to refund where I Chan. Ca. the Assets become deficient, tho' there was no Provision made for Re- 136, 248. funding, altho' he hath still Remedy against the Executor, and may 2 Chan. Ca. compel him to pay it out of his own Purse, if he voluntarily paid away 132the Assets to the other Legatees.

But it seems to be agreed, that an Executor who voluntarily pays a 2 Chan. Ca.9, Legacy, or affents to the Devise thereof, cannot, either in Favour of 1 Vern. 90, other Legatees or Creditors, compel the Legatee to refund, but that in 1 Vern. 90, 453, 460. 2 Vern. 109

down as a

1 Chan. Ca. 136. 2 Vern. 205.

But it is faid, that if an Executor pays out the Assets in Legacies, and afterwards Debts appear, of which he had no Notice at the Time of Payment of the Legacies; or if he had been compelled by a Decree in Equity to pay Legacies, that in these Cases he may, by Bill in Equity, compel the Legatees to refund, altho' he took no Caution or Security for that Purpofe.

# (1) Of Residuary Legacies and Legatees.

Vide Tit. Executors and Administrators.

THE Teffator's making his Will, and appointing an Executor, is a Disposition of all his Personal Estate, after Debts and Legacies paid, to such Executor, without more Words; but if the Testator appoints, that after his Debts and Legacies paid J. S. shall have the Surplus, or what remains; then is J. S. Residuary Legatee, and may sue for and recover fuch Surplus or Residue, and is also, upon the Executor's Refusal to prove the Will, intitled, from his Interest therein, to Administration, with the Will annexed.

Carth. 52. per Curiani.

If a Residuary Legatee die before the Debts are satisfied, so that it doth not appear to how much the Surplus will amount, yet the Executor or Administrator of such Legatee shall have the whole Residue of the Perfonal Eftate which remains over,  $\mathcal{C}c$  and not the Executor of the first

Palm. 409.

Also if there he a Residuary Legatee, and the Executor omits Part of the Testator's Effects out of the Inventory, or undervalues those which he puts in, the Residuary Legatee may file a Bill of Discovery against

him before he has paid the Testator's Debts.

Abr. Eq. 305. Lord Cafileton ver. Lord Fanshaw.

If a Man devise all the Rest and Residue of his Personal Estate, after Debts and Legacies paid, to 7. S. and several of the Creditors are barred by the Statute of Limitations, who notwithstanding bring Actions against the Executor, and he refuses to plead the Statute of Limitations, yet Equity will not, in Favour of J. S. to whom the Surplus is devised, compel the Executor to plead the Statute.

# (K) Of the Payment of Legacies: And herein,

#### 1. Alhat Hall be a good Parment, and to whom to be made.

Preced. Chan. 228.

N Executor, in the Payment of a Legacy, ought to be careful that he takes a proper Receipt, or has sufficient Vouchers of the Payment; and the rather, because it is held to be such an equitable Demand

(a) 1 Vern. as is not (a) barred by the Statute of Limitations. . 56. — But

where after Length of Time a Legacy was prefumed to have been paid, vide 2 Vern. 21, 484.

⊥ Chan. Cα. Also an Executor ought to be careful that he pay it to the proper 2-45. Hand that has Authority to receive it, and that without a Decree or (b) Where a Order of a Court of Equity he cannot pay it to the (b) Father, or any Father Iibelled in the other Relation of an Infant.

Spiritual Court that his Childrens Legacies, being Infants, might be paid to him, and a Prohibition granted. Godo. 243.

As

As where a Legacy of 1001, was devited to an Infant of about ten Abr. Eq. 300. Years of Age, the Executor paid this Legacy to the Father, and took Doyly ver. his Receipt for it; when the Infant came of Age, the Father told him he had fuch a Legacy of his in his Hands, but could not pay it immediately, but however would not have him trouble the Executor about it, for that he would give it him; upon this the Son rested satisfied for about fourteen or fifteen Years, and he and his Father carried on a Joint-Trade together, and then became Brankrupts; and upon a Commission taken out against the Son, this Legacy, among other Things, was affigned for the Benefit of his Creditors; and the Plaintiff, the Affignee of the Commission, brought his Bill against the Executor, to have an Account and Payment of the Legacy; and for the Defendant it was infifted, that this would be an extream Hardship on him, if he should be obliged to pay it over again; that he had already fairly and honeftly paid it to the Father whilst he was in good Circumstances, and if Application had been made fooner, he might have had his Recompence over against the Father; that the Father was by Nature Guardian to his Children, and fuch Payments to him have formerly been allowed good, tho' now indeed this Court has thought good to extend its Care farther for fuch Children, and difallowed fuch Payments; but the Circumstances of this Case were such, that the Defendant, it was hoped, would not be an-Swerable again for it. My Lord Chancellor said, that if the Father had not made his Son fuch Promise of Recompence, and the Son had acquiesced all that Time, the Case might have been more doubtful; but this Promife of his Father drew him to forbear applying to the Executor fooner, and fince his Father had not, nor could now make good his Promife, being a Bankrupt likewise, the Reason of the Son's Forbearance was at an End; and he thought the Rule of this Court, in not fuffering Parents to receive their Childrens Legacies, was founded on very good Reason; and therefore lest this Case might hereaster be cited as a Precedent, when the Circumstances attending it were forgotten, and to difcountenance and deter others from paying fuch Legacies to the Parents, (tho' he did not deny the Hardships of this particular Case) he decreed against the Executor, which was affirmed on a Rehearing.

If a Legacy be given to a Feme Covert, it must be paid to the Hus- 2 Vern 261band; also where a Legacy was given to a Feme Covert who lived separate from her Husband, and the Executor paid it to the Wife, and took her Receipt for it, yet on a Bill brought by the Husband, he was

decreed to pay it over with Interest.

Also it hath been adjudged, that if Husband and Wife are divorced 1 Rol. Abr. a mensa & thoro, and a Legacy is left to her, the Husband alone may 343. Release it.

Moor 665. Cro. Eliz. 908. No. 45. 1 Rol. Rep 426. 3 Bulf. 264. Moor 683. 1 Salk. 115. — But a Person may by Deed or Will give any Thing in Trust for the separate Use of a Feme Covert, and this shall be out of the Power of her Husband. 2 Vern. 659.

A Legacy of 1000 l. was given to one, after the Death of her Mother, Abr. Eq. 54. when the should attain the Age of Twenty-one Years; and the Defen-Facobien vers dant was appointed Trustee for the Raising and Payment thereof out of Peer Williams. certain Lands; the Legatee was drawn into an improvident Match with one who foon after became a Bankrupt, and the Commissioners assigned all his Effects, and gave him a Certificate of his Conformity; and the Assignees brought a Bill against the Trustee for this 1000 l. who insisted that the Assignees could be in no better Condition than the Husband, and that if he were Plaintiff he could not prevail without making a suitable Provision on his Wife; and that this Legacy being liable to a double Contingency, viz. the Death of the Mother, and the Legatee's Arriving at the Age of Twenty-one Years, at the Time of the Bankruptcy, was not such an Interest as could be affigued. The Court held, that tho' Vol. III.

Nevil and Nevil. both Contingencies have fince happened, yet those being fince the Asfignment of the Bankrupt's Estate, and fince a Certificate of his having conformed himself in every Thing to the Acts, he was now discharged as a Bankrupt; and this Legacy could not pass without a new Assignment, which the Commissioners could not make, their Commission being determined.

#### 2. At what Time a Legacy is to be paid.

Godolph. Orph. By the Civil Law, Executors have a Year's Time, from the Death of Leg. 272.

2 Salk. 415. the Testator, to pay Legacies; and in Conformity to the Civil Law, the same Rule hath been taken up, and is now followed, in the Court of Chancery.

2 Vern. 31, If a Legacy is given to a Child, payable at Twenty-one Years, and the Child dies before, tho' his Administrator shall have the Legacy, yet he must wait for it till such Time as the Child, if he had lived, would have come to the Age of Twenty-one.

Abr. Eq. 299, But where A. by Will, gave a Legacy to B. at Twenty-one, and if 300. Laundy he died before Twenty-one, then to the Plaintiff; B. died before Twenty-and Williams. one; and the only Question was, whether the Plaintiff was intitled to the Legacy presently, or must wait till B. if he had lived, would have been Twenty-one; and on Time taken to consider of it, my Lord Chancellor was of Opinion, the Plaintiff was intitled to the Legacy presently;

(a) For this (a) but that where a Legacy is given to one to be paid at Twenty-one, wide 1 Leon. So as to be an Interest vested in him presently, tho' not payable till 277.8.

to as to be an Interest vested in him presently, tho' not payable till Twenty-one, if the Party dies before that Age, his Executors or Administrators shall not have it till the Legatee, if he had lived, would be Twenty-one Years of Age.

A Legacy of 500 l. was given to the eldest Son of A. to be begotten,

A Legacy of 500 l. was given to the eldest Son of A. to be begotten, to place him out Apprentice; A. had a Son born after the Death of the Testator, and on a Bill brought by him for the Legacy, it was decreed to be paid, tho' before such Time as he was sit to be placed out Apprentice.

If Legacies are given to A. B. and C. being the Testator's three CoMoor and
Godfry.

If Legacies are given to A. B. and C. being the Testator's three Coheiresses, to be paid at their respective Marriages, and if any of them
die, her Legacy to go to the Survivors; and one of them dies unmarried,
the Survivors shall not receive her Legacy before their respective Marriages, for the Condition, tho' not again repeated, shall go to the Whole,

as well to what accrued by Survivorship as to the original Devise.

3. Where the Legatee thall have Interest and Maintenance till the Legacy is paid.

If a Legacy be devised generally, it is regularly to carry Interest from the Expiration of the first Year atter the Death of the Testator; but if the Legace, being of full Age, neglects to (b) demand it at that Time, he cannot have Interest but from the Time of the Demand.

fers from a Debt, and must be demanded, otherwise the Legatee not intitled to Interest. Poph. 104.—So tho' a Bond was given for Performance of the Will. 1 Leon. 17.

But it is said, that if a Legacy be devised generally, and no Time ascertained for the Payment, and the Legatee be an Infant, he shall be paid Interest from the Expiration of the first Year after the Testator's Death, tho' no Demand be made, because no Laches shall be imputed to him.

3

Alfo

Also it is said in Salk. that a Legacy left payable at (a) a certain Day, 1 Salk 415-6 must (as it seems without Demand) be paid with Interest from that per Lord Cowper. Day, and that the Interest allowed is 5 l. per Cent. (a) But in Preced. Chan

161. it is held, that tho' a Legacy be devifed to be paid at a certain Time, yet it shall not carry Interest but from a Demand made; otherwise of a Debt.

The Plaintiff had a Legacy devised to him, payable within a Year Preced Chan. after the Death of the Testator, who was his Half-Brother; the Plaintiff 11. Knap knew nothing of the Legacy, nor of the Testator's Death, till the and Peace. knew nothing of the Legacy, nor of the Testator's Death, till the Executor published it in the Gazette, and then he demanded his Legucy of the Defendant the Executor; and the only Contest was, whether the Plaintiff should have Interest from the Time the Legacy should have been paid; and the Court would not give any Interest, not so much as from the Time of the Bill exhibited, nor would they give Costs even out of the Assets, but the bare Legacy.

If a Father devise Legacies or Portions to his Daughters, or younger Abr. Eq. 301. Children, to be paid or payable at their respective Ages of Twenty-one neral ver. Years, or any other Time certain, without making any Provision for Thompson, their Maintenance in the mean Time, and die, in this Case they shall that the have Interest for their Portions, from his Death, till paid, because the Court of Father was obliged to have provided for them, if he had lived; but if hath a Diffuch Portions had been devised to them by a Stranger, to be paid or cretionary payable at such an Age, their Legacies should not carry Interest in the Power of amean Time, because he, being a Stranger, was under no such Obligation warding Maintenance to provide for them.

So where a Father, by his Will, gave 2000 l. a-piece to his two Abr. Eq. 301. Daughters, payable at Twenty-one, and charged on Land and Personal Conway and Estate, and the Personal Estate being exhausted in Debts, my Lord Longville. Chancellor held they should have a reasonable Maintenance out of the Real Estate until their Legacies became payable, and allowed them 80%. per Ann. each.

to Children.

# (L) Of the Executor's Assent to a Legacy.

Ltho' the Testator disposes of Goods and Chattels, and Sums of Godolpo. Orgo.

Money, to Legatees, yet they all pass to the Evecutor, and he has Leg. 148. A Money, to Legatees, yet they all pass to the Executor, and he has off. Ex. 27. them in Nature of a Trustee, and he alone has a (b) Title in Law to them, (b) And and nothing passes to the Legatee, nor can any Legatee take any Thing therefore if to him devised without the Executor's Assent; for were it otherwise, it a Legatre might be in the Power of a Legatee to subject an Executor to a Devafession of the flavit, which would discourage all Persons from taking upon them the Thing de-Office of an Executor.

out the Affent of the Executor, he may have an Action of Topals against him. Dyer 254. Keilw. 128.

But this Matter of Assent is only (c) a perfecting Act for the Security Off. Ex. 29. of the Executor, for it is the Will of the Testator which gives the In- Godolph. 148. terest to the Legatee, and therefore the Law does not require any exact (c) And is Form in which it is to be made. Hence any Expression or Act done by like an Atthe Executor, which shews his Concurrence or Agreement to the Thing tornment of demised, will amount to an Assent.

a Reversion. March 137. - And therefore a small Matter will amount to an Affent. 1 Vern. 90, 460. 2 Vent. 358 .- And which Legatee may compel the Executor to do in the Spiritual Court, March 137.

### Legacies.

Plow. 53. 5 Co. 29. 4 Co. 18. March 138

As if the Executor fays to the Legatee, I wish you Joy of the Thing devised to you, or I am content that you have it according to the Will; or if one offers the Executor Money, or feems willing to purchase a Horse, &c. devised to 7. S. and the Executor directs him to the Legatee; or if the Executor himself offers the Legatee Money for the Horse, &c. these and the like Acts amount to an Assent.

Hill. 5 Ann. Beckett and Ball.

Hence it hath been held, that if a Specifick Legacy be devised, as three Gowns, &c. and the Legatee takes Money in Satisfaction of them, that this amounts, first, to a Consent of the Executor to the Legacy, or Devise of them, and then it is a Sale of them by the Legatee or Devisee to the Executor for the Money eo instanti.

March 136. And as an Affent is but a perfecting Act, the Executor cannot, after Cro. Jac. 614, he has once given it, revoke the same; neither can it be given on (a) Condition, or on any Limitation or Restriction whatsoever.

2 Vent. 360. (a) If the

Executor deliver to the Legatee the Goods bequeathed to him, to re-deliver them to him again at fuch a Day, this is a good Affent, and the Words of Re-delivery are void. 1 Leon. 130, 131.

March 136. 8 Co. 96.

If A. devise a Term to B. for Life, Remainder to C. and the Executor affents to the Devife to B. this will amount to an Affent to the Devife over to C. and vest the Interest in him accordingly,

If one is himself both Executor and Devisee, and he enters generally, 10 Co. 47. without Claim or Demonstration of Election, he shall have the Thing Plow. 520. Dyer 367. devised as Executor, which is the first and general Authority, unless he Cro. Eliz.223. elects to take it as Devisee. 2 Co. 37.

1 Lev. 25. Garret and Lifter.

As where a Man, possessed of a long Term for Years, devised it to his Wife for Life, Remainder to Trustees for his Son's Life, &c. and made his Wife Executrix; and it was held, that the Wife took the Term wholly as Executrix, in the first Place, till she agreed to the Devise; but it being proved that she said, she would take the Term according to the Will, it was held by the Court to be a sufficient Assent.

: Lev. 25.

So in a like Case, where the Wife said, that the Son was to have the

Estate after her, and this was resolved to be a sufficient Assent.

5 Co 29 An Executor may affent before Probate of the Will, and if there be Cro. Eliz. 602. two or more Executors, the Affent of any one of them will be fufficient; March 136, also it is faid, that an Infant Executor may affent, especially if he be Tir. Executors above the Age of seventeen Years.

and Administrators.

Comb. 437-8.

Effectively ver. a Son, and if not a Son, to J. S. and the Child happens to be a Warry ad
Daughter, tho' the Executor affents, yet the Daughter cannot take, judged on a because here is a Condition precedent that never happened, and the special Ver- Executor's Assent is not material where there is no Devise.

# (M) Legacies, in What Court, and how pro= perly recoverable.

ministrators.

vid Tit Ju- T is clearly agreed, that the Ecclesiastical Court having Jurisdiction risdiction of over all Testamentary Matters, that as incident thereto, they have the Courts Ec- Conuzance of Legacies, and that it is the only proper Court where Leand Tit. Exe- gacies are to be fued for and recovered, except in those Cases where the cutors and Ad- Courts of Equity claim a concurrent Jurisdiction with them.

But

But this Jurisdiction is confined to Gifts of Goods and Chattels; Deer 1511 and therefore if a Man, by Will, give Lands to be fold for Payment Palm. 120 Cro. Jac 219, of Debts or Legacies, these Legacies cannot be sued for in the Eccle- 364. siastical Courts, but only in a Court of Equity, because it is not Cro. Car. 16. a Legacy meetly of Goods and Chattels, but arises originally out of 2 Rol. Abr. Lands and Tenements. 2 Mod. 90.

But if a Rent be devised out of a Term for Years, the Eccle- Cro. Fac. 279. fiastical Courts may hold Plca thereof, for the Term for Years being 1 Brown. 34 only a Chattel is Testamentary, and consequently the Rent devised 1 Sid. 279. thereout. 1 Lev. 179. 2 Keb. S.

If the Legatce takes a Bond from the Executor for Payment of the relu. 38. Legacy, and afterwards fues him in the Spiritual Court for the Legacy, Goodwyn and a Prohibition will be granted; for by the taking the Obligation, the Goodwyn, and Prohibi-Nature of the Demand is changed, and it becomes a Debt or (a) Duty tion granted recoverable in the Temporal Courts.

giving a Bond for Payment of a Legacy at a certain Day it thereby becomes a Duty, and is not to be confidered as a Legacy. 2 Vern. 31. — But by Justice Dedderidge, an Obligation given for Payment of a Legacy does not totally destroy the Nature thereof, but the Legace has it still in his Election either to sue for it in the Temporal or Ecclesiastical Court. 2 Rol. Rep. 160

Also altho' the Temporal Courts do not directly take Conuzance of 1 Sid. 45. Legacies, so as to allow of an Action for the Recovery of them, yet may Raym. 23. the Executor make himself liable to an Action at Common Law, as by

his Promise of Payment; in which Case an Assumpsit will lie.

As where in Assumpsit the Plaintiff declared that J. S. devised a Le- 2 Lev. 3. gacy to him, and made the Defendant Executor, and the Plaintiff in- 1 Vent. 120. tending to fue him for the Legacy, the Defendant, in Confideration of and Reyner. Forbearance, promifed to pay him; the Defendant pleaded divers Bonds and Judgments, and Nul affets ultra; upon which the Plaintiff demurred, and had Judgment without Argument; for it is not material whether he had Affets or no, for he is charged upon his own Promife, in Confideration of Forbearance, and a Forbearance of Suit for a Legacy is a fufficient Confideration.

And altho' the Spiritual Court, having Jurisdiction of Wills and 1 Rol. Abr. Testaments, have, as incident thereto, Jurisdiction of Legacies, yet if a 298, 299. Holo 12. Temporal Matter be pleaded in Bar of an Ecclefiastical Demand, they 12 Co. 65. must proceed in the Ecclesiastical Court according to the Common Law, Hetley 87. otherwise they will be prohibited.

Therefore if Payment be pleaded in Bar of a Legacy, and there is but Cro. Eliz. 88, one Witness, which the Ecclesiastical Court will not admit, because their 666: Law requires two Witnesses, there the Temporal Courts will prohibit 1 Show. 158, them, because it is a Matter Temporal that bars the Ecclesiastical De- 1 Vent. 291. mand. Richardson and Defborrow

adjudged. 4 Mod. 285. 2 Salk. 547. Shotter and Friend adjudged. Carth. 142. S. C. adjudged. it is not sufficient Ground for a Prohibition to suggest that the Spiritual Court objected to the Credibility of a Witness, nor to suggest that the Plaintiff had only one Witness to prove the Fact, unless that he alledge that he offered fuch Proof, and it was refused for Insufficiency. Carth. 143-4.

It is holden by my Lord Chief Justice Holt, that a Devisee may 2 Salk 415. maintain an Action at Common Law against a Ter-tenant for a Le
Ewer and

Forest gacy devised out of Land; for where a Statute, as the Statute of 6 Mod. 26. Wills, gives a Right, the Party by Confequence shall have an Action at S.P. fer Holt, Law to recover it. and 279. S.P. per Tavisden

Libel.

6 I

Vol. III.

accordingly.

2 Inft. 608. 1 Sid. 161.

Jultice.

# Libel.

1 Hawk. P.C. 5 Mod. 165. (a) It is termed Li-

Libel is (a) defined a malicious Defamation, expressed either in Printing or Writing, or by Signs, Pictures, &c. tending either to blacken the Memory of one who is dead, or the Reputation of one who is alive, and thereby exposing him to bellus famosus publick Hatred, Contempt and Ridicule.

seu infamatoria scriptura, and from its pernicious Tendency has been held a publick Offence at the Common Law; for Men not being able to bear the having their Errors expoled to publick View, were found by Experience to revenge themselves on those who made Sport with their Reputations; from whence arose Duels and Breaches of the Peace; and hence written Scandal has been held in the greatest Detestation, and has received the utmost Discouragement in the Courts of Justice. Lamb. Sax. Law 64. Bratt. lib. 3. cap. 36. 3 Inft. 174. 5 Co. 125.

> But for the better Understanding the Nature of this Offence, I shall confider,

- (A) What wall be said a Libel: And herein,
  - 1. How far it is necessary that it should be in Writing.
  - 2. What Degree of Defamation will amount to a Libel.
  - 3. What Certainty in the Matter and Application will make it a Libel.
  - 4. Whether any Proceedings in a Court of Justice will amount to a Libel.
  - 5. Whether any Thing of this Kind can be justified.
- (B) Who thall be said a Libeller: And herein,
  - 1. Who shall be said the Author or Composer of a Libel.
  - 2. Who the Publisher.
- (C) The Offenders how punished.
- (A) What shall be said a Libel: And herein,
- 1. How far it is necessary that it sould be in Writing.

HIS Species of Defamation is usually termed written Scandal, and 5 Co. 125. thereby receives an Aggravation, in that it is presumed to have been entered upon with Coolness and Deliberation, and to continue longer, and propagate wider and farther than any other Scandal.

But

But it is clearly agreed, that not only written or printed Scandal 5 Co. 125. come within the Notion of a Libel, but also may be applied to any De- Shim. 123. famation whatfoever, expressed either by Signs or Pictures; as by fixing 3 Keb. 528. up a Gallows at a Man's Door, or elfewhere, or by Painting him in a shameful or ignominious Manner, as by exposing a Man and his Wife by a Skimmington or Riding, tho' a special Custom is alledged for such Practice.

And fince the chief Cause, for which the Law so severely punishes all Hawk P.C. Offences of this Nature, is a direct Tendency of them to a Breach of 195 publick Peace, by provoking the Parties injured, and their Friends and Families, to Acts of Revenge, which it would be impossible to restrain by the severest Laws, were there no Redress from publick Justice for Injuries of this Kind, which, of all others, are most sensibly felt; and fince the plain Meaning of fuch Scandal, as is expressed by Signs or Pictures, is as obvious to common Sense, and as easily understood by every common Capacity, and altogether as provoking as that which is expressed by Writing or Printing, why should it not be equally Criminal?

#### 2. What Degree of Defamation will amount to a Libel.

As every Person desires to appear agreeable in Life, and must be 5 Ce. 125. highly provoked by fuch ridiculous Representations of him, as tend to 1 Keb. 293.

Meor 627. lessen him in the Esteem of the World, and take away his Reputation, 1 Rol. Abr. 37. which, to fome Men, is more dear than Life itself: Hence it hath been held, that not only Charges of a flagrant Nature, and which reflect a Moral Turpitude on the Party, are libellous, but also such as fet him in a scurrilous ignominious Light; for these equally create ill Blood, and provoke the Parties to Acts of Revenge and Breaches of the Peace.

Hence it hath been held, that Words, tho' not feandalous in them- Hard. 470. felves, yet if published in Writing, and tending in any Degree to the Discredit of a Man, are libellous, whether such Words defame private Persons only, or Persons employed in a publick Capacity; in which latter Case they are said to receive an Aggravation, as they tend to scandalize the Government, by reflecting on those who are intrusted with the Administration of publick Affairs, which doth not only en- 5 Co. 125. danger the publick Peace, as all other Libels do, by stirring up the Par- 2 Rol. Rep. 86. ties immediately concerned in it to Acts of Revenge, but also have a iHawk. P.C. direct Tendency to breed in the Bookle a Distillar of their Concerner. direct Tendency to breed in the People a Dislike of their Governors, and incline them to Faction and Sedition.

As where a Person delivered a Ticket up to the Minister after 1 Sid. 219 Sermon, wherein he defired him to take Notice, that Offences passed 1 Keb. 773.

The King ver. now without Controll from the Civil Magistrate, and to quicken the Pym. Civil Magistrate to do his Duty, &c. and this was held to be a Libel, tho' no Magistrates in particular were mentioned, and tho' it was not averred that the Magistrates suffered those Vices knowingly.

A. Gunsmith published an Advertisement in a common News-Paper, Pasch. 4 Geo. that he had invented a short Kind of Gun that shot as far as others of 2. in B. R. a longer Size, and that he was made Gunsinith to the Prince of Wales; Harman yer, and B. another Gunfmith, counter-advertised, That whereas, &c. reciting Delary. the former Paragraph, he defined all Gentlemen to be cautious, for that the faid A. durst not engage with any Artist in Town, nor ever did make fuch an Experiment, except out of a Leather Gun, as any Gentleman might be satisfied at the Cross Guns in Long-Acre, the faid Bis Honse. And the Court held, that tho' B. or any other of the Trade, might counter-advertise what was published of A. yet that that should have been done without any general Reflections on him in the Way of his Bufiness; that the Advice to all Gentlemen to be cautious, was a Reflection on his

Honesty, as if he would deceive the World by a fictitious Advertisement, and the Allegation, that he would not engage with an Artist, was setting him below the rest of his Trade, and calling him a Bungler in general Terms, and not relative to the precedent Matter, and that the Words except out of a Leather Gun, was charging him with a Lye, the Word Gun being vulgarly used for a Lye, and Gunner for a Lyar; and that therefore thefe Words were libellous, and gave Judgment accordingly; and herein the Court held, that Words, tho not scandalous in themselves, yet being published in Writing, and tending any way to the Party's Discredit, were actionable, and that all Words were to be construed fecundum Subjectam Materiam, and to be understood by the Court in the same Sense that others do.

But tho' every Species and Degree of Calumny and Detraction of this Kind are deemed odious in the Eye of the Law, and punishable either by Civil Action or Criminal Profecution, in most Cases, at the Election of the Party injured; yet the Court of King's Bench, whose Jurisdiction herein is founded upon the Necessity of preventing Quarrels and ill Blood, and which deals with this Offence as of dangerous Consequence to, and destructive of the Peace of the Nation, always exercises a discretionary Power in granting an Information for an Offence of this Nature, and will, in many Cases, leave the Party to his ordinary Remedy; (a) As in the as where the Application is made (a) after a great Length of Time; fo

9 Geo. 2. in

Case of the (b) where the Matter complained of as a Libel happens to be true; so King versus (c) where the Granting the Information would be a Discouragement to King verius (c) where the Granting the Information would be a Discouragement to learned Inquiries; or (d) where the Matter complained of was intended

B. R. where for Reformation, not Defamation.

the Party, after two Terms, three Sessions, and one Assises applied, the Court resused to grant an Information, tho' it was agreed, had the Application been recent, an Information would have been granted. (b) As in the Case of an Apothecary, who personated Dr. Crow, wrote in his Name, and took a Fee, which being published in a common Advertisement, a Motion was made for an Information against the Publisher; but the Truth of what was advertised being made out, the Court lest the Prosecutor to his ordinary Remedy. Hill. 8 Geo. 1. The King versus Bickerston. (c) As for publishing in a News-paper, that Ward's Pill and Drop had done great Mischief in twelve several Cases, and that they were a Compound of Poison and Antimony, & S. Geo. 2. The King versus Roberts. (d) As where a Person in a private Letter to the Party expostulates with him about some Vices, of which he apprehends him guilty, and desires him to restain from them, or where a Person sends such Letter to a Father, in relation to some Faults of his Children, which are said to be not at all libellous, being A&s of Friendship, not designed for Defamation, but Reformation. 2 Brewnl. 151-2. But such Matters published in a News-Paper, tho' the Pretence be Reformation, is, it seems, libellous, as was agreed 9 Geo. 2. The King ver. Knight.

So where a Man advertised in a publick News-paper, that his Wife The King ver. Enes, 5 Geo. 2. had eloped from him, and cautioned all Persons from trusting her, and in B. R. an Information for a Libel being moved for, it was denied, because it was the only Way the Husband could take to fecure himfelf.

The King ver. Fenneaur. Pafch. 8 Geo. 2. in  $\mathcal{B}$ .  $\mathcal{R}_{i}$ 

So where it was advertised in one of the Daily Papers, that Lady Mordington kept an Assembly in Moor-fields, and it being counter-advertised, by my Lord's Order, that the Person calling herself Lady Mordington was an Impostrix, and that there was no such Person except his Wife, who always lived with him; the Court refused to grant an Information; for tho' she be called an Impostrix, yet that relates to her as affuming the Title of Lady Mordington, and which she is alledged not to have any Right to; and therefore in this Respect may well be called an Impostrix.

The King ver. 8 Georg. 2. in B.R.

A Writing was directed to General Wills, and the four principal Offi-Bayley, Hill. cers of the Guards, to be presented to his Majesty for Redress; the Paper contained the Defendant's Case, that he furnished the Guard at Whitehell with Fire and Candle, for which the Government owed him 350 l. that he obtained a Warrant for his Money, and Captain Carr (the Profecutor) told him, that if he would affign the Warrant, he would procure him the Money; the Warrant was affigned, and the Money paid

to Carr, who refused paying it to the Defendant; and the Question was, if an Information should be granted; and the Court held it no Libel, but a Representation of an Injury, drawn up in a proper Way for Redress, without any Intention to alperfe the Profecutor; and tho' there be a Suggestion of a Fraud, yet that is no more than what is in every Bill in Chancery, which was never held libellous, if relative to the Subject

Here it may be proper to infert the remarkable Cafe of Parson Prick, Cro. Fac. 90, who in a Sermon recited a Story out of Fox's Martyrology, that one Green- 91. avood, being a perjured Person, and a great Persecutor, had great Plagues inflicted on him, and was killed by the Hand of God; whereas in Truth he was never fo plagued, and was himself present at that Sermon; and he thereupon brought his Action upon the Case, for calling him a perjured Person; and the Defendant pleaded Not guilty; and this Matter being disclosed upon the Evidence, Il ray Chief Justice delivered the Law to the Jury, that it being delivered but as a Story, and not with any Malice or Intention to flander any Person, he was not guilty of the Words maliciously, and so was found not guilty.

#### 3. What Certainty in the Matter and Application will make it a Libel.

It feems to be now agreed, that not only Scandal expressed in an open 5 Co. 125. and direct Manner, but also such as is expressed in Allegory and Irony That a Libel amounts to a Libel, and that the Judges are to understand it in the same well by De-Manner as others do, without any strained Endeavours to find out Loop-feriptions holes, or to palliate the Offence, which in some Measure would be to and Circumencourage Scandal; as where a Writing in a taunting Manner, reckoning locutions as up feveral Acts of publick Charity done by one, fays, You will not play in express Terms.

the Jew, nor the Hypocrite, and so goes on, in a Strain of Ridicule, to Poph. 252. infinuate, that what he did was owing to his Vain-glory; or where a Hob. 215. Writing, pretending to recommend to one the Characters of feveral great 1 Hawk. P.G. Men for his Imitation, instead of taking Notice of what they are gene- 193-4rally esteemed famous for, pitched on such Qualities as their Enemies charge them with the Want of; as by proposing such a one to be imitated for his Courage, who is known to be a great Statesman, but no Soldier, and another to be imitated for his Learning, who is known to be a great General, but no Scholar, &c. which Kind of Writing is as well underflood to mean only to upbraid the Parties with the Want of these Qualities, as if it had directly and expresly done so.

And from the same Foundation it hath also been resolved, that a defa- 1 Hawk P C. matory Writing expressing only one or two Letters of a Name, in such a 194. Hurt's Manner that from what goes before, and follows after, it must needs be Case. understood to fignify such a Person in the plain, obvious, and natural Construction of the Whole, and would be perfect Nonsense if strained to any other Meaning, is as properly a Libel as if it had expressed the whole Name at large; for it brings the utmost Contempt upon the Law, to fuffer its Justice to be eluded by such trisling Evasions; and it is a ridiculous Abfurdity to fay, that a Writing, which is understood by every the meanest Capacity, cannot possibly be understood by a Judge and

But it is faid, that no Writing whatfoever is to be effected a Libel, 1 Hawk. P.C. unless it reflect upon some particular Person; and that a Writing sull of 195. obscene Ribaldry, without any kind of Reslection on any one, is not punishable at all by any Profecution at Common Law, but the Author may be bound to his good Behaviour, as a scandalous Person of evil

Porb. 252, 254.

But a Scandal published of three or four, or any one or two of them,

is punishable, at the Complaint of one or more, or all of them.

3 Mod 68. Elaxter.

The Defendant was charged in an Information with writing a Libel The King ver. against the Protestant Religion and Bishops, Inuendo the Bishops of England; he was found guilty; and in Arrest of Judgment it was offered, that the Bishops libelled were not English Bishops, nor could the Invendo support such Construction; but the Court took upon them to understand the Libel in that Sense, and over-ruled the Exception.

"LeKing ver. Ostorne, Trin. 5 cieo 2. in B R.

An Information was prayed for publishing a Paper containing an Account of a Murder on a Tewish Woman and her Child, by certain Tews lately arrived from Portugal, and living near Broadstreet, because the Child was begotten by a Christian; and the Affidavit set forth, that several Perfons mentioned therein, who were recently arrived from Portugal, and lived in Broadstreet, were attacked by Multitudes in feveral Parts of the City, barbarously treated, and threatened with Death, in case they were found abroad any more; and it was objected, that no Information could be granted in this Case, because it did not appear who in particular the Persons reflected on were; and for this was cited The King versus Orme, Trin. 11 11. 3. where an Indictment was exhibited for a Libel called The Ladies Invention, and alledged to be to the Scandal of several Ladies unknown, and after Verdict for the King Judgment was arrested, because it did not appear who the Persons reflected on were; sed per Cir. admitting that an Information for a Libel may be improper, yet the Publication of this Paper is defervedly punishable in an Information for a Misdemeanor, and that of the highest Kind; such Sort of Advertisements necessarily tending to raise Tumults and Disorders among the People, and inflame them with a universal Spirit of Barbarity against a whole Body of Men, as if guilty of Crimes scarce practicable, and wholly incredible; and in this Case was cited the Case of The King and Franklin, where tho' only the Words Ministers were used in the Libel, yet by fuitable Averments in the Information, and Proof made of them to the Jury, they found those Ministers to be Ministers of State to his present Majesty, and the Defendant guilty.

#### 4. Whether any Proceedings in a Court of Judice will amount to a Libel.

Djer 285. 2 Inft. 228. Yelv. 117. 2 Bulf. 269. Godb 340. Palm. 145, 188. 194.

It feems to be clearly agreed, that no Proceeding in a regular Course of Justice will make the Complaint amount to a Libel; for it would be a great Discouragement to Suitors to subject them to publick Prosecutions, in respect of their Applications to a Court of Justice; and the chief Intention of the Law in prohibiting Persons to revenge themselves by Libels, or any other private Manner, is to restrain them from endeavour-Hawk. P.C. ing to make themselves their own Judges, and to oblige them to refer the Decision of their Grievances to those whom the Law has appointed to determine them.

Therefore it hath been resolved, that no false or scandalous Matter (a) Lev. 240, contained in (d) a Petition to a Committee of Parliament, or in (b) Ar-1 Sid 414. ticles of the Peace exhibited to Justices of Peace, are libellous. 2 Keb. 832.

(b) 4 Co. 14. 1 Hawk. P.C. 194.

Aloor 627. 195.

Also it is held, that no Presentment of a Grand Jury can be a Libel, i Hawk. P.C. not only because Persons who are supposed to be returned without their own feeking, and are fworn to act impartially, shall be presumed to have proper Evidence for what they do, but also because it would be of the atmost ill Consequence any way to discourage them from making their Inquiries

Inquiries with that Freedom and Readiness which the publick Good

requires.

Also it is holden by some, that no Want of Jurisdiction in the Court 2 Keb. 852. to which fuch a Complaint shall be exhibited will make it a Libel; be- 4 Co. 14 Counsel; but herein it is said by Hasuking, that if it shall manifold. Counfel; but herein it is faid by Hawkins, that if it shall manifestly appear from the whole Circumstances of the Case, that a Prosecution is intirely false, malicious, and groundless, and commenced not with a Defign to go thro' with it, but only to expose the Defendant's Character, under the Shew of a legal Proceeding, there can be no Reason why such a Mockery of publick Justice should not rather aggravate the Offence than make it cease to be one, and make such Scandal a good Ground of an Indictment at the Suit of the King, as it makes the Malice of their Proceeding a good Foundation of an Action on the Cafe at the Suit of the Party, whether the Court had a Jurisdiction of the Cause or not.

#### 5. Whether any Thing of this kind can be justified.

It feems to be clearly agreed, that in an Indichment or Criminal Pro- 5 Co. 123. fecution for a Libel the Party cannot justify that the Contents thereof are Hob. 253. true, or that the Person upon whom it is made had a bad Reputation; Moor 627. Indee the greater Appearance there is of Truth in any malicious Invective, 1 Hawk. P.C. for much the more provoking it is: for as my Lord Coke observes in 3. fo much the more provoking it is; for, as my Lord Coke observes, in a fettled State of Government the Party grieved ought to complain for every Injury done him, in the ordinary Course of Law, and not by any Means to revenge himself by the odious Course of Libelling, or other-

Also it seems now settled, that no Scandal in Writing is any more The King ver. justifiable in a Civil Action brought by the Party to vindicate the Injury Roberts, Mich. done him, than in an Indictment or Information at the Suit of the Sec. 2. in E. R. Agreed Crown; for tho' in Actions for Words the Law, thro' Compassion, adper Cur. in a mits the Truth of the Charge to be pleaded as a Justification, yet this Case for pub-Tenderness of the Law is not to be extended to written Scandal, in lishing a Liwhich the Author acts with more Coolness, and Deliberation gives the bel on Mr. Scandal a more durable Stamp, and propagates it wider and further. Branley, Re-Scandal a more durable Stamp, and propagates it wider and further; corder of whereas in Words Men often in a Heat and Passion say Things which Warwick they are afterwards ashamed of, and tho' they seem to act with Deliberation, yet the Scandal sooner dies away, and is forgotten; and therefore from the greater Degree of Mischief and Malice attending the one than the other, the Law allows the Party to justify in an Action for Words, tho' not for written Scandal; from whence it follows, that the only Favour Truth affords in such a Case is, that it may be shewn in Mitigation of Damages in an Action, and of the Fine upon an Indictment or an Information.

## (B) Tatho shall be said a Libeller: And herein,

### 1. Who hall be said the Author or Composer of a Libel.

Thas been already observed, that a Libel may be expressed not only by Printing or Writing, but also by Signs or Pictures; but it seems that some of those Ways are essentially necessary; and it is laid down in Lamb's Case, that every Person convicted of a Libel must be the Contriver, Procurer or Publisher thereof.

It hath been strongly urged, that he who writes a Libel, dictated by

another, is not guilty of the Composing and Making thereof, because

it appears that another is the Author or Contriver; but herein the

Court held, that the Writing being the effential Part of a Libel, the

Reducing it into Writing, in the first Instance, was a Making, and differed from a Transcribing; and, according to the Report of this Case,

Carth. 405. 5 Mod. 163. to 167. The

Lamb's Case.

9 (0 59.

Moor Siz.

King ver. Paine.

(a) But in in 5 Mod. it was held, that if (a) one dictates, and another writes, both Carth. 406. it are guilty of making it, for he shews his Approbation of what he writes. he who die- So if one repeats, another writes a Libel, and a third approves what is tated cannot written, they are all Makers of it, as all who concur and affent to the be indified doing of an unlawful Act are guilty; and murdering a Man's Reputation for this Li-by a Libel, may be compared to murdering a Man's Person, in which bel, because all who are present and encourage the Act are quilty, the Wayned he did not all who are present and encourage the Act are guilty, tho' the Wound write it, and was given by one only. that there-

fore if the Writer could not, the Crime would go unpunished.

Carth. 407. 2 Salk. 417. The King ver-Bear.

Also it hath been held, that Transcribing and Collecting libellous Matter is highly Criminal, tho' it be not found that the Party composed or published it; for his having it in Readiness for that Purpose when Occasion served, or its falling into such Hands after his Death as may publish it, might be injurious to the Government.

2 Salk. 419.

It is faid by Holt Chief Justice, that when a Libel appears under a Man's Hand-writing, and no other Author is known, he is taken in the Manner, and it turns the Proof upon him; and if he cannot produce the Composer, it is hard to find that he is not the very Man.

2 Salk. 419.

And it is faid to have been resolved by the Court, that in Libels Making is the Genus, Composing or Contriving is one Species, Writing a fecond Species, and Procuring to be written a third Species; and finding a Man guilty of Writing only, is finding him guilty of one Species of making.

2 Salk. 418.

But yet in some Cases the Writing of a Libel may be a lawful or innocent Act, as by the Clerk that draws the Indictment, or by a Student who takes Notes of it, because it is not done ad Infamiam of the Party; but abstractly considered, the Writing a Copy of a Libel is Writing a Libel, because such Copy contains all Things necessary to the Constitution of a Libel, viz. the scandalous Matter, and the Writing; and it has the fame pernicious Confequence, for it perpetuates the Memory of the Thing, and some Time or other comes to be published.

#### 2. Who the Publisher.

It feems to be agreed, that not only he who publishes a Libel him- 9 Co. 59felf, but also he who procures another to do it, is guilty of the Publica- Moor 627.

1 Hawk. P. C. tion; and it is held not to be material, whether he who disperses a Libel 195. knew any Thing of the Contents or Effects of it or not, for that nothing would be more easy than to publish the most virulent Papers with the greatest Security, if the Concealing the Purport of them from an illeterate Publisher would make him safe in dispersing them.

And on this Foundation it hath been constantly ruled of late, that The King ver the buying of a Book or Paper, containing libellous Matter, in a BookNutt. Hill.

feller's Shop, is fufficient Evidence to charge the Mafter with the ruled on Publication, altho' it does not appear that he knew of any fuch Books Evidence at being there, or what the Contents thereof was; and it will not be prefumed that it was brought and fold there by a Stranger, but the Master

Raymond Ch.

Just. must, if he suggests any Thing of this Kind in his Excuse, prove it.

The Reading of a Libel in the Presence of another, without knowing 9 Co. 59.

it before to be a Libel, or the Laughing at a Libel read by another, or Moor 813. the Saying that such a Libel is made of J. S. whether spoken with or 196. without Malice, amounts not to a Publication of it.

Also it is held, that he who repeats Part of a Libel in Merriment, Moor 627. without any Malice or Purpose of Desamation, is no way punishable; but of this Hawkins makes a Doubt, for that Jests of this Kind are not Hawk. P. C. to be endured, and the Injury to the Reputation of the Party grieved 196. is no way lessened by the Merriment of him who makes so light of it.

But it feems to be agreed, if he who hath either read a Libel himself, Moor 813. or hath heard it read by another, do afterwards maliciously read or 1 Hatek. P. C. repeat any Part of it in the Presence of others, or lend or shew it to 195. another, he is guilty of an unlawful Publication of it.

It is faid by my Lord Coke, in the Case de Libellis Famosis, to have 5 Co. 125. been resolved, that if one finds a Libel, (and would keep himself out of Danger) if it be composed against a private Man, the Finder may either burn it, or prefently (a) deliver it to a Magistrate; but if it concern a (a) But it Magistrate, or other publick Person, the Finder ought presently to de-has been since said, liver it to a Magistrate, to the Intent that by Examination and Industry that the not the Author may be found out and punished.

delivering it

strate was only punishable in the Star-Chamber, and that the bare having a Libel in one's Custody was no Offence. I Vent. 31 .- But vide 2 Salk. 418. where it is said to be Evidence of his being the Author or Publisher.

It feems to be a Matter of Doubt, whether the Sending an abusive 4 Inft. 180. Letter, filled with provoking Language, to another, will bear an 3 Inft. 174.

After as for a Libel because here is no Publication, but it seems to be Hob. 62, 215. Action as for a Libel, because here is no Publication; but it seems to be 12 Co. 34. clearly agreed, that the Sending fuch Letter, without other Publication, Poph. 136. is an Offence of a publick Nature, and punishable as such, in as much Raym. 201. as it tends to create ill Blood, and causes a Disturbance of the publick 1 Lev. 139. Peace; and if the bare Making of a Libel be an Offence, whether it 1 M. 58. be published or not, as it seemeth to be holden, surely the Sending of Skin. 123-4. it to the Party reflected on must be a much greater Crime.

And on this Foundation the Court of King's Bench granted an Information against a Person sor sending an abusive Letter to Mr. Bernar-Mich. 5Geo.2. diston, therein calling him Rascal and Fool; altho' he swore that he wrote in B. R. this to the Party himself, and never made it publick, being only a Piece of private Refentment; but the Court held, that this Method provoked Persons to Duelling, that the Writing and Sending was a good Publication, and that the Intent of the Party shall not be explained by himself.

Vol. III.

1 Sand. 133. 1 Lev. 240. 1 Sid 414. 1 Keb. S32.

If one deliver a Paper full of Reflections on any Person, in Nature of a Petition to a Committee of Parliament, to any other Persons except the Members of Parliament, he may be punished as the Publisher of a Libel, in respect of such Dispersing thereof among those who have nothing to do with it.

Hawk. P. C. Authorities supra.

But it hath been held, that the bare Printing of a Petition to a Com-196. and the mittee of Parliament, (which would be a Libel against the Party complained of, if it were made for any other Purpose than as a Complaint in a Course of Justice,) and Delivering Copies thereof to the Members of the Committee, shall not be looked upon as the Publication of a Libel, in as much as it is justified by the Order and Course of Proceedings in Parliament, whereof the King's Courts will take Judicial Notice.

# (C) The Offenders how punished.

Gro. Car. 175. THERE can be no Doubt but that a Person who writes or publishes a Libel is subject to the Action of the Party injured, in which Damages shall be recovered; and that being convicted on an Indictment or Information, shall pay such Fine, and also suffer such Corporal Punishment, as to the Court, in Difcretion, shall feem proper, according to the Heinousness of the Crime and the Circumstances of the Offender.

# Limitation of Actions.

- (A) Df the Limitation of Actions at Common Law, and before the Statute 32 H. 8.
- (B) Of the Limitation of Real Actions pursuant to 32 H. 8. and 21 Jac. 1.
- (C) Of the Limitation of Time in Regard to Actions on Penal Statutes.
- (D) Of the Limitation of Time in Regard to Personal Actions, pursuant to the 21 Jac. 1. And herein,
  - 1. Of Actions of Assault and Battery.
  - 2. Of Actions of Slander.
  - 3. Of Actions arising upon Contract and founded in Maleficio: And herein,
    - 1. Of what Nature or Degree the Action must be so as to be barred by the Statute.
    - 2. Whether a Trust or Equitable Demand be within the Statute.
    - 3. At what Time the Right of Action shall be said to have accrued, before which the Statute can be no Bar.
    - 4. In what Court the Demand must be made, or what Courts are bound by the Statute.
- (E) Of the Exceptions in the Statute 21 Jac. 1. cap. 16. and what will fave a Bar thereof: And herein,
  - 1. What Actions are within the Savings of the Statutes.
  - 2. Of the Exception in Relation to Infants, &c.
  - 3. Of the Exception in Relation to Accounts between Merchants.
  - 4. Of the Exception in Relation to Perfons beyond Sea.
  - 5. Where no Executor or Administrator to sue or be sued.
    6. Where no Jurisdiction to sue on, or where hindered by
  - fome Authority.
    7. Where the Suing out a Writ will fave the Bar of the
  - Statute.

    8. Where a Debt barred by the Statute shall be faid to be revived.
- (F) Of the Manner of Pleading and Taking Advantage of the Statute of Limitations.

## (A) Of the Limitation of Adions at Com= mon Law, and before the Statute 32 H. 8.

IT feems that by the Common Law there was no stated or fixed Time as to the bringing of Actions; for tho' it be said by (a) Bracton, that (a) Bratt. lib. 2. fol. 228. omnes Actiones in mundo infra certa tempora Limitationem habent; yet (b) 2 Inft. 95. my Lord Coke (b) fays, that the Limitation of Actions was by Force of Co. Lit. 115. divers Acts of Parliament; also, fays he, this general Position of Bracton's

admitted of feveral Exceptions.

But we find that by the ancient Law there was a stated Time for the Heir of the Tenant to claim after the Death of his Ancestor, or else he lost his Land, according to the feudal Text, Praterea si quis infeudatus major quatuordecim annis sua incuria, vel negligentia per ann. & diem steterit, quod feudi investuram a proprio Domino non peterit, transacto hoc spatio, feudum amittat & ad Dominum redeat.

Spelm. Gloff. 32, 33.

Spelm. Gloff.

32.

The fixing upon this Period of a Year and a Day, upon feveral other Annus & dies Occasions, seems to have been deduced from this ancient Rule, and on this Occasion was pitched upon, because the Services appointed feem to be annually computed; and therefore the Feud was ordered to be taken up within such Time as such annual Services became due, or else it was lost and returned to the Lord; and the same Time that was appointed to the Tenant to claim from the Lord, was also appointed to make his Claim upon any Diffeifor; and if no fuch Claim was made, the Diffeisor, dying seised, cast the Right of Possession upon the Heir; and this was to keep the same Uniformity in Point of Time thro' the Law, as also that the Lord might be at a Certainty who he might take for his Tenant, and admit upon every Descent; and since the Heir of the Tenant anciently lost the whole Land, in case he did not take it up within Time, it was fit the Tenant should lose the Right of Possession in case he did not claim within the same Time upon the Disseisor, that the Heir of such Disseisor might be in Peace, in case the Person that had Right did not make his Claim upon him, and that from thenceforth the Lord might receive him into his Feud; and as upon the ancient Plan of feudal Constitution, if the Heir did not take up the Feud within a Year and a Day, a Defertion and Dereliction was prefumed; so also if the Disseise did not claim within the same Time, the Right of Possession was relinquished.

Before the 32 H. 8. certain remarkable Periods were fixed upon, within which the Titles upon which Men designed to be relieved must have accrued; thus in the Time of H. 3. by the Statute of Merton, cap. 8. at which Time the Limitation in a Writ of Right was from the Time of King Henry 1. by that Statute it is reduced to the Time of King Henry 2. and for Assises of Mordauncester they were thereby reduced from the last Return of King John out of Ireland, which was 12 Johannis; and for Assis of Novel Dissessin a prima transfretatione Regis in Normaniam, which was 5 Henry 3. and which before that had been post ultimum reditum Henric' 3. de Britania; and this Limitation was also afterwards by the Statutes Westin. 1. cap. 39. and Westin. 2. cap. 46. reduced to a narrower Compass, the Writ of Right being limited to the

first Coronation of Rich. 1.

But for their ancient Limitations zide Co. Lit. 14. b 15 a. 2 Inft. 94,95. 2 Rol. Abr. Hale's Hifi of the Law 122. 2 Keb 45.

## (B) Of the Limitation of Real Action's purfuant to the 32 H. 8. and 21 Jac. 1.

THE Limitations above-mentioned being, as has been remarked, 2 Infl. 95. fet Periods, in Rrocels of Time, of Necessity grew too large, whereby, as my Lord Coke observes, many Suits, Troubles and Inconveniencies did arife; and therefore a more direct and commodious Course was taken, which was to indure for ever, and calculated fo as to impofe Diligence and Vigilancy in him that was to bring his Action, fo that by one constant Law certain Limitations might serve both for the Time

present and for all Times to come.

And this was effected by 32 H. 8. cap. 2. by which it is enacted, That no Person shall from thenceforth sue, have or maintain any Writ of Right, or make any Prescription, Title or Claim to or for any Manors, Lands, Tenements, Rents, Annuities, Commons, Pensions, Portions, Corodies, or other Hereditaments of the Possession of his or 6 their Ancestor or Predecessor, and declare and alledge any further 6 Seisin or Possession of his or their Ancestor or Predecessor, but only of the Scifin or Possession of his Ancestor or Predecessor, which hath been, or now is, or shall be seised of the said Manors, Lands, Tene-6 ments, Rents, Annuities, Commons, Penfions, Portions, Corodies, or 6 other Hereditaments, within threefcore Years next before the Tefte of the same Writ, or next before the said Prescription, Title or Claim, so hereafter to be fued, commenced, brought, made or had.

And it is further enacted by the faid Statute, par. 2. That no Manner of Person shall sue, have or maintain any Assise of Mortaun-6 cestor, Cosenage, Ayle, Writ of Entry upon Disseisin done to any of his Ancestors or Predecessors, or any other Action Possessory upon the 6 Possession of any of his Ancestors or Predecessors, for any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or other Hereditaments, of any further Seisin or Possession of his or their Ancestor or Predecessor, but only of the Seisin or Possession of his or their Ancestor or Predecessor, which was or hereafter shall be seised of the same Manors, Lands, Tenements, or other Hereditaments, within fifty Years next before the Teste of the Original of the same Writ hereafter to be brought.

And it is further enacted, par. 3. 'That no Person shall sue, have or maintain any Action for any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or other Hereditaments, of or upon his or their own Seisin or Possession therein, 6 above thirty Years next before the Teste of the Original of the same

Writ hereafter to be brought.

And further, par. 4. That no Person shall hereafter make any Avowry or Cognizance for any Rent, Suit or Service, and alledge any Seisin of any Rent, Suit or Service, in the same Avowry or Cognizance in the Possession of any other, whose Estate he shall pretend or claim to have, above fifty Years next before the making of the said Avowry or Cognizance.

And it is further enacted by the faid Statute, par. 5. 'That all Formedons in Reverter, Formedons in Remainder, and Scire facias upon Fines of any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or other Hereditaments, at any Time hereafter to be fued, shall be fued and taken within fifty Years next after the Title and Cause of Action fallen, and at no Time

after the fifty Years passed.

Also by the said Statute, par. 6. it is enacted, That if any Person do ' fue any of the faid Actions or Writs for any Manors, Lands, Tene-6 ments, or other Hereditaments, or make any Avowry, Cognizance, 6 Prescription, Title or Claim, of or for any Rent, Suit, Service, or Vol. III.

other Hereditaments, and cannot prove that he or they, or his or their Ancestors or Predecessors were in actual Possession or Seisin of and in the fame Manors, Lands, Tenements, Rents, Suits, Services, Annuities, Commons, Pensions, Portions, Corodies, or other Hereditaments, (a) In S Co. 6 at any Time or Times (a) within the Years before limited and ap-65. the Sta- c pointed in this present Act, and in Manner and Form as is aforesaid, cited thus: 'bif the same be traversed or denied by the Party, Plaintiff, Demandant That no Per- 6 or Avowant, or by the Party, Tenant or Defendant; that then, and fon or Per- after fuch Trial therein had, all and every fuch Perfon and Perfons, fons fhall and their Heirs, shall from thenceforth be utterly barred for ever of all hereafter and every the faid Writs, Actions, Avowries, Cognizance, Prefeription, make any Avowry or 'Title or Claim hereafter to be fued, had or made, of and for the Conuzance fame Manors, Lands, Tenements, Hereditaments, or other the Frefor any Rent, miffes, or any Part of the same, for the which the same Action, Writ, vice in the 'Avowry, Cognizance, Prescription, Title or Claim, hereafter shall be fame Avow- 6 at any Time had, fued or made. ry or Conu-

zance, in the Possession of his or their Ancestor or Predecessor, &c. above forty Years next before

the making of the faid Avowry or Conuzance.

Note; this Statute hath the usual Savings for Infants, Feme Coverts; Perfons in Prison and beyond Sea.

In the Construction of this Statute it hath been holden,

That in a (b) Formedon in Reverter or Remainder, or on a Scire Dyer 315. b. Facias, on a Fine of fuch Nature, the Demandant need not mention the pl. 101. (b) So in A- Statute in order to make out his Title, but the Tenant, if he would take vowry for Advantage of it, must plead it. Rent.

Moor 3t. pl. to2. 1 Rol. Rep. 50.

It has been held, that this Statute being in Restraint of the Common Law, ought to be construed strictly; and that therefore it does not ex-Lit. Rep. 342. tend to a Formedon in Descender, (c) Cessavit nor Rescous. (c) Not to a Ceffavit for two Reasons. 1. Because this Writ is not comprised within the Statute. 2. Because the Seisin of Services is not material nor traversable in this Writ. Moor 44. pl. 135 .- Whether it extends to a Pension in the Spiritual Court, vidé 3 Keb. 366, 392. 1 Vent. 265.

If A. by Deed indented, made a Feoffment in Fee to B. and his Heirs, 8 Co. 64. b rendering 10 s. per Ann. to A. and his Heirs, of which Rent A. or his Copper and Foster adjudg- Heirs, have not been seised within forty Years, yet the Heirs of A. may Distrain, &c. for the Statute must be intended in such Cases (d) only 1 Brown1.169. where before the Statute the Avowant was obliged to alledge a Seisin; S. C. (d) So this and that was where the Scisin was so material, and of such Force, that Statute extho' it was by Incroachment, yet it could not be avoided in an Avowry. tends not to a new Rent created by Act of Parliament. Cro. Car. So, S1, 214. Lit. Rep. 42. Hetl. 28, 36. 1 Fon. 233.

2 Vern. 235. Collins and Goodall.

To a Bill in Chancery, to be relieved touching a Rent charged upon Lands by a Will, the Defendant pleaded the Statute of Limitations, and that there had been no Demand or Payment in forty Years; and it was held, that this Statute concerns only customary Rents between Lord and Tenant, and not any Rent that commences by Grant, or whereof the Commencement may be shewn.

The Statute does not extend to the Services of (e) Escuage, Homage Co.Lit. 115. a. and Fealty, for a Man may live above the Time limited by the Act; 2 Inft. 95. Bevil's Case, neither doth it extend to any other Service which by common Possibility may not happen or become due within fixty Years, as to cover the Hall 8 Co. 65. 9 Co. 36. of the Lord, or to attend the Lord in the War, &c.

3 Lev 21. (e) But altho' Homage, Fealty and Escuage, be out of the Statute 32 H. S. yet are they within the antient Statute. 2 Infl. 96.

And

And where the Tenure is by Homage, Fealty and Escuage incertain, 2 left, 66. and by Suit of Court or Rent, or any other annual Service, the Seisin of 4 (6.8.1). Homage, Fealty or Escuage, or other accidental Services, as Wardship, 2 R.l. R.T. Heriot Service, or the like.

fin of a superior Service is a Seisin of all inferior Services which are incident thereto. a. Co. S. b.——So Seisin of Fealty is a sufficient Seisin of Homage and Escuage; for when the Tenant does healty, he swears to do all other Services 4 Co. S. a.——So Seisin of Homage is a Seisin of all other Services, as well inferior as superior, because in the doing thereof the Tenant takes upon himself to do all Services. 4 Co. S.——But Seisin of one annual Service is no Seisin of another annual Service; for in that Case it is the Folly of the Lord, if he hath not an actual Seisin of the other Service it self, when it becomes due yearly. 4 Co. 9. a.

By the 1 Mar. cap. 5. it is enacted, 'That the 32 H. 8. cap. 2. shall not extend to any Writ of Right of Advowson, Quare Impedit, or Assiste of Darrein Presentment, nor Jure Patronatus, nor to any Writ of Right of Ward, Writ of Ravishment of Ward for the Wardship of the Body, or for the Wardship of any Castles, Honours, Manors, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments holden by Knights-Service, but that such Suits may be brought as before the making the said Act.

By the 21 Jac. 1. cap. 16. for quieting Mens Estates, and avoiding of Suits, it is enacted, 'That all Writs of Formedon in Descender, Formedon ' in Remainder, and Formedon in Reverter, at any Time hereafter to be fued or brought of or for any Manors, Lands, Tenements or Heredita-6 ments, whereunto any Person or Persons now hath or have any Title, or cause to have or pursue any such Writ, shall be sued and taken within twenty Years next after the End of this present Session of Parlia-6 ment; and after the faid twenty Years expired, no Person or Persons, or any of their Heirs, shall have or maintain any fuch Writ of or for any of the faid Manors, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments; and that all Writs of Formedon in Descender, Formedon in Remainder, Forme-6 don in Reverter, of any Manors, Lands, Tenements, or other Heredi-6 taments whatfoever, at any Time hereafter to be fued or brought by 6 Occasion or Means of any Title, or Cause hereafter happening, shall be fued and taken within twenty Years next after the Title and Cause of · Action first descended or fallen, and at no Time after the faid twenty · Years; and that no Person or Persons that now hath any Right or 'Title of Entry into any Manors, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, 6 now held from him or them, shall thereinto enter, but within twenty 4 Years next after the End of this present Session of Parliament, or within twenty Years next after any other Title of Entry accrued; and that no Person or Persons shall at any Time hereafter make any Entry 6 into any Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, but within twenty Years next after his or their Right or Title, which shall hereafter first descend or accrue to the same; and in Desault thereof, such Persons so not entering, and their Heirs, shall be utterly excluded and disabled from fuch Entry after to be made; any former Law, &c.

\* Provided, That if any Person or Persons, that is or shall be intitled to such Writ or Writs, or that hath, or shall have such Right or Title of Entry, be or shall be, at the Time of the said Right or Title sirst descended, accrued, come or sallen, within the Age of one and twenty Years, Feme Covert, Non compos mentis, imprisoned, or beyond the Seas; that then such Person and Persons, and his and their Heir and Heirs, shall or may, notwithstanding the said twenty Years be expired, bring his Action, or make his Entry, as he might have done before this Act; so as such Person and Persons, or his or their Heir and Heirs, shall, within ten Years next after his and their full Age, Discoverture, Coming of sound Mind, Enlargement out of Trison, or Coming into

this

this Realm, or Death, take Benefit of and fue forth the same, and at ono Time after the faid ten Years.

In the Construction of this Statute it hath been holden,

That the Possession of one Joint-tenant is the Possession of the other, 1 Salk. 285. fo far as to prevent this Statute.

That a (a) Claim or Entry to prevent the Statute of Limitations must 1 Salk. 285. (a) And by be upon the Land, unless there be some special Reason to the contrary.

4 8 5 Ann. cap. 16. upon fuch Claim or Entry, an Action must be commenced within one Year next after the making of fuch Entry and Claim, and profecuted with Effect, otherwise of no Force to avoid the Statute.

1 Lutw. 781. Hunt and Bourn. 1 Salk. 339. 2 Salk. 422.

That if a Person be barred of his Formedon, he is not thereby hindred to purfue his Right of Entry which afterwards accrues to him, no more than a Person, who has several Remedies, and discharges one of them, is excluded thereby from pursuing the others.

2 Salk. 421.

If A. has had Possession of Lands for twenty Years without Interrupfaid to have tion, and then B. gets Possession, upon which A is put to his Ejectment; been twice so tho' A. is Plaintiff, yet the Possession of rwenty Years shall be a good ruled by Holt. Title in him, as if he had still been in Possession; because a Possession for twenty Years is like a Descent which tolls Entry, and gives a Right of Possession, which is sufficient to maintain an Ejectment.

1 Salk. 423.

That if one Tenant in common receives the whole Profits for twenty Years, or more, yet this does not bar his Companion; for the Starute of Limitations never runs against a Man but where he is actually ousted or disseised.

Moor 410.

It has been ruled that Copyholds are within the Statute of Limitations, because an Act made for the Preservation of the publick Quiet, and no ways tending to the Prejudice of the Lord or Tenant.

Comp. Incumb. A29

But Ecclefiastical Persons are not bound by any of the Statutes of Limitations, because it would be a Side-Wind to evade the Statutes made to prohibit their Alienations.

## (C) Of the Limitation of Time in Regard to Adions on Penal Statutes.

by this Sta-

All popular Y the 31 Eliz. cap. 5. par. 5. it is enacled, 'That all Actions, Suits, Actions were Bills, Indiaments or Informations, which shall be brought for any limited to a Forfeiture upon any Statute Penal, made or to be made, whereby the certain Time Forfeiture is or shall be limited to the Queen, her Heirs and Successors cap. 3. which only, shall be brought within two Years after the Offence committed, is repealed and not after two Years; and that all Actions, Suits, Bills or Infor-' mations, which shall be brought for any Forfeiture upon any Penal Statute, made or to be made, except the Statutes of Tillage, the Benefit and Suit whereof is or shall be by the said Statute limited to the Queen, her Heirs or Successors, and to any other that shall prosecute in that 6 Behalf, shall be brought by any Person that may lawfully sue for the fame within one Year next after the Offence committed; and in Default of such Pursuit, that then the same shall be brought for the Queen's Majesty, her Heirs or Successors, any Time within the two Years after that Year ended; and if any Action, Suit, Bill, Indictment or Information, shall be brought after the Time so limited, the same 6 shall be void: And it is provided, that where a shorter Time is limited 6 by any Penal Statute, the Profecution must be within that Time.

By

By the 18 Eliz. cap. 5. par. 1. it is enacted, 'That upon every Infor-6 mation that shall be exhibited on any Penal Statute, a special Note 6 shall be made of the very Day, Month and Year of the exhibiting thereof into any Office, or to any Officer which lawfully may receive the fame, without any Antedate thereof to be made; and that the fame Information be accounted and taken to be of Record from that Day forward, and not before; and that no Process be sued out upon · fuch Information, until the Information be exhibited in Form aforefaid, € &c. and that every Clerk, making out Process contrary to this Act, fhall forfeit 40 s.

Also it is further enacted by 21 fac. 1. cap. 4. That no Officer shall receive, file or enter of Record, any Information, Bill, Plaint, Count or Declaration, grounded on any Penal Statute, (being within the Provision of the faid Statute of 21 fac.) until the Informer or Relator hath first taken a (a) Corporal Oath before some of the Judges of the ben adjudged to be a statute of the Defence was committed been adjudged.

Court, that he believes, in his Conscience, the Offence was committed cd, that if within a Year before the Information or Suit within the County where an Officer

the faid Information or Suit was commenced, &c.

without fuch previous Oath, that yet the Proceedings on it are not erroneous. Cro. Car. 316. & vide 4 Inft. 272. 2 Inft. 192. — But Quare, whether the Court on Motion will not set aside such Process, as having issued contrary to the Directions of the Statute. 1 Salk. 376.

In the Construction of these Statutes it hath been holden, That the 1 Salk 372 3. 21 Jac. 1. cap. 4. does not extend to any Offence created fince that Sta- 5 Mod. 425. tute; so that Profecutions on subsequent Penal Statutes are not restrained thereby, but that Statute is to them as it were repealed pro tanto.

That if an Offence prohibited by any Penal Statute be also an Offence Hob. 270. at Common Law, the Profecution of it, as of an Offence at Common 4 Mod. 144.

Law, is no way restrained by any of these Statutes.

That if an Information tam quam be brought after the Year on a Cro. Car. 331.

Penal Statute, which gives one Moiety to the Informer, and the other Cro. Fac 366. to the King, it is nought only as to the Informer, but good for the 60.

That if a Suit on a Penal Statute be brought after the Time limited, 1 Show. Rep. the Defendant need not plead the Statute, but may take Advantage of 353.

it on the General Issue.

That the Party grieved is not within the Restraint of these Statutes, Cro. Eliz. 645. Noy 71. but may fue in the same Manner as before.

3 Leon. 237. 1 Show. Rep 354. and Carth. 233. S. P. That where the Penalty is given to the Party alone, this is out of the Statute 31 Eliz. but per Holt, if given to the King and Party separately, it seems within the Statute; but hereof the other Judges doubted.

It feems doubtful, whether a Suit by a common Informer on a Penal 1 Show. 353, Statute, which first gives an Action to the Party grieved, and in his De- 354. fault, after a certain Time, to any one who will fue, be within the Restraint of these Statutes.

It has been held by three Judges, that the Suing out a Latitat within Carth 232. the Year was a sufficient Commencement of the Suit to save the Limi- Culliford vertation of Time on a Penal Statute, because the Latitat is the Original of Show. Rep. B. R. and may be continued on Record as an Original. But Holt held 353. S. C. otherwise, for the Action being for a Penalty given by a Statute, the Plaintiff might have brought an Action of Debt by Original in B. R. because the Statute gives the Action; and he held, that there was a Difference between a Civil Action and an Action given by Statute; for in the first Case, the Suing out a Latitat within the Time, and continuing it afterwards, will be sufficient; but in the other Case, if the Party proceeds by Bill, he ought to file his Bill within Time, that it may appear fo to be upon the Record it felf.

Trin. 3 Car. 2. in C. B. Greenwood ver. Scott. In Debt qui tam on the Statute I H. 5. cap. 4. for Practifing as an Attorney during the Time he was Under-Sheriff, and the Point was on the 31 Eliz. which limits Informers and Plaintiffs in Popular Actions to a Year; the Defendant in this Case was taken upon a Testatum Cap. that bore Teste 13 January, when his Office expired in November was Twelve-Month before, and so a Year and two Months after his Offence; but by Antedating the Original, and making it of Mich. Term before, it was brought within the Year; and North and Wyndham said it was well warranted by the Practice of the Court, and therefore they would make no Rule to stop the Filing of the Original; but Atkins was against it, and said it was nothing but a Practice to evade the Statute of 31 Eliz.

2 Hawk P.C.

Serjéant Hawkins makes it a Question, whether the Clause in 31 Eliz. par. 4. by which it is enacted, That nothing in the said Ael contained shall extend to Champerty, King's Customs, or Forestalling, &c. but that every such Offence may be laid in any County; any Thing in the said Ael to the contrary notwithstanding, doth except the said Offences out of the above recited Clause, relating to the Time within which Suits on Penal Statutes must be brought; for the Words above-mentioned, viz. but that every such Offence may be laid in any County, seem to restrain the Generality of the precedent, which say, that nothing in the Act contained shall extend to such Offences.

(D) Of the Limitation of Lime in Regard to Personal Actions, pursuant to the 21 Jac. 1. And herein,

### 1. Of Actions of Assault and Battery.

BY the 21 Jac. 1. cap. 16. All Actions of Trespass of Assault, Battery, Wounding, Imprisonment, or any of them, shall be commenced and sued within four Years next after the Cause of such Actions or Suits, and not after.

Salk. 206.
 Mod. 74.

It feems, that if a Man brings Trespass for beating his Servant, psr quod servitium amiss, this is not such an Action as is within this Branch of the Statute, being sounded on the special Damage.

1 Lev. 31.

If to an Action of Assault, Battery and Imprisonment, the Defendant pleads, as to the Assault and Imprisonment, the Statute of Limitations, without answering particularly to the Battery, otherwise than by using the Words Transgressio privalitia, it is sufficient, for these Words are an Answer to the Whole.

2 Salk. 423. Blackmore ver. Tiddérly. 6 Mod. 240. S. C.

In Trespass for Assault and Battery, the Desendant pleaded Non Culp. infra sex annos by Mistake, and not according to the Statute, which is but four Years; and upon Demurrer it was adjudged an ill Plea; for if it be considered as at Common Law, there was no such Plea; if on the Statute, the Act is not pursued; and the Desendant could not take Issue on it, for quod est Culp, infra sex annos is an Issue immaterial, because it may be the Jury might find him Not guilty infra quatuor annos, but guilty infra sex annos.

#### 2. De Anions of Slander.

By the 21 Jac. 1. cap. 16. par. 3. it is enacted, 'That all Actions on the Cafe for Words shall be commenced and fued within two Years next after the Words spoken, and not after.

In the Construction of this Branch of the Statute it hath been holden,

That an Action of Scandalum Magnatum is not within the Statute.

Lit. Rep. 342. 3 Keb. 645.

That it extends not to Actions for Slander of Title, for that is not Cro Car. 141. properly Slander, but a Cause of Damage, and the Slander intended by Law and the Statute is to the Person.

Haravood ade judged.

Ley 82. P.ilm. 530. 1 Fer. 196. S. C. adjudged.

That if the Words are of themselves actionable, without the Necessity 1 Sid 95. of alledging special Damages, altho' a Loss ensues, yet in this Case the Saunders ver, Statute of Limitations is a good Bar; but if the Words at the Time of Raym. 61. the speaking of them are not actionable, but a subsequent Loss ensues, S. C. & vide which intitles the Plaintiff to his Action, in such Case the Statute is 3 Mod. 111. no Bar.

As for calling a Woman Whore, by which she lost her Marriage seven 1 Sid 95. Years afterwards, the Statute is no Bar; for it is not the Words, but the 1 Salk. 206. special Damage, which is the Cause of Action in this Case.

S. P. And that it was

incumbent upon the Plaintiff to prove the special Damage; otherwise the Action would not have laid for the Words.

Also for calling a Man Thief, and procuring him to be indicted and Cro. Car. 163. imprisoned for Felony, and the Defendant is found guilty of the Whole; Topfal and Edwards adthe Statute in this Case seems no Bar, for the Action is not for Words judged on barely, but is an Action upon the Case in Nature of a Conspiracy.

the Branch of this Sta-

tute, that fays, that for flanderous Words the Plaintiff shall have no more Costs than Damages, &c.

That if an Action for Words be founded upon an Indictment, or other 1 Sid. 95. Matter of Record, it is not within the Statute, but such Action may be

brought at any Time.

In an Action for Words, the Defendant pleaded non locatus eft verba 1 Keb. 820, prædicta infra duos annos; and upon a special Demurrer it was objected, 918.
that it ought to have been Non Culp. infra duos annos. for as it is it may that it ought to have been Non Culp. infra duos annos; for as it is it may Butler. be, the Defendant spoke the substantial Words of the Slander, and yet did not speak all the Words; and yet the Plaintiff could not have a Verdict upon this Issue; as in an Action of Debt for 101. if the Defendant says Non debet the 10 l. without adding nec aliquen inde denarium, it would be naught; but the Court held the Cases not alike; for in an Action of Debt, every Penny that stands in Demand is of equal Weight; but here the Action is founded upon the substantial Words only, and the verba prædicta shall refer only to them; and it was held well enough.

3. Of Actions ariting upon Contract, and founded in Ma-Ieficio: And herein,

1. Of what Nature of Degree the Action must be, so as to be barred by the Statute.

By the 21 Fac. 1. cap. 16. par. 3. it is enacted, 'That all Actions of 6 Trespass Quare Clausum fregit, all Actions of Trespass, Detinue, Action 6 fur Trover and Replevin for taking away of Goods and Cattel, all Actions of Account, and upon the Case, other than such Accounts as concern the Trade of Merchandize, between Merchant and Merchant, their Factors or Servants, all Actions of Debt grounded upon any Lending or Contract without Specialty, all Actions of Debt for Arrearages of Rent, and all Actions of Assault, Menace, Battery, Wounding and Imprisonment, or any of them, which shall be sued or brought at any Time after the End of the then Session of Parliament, shall be 6 commenced and fued within the Time and Limitation herein after ex-' pressed, and not after; that is to say, the said Actions upon the Case, (other than for Slander) and the faid Actions of Account, and the faid 'Actions for Trespass, Debt, Detinue and Replevin for Goods or Cattel; and the said Action of Trespass Quare clausum fregit within three Years next after the End of the then Session of Parliament, or within fix Years next after the Cause of such Actions or Suit, and not after; and the faid Actions of Trespass of Assault, Battery, Wounding, 'Imprisonment, or any of them, within one Year next after the End of the then Session of Parliament, or within four Years next after the 6 Cause of such Actions or Suit, and not after; and the said Action upon the Case for Words, within one Year after the End of the then Seffion of Parliament, or within two Years next after the Words spoken, and not after.

Nevertheless, that if in any the said Actions or Suits Judgment be given for the Plaintiff, and the same be reverfed by Error, or a Verdict pass for the Plaintiff, and upon Matter alledged in Arrest of Judg-6 ment, the Judgment be given against the Plaintiff, that he take nothing by his Plaint, Writ, or Bill; or if any the said Actions shall be brought by Original, and the Defendant therein be outlawed, and shall after (a) reverse the Outlawry, that in all such Cases the Party Plaintiff, reversed by his Heirs, Executors or Administrators, as the Case shall require, may Plea, or Writ of Error, not commence a new Action, or Suit, from Time to Time, within a Year after such Judgment reversed, or such Judgment given against the

Plaintiff, or Outlawry reversed, and not after.

material, Cro. Car. 294-5. Winch S2. 1 Fon. 312.

(a) Whether 6

' Provided, That if any Person or Persons, that is or shall be intitled ' to any fuch Action of Trespass, Detinue, Action sur Trover, Replevin, Actions of Accounts, Actions of Debt, Actions of Trespass for Asfault, Menace, Battery, Wounding or Imprisonment, Actions upon the ' Case for Words, be or shall be, at the Time of any such Cause of 6 Action given or accrued, fallen or come, within the Age of Twentyone Years, Feme Covert, Non compos, imprisoned, or beyond the Seas; that then such Person or Persons shall be at Liberty to bring the fame Actions, fo as they take the same within such Times as are before 6 limited after their coming to or being of full Age, discovert of sane 6 Memory, at large, and returned from beyond the Seas, as other Persons

6 having no fuch Impediment should have done. Here we shall consider and set down such Cases, about which there hath been any Contest, as to the Actions being grounded on a Contract

or Lending, as the Statute speaks, those by (a) Specialty, as all others of (a) But tho a Bond or a fuperior Nature, being plainly excepted out of the Statute. Specialty be

out of the Statute, yet it feems to be the Practice at this Day, where an Action is brought on a Bond of twenty Year, standing, and on which no Interest has been paid for that Time, to admit the Defendant on a Plea of folvit ad diem, to give this Matter in Evidence, which, from the Length of Time, will be Prefumptive Proof of Payment. — So in Chancery, an Obligee on a Bond of twenty Years standing was refused any Relief. 1 Chan. Rep. 78, 88, 106.

And to this Purpose it hath been adjudged, that an Action of Debt on Cro. Car. 5134 the 2 E. 6. for not setting out Tithes, is not within the Statute, the Talory and Action being grounded on an Act of Parliament, which is the highest i Sand. 38. Record.

1 Sid. 305, 415. 1 Keb. 95. 2 Keb. 462.

So it hath been adjudged, that an Action of Debt for the Arrearages of Hutt. 109. Rent referved on a Lease by Indenture is out of the Statute, the Lease Freeman and by Indenture being equal to a Specialty.

1 Sand. 38.

S. C. cited.

2 Sand. 66. S. C. cited, and S. P. admitted; and there faid, that the Statute extends to Rent referved on Parol Leafes only.

Also it hath been adjudged, that an Action of Debt for an Escape is 1 Sand. 37. not within the Statute, not only because it is founded in Malesicio, and Fones verarises on a Contract in Law, which is different from those Actions of Pope. Debt on a Lending or Contract mentioned in the Statute, but also be- S. C. adjudgcause it is grounded on the 1 R. 2. cap. 12. which first gave an Action of ed. Debt for an Escape, (b) there being no Remedy for Creditors before 2 Keb. 93.
S. C. and but by Action on the Cafe.

S. C. where: it is said, that an Action on the Case for an Escape is within the Statute; and by Windam, Debt upon a Tally is not within the Statute. (b) For this vide 2 Inst. 383. and Title Escape.

So it hath been adjudged, that this Statute cannot be pleaded to an 1 Med. 245. Action of Debt brought against a Sheriff for Money by him levied on a Cockram vers. Fieri Facias, because the Action is founded in Maleficio, as also upon which the Fieri Facias is find which is a Mark of Show. the Judgment on which the Fieri Facias issued, which is a Matter of Rep. 79. S. C. Record.

It hath been adjudged, that an Action of Debt on an Award under 2 Sand. 64. the Hand and Seal of the Arbitrator, tho' the Submission was by Parol, 1 Sid. 415. is not within the Statute; for the in Strictness the Award cannot be raid to be equal to a Specialty, yet by being under Hand and Seal it becomes 497, 533. Matter of that Notoriety, that it cannot be liable to any of the Incon- S. C. veniencies the Statute was made to prevent; such as Perjury in Witnesses, and the Oppression of Desendants when their Witnesses are dead, or Vouchers lost; also it was never intended that the Statute should extend to all Kinds of Actions of Debt, but only to those which arose on a Contract or Lending.

It hath been adjudged, that the Statute does not extend to the Writ Hatt. 109. De rationabili parte bonorum, founded on the Custom of Nottingham, Sherwin and altho' it conclude in the Detinet; for this is an original Writ in the Re- Cartwright.

gifter; and tho' it conclude in the Detinet is yet a different A Sion to Cartwright. gister; and tho' it conclude in the Detinet, is yet a different Action to S. C. adjudgthe common Action of Detinue mentioned in the Statute, which being ed. frequent in Practice, is the Detinue plainly intended by the Statute, and 1 Sand. 37. not this, which being founded on a Custom seldom happens; and as the 2 Sand. 64. Statute is in Derogation of the Common Law, it ought to be construed and admitted strictly.

An Action of Debt for a Fine of a Copyholder is not within the 2 Keb. 536. Statute.

If a Man recovers a Judgment or Sentence in France for Money due to 2 Vern. 540. him, the Debt must be considered here only as a Debt by simple Con-per Curiam.

tract, and the Statute of Limitations will run upon it. Vol. III.

2 Lev. 166. 3 Keb. 645. Comb. 70.

It feems, that to an Affumpfit brought by the Assignees of a Bankrupt for a Debt due to the Bankrupt, this Statute is a good Bar; for tho' the Assignment is by Force of an Act of Parliament, yet the Assignees fland only in the place of the Bankrupt, and can have no other Right nor Remedy than he had.

3 Lev. 367. Oliver and Thomas.

It hath been adjudged, that this Statute is a good Plea in Bar to an Assumptit brought by an Attorney for his Fees; for the the Attorney be of Record, yet his Fees are not.

Carth 3. Re-(a) Carth.

It hath been adjudged, that this Statute is a good Bar to an Action new ver. Ax- brought against the Drawer of a Bill of Exchange; and that such Bill is not of as high a Nature as a Specialty, (a) neither is it within the Ex-226. adjudg- ception in the Statute relating to Merchants Accounts.

#### 2. Whether a Trust of Equitable Demand be within the Statute.

March 129. 2 Salk. 124.

It feems clearly agreed, that tho' the Statutes of Limitations bind the Courts of Equity, that yet a Trust is not within these Statutes.

1 Chan Ca. 20. Sir Edward Heath ver. Henley & al.

And therefore where the Plaintiff, who was the Son and Executor of Ch. Just. Heath, who was made Ch. Just. at Oxon during the Difference between the King and Parliament, but never fat at Westminster-Hall, exhibited a Bill against the Defendants, Prothonotarics of the K. B. at that Time, to have an Account of the Money, &c. received by them during that Time by an implied Trust Virtute officii; to which the Defendants pleaded the Statute of Limitations; but upon Argument the Plea was over-ruled.

2 Chan. Ca. 26. Sheldon ver. Weldman.

So where the Plaintiff exhibited a Bill to have an Account of Money received by the Defendant from his Father, (whose Executor he was) who gave it to him to compound for his Estate, sequestered for Delinquency at Gold [miths-Hall; and it was ordered accordingly, the Court declaring it a Trust, and therefore not within the Statute of Limita-

2 Vent. 345.

So where my Lady Hollis lent 100 l. and in the Note which was given for it, Mention was made, that it should be disposed of as my Lady should direct; and a Bill being exhibited for it, the Court held it a Depositum or Trust, and decreed Payment of it; tho otherwise it had been barred by the Statute of Limitations.

2 Vern. 399.

A Charity is not barred by Length of Time, nor within the Statute of Limitations.

1 Vern. 256.

So it hath been held, that a Legacy is not within the Statute of Limitations.

I Chan, Ca. vide Title Mortgages.

It seems to be the Doctrine of Courts of Equity, that Mortgages are 102. but now not within the Statute of Limitations; yet where a Man comes in at an by the 7 Geo. old hand, it hath been sometimes decreed, that the Possession should acdemption of count no further than for the Profits made in his own Time, to dif-Mortgages is courage the stirring in such Dormant Titles; also the Courts have alexpresly li- lowed Length of Time to be pleaded in Bar, where the mortgaged Estate mited to 20 Nears, which hath descended as a Fee without Entry or Claim from the Mortgagor, and where the Possessor would be intangled in a long Account; and in these Cases the Statute of Limitations has been mentioned as a proper Direction to go by.

1 299 m

I fam.

End 3. 211 

#### 3. At what Cime the Right of Action wall be faid to have accrued, before which the Statute can be no Bar.

This Statute cannot be a Bar, unless the fix Years are expired after Gedb. 4378 there hath been compleat Caufe of Action; as if a Man promife to pay Years after J. S. marries, or comes from Rome, or when he marries, and ten accrues from the happening of the Contingency, from which Time the Statute shall be a Bar, and not from the Time of the Prom.se.

So in an Action on the Cafe, wherein the Plaintiff declared, that in Golb. 437. Confideration that he would forbear to fee the Defendant for fome Sheep Shutferd ver killed by his the Defendant's Dog, the Defendant promifed to make him Boroughs ad-Satisfaction upon Request, and that such a Time he requested, &c. and it was held, that the Right of Action accrued from the Request, and not from the Time of killing the Sheep; and that therefore the Defendant could not plead the Statute of Limitations, the Request being within fix Years, tho' the killing the Sheep, and Promise of Satisfaction, was long before.

So in Assemplit, in Consideration that the Plaintiff would deliver to 1 Lev. 49. the Defendant fuch a Deed, the Defendant promis'd, that he would re- Webb and deliver it to him on Request, and also in Consideration that he had, Martin upon Request, delivered to him another Deed; the Desendant promised 1 Kth. 177. to pay him 40 % and alledges, that he had delivered to him the first S C. Deed, and altho' at such a Day afterwards he made Request, yet the had not re-delivered the first Deed, nor paid the 40 1, the Defendant pleads the Statute of Limitations, and that he did not promife within fix Years before the Action brought; whereupon the Plaintiff demurs; for the Cause of Action, as to the first Deed, did not arise upon the Promife, but upon the Refufal after Request; and the Request was within fix Years; and fo held the Court.

So in Assumptit, in Confideration that the Plaintiff, at the Defendant's 2 Salk. 422. Request, would receive A and B. into his House ut Hospites, and diet Gould and them, the Defendant promised, &c. Non assumpti infra sex annes was pleaded; the Plaintist demurred, and held no Plea; for the Desendant gampet in finds Case The Latter and the contract of the Case The Case The Latter and the contract of the Case T cannot in such Case plead Non assumpsit infra sex annos, (a) but Actio (a) For this non accrevit infra sex annos; for it is not material when the Fromse was vide I Vent. made, if the Cause of Action be within six Years, and the Dieting might 3 Keb. 613. be long afterwards.

An Executor, several Years before the Action brought, left some Farest. 99. Houshold-Stuff in the House, by the Consent of the Heir, who used Wortley Mount them after; and within fix Years of the Action brought, the Executor tague ver. demands the Goods, and the Heir refused to let him have them; where-with. upon Trover was brought, and the Statute of Limitations pleaded; and per Cur. the User before the Demand was no Conversion, nor Evidence of it, for it was the Consent of the Executor till then, and the Demand being within fix Years, the Refufal which enfued it, and is the only Evidence of a Conversion in the Case, was within the six Years; and (b) if a (b) But for Trover before fix Years, and a Conversion after, the Statute cannot be Car. 245-6, pleaded.

1 Fon. 252. 3 Mod. 111.

In an Action upon the Case against an Executor, the Plaintiff declares, Allen 62. that upon a Marriage Treaty it was agreed between the Plaintiff and Harvey and Testator, that he should pay to the Plaintiff 100 l. and whilst that judged, no should be unpaid he should pay the Plaintiff 10 l. per Ann. which Agree- Body apment was made Anno 1618, and the Action was brought for all the penalog for Arrears by the Space of Twenty-eight Years. The Defendant pleaded the Defen-

the Statute of Limitations; and on Demurrer it was held, that all could not be barred by the Statute; and therefore the Plaintiff had

Judgment.

2 Salk. 420. vide 3 Mod. 110. Comb. 26.

Trespass for imprisoning him, and detaining him in Prison from Covenity ver. 32 Car. 2. till the 3d of April 4 Jac. 2. The Defendant pleaded as to all Appley, & till 32 Car. 2. such a Day, Non Culp. infra quatuor annos, and as to the Rest, a Plaint, and Capias issued; the Plaintiff demurred; & per Curiam, tho' the Imprisonment be complained of as one continued Imprisonment, yet the Defendant may divide the Time, and plead the Statute as to Part; and the Plaintiff may reply the Continuance; therefore as to this, Judgment was given against the Plaintiss upon his Demurrer, but for him as to the Rest, because the Capias was awarded by the Court ex officio, and it did not appear that the Defendant meddled in it.

6 Med. 26.

In Case of Seamen, the Duty does not arise from the Contract, but from the Service done; and therefore tho' the Contract were above fix Years, and any Part of the Service within that Time, it is out of the Statute.

#### 4. In what Court the Bemand must be made, or what Courts are bound by Statute.

March 129.

It is clearly agreed, that the Statute of Limitations is a good Plea in 3 Salk. 424. a Court of Equity; but it seems the safest Way for him who pleads it, in his Answer, also to say, that he has paid the Money, because otherwise the Court supposes a Trust between the Plaintiff and Defendant, and that the Money is a Depositum in the Hands of the Defendant for the Benefit of the Plaintiff; and the Statute of Limitations, as has been observed, does not reach Trusts.

6 Mod. 25, 26. 2 Salk. 424. 3 Keb. 366, 392.

But it seems to be agreed, that the Statute of Limitations is no Plea in the Court of Admiralty, or Spiritual Court, where they proceed according to their Law, and in a Matter in which they have Conuzance.

6 Mod. 26.

Therefore it hath been agreed, that for a Suit upon a Contract super altum marc, no Prohibition should go upon their Refusal of a Plea of the Statute of Limitations.

2 Salk. 424.

So it has been held not to be pleadable to a Proceeding in the Spiritual Court, pro violenta manuum injectione super Clericum, because the Proceeding is pro reformatione morum, and not for Damages.

2 Salk. 424. 6 Mod. 25.

It has been doubted, whether to a Suit in the Admiralty for Mariners Wages, this Statute is a good Plea; because it is said, that this is a Matter properly determinable at Common Law; and the allowing the Admiralty Jurisdiction therein, only a Matter of Indulgence.

But this is now fettled by the 4 & 5 Ann. cap. 16. by which it is enacted, 6 That all Suits and Actions in the Court of Admiralty for Seamens Wages, shall be commenced and fued within fix Years next ' after the Cause of such Suits or Actions shall accrue, and not after.

#### (E) Of the Exceptions in the Statute 21 Jac. 1. cap. 16. and what will save a Bar thereof: And herein,

#### 1. What Actions are within the Exceptions of the Statute.

S to this it hath been adjudged, that the last Proviso in the Statute Cro. Car. 245. not only extends to those Actions therein enumerated, but also to 333an Assumpsit, tho' not mentioned, and to all other Actions on the Case 2 Sand. 120. being of equal Mischief, and plainly within the Intention of the Legis- 2 Mod. 71.

#### 2. Of the Exception in Relation to Infants, &c.

As to this it hath been holden, that the Statute being general, Infants 1 Lev 31. had been included, had they not been particularly excepted.

It hath been holden, that if an Infant, during his Infancy, by his Guar- 2 Sand 121. dian bring an Action, the Defendant cannot plead the Statute of Limitations; altho' the Cause of Action accrued six Years before, and the Words of the Statute are, That after his Coming of Age, &c.

It has been held in Chancery, that if one receives the Profits of an Infant's Abr. Eq. 504. Estate, and six Year's after his coming of Age, he brings a Bill for an Ac- Lo. key v. count, the Statute of Limitations is as much a Bar to fuch a Suit, as if Locker he had brought an Action of Account at Common Law; for this Receipt of the Profits of an Infant's Estate is not such a Trust, as being a Creature of the Court of Equity, the Statute shall be no Bar to; for he might have had his Action of Account against him at Law, and therefore no Necessity to come into this Court for the Account; for the Reafon why Bills for an Account are brought here, is from the Nature of the Demand, and that they may have a Discovery of Books, Papers, and the Party's Oath, for the more easy taking of the Account, which cannot be fo well done at Law; but if the Infant lies by for fix Years after he comes of Age, as he is barred of his Action of Account at Law, so shall he be of his Remedy in this Court.

#### 3. Of the Exception in relation to Merchants Accounts.

As to the Exception relating to Merchants, it hath been a Matter of 1 Jon. 401. much Controversy, whether it extends to all Actions and Accounts re- 2 Sand. 124, lating to Merchants and Merchandize, or to Actions of Account open 1 Lev. 287. and current only, the Words of the Statute being, That all Actions of 2 Keb. 622. Trespass, &c. all Actions of Account and upon the Case, other than such Ac- 1 Lev. 298. tions as concern the Trade of Merchants; to that by the Words, other than 1 Vent. 90. fuch Actions, not being faid Actions of Account, it has been infifted that 2 Mod. 312. all Actions concerning Merchants are excepted.

But it is now fettled, that Accounts open and current only are with- Vide the Auin the Statute; and that therefore, if an Account be stated and settled thorities subetween Merchant and Merchant, and a Sum certain agreed to be due prato one of them, if in such Case he, to whom the Money is due, does not bring his Action within the Time limited, he is barred by the Statute. .. , , ,

- Vol. III.

Carth. 226.

So it hath been adjudged, that by the Exception in the Statute concerning Merchants Accounts, no other Actions are excepted but Actions of Account.

Carth. 226.

Also it hath been adjudged, that Bills of Exchange for Value received, are not such Matters of Account as are intended by the Exception in the Statute of Limitations.

#### 4. Of the Exception in relation to Persons beyond Sea.

Cro. Car. 245, 333. 1 Jon. 252. 1 Lev. 143. 3 Mod. 311. 1 Salk. 420.

It feems to have been agreed, that the Exception as to Persons being beyond Sea, extends only where the Creditors or Plaintiffs are so absent, and not to Debtors or Defendants, because the first only are mentioned in the Statute; and this Construction has the rather prevailed, because it 2 Lutw. 950. was reputed the Creditor's Folly, that he did not file an Original and outlaw the Debtor, which would have prevented the Bar of the Statute.

But as the Creditor's being beyond Sea is faved by the 21 7ac. 1. fo now by the 4 & 5 Ann. cap. 16. it is enacted, 'That if any Person or Persons, against whom there is or shall be any Cause of Suit or Action for Seamen's Wages, or against whom there shall be any Cause of Action of Trespass, Detinue, Action sur Trover or Replevin, for taking away 6 Goods or Cattle, or of Action of Account, or upon the Case, or of 6 Debt grounded upon any Lending or Contract without Specialty, of Debt for Arrearages of Rent, or Assault, Menace, Battery, Wounding and Imprisonment, or any of them, be, or shall be, at the Time of any fuch Cause of Suit or Action given or accrued, fallen or come, be-' youd the Seas, that then such Person or Persons, who is or shall be intitled to any fuch Suit or Action, shall be at Liberty to bring the said Actions against such Person and Persons after their Return from be-' youd the Seas, within fuch Times as are limited for the bringing of the faid Actions by the 21 Jac. 1.

#### 5. Where no Executor or Administrator to sue or be sued.

1 Salk. 421. Curry v. Stephenson. Skin. 555. 4 Mod. 376. Latch 335.

If A. receives Money belonging to a Person who afterwards died Intestate, and to whom B. takes out Administration, and brings an Action against A, to which he pleads the Statute of Limitations, and the Plaintiff replies, and shews that Administration was committed to him such a Year, which was infra fex annos; though fix Years are expired fince the Receipt of the Money, yet not being so since the Administration com-In which last mitted, the Action is not barred by the Statute.

Book it is faid, that Holt was of Opinion, that the Administrator should have fix Years from the Time of granting Administration, according to Sanford's Case cited in Saffin's Case, Cro. Jac. 60, 61. but in the Principal Case there was Judgment against the Plaintiss on another Point.

2 Salk 424-5. It is faid in general, that where one brings an Action before the Expiration of fix Years, and dies before Judgment, the fix Years being then

expired, this shall not prevent his Executor.

Trin. 5 Geo 2. Willox and Auogins.

But if an Executor sues upon a Promissory Note to the Testator, and dies before Judgment, and six Years from the original Cause of Action are actually expired, and the Executor of the Executor brings a new Action in four Years after the first Executor's Death, the Statute of Limitations shall be a Bar to such Action; for the Debt does not become irrecoverable, by an Abatement of the Action after the fix Years elapfed by the Plaintiff's Death, yet the Executor should make a recent Prosecution, to which the Clause in the Statute, that provides a Year after the Reversal of a Judgment, &c. may be a good Direction; or shew that he came as carly as he could, because there was a Contest about the Will,

or Right of Administration; for the Statute was made for the (a) Be- (a) That the nefit of Defendants, to free them from Actions when their Witnesses Statute of were dead, or their Vouchers loft.

was one of

ty, tho' they

the best of Statutes, and the Pleading thereof no Disparagement to any Body: Per Holt C. J. Fareft. 12

If there is no Executor against whom the Plaintiff may bring his  $A_{C-}$  2 Vern. 695. tion, he shall not be prejudiced by the Statute of Limitations, nor shall any Laches in such Case be imputed to him.

#### 6. Where no Jurisdiction to fue in, or where hindered by some Authority.

It feems agreed, that there being no Courts, or the Courts of Justice 1 Keb. 157 being shut, is no Plea to avoid the Bar of the Statute of Limitations; 1 Lev. 31, as where after the Civil War an Assumpsit was brought, and the Defendant pleaded the Statute of Limitations; to which the Plaintiff replied, 2 Salk. 420 that a Civil War had broke out, and that the Government was usurped (b) In Plow. by certain Traitors and Rebels, which hindered the Course of Justice, 9. b. that and by which the Courts where (b) shut up, and that within six Years after Things happened by the War ended he commenced his Action; and this Replication was held an invinciill; (c) for the Statute being general, must work upon all Cases which ble Negestiare not exempted by the Exception.

be against the Common Law, or an A& of Parliament, shall not be prejudicial. — Therefore to say the Courts were shut, is a good Excuse, on Voucher of Record. Bro. Tic. Failer of Record. So in the Times of Domestick War, when the Courts of Justice are shut, a Descent shall not take away an Entry, tho the Dissessin Times of Peace; for then the Dissesse would be without all Remedy, there being no Courts open to bring his Action in. Co. Lit 249. (c) In some Books it is said, that the Desendant rejoined, and set forth the Act of Oblivion, and that for Confirmation of Judicial Proceedings; and for this Reason also the Replication was held ill. 1 Keb. 157. 1 Lev. 111.

And in Confirmation of this Doctrine we find, that an Act of Parlia- 3 Lev. 283. ment was made I H. & M. whereby it is enacted, that from the 10th of December (which was the Day that King James departed, till the 12th of March 1688. when King William assumed the Government) shall not be accounted any Part of the Time within which any Person by Virtue of the Statute of Limitations might bring his Action; but that he shall have so much Allowance of Time as is from the 10th of December to the 12th of March for bringing his Action.

It is clearly agreed, that the Defendant's being a Member of Parlia- 1 Lev. 31, ment, and intitled to Privilege, will not fave a Bar of the Statute; because the Plaintiff might have filed an Original without being guilty of any Breach of Privilege.

It is faid, that if a Man sues in Chancery, and, pending the Suit there, I Vern. 73,74. the Statute of Limitations attaches on his Demand, and his Bill is after-But it feems wards dismissed, the Matter being properly determinable at Common that there must be some I away in such Case the Court will preserve the Plaint 32 Bight and will Law; in such Case the Court will preserve the Plaintiff's Right, and will equitable not suffer the Statute to be pleaded in Bar to his Demand.

tending his Case; and therefore in 2 Chan. Ca. 217. it is said, that unless the Plaintiff was stayed by . some A& of the Court, as Injunction, &c. the Court will not interpose.

If the Statute of Limitations be pleaded to an Action, the Plaintiff to 1 Sid 228. fave his Action may reply, that he had commenced (d) the Suit in an In- 3 Keb. 263. ferior Court within Time of Limitation, and that it was removed to West-Chapman. minster by Habeas Corpus; and this shall be allowed by a favourable Con- 1 Lev. 143

same Point does not appear. (d) The Plaintiff must aver, that the Cause of Action in the Court below, and that removed, is the same. Cro. Car. 294. - But a Difference in Value is not material. 1 Vent. 252. struction of the Statute of Limitations; altho' in Strictness the Suit is commenced in the Court above, when it is removed by Habeas Corpus.

2 Salk. 424. Matthews V. Phillips.

So in a like Case, where Debt was brought in the Palace-Court, and after some Proceedings there, the fix Years expired, the Defendant sued a Habeas Corpus, and removed the Cause into B. R. where the Plaintiff declared de novo; and the Defendant pleaded, that the Cause of Action did not accrue within fix Years before the Teste of the Habeas Corpus; and this was held to be a good Plea; but that the Plaintiff might reply the Suit below, and shew that to have been within the fix Years; not that this Suit was a Continuance of the Suit below, but that the Plaintiff had rightfully and legally purfued his Right; and it should not be in the Power of the Defendant, to defeat or hinder him of a Remedy without any Default.

#### 7. Where the Suing out a Writ will save a Bar of the Statute.

Carth. 136. 1 Salk. 420. 3 Mod. 311.

vide 1 Lutw. 260.

2 Keb. 46. Bottle and Wood.

Stil. 178. 2 Keb. 369. S. C. cited.

Carth. 144. 2 Salk. 420.

Carth. 144. Rudd v. Berkenhead. 2 Salk. 420. S. C.

It is clearly agreed, that the Suing out an Original will fave a Bar of the Statute of Limitations, and that thereupon the Defendant may be outlawed; and that if beyond Sea at the Time of the Outlawry, tho' it shall be reversed after his Return, yet the Plaintiff may bring another (a) For this Original by (a) Journies Accounts, and thereby take Advantage of his first

Also it is agreed, that the Suing out a Latitat is a sufficient Commence-1 Sid. 53,60. ment of a Suit, to fave the Limitation of Time, because the Latitat is Carth. 233. the Original of B. R. and may be continued on Record as an Original

> Also it hath been ruled, that to a Plea of the Statute of Limitations the Plaintiff may reply, that he fued out a Latitat, and continued it down by a Vicecomes non missit breve, without concluding prout patet per Recordum; for the Latitat Roll is only for the private Use of the Court, and no Record.

> So it seems the Plaintiff may reply, that he sued out a Latitat of such a Term, without fetting forth the Day of the Teste; and that in such Case it shall have Relation to the first Day of the Term.

But tho' the Suing out an Original, or Latitat, will be a sufficient Commencement of a Suit, yet the Plaintiff, in Order to make it effectual, Lutzv. 101, must shew that he hath (b) continued the Writ to the Time of the Action brought.

3 Mod. 33.
(b) That the Attorney's Writing the Continuances on the Writ in his Chambers is sufficient. 1 Sid. 53.

As in Assumptit for Fees due to an Attorney, the Defendant pleaded non Assumpsit infra sex annos; the Plaintiff replied, that on such a Day two Years before, he had fued out an Attachment of Privilege against the Defendant; upon which Writ taliter processium fuit; that the Defendant (on such a Day) in Hillary Term, anno 2 W. &c. appeared, and the Plaintiff declared against him modo & ferma, &c. and upon Demurrer to this Replication it was held ill; because the Plaintiff did not set forth any Continuance of this Writ of Attachment, (per Vic' non missit Breve,) which was sued out two Years before; for 'tis impossible that the Defendant should appear in Hillary Term anno 2 Will. to a Writ returnable two Years before, and no other Writ is set forth by the Plaintiff; but if the Plaintiff, after the taliter processium fuit, had shewn the last Attachment, and the Return thereof, upon which in Truth the Defendant did appear, it had been well enough, without shewing any of the Continuances.

An

517

An Indebitatus Assumpsit laid several Ways; the Desendant pleaded, Ac- 1 Salk. 421. tio non, quia dicit quod billa prædicti exbibit funt 20 die Junii, & non antea, Green and & quod ipse ad aliquod tempus intra sex annos ante exhibitionem billæ prædicti non assumpsit, &c. The Plaintiss replied a Bill of Middlesex tested die luna prox' post tres sertimanas, & e. returnable the same Day; where-upon was returned Non e't inventus, and continued down by Vie' non missit breve & presept' sout alias. To this it was demurred, and Judgment given for the Defendant; for there cannot be such a Bill of Middlesex as this, which is returnable the very Day of the Teste; and the Statute of Limitations, on which the Security of all Men depends, is to be favoured.

#### 8. Where a Debt barred by the Statute hall be said to be revived.

It is clearly agreed, that if after the fix Years the Debtor acknow- 1 Salk. 28,29. ledges the Debt, and promises Payment thereof, that this revives it, Carth. 470. and brings it out of the Statute; as if a Debtor by Promissory Note, 5 Med. 425, or Simple Contract, promises within fix Years of the Action brought 2 Show. 126. that he will pay the Debt; tho' this was barred by the Statute, yet it is 2 Vent 151 revived by the Promife; for as the Note it felf was at first but an Evidence of the Debt, so that being barred, the Acknowledgment and Promise is a new Evidence of the Debt, and being proved, will maintain an Assumpsit for Recovery of it.

Also it hath been adjudged, that a conditional Promise will revive a Cath. 470. Debt barred by the Statute of Limitations; as where to an Assumptit by Heylin v. Haan Executor for Goods fold and delivered by the Testator, the Defen- salk 29. dant pleaded the Statute; and upon Evidence it appeared, that the De-S.C. fendant within fix Years, being applied to by the Executor for the Debt, 5 Mod. 425. faid, If you prove that I had the Goods, I will pay you; which being fully S. C. cited. proved at the Trial, it was held that this conditional Promise revived the Debt; and that though made to the Executor, after the Death of the Testator, was sufficient to maintain the Issue; (a) because the Promise (a) Where did not give any new Cause of Action, but only revived the old Cause, the Plaintiff and was of no other Use, but to prevent the Bar by the Statute of Limi- declared as Executor,

mise to the Testator, and the Desendant pleaded Non assumpsit infra fex annos; and upon the Trial of the Issue it appeared, that there was a new Promise made within six Years, but it was a Promise made to the Plaintist himself, and not to the Testator; and it was held per Cur, that he should have declared accordingly. 1 Salk. 28. Dean v. Crane. 6 Atod. 309. S. C. faid to be so held on a Conference with all the Judges.

So it hath been held, that a bare (b) Acknowledgment of the Debt, Carth. 470. within fix Years of the Action, is sufficient to (e) revive it, and prevent said to be so the Statute, tho' no new Promise was made.

(b) So stating an Account of the Goods fold. March 105-6. (c) In 5 Mod. 426. it is said to be Evidence of a Promise. - But in 2 Show. 126. 2 Vent. 151-2. it is said, that an Acknowledgment is not sufficient without a Promise.

But if an Indebitatus Assumpsit for Goods fold, be brought against four 2 Vent. 151. Persons, who plead the Statute of Limitations, and it be found that one of Bland v. Hathem promifed within fix Years, there can be no Judgment against him; felrig, adjudg-for the Contract being intire, it must be found that they all manifests by three for the Contract being intire, it must be sound that they all promised.

tris who inclined to the contrary; because the Plea of Non assumptit infra fex annes implies a Promite at first; and if one should renew his Promise within six Years, it is reasonable it should bind him; and the Plaintist must sue them all, or else he will vary from the original Contract. 1 Salk. 154. 2 Vern. 141. It feems to be the Doctrine of the Courts of Equity, that if a Man by Will or Deed subject his Lands to the Payment of his Debts, Debts barred by the Statute of Limitations shall be paid, for they are Debts in Equity, and the Duty remains; and the Statute hath not extinguished that, tho' it hath taken away the Remedy.

Abr. Eq. 305. Andrews v. Brown. Also it hath been ruled in Equity, that if a Man has a Debt due to him by Note, or a Book-Debt, and has made no Demand of it for fix Years, so that he is barred by the Statute of Limitations; yet if the Debtor, or his Executor, after the fix Years, puts out an Advertisement in the Gazette, or any other News Paper, that all Persons, who have any Debts owing to them, may apply to such a Place, and that they shall be paid; this (tho' general, and therefore might be intended of legal substituting Debts only,) yet amounts to such an Acknowledgment of that Debt which was barred, as will revive the Right, and bring it out of the Statute again.

# (F) Of the Manner of Pleading and taking Advantage of the Statute of Limitations.

IT feems to be admitted, that the Statute of Limitations must be 1 Sid. 253. Pleaded (a) positively by him that would take (b) Advantage therevide Cro. Fac. of; (c) and that the same cannot be given in Evidence, especially in an Assumpsit, because the Statute speaks of a Time past, and relates to the therefore if Time of making the Promise.

dant pleads, that if any such Promise was made, it was not within six Years, and so within the Statute of Limitations; such conditional Plea is not good; vide Head of Pleadings. — But pursuant to the Stutute 4 & 5.5 Anne, for Amendment of the Law, the Desendant, by Way of double Plea, may plead Non assumpsit, and Non assumpsit infra sex annos; tho it may seem inconsistent, the Plea of Non assumpsit infra sex annos implying a Promise. (b) If a Man devise all the Rest and Residue of his Personal Estate, after Debts and Legacies paid, to J. S. and several of the Creditors are barred by the Statute of Limitations, who notwithstanding bring Actions against the Executor, and he resultes to plead the Statute of Limitations; yet Equity will not, in Favour of J. S. to whom the Surplus is devised, compel the Executor to plead the Statute. Abr. Eq. 305. Casset v. Lord Fanshaw. (c) That tho it appears upon the Face of the Declaration, that the Cause of Action did not arise within six Years, yet the Desendant shall not take Advantage of that without Pleading; because there might be an Original sued out, which the Plaintist cannot otherwise shew, than by Way of Replication, upon the Desendant's putting him upon it. 2 Salk. 422-3.

1 Salk. 278. per Holt. But in Debt for Rent, upon Nil debet pleaded, the Statute of Limitations may be given in Evidence; for the Statute has made it no Debt at the Time of the Flea pleaded, the Words being in the Present Tense.

T Sid. 81. A= rundel and Trevil. T Keb. 279. S. C.

In Replevin the Defendant pleaded Not guilty de capt' prædict' infra fex annos jam ultimo elapfos; and tho' it was urged that this was the fame with pleading Non cepit, and if he did not take, he could not be guilty of the Detainer; and if this Way of Pleading were not allowed, the Statute would be entirely evaded, as to this Action; yet the Plea was held ill, because he ought to have answered to the Detainer, as well as to the Taking; for there may be a Detainer without a Taking; also a Thing may be lawfully distrained, although unlawfully kept; as by being put into a Castle, &c. by which Means it could not be replevied.

Raym. 86. 1 Lev. 110. 1 Keb. 566. S. C. Lee v. Raynes. In Trespass, for a Trespass done thirteen Years before, the Desendant pleads, that infra sex annos, &c. non est inde culpabilis. Plaintiff replies, that he brought his Action such a Term, and that within six Years before that Time the Desendant did the Trespass; and upon this the Desendant

4

fendant

fendant takes Issue, and is found guilty: And it was held 1st, That the Defendant's Plea was good in Bar, without pleading the Statute. 2dly, That the Plaintiff's Replication was no Departure; although it was objected, that he could have replied nothing, but that he was under some of the Disabilities, for which there is a Saving in the Statute; for the Plaintiff is not tied to the Time or Place laid in the Declaration, but may vary from it upon Evidence; and so when the Defendant, by his Plea, pleads to a certain Time or Place, and thereby makes the Time Plea, pleads to a certain rime of rice, and or Place material, the Plaintiff may follow him without any (a) De- (a) Note.

This Cafe parture.

feems to dif-

fer from Tyler and Wall, Cro. Car. 229. for there the Plaintiff in his Replication varies, as well from the Time laid by the Defendant in his Plea, as the Time laid in the Declaration.

# Mathem.

- (A) What it is.
- (B) How punished.

## (A) What it is.

AIHEM is defined to be any Hurt done to a Man's Body, Co. Lit. 126, whereby he is rendered less able in Fighting, either to dc- 128. fend himself, or annoy his Adversary; such as the Cutting 3 Inft. 62, off, Disabling, or Weakening a Hand or Finger, Striking 118.

out an Eye or Fore-Tooth, or Castration, &c. and these are properly 1111. faid to be Maihems, and to come under the Notion of Felonies; but the Cutting off an Ear, or Nose, are faid not to be properly Maihems, because they do not weaken a Man, but only disfigure him.

## (B) How punished.

Y the old Common Law Castration was punished with Death, and Brat. 144. B other Maihems with the Loss of Member for Member; but of later 3 Infl. 62. Days Maihem was punishable only by Fine and Imprisonment.

And by the Statute (b) 22 & 23 Car. 2. cap. 1. it is enacted 'That if (a) The Ocany Person shall on Purpose, and of Malice fore-thought, and by lying in casion of wait, unlawfully cut out, or disable the Tongue, put out an Eye, slit the this Ast was

that was made on Sir John Coventry, a Member of the House of Commons, by Slitting his Nose, and thence called Coventry's Act.

Nofe

- ' Nose, cut off a Nose or Lip, or cut off or disable any Limb or Member of any Subject of his Majesty, with Intention in so doing to maim
- or disfigure, in any the Manners before-mentioned, fuch his Majesty's
- Subject, that then, and in every such Case, the Person or Persons so
- 6 offending, their Counfellers, Aiders and Abettors, knowing of and 6 privy to the Offence, as aforefaid, shall be and are by the faid Statute
- 6 declared to be Felons, and shall suffer Death as in Cases of Felony with-6 out Benefit of Clergy.
- Frovided, that no Attainder of fuch Felony shall extend to corrupt 6 the Blood, or forfeit the Dower of the Wife, or the Lands, Goods

or Chrttels of the Offender.

State Tr. Vol. 6. f. 211. to ruled in Coke's Trial, with Woodburne were condemned and executed at Suffolk Affizes,

If a Man attack another of Malice fore-thought, in order to murder him with a Bill, or any other fuch-like Instrument, which cannot but endanger the Maiming him, and in fuch Attack happen not to kill, but who together only to main him, he may be indicted on this Statute, together with all those who were his Abettors, &c. and it shall be left to the Jury on the Evidence, whether there was a Defign to murder by Maiming, and confequently a malicious Intent to maim, as well as to kill; in which Cafe the Offence is within the Statute, tho' the primary Intention was Murder.

S Geo. 1. for Slitting the Nose of Mr. Crispe.

# Maintenance, and the Dtfence of Buying or Selling a pretended Title.

Co. Lit. 368.b. 2 Infl. 20S, 212. 1 Hawk. P.C. 249.

TAINTENANCE in general fignifies an unlawful Taking in Hand, or Upholding of Quarrels, or Sides, to the Difturbance or Hindrance of common Right, and is faid to be twofold.

Co. Lit. 368. 2 Inft. 213. 2 Rol. Abr. 115.

1. Ruralis, or in the Country; as where one affifts another in his Pretenfions to certain Lands, by taking or holding the Possession of them for him by Force or Subtilty; or where one stirs up Quarrels and Suits in the Country, in relation to Matters wherein he is no Way concerned; and this Kind of Maintenance is punishable at the King's Suit by Fine and Imprisonment, whether the Matter in Dispute any Way depended in Plea or not; but it is faid not to be actionable.

2 Inft. 212. 2 Rol. Abr. 115.

- 2. Curialis, or in a Court of Justice, where one officiously intermeddles in a Suit depending in any fuch Court, which no Way belongs to him, by affifting either Party with Money, or otherwise, in the Profecution or Defence of any fuch Suit.
- Of this fecond Kind of Maintenance there are faid to be three Species. 1. Where one maintains one Side to have Part of the Thing in Suit, which is called Champerty; and for which vide Tit. Champerty.

2. Where

2. Where one laboureth a Jury, which is called Embracery; and for

which vide Tit. Embracery.

3. Where one maintains another without any Contract to have Part of the Thing in Suit, which generally goes under the common Name of Maintenance; and of which in the following Order.

- (A) What thall be faid to amount to an Act of Maintes
- (B) In what Respects some such Acts may be justified: And herein,
  - 1. How far they are justifiable in respect of an Interest in the Thing in Variance.

2. How far in respect of Kindred or Assinity.

3. How far in respect of other Relations; as that of Lord and Tenant, Master and Servant.

4. How far in respect of Charity.

- 5. How far in respect of the Prosession of the Law.
- (C) How Maintenance is retrained and punished by the Common Law.
- (D) How rectrained and puniched by Statute.
- (E) Of the Offence of Buying or Selling a pretended Title.

### (A) What shall be said to amount to an Act of Maintenance.

IT is faid, that not only he, who affifts another with Money in his Bro. Maint. Cause, as by retaining Counsel for him, or otherwise, bearing him 7, 14. out in the Whole, or Part of the Expence, but also he who, by his <sup>2</sup>/<sub>118</sub>. Friendship or Interest, saves him that Expence, which otherwise he <sup>118</sup>/<sub>14awk. P.C.</sub> may be put to, is guilty of Maintenance; as where one perswades, or 249. but endeavours to perswade, a Man to be of Counsel for another gratis.

Also it seems to be an Act of Maintenance to open Evidence to the Hetl. 78, 79. Jury, or to give Evidence officiously without being called upon to do it, Cro. Eliz 735. or to speak in a Caase as one of Counsel with the Party, or to retain an Attorney for him; and some have said, that it is Maintenance even barely to go along with him to inquire for a Person learned in the Law. 118.

It feems to be Maintenance for a Man of great Power and Interest to 1 Hawk.P.C. fay publickly, that he will spend 201. on one Side, or that he will give 250. and se-201. to labour the Jury; and it hath been faid to be Maintenance for veral Aufuch a Person to come to the Bar with one of the Parties, and stand by there eited. him while his Cause is tried, without saying any Thing: But a Promise to maintain another is not Maintenance, unless it be in respect of the publick Manner in which it is made, or the Power of the Person by whom it is made.

1 Hawk P.C. 250.

It is faid to be Maintenance for a Juror to folicit a Judge to give Judgment according to the Verdict; but it feems to be no Maintenance for a Juror to exhort his Companions to join with him in such a Verdict

as he thinks right.

1 Hazvk P.C. 250.

It feems to be no Maintenance for a Man to give another friendly Advice what Action is proper for him to bring for such a Debt; or what Method is fafest to free him from such an Arrest; or what Counsellor or Attorney is likely to do his Bufines's most effectually; for it would be extreamly hard to make fuch neighbourly Acts of Kindness, which seem rather commendable than blame-worthy, to come under the Notion of Maintenance; which always feems to imply a contentious and over-bufy Intermeddling with other Mens Matter, in which Respect it is so highly criminal; yet it is faid, that a Man of great Power, not learned in the Law, may be guilty of Maintenance, by telling another, who asks his Advice, that he has a good Title.

1 Hawk.P.C. 250.

It is no Maintenance to give a Man Money, who has no Suit then depending, unless it plainly appear that it was given with a Design to as-

 $1 \; Hawk.P \; C.$ 250.

fist him in a Suit intended, which Suit is afterwards actually brought.

It is as much an Act of Maintenance to support a Man after Judgment given, as to do it hanging the Plea.

In What Respects some such Ads may be justificd: And herein,

1. How far they are justifiable in respect of an Interest in the Ching in Hariance.

2 Rol. Abr. 115, 117. 2 Inft. 564. Bro. Maint. 28, 53.

TT seems clear, that not only those who have an actual Interest in the Thing in Variance, as those who have a Reversion expectant on an Estate-tail, or on a Lease for Life, or Years, &c. but also those who have a bare Contingency of an Interest in the Lands in Question, which posfibly may never come in effe, and even those who, by the Act of God, have the immediate Possibility of such an Interest, as Heirs apparent, or the Husbands of fuch Heirs, tho' it be in the Power of others to bar them, may lawfully maintain another in an Action concerning fuch Lands; and if a Plaintiff, in an Action of Trespass, alien the Lands, the Alienee may produce Evidence to prove that the Inheritance, at the Time of the Action, was in the Plaintiff, because the Title is now become his own.

Bro. Maint.

Also he who is bound to warrant Lands may lawfully maintain the Tenant in the Defence of his Title, because he is bound to render other Lands to the Value of those that shall be evicted.

Noy 99, 100. Moor 620. Cro. Eliz. 552. 1 Sid. 217

Also he who has an equitable Interest in Lands or Goods, or even in a Chose in Action, as a Cestui que Trust, or a Vendee of Lands, &c. or an Affignee of a Bond for a good Confideration, may lawfully maintain a Suit concerning the Thing in which he hath fuch an Equity; and from the fame Ground it feems plainly to follow, that the Grantee of a Reversion for good Consideration might, without any Attornment, maintain the Tenant of the Land, before the Statute 4 & 5 Ann.e., which makes fuch Attornment needlefs.

1 Hawk P C 292.

Wherever any Persons claim a common Interest in the same Thing, as in a Way, Church-yard or Common, &c. by the same Title, they may maintain

maintain one another in a Suit concerning fuch Thing; and a Man's Bail may take Care to have his Appearance recorded; but, as fome fay, they cannot fafely intermeddle farther.

#### 2. How far in respect of Kindzed of Affinity.

Whoever is of Kin, or Godfather, to either of the Parties, or related 2 Infl. 564. by any Kind of Affinity still continuing, may lawfully stand by at the 1 Hawk. P. G. Bar and counsel him, and pray another to be of Counsel for him; but 252 cannot lawfully lay out his Money in the Cause, unless he be either Father or Son, or Heir apparent to the Party, or Husband of fuch an Heiress.

#### 3. How far in respect of other Relations; as that of Lord and Tenant, Master and Serbant.

Not only the actual Lord, but also the Cestui que Use of a Seigniory, Co. Lit. 65, may come with the Tenant to a Trial in an Assis against him, and stand 2 Roll. Also. by him, and affift him, and also pray the Sheriff to return an indiffe- 116, 117. rent Jury; and it feems a plaufible Opinion, that he may also justify laying out his Money in Defence of his Tenant's Title: Also the Lord of a Town may maintain the Inhabitants in an Action, wherein the Right to their common Burying Place is questioned, by shewing authentick Evidence of it to the Jury.

A Tenant may lawfully come with his Lord and fland with him at a 1 Hawk P. C.

Trial.

A Master may go along with his Servant, or with his Domestick Chap- Bro. Maints lain, to retain Counfel; also he may pray one to be of Counfel for him, 44, 52, 150 they 79. and may go with him, and ftand with him, and aid him at the Trial, but Moor 814. ought not to speak in Court in Favour of his Cause; also if the Servant be arrested, the Master may affist him with Money to keep him from Prison, that he may have the Benefit of his Service; but the Master cannot fafely lay out Money for the Servant in a real Action, unless he have fome of his Wages in his Hands; but those, with the Servant's Consent, he may fafely disburse.

A Perfon retained generally as a Servant, and not for a particular  $O_{C^{--1}}$  Hawk P  $C_{c}$ casion only, may lawfully ride about to speed his Master's Business, and 253: may go to Counsel for him, and shew his Evidence to the Counsel, or to the Jury, and fland by him at a Trial, but cannot lawfully lay out his

own Money in the Suit.

#### 4. How far in respect of Charity.

Any one may lawfully give Money to a poor Man to enable him to Bro. Maint. carry on his Suit; also any one may lawfully go with a Foreigner, who 14. cannot speak English, to a Counsellor and inform him of his Case.

#### 5. How far in respect to the Profession of the Law.

A Counfellor, having received his Fee, may lawfully fet forth his 2 Inft. 564 Client's Cause to the best Advantage; but can no more justify giving 116. him Money to maintain his Suit, or threaten a Juror, than any other Person.

Alfo

Kelw. 50. 2 Inft. 564. Winch 52. 1 Fon. 208. Cro. Car. 159. 3 Mod. 98.

Also an Action periodly retained may lawfully prosecute or defend an Action in the Court wherein he is an allowed Attorney, and lay out his own Money in the Suit, and maintain an Action against his Client for the Money so laid out, by Virtue of the Retainer, without any special Promise; also an Attorney so retained may in like Manner maintain his Client in a Court wherein he is not an allowed Attorney; but as some say cannot have an Action for the Money laid out in the Suit, without a special Promise; but an Attorney, who maintains another, is no way justified by a general Retainer, to prosecute for him in all Causes; neither can an Attorney lawfully carry on a Cause for another at his own Expence, with a Promise never to expect a Re-payment; and it is questionable, whether Solicitors, who are no Attornes, can in any Case lawfully lay out their own Money in another's Case.

2 Inft. 215.

But Counsellors and Attornies using deceitful Practice in Maintenance of their Clients Causes, are punishable by the Common Law, as well as by the Statute of Westm. 1. cap. 28. which enacts, 'That if any Serjeant, 'Pleader or other, do any Manner of Disceit or Collusion in the King's Court, or consent unto it in Disceit of the Court, or to beguile the Court of the Party, and thereof be attainted, he shall be imprisoned for a Year and a Day, and from thenceforth shall not be heard to plead in that Court for any Man; and if he be no Pleader, he shall be imprisoned in like Manner by the Space of a Year and a Day at the least; and if the Trespass require greater Punishment, it shall be at the King's Plea.

Dyer 249. pl. 84. 2 Inft. 215. It is an Offence within this Statute for an Attorney to fue out an Habere facias seismam, falsly reciting a Recovery where there was none, and by Colour thereof to put the supposed Tenant in the Action out of his Freehold.

2 Inft. 215.

Also it is an Offence within the Statute to bring a Precipe against a poor Man, having nothing in the Land, on Purpose to oust the true Tenant; or to procure an Attorney to appear for a Man, and confess a Judgment without any Warrant; or to plead a false Plea, known to be utterly groundless, and invented meerly to delay Justice, and to abuse the Court.

#### (C) How Maintenance is restrained and punished by the Common Law.

2 Rol. Abr.
114.
2 Inft. 208.
Hetley 79.

DY the Common Law, all unlawful Maintainers are not only liable to render Damages in an Action at the Suit of the Party grieved, but may also be indicted and fined, and imprisoned, &c. and it seems that a Court of Record may commit a Man for an Act of Maintenance in the Face of the Court.

# (D) how restrained and punished by Statute.

PY the 1 E. 3. cap. 14. and 20 E. 3. cap. 4. it is enacted, 'That none of the King's Ministers, nor no great Man of the Realm, by himfelf nor by other, by sending of Letters nor otherwise, nor none other great

great nor small, shall take upon them to maintain Quarrels, nor Parts, in the Country, to the Disturbance of common Right.

And by the IR. 2. cap. -. it is enacted, 'That no Person whatsoever shall take or sustain any Quarrel by Maintenance in the Country

- or elsewhere, on grievous Pain, that is to say, the King's Counsellors
- and great Officers, on a Pain that shall be ordained by the King him-felf, by the Advice of the Lords of this Realm, and other Officers of the King, on Pain to lose their Offices and to be imprisoned, and ran-
- fomed, &c. and all other Persons, on Pain of Imprisonment and Ran-' fom; &c.

In the Construction of these Statutes the following Points have been holden.

That Nil tiel Record is a good Plea to an Action on these Statutes, by 1 Harrh. P.C. which it appears that they extend not to the taking out an Original, 156 7. which is never returned, but they extend as well to Maintenance in a Court-Baron, as to Maintenance in a Court of Record; neither is it material whether the Plaintiff in the Action, wherein there was such Maintenance, were nonfuited or recovered; but it is faid, that none of the Statutes of Maintenance extends to the Spiritual Court.

He, who fears that another will maintain his Adverfary, may, by Way 1 Hawk.P C. of Prevention, have an Original grounded on these Statutes, prohibiting 156.

him to do it.

By the 32 H. 8. cap. 9. 6 No Person shall unlawfully maintain or cause or procure any unlawful Maintenance in any Suit, in any of the

6 King's Courts, where any Perfon shall have Authority by the King's 6 Commission, Patent, or Writ to hold Plea of Lands, or to examine,

- hear or determine any Title of Lands, &c. and no Person shall unlaw-
- fully maintain, for Maintenance of any Suit or Plea, any Person or
- Persons, or embrace any Freeholders or Jurors, or suborn any Wit-
- e ness by Letters, Rewards or Promises, or any other sinister Means, to
- ' maintain any Matter or Cause, or to the Disturbance of Justice, &c. on • Pain of 101. one Moiety to the King, the other to the Informer.

In an Information thereon, it is not fufficient to fay, that the Defen- 1 Hawk. P. C. dant maintained the Party, without adding, that he did it unlawfully; 258, neither is it sufficient to say, that a Bill was exhibited, without further fhewing that a Plea was depending.

#### (E) Of the Offence of Buying or Selling a pre= tended Title.

I T seems an high Offence at Common Law, as plainly tending to Op- Moor 751. pression, for a Man to buy, at an under Rate, a doubtful Title known 11. 1031. to be disputed, to the Intent that the Buyer may carry on the Suit, Heb. 115. which the Seller doth not think it worth his while to do; and it seems not to be material whether the Title be good or bad; or whether the Seller were in Possession or not, unless the Possession were lawful and uncontested.

Alfo by the 1 R. 2. cap. 9. reciting, that many Perfons having true Title to Lands, &c. were wrongfully delayed, by Means that the Defendants did make Gifts and Feoffments of their Lands in Debate, and of their Goods to Great Men, against whom the said Pursuants durst not make their Pursuits; and also that many Persons used to disseise others, and anon to make Feoffments sometimes to Great Men to have Maintenance, and sometimes to Persons unknown, to the Intent to de-Vol. III.

Copyhold.

4 Co. 26. a.

Moor 655.

(c) And

therefore

the Plain-tiff in his

Co. Lit. 369.b

lay the faid Disseisees, &c. and therefore it is enacted, 'That no Gift' or Feoffment of Tenements or Goods be made by fuch Fraud or Main-(a) In retenance, and that if any be so made, they shall be holden for (a) none; spect of the and that the faid Diffeifees shall recover against the first Diffeifor their but they are ' Lands and Damages, without having Regard to fuch Alienations, for effectual be- 6 that they commence their Suit within a Year after the Disseisin. tween the Feoffor and Feoffee. Co. Lit. 369.

It is further enacted by 32 H. 8. cap. 9. 'That no Person shall bargain, 6 buy or fell, or by any Means obtain any pretended Rights or Titles, or take, promise, grant or covenant to have any Right or Title to any (b) Whether (b) Hereditament, unless the Seller, &c. his Ancestors, or they from Freehold or whom he claims, have been in Possession of the same, or of the Ree version or Remainder thereof, or taken the Rents or Profits thereof, for one whole Year next before the faid Bargain and Sale, &c. on Pain that fuch Seller shall forfeit the whole (c) Value of the Hereditaments 6 fo fold, and the Buyer or Taker, knowing the same, shall forfeit the Walue of the Hereditaments fo by him bought or taken; the one Half of the faid Forfeitures to be to the King, the other to him who will fue.

Action must show the Value at the Time of the Bargain. Cro. Car. 233.

But it is provided, 'That it shall be lawful for any Person, being in clawful Possession, by taking of the yearly Farm-Rents, or Profits of any Hereditaments, to buy or get, by any reasonable Means, the pretended Right or Title of any other Person to the same.

· Provided, that no one shall be charged with these Penalties, unless he

be fued within one Year after the Offence.

In the Construction of this Statute the following Opinions have been holden.

Lit. Rep. 369. Plow 84. Cro. Car. 233. Dyer. 74.

1 Leon. 167. Lit. Rep. 369.

Dyer 74. pl.

19, 20.

Plow. 80, 87. Cro. Car. 233. Co. Lit. 369. 1 Leon. 166 1 And. 76.

Plow. S. Co. Lit. 369. 1 Leon. 166. Savil 95.

That the Statute being publick, there is no Need to recite it in an Actionbrought upon it; but if you take upon you to recite it, a material Misrecital will be fatal.

In an Action against the Buyer of a pretended Title, it must expresly appear, that the Defendant knew that the Seller had not been a Year in Posfession; but in such an Action by the Buyer, the contrary must expresly appear; for otherwise it may be intended that he was Particeps criminis.

It is not sufficient to shew that the Seller had not been in Possession a Year before, &c. without averring, that he had a pretended Right or Title, for that is the Point of the Action.

A Contract for a Lease for Years, unless fairly made to try a Titlesin Ejectment, is within the Statute, whether it were made off from the Land, or upon the Land, by a Person in or out of Possession; and in an Action on the Statute for making such a Lease, there is no need to shew its Commencement or End, because the Plaintiff is supposed to be a Stranger to it.

No Conveyance by one who has the uncontested Possession and absolute undisputed Propriety of Lands, as by a Disseifor having obtained a Release from the Diffeisee who had the true Right not contested by any other Person whatsoever, or by a Mortgagor having redeemed his Lands, is within the Meaning of the Statute; because it no Way savours of Maintenance, and can be prejudicial to no one; neither is a Lease for the usual Rent, by one who recovers Lands by Virtue of an antient Title, within the Meaning of the Statute, tho' he had the absolute Property and Possession of the Land; for the Intent of the Statute was to restrain all Perfons from transferring any disputed Right to Strangers.

Whoever has a Reversion or Remainder vested in him, may lawfully take any Conveyance which will strengthen his Estate; but cannot take a Covenant from a Stranger for a Conveyance from him, when he shall have recovered the Land.

Co. Lit 569.

# Mandamus.

- (A) Of the Nature of the Urit; and herein of the Suggenion and Manner of Awarding thereof.
- (B) Of the Horn thereof, and for what Fregularities it may be quaded or superseded.
- (C) In what Cases to be granted: And herein,
  - 1. Where it lies to restore or admit a Person to an Office; and what shall be said such a publick Office for which a Mandamus will lie.
  - z. Where the Party's having another Remedy is a fufficient Foundation to deny it; and therein of granting Mandamus's to restore Members of Colleges, &c.
  - 3. What Removal or Turning out of an Officer will intitle him to a Mandamus.
- (D) Where it lies to inferior Courts, and Magistrates, to oblige them to do that Justice, which the Publick Good requires, and the Law enjoins.
- (E) Of the Authority by which it issues; and therein of the discretionary power in the Court of granting or refusing it.
- (F) To whom to be directed.
- (G) By whom to be returned.
- (H) Of the Manner of enforcing Obedience to the Writ, and compelling a Acturn.
- (1) What hall be said a good Keturn.
- (K) Of traverling the Return, and taking Mue thereon.
- (L) Of the Party's Remedy for a false Return.
- (M) Of awarding a peremptozy Mandamus.
- (A) Of the Nature of the Writ; and herein of the Suggestion and Panner of Awarding thereof.

Mandamus is a Writ commanding the Execution of an Act, where otherwise Justice would be obstructed, or the King's Charter neglected, issuing regularly only in Cases relating to the Publick and the Government; and is therefore termed (a) a Prerogative Writ, being grantable only where the Publick Justice of (a) 4 Mod. 281.

(a) There is lay where the King's held of him within Age.

And in this (a) Sense and Use of it, it is said by (b) some to be of moa Writ caldern Date, and to owe its Original to (c) Bagg's Case; but (d) others damus, which hold it for more antient, and that there are Instances of such a Writ in the Reigns of Ed. 1. and Ed. 3. and that it is founded on these Words in Magna Charta, cap. 29. Nullus Liber Homo Capiatur wel imprisonetur, aut Tenant, who diffeisietur de libero tenemento suo, vel Libertatibus, vel Liberis consuctudinibus by Kinght's suis, aut ut lagetur, aut exulctur, aut aliquo modo destruatur; nec super eum ibi-Service, di- mus, nec super eum mittemus, nist per Legale judicium parium suorum, vel per ed, his Heir Legem terræ; nulli vendemus, nulli negabimus aut differemus justitiam vel rectum.

and no Writ of diem claufit extremum, Sec. was fued out within a Year and a Day after his Death; then issued a Mandamus to the Escheator, commanding him to inquire of what Lands holden by Knight's Service the Tenant died seised, &c. but for this vid. F. N. B. 561. Dyer 209 pl. 19. 248. pl 81. Lamb. 36. (b) 1 Lev. 23. 1 Show. 263. Ca. Law and Eq. 57. (c) 11 Co. 94. 1 Rol Rep. 173. S. C. (d) 1 Lev. 23. Ca. Law and Eq. 53, 57. Palm. 51. Dyer 333.

lige inferior Courts and Magistrates to do that Justice, which, without fuch Writ, they are in Duty, and by Virtue of their Offices, obliged to do; and is a Writ of Right, which the superior Court is obliged to issue in the ordinary Form, without imposing any Terms on him who demands it; and therefore where a Mandamus was granted, to oblige a Corporation to proceed to the Election of a Capital Burgels, and being afterwards moved, that a Day should be fixed for the Election, that Pas. b. 6Geo. 2. all Parties might have Notice; for that otherwise the Person obtaining in B. R. The the Mandamus might steal an Election by Surprise; the Court resused to King v. May. King v. Maygrant the Motion, and held, that their Power was only to command an gesses of Eves. Election, but not to prescribe the Manner of it, which was left to the

It is now an established Remedy, and every Day made use of, to ob-

Law, and which must make it good or bad accordingly. But tho' it be a Writ of Right, yet the Court seldom grants it, without giving the Party, to whom it is prayed, a Day to shew Cause against it; also such Matter must be laid before the Court, by which it may Mich. 4Geo.2. appear, that the Party is intitled to it; and therefore on a Motion for in B. R. a Mandamus, to restore the Register of the Blacksmiths Company, the Court refused it, because they did not produce their Charter, or a Copy

of it, with an Affidavit; for this being a private Corporation, they

held they could not take Notice thereof, as they will of a Town, &c. without fuch previous Information.

# (B) Of the Form thereof, and for what Irre= gularities it may be qualled or superseded.

Mandamus is a fignable Writ, and must be figned by the proper Officer of the Court before it is fealed; there must be fifteen Days between the Teste and the Return of the first Writ of Mandamus, if the Corporation, to which it is fent, be above forty Miles from London; but if but forty Miles, or under, then eight Days only.

If there be any Irregularity in the Writ, it may be amended at any 6 . Wod. 133. Time before it is returnable; but it cannot be superfeded after the Return is out; neither can the Party move to quash it before a Return made and filed.

If a Mandamus be awarded to restore nine Persons to the Place and 2 Salk. 436-7. Office of Common-Council Men, this is fuch an Irregularity for which Comb. 307.

2 Salk. 433. S. P. and that feveral Persons cannot join in an Action on the Case for a salse Return.

the

the Writ will be quashed; for several Persons cannot join in such Writ, the Amotion of one not being the Amotion of another; besides their Interests are several, and they might have been removed for several different Causes, one for one Fault, and one for another; which would make it impracticable for the Court to grant a joint Restitution to them.

If the Writ be directed to a Corporation by a wrong Name, this is 2 Salk 43%, fuch an Irregularity for which it may be quashed; as if to the Mayor, The King v. Aldermen and Commonalty of Rippon, where it should have been, Mayor, &c. of Rippon, and a site of the Parties having made a site of the parties having m Burgesses and Commonalty; but in this Case, the Parties having made a vide Carth. good Return, the Court refused to grant a new Writ; for by the Re- 500-1. turn, if false, they subjected themselves to an Action on the Case, and therefore a new Writ would be only vexatious.

So where in a Mandamus to the Corporation of Ipswick, the Direction 2 Salk. 434. was to the Vill de Gippo, instead of de Gippoico; and it was held that the Whitaker's Direction was wrong, Gippus and Gipwicus being different Names; but Cafe. that yet they should have returned the special Matter accordingly, and relied upon it; but that after the Return, they admitted themselves the Corporation to whom the Writ was directed; besides, a Corporation may have feveral Names.

If a Writ appears on the Face of it to be Felo de fe, the Court ex efficio Hill. 9 Geo 2. may quash it; as where the Bishop of Ely procured a Mandimus to the in B. R. Tie Vice-Master of Trinity-College Cambridge, to compel him to execute King v. I octor Bently Master of the said College, and which Sentence the Vice-Master, by the Statutes of the College, was obliged to execute; and it appearing on the Face of the Writ, that the Bishop himself was General Visitor, and that therefore it belonged to him to enforce the Execution of his own Sentence, the Court of B. R. quashed the Writ, being a Matter in which they had no Right to intermeddle, there being a proper Vifitor.

#### (C) In What Cases to be granted: And herein,

1. Alhere it lies to restoze or admit a Person to an Osice, and what hall be faid fuch a publick Office for which a Mandamus will lie.

Herein we must observe, that the Cases in the Books on this Head are so unsettled and contradictory, that it is hardly possible to six on any general Rule, whereby to determine in what Instances the Court of K. B. having a Superintendency over all Inferior Courts and Magistrates, will grant a Mandamus or not; for tho' in general it be laid down as a Rule, (a) that where a Man is refused to be admitted, or wrong- (a) tt Co. 93. fully turned out of any Office or Franchife that concerns the Publick, or Bagos's Cale. the Administration of Justice, he may be admitted, or restored by Man- said 112. damus; yet it being still Matter of Controversy, what shall be said a laid down Publick Office, or such as relates to the Administration of Justice; and by Glyn C. J. as the Court of late has rather extended than contracted this Remedy, it will be necessary, for the better apprehending hereof, to insert the Cases themselves, in which the Court has granted or denied a Mandamus.

It is clearly agreed, that the Court of King's Bench, having a Su- 11 Co. 98. perintendency over all Inferior Courts and Magistrates, may by the Plc- 4 Infe 71nitude of its Power correct, not only Errors in Judicial Proceedings, but also extrajudicial Errors and Misdemeanors, tending to the Breach of the Peace, Oppression of the Subject, to the Raising of Faction, Vol. III.

Controverly, Debate, or any Manner of Milgovernment; fo that no Tort or Injury, whether Publick or Private, can be committed, but what may be reformed and punished according to the due Course of

And on this Foundation it has been adjudged, and admitted in Va-11 Co. 94. Bagg's Case. ricty of Cases, that if a Mayor, Alderman, Burgess, (a) Common-Council Man, Freeman or other Person, Member of a Corporation, having 2 Bulft. 122. Stile 299, a Franchise and Freehold therein, be refused to be admitted, or being 457. admitted be turned out or disfranchised without just Cause, he may Rayni. 12, have his Remedy by Writ of Mandamus. 431, 437.

1 Vent. 302. (a) It is faid, that a Custom to elect one to be of the Common Council, and to remove him ad libitum, is good; but where a Man is a Freeman, or Alderman, &c. they cannot remove him from his Freedom or Place without Cause; and a Custom to the contrary is void, because the Party hath a Freehold therein; but that to be of Council is a Thing collateral to the Corporation. Cro. Jac. 450. Warren's Casc.

But it must appear what the Office is; and therefore a Mandamus to 2 Mod. 316. swear one, who was elected to be one of the eight Men of Ashburn-Court, was denied; because it was not specially inserted, what the Nature of the Office was, fo as the Court might be able to determine, whether it were fuch a Place for which a Mandamus will lie, or not.

A Mandamus lies to restore a Town-Clerk, being an Office of a Pub-Noy 78. lick Nature, and such as relates to the Administration of Justice; but Stile 457. (b) if a Corporation have Power by their Charter to have a Town-(b) 1 Vent 77. Clerk, who shall continue durante beneplacito of the Mayor and Alder-1 Sid. 461. I Lev. 291. men; by this they have an arbitrary Power of turning him out at Plea-Dichton V. fure, and need not, to the Return of a Mandamus, assign any reasonable Mayor of Stratford up- Cause for their Conduct herein.

on Avon. Raym. 188. S. C. where it is said, that the Court advised to repeal the Patent because of this Inconveniency.

(c) Stile 452. So a Mandamus lies for a (c) Recorder and (d) Clerk of the Peace: 1 Vent. 143, for these are Ossicers of a Publick Nature, and relate to the Administra-(d) 4 Mod 31. tion of Justice.

It is admitted by all (e) the Books which speak of this Matter, that (e) 2 Sid 112. a Mandamus lies to restore a Steward of a Court-Leet; but (f) some Raym. 12. hold, that a Mandamus does not lie to reftore a Steward of a Court-Ba-1 Sid. 40. 2 Lev. 18. ron, because but a private Office, and such as does not concern the Ad-1 Vent. 153. ministration of Justice; but (g) others hold that it does; because he 4 Med. 334. (f) Raym. 12. is Judge of that Part of the Court which concerns Copyholds, and is therefore an Officer concerned in the Administration of Justice. 1 Sid. 40.

4 Mod. 534. Comb. 127. (g) 1 Vent. 153. 2 Lev. 18. S. P. expresly by Hale C. J.

It hath been adjudged, that a Mandamus lies to restore one to an At-1 Lev. 75. 1 Sid. 152. torney's Place in an Inferior Court; because his is an Office concerning 1 Keb. 549. the Publick Justice, and is compellable to be an Attorney for any Man; adjudged in Hurft's Case, and has a Freehold in his Place. who was re-

flored to an Attorney's Place of the Court of Canterbury; and in one Collin's Case, who was restored to an Attorney's Place, of the Liberty of St. Martin's le Grand.

So a Mandamus was granted to the Mayor of Reading, for an Attor-1 Vent. 11. 1 Sid. 410. ney of B. R. who was prohibited to practice in an Inferior Court in 1 Mod 23.

1 Vent. 143, It hath been adjudged, that a Mandamus lies to restore a Sexton; tho' as to this the Court at first doubted; because he was rather a Servant 2 Lev. 18. to the Parish than an Officer, or one that had a Freehold in his Place; 2 Keb. 802 but upon a Certificate shewn from the Minister, and divers of the Parish, He's Cafe. that the Custom was to chuse a Sexton, and that he held it for his Life,

153.

and that he had 2 d. a Year of every House within the Parish; they granted a Mandamus directed to the Church-wardens.

A Mandamus lies to restore a Church-warden, being a Temporal Of- 2 Sid. 112. ficer, and an Office concerning the Publick; and therefore (a) where to Went. 143. a Mandamus to swear a Church-warden, chosen according to the Custom, 5 Mod. 335the Arch-deacon returned, that the Person presented was a poor Dairy- Comb. 417. Man who had no Estate, was Persona minus babilis & idonea for that Of- (a) Carth. fice, the Court granted a peremptory Mandamus.

393. Cemb. 417. 1 Salk. 166. The King v Rees.

So a Mandamus hath been granted to reftore a Parish-Clerk, chosen Stile 457. according to the Custom, being a Temporal Officer. 1 Vent. 143.

3 Mod. 335 Camb. 105.

So a Mandamus was lately granted, to admit one Robert Trott to the King v Do:-Office of Parish-Clerk of Clerkenwell, being elected by the Parish; it tor Henchman, being shewn that the Official had usually admitted to this Office. the Confi-

story Court of the Bishop of London.

So a Mandamus lies for a Schoolmaster, or the Usher of a School, if 2 Side 112. he be elected for Life, altho' he be not a fworn Officer; for this is a 1 Sid. 40.

Temporal and Publish Office in which the Party bath a Freehold.

Stile 457. Temporal and Publick Office, in which the Party hath a Freehold.

A Mandamus lies to admit, restore, or discharge a Constable; for he 2 Rol. Rep 82. is a Publick Officer, and one whose Office relates to the Administration 1 Rol. Abr. of Justice. 1 Salk. 175.

It hath been adjudged, that no Mandamus lies to restore a Proctor of Carth. 169, Doctors-Commons, admitting that no Appeal lay from the Dean of the 170. Arches to the Archbishop, as Visitor; because this is an Ecclesiastical Of- 3 Lev. 309 fice, and a Matter properly and only cognizable in that Court; and that skin. 290. the Temporal Courts are not to intermeddle, or inquire into this Sen- Lee's Cafe. tence, or into the Proceedings in any Matters whereof they have a pro- 1 Show. 217, per Jurisdiction, but are to give Credit thereunto; altho' it was urged, the Name of that if a Mandamus did not lie in this Cafe, the Party would be without The Kirg i. Remedy, for that no Affife would lie of this Office; and tho' an Action Oxenden. on the Case might lie, yet it may be defective; because a Jury may not well compute the Damages in Proportion to the Loss of a Man's Livelihood; befides it was urged, that a Mandamus ought to lie in this Cafe, as well as for an Attorney of an Inferior Court, because this is an (b) Officer of a more publick Concern.

(b) A Proctor is not an

Officer, properly speaking, it is only an Employment in that Court, which acts by different Rules from the King's Bench. 3 Mod. 335. Per Cur.

But it hath been fince held, that a Mandanus lies for a Register in an Ecclesiastical Court, (c) upon an Affidavit that he hath Ecclesiastical Jurisdiction.

6 Med. 18. S.P. per Holt;

but said to be against his Consent. (c) Comb. 133.

So upon a Mandamus to the Commissary of Tork, to admit Mr. Dry- Mich. 4 Geo. 2. den a Deputy-Register under Doctor Sharp; it was objected, that the The King v. Writ did not lie for an Ecclesiastical Officer, because he is under the Enquiry and Cenfure of his proper Judge; nor for a private Officer, because he may have his Action on the Cafe for a Difturbance, or an Affize, in Case the Place be a Freehold; and herein was cited the above Case of Lee, and the express Opinion of my Lord Holt therein, that a Mandamus did not lie for a Deputy-Register; in answer to which were cited the Cases of The King ver. Dostor Bettefworth, to admit Mr. Faulkes Apparitor General

to the Archbishop of Cauterbury; Hill. 4 Geo. 1. The King ver. The Chaps ter of Norwich, to admit Doctor Sherlock to a Prebendary; Hill. 9 Geo. 1. to the University of Cambridge, to restore Doctor Bentley to his Degrees of Master of Arts and Doctor of Divinity; from the Reason of which Cafes the Court held, that this Writ lay for a Register, an Officer much less Spiritual than a Prebendary, or the Degree of Doctor in Divinity; also this Mandamus is at the Suit of Doctor Sharp, and fets forth his Title to the Office of Register, exercendum per se vel sufficient' deputatum suum; and that the Commissary had refused Mr. Dryden, whom he appointed his Deputy; and that therefore the Mandamus was well awarded, because he had no other Way to get his Deputy admitted.

1 Vent. 110. 1 Lev. 306. 2 Keb. 738. The King ver. Clapbam

So where a Mandamus was prayed to the Lord President and Council of the Marches, to admit A. to the Exercise of the Office of Deputy-Secretary; and it was objected, 1st, That a Deputy could not pray a Mandamus, because his Authority was revocable. 2dly, That he being an Officer belonging to the Court, they are to judge of his Sufficiency, and fo have Power to refuse. As to the first Objection, it was adjudged, that the Mandamus being at the Suit of the Principal, and fetting forth that he had the Office of Secretary exercendum per se vel sufficientem deput' suum, the Mandamus was well awarded, because he had no other Remedy to have his Deputy admitted; and as to the fecond Objection, it was adjudged, that if they refused to admit him for Insufficiency, they ought to have returned that he was infufficient.

Comb. 133.

A Mandamus is faid to have been denied to restore a Clerk of a Dean and Chapter; because that he hath nothing to do with the Publick, his Office being only to enter Leafes granted, &c. and that therefore he hath no more to do with the Publick than a Bailiff of a Manor.

It is faid, that the Court refused to grant a Mandamus to restore a Comb. 41. Farest. 118. Surgeon to an Hofpital, because it was not a Publick Office.

S. P. where, in such a Case, the Court made a Rule, to shew Cause why the Mandamus should not be granted.

1 Lev. 123. 1 Sid. 169. 1 Keb. 625. Middleton's Cafe.

It hath been adjudged, that a Mandamus lies to restore the Treasurer of the New River Company; for tho' it be a private Corporation, yet it was created by the King's Letters Patent, which being on Record the Judges are obliged to take Notice of them, and fee that they are duly executed.

3 Mod. 334. S. C. cited;

and said to have been granted de bene effe, to bring the Matter before the Court.

Comb. 145.

A Mandamus was granted to the Mayor of Briftol, to restore Mr. Roe to the Office of Sword-Bearer.

1 Vent. 143. (a) Refused to restore

It is faid, that a Mandamus was denied to one, who pretended to be (a) Master of the Lord Mayor's Water-house, because not an Office, but a Service.

the Clerk of the Butcher's Company. 6 Mod. 18. - So to restore the Approver of Guns to the Gunsmiths Company. 6 Mod Sz. Comb. 347. - But Quere of these Cases; for they seem not to be Law.

Hill. 7 Geo. 2. ty of London.

A Mandamus was lately granted, to restore one Smith to the Office of in B. R. The Clerk of the City-Works; it appearing by his Affidavit, that the Office was an antient Office, established Time out of Mind, to survey the Works and Edifices of the City, and to fee that all the City-Buildings were well done; and to fign the Workman's Bills; and that he was admitted into this Office, with the Fees belonging to it, quandiu fe bene gefferit; and that there was an Oath of Office taken by him, and the Oaths to the Government; for the Court held, that tho' there was something here that looked like Service, by the Nature of the Employment, yet there being an Oath of Office, and Oaths to the Government to be taken, these import a Publick Office, for which a Mandamus is proper.

If there be a Dispute between the High-Steward of Westminster and 4 Mod 281. the Dean and Chapter, about appointing a Bailiff, and the Steward Comb. 244.

Knipe and names one, and the Dean and Chapter appoint and swear in another, Edwin. the Appointee of the Steward may have a Mandamus, but without Prejudice; for the' the Court will not regularly grant a Mandamus to try private Titles, yet here the Appointee of the Steward having no Seifin, fo as to enable him ro maintain an Assiste, and an Action on the Case only repairing him in Damages, without putting him in Possession of the Office, a Mandamus is a proper Remedy.

2. Where the Party's having another Remedy is a fufficient foundation to deny it; and therein of granting Mandamus's to restore Dembers of Colleges, &c.

It feems to be now agreed, that no Mandamus lies to restore or admit Skin. 454. a Fellow or Member of any (a) College; because that these being pri- Show Par. vate Eleemosinary Societies, and governed by particular Laws of the Cases. Founders, they who would take the Benefit of them, must take it on 124 in the fuch Terms as the Founder has thought proper to impose; and must Case of Philtherefore, in Case of any Grievance, apply themselves by way of Appeal lips and Bury to their (b) proper Visitors.

tled. (a) That the Law is the same in the Case of an Hospital or College of Physick, said to have been adjudged in Merrick's Case, who was one of the College of Physicians, and in Ayloffe's Case, Carth. 92. 3 Mod. 265. (b) That in Lay-Foundations, whether of Hospitals or Colleges, the Visitatorial Power is either in the Founder or his Heirs, or the Visitors appointed by the Founder, and they have the fole Power to excure Justice within that Foundation; but where the Corporation is Spiritual, there the Eishop of the Diocese is Visitor. Carth. 93. 10 Co. 31. 1 Show. 74.

And this feems to have been the better Opinion of the Judges, not only (c) As Dr. in those (c) Cases where Application was made for a Mandamus before Witherington's Case. the Party had appealed to the Visitor, but also where after such Appli- 1 Sid. 71. cation the Sentence had been confirmed by the Visitor; as in (d) Apple- Raym. 31,68. ford's Case, where to a Mandamus to restore him to a Fellowship of New 1 Lev. 23. College, the Return was, that by the Founder's Laws they might expel 1 Keb. 2, 50. any one who had committed an enormous Crime; and that Appleford Cafe. had committed an enormous Crime, and therefore they expelled him; 2 Keb. 102. that he appealed to the Visitor, who was the Bishop of Winchester, who Dr. Patrick's confirmed the Expulsion; and concluded to the Jurisdiction of the Court; Case. and this was held a good Return, tho' it did not mention what Manner 1 Lev. 65. of Crime Appleford had committed, fo that it might appear whether he 1 Sid. 346. was lawfully expelled or not; for it was not necessary to mention the 2 Keb. 164. Crime, because the Court had no Authority to intermedule with it.

A Mandamus to restore one Probust to the Place of Chaplain of All Carth. 168. Souls College in Oxon, being turned out by the Warden of that College, Prohaf's was granted upon Suggestion, that the Archbishop of Canterbury was Case. Visitor of the College, and the See being now vacant by the Deprivation of the Bishop, by Virtue of the Act of Parliament which enjoins the Oath of Allegiance; and for that *Probugt* had no other Remedy, because the Dean and Chapter of Canterbury, who are Guardians of the Spiritualty sede vacante, have (e) refused to meddle with this Visitatorial Power by (e) Whether way of Appeal. But at another Day, it being shewn in Behalf of the will lie to a College, that the Dean and Chapter of Canterbury, and not the Arch-Vifitor to bishop, are Visitors of this College, because they were created, and stand compel him

his Jurisdiction, was faid by my Lord Hardwick in Dr. Bentley's Case, Hill. 9 Georg. 2. not to have been determined, tho' a Rule for that Purpose, to shew Cause, was made 12 Ann. and he seemed to think, that if this Power of a Visitor be a Jurisdiction, yet it is Forum Domessicum, and not any publick Jurisdiction; or rather a Decision of the Founder, upon his own private Charity, than any Jurisdiction at all.

Vol. III.

6 U

instead

(d) 1 Mod. 2 Lev. 14

instead of the Prior and Convent of Canterbury, who were Visitors heretofore; and farther, that they were ready to hear the Appeal; the Court discharged the first Rule, and ordered Probust to apply himself by

way of Appeal.

Keb. 360. 3 Kev. , Wheeler's Case.

A Mandamus was prayed to the Mayor and Jurats of Sandwich, Governors of the Hospital of the Brothers and Sisters of St. Bartholomew, to restore one who was a Sister of the said Hospital; and it was urged, that a Mandamus ought to be granted, because the Party had a Corody and Freehold in the Hospital; but per Cur. the King is the Founder, and so hath the Visitation, and therefore Application must be made to him.

#### 3. What Removal or Turning out an Officer will intitle him to a Mandamus.

I Lev. 162. 1 Keb. 868. Raym. 152.

It feems by the better Opinion, that a Member of a Corporation, being only fuspended, and not (a) totally removed, may have a Mandamus; Raym. 152.
The King ver. because were it otherwise, they might always suspend, and thereby not Approved Men only effectually keep him out, but also deprive him of all Remedy of of Guildford. Redress.

(a) A Mandamus to restore an Alderman expelled from his Priority and Precedency of his Place of Alderman. I Lev. 119.

1 Sid. 29. Dr. Goddard

A Mandamus was granted to the College of Physicians in London, to 1 Lev. 19. restore Dr. Goddard to all the Privileges and Preheminencies that belonged TKeb. 75, 84. to him; the President of the College returns, that they were incorpover. College of rate, &c. and of the Statute H. 8. and that they made a By-Law, that there should be a select Number of twelve to attend in Committees, and that Dr. Goddard was one of the thirty, and that they put him out for certain Reasons, but that he remains Fellow still; and all the Court, except Mallet, held that this was a good Return; for it was in the Fellowship he had a Franchise; but to be one of the thirty is no such Thing as a Man may sue to be restored to, for it is only a select Number for the Convenience of ordering their Affairs.

#### (D) Where it lies to inferior Courts, and Magistrates, to oblige them to do that Justice, which the Publick Good requires, and the Laws enjoin.

Stil. 7, 8. I Lev. 186. 1 Sid. 293. Comb. 158, (b) And therefore, where to a Mandamus

THE Court of King's Bench having a Superintendancy over all inferior Courts and Magistrates, will oblige them to execute that Justice which the Party is intitled to, and which they are enjoined by Law to do; and of this there are Multitudes of Instances; (a) as where the Ordinary refuses to grant the Probate of a Will to an Executor, or to grant Administration to the next of kin, he may be compelled thereto by Mandamus; for these being Things enjoined by Statute, the Temporal to the Judge Courts will take Care that due Obedience is paid to them.

rogative Court to grant the Probate of a Will to a Person named Executor therein, the Ordinary returned, that he was an absending Person, and insolvent; and that he had refused to give Caution to pay Legacies bequeathed to some of the Testator's Infant Relations; and a peremptory Mandamus was granted; for the Ordinary has no Authority to interpose and demand Caution of the Executor, when the Testator himself required none. Carth. 467. t Salk. 299. The King ver. Sir Richard Raines. But where Executors may be compelled to give Security in Equity, vide Tit. Executors and Administraturs, Letter (A).

But a Mandamus will not lie to oblige the Ordinary to grant Admini- Hill. 4 Geo. 2. firation durante minori state of an Infant to the next of Kin, this being Smith's Case in Matter out of the Statutes, and therefore differences in the Ordinary in B. R. a Matter out of the Statutes, and therefore difcretionary in the Ordinary to whom to grant it; and if in such Case he grants it to an improper Person, or insists upon unreasonable Security, the Redress must be by Appeal; or if in the last Instance there be any Remedy at Common Law, it must be by Prohibition.

So if the Testator make J. S. his Residuary Legatee, who by the Mich. 7Geo. 2. Ecclesiastical Law is intitled to Administration upon the Executors ReKing ver. nunciation; yet if the Spiritual Court refuse to admit him thereto, they Bettefworth. cannot be compelled by Mandamus; for this is a Matter purely of Ecclefiastical Cognizance, and out of the Statutes; and therefore the Party's

Redrefs must be by Appeal.

If by the Custom of a Corporation, &c. a Person serving an Appren- 1 Lev 91. ticeship there, is at the End of his Term intitled to his Freedom, and 5 Mod. 107. the Mayor, &c. refuse to admit him thereto, they may be compelled by 6 Mod. 227, Mandamus; for this is an Act of Publick Justice, which the superior 260. Court will fee executed.

So it hath been held, that a Mandamus lies to the Justices of the 6 Mod 310. Peace, to oblige them to admit a Person to take the Oath of Allegiance, Peat's Case, and to subscribe according to the Act of Toleration, in order to qualify bim to teach a Difference Congregation; and herein it is field about the first state of figure and berein it is field about the first state of figure. him to teach a Diffenting Congregation; and herein it is faid, that the 6 Mod. 229. Party ought to fuggest whatever is necessary to intitle him to be admitted; and if that be not done, or if it be done, and the Fact be falfe, that will be a good Matter to Return.

So a Mandamus lies to the (a) Justices of the Peace, Church-wardens Comb. 422, and Overfeers of the Poor, to oblige them to make Rates for the Relief 478. of the Poor.

Peace to fign a Poor-Rate. 5 Mod. 275.

So Mandamus's have been granted to oblige Justices of the Peace to 2 Show. 74. discharge Prisoners, pursuant to Acts of Parliament made for the Relief Comb. 2033 vide 6 Mod. of Infolvent Debtors. 97-8.

So where by the Statutes 13 & 22 Car. 2. for erecting Newgate Market, I Vent. 187. Power is given to the Mayor and Aldermen of London to impanel a Raym. 214. Jury, who shall assess and adjudge what Satisfaction and Recompence Case. shall be given to the Owners of the Grounds; and that the Verdict of fuch Jury, on that Behalf to be taken, and the Judgment of the said Mayor and Court of Aldermen thereupon, and the Payment of the Money fo awarded or adjudged, &c, shall be binding and conclusive to and against the Owners, &c. and there being 15000 Foot of the Grounds of J. S. taken away for this Purpose, for which a Jury being impanelled affeffed and awarded two Shillings a Foot; but the Mayor and Court of Aldermen refusing to give Sentence or Judgment thereupon, a Mandamus was awarded to compel them to it.

And this general Jurisdiction and Superintendancy of the King's Bench over all inferior Courts to restrain them within their Bounds, and to compel them to execute their Jurisdiction, whether such Jurisdiction arises from a modern Charter, subsists by Custom, or is created by (b) (b) A Man-Act of Parliament, yet being in Subsidium Justitiæ, has of late been damus to the exercised in Variety of Instances; as (c) a Mandamus granted to the and Fellows Quarter-Sessions to give Judgment for abating a Nusance. of St. Fohn's

College Caribridge, to oblige them to turn out certain Fellows of the College, whose Places became void for not taking the Oaths of Supremacy and Allegiance, pursuant to the Statute 1 W. & M. Skin. 359, 368, 393, 546. 4 Mod. 233. S. C. (c) Hill. 3 Geo. 1.

So a Mandamus was granted to the Court of Sandwich, to give Judg- Mich 5Geo. t. ment in an Action of Assault and Battery.

Justice of the

So a Mandamus was granted to the Sheriffs Court in London, to give Mich. 7 Geo. 1. final Judgment upon a Writ of Inquiry. Baily ver. Bourn.

So a Mandamus was granted to the Bailiff of Andover, to give Judg-Trin. 2 Geo. 2. ment in a Cause there depending; but the Court in this Case required an Affidavit of their Refusal, or else it should be presumed that the Court would do right.

So a Mandamus was granted to the Corporation of Liverpool, to hold Mich. 8 Geo. 1. an Affembly for doing the publick Business, which was making Leases.

But tho' these kind of Writs are daily awarded to Judges of Courts to give Judgment, or to proceed in the Execution of their Authority, yet are they never granted to aid a Jurisdiction, but only to enforce the Execution of it; nor are they ever granted where there is another proper Remedy, and therefore will not lie to an Officer of an inferior Court, as to a Serjeant at Mace, an Apparitor,  $\mathcal{C}_{\varepsilon}$  to compel them to execute their Duty; for these are Servants to their respective Courts, and punishable by the Judges of them; and for the superior Court to interpose in obliging such inferior Officers, would be to usurp the Authority of the Court, which has a proper Jurisdiction over its own Officers, and which alone is answerable to the superior Court for the Execution of such Au-Hill. 9 Geo. 2. thority; and therefore where a Mandamus issued to the Vice-Master of in B. R. The Trinity College Cambridge, commanding him to execute a Sentence of King ver. Dr. Deprivation, pronounced by the Bishop of Ely, as Visitor of the College, against Dr. Bentley, the Master of that College; and it appearing on the Face of the Writ, and by the Return, that the Bishop himself or the King were Vifitors, the Court held, that no Mandamus would lie; for

Crown to be Visitor, the Vice-Master may be punished by Commissioners appointed by the Crown; one of which Ways the Court held to be the proper one to compel the Vice-Mafter to do his Duty. A Mandamus lies to deliver up the Enfigns of an Office, or the Papers or Records of a publick Nature to a Successor; as (a) a Mandamus to deliver the Mace, and other Enfigns of Mayoralty, to the succeeding (b) Comb. 102. Mayor; fo (b) a Mandamus to a Town-Clerk, to deliver feveral Books

taking the Bishop to be general Visitor, as the Writ supposes, he is the proper Person to carry his own Sentence into Execution, having Power tam in Capite quam in membris; and if the Vice-Master refuses Obedience to his Mandate, he may pronounce Sentence of Deprivation against him, and he will be immediately oufted by the Judgment; or taking the

Vide Tit. Corperations.

1 Sid. 31.

(a) 5 Mod. 314.

> which belonged to the Corporation. A Mandamus lies to oblige Corporations to chuse proper Officers, which if they neglected to do, this by the Common Law was a Forfeiture of their Charter; and tho' by the Common Law, upon the Death of a Mayor within his Year, which was the Act of God, and an ordinary Contingency, the Court of King's Bench was authorized to grant a

> Mandamus immediately to fill up the Vacancy; yet upon an Omission to clect at the Charter-Day, or upon the Removal of an Officer unduly chosen, there was no Power to compel an Election before the Day came round again to supply those Defects. By the 11 Georg. 1. eap. 4. it is enacted in the following Words: Whereas in many Cities, Boroughs and Towns Corporate, within that e Part of Great Britain called England, Wales, and Berwick upon Tweed, the Election of the Mayor, Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or 6 Officers, is by Charter, or ancient Usage, confined to a particular Day or Time, without any Provision how to act or proceed in case no Elec-'tion be then made; and it frequently happens that by fuch Charter, or Usage, particular Acts are required to be done at certain Times, in order to and for the compleating of fuch Elections, and by the Conf trivance or Default of the Person or Persons, who ought to hold the Court, or prefide in the Assembly where such Elections are to be

made, or fuch Acts to be done; or by Accident it hath fometimes happened, and may frequently do fo, if not timely prevented, that no Courts or Assemblies have been held, or Elections made, or such Acts done within the Time fixed for that Purpose; in which Cases, it Elections of such Officers could not afterwards be made, the Corporation should be dissolved, great Mischiefs might ensue, for Remedy and Prevention whereof be it enacted. That if any City, Borough or Town ' Corporate, within that Part of Great Britain called England, Wales, and Berwick upon Tuced, no Election shall be made of the Mayor. ' Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers of fuch City, Bofrough or Town Corporate, upon the Day, or within the Time appointed by Charter or Ulage for fuch Election; or fuch Election being made, shall afterwards become void, whether such Omission or Avoid-' ance shall happen thro' the Default of the Officer or Officers, who ought to hold the Court, or prefide where fuch Election is to be made, or by any Accident, or other Means whatfoever; the Corporation shall f not thereby be deemed or taken to be diffolved or difabled from electing fuch Officer or Officers for the future; but in any Case where no · Election shall be made as aforefaid, it shall and may be lawful for the · Members or Persons of such City, Borough or Corporation, who have Right to vote, or be prefent at, or to do any other Act necessary to be done, in order to or for the complexing such Election; and they, and fuch of them, as shall be hindered by any reasonable Impe-6 diment or Excuse, are hereby required respectively to meet or assemble be together in the Town Hall, or other usual Place of Meeting, for 6 making fuch Election within fuch City, Borough or Town Corporate, e upon the Day next after the Expiration of the Time within which ' fuch Election ought to have been made, unless fuch Day shall happen 6 to be Sunday, and then upon the Monday following between the Hours of ten in the Morning and two in the Afternoon of the same Day; and that the Members, or Persons, having Right to vote at, or to do any other Act necessary to be done in order to such Election, or such of them as shall be so assembled or met together, shall forthwith proceed to ' the Election of a Mayor or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers, 6 for fuch City, Borough or Corporation, and to do every Act necessary 6 to be done, in order to or for the compleating fuch Election, in fuch ' Manner as was usual in, or in order to the Election of such Officer or 6 Officers, upon the Day, or within the Time appointed by Charter or ' Usage for such Election; and in Case upon such Day of meeting hereby appointed for fuch Election, the Mayor; Bailiff or Bailiffs, or 6 other proper Officer or Officers, who ought to have held the Court, or • prefided at the Affembly for fuch Election, or doing any other Act ' necessary to be done in order to such Election, if the same had been ' made or done on the Day fixed, or within the Time limited by Charter or Usage for that Purpose, shall be absent; then such other Person, having a Right to Vote, being the nearest then present in Place or · Office to the Person or Persons so absenting himself, or themselves, fhall hold the Court, or prefide in the Meeting or Affembly hereby appointed, and shall have the same Power and Authority in all Refpects therein, as belongs to the Mayor, Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers, of the same City, Borough or Town Corporate, at any Court or Assembly, for the Election of Officers for such · Place, or for doing any other Act necessary to be done in order to fuch Election.

And Sect. 2. it is further enacted, 'That if it shall happen that in any City, Borough or Town Corporate, within that Part of Great Britain called England, Wales, and Berwick upon Tweed, no Election shall be made of the Mayor, Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers of such City, Borough or Town Corporate, upon the Day, Vol. III.

or within the Time appointed by Charter or Usage for that Purpose; and that no Election of fuch Officer or Officers shall be made pursuant to the Directions herein before prescribed; or such Election being made, 6 shall afterwards become void as aforesaid; in every such Case it shall 6 and may be lawful for his Majesty's Court of King's Bench, upon Motion to be made in the faid Court, to award a Writ or Writs of Mandamus, requiring the Members or Persons of such City, Borough or Town Corporate, having a Right, to vote at, or to do any other Act necessary to be done, in order to such Election, or to fignify to the faid Court good Cause to the contrary; and thereupon, to cause such Proceedings to be had and made, as in other Cases of Writs of Mandamus's granted by the faid Court for Election of Officers of Corporations, and of the Day and Time appointed, in and by any fuch Writ or Writs of Mandamus, for holding such Assembly, Publick Notice in Writing shall, by such Person as the Court shall appoint, be affixed in the Market-place, or some other publick Place within such City, Borough or Town Corporate, by the Space of fix Days before the Day so appointed; and such Officer, and other Person respectively, shall preside in such Assembly, as ought to have presided at the Election of fuch Mayor, Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers, or at the doing any other Act necessary to be done in order to such Elec-' tion, in Case the same had been made or done upon the Day herein before prescribed for that Purpose.

' Sect. 3. And whereas in certain Boroughs and Towns Corporate within that Part of Great Britain called England, Wales, and Berwick upon Tweed, the Mayor, Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers, is or are to be nominated, elected or fworn at a Court-Leet, or View of Frankpledge, or some other Court; and by reason of the 6 Contrivance or Default of the Lord, or his Steward, or such other 6 Officer, by or before whom fuch Court ought to be held, in not holding the same, or by some Accident it hath happened, and may here-6 after happen, that no due Nomination, Election or Swearing, of fuch ' Mayor, Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers, hath been, or shall be had or made; be it further enacted by the Authority afore-6 faid, That in every fuch Case it shall and may be lawful to and for his Majesty's Court of King's Bench, upon Motion to be made in the ' faid Court, to award a Writ of Mandamus, requiring the Lord, or his Steward, or other Officer, by or before whom such Court ought to be 6 held, to hold, or cause to be holden, such Court-Leet, or other Court, and to do every other Act necessary to be done by him, in 6 order to fuch Nomination, Election or Swearing, at fuch Day and 6 Time, as shall be for that Purpose judged proper by the said Court of King's Bench, and shall be appointed in such Writ; or to signify to ' the faid Court good Cause to the contrary; and thereupon, to cause 6 fuch Proceedings to be had and made, as in other Cases of Writs of 6 Mandamus, granted by the faid Court for holding of any Court, and ' of the Day and Time appointed, in and by any fuch Writ of Mandamus, for holding fuch Court, publick Notice in Writing shall, by such Perfon as the said Court of King's Bench shall appoint, be affixed in the Market-place, or fome other publick Place within fuch Borough or Town Corporate, by the Space of fix Days before the Day so appointed; and where a Nomination of Persons, in order to the Election of ' any fuch Mayor, Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers, is 6 to be made at such Court-Leet, or other Court; in every such Case, 6 after such Nomination made, all and every other Act and Acts neces-

6 ought to have been had, made and done, in Case such Election had 6 been made upon the Day next after the Expiration of the Time pre-2 6 scribed

fary to be done, in order to fuch Election, shall be had, made and done at such Assembly, and in such Manner and Form as the same

fcribed for fuch Election by the Charter or Ulage of fuch Borough or
Corporation, according to the Directions herein before mentioned.

• Sect. 4. And be it further enacted by the Authority aforefaid, That the Mayor, Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers, who shall be elected pursuant to the Directions of this Act, shall take the

Oath, or Oaths, by Law required, at the Time of his Admission into fuch Office, before such Officer as shall preside at such Election, in

Purfuance of this Act, who is hereby authorized and required to administer such Oath or Oaths, and shall have the same Privileges, Pre-

cedence, Powers and Authorities, in all Respects, as any Mayor,
Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers, of the fime City,

Borough or Corporation, elected on the Days or Time fixed by Chatter

or Usage for that Purpose, ought to have or enjoy.

Sect. 5. Provided always, that no fuch Election, nor any Act done
in order thereunto, shall be valid, unless as great a Number of Perfons, having a Right to be present at and vote therein, shall be present
at the Assembly holden for such Purpose, and concur therein, as would
respectively have been necessary to be present and concur in such
Election or Act, in case the same had been made or done upon the
Day, or within the Time appointed for that Purpose, by the Charter
or Usage of such City, Borough or Corporation, saving only that the
Presence of the Mayor, Bailiss or other chief Officer or

Officers, who ought to prefide, shall not be necessary.

Sect. 6. And be it further enacted by the Authority aforefaid, 6 That 6 if any Mayor, Bailiff or Bailiffs, or other chief Officer or Officers, of 6 any City, Borough or Town Corporate, shall voluntarily absent him-6 felf, or themselves from, or knowingly, or designedly prevent or hinder 6 the Election of any other Mayor, Bailiff, or other chief Officer in the 6 same City, Borough or Town Corporate, upon the Day, or within the 6 Time appointed by Charter or ancient Usage for such Election, the 6 Terson or Persons so offending, being thereof lawfully convicted, shall 6 for every such Offence suffer Imprisonment for the Space of six Months, 6 without Bail or Mainprize, and shall be for ever disabled to take, 6 hold, or exercise any Office belonging to the same City, Borough or 6 Corporation.

Sect. 7. And be it further enacted, 'That no Corporation shall be deemed or adjudged to be dissolved or disabled from electing a Mayor, Bailiss or Other chief Officer or Officers, by reason of any Omission or Default which hath already happened, in not nominating, electing or swearing a Mayor, Bailiss or Bailiss, or other chief Officer or Officers of such Corporation, upon the Day, or within the Time limited for such Nomination, Election or Swearing, by the Charter or Usage of such Corporation, or by Reason of the Absence of the Mayor, Bailiss or Bailiss, or other chief Officer or Officers, who ought to have presided at the Assembly for such Nomination, Election or Swearing, or by Reason of such Election having become void, as aforesaid; but every such Corporation shall be adjudged, deemed and taken to be, and to have been substitting and capable of electing such Officer or Officers to all Intents and Purposes; any such Omission, Absence, Default or Avoidance, or any Defect, Disability or Forseiture arising

therefrom, in any wife notwithstanding.

Sect. 8. Provided always, That nothing herein contained shall ex-

tend, or to be construed to extend to invalidate or make void any Charter heretofore granted to and accepted by any City, Borough or Town Corporate, or any Corporation within the same, or any of them, or any Elections or Acts had, made or done in Pursuance of any such Charter, nor to make good the Election of any Officer or Member of any ber, or of any Person claiming to be an Officer or Member of any City, Borough or Corporation, against whom any Judgment of Ousier

- 6 shall have been entred or given upon any Information in the Nature of a Quo Warranto, or whose Election shall have been avoided upon any
- Writ of Mandamus, on or before the last Day of Michaelmas Term in
- 6 the Year of our Lord God 1724.
  Sea. 9. It is further enacted, 6 That where any Writ of Mandamus
- 6 shall issue out of the Court of King's Bench in any of the Cases
- 6 aforefaid, the Person or Persons, to whom such Writ shall be directed,
- 6 shall make his or their Return to the first Writ of Mandamus.

#### (E) Of the Authority by Which it issues; and therein of the discretionary Power in the Court of granting or refusing it.

Vide Tit. Courts and their Jurisdiction.

1 Vern. 175.

HIS general Jurisdiction and Superintendancy is now only exercised by the Court of King's Bench, as the supreme Court for restraining and keeping all inferior Courts and Magistrates within their proper Bounds, and obliging them to execute that Justice with which they are invested.

And tho' a Mandamus may iffue out of Chancery, yet on a Motion to the Lord Keeper, to grant a Mandatory Writ to the Chief Justice of the King's Bench, to command him to fign a Bill of Exceptions, and a Precedent produced, where in a like Cafe fuch a Writ had iffued out of Chancery to the Judge of the Sheriffs Court in London; the Lord Keeper denied the Motion, for that the Precedent produced was to an inferior Court, and he would not prefume but the Chief Justice of England would do what should be just in the Case.

But tho' the Court of King's Bench be intrusted with this Jurisdiction of iffuing out Mandamus's, yet are they not obliged to do fo in all Cafes wherein it may feem proper, but herein may exercise a discretionary Power, as well in refusing as granting such Writ; as where the End of it is meerly to try a private Right; where the granting it would be attended with manifest Hardships and Difficulties, &c. So even since the Statute 11 Geo. 1. for obliging Corporations to elect Officers, it hath been The King ver. held, that this Court has a discretionary Power of refusing a Writ for that Purpose, but may first receive Information about the Election, and, if diffatisfied about the Right, may fend the Parties to try it in an Information.

1 Sid. 169. 1 Lev. 23. 2 Lev. 14. 2 Show. 74. Carth. 169. Ca. Law Eq.

Hill. 8 Geo. 2.

Mayor and

Burgesses of

Tintagel in Cornaval.

> Also in a doubtful Case, the Court of King's Bench may award a Mandamus to be confidered of further on the Return, which may give more Light, and discover more fully the Justness of granting or refusing ir, and on such Return may either establish or quash the Writ.

### (F) To Whom to be directed.

(a) 2 Salk. 432, 701.

THE Writ is to be directed to him, who by Law is obliged to exe-, **L** cute it, or to do the Thing thereby required; and therefore (a)where a Mandamus was granted to the Mayor, &c. of Norwich, it was moved, that the Sense of the Mayor differed from the Majority of the Corporation, and that he would execute the Writ; whereas the Corporation were for returning an Excuse, &c. and they prayed, that the Mayor might be ordered to deliver the Writ to the Rest of the Corpothe Mayor ration. Sed non allocatur; for he is the Head and Principal, and (a) take had made your Courfe against him.

any Return, contrary to

the Votes of the Majority concerned, it was at his Peril; and that the Way to punish him was by Information in B. R. Carth. 500.

If a Mandamus be directed to the two Bailiss of a Town, to swear in 6 Mod. 133. other Bailiffs, and they object, that having sworn in others, and being The Queen ver. The now no longer Bailiffs, and that the Writ not being directed to them ver. The Town of Cliin their natural Capacities, they are not obliged to pay any Obedience theree. thereto; the Court will notwithstanding oblige them to return the Writ; for if the Persons sworn in by them had no Right to be chosen, they still continue Bailiss, and ought to obey the King's Writ.

But where a Mandamus was directed to the Church-wardens of II. Trin. 5 Geo. 2 to restore A to the Office of Sexton, and served upon the late Church- in B. R. The wardens, after their Office was expired; and a Rule being made to flew King ver. Cause why an Attachment should not go, for not obeying the Manda-dens of Wrexmus; and the whole Matter being disclosed by Assidavit, the Court al-ham. lowed as a good Reason for their not returning the Writ; that they, at the Time of the Writ delivered to them, were not Churchwardens.

A Mandamus to the Mayor, Aldermen and Capital Burgesses of D. 2 Salk. 436 viz. whereas A. and B. &c. removed the Party complaining from his Of-fice of Burgess, commanding them to command A. and B. to restore him, was quashed, for that it is absurd, that the Writ should be directed of Derby. to one Person to command another.

### (G) By whom to be returned.

THE Writ is to be returned by him to whom it is directed; and if Skin. 368. any other return it in his Name, without his Privity and Confent, Comb. 422. an Action on the Case lies against him; also it is such an Offence, for 2 Show, 505. which the Court will grant an Attachment.

If a Mandamus be directed to the Mayor, &c. and the Mayor, who is Carth. 500. the most principal and proper Person, returns and brings in the Writ; The King the Court upon Affidavits will not examine, whether there was the ver. Mayor, Sense of the Majority, but will receive it, and leave the Parties to pu- ecc. of ingdon. nish the Mayor for the Misdemeanor, if he be guilty; but a peremptory 2 Salk. 431. Mandamus will be granted, if the Return be falsified.

S. C. and Leave given

by the Court to file an Information against the Mayor.

#### (H) Of the Manner of inforcing Obedience to the Writ, and compelling a Return.

ON every Mandamus there regularly issues an Alias and Pluries, to ob- 2 Salk 429, lige the Party to return the Writ; but the Court of King's Bench 434.

may make a peremptory Rule to return the first Writ; and in case of Dis- 6 Mod. 25.

Skin. 669 obedience grant an Attachment; also by the Statutes 9 Ann. and 11 Geo. 1. Persons, who are by Law required to make Returns to Mandamus's, in Vol. III.

fuch Cases as are within these Statutes, must make their Return to the first Writ of Mandamus.

Mich. 9Geo. 2. The King ver. Baskerville, Sheriff of Shropshire.

If an Attachment iffues for not returning a Mandamus, and the Sheriff, who is to ferve the Process, takes Bail thereupon, this is such a Misdemeanor, for which an Attachment will be granted against him; for these are not like Attachments in Chancery, for want of an Answer, which are only as Attachments of Process, but are Writs on Contempt, in Nature of Executions, and so not bailable by the Sheriff.

6 Mod. 152.

If a Mandamus is awarded for electing an Officer, and there is an Equality of Votes, so that the Electors cannot agree, it is said, that they shall be all brought up as in Contempt, and said by the Heels till they do agree.

## (1) What hall be said a good Return.

1 Salk. 432. 1 Vent. 111. As every Mandamus iffues upon a Supposal of some Breach and Disobedience of the Law, or Neglect of the Party's Duty to whom it is directed, the Return thereto must be certain to every Respect; and therefore it is said, not to be sufficient to offer such Matter as the Party may falsify in an Action, but also such Matter must be alledged, that the Court may be able to judge of it, and determine whether the Party's Conduct be agreeable to Law or not.

1 Vent 110. The King ver. Clapham.

Therefore, if to a Mandamus to the Lord President and Council of the Marches, to admit a Person to the Exercise of the Office of Deputy-Secretary, the Return is, that Non fuit tempore receptionis brevis deputatus constitutus; this is naught; for if he were made his Deputy before, the Return was true; unless he made him his Deputy at the very Instant of the Receipt of the Writ.

2 Salk. 436. The Queen ver. Mayor, &c. of Norwich. To a Mandamus to admit a Person Alderman, the Party may return, that he was not qualified, or that he was not elected; also several Causes may be returned, but they must be consistent; and therefore if the Return admits a good Election, and afterwards avoids it by Matter repugnant, this is naught.

6 Mod. 309.

A Mandamus to swear one into the Place of Town-Clerk; the Return was, that upon the Election B. had eighteen Voices, and the Party who sued the Mandamus but seventeen; and that they swore in B. and it was held a bad Return, being argumentative, when it should be express and direct, that he was not chose.

Raym. 153.

A Mandamus was granted to restore the Recorder of Bainstable, directed to the Mayor of the Corporation; and he returned, quod non constat nobis that he was ever elected; and the Return adjudged insufficient, and Restitution awarded.

1 Sid. 209. 1 Keb. 655, 716, 733. So where to a Mandamus, to reftore a Town-Clerk, it was returned, that he nunquam debito modo admissis; and it was held a bad Return, being a Negative pregnant, and involving Matter of Law, when the plain Fact only should be returned, so as to enable the Court to adjudge upon it, and the Party to bring his Action, in case it were false.

Carth. 170. Lambert's Cafe. 2 Salk. 433. 5 Mod. 11. S. P.

But if the Mandamus suggest, that he was debite electus, a Return quod non fuit debite electus is good, because it answers the Suggestion in the Writ.

# (K) Of traverling the Return, and taking Islue thereon.

THE Party to the Return of a Mandamus could not traverse nor in- 1 Vent. 111 terplead, which is one Reason why the utmost Certainty was re- 2 Salk. 435

quired in such Return.

But now by the 9 Ann. cap. 20. reciting, that divers Perfons had illegally intruded themselves into, and taken upon them to execute the Office of Mayors, Bailiffs, Port-reeves, and other Offices within Cities, Towns Corporate, Boroughs and Places; and the great Difficulty of determining, where the Office was annual, the Right to the same, within the Compass of the Year, or where it was not annual, the Difficulty of determining the Right, before the Persons had done divers Acts prejudicial to the Peace and Order of fuch City, &c. and reciting the great Difficulty Persons illegally turned out, or refused to be admitted, lay under, and the Dilatoriness and Expence attending the Proceedings on Writs of Mandamus, it is therefore enacted, 6 That as often as, in any of the · Cases aforesaid, any Writ of Mandamus shall issue out of the King's Bench, the Courts of Sessions of Counties Palatine, or out of any the Courts of the Grand Seffions in Wales, and a Return shall be made 6 thereunto, it shall and may be lawful to and for the Person or Persons, fuing or profecuting fuch Writ of Mandamus, to plead to or traverse all or any the material Facts contained within the faid Return; to which the Person or Persons making such Return, shall reply, take 6 Issue or demur; and such further Proceedings, and in such Manner 6 shall be had therein; for the Determination thereof, as might have been had if the Person or Persons, suing such Writ, had brought his or their Action on the Case for a false Return; and if any Issue shall be ' joined on such Proceedings, the Person or Persons suing such Writ, 6 shall and may try the same in such Place, as an Issue joined in such Ac-'tion on the Case should or might have been tried; and in case a Ver-6 dict shall be found for the Person or Persons suing such Writ, or Judg-6 ment given for him or them on Demurrer, or by Nil dicit, or for Want of a Replication or other Pleading, he or they shall recover his and their Damages and Costs, in such Manner as he or they might have 6 done in such Action on the Case as aforesaid; such Costs and Da-6 mages to be levied by Capias ad Satisfaciendum, Fieri Facias or Elegit, and a peremptory Writ of Mandamus shall be granted without Delay, for him or them for whom Judgment shall be given, as might have been, if such Return had been adjudged insufficient; and in case Judg-' ment shall be given for the Person or Persons making such Return to fuch Writ, he or they shall recover his or their Costs of Suit, to be le-' vied in Manner aforesaid.

# (L) Of the Party's Remedy for a falle Return.

IT is clearly agreed, that for a false Return to a Mandamus an Action 11 Co. 99. on the Case lies; as if upon a Mandamus to restore T. S. to his Bagg's Case. Place of Burgess of P. the Mayor, &c. return a good Cause, the Matter of which is false, an Action lies for the salse Return.

Carth. 171-2. ton, Lord 6 Mod. 152. S. P.

Alfo it hath been adjudged, that where the Return is made by feveral Sir Peter Rich Persons, the Action may be either joint against all or several, being founded on a Tort or Injury; as if made by the Mayor and Aldermen, Mayor of Lon- the Action may be brought against the Mayor only; and if upon Evidence it appears, that he voted against the Return, but was over-ruled by the Majority, the Plaintiff will be nonfuited.

1 Salk. 374.

Also if the Matter concerns Publick Government, and no particular Person is so far interested, as to maintain an Action, the Court will grant an Information against the particular Persons that made the Return.

## (M) Df awarding a peremptory Mandamus.

**T**F the Return be infufficient, or fallified in an (a) Action on the Cafe, (a) That on 1 the Court regularly grants a (b) peremptory Mandamus, either to adfalsifying the mit, (c) restore or discharge, &c. the Party, as the Case requires. Return, in

an Action on the Case, no Motion can be made for a peremptory Mandamus till four Days are past after the Return of the Posten; because the Defendant has so long to move in Arrest of Judgment. 2 Salk. 430-1. (b) Not to be granted in the first Instance. Skin. 669. 5 Mod. 314. (c) But it is said, that if the Court does not see Cause of Restitution, tho' there be no good Return to the Writ, yet they will not grant a peremptory Mandamus. Farest. 83 4.

2 Salk. 428. Skin. 670. S. C.

But the Action, which fallifies the Return, is to be brought in that Court out of which the Mandamus issued; and therefore where in an Action on the Case in C. B. for a salie Return to a Mandamus, Judgment was given for the Plaintiff on Demurrer; yet the Court of B. R. refused to grant a peremptory Mandamus; because every Mandamus recites the Fact prout nobis constat per recordum, which cannot be said in this Case, as the Court cannot take Notice of the Records of the Common Pleas.

# Master and Servant.

HE Relationship between a Master and a Servant from the Superiority and Power which it creates on the one Hand, and Duty, Subjection, and as it were (d) Allegiance on the other, (d) Hence by is in many Instances applicable to other Relationships, which the Statute 25 E. 3. it is are in a superior and subordinate Degree; such as Lord and Bailiss, Prin-Petit Trea- cipal and Attorney, (e) Owners and Masters of Ships, Merchants and fon for a Ser-Factors, and all others having Authority to enforce Obedience to their vant to kill Orders, from those whose Duty it is to obey them, and whose Acts, being his Master; in the Con- conformable to their Duty and Office, are esteemed the Acts of their Prinftruction

whereof it hath been held to extend to a Mistress, or Master's Wife. Plow. 86. 3 Inft. 20. 4 Co. 46. (e) Where a Master of a Ship is expresly said to be a Servant to the Owners, and the Owners shall answer for him as such. 3 Mod. 323. 2 Vern. 643.

cipals;

cipals; but these being treated of under their proper Heads, we shall here consider this Relationship, as it more particularly affects Masters and those who are more properly called Servants and Apprentices.

- (A) Of the Manner of Piring and Binding a Person Serbant oz Appzentice.
- (B) Who may ferbe, or are capable of binding thems felbes Servants or Apprentices.
- (C) Of the Jurisdiction of Justices of Peace in binding out Apprentices, in obliging Masters to provide for them, in compelling them to refund the Money had with them, and in discharging Apprentices from their Masters.
- (D) De the Pecellity of ferbing an Appzenticeship, as a Dualisication to follow a Trade within the 5 Eliz. And herein,
  - 1. What shall be said a Trade, which a Person is prohibited to follow, within the Statute.
  - 2. What Manner of following or exercifing a Trade shall be faid within the Statute.
  - 3. What Kind of Service will be a fufficient Qualification within the Statute.
  - 4. By whom the Offence of following a Trade without a Qualification is cognizable.
  - 5. Of the Form of the Proceedings in order to a Conviction, for following a Trade without being qualified.
- (E) Of alligning and turning over Apprentices to other Walters.
- (F) Of making Apprentices frec.
- (G) How Apprentices are to be taken Care of when their Makers happen to die.
- (H) Of Serbants Mages, how recoverable.
- (1) What Acts of the Servant are deemed the Master's, of which the Master may take Advantage.
- (K) What Acts of the Servant hall be deemed the Master's, for which the Matter hall answer and be bound.
- (L) For what Acts of his hall the Servant himself ans swer to others.
- (M) for what Ans of his mall the Servant answer, and be responsible to his Matter: And herein,
  - 1. Where by an implied Trust or Confidence a Servant shall answer in a Civil Action.
  - 2. Where Servants and Apprentices shall be punished criminally, for Acts done in relation to their Masters.

- (N) Of the Matter's Authority over his Serbant, and how far he may correct and punish him.
- (0) Of the Matter's Remedies against others for inticing away, and other Jujuries done, in relation to his Scrbant.
- (P) What a Master or Serbant may justify doing in each other's Defence.

#### (A) Of the Panner of Hiring and Binding a Person Servant of Appientice.

THE Retaining a menial Servant and Taking an Apprentice differ greatly, as to the Manner; for as to the first it may be by Parol 21 H. 6. 23. 3 Keb 304. 6 Mod. 182. (a) Contract, or Agreement only, and therefore such a one may be dis-1 Salk. 68. (a) That by charged by Parol, and without Writing; but an Apprentice must be by the Contrast Deed, and cannot be discharged without Deed. he is confi-

dered as Servant, tho' he has not yet actually done any Service for his Master. Dalt. Just. cap. 58. -And on such Contract the Master may have an Action against him, if he either resuses to serve at all, or departs before the Time is expired for which he agreed to serve. Dalt. Just. cap. 58. And where the Master has his Remedy against another detaining him, vide infra Letter (O).

A Servant may hire himself for what Time he pleases; but it is said, Co Lit. 42. that if a Man retain a Servant generally, without expressing any Time, the Law will construe it to be for one Year, because that Retainer is according to Law.

Also it hath been adjudged, that if a Person retain a Servant for a Year, & sic de anno in annum quamdiu ambabus partibus placuerit, that after a fecond Year begun the Retainer holds good for another Year; and that it shall not be a Retainer for a Year certain, and afterwards at Will.

And as an Apprentice can only be bound by Deed, fo it is necessary, according to the Custom of (b) some Places, that such Deed or Indenture be inrolled; as in London, if the Indentures be not inrolled before the Chamberlain within a Year, upon a Petition to the Mayor and Aldermen, &c. a Scire fac' shall issue to the Master, to shew Cause why not inrolled; and if it was through the Master's Default, the Apprentice (c) may fue out his Indentures, and be discharged; otherwise if through Apprentices the Fault of the Apprentice; as if he would not come to present himtheir Inden- felf before the Chamberlain, &c. for it cannot be inrolled, unless the Aptures are to prentice be in Court and acknowledges it.

in the next Corporate Towns. 3 Lev. 389 (c) Where it is necessary in order to prove him an Apprentice. Skm. 579.

> But it hath been held, that this Custom does not extend to one bound Prentice to a Waterman, under twenty-one Years of Age; for the Company of Watermen are but a voluntary Society, and being free of that does not make one free of London.

5 Atod. 69.

2 Keb. 16.

Cotes ver.

2 Rol. Rep.

Palm. 361.

1 Mod. 271.

(b) Where

Perfons, binding themselves

Sadler.

305.

## (B) Tuho may ferve, or are capable of binding themselves Servants or Apprentices.

IT is faid, that if a married Man and his Wife do bind themselves Dalt cap 58, to serve, they shall be compelled thereto, according to their Covenant or Agreement; and that if a Woman who is a Servant shall marry, yet she must serve out her Time; and her Husband cannot take her out of her Master's Service.

It feems clearly agreed, that by the (a) Common Law Infants, or Per-  $_{11}$  Co. Sq  $\dot{\theta}$ fons under the Age of twenty-one Years, cannot bind themselves Ap- 2 Inft. 579. prentices, in such a Manner as to intitle their Masters to an Action of 380. Covenant, or other Action, for departing their Service, or other Breaches Finell, 15. of their Indentures; which makes it necessary, according to the usual (a) Nor 110 Practice to get some of their Friends to be bound for the faithful Dis- Equity. Abr. charge of their Offices, according to the Terms agreed on.

 $\frac{Eq. 6.}{-}$  But if

an Infant of five Years of Age, or other Person who is not Potens in corpore, be retained, and serve in the best Manner they can, their Masters must pay them their Wages. Bro. Tit. Labour, 46. Dalt. Just.

But by the 5 Eliz. cap. 4. sect. 42, 43. it is enacted in the Words following, 'And because there hath been, and is some Question and Scruple moved, whether any Person being within Age of twenty-one Years, and bounden to ferve as an Apprentice, in any other Place than in the faid City of London, shall be bounden, accepted and taken as an Apprentice; for the Resolution of the said Scruple and Doubt, be it enacted by the Authority of this present Parliament, That f all and every fuch Person or Persons, that at any Time or Times from henceforth shall be bounden by Indenture to serve as an Apprentice in any Art, Science, Occupation or Labour, according to the Tenour of this Estatute, and in Manner and Form aforesaid, albeit the same Apprentice, or any of them, shall be within the Age of twenty-one Years, at the Time of making their feveral Indentures, shall be bounden 6 to ferve for the Years in their feveral Indentures contained, as amply

and largely to every Intent, as if the same Apprentices were of full Age at the Time of making such Indentures; any Law, &c.

But notwithstanding this Statute, it hath been held, in an Action of Cro. Car. 179. Covenant against an Apprentice, for departing from his Master's Service Without Licence, within the Time of his Apprenticeship; where the Defendant pleaded, that at the Time of making the Indenture he was with S. P. in Age; and on Demurrer to this Plea, it was argued, that this Indenture should bind the Infant, because it was for his Advantage to be bound Apprentice, to be instructed in a Trade; it was also urged, that he was compellable by the 5 Eliz. fupra, to be bound our an Apprentice; but all the Court resolved, that although an Infant may voluntarily bind himself an Apprentice, and if he continue an Apprentice for seven Years, he may have the Benefit to use his Trade; yet neither at the Common Law, nor by any Words of the above-mentioned Statute, a Covenant or Obligation of an Infant, for his Apprenticeship, shall bind him; but if he misbehave himself, the Master may correct him in his Service, or complain to a Justice of Peace, to have him punished according to the Statute; but no Remedy lieth against an Infant upon such Covenant.

By the Custom of London, an Infant unmarried, and above the Age of Meer 134. fourteen, may bind himself Apprentice to a Freeman of London, by In- 2 Bulft. 192. denture with proper Covenants; which Covenants, by the Custom of 2 Roll Rep. London, shall be as (b) binding as if he were of full Age.

Palm. 361. 1 Mod. 271. 2 Keb. 687. (b) And for a Breach an Astion may be brought in any other Court, as well as in the Courts of the City. Moor 136.

 $(C) \mathfrak{D}_{\mathfrak{k}}$ 

(C) Of the Jurisdiction of Justices of Peace in binding out Apprentices, in obliging Pasters to provide for them, in compelling them to refund the Money had With them, and in discharging Apprentices from their Pasters.

HE Jurisdiction of Justices of the Peace herein depends on divers

Acts of Parliament, particularly on the a Bije Acts of Parliament, particularly on the 5 Eliz. cap. 4. the most material Clause of which, as to this Purpose, is Sect. 35. which is as followeth: 'That if any Person shall be required by any Housholder, having and using Half a Plough-Land, at the least, in Tillage, to be an Apprentice, and to serve in Husbandry, or in any other kind of Art, ' Mystery or Science, before expressed, and shall refuse so to do, that ' then, upon Complaint of iuch Housekeeper, made to one Justice of ' the Peace of the County where the faid Refusal is, or shall be made, or of fuch Housholder inhabiting in any City, Town Corporate, or Market-Town, to the Mayor, Bailiffs, or head Officer of the faid City, 'Town Corporate, or Market-Town, if any such Resusal shall be there, they shall have full Power and Authority, by Virtue hereof, to fend for the same Person so refusing; and if the Justice, or the said Mayor or head 6 Officer, shall think the said Person meet and convenient to serve as an Apprentice in that Art, Labour, Science or Mystery, wherein he shall be ' fo then required to serve, that then the faid Justice, or the faid Mayor or head Officer, shall have Power and Authority, by Virtue hereof, ' if the said Person resuse to be bound as an Apprentice, to commit him unto Ward, there to remain until he be contented, and will be 6 bounden to ferve as an Apprentice should serve, according to the true 6 Intent and Meaning of this present act; and if any such Master shall e misuse or evil intreat his Apprentice, or that the said Apprentice shall have any just Cause to complain, or the Apprentice do not his Duty 6 to his Master, then the said Master, or Apprentice, being grieved, and 6 having Cause to complain, shall repair unto one Justice of Peace within 6 the faid County, or to the Mayor, or other head Officer of the faid ' City, Town Corporate, Market-Town, or other Place, where the faid ' Master dwelleth, who shall, by his Wisdom and Discretion, take such 6 Order and Direction between the said Master and his Apprentice, as ' the Equity of the Cause shall require; and if for want of good Conformity in the Master, the said Justice of Peace, or the said Mayor or head Officer, cannot compound and agree the Matter between him and his Apprentice, then the said Justice, or the said Mayor, or other ' head Officer, shall take Bond of the said Master to appear at the next Seffions then to be holden in the faid County, or within the faid City, ' Town Corporate, or Market-Town, if the said Master dwell within any fuch; and upon his Appearance, and hearing of the Matter, before the said Justices, or the said Mayor, or other head Officer, if it be thought meet unto them to discharge the said Apprentice of his Apprenticehood, that then the faid Justices, or four of them at the least, whereof one of them to be of the Quorum, or the said Mayor, or other head Officer, with the Consent of three other of his Brethren, or Men of best Reputation within the said City, Town Corporate or Market-Town, shall have Power, by Authority hereof, in Writing ' under their Hands and Seals, to pronounce and declare that they have 6 discharged the said Apprentice of his Apprenticehood, and the Cause thereof; and the faid Writing, fo being made and enrolled by the

Clerk of the Peace, or Town-Clerk, amongst the Records that he keepeth, shall be a sufficient Discharge for the said Apprentice against his Master, his Executors and Administrators; the Indenture of the faid Apprenticehood, or any Law or Custom to the contrary notwithftanding; and if the Default shall be found to be in the Apprentice, then the faid Justices, or the faid Mayor, or other head Officer, with the Affistance aforesaid, shall cause such due Correction and Punishment to be ministred unto him, as by their Wisdom and Discretion shall be thought meet.

e Provided, That no Person shall by Force or Colour of this Statute be bounden to enter into any Apprenticeship, other than such as be under the Age of Twenty-one Years.

By the 43 Eliz. cap. z. feet. 5. it is enacted, 6 That it shall be lawful for the Church-wardens and Overseers of the Poor, or the greater Part of them, by the Assent of any two Justices of the Peace, to bind poor Children Apprentices, where they shall see convenient, till such Man-6 Child shall come to the Age of Twenty-four Years, and such Woman-6 Child to the Age of Twenty-one Years, or the Time of her Mairiage; the same to be as effectual to all Purposes, as if such Child were of full Age, and by Indenture of Covenant bound him or herself.

By the I fac: 1. cap. 25. scet. 23. this last mentioned Act is continued, with this further Addition, 'That all Persons, to whom the Overseers of the Poor shall, according to this Act, bind any Children Apprentices,

may take and receive and keep them as Apprentices; any former Stature to the contrary notwithstanding.

By the 8 & 9 11.3. cap. 30. reciting, that whereas by an Act made in the 43 Eliz. it is, among other Things, enacted, that it shall be lawful for the Church-wardens and Overfeers of the Poor of any Parish, or the greater Part of them, by the Assent of two Justices of the Peace, whereof one to be of the *Quorum*, to bind poor Children Apprentices where they shall see convenient; but there being Doubts, whether the Perfons, to whom fuch Children are to be bound, are compellable to receive such Children as Apprentices, that Law hath failed of its due Execution; therefore it is enacted and declared, 'That where any poor • Children shall be appointed to be bound Apprentices pursuant to the faid Act, the Person or Persons, to whom they are so appointed to be bound, shall receive and provide for them, according to the Indenture figned and confirmed by the two Justices of the Peace, and also execute the other Part of the faid Indentures; and if he or she shall resuse 6 fo to do, Oath being thereof made by one of the Church-wardens or Overfeers of the Poor, before any two of the Justices of the Peace for that County, Liberty or Riding, he or she shall for every such Offence forfeit the Sum of 101. to be levied by Distress; the same to be apsplied to the Use of the Poor of that Parish or Place where such Offence was committed; faving always to the Person to whom any poor Child shall be appointed to be bound an Apprentice; as aforesaid, if he or the shall think themselves agricved thereby, his or her Appeal to the e next General or Quarter-Sessions of the Peace for that County or Riding, whose Order therein shall be final, and conclude all Parties.

In the Construction of these Statutes the following Opinions have

been holden: That by the 5 Eliz. the Justices of Peace might bind a poor Person to Raym. 652 Husbandry against the Consent of his Master; but that neither by that 177. Statute, nor the Statute 43 Eliz. which impowers the Church-wardens, 1 Lev. 84. &c. to raise a Stock of Money, by way of Assessment on the Parish, for 1 Vent. 325. that Purpose, they could impose an Apprentice on a Tradesman against S. P. cont. Carth. 94. his Consent, but must assess and raise Money to bind him out.

3 Mod. 269. 1 Show. 76. The King ver. Fairfax, S. P. resolved by three Judges against Hols. Vol. III.

2 Salk. 491. Minchamp's Cafe.

That tho' by the Statute 8 arphi 9 W.3. the Master is bound under the Penalty of 10 l. to keep an Apprentice bound to him purfuant to the Statute 43 Eliz. yet if two Justices bind a poor Girl to a Merchant, and he appeals to the Seffions, where the Order is reverfed, it being thought unfit to compel a Merchant to take an Apprentice; the Court of King's Bench, on removing the Order before them, may confirm the Order of Seffions, (as they did in this Cafe) for the Statute having given an Appeal to the Sessions, they are made thereby proper Judges, whether the Person be a proper Person to impose an Apprentice on or not.

Con:b. 289.

The Church-wardens and Overfeers need not aver, that the Parents fer Holt C. J. were not able to maintain the Child, for they have a diferetionary Power, by the Statute, of determining that.

6 Alad. 163. 1 Salk. 381. The Queen ver. Gold.

And as the Justices of Peace have a Power of imposing an Apprentice on a Master, in Consequence thereof an Indictment lies for Disobedience to their Orders, either in not receiving or receiving, and after turning off, or not providing for fuch Apprentice; for tho' an Act of Parliament prescribes an easier way of Proceeding by Complaint, yet that does not

exclude the Remedy by Indictment.

Skin. 108. 5 Mod. 139. 2 Salk. 471. the Servant

The Justices of Peace may discharge an Apprentice not (a) only on the Default of the Mafter, but also on his own (b) Default; but it hath (a) The Or- been holden, that their Jurisdiction herein extends only to fuch Apprentices as were bound to Trades within the Statute, and were compelled by charge need them to ferve; for that in fuch Case it is but reasonable that the Connot be mu-tual; therefore if they same Power, but that they cannot discharge any voluntary Agreements order that made between the Parties.

shall be discharged from his Master, they need not discharge the Master from his Covenants; for when the Servant is discharged, the other is no longer Master. 5 Mod. 139. 2 Salk 471. (b) A Person, after three Years Service, plainly appearing to be a natural Ideot, discharged, and Order affirmed. Skin. 114. — That by the Custom of London, a Freeman may turn away his Apprentice for Gaming. 2 Vern. 291. —— But if an Apprentice marries without the Privity of his Matter, yet that will not justify his Turning him away, but he must take his Remedy on his Covenant. 2 Vern. 492.

Carth. 366. 5 Mod. 138. 2 Salk. 471. The King ver. Gately.

Therefore where an Order of Sessions was made to discharge a Surgeon's Apprentice from his Master, for not instructing him in the Art of Surgery; but the Master being a Mountebank kept the Apprentice for a Tumbler on the Stage; the Order was quashed for want of Jurisdiction in the Justices, because a Surgeon is not one of the Trades mentioned in the 5 Eliz. and here it was held, that the Justices have Fower only over fuch Apprentices who are bound to the Trades therein named, and not over Apprentices to other Trades.

Sand. 314. Hazvkfzvorth and Hillarys. 1 Salk. 67. Skin. 10S. (c) Where a Court of fter to refund. 1 Vern. 460. 2 Vern. 64,

And yet it hath been refolved, that the Justices may not only difcharge a Merchant's Apprentice, (which has been agreed not to be a Trade within the Statute 5 Eliz.) but also oblige the Master to refund Part of the Money which he had with him; and this Doctrine of Refunding feems to be now established, as founded on (c) great Realon, Equity will tho' not expresly mentioned in the Act; for the Justices being authorized oblige a Ma- to discharge according to their Discretions, when the End of the Apprenticeship cannot be attained with one Person, it is but Justice the Master should return Part of the Money he has received with his Apprentice, to Place him out with a new Master.

The King ver. 7 Geo. 2. in  $B_{\bullet}R_{\bullet}$ 

492.

It hath been held, that an Order on the Master to return Money is Amies, Trin. good, tho' it is not averred that he had any with the Apprentice; for the Order being to return Money, is as necessary a Proof of the Receipt of it, as if it had been expresly alledged; and in this Case the Court seemed to be of Opinion, that tho' the Justices had Jurisdiction as to Discharging and Obliging the Master to refund, as well in other Trades as those mentioned in the Statute; and that the Justices are not obliged in their Orders to fet forth all the Steps they take in their Proceedings,

there being nothing in the Act which makes it necessary, and that there was a known and established Distinction between Orders and Convictions.

It hath formerly been held, that the Selfions cannot make an original 1 Sand 316. Order of Discharge; but that, according to the Statute, the Parties Carth. 198. ought first to apply themselves to a Justice of Peace; and if he cannot 5 Med. 138. compound the Matter, then he is to bind the Master to appear at the next Sessions; but it hath been ruled of (a) late, and seems now esta- (a) so ruled blished, that an Order on an original Application is good, and that the  $\inf_{\text{of }T \in King}$ previous Application to one Justice is only Discretionary.

If the Master, being bound to answer at the Sessions, does not appear, 1 8 dlk. 6 -. it is a Forseiture of his Recognizance; but yet at the same Time the (b) (b) For the Justices may proceed to make an Order against him.

charge must be made on the Appearance of the Master, yet it must have a reasonable Construction, to as not to permit the Master to take Advantage of his own Obstinacy. 2 Salk. 490.

The Order of Discharge must be under the Hands and Seals of the 1 Sand 316. four Justices, according to the express Appointment of the Stitute; but Carth. 199. it is (c) faid, that in a Cortiorari to remove the Order, it is sufficient in  $\frac{Comb. \, 344}{(c) \, 2 \, Salk}$ . the Return to take Notice of the Order so made, for it is not necessary to 470. certify the Discharge it self.

ver. Amies, Trin 7 Geo 2

the Statute fays the Dif-

# (D) Of the Accessity of serving an Apprenticeship as a Qualification to follow a Trade Within the 5 Eliz.

T Common Law, every Person might follow what lawful Trade he 11 Co. 53. pleased; which being inconvenient in many Instances, and a Detri- 2 Bulf-191ment to the Publick, in permitting Perfons to exercise Trades in which Skin. 133.

they had little or no Skill or Experience to prevent which Mischief I Sand. 312. they had little or no Skill or Experience; to prevent which Mischief, and the better to train up and enure Perfons to Labour and Industry from their Youth, and thereby make them more skilful and expert,

It is enacted by the (d) 5 Eliz. cap. 4. feet. 31. That it shall not be (d) It is said, lawful to any Person or Persons, other than such as now do lawfully that no Enuse or exercise any Art, Mystery or Manual Occupation, to set up, couragement occupy, use or exercise any Crast, Mystery or Occupation, now used was ever or occupied within the Realm of England or Wales, except he shall given to Profecutions have been brought up therein seven Years, at the least, as an Appren-upon this ' tice, in Manner and Form abovefaid; nor to fet any Person on Work Statute, and in fuch Mystery, Art or Occupation, being not a Workman at this that it would be Day, except he shall have been Apprentice, as is aforesaid; or else be for the common having served as an Apprentice, as is aforesaid, shall or will become a Good, if it Journeyman, or hired by the Year, upon Pain, that every Person was repeal-willingly offending, or doing the contrary, shall forfeit and lose for ed; for no greater Physical Physica every Default forty Shillings for every Month.

can be to the Seller than to expose goods to Sale ill wrought; for by such Means he will never sell more, per Dolben Justice. 3 Mod. 317.

In the Construction hereof it will be necessary to consider,

#### 1. Alhat wall be faid such a Crade as a Person is prohibited to follow.

Herein we must observe, that it hath been ruled, that there are many Trades within the general Words and Equity of the Act, besides those which are particularly enumerated therein; yet it seems agreed, and hath frequently been (a) adjudged, that in every Indictment, &c. it must be alledged, that it was a Trade at the Time of making the Statute; for the Words thereof are, any Crast, Mystery or Occupation, now used, &c. from whence it seems to follow, that a new Manusacture, which to all other Purposes may be called a Trade, is yet not a Trade within this statute.

a Tyler was an Art or Mystery used in England at the Time of the making the Statute, tho' the Statute expresly mentions it. 4 Med. 145 6.

8 Co. 130.

2 Bulf. 190.
Also it seems agreed, that the Act only extends to such Trades as imply Mystery and Crast, and require Skill and Experience; and that therefore Merchants, Husbandmen, Gardeners, &c. are not within the (b) Cro. Car. Statute; and on this Foundation it hath been held, that (b) a Hemp-dress wer.

Skill, and being what every Husbandman doth use for his necessary

(c) 2 Eulf. It is faid in (c) 2 Bulf. to have been adjudged, that an Upholster is 186.

1 Rol. Rep. 10.

S. C. but no been contradicted by a later (d) Resolution, wherein it is said to have Resolution. been resolved, that a Barber and Taylor were Trades within the Statute.

2 Salk. 611.

S. C. and denied to be Law. (d) 2 Lev. 243. The King ver. Sellers. I Sid. 367. 2 Keb. 366. S. C.

Also it is said in 2 Bulf. that a Pippin-monger is not within the Statute, because there is no Mystery in buying of Apples, and all his Skill is in so laying his Apples as to keep them from rotting; but this likewise (e) 2 Lev. 206. hath been doubted in a late (e) Case, where it was debated, whether I Vent. 326, the Using of the Trade of a Costermonger or Fruiterer be within the Statute; but there is no Resolution.

Plym. 2 Salk. 611. S. C. cited, and said not to be resolved.

It is clearly agreed, that the Following the common Trade of a Cro. Car. 499. Brewer, Baker or Cook, is within the Statute, as Unskilfulness herein may be very prejudicial to the Lives and Healths of his Majesty's Subsection 129. jects; but it is at the same Time agreed, that the Exercising of any of these Trades in a Man's own House or Family, or in a private Person's Lit. Rep. 251. Bridgm. 141.

2 Salk. 611.

The Queen it ver. Slaughter.

W

On Motion to quash an Indictment for using the Trade of a Fellmonger, it was urged, that this was a Business which required no Skill, for that it was only to pull the Wool from the Skin; but per Holt Chief Justice, if in the Indictment it be averred to be a Trade at the Time of making the Statute, we will not quash it; for whether it was a Trade then or no, or whether any Skill be requisite to the Exercise of it, is a Matter of Fact proper for the Trial of a Jury.

Salk. 611. So the Court refused to quash an Indictment for using the Trade of a The King ver. Seamstress, not having served as Apprentice; because it was set forth in the Indictment to be a Trade in England at the Time of making the Act; so that if this Trade of a Scamstress be not within the Act, the Defendant will have the Advantage of it on the Trial.

But

I

But it is faid, that the Court had quashed an Indictment for follow- 2 Salk 611. ing the Trade of a Merchant-Taylor, because they did not know what Harper. was meant by it, and it feemed to them Nonfense and unintelligible.

#### 2. What Manner of following of exerciting a Crade hall be said within the Statute.

It feems agreed, that the following a Trade within this Statute, must in Co. 54. be fuch whereby the Party gets his Livelihood; and that therefore the II.b. 183. uting of the Trade of a Brewer, Baker, Cook, Taylor, &c. in one's own House, or in the private Family of another, without any Reward, is not within the Statute.

But in an Action for using the Trade of a Clothier, not having been Carrie 162. Apprentice to that Trade; where by special Verdict it was found that A. 2 Salk 610. was a Turkey Merchant, and exported great Quantities of English Cloth Hobs qui tam into the Levant; and for this Purpose only he hired several Cloth-workers, ver. Young. who had been all Apprentices to the fine Trade, and kept also a Master-Workman of that Trade to inspect their Work; and that by those Men he made great Quantities of English Cloth, all which he transported; and that he the said A. kept a Dye-house, and hired Men of that Trade to dye his own Clothes, and no other: It was resolved to be within the Restraint of the Statute, tho' the Cloth was made for his (a) (a) For the own Merchandize only, and tho' made by Persons who had been Apconfined to prentices; for here they are not Traders but Hirelings, and he is the the Use of Tradesinan who liath all the Prosit, as A. in this Case has.

mily, but

vended out for the sake of Commerce; and whether the Utterance be in England or in Turkey is not material. 2 Salk. 610.

So if a Man keeps Journeymen Shoemakers to make Shoes for Carth. 164-Transportation, this is an Exercising the Trade of a Shoemaker within

But it hath been held, that this Statute doth not restrain a Man from Carth. 163 using several Trades, so as he had been an Apprentice to all; wherefore fer Cur. it indemnifies all Petty Chapmen in little (b) Towns and Villages, be- (b) It feems cause their Masters kept the same mixed Trades there before.

to be the

better Opinion, that this Statute hath not abrogated the particular Customs concerning Trades in particular Towns and Villages; and that therefore a Widow, by Custom, may continue her Husband's Business; and it seems, by some Opinions, she may do it without any such Custom, for that the Wife ferves as an Apprentice; hut for this vide Cro. Car. 347, 516-7. 2 Eulf. 187. 8 Co. 130. Palm. 541. 11 Co. 54. Ney 5. Hutt. 131. Hob. 211. 1 Sand. 311. Carth. 163.

If a Coachmaker keeps Servants to make his Wheels, and Workmen to Carth. 163 4. curry his own Leather, this is against the Statute, because it is he only per Holt. who receives all the Profits of the feveral Trades, and the Wheelwright and the Currier are but his Servants.

So in a Case upon this Statute, prosecuted by the Horners Company, Carth. 162. against a Comb-maker in London, for using the Trade of a Horner, viz. cited per Hols in pressing Horn for making Combs, which Pressing did not belong to Ch. Just. their Trade; and this was adjudged a Breach of the Statute, for a Horner is a particular Trade, and a very ancient Company in London.

#### 3. What kind of Service will be a sufficient Qualification within the Statute.

As to this it hath been refolved, that there is no Occasion for an ac-I Salk. 67. 2 Salk. 613. tual Binding, but that the Following a Trade for seven Years is a suffi-S. P. being a cient Qualification within the Statute. hard Law.

Carth. 163. per Cur.

So where an Action was brought on this Statute, and upon Not guilty pleaded, it appeared that the Defendant's Father kept the same Trade, and that he the Defendant for feveral Years had been employed by his Father therein; and it was held, that he might lawfully use that Trade,

(a) And for for that he had been (a) quasi an Apprentice to it, which was sufficient the like Rea- to satisfy the Statute. fon it seems.

that a Wife living with her Husband feven Years, may after his Death continue the Trade; for the Act does not require a Man or a Woman to be an actual Apprentice, but the Words are tanguam an Apprentice. Vide the Authorities supra, and Cases in Law and Eq. 70.

Ca. Law and  $E_{7}$ . 71.

So if a Man lives with another, that uses a Trade which the other is not qualified for using, feven Years, he may fet up the Trade as well as if he had lived with one never fo well qualified.

1 Salk. 67. The King ver. Fox.

Also it hath been held, that the Service need not be in any particular Country; and therefore an Indictment for using the Trade of a Taylor, not having served an Apprenticeship seven Years, was quashed, because only faid, not having ferved as an Apprentice infra Regnum Anglia aut Walliam; for it may be he did so beyond Sea; and if it were any where

Ca. Law and Eq. 70.

So it hath been held, that Serving five Years to a Trade out of England, and two in England, is sufficient to satisfy the Statute, but that there must be a Service of a full Time either in England or out of England; and therefore Serving five Years in a Country, where by the Law of the Country more is not required, will not qualify a Man to use the Trade in England.

#### 4. By whom the Offence of following a Trade without a Qualification is cognizable.

This Matter depends chiefly on the Statute 31 Eliz. cap. 5. fed. 7. whereby it is enacted, ' That all Suits for using a Trade without having 6 been brought up in it, shall be fued and prosecuted in the General

Quarter-Seffions of the Peace, or Affises of the same County where the Offence shall be committed, or otherwise inquired of, heard and

6 determined in the Affises, or General Quarter-Sessions of the Peace of 6 the same County where such Offence shall be committed, or in the

Leet within which it shall happen, and not in any wife out of the same

' County where such Offence shall happen or be committed.

Cro. Fac. 178. Hob. 184. 1 Salk. 373.

In the Construction hereof it hath been holden, that it restrains not a Suit in the King's Bench or Exchequer, for such Offence happening in the same County where these Courts are sitting; for the Negative Words of the Statute are not, that such Suits shall not be brought in any other Court, but that they shall not be brought in any other County; and the Prerogative of these High Courts shall not be restrained without express Words.

But where the Offence is in a different County, such Suits in these, Hob. 184,327. Cro. Jac. 85. or any other Courts, out of the proper County, feem to be within the express Words of the Statute.

Yet

Yet it hath been doubted, whether an Action of Debt, or Informa- 1 Sid. 303. tion in the Courts of Westminster-Hall, were not to be construed to be 1 Keb. 584. out of the Meaning of the Statute; but it seems to be now settled, in Vent. 8, 364. the Construction of the Statute 21 fac. 1. cap. — which provides, that 2 Lev 204. no Action of Debt or Information, or other Suit whatever, can be 1 Salk. 372. brought in any Court of Westminster-Hall on any penal Statute, made 5 Mod. 425. before the said Statute of 21 Jac. 1. for any Offence therein excepted, for which the Offender may be prosecuted in the Country; unless such Offence shall be committed in the same County in which such Court shall sit.

But these Statutes hinder not the Removal of any Indictment into 1 Fon. 193. the King's Bench by Certiorari, after which it may be tried there, or in

the County by Nifi prius.

It hath been held, that Quarter-Sessions of Boroughs may receive In- 6 Mod. 220. dictments on the 5 Eliz, as well as those of the County at large, in that I Salk, 34% there is no Danger of Oppression, because a Certiorari lies.

#### 5. Of the form of the Proceedings in order to a Conviction, for following a Crade without being qualified.

The Plaintiff, in an Action on this Statute 5 Eliz. must alledge in his 1 Sid 302 Declaration, that the Defendant did not use the Trade at the Time of Dring ver. making the Statute; for tho' it cannot be prefumed that he did, after fuch a Length of Time, yet as it is, the Statute makes him liable, and subjects him to a Penalty; the Profecutor must shew that he has transgresfed the Law, and that he is intitled to his Action.

An Indictment for following the Trade of a Cutler, not being an The King ver. Apprentice, cont' form' 5 Eliz. was quashed on Demurrer; because the Briton, Paseb. Trade of a Cutler was averred to be a Trade then used infra boc Reg-

num Anglia, whereas this Kingdom was not then subsisting.

It has been held, that an Indictment against two, or more, for follow- 1 R.J. Abr. S, ing a Joint-Trade, without having served a seven Years Apprenticeship, 5 Mod. 180. required by the Statute, is naught, in that it would be absurd to charge 1 Salk. 382. them jointly, because the Offence of each Defendant arises from the Defect peculiar to himself.

#### (E) Of alligning and turning over Appren= tices to other Masters.

THE Placing out an Apprentice to a particular Person arises from Hob. 134.

an Esteem, and a good Opinion of the Party to whom he is so Coventry very properties of that he will not only instruct him in his Trade or Calling. committed; that he will not only instruct him in his Trade or Calling, but will also be careful of his Health and Safety; and therefore the Law has made it fuch a personal Trust or Confidence, which the Master cannot assign, or transfer over to another; he must also have him under his own Care and Inspection, and cannot send him abroad, tho under the Pretence of Improvement; unless by express Agreement, and unless the Nature of his Business requires it, and implies such a Power as that of a Merchant-Adventurer, Sailor, &c. are said to do; therefore it hath been adjudged, that a Surgeon fending his Apprentice a Voyage to the East-Indies, tho' in Company with other Surgeons, and the better to instruct him in the Art of Surgery, was a Breach of his Covenant, where-

by he bound himfelf to retain, teach, keep and employ the faid Apprentice in his own House and Service, &c.

March 3. 1 Keb. 250.

But by the Custom of London, a Freeman of London may turn over his Apprentice to another Master, being a Freeman; and such second Master shall have the same Benefit of the Apprentice's Covenants, as shall the Apprentice of the Covenants on the Side of the Master, as if

he had been originally bound to him.

Comb. 324. Chaplin

But it hath been held, that the Justices of Peace have a Jurisdiction The King ver. of discharging Apprentices, and may bind them to other Masters, that they cannot turn them over; and therefore an Order that an Apprentice, whose Master was dead, should serve the Remainder of his Time with his Master's Widow's second Husband, was quashed; because the Justices have nothing to do about turning over an Apprentice; and that tho' he applied to them, that could not give them a Jurisdiction.

# (F) Di making Apprentices free.

1 Sid. 107. 2 Show. 154.

Herever by the Custom of any Town, Borough, &c. the Serving an Apprenticeship intitles the Party to his Freedom, the Persons to admit him refusing, without sufficient Cause, may be compelled there-

to by Mandamus. 1 ...

1 Lev. 91. 1 Sid. 107. 1 Keb. 458, 470, 659. Townsend's Cafe

Therefore, where to a Mandamus to the Mayor, &c of Oxford, to admit a Person to be free of that City, who had served seven Years Apprenticeship; to which it was returned, that he put himself Apprentice feven Years according to the Custom, and that he covenanted to serve seven Years, and not to marry within the Time, and that within the first two Years he married, and so broke his Covenant; and that his Master accepted of him to serve for the Residue of the Time, which he did, but not as an Apprentice, but rather as a Journeyman; and tho' it was urged, that by his Breach of Covenant he lost his Right of Freedom, yet the Court held the contrary; and that tho' an Action of Covenant might lie, yet that it was no Lofs of his Freedom; and therefore awarded a peremptory Mandamus to admit him.

5 Mod. 402. The King ver. Mayor of Lincoln.

So where a Mandamus to the Mayor, &c. of Lincoln, to admit A. to his Freedom, he having ferved an Apprenticeship there; and the Mayor returned, that A. (being a Quaker) refused to take the usual Oath, according to the Custom of the said City, but offered to take the solemn Affirmation and Declaration; and the Court held this fufficient to intitle

him to his Freedom, within the Statute 7 & 8 W. 3. cap. 34.

6 Mod 227, 260.

Also it is frequent for Masters to bind themselves to make their Apprentices free at the End of their Time, which they must perform according to their Covenants.

# (G) How Applentices are to be taken Care of, when their Makers happen to die.

1 Sid. 216. 1 Keb. 761, 820. 1 Lev 177.

IT seems agreed, that if a Man be bound to instruct an Apprentice in a Trade for seven Years, and the Master dies, that the Condition is dispensed with, being a Thing personal; but if he be bound further, that in the mean Time he will find him in Meat, Drink, Cloathing and

other Necessaries, here the Death of the Master doth not dispense with the Condition, but his Executors shall be bound to perform it, as far as they have Affets.

But if a Person is bound Prentice by Justices of Peace, and the Ma- Carth 23% fter happens to die before the Term expired, the Justices have no Power 1 Saik. 66 to oblige his Executor, by their Order, to receive fuch Apprentice, and The King ver maintain him; for by this Method the Executor is deprived of the Li- Peck. berty of pleading Plene administravit, which he may do, in case Covenant be brought against him, and must maintain the Apprentice, whether he hath Affets or not.

But it is faid, that in this Case of the Master's dying, by the Custom 1 Salk 66 of London, the Executor must put the Apprentice to another Master of Per Heli C. \* the same Trade.

# (H) Of Servants Wages, how recoverable.

T is clearly agreed, that if a Person retains a Scrvant, and agrees to 900 SS a. b. pay him so much by the Day, Month or Year, that he may have an 2 Rol. Rep. Action against the Master on the Contract, or against his Executors; and 269. that every fuch Retainer will be prefumed to be in Confideration of Wages, unless the contrary appears.

So if a Man be retained in London, to serve beyond Sea, he may have I Brown 54. his Action for his Wages in England; and lay his Action in any County, in like Manner as an Obligation, bearing Date at Roan in France, may be fued in England, alledging the Place to be in fuch a County where

he brings his Action. As to the Jurisdiction of Justices of Peace herein, by the 5 Eliz. Bridgm 119.

cap. 4. sect. 15. for the Declaration and Limitation what Wages Ser-vants, Labourers and Artificers, either by the Year or Day, or otherwife, shall have and receive, it is enacted, that the Justices of Peace of every Shire, Riding and Liberty, within the Limits of their feveral Commissions, or the more Part of them, being then resiant within the fame, and the Sheriff of that County, if he conveniently may, and every Mayor, Bailiff, or other head Officer within any City or Town 6 Corporate, wherein is any Justice of Peace, within the Limits of the 6 said City or Town Corporate, and of the said Corporation, shall yearby at every General Sessions first to be holden and kept after Easter, or at some Time convenient within six Weeks next following every of the said Feasts of Easter, assemble themselves together; and they so affembled, calling unto them fuch difereet and grave Perfons of the faid County, or the faid City or Town Corporate, as they shall think meet, and conferring together, respecting Plenty or Scarcity of the Time, and other Circumstances necessary to be considered, shall have Authority by Virtue thereof, within the Limits and Precincts of their feveral Commissions, to limit, rate and appoint the Wages, as well of uch and so many of the said Artificers, Hand, craftsmen, Husbandmen, 6 or any other Labourer, Servant or Workman, whose Wages in Time 6 past hath been by any Law or Statute rated and appointed, as also the Wages of all other Labourers, Artificers, Workmen, or Apprentices of Husbandry, which have not been rated, as they the fame Juflices, Mayor, or other head Officers, within their feveral Commif-fions or Liberties, shall think meet by their Discretions to be rated, 6 limited or appointed by the Year, Week, Month or otherwise, with 6 Meat and Drink, or without Meat and Drink; and what Wages every

Workman or Labourer shall take by the Great for mowing, reaping

Vol. III.

or threshing of Corn and Grain, or for mowing or making of Hav, or for Ditching, Paving, Railing or Hedging by the Rod, Ferch,

Lugg, Yard, Pole, Rope or Foot, and for any other Kind of reason-

' able Labour or Service, &c.

In the Construction of this Statute, the following Opinions have been holden.

: Salk. 441. 6 Mod. 204.

That tho' the Statute only gives the Justices Power to set the Rate for Wages, and not to order Payment; yet grafting hereupon they may now, from the frequent Practice, and the Indulgence the Law gives to Remedies for Wages, order Payment, as well as affefs the Rates.

Hill. S Geo. 2. Halloway in B.R.

That tho' a fingle Justice may compel Payment, yet the Power of set-Shergold and tling Wages is only in the Sessions; and that a single Justice cannot arrest the Party resusing to pay in the first Instance.

Ca. Law and Eq. 68.6 Mod 91.

That Justices of Peace have no Jurisdiction to judge of Wages, except in case of Husbandmen; but yet the Court in Favour of Servants will always, unless the contrary appears upon the Face of the Order, prefume Servants to be Servants in Husbandry, and will admit of no collateral Proof to the contrary.

2 Fon. 47.

Therefore an Order, that a Person should pay so much to his Coachman, was quashed; for here it appears, upon the Face of the Order, that he is not a Servant in Husbandry.

2 Mod. 204, 205.

And on the Authority of this Case it hath been held, that the Justices cannot make Orders for the Payment of Footmen, Bricklayers, Carpenters, &c. Servants Wages; because their Jurisdiction is confined to the Wages of such Servants, whom they may compel to serve according to the Statute.

2 Salk. 442. 6 Mod. 204. The Queen ver. London.

So where, upon the Face of the Order, it appeared to be for the Payment of the Wages of two Persons retained by A. Overseer of the Works in the Gardens of Hampton-Court, the Order was quashed; but in this Case it was held, that had the Order been general, viz. to pay so much to two of his Labourers, or two of his Servants, the Court would have supposed them Servants in Husbandry; but that here there was no Room for such an Intendment, since the contrary appeared.

7 Mod. 140.

So where one Rycraft, a Justice of Peace in Middlesex, made an Order for the Payment of a Seaman's Wages; and upon an Action brought against him, the Plaintiff recovered 301. Damages.

# (I) What Ads of the Servant are deemed the Master's, of Which the Waster may take Ad= vantage.

Lit. Self. 433. THERE are several Acts, which being done by a Servant, will be e-Ce. Lit 257.b. qually effectual and Advantageous as if done by the Master himself. qually effectual and Advantageous as if done by the Master himself. Hence it is held, that continual Claim made by a Servant is good; as if he enter into a Part and claim, &c. or if the Master says, that he dares not go to any Part of the Land, nor approach nearer than D. and commands his Servant to go to D. and claim, and the Servant does fo, this is fufficient, tho' the Servant had no Fear; for he doth as much as he was commanded to do, and all that his Mafter durft, or ought by the Law, to do.

But if the Master be in Health, and command his Servant to go to Lat Sed. 435 the Land and claim, &c. in this Case a Claim made by the Servant, as Co. Lin 258 be near as he dares, is void; for he does not do all that he is commanded, nor so much as the Master durst have done.

But if the Master be sick, or a Recluse, (one who, by Reason of his Co.Lit. 278.3 Order, cannot go out of his House,) and he command his Servant to go and claim for him, and the Servant goes as near as he dares, by Reason of Fear, &c. this is sufficient, tho' the Command were to go to the Land; and yet, regularly, when a Servant doth less than he is commanded, his Act is void; but when a Servant exceeds his Master's Command, it is void only so far as he hath exceeded.

If a Feoffment with Livery be made of Lands, the Servant of the 2 Rol. Aling. Owner being in Possession, the Livery is void, tho' made with the Confent of the Servant; for the Servant continuing in Possession, it must be only for the Use and Benefit of him that placed him there, and consequently the Possession of the Servant must be looked upon as the Possession of the Master; and the Servant having no Interest, but in Right of his Master, his Consent was void, and he could neither make a Surrender, nor a Tenancy at Will, to the Feossor.

The Master hath an Interest in the Labour and Acquisitions of his Ser-Co.Lit. 117.61 vant, and his Acts herein are said to be for the Benesit of the Master; according to the Rule, Quicquid acquiritur serve acquiritur Domino; but the Master of a hired Servant cannot maintain Trover for any Property acquired by the Servant; nor can he have any other Remedy against a Person who imploys him, but an Action on the Case per quod

servitium amisit.

But it is otherwise of an Apprentice; and therefore where a Water- 1 Salk. 68. man's Widow took an Apprentice, who went to Sea, and earned two Barber and Tickets, which came to the Defendant's Hands, the Widow brought Trover, and had Judgment; for what the Apprentice gains, he gains to his Master; and whether legally Apprentice, or not, is no Ways material, for it is enough if he be so de facto.

It is faid, that the Master shall have Advantage of his Servant's Con- Godb. 360-1. tracts, in the same Manner as he shall be bound by them, as to those Seignier and Matters which come within his Compass as a Servant; as where a Ser-want was sent by a Master to a Debtor, and appointed by him ad Componendum et greandum the Money due from the Debtor; and there being a fromise made to the Servant, to pay what was due upon the Balance and Agreement, it was held, that the Master might maintain an Action in his own Name, on the Promise to his Servant.

If the Servant is robbed of the Master's Goods, the Master or Servant Stams. 60.

Bro. Tit. Ap-

Lateb 127. S. P. and he that begins first shall recover, and prevent the other of his Action. Per Dosi-deridge.

If a Servant is couzened of his Master's Money, the Master may 1 Rol Abr. 98 have an Action on the Case against the Couzenor.

If a Servant be robbed of his Master's Money, tho' in the Absence of But for this his Master, the Master may maintain an Action for it against the Hun-vide Tit. Hundred.

(K) Withere

#### (K) What Ads of the Servant hall be deem= ed the Waster's, for which the Waster shall answer and be bound.

THE Reason why the Acts of a Servant are, in many Instances, c-In steemed the Acts of the Master, arises from the Relation between a Matter and Servant; for as in Strictness every Body ought to transact his own Affairs; and it is by the Favour and Indulgence of the Law, that he can delegate the Power of acting for him to another, it is highly reasonable that he should answer for such Substitute, at least civiliter; and that his Acts being pursuant to the Authority given him, should be deemed Acts of the Master.

11 E. 4. 6.

Therefore if a Servant fells a Piece of Cloth, and warrants it to be

good, an Action of Disceit lies against the Master.

5 Co. Pilking-So if a Man brings a Horse to a Smith to be shod, and the Servant pricks it; or if the Servant of a Surgeon makes the Wound worfe; in both these Cases an Action lies against the Master.

ton's Cafe. 2 Rol. Abr. 693. Cro. Eliz. 181.

So if the Servant of a Taverner fells Wine to another, which is cor-I Rol Abr. 95. rupted, an Action on the Case lies against the Master; tho' he did not (a) If a Ser- command the Servant to fell it to any (a) particular Person.

vant fells an

unfound Horse, or other Merchandize, in a Fair, no Action lies against the Master, unless he commanded him to sell to a particular Person. 9 H. 6. 53. 1 Rol. Abr. 95. S. C. Fitz. Action sur le Case, 5. S. C. Poth. 143. and 2 Rol. Rep. 6. S. C. cited. — But if by the Command and Covin of the Matter, he sells to a particular Person, an Action lies against the Master, for it is then his own Sale. 9 H. 6. 53. 1 Rel. Abr. 95. Eridg n. 128. S. C. cited.

So if a Goldsmith makes Plate, wherein he mingles Dross, so that it 2 Rol. Rep. 28. is not according to the Standard, and by his Servant fells it; an Action

lies against the Master, because it fails in the Price in Silver.

2 Rol. Rep. 5, 26, 27. Bridg. 125. Popb. 143. (b) According to the Report of this Cafe in Cro. Fac it is principally becaule A. did not oider B. to. conceal their answer. being Counterfeit.

But if A, being possessed of certain artificial and counterfeit Jewels of the Value of 168 L and knowing them to be fuch, delivers them to B. his Servant, commanding him to transport the said Jewels to Barbary, Cro. Jac. 469. and them to fell to the King of Barbary, or fuch other Person as would Southern ver buy them; but (b) gives B. no Charge to conceal their being counterfeit; and thereupon B. goes into Barbary, and, knowing those Jewels to be counterfeit, shews them to C. for good and true Jewels, and affirming to C. that they were worth 8101. defires C. to fell them to the faid King for 810 l. which Moncy C. pays B. and B. thereupon immediately returns faid, that the to England, and pays the 810 l. to A. his Master; and after, the Jewels Court incli- being discovered to be counterfeit, C is imprisoned by the faid King, 'till ned against he repays the 810% out of his own Effects; of all which Matters C. the Plaintiff, gives Notice to A. and demands Satisfaction, &c. yet no Act on lies against A. for Jewels are in themselves of an uncertain Value, and B. was not by A, particularly directed to C, and all that was done quoad C, was the voluntary Act of the Servant, for which the Mafter is not bound to

Tyor 161. a. N. 48. 3 Mid. 323. S.C cited.

King E. 6. fold a Quantity of Lead to A and appointed the Lord North, who was then Chancellor of his Court of Augmentations, to take Bond for Payment of the Money; the Lord North appointed one B. who was his Clerk, to take the Bond, which was done, who delivered it to the Lord; and he delivered it back again to his Clerk, in order to fend it to the Clerk of the Court of Augmentations; B. suppressed this Bond; and it was held by all the Judges, that the Lord North was chargeable

chargeable to the King; because the Possession of the Bond by his Servant, and by his Order, was his own Possession.

So where an Officer of the Customs made a Deputy, who concealed Dier 258 6 the Duties, and the Master, being ignorant of the Concealment, certi- 11.38 fied the Customs of that Part of the Revenue into the Exchequer, upon 3. Mod. 323; Oath; he was adjudged to be answerable for this Concealment of his

So where the Leffor was bound, that the Leffee should quietly en- 4 Leon. 123. joy; and it was found, that his Servant by his Command, and he be- Seaman and ing present, entered; this was held to be a Breach of the Condition, for Browning. the Maller was the principal Trespasser.

3 A. lod. 323.

Two are constituted Post-Masters General by Letters Patent, pursuant Carth. 487. to the Statute 12 Car. 2. cap. 35. and in the Patent there is a Power to 1 Salk. 17make Deputies, and appoint Servants at their Will and Pleafure, and to 5 Mod. 455. take Security of them in the Name and Use of the King; and that they, Robert Cotton the Post-Masters General, should obey such Orders as from Time to and Sir Tho-Time should come from the King; and as to the Revenue, should obey mas Frankthe Orders of the Treatury; and it is farther granted to them, that they land. flould not be chargeable for their Officers, but only for their own voluntary Faults and Misbehaviours; and this granted with a Fee of 1500 L per Annum: And A. having Exchequer-Bills, incloses them in a Letter, directed to B. at Worcester, and delivers it at the Post-Office at London, into the Hands of 7. S. who was appointed by the Post-Master General to receive Letters, and had a Salary; the Letter having miscarried, and the Exchequer-Bills loft, it was held by three Judges, against Holt C.J. that the Post-Masters General are not liable; and this from the Multiplicity of Servants they are obliged to imploy, and against whom it is impossible for them to secure themselves, the Inconsiderableness of the Præmium, &c.

It hath been held, that Owners of Ships were answerable to Freigh- 1 Vent. 190, ters for the Acts of Masters and Mariners, in the same Manner as 0- 233. ther Masters are for their Servants; and should answer for their Embe- Raym. 220. zilments, Secreting of Goods, &c. But this proving a great Discourage- 3 Keb. 72, ment to Trade, by the 7 Geo. 2. cap. 15. it is provided, that for such 1 Mod. 85. Embezilments, &c. without the Owners Knowledge, the Owners shall 2 Lev. 69. only forfeit the Value of the Ship or Vessel, with all her Appurtenances, Morfe verand the full Account of the Freight due, or to grow due, for and du- salk. 440. ring the Voyage wherein such Embezilment, &c.

Castb. 58. 3 Lev. 259 Eofon ver. Sandford.

The Acts of a Servant are deemed the Acts of the Master, in Deal- Doct & Studs ing and contracting for his Master, in those Things in which he has a Dializecap 42. general Authority; as (a) if a Servant usually buys for the Master on Ccmb. 450-1. Tick, and the Servant buys some Things without the Master's Orders, (a) 1 Show 95. yet if the Master were trusted by the Trader, the Master is chargeable, so ruled uptho' the Things never came to the Master's (b) Use.

on Evidence, by Holt C. ]

(b) That coming to the Mafter's Use, clearly implies an Authority from the Master, and shall charge him. 1 Brownl. 64.

But if a Man fends his Servant with ready Money to buy Meat, or 1 Show, 95. other Goods, and the Servant buys upon Credit, the Master is not charge- Per Holt C. J. able.

So if the Mafter had forbid the Tradesman to trust his Servant, this 1 Brown! 64. shall excuse the Master, on Evidence.

And herein it is faid, that a Servant by transacting Affairs for his Ma- Ca. L. and fter, does thereby derive a general Authority and Credit from him; Eq. 110 which general Authority is not liable to be (c) determined for a Time, ted between Monk and Clayton, where the A& of a Servant, the' out of Place, bound his Master, by Review of the former Credit given him by his Mafter's Service, the other not knowing that he was difeharged.

Vol. III.

by any particular Orders or Instructions, to which none but the Mafter and Servant are privy; for that if this should prevail, there would be an End of all Dealing, but with the Master.

2 Salk. 442 If a Master sends his Servant to receive Money, and the Servant in-6 Mod. 36. stead of Money takes a Bill, and the Master, as soon as told thereof, Ward and Edifagrees, he is not bound by this Payment; but Acquiescence, or any vans, 😊 vide fmail Matter will be (a) Proof of the Master's Consent; and that will (a L. and Eq. 109, 110. make the Act of the Servant the Act of the Master.

chart's Apprentice draws a Bill in this Manner, I promife to pay fuch a Sum for my Master; to charge the Mafter with this Note, it is faid, there ought to be either an Authority precedent, or a Confent subsequent; or that the Master had intrusted him with his Affairs, otherwise the Master shall not be

chargeable. Comb. 450.

1 Salk. 282. at Nifi Prius, and the Plaintiff nonfuited (b) That if a Carrier's Porter rethe Carrier shall be liable. Comb. ben Justice.

If A. brings Cafe against the Master of a Stage-Coach, on the Curuled by Holt stom of the Realm, for a Trunk lost by his Negligence; and on Evidence it appears, that the Trunk was delivered to the Servant who drove the Coach, who promifed to take Care of it, and that the Trunk was lost out of his Possession; the Action does not lie against the Master; accordingly. for a Stage-Coachman is not within the Custom as a (b) Carrier is, unless he take a distinct Price for the Carriage of Goods, as well as Persons; and tho' Money be given to the Driver, yet that is a Gratuity, and canceivesGoods, not bring the Master within the Custom; for no Master is chargeable with the Acts of his Servant, but when he Acts in Execution of the Authority given by his Master; and then the Act of the Servant is the 118. Per Dol. Act of the Master.

2 Salk. 441.

The Mafter must also answer for Torts and Injuries done by his Serfer Holt C. J. vant, in Execution of his Authority; as where a Pawn-Broker's Serat Nist Prins at Guild-hall. vant took a Pawn, the Pawner came and tendered the Money to the Servant, who faid, he had loft the Goods; upon which the Pawner brought Trover against the Master, and it was held well.

2 Salk. 441. cited.

So where the Servants of A. with his Cart run against another Cart, wherein was a Pipe of Sack, and over-turned the Cart, and spoiled the Sack; it was held, that an Action by against A.

2 Salk 441. cited.

So where a Carter's Servant run his Cart over a Boy; it was held, the Boy should have his Action against the Master, for the Damage he fustained by this Negligence.

1 Vent. 295. 2 Lev. 172 3 Keb. 65. Michael and Alestree.

So where the Servant of A. brought a Coach and two ungovernable Horses of his Master's to Lincoln's Inn Fields, a Place much frequented by People, and there drove them to make them tractable, and fit them for a Coach; and the Horses being unruly, and for Want of Care, &c. run upon the Plaintiff, and hurt and wounded him; in an Action brought both against the Master and Servant, it was held, that it well lay; and that it shall be intended the Master sent the Servant to train the Horses there.

# (L) For what Ads of his chall the Servant himself answer to others.

F a Master command his Servant to do what is lawful, and he misbe-have himself, or do more, the Master shall not answer for his Ser-Skin. 228. vant, but the Servant for himfelf, for that it was his own Act; other-

5

wife it would be in the Power of every Scrvant to Subject his Master to what Actions or Penaltics he pleafed.

And

And on this Foundation it hath been ruled, that if a Man command Skin 228 his Servant to do a lawful Act, as to pull down a little Wooden House, (wherein the Plaintiff was, and would not come out, and which was carried upon Wheels into the Land, to trick the Defendant out of Possesfion,) and bid him take Care that he hurt not the Plaintiff; if in doing this, the Servant hurt the Plaintiff, in Trespais of Assault and Wounding brought against the Master, he may plead Not guilty, and give this in Evidence; for that he was not guilty of the Wounding, and the Pulling down the House was a lawful Act.

But it is laid down as a Rule, that in every Case where the Master 8E 4 49. has not Power to do a Thing, whoever does it by his Commandment is Per Choke.

a Trespasser as well as the Master.

If a Master locks a Man into his House, and delivers the Key to his 22 E. 4 45 Servant, if the Servant be ignorant that any Body be there, the Servant Per Fenny. is not chargeable; but if he knows that the Master had imprisoned one tortiously, and he still keep him in Prison, he is liable to an Action.

If the Servant of a Taverner fells Wine that is corrupted, (a) knowing 1 R 1. Abec 5 it to be so, no Action of Deceit lies against the Servant, for he did it (1) If the

but as a Servant.

Debt knows of, and was Witness to a Release of the Debt, made before the Action brought for it, yet no Action lies against the Attorney, for he acted only as a Servant, and in the Way of his Cailing. 1 Med 209. Per Cur'.

If the Servant of A lease Lands to another for Years, reserving a 1 R.L. Abr. 05 Rent to A and to persuade the Lessee to accept thereof, he promises, 2 Rol. Rep. that he shall enjoy the Land, during the Term, without Incumbrances; Look ng verif the Land be incumbered, &c. the Lessee may have an Action, on the Came.

Case against the Servant, because he made an express (b) Warranty. (b) If one

Servant to fell an ili Horse, and the Servant fells him for a good one, whereby the Servant is arrested and indamaged; yet the Servant shall not have his Remedy against his Master. Cro. Jac. 471.

If a Man draws a Bill, to which he puts his Seal in this Form: Yelv. 137. Memorandum, That I have rescived of J. S. to the Use of my Master, the Talbot ver. Sum of 401, to be paid at Michaelmas sollowing; the Servant is liable Godbolt. hereby, for tho' in the Premisses it is said to be to the Use of his Master, yet the Payment being indefinite, must be understood to be by him who fealed the Bill; but it is faid, that if it had been to be repaid by his Master, that (c) the Servant had not been liable.

speaks for, or fetches Goods for his Master, without any particular Promise of paying for them, is liable to pay for them; and where, upon the Circumfrances of such a Case, though he may be held liable at Law, a Court of Equity will relieve, vide Preced. Chan. 46. Abr. Eq. 308.

So where Mr. Mildmay, Agent to the York Buildings Company, refiding Mich. Geo. 2, in Scotland, drew a Bill of Exchange in Favour of J. S. on their Cashier in B. R. Thomas Ver. Bill run thus: To—Bishop, Cashier to the Honourable Governor and Assistants of the York Buildings Company, at their House in Winchester-street. Sir, Pray pay to J. S. or his Order, 2001. and place it to the Account of the Company, for Value received, as per Advice by your humble Servant. Charles Mildmay. The Letter of Adapter and the Company of the Letter of Adapter Mildmay. Advice, by your humble Servant, Charles Mildmay. The Letter of Advice referred to, was directed to the Governor and Company, informing them of the Draught made upon Mr. Bishop, in Favour of J. S. (but it did not appear that this was the usual Method of drawing Bills on the Company;) Mr. Bishop accepted the Bill generally, viz. accepted by J. Bishop; and if this Acceptance should charge him in his own Right, was the Oversion, which was found for the Link Company. was the Question; which was faved for the Judgment of the Court, after a Verdict at Nisi Prius for the Plaintiff; and it was resolved it should.

(c) Whether

- (M) For What Ads of his chall the Servant answer and be responsible to his Master: And herein,
- 1. Alhere by an implied Trust of Considence a Serbant hall answer in a Civil Action.

S Co. S4, & vide Tit. Bailment.

IF a Man commits Money to his Servant to carry to fuch a Place, and he is robbed, the Servant shall not answer for it; for a Servant only undertakes for his Diligence and Fidelity, and not for the Strength and Security of his Defence, and therefore shall not be obliged to preserve his Master's Property at all Adventures; and herein the Law, as now fettled, makes a Difference between a Servant and another independent Person; for every other Person has naturally no more than the single Care of his own Affairs, and is not bound in Point of Duty to defend or intermeddle with the Property of another; but where he will officiously create to himself such an Undertaking, he is obliged to answer the Loss, if any happen; but a Servant is, by the Duty of his Place, under the Command of his Master, and is bound, in Point of Necessity, to take Care of another's Affairs; now the first Contract, whereby he becomes a Servant, implied no more than an Undertaking for his Care and Obedience; and whenever he afterwards intermeddles in the Affairs of his Master, it is but in Consequence of that original Contract, and therefore cannot be extended any farther; and fince when he first contracted, it was no more than an Undertaking for his own Care and Fidelity, whenever he intermeddles with his Master's Affairs, it is under that general Undertaking, and by Confequence he cannot be charged but for Deficiency, in Point of Care, or of Faithfulness; and therefore is not answerable for any inevitable Accident.

I Std. 298. 1 Lev. 188. 2 Keb. S8. Hu∬ey and

But if A is imploy'd by B to fail from England to the Indies, and A. covenants, that he or his Servants will not thence import any Callicoes, &c. and A. retains C. as his Servant in this Voyage, and acquaints him with the Covenants, and notwithstanding C. falsly and fraudulently brings thence certain Callicoes, &c. A. shall have an Action against C. for tho' no Action lies by a Master for the bare Breach of his Command, yet if a Servant does any Thing falfly and fraudulently, to the Damage of his Master, an Action will lie.

1 Rol. Abr. 105. Cro. Fac. 265. Kirk.

So if a Merchant's Servant takes his Master's Goods that are arrived at a Port in England, and, before Payment of the Customs, lands them, Lane 65. S.C. per quod the Goods are forfeited and feifed by the King; the Master may Levelon ver. have an Action of Trespass or Case against his Servant.

on fur Cafe,34.

So if a Servant, that drives his Master's Cart, by his Negligence suffers  $_{7H\ 4.\ 14.}$  So if a Servant, that drives his Matter's Cart, by his Negligen  $_{Bro\ Tit.Adi}$  the Cattle to perifh, an Action upon the Case lies against him.

21 H. 4. 14. Moor 248.

If a Man deliver a Horse to his Servant to go to Market, or a Bag of Money to carry to London, which he neglects to do, the Mafter may have an Action of Account or Detinue against him.

Ca L and Eq. 109. Notifon ver. Brchan.

A Master sends his Servant, that used to transact Affairs of that Nature for him, on Saturday Morning with a Note drawn upon Sir Stephen Evans, with Orders to get from Sir Stephen either Bank-Bills or Money, and turn them into Exchequer-Notes; but the Servant having other Bufinefs of his Master's upon his Hands, to save himself the Time and Trouble of going to Sir Stephen, goes to B. and prevails with him to give him a Bank-Bill for Sir Stephen's Note, and then in Pursuance of his Mafter's

fter's Orders invested it in Exchequer-Notes, which he brought to his Mafter, not letting him know but that he had gone to Sir Stephen; Sir Stephen Evans failing on the Monday following; it was adjudged, that this Lofs should fall on the Master, and not on B. and the Court was of Opinion, that the Master could not recover it of the Servant, the Lofs being occasioned by a meer Accident, and not either Folly or Negligence.

2. Where Servants or Apprentices wall be punified oriminally for Acts done in relation to their Matters.

At Common Law, a Servant or Apprentice, without any Regard to 1 Hale's Hift. Age, may be guilty of Felony in feloniously taking away the Goods of P. C. 505, their Master, tho' they were Goods under their Charge, as a Shepherd, Butler, &c. and may this Day for any fuch Offence be indicted, as for a Felony at Common Law; but at Common Law, if a Man had delivered Goods to his Servant to keep, or carry for him, and he carried them away

animo furandi; this was confidered only a Breach of Truft, but not Felony. 6 But now by the Statute of 21 H. 8. cap. 7. it is enacted, that all and

' fingular Servants, to whom any Caskets, Jewels, Money, Goods or Chattels by his or their Masters or Mistresses shall from henceforth be deblivered to keep, that if any fuch Servant or Servants with-draw themfelves from their Masters or Mistresses, and go away with the Caskets, ' Jewels, Money, Goods or Chattels, or any Part thereof, to the Intent to steal the same, and defraud his or their Masters or Mistresses thereof, contrary to the Trust and Considence to him or them put by his or their Masters or Mistresses, or else being in the Service of his or their Masters or Mistresses, without any Assent or Commandment of his

· Master or Mistress, imbezil the same Caskets, Jewels, Money, Goods or Chattels or any Part thereof, or otherwise convert the same to his own Use, with like Purpose to steal it; that if the said Casket, Jewel,

Money, Goods or Chattels, that any fuch Servant shall go away with, or which he shall imbezil, with Purpose to steal as aforesaid, be of · the Value of 40 s. or above, that then the same false, fraudulent, or

untrue Act and Demeanor shall from henceforth be deemed and ad-' judged Felony, &c. Provided it extend not to Apprentices, nor to any

e Person under the Age of eighteen Years; but every such Apprentice, or Person within that Age doing that Act, shall be, and stand in the

6 like Case, as they were before the making of this Act.

By the Act of 27 H. 8. cap. 17. Clergy was taken away in this Case, 1 Hale's P.C. if the Indictment were laid specially upon the Act of 21 H. 8. and pur- 666-7. fuant to the same, and by the Act 28 H. 8. cap. 2. this Act of 21 H. 8. was made perpetual; but by the Act of 1 E. 6. cap. 12. these Acts were both repealed; but again, by the Act of 5 Eliz. cap. 10. this Act of 21 H. 8. was re-enacted and revived; but it did not revive the Act of 27 H. 8. for taking away Clergy. But now by 12 Ann. cap. 7. Clergy in fuch Case is taken away from Facts committed in any House or Outhouse, except as to Apprentices under the Age of fifteen Years robbing their Masters.

In the Construction of this Statute the following Opinions have been

1. That it extends only to fuch as were Servants to the Owner of the 1 Hawk P.C. Goods, both at the Time they were delivered, and also at the Time 92. when they were stolen.

2. That it is strictly confined to such Goods as are delivered to keep; Pyer 5. 1. and therefore that a Receiver, who having received his Master's Rents 2.3 runs away with them, or a Servant, who being intrufted to fell Goods, Hacek P C. Vol. III.

(a) Or the Mafter's Wife, she his Mistress

or to receive Money due on a Bond, fells the Goods, &c. and departs with the Money, is not within the Statute; but that a Servant who receives his Master's Goods from another (a) Servant, to keep for the Master, is as much guilty as if he had received them from the Master's own Hands; because such a Delivery is looked upon as a Delivery by being as well the Master.

as if the were Sole. I Hale's Hift. P. C. 668.

I Hawk. P.C. 92.

3. That it includes not the Wasting or Consuming of Goods, howfoever wilful it may be; nor the Taking away of an Obligation, or any other bare Chose in Action.

Cromp. 50. Dalt. cap. 102. I Hawk. P.C.

4. That it extends not to the Taking of fuch Things, whereof the actual Property is not in the Master at the Time; and therefore, that if a Servant having Money or Corn, &c. delivered to him, melt down the Money of his own Head, without the Command of his Master, into a Piece of Plate, or turn the Corn into Malt, and then run away with them, that he is not within the Statute; because the Property of these Things is fo far changed, by altering them in such a Manner, that they cannot be known again, and the Master cannot afterwards take them, without being a Trespasser; but it is agreed, that if a Servant make a Suit of Clothes of Cloth, or a Pair of Shoes of Leather, delivered to him by the Master, and then run away with them, that he is within the Statute; because the Property is no Way altered; and even in the first Case, Hawkins seems to be of Opinion, that the Taking of the Plate and Malt is within the Statute; and that the whole Act of the Servant, taken together, should be deemed a Conversion of the Master's Goods to his own Use, with an Intent to steal them, which brings it within the express Letter of the Statute; and on which Foundation it hath been refolved, that a Servant who changes his Master's Money from Silver to Gold, and then runs away with it, is within the Statute.

# (N) Df the Master's Authority over his Ser= vant, and how far he may correct and punish min.

38 H. 6. 25. 1 Sid. 175. the Retain-

T is clearly agreed, that a Master may correct and punish his Servant in a reasonable Manner for abusive Language, neglect of Duty, &c. and that in an Action of Affault and Battery brought against him, he (b) It is faid, may justify, that he was (b) his Servant, gave provoking Language, &c. rhat the Ma- and that therefore moderate castigavit; and on Issue of (e) immoderate casti-Justification gavit, if it appears in Evidence, that the Punishment was such as is mult fet forth usual from Masters to their Servants, the Master will be acquitted.

er, the Place where, and in what Business, being Matters issuable. 1 Sid. 177. (c) Where the Plaintiff replied non moderate castigavit, held well, tho' not so pertinent an Issue as immoderate castigavit. 1 Vent. 70. 1 Sid. 444. 2 Keb. 623.

2 Med. 167. But as fuch Correction must be moderate, it has been held, that the Mafter cannot justify Wounding his Servant; as in Assault, Battery and Wounding, and Imprisonment,  $\mathcal{C}_{\mathcal{C}}$ . Defendant justifies, for that he and the Plaintiff were Servants to the Sheriff of Suff'; and that the Plaintiff, when he should have been attending in Court, was at a Conventicle; and that he, by Command of the Sheriff, leviter & molliter manus imposuit upon the Plaintiff, and brought him thence; which is the same Trespass,

&c. and on Demurrer to this Plea it was held ill; because as to the 21 H. 6 26.

Wounding he fays nothing at all, and in that he cannot justify.

Also it hath been held, the a Master may beat his Servant, that 2 H. 4. 4.

yet he cannot delegate that Power to another; for the a Lord might 11 H. 4. 75. beat his Villein, either with Cause or without, and he could have no 9 Co. 76. a.

Remody, wer if another by his Command did in the Villein might 1 and 2 Mod. 167. Remedy, yet if another by his Command did it, the Villein might have had an Action.

From this Authority which a Master hath over his Servant, it is held ! Hale's Hist. in Law, that if a Master designeth moderate Correction to his Servant, P. C. 454. and accordingly useth it, and the Servant, by some Missortune, dieth thereof, this is not Murder, but per Infortunium; because the Law alloweth him to use moderate Correction; and therefore the deliberate Pur-

pose thereof is not ex malitia priecogitata.

But if the Master design an immoderate or unreasonable Correction, either in respect of the Measure, or Manner, or Instrument thereof, and the Servant die thereof; this per (a) Hale cannot be excused from Murder, if (a) + Hale's done with Deliberation and Defign; nor from Manslaughter, if done hastily, Hist P. C. passionately, and without Deliberation; and herein, says he, Consideration 454. must be had of the Manner of the Provocation, the Danger of the Inper Hawkins,
ftrument which the Master useth, and the Age or Condition of the Serwhere a vant that is stricken; and the like of a School-master towards his Scholar. School-ma-

recting his Scholar, or a Father his Son, or a Master his Servant, or an Officer in whipping a Criminal condemned to such Punishment, happens to occasion his Death, if such Persons in their Correction be so barbarous as to exceed all Bounds of Moderation, and thereby cause the Party's Death, they are guilty of Manslaughter at the least; and if they make use of an Instrument improper for Correction, and apparently indangering the Party's Life, as an Iron Bar, or Sword, &c. or kick him to the Ground, and then stamp on his Belly and kill him, they are guilty of Murder. 1 Hawk. P. C. 73-4. for which are cited Eracton, lib. — cap. 4. H. P. C. 31. Cromp. 28. Dalt. cap. 96. Kelw. 136. Kelyn. 65. 5 Mlod. 287.

#### (O) Of the Master's Remedies against others for inticing away, and other Injuries done in Relation to his Servant.

I's clearly agreed, that from the Interest a Master has in the Labour  $_{21\ H\ 6-31}$  and Service of his Servant, he may maintain an Action for inticing  $_{H_0b-189}$ . (b) or taking him away. (b) But it is

faid, that for taking away a Man's Servant out of his actual Service, Trespass will lie; but that for inticing him, only an Action on the Case. 1 Salk. 380.

Alfo, if without any Inticement a Servant leave his Mafter, without Ney 10 106. Licence or just Cause, and J. S. knowing him to be his Servant, retains 1 Leon 240. Kelw. 180. him, an Action lies. 2 Lev. 63.

But it hath been held, that an Indichment does not lie for inticing a- 1 Salk 380. way a Servant, being a private Injury, which may be redreffed by Civil 6 Mod 99, 182, 289 The Queen ver. Daniel.

In Case the Plaintiff delared, that J. S. 19 Sept. 16 Car. 2. was retained as an Apprentice to serve the Plaintiff for nine Years, and continued 170. in his faid Service till the 31st of October, 21 Cir. 2. when the Defen- 1 Lev. 299. dant procured the said 7. S. to leave the Plaintiff's Service, &c. (c) per Hambleton quod ver. Verre.

Plaintiff declared for a Battery of his Servant 19 Fan. &c. per quod he lost his Service for a long Time, viz. for the Space of six Months then next following, &c. Hob. 284. after Verdict for the

#### Master and Servant.

Plaintiff, ginal bore Tefle before the End of the fix ment; for

quod the Plaintiff totum proficuum quod ratione servitii pr.ed' J. S. per totum tho the Ori- residuum termini recipere potuisset totaliter perdidit, &c. and after (a) a Verdict for the Plaintift, and general Damages given, tho' it appeared the Term was not expired, it was intended that Damages were given for all the Term, as well the Time to come as past; for the Damages Months, yet must be intended to be taxed according to the Declaration; and if it the Plaintiff thould be intended otherwise, it would be uncertain to what Time they had his Judg. were taxed, whether to the Exhibition of the Bill, or Verdict given; the Viz. was and Judgment arrefted accordingly.

needed, being not of the Substance of the Action but for Aggravation of Damages only. All. 23. per Car'; but yet vide Cro. Fac. 619. Yelv. 94. (a) Where upon a Demurrer it may be help'd, for the Plaintiff may take Damages for the Departure only. 1 Mod. 27t.

1 And. 13. For the Battery of a Servant, the Master as well as Servant may bring 9 Co. 113. an Action, and each shall recover Damages, for both are injured; the 10 Co. 131, Servant in his Person, and the Master (b) by the Loss of his Servant's Stile 94. Labour; and therefore a Recovery in an Action brought by one of them, 2 Bulft. 198. 1 Sid. 175. cannot be pleaded in Bar to an Action brought by the other. (b) And as it

is this that in itles the Master to his Action, he must always declare per quod servitium amisit. Cro. Fac. 618. I Rel. Rep. 393 - And therefore the Defendant may plead Not guilty, and give it in Evidence, that he did not lose his Service 2 Rol. Abr. 682.

Yelv S9, 90. Yelv 89, 90. But if a Man beats another's Servant to that Degree that he dies 1 Brownl. 205. thereof, the Master loses his Action, and must proceed by Indictment; Rol. Abr. for the private Injury to him his drowned in the general Injury to the 568. 1 Salk. 11. Publick.

1 Rol. Abr. 98. If a Surgeon, in Confideration of a Sum of Money, undertakes to 1 Rol. Rep. cure my Servant of a Hurt, and he applies unwholsome Medicines 2 Bulft. 332. thereto, on Pupose to make the Wound worse, by which I lose the Service of my Servant for a long Time, I may have an Action on the Cafe against the Surgeon.

# (P) What a Master of Servant may justify doing in each other's Defence.

Hale's Hist. ROM the Relationship between a Master and Servant, it hath P. C. 484. Leen agreed, that a Master killing a Person in Defence of his Servant, or a Servant in Defence of his Master, are not guilty of Murder, and that in those Cases, the Act of the Assistant shall have the same Construction, as the Act of the Party assisted should have had, if it had been done by himfelf.

Also a Servant may justify an Assault in Defence of his (c) Master; and by some Opinions, so may a Master in Defence of his Servant; but 2 Rol. Abr 546. Owen 151. Cont. 1 Salk. others hold that he cannot; because in such Case he may have an Action per quod servitium amisit. (i) But he

cannot justify in Defence of his Master's Son, because not servant to him. Dalt. cap. 72. Crompt. 136. - Nor in Defence of his Mafter's Goods. 2 Lutw. 1481.

A Servant shall not avoid a Deed made by Duress to his Master, nor : Rol. Abr. 687. 2Brownl 276. vice versa.

Bro. Alainte-As to a Master's maintaining a Servant, or a Servant his Master, in mance 6. 14. Suits and legal Proceedings, it is agreed, that a Master may go along 2 Rol. Abr. with his Servant, or with his Domestick Chaplain, to retain Counfel; also 116. Morr SI4

he may pray one to be of Counfel for him, and may go with him, and stand with him, and aid him at the Trial; but ought not to speak in Court in Favour of his Cause: Also if the Servant be arrested, the Master may affift him with Money to keep him from Prison, that he may have the Benefit of his Service; but the Master cannot safely lay out Money for the Servant in a real Action, unless he have some of his Wages in his Hand; but these, with the Servant's Consent, he may safely disburse.

As to a Servant's Maintaining his Master, it is agreed, that a Person Hetl. 79retained generally as a Servant, and not for a particular Occasion only, 2 Rol. Altr. may lawfully ride about to speed his Master's Business; and may go to Kelw. 50. Counsel for him, and shew his Evidences to the Counsel, or to the Jury, and stand by him at a Trial; but cannot lawfully lay out his own Money in the Suit.

# Marriage and Divozce.

IARRIAGE is a Compact between a Man and a Woman for the Procreation and Education of Children; or an Exchange of mutual Vows, performed in the Presence of God, and with proper Ceremonies; and feems to have been first instituted as necessary to the very Being of human Society; for without the Distinction of Families, there can be no Incouragement to Industry, nor any Foundation for the Care of acquiring Riches; and therefore all well ordered Societies have fettled the Solemnities of Marriage, and ordained that the same should continue during Life; and the Reason is, because Children gradually arriving one after another, they have hardly done with the Care of their Education, till the Parents are unfit for second Marriages; and therefore it is convenient that Marriages should continue during Life, that the mutual Care of the Parents might be imployed in making Provision for their Children; and that the Love and Respect of their Children might be repaid to both Parents, without Distraction or Confusion; which could not be well done, if the Marriage was to be disjoined, and their Interest was to sever after the Concern of Education was over: Besides, the Interest of Marriage could not be conveniently carried on, if there were a Prospect that the Marriage was any otherwife to be determined but by Death only; for each Person would be injuriously drawing out of the common Stock, to the Injury of their joint Concern, and to the Prejudice of the Education of their Off-spring; besides that, such a joint Interest cannot be well and commodiously carried on without a mutual Friendship and Endearment, which must be leffened and destroyed by the Prospect, that the Contract might be determined by the Humour of either Party. Hence it is, that Fornication and all other Lusts are unlawful, because Children are begotten without any Care or Preparation for their Education; and the Crime of Adultery receives this further Aggravation, that it not only intails a spurious Race on the Party, for whom he is under no Obligation to provide, but likewise destroys that Peace and mutual Endearment which ought always to fubfift in the Marriage State.

Vol. III, 7 F We

We shall therefore consider what is herein injoined or forbidden under the following Heads.

- (A) What Persons may marry within the Levitical Degrees.
- (B) Of Espousals and Marriage Contracts; and therein of the Difference between Contracts in præsenti and futuro, and the Remedies for the Miolation thereof.
- (C) Of the Solemnization and Ceremonies requisite to a compleat Marriage; and therein of the Offence of performing the Ceremony without due Authority or Licence.
- (D) Of Offences against the Rights of Marriage: And herein,

1. Of the Offence of a forcible Marriage.

2. Of the Offence of marrying an Infant Female under the

Age of fixteen, without Confent of Guardian.

3. Of the Offence of procuring an improvident Marriage; and therein of Marriage-Brokage Contracts and Agreements.

(E) Marriage how long to continue; and therein of the several kinds of Divorces: And herein,

1. Of Elopement.

- 2. Of the Offence of taking away a Wife, and of criminal Conversation.
- 3. Of the feveral Kinds of Divorces.

#### (a) At what (A) Tuhat (a) Persons may marry Within the Levitical Degrees. may contract and in-

termarry, vide Head of TErein first, we must take Notice of the Statute of (b) 32 H. 8. enfants.—
Of MarOf Marriages by I- ' bition, (God's Law except) shall trouble or impeach any Marriage ' without the (c) Levitical Degrees; and that no Person, of what Estate, deots and Lunaticks, Degree or Condition foever he be, shall be admitted to any of the vide Head of Spiritual Courts within the King's Realm, or any his Grace's other Lands and Dominions, to any Process, Plea or Allegation contrary to (b) For the 6 the Statute.

general Exposition of this Statute, vide Co. Lit. 24, 235. 2 Inft. 683.4. Hob. 181. (c) But the Statute does not restrain the Ecclesiastical Courts from Divorces upon other Accounts; as upon the Account of Insufficiency, Adultery, Precontract, &c. Vaugh. 206, Sc.

Since this Statute, it hath been elearly agreed, that if the Spiritual Vaugh. 206, Court proceeds to impeach or dissolve a Marriage out of the Levitical Em. Degrees, that then the Temporal Courts are to prohibit them; for by that Statute all Marriages, that are out of those Degrees, are declared to

be good and lawful; and therefore, if the Spiritual Court molest Perfons in doing that which is declared lawful to be done by the Statutes of the Realm, they are by the Temporal Courts to be prohibited; because they exceed their Jurisdiction, thus bounded by the Temporal Law; but where the Law has not bounded them, their Jurisdiction still continues; and therefore within the Levitical Degrees they are still Judges of Incest.

We must likewise observe, that if a Person marry his Cousin within 1 Rol. Abs. the Levitical Degrees, yet they continue Husband and Wife, till a Sen- 340, 357, Vaugh. 208,

tence of Divorce be pronounced.

The Degrees prohibited by the Levitical Law, are such as are said to be against the Law of Nature, and such as are against the Divine positive

Those against the Law of Nature, are all Marriages between the af- Gret. de Jus cending and descending Line in infinitum; and this is said to be contrary Vaugh. 221, to the Lav. of Nature, because it tends to the Destruction of the natural 242, c Will of the Creator, which defigned the Prefervation and Continuance of fuch Inhabitants of the World as he originally created; and all  $\Lambda \hat{c}$ ts of Men that tend to the Destruction of such Species, as Murder of an innocent Person, are said to be against the Law of Nature; and theresore Incest, between the ascending and descending Line, is contrary to the Law of Nature; for the Mother would never have preferred and educated the Female Issue, if it had been admitted to the Father to have had Accefs to them; and Fathers would never have educated and preferved their Male Iffue, if they might have afcended the Bed of their Mothers. There is also another Reason why this is called unnatural, and that is, because it destroys the natural Duties between Parents and Children; for the Parent could never preferve or maintain that Authority that is necessary for the Education and Government of his Child; nor the Child that Reverence that is due to the Parent in order to be educated and governed, if such indecent Familiarities were admitted. There likewise seems to be a natural Reason against this, or any near Intercourse between Collaterals, which is drawn from that which is observed in Brute Creatures, viz. that it is necessary to cross the Strain, in order to continue the Species. It may be, that there being the same Tone and Figure in the Blood, and a simi-Iar Conformation of Vessels, the Circulation of it becomes torpid and unactive; whereas a new Mixture of others of the same Kind, where there is a different Figure and Motion of the Blood and Spirits, may add a new Vigour and Ability to the Animal Occonomy.

Those prohibited by the positive Divine Law, are all Collaterals to the Vaugh. 222. third Degree; and tho' this be not contrary to the Law of Nature, yet it feems established on very strong Reasons; for if a Concourse between Brothers and Sifters might be allowed, or their Marriages be tollerated, the Necessity there is that they should be educated together, and the frequent Opportunities they have with each other, would fill every Family with Lewdness, and create Heart-burnings and unextinguishable Jealousies between Brothers and Sifters, where the Family was numerous; and it would confine every Family to itself, and hinder the propagating common Love and Charity among Mankind; because there would be a Danger of taking a Wife out of any Family, if Women were liable to be corrupted by fuch vicious Freedoms. This Prohibition is likewife carried to Uncles and Aunts, Nephews and Nieces; because upon the Death of the Father and Mother they come into the Education of Children Ioco parentum; and by Consequence it was necessary to propagate the same Reverence of Blood in such near Degrees; that the Uncle might have the fame Regard and Command as a Father, and a Niece the fame Duty as a Daughter; it was also necessary, in order to persect the Union of Marriage, that the Husband should take the Wife's Relations in the same Degree, to be the same as his own without Distinction, and so vice

versa; for if they are to be the same Person as was intended by the Law of God, they can have no Difference in Relations; and by Con-(a) Accord fequence, the Prohibition touching (a) Affinity must be carried as far as the Prohibition touching Confanguinity. Text xviii

Leut. ver. 16. The Nakedness of thy Brother's Wife shalt thou not un over, it is thy Brother's Nakedness.

The Law in Leviticus, cap. xviii. ver. 6. is, That none of you shall approach to any that is near of Kin, to uncover their Nakedness; which Words being general, must be understood and expounded by the Examples from the 6th to the 20th Verse; among which we find many Prohibitions to Collaterals in the third Degree, both in Affinity and Confanguinity; but there is no Example of Collaterals in the fourth Degree, either in Affinity or Contanguinity; and therefore the Law of Marriage opens to Relations in the fourth Degree; and the Jewish Lawyers, in computing their Degrees, computed them according to the natural Order of Things; that is, from the Prapofitus up to the common Stock, and so down to the other Relations; which is the fair and natural Order of computing Proximity; and in this Order of Computation, Coufin Germans are held to be of the fourth Degree, and to have Liberty to

Vaugh. 210.

Selden, Ux'

Hebraica, lib 1. cap. 4.

> This likewise was the antient Sense of the Christian Church, and even of the Church of Rome in the Time of Pope Gregory; for in Writing to Authin Bishop of Canterbury he says, In quarta generatione contracta matrimonia minime solverentur; but afterwards, when they found that Dispenfations for incestuous Marriages brought great Profit to the Church of Rome, and knowing it had obtained universally in the Christian Church, that it was lawful to marry in the fourth Degree, Pope Alexander II. began a new Computation of Degrees; and he faid, that the Secular Computation, which was the Computation of the Civil Law, was not properly adapted to the Decisions touching Incestuous Marriages; but they ought to compute up to the common Stock, where the Relation joined, because there the Blood was connected; and therefore they computed the Degrees according to the Distance of the Person remotest from the common Stock; for according as the remotest was distant from the common Stock, fo they computed the Relations between the Parties; fo that the first Cousins that are in the fourth Degree, by the received Computation in the Mosaick and Civil Law, were now by the Canonical Computation thrown into the fecond Degree; and by this Alteration of the Computation of Degrees, they forbad not only first Cousins but second and third Cousins to marry, unless they obtained Dispensations.

> The Intention of the Statute above-mentioned was to restore every Thing according to the Prohibition expressed in the Law of God; and plainly, the Levltical Computation of Degrees was in the Manner they computed in the Civil Law; and agreeably hereunto hath been the Re-

folutions in our Law.

Vaugh. 302. Hill ver. Good. Carth. 271. S. P. admitted.

Hence it hath been adjudged, that the Marriage of two Sisters, one after the other, was incestuous, being in the second Degree; altho' it was objected, that the Verse in xviii Levit. being, thou shalt not take a Wife to her Sifter to vex her, &c. the Prohibition relating to Polygamy, to Jealousy and Vexing, the Reason thereof ceased with the Death of the first Wife; in the same Manner as if Moses had said, thou shalt not take a Wife to her Sifter to vex her, besides the other in her Lise-time; but herein the Court held, that tho' the Vexing, in one Part of the Text, related to the Life of the Wife, yet by another Part it is made unlawful for ever; and that from these Words, None of you shall approach to any that is near of Kin to him, to uncover their Nakedness; which makes the Nearness of Kin the chief Cause of the Prohibition, and is the Reason that runs through the whole Chapter; and that therefore the Vexing refers only to the Life Life of the Wife, but the Incestuous Copulation is the same after her Death, the Nearness of Kin still continuing.

So it hath been refolved, that marrying the Sister's Daughter is in- Raym 4/4 cestuous, being in the third Degree. Walkinfor

ver. Mergatron. 2 Jon. 191. S. C

So it hath been resolved in Variety of Books and Cases, that the Marriage with the Wise's Sister's Daughter was incestuous, being Lkewise Moor 307. Cro. Eliz. 228, in the third Degree; and the Degree of Affinity being the fame with 4 Leon. 16. that of Confanguinity.

Man's Cale,

2 Lev. 254. 3 Keb. 660. Hob. 181. Noy 29. 1 Sid. 434. 2 Fen. 118. 2 Shew. 70. 5 Med. 448 3 Leve 364. 2 Lutw 1075.

But upon a Prohibition, for proceeding against a Person in the Eccle-Vauch 206. fiaffical Court who had married the Widow and Relict of his Great Vent. 9. Uncle, it was adjudged, that fuch Marriage, being in the fourth Degree, Training ver. Ir was out of the Levitical Law, and therefore lawful.

On a Motion for a Prohibition to the Court of the Bishop of Exon, Mich. 30 Cur. for prefenring 7. S. for Incest, who had married the Daughter of his Bro- 2 in C. B. ther of the Half Blood; it was resolved that no Prohibit.on should go; Oxeniam & for the Court said, the Brothers were not of the Whole Blood, yet Uv' ver. were they Brothers, and therefore the Marriage incestuous; they agreed, that if the Father marries the Mother, and the Son the Daughter, this was lawful enough; and North cited the Case of the Earl of Manchester, who had married his Great Aunt's Husband's fecond Wife; and this was held by Divines and Civilians a good Marriage, for affinis mei affinis non cst mibi affinis.

On a Motion for a Prohibition, for proceeding against a Person in the 5 Med 168. Ecclesiastical Court, who had married his Sister's Bastard-Daughter; it Comb. 356. was urged for the Prohibition, that the Levitical Law forbids a Hains ver. Man to approach to any near of Kin, to uncover their Nakedness, yet that this cannot be intended of a Bastard, because he is of Kin to no Person whatsoever, &c. but the Court inclined not to grant: a Prohibition.

# (B) Of Espousals and Marriage Contrads; and therein of the Difference between Contracts in præsenti and tuturo, and the Remedies for the Miolation thereof.

Stinburne defines Espousals in this Manner, Sponsalia sunt mutua re- Swink of E-promissio nuptiarum rite inter ens, quibus jure licet, facta; which compre-spousali, sect. hends 1st, That this Promise must be mutual; 2dly, That it must be 11. done rite, or duly; 3dly, That it must be entered into by them who may lawfully marry.

Such Contracts are divided into Contracts in prasenti and Contracts in

futuro.

A Contract in prefenti, or per verba in prefenti, as I marry you, you swinh. 74. and I are Man and Wife, Se. is by the Civil Law esteemed ipjum matri- 2 Salt 458. monium, and amounts to an actual Marriage; which the very Patties them- 6 Med 135. felves cannot diffolve by Releafe, or other mutual Agreement; it being as much a Marriage in the Sight of God, as if it had been in Facie Ecclefix, with this Difference, that if they cohabit before Marriage in Escie Ecclesia, they are for that punishable by Ecclesiastical Censures; and if Vol. 111,

after such Contract either of them lies with another, they will punish fuch Offender as an Adulterer.

Savino. feel. 10, 11.

A Contract in suture, as I will marry you, &c. may be enforced in the Spiritual Court, but such Contract either Party may release; also if cither Party marry another Person, such second Marriage dissolves the Contract.

1 Leon. 147. 1 Rel. Abr. 22. Cro. Eliz. 79. Stile 295. Carter 233. Dickenson ver. Hole. roft. 1 Salk. 24. 5 Mod. 511. 6 Mod. 172. 1 Salk. 120, 121.

But it hath been resolved, that an Action will lie at Common Law for the Violation of fuch an Executory Contract per verba de futuro, for the Temporal Loss to the Party; and altho' the Party hath a Remedy in the Spiritual Court. But it feems, that by bringing an Action at Common Law, and that appearing on Record, the Remedy in the Spiritual Court is actually released; for now in lieu of a Performance of the Contract he shall recover Damages: Also the Desendant shewing, that he hath been fued for the fame Matter in the Spiritual Court, and producing a Sentence against the Plaintiff, the Plaintiff, notwithstanding any Proof of his, will be nonfuit; because that they were the proper Judges in the Spiritual Court, whether it were a Precontract or not.

Carth. 467.

Such Promises are good tho' the Time of Marriage be not agreed on; but in such Case it is necessary, to intitle the Party to his Action, to al-

ledge that he offered to marry her, and that she refused.

Carth. 467. 1 Salk. 24. S. C. Cage & Ux. 5 Mod. 511. 2 Salk. 437.

In an Action against Husband and Wife, the Plaintiff declared, that he promifed to marry the Defendant's Wife while Sole, and that she the fame Time promifed to take him for her Husband, and averr'd, that he Harrison ver. tender'd himself, and that she refused, &c. it was objected, that Marriage was no Advancement to a Man, tho' it was to a Woman; also, that no Time was laid when this Agreement was to have been executed; but the S. P. and the Court over-ruled both Objections.

Diffin&tion between a Man and a Woman exploded.

1 Salk. 24.

This Action must be founded on reciprocal Promises; and therefore if the Promife be on one Side only, it does not bind, being only Nudum

pactum.

Trin. 5 Geo. 2. Holt ver. Ward.

But if a Man of full Age and a Female of fifteen promife to intermarry, and afterwards he marries another, an Action lies against him; for tho' such Promise may be said to be voidable, as to the Infant, yet it shall be binding on the Person of full Age, who shall be presumed to have acted with sufficient Caution; otherwise this Privilege allowed Infants, of rescinding and breaking through their Contracts, which was intended as an Advantage to them, might turn greatly to their Prejudice.

Mour 169. 1 Sid.13. S.C. cited, and

If A, contracts himself to B, and after marries C, and B, such a upon 4 Co. 29. S.C. this Contract in the Spiritual Court, and there Sentence is given, that A. shall marry and cohabit with B. which he does accordingly; they are Baron and Feme, (a) without any Divorce between A. and C. for the denied by Baron and Feme, (a) without any Divoi Twisden; & Marriage of A. and C. was a meer Nullity.

.ide 1 Salk.

120-1. (a) But if a Woman maketh a Contract of Marrimony with F. S. and then marrieth with J. D. who is seised of Lands and dieth, she shall have Dower of his Lands; because such Marriage was not void, but voidable only, by Reason of the Precontract. Moer 226. Perk. 34.

It hath been held, that the Clause in the Statute of Frauds and Perju-3 Lev. 65. but Skin. 196. ries, 29 Car. 2. relating to Marriage-Agreements, extends as well to 2 Promife to marry, as to the Payment of Marriage-Portions.

(C) Of the Solemnization and Ceremonies requilite to a compleat Harriage, and there in of the Offence of performing the Ceremony Without due Authority or Licence.

N order to make the Marriage compleat, so as to intitle the Wife to I Relief of Dower, the Issue to inherit, See the same must be celebrated in 35%.

(a) Facie Ecclesie; and therefore the private Contract, without the Priest's As to the Bieffing, makes no Marriage, the' fuch Contract may be inforced in Lovaly of the Spiritual Court. Marriage. and of the

different Kinds of Trial, vide Tit. Baffard. (a) Defore the Time of Pope Lacount the Third, there was no S demnization of Mairiage in the Church, but the Man came to the Houte where the Woman inhabited, and led her home to his own House, which was all the Geremony then used. Abor 1725 Per Goldingham, Dostor of the Civil Law, arguends.

Alfo, tho' the Marriage be folemnized in Facie Ecclesie, yet if it 1 Pol. Alv. were without Confent, it is void; and therefore if a Man takes 340.

E. S. to Wife by Durefs, the fame is void, tho folemnized in Facie 6 Co. 22.

Etclefix: Keilw. 52. Lyer 13. Cro. Car. 488, 493. 1 Sid 65.

A. and B. being Salbatarians, were married by one in their own Way, 1 Salk. 119. who used the Form of the Common Prayer, except the Ring, but was Heydon ver. a meer Layman; the Wife dying, the Husband took out Administration to her; but upon Application of her Sister, the Letters of Administration to her; but upon Application of her Sister, the Letters of Administration to her; but upon Application of her Sister, the Letters of Administration to her; but upon Application of her Sister, the Letters of Administration to her; but upon Application of her Sister, the Letters of Administration of her Sister of the L stration were repealed, and the Sentence of Repeal affirmed by the De- 3 Lev. 3-6. legates; for the Husband, demanding a Right due to him as Husband, 2 Show. 302. must bring himself within the Rules prescribed by that Jurisdiction to whom he applies; also the constant Form of pleading Marriage is, that it was per presbyterum sacris ordinibus conftitutum; and an Act of Parliament was made confirming the Marriages contracted during the Ulur-

A Marriage folemnized by a Person in Priest's Orders is good and 5 Co. 22-binding, the there was no Publication of Banns or Licence to dispense Co. Lin. 24-50 therewith; but herein it feems agreed, that not only the Party per- 2 Salk. 6-3 forming the Ceremony, but also the Parties married, being Lay Persons, 6 Med. 18, are punishable by Ecclesiastical Censures; and for acting contrary to such antient Canons as have been receiv'd and allowed in this Kingdom; but it feems agreed, that the Canons of 21 Jac. 1. bind not the Laity, not having been univerfally received, and being made only in Convocation, where the Laity are not reprefented.

Also by the (b) 788 817. 3. cap. 35. sect. 2. it is enacted, That every (b) For the Parson, Vicar or Curate, who shall marry any Persons in any Church Panishmens or Chapel, exempt or not exempt, or in any other Place whatever, on Gaolers without Publication of the Banns of Matrimony between the respective permitting fuch Marriages fuch Marriages first had and obtained, shall for every such Offence forfeit the Sum of Ann. 12. • One hundred Pounds.

Perfons erecting Offices for making Infurances on Marriages, 10 Ann. cap. 26, fals. 109.

And Sell. 3. it is further enacted by the faid Statute, 'That every Parfon, Vicar or Curate, who shall substitute or imploy, or knowingly and wittingly shall suffer and permit any other Minister to marry any · Persons in any Church or Chapel, to such Parson, Vicar or Curate belonging or appertaining, without Publication of Banns, or Licences of Marriage first had and obtained, shall for every such Offence forseit the Sum of one Hundred Pounds; the aforesaid respective Forseitures to be recovered by Action of Debt, Bill, Plaint or Information, in any of his Majesty's Courts of Record; wherein no Essoin, Wager, or Protection of Law, or any more than one Imparlance shall be allowed; one Moiety thereof to his Majesty, his Heirs and Successors, and the other Moiety to him or them who shall inform, or sue for the same. And Sest. 4. it is further enacted by the said Statute, That every Man so married without Licence, or Publication of Banns as aforesaid, shall forseit the Sum of ten Pounds, to be recovered, together with Costs of Suit, in Manner as aforesaid, by any Person who shall inform or sue for the same; and likewise, that every Sexton or Parish-Clerk, who shall knowingly and wittingly aid, promote and assist at such Marriages, so celebrated without Banns or Licences, as aforesaid, shall forseit the Sum of sive Pounds; to be recovered with Costs of Suit, in Manner as aforesaid, by any Person who shall inform or sue for the same.

# (D) Of Offences against the Rights of Marsinge: And herein,

#### 1. Of the Offence of a forcible Marriage.

BY the 3 H. 7. cap. 2. fect. —. it is enacted in the Words following: Where Women, as well Maidens as Widows and Wives, having Subfrances, some in Goods moveable, and some in Lands and Tenements, and some being Heirs apparent unto their Ancestors, for the Lucre of fuch Substances, be oftentimes taken by such Misdoers contrary to their Will, and after married to fuch Misdoers, or to other by their Affent, or defiled, to the great Displeasure of God, and contrary to 6 the King's Laws, and Disparagement of the said Women, and utter 6 Heaviness and Discomfort of their Friends, and to the evil Ensample of all other; it is therefore ordained, established and enacted by our Sovereign Lord the King, by the Advice of the Lords Spiritual and ' Temporal, and the Commons in the faid Parliament affembled, and by 4 Authority of the same, that what Person or Persons from henceforth, that taketh any Woman fo against her Will unlawfully, that is to fay, Maid, Widow or Wife, that fuch Taking, Procuring and Abetting the fame, and also Receiving wittingly the same Woman so taken against her Will, and knowing the fame, be Felony; and that fuch Mifdoers, 'Takers, and Procurators to the fame, and Receitors, knowing the faid ' Offence in Form aforesaid, be henceforth reputed and judged as principal Felons. Provided alway, that this Act extend not to any Person taking any Woman only claiming her as his Ward, or Bond-Woman. Sect. 3. and by 39 Eliz. cap. 9. All Persons who shall be Principals or Procurers, or Accessories before such Offence committed, are con-· cluded from the Benefit of the Clergy.

In the Construction of the said Statute of 3 H. 7. the following Points have been resolved.

That the Indictment for this Offence must set forth, both that the Gro. Car 485. Woman had Lands or Goods, or that she was Heir apparent, and that Add 115. 3 Inst. 68. Savil 59. 12 Co 20, 110. Stat. Tri. Vol. 5. fol. 468. Savindson's Case.

the Taking was for Lucre; and also that she was married or defiled; for the enacting Clause, in saying, that what Person takes any Woman so against her Will, plainly restrains the Taking to such as is within the Preamble; (a) but it needs not fet forth, that the Taking was with an (a) Yet their Intention to marry or defile.

intentione ad information in the statute; and it is safest so to do. I Hale's Hist. P. C. 660.

It is faid in Hale, that to make the Offence Felony within this Statute, I Hale's Hiftthe Taking must be against her Will; but herein by Hawkins, that it is P. C. 665. no Manner of Excuse, that the Woman at first was taken away with her 110. own Consent; because if she afterwards refuse to continue with the Offender, and be forced against her Will, she may from that Time as properly be faid to be taken against her Will, as if she had never given any Consent at all; for till the Force was put upon her she was in her own Power.

That it is not material, whether a Woman taken against her Will be Cra. Car. 493. at last married or defiled with her Consent, or not, if she were under 3 Keb. 193. the Force at the Time; because the Offender is in both Cases equally 1 Vent. 243. within the Words of the Statute, and shall not be construed to be out of the Meaning of it, for having prevail'd over the Weakness of a Woman, whom by fo base Means he got into his Power.

That those who after the Fact receive the Offender, but not the Wo- 3 Infl. 61. man, are not Principals within this Statute; because the Words are, re- Dalis 22. ceiving wittingly the same Woman so taken, &c. but it seems clearly that St. P. C. 44. they are Accessories after the Offence, according to the known Rules of P. C. 661. Common Law,

That those who are only privy to the Marriage, but no ways Parties 1 Hale's History to the forcible Taking away, or confenting thereto, are not within the P. C. 660.

That where a Woman is taken by Force in the County of A. and mar- Cro. Car. 488. ried in the County of B. the Offender may be indicted and found Hob 183. Guilty in the County of B. because the continuing of the Force there, 1 Hale's History of the Force there, 2 forcible Taking within the Statute amounts to a forcible Taking within the Statute.

It hath been adjudged, as is the constant Practice at this Day; that on Cro. Car. 488, an Indictment for a forcible Marriage, grounded on this Statute, the Vent 243. Wife may be a Witness against the Husband; for it being by Force, it 4 Mod. S. cannot be faid a Marriage de Jure, so as to make them one Person in But ha

-But had

Graint, lived with him, that thus married her, any confiderable Time, her Examination in Evidence might be more questionable. 1 Hale's Hist. P. C. 661.

#### 2. Of the Offence of marrying an Infant semale under the Age of fixteen, without Confent of Guardian.

By the 4 & 5 Ph. & Mar. cap. 8. it is provided, 6 that it shall not be 3 lings 62. 6 lawful for any Person to take away any Maid, or Woman Child un- 3 Mod. 84. 6 married and within the Age of fixteen Years, from the Parents or 6 Guardian in Socage, and that if any Woman Child or Maiden, being

' above the Age of twelve Years, and under the Age of fixteen, do at ' any Time affent or agree to such Person that shall make any Con-

tract of Matrimony, (contrary to the Form of the Act,) that then the next of Kin of fuch Woman-Child or Maid, to whom the Inhe-

e ritance should descend, return or come, after the Decease of the same Woman-Child or Maid, shall, from the Time of such Assent and A-greement, have, hold and enjoy all such Lands, Tenements and He-

reditaments, as the faid Woman-Child or Maid had in Poffession, Re-

\* version and Remainder, at the Time of such Assent and Agreement, du-Vol. III.

- 'ring the Life of such Person that shall so contract Matrimony; and
- ' after the Decease of such Person so contracting Matrimony, that then ' the faid Land, &c. shall descend, revert, remain and come to such
- · Person or Persons as they should have done in case this Act had never
- been made; other than him only that fo shall contract Matrimony.
- 3. Of the Offence of procuring an improvident Warriage; and therein of Marriage. Bishage Contracts and Agreements.

i Lev. 257.

It is of fuch Confequence, that all Marriages should proceed from free 5 Med. 221. Choice, and not from any Compulsion or sinister Means, that it hath been held a Matter indictable, or an Offence for which the Court will grant an Information, to procure an improvident or an unequal Marriage.

But for this 89, 90.

And on this Foundation, that Marriage ought to be free, Marriagevide Abr. Eq. Brokage Bonds and Contracts have been declared to be void, and decreed to be given up and cancelled.

Show. Par. Ca. 76. Hall ver. Patter.

So, tho' it was decreed in Chancery, that a Bond of 1000 l. Penalty, for the Payment of 500 l. given for the procuring a Marriage between Persons of equal Rank, Fortune, &c. was good; yet upon an Appeal to the House of Lords, the Decree was reversed; for that such Bonds to Match-Makers are of dangerous Consequence, and tend to the Betraying and Ruining Persons of Fortune and Quality, and are not to be countenanced in Equity; and that Marriage ought to be procured by the Mediation of Friends and Relations; and that such Bonds would be of evil Example to Executors, Guardians, Trustees, Servants and others who have the Care of Children.

Abr. Eq. 90.

Nor will the Court only decree a Marriage-Brokage Bond to be delivered up, but a Gratuity of fifty Guineas, actually paid, to be refunded; for that fuch Bargains are in no Shape to be countenanced.

An Uncle gives his Niece by Will 1200 l. the Niece marries, but antecedent to the Marriage, the Father takes a Bond from the then intended Husband to pay him 2001. in case the Daughter should happen to die without Issue Male, living her Husband; the Daughter did die without Issue Male, living her Husband; whereupon the Father sued the Husband at Law upon this Bond; and the Husband brought his Bill in Equity to be relieved against this Bond, and had a Decree accordingly; for it appearing that no Money was paid, nor Confideration for entering into it, the Court took it to be in Nature of a Marriage-Brokage Bond, and fo therefore ordered it to be deliver'd up.

#### (E) Parriage how long to continue; and there= in of the several Kinds of Divoices: And herein,

#### 1. Of Clopement.

Arriage, for the Reasons already given, being to continue during Life, a Wife can in no (a) Case whatsoever leave her Husbaud; have Alimo-nv, without any Separa-Presence of God and in the Face of the Church, that she will cleave to him during Life; and therefore, if a Woman runs away from her Hufband, without any (a) Provocation, he shall not answer for any (b) Con- (a) But if a tract she makes, nor be obliged to answer for her Necessaries. Hu band

his Wife, or by ill Usage obliges her to go away, he gives her Credit wherever she goes, and mire pay for Necessaries for her. 1 Salk. 118. but for this, the Tit. Earon and Feme. (b) That a Court of Equity will not affift a Wife, who elopes, to Alimony, 1 Vern 53.

Alfo, if a Woman elope from her Husband, she loses her Dower; but 2 Irsh. 435. it scems, that Elopement was no Bar of Dower at the Common Law, Co. Lit. 32, tho' a Divorce were fued and obtained for the Adultery; but now by 1. N.B. 152. the Statute of H. 2. c.p. 34. it is expresly provided, that in such Case 1 Rol. Abr. the Wife shall lose her Dower; the Words of which are, Si ugor Sponte 680. reliquerit virum suum & abierit, & moretur cum adultero suo, amittat in perpetuum astionem petendi dotem suam, quæ ci competere posset de tenementis viri sui, si super boc convincatur, nisi vir suus sponte & absque coertione Ecclesiæ cam reconciliet, & secum cobabitare permittat, in quo casu restituatur ei astio; and tho' she does not go away sponte, but is taken against her Will, yet if after she consents, and remains with the Adulterer, she shall Jose her Dower; for the Remaining with him, without Reconciliation, is the Bar of Dower, not the Manner of the Going away; and this was the old Way of preventing the Crime; for they thought it unfit that fuch Wife, that did not share in the Labours of the Husband, should have any Family Provision.

In Dyer there is a Precedent of such Elopement pleaded, and Issue Dyer 107. ac taken upon the Reconciliation of the Husband; but it is there held, that the Defendant cannot give in Evidence any other Elopements than that which is pleaded; for there may be divers Elopements and divers Reconciliations; and Defendant, at his Peril, ought to take Issue on one only; that is, as I understand the Book, upon the last; for if there be divers Reconciliations, yet if she afterwards elope, yet the shewing that she was once reconciled after Elopement, will not take away what is set

up in Bar of Dower.

If a Woman be ravished, and remain with the Ravisher against her Perk. 354, Will, she shall not lose her Dower; but if after such Ravishment she Brook 12. confent to remain with him, she shall lose it, tho' the Book thinks the Roll Abr. contrary; and in the Case cited by him, she answered only to the Elopement, and not to the Remaining with the Adulterer; but if she volunta- Co. Lit. 32. b. rily goes away from her Husband, tho' she remain all her Life-time with the Adulterer against her Will; or if she remains not with him, but he turns her away, yet shall she lose her Dower; but if she be reconciled, as the Statute ordains, then she shall be endowed, tho' the Husband hath aliened the Land in the mean Time.

If she elopes, and lives in Adultery in any other the Manors or Lands (c) Perk. 355. of her Husband, some (c) Books say she shall not lose her Dower; either F.N.B. 150. because it cannot be intended a Running away from her Husband, when 1 Rol. Abr. the remains in any of his Manors or Lands, or because he is to take 680. Care that no fuch live there; but my Lord (d) Coke holds the contrary; (d) = Infl.436. and fays, tho' she cohabits with her Husband in the same House, yet without his Reconciliation sponte, she shall lose her Dower; a fortiori in the other Case; for the Adultery, and the Remaining with the Adulterer, are the Caufes of her being barred of Dower; and fo, tho' she do cohabit, and be reconciled to her Husband, yet if it be by Church Cen-fures, she shall lose her Dower; tho' (c) Rolle says, if she elopes, and (e) 1 Rol. Ali after lives with her Husband for some Years till his Death, by his Con- 680. fent, without Compulsion of the Church, she shall not be barred of her Dower, tho' it be not averred, that she was reconciled to her Hufband; which feems reasonable enough, the Permission to cohabit with him being an Argument and Proof of the Husband's Reconciliation.

2 Inft. 435. 1 Rol. Abr. 680. Dyer 106. b. in Margine.

If a Man grants his Wife, with her Goods, to another, and she lives with the Grantee all the Life-time of the Husband, yet she shall lose her Dower, by Reafon of her living with him in Adultery; and in that Case, where such a Grant was pleaded, it was held, is, That the Grant was void. 2dly, That it did not amount to a Licence, or if it did, that it was void. 3dly, That after the Elopement, there shall be no Averment admitted quod non fuit adulterium; tho' the Grantee and the Woman married after the Husband's Death; and tho' in that Cafe, they brought Sentence of Purgation of the Adultery from the Spiritual Court, yet it was not allowed against such Presumption.

1 Rol. Abr. 6So. Green ver. Harvey.

If the Husband's Relations keep him from his Wife, so that she does not know what is become of him, and give out that he is dead, and thereupon procure her to release all Marriages and Interests which she can have in him as her Husband, and also perswade her to marry again, which she does, with one who has Notice that her first Husband is alive, but she herself has no Notice of it; tho' she lives in Adultery with this Man, and tho' her Husband be not out of the Realm, nor beyond the Seas, fo that she ought to have taken Notice of his being alive; yet because she non religuit virum sponte, as the Statute fays, but by Perswasion of his Friends, not knowing herfelf but that he was dead, this is no fuch Elopement as will bar her of her Dower.

#### 2. Of the Offence of taking away a Wife, and of Cris minal Conversation.

2 Inft. 180-1, 434. Dyer 256. b.

At Common Law, the Husband may have an Action of Trespass de uxore abucta cum bonis viri; also this Offence is prohibited by the Statute of Westm. 2. cap. 13. and a further Punishment inflicted than was at the Common Law; also by Westm. 2. cap. 34. it is punishable at the Suit of the King, by the Words following, De mulieribus abductis cum bonis virorum suorum babeat Rex sectam de bonis sic asportatis.

47 E. 3 Ac-tion fur l'Statat', 37. 2 Inft. 435. ront'.

If the Wife be infra annos nubiles, viz. under the Age of twelve Years at the Time of taking away, some have holden, that the Husband shall not have a Writ de uxore abdusta cum bonis viri; but my Lord Coke holds the contrary, and that she is user until Disagreement.

2 Infl. 434.

If the Wife be taken away, and after be divorced, or if she die, yet the Husband shall have his Action De uxore abducta cum bonis viri; for in this Action he shall not recover his Wife, but Damages; and he cannot have an Action for taking her away as his Servant, because the Law gives him an Action in another Form.

2 Inft. 435. Cro. Jac. 538, 539, that it & abduxit as as Men. well as Rapuit.

Also it is held, that the' the Words of the Writ be Rapuit, &c. yet here it is taken for a violent Taking away, and not when carnal Knowmay be Cepit ledge is had; fo as this Action may be brought against Women as well

Mich. 17 Car. English.

In an Action of Trespass de uxore abducta cum bonis viri sui, the 2. at Oxford, Jury found for the Plaintiff, quoad taking some Goods, but as to all in B. R. Wat the rest, for the Desendant; it was alledged 1st, That this Action con-Ent. 1654. in cludes contra formam statuti, and so the Plaintist makes his Case upon the Statute, and has failed in Proof; for the Verdict is for the Defendant, as to the Taking away the Wife, which is the only Matter provided against by any Statute. Sed non allocatur; for per Cur', if a Man brings an Action at Common Law, and concludes contra ferman statuti generally, it finall not hurt; but if he recites a Statute in particular, and lays the Fact to be contra formam stat' prædict', there he must make his Case within the Statute, else he has failed of his Case; and it has been adjudged, that an Indictment of Barretry concluding contra formam flat' is

good, tho' there be no Statute that is express against it. 2dly, That it does not lay per quod Consortium amisit. 3dly, That the Word Ravish in English implies a carnal Knowledge only; (tho' in Latitude it fignifies also a forcible Taking away,) and so the Matter amounts to a Felony of the Plaintiff's own shewing, for which he can have no Action of Trespass; but to these the Court paid no Regard, because they were made immaterial by the Verdict.

Alfo the Husband alone may bring an Action for the Battery, Cro. Car. 89, Carrying away and Detaining of his Wife, per quod folamen & con- 90 adjudg. fortium of his faid Wife amisit; because the Action is sounded upon ed, and asthe special Damage done to himself, and will be no Bar to another Action brought by Baron and Feme, or by the Feme, after the Death of Cro. Fac. 538. the Baron, for the same Battery.

judged.

2 Rel. Abr. 556. 1 Jon 440. Lit. Rep. 339. 2 Rel. Rep. 51. S. P. adjudged.

In Trespass and false Imprisonment by Baron and Teme, per quod ne- 1 Salk. 119. gotia domestica of the Husband remanserunt infecta ad grave damnum ip-Russel ver forum; it was objected, that this being laid as a special Damage to the Corne. Husband, the Action ought to have been brought by him alone; but adjudged for the Plaintiffs after Verdict, being only Matter in Aggravation of Damages.

In Trespass by Baron and Feme, for Beating the Baron, they may 1 8 d. 387. declare, that it was ad dumnum inforum, notwithstanding a Feme Covert Palm. 339. can have no Damages, for this Action will furvive.

And as the Husband may bring an Action for the Battery, Carrying Farell. 79. away and Detaining his Wife; fo also may he have an Action against a Person for having criminal Conversation with her, altho' the Wife confent to the Adulterer; for this is a Matter in which she cannot affent, by Reason of the Injury to the Husband, and his Interest in her.

Also the Husband may not only bring an Action at Law for the cri- 2 Salk. 553 minal Conversation, in which he shall be repair'd in Damages, but may also proceed in the Ecclesiastical Court for the Adultery and Solicita-

tion of Chastity; and the Proceedings in the one Court shall be no Bar to the other.

But where there was an Indichment for Affaulting, Beating, Wounding and Endeavouring to ravish the Wife of B. upon which the Party was convicted; and afterwards the Husband brought an Action of Trefpass for the same Cause; and the Party being also libelled against in Spiritual Court for the same Fact, viz. for Soliciting her Chastity, moved for a Prohibition to the Proceedings in the Spiritual Court; and tho' it was urged for the Jurisdiction of the Spiritual Court, that they may punish for the Solicitation and Incontinence, and that this Suit was pro falute anime, the others for Fine and Damages; but per Cur', a Prohibition was granted; for it being an Attempt and Solicitation to Incontinence, coupled with Force and Violence, it does by Reason of the Force, which is Temporal, become a Temporal Crime in toto; as if one fays, Thou art a Whore and a Thief, or Thou keepeft a Bawdy-House, which are Temporal Matters, the Party shall not proceed in the Spiritual Court; whereas if it were only, Thou art a Whore, a Libel lies in the Spiritual Court; fo if it be faid of a Woman that she is a Bawd only, and not that she keeps a Bawdy-house. But per Holt Ch. J. If one commit Adultery, and the Husband bring Affault and Battery, this shall not hinder the Spiritual Court; for it is a criminal Proceeding there, and no Indictment lies at Common Law for Adultery.

#### 3. Of the several Kinds of Divozers.

Divorces are either fuch as (a) diffolve a Vinculo Matrimonii, and fet Co Lit 235 . 1. Cro. Car. 462: the Parties intirely at Liberty, so that they may marry whom they please (a) Where afterwards; or fuch as separate a Mensa & Thoro, from Bed and Board fuch Sentence of Di- only; in which last the Marriage continues in Force, so that if either of them marry any other, fuch Marriage is void. vorce is given in the

Spiritual Court, the Issue shall be perpetually bound, so long as that stands in Force; and shall not at Common Law be admitted to make any Proof to the contrary. 7 Co. 43. Ken's Case. Fenk. 289

47 E. 3. 78. A Divorce by Reason of a (b) Precontract dissolves a Vinculo Matri-18 H. 6. 34. monii; for the Party being under a prior Engagement, the fecond Mar-1 Rol. Abr. riage is null and void, and confequently the Issue of such second Marri-360. age are Bastards. (b) Per 32 H. S. cap. 38.

No Divorce could be for any Precontract after Marriage solemnized in the Face of the Church, and consummate with bodily Knowledge, or Fruit of Children; but quoad this Matter, this was repealed fer 2 & 3 E. 6. cap. 23. and the whole Act per 1 & 2 Ph. & Mar. cap. 8 parag. 20. and the 32 H. 8. quoad fo much only as was not repealed by 2 & 3 E. 6. was revived per 1 Eliz. cap. 1. parag. 11. fo that quoad this Matter, the 32 H. S. stands repealed.

Co Lit. 235. So a Divorce by Reason of Consanguinity and Affinity dissolve a Vin-Vide Supra culo Matrimonii; fuch Marriage being against the Divine positive Law, Letter (A). and therefore void.

So a Divorce by Reason of Frigidity, or Impotence, dissolves the Co. Lit. 235. Mariage absolutely (c) because the End of the Contract cannot be an-5 Co. 98. But for this fwered.

Kind of Di-

vorce, vide 5 Co 9. Moor 225. 2 Leon. 169. 1 And. 185. Dyer 178. pl. 40. (c) But if a Man be divorced from one Woman propter perpetuam generandi impotentiam, and then marry another, and have Issue by the second Marriage, which continues without Divorce, the Issue are lawful; for a Man may be habilis & inhabilis diversis temporibus; and the second Marriage is not avoided by any Divorce, and therefore stands good in Law. 5 Co. 98. Bury's Case. Noy 72. Moor pl. 366. S. C. by the Name of Morris ver. Webber.

I Roll. Abr. 68 I. 2 Leon. 169. Moor 226. 2 Inft. 684, 687.

1 Rol. Abr. 357.

I Rol. Abr.

7 Co. 70.

5 Co. 98.

2 Leon. 169.

68 L

A Divorce Causa Professionis is reckoned by some amongst the Causes that dissolve the Vinculum Matrimonii, the Monks and Nuns, by their being professed, having vowed perpetual Chastity; but others hold, that in Cro. Car. 462. some Cases it does not, and that in such the Wife shall be endowed; but it is faid, this Divorce is now taken away by 32 H. 8. cap. 38. and other Acts, made on Purpose to take away that, and other scrupulous Divorces.

And the' thefe Kinds of Divorces dissolve a Vinculo Matrimonii, yet the Issue between them are not Bastards, 'till there be a Divorce actually had; for tho' such Marriages be unlawful, yet they remain good 'till Sentence of Divorce be pronounced; and consequently the Issue must be e-

steemed legitimate, 'till such a Dissolution.

Also, tho' a Divorce Causa Præcontractus, Causa Consanguinitatis, Causa Affinitatis, or Causa Frigiditatis, dissolve the Vinculum Matrimonii, and leave Co. Lit. 32. a. the Parties at Liberty to marry again; yet if either of the Parties die before such Sentence of Divorce be actually pronounced, it cannot be pronounced (d) after; and therefore if the Husband die before such Divorce, the Issue are legitimate, and his Wife de fasto shall have Dower; (d) But the for it was legitimum matrimonium quoad dotem, and the Bishop ought to in case of In- certify, that they were legitimo matrimonio copulati.

cest a Divorce cannot be had after the Death of one of the Parties, so as to bastardize the Issue; yet the Spiritual Court may proceed to punish the Survivor for the Incest. Curth 271. 4 Mod. 182 1 Salk. 121.

A Di-

A Divorce Propter adulterium does not dissolve the Marriage, but Co. Lit. 235. only makes a Separation a Mensa & Thoro; lest married Persons should Cro Car. 462s commit the Crime in order to diffolve the Marriage; and tho' fuch a  $\frac{7 \text{ Co. } 42.}{N.y}$  108. Divorce does not bastardize the Issue, yet the Children born in such a State of Separation are prima facie not presumed to be the Husband's, unless it can be proved that they cohabited afterwards; but such Divorce does not tar the Wife of Dower.

So a Divorce Propter sevitiam or Metum is of the same Nature; and Cro Car. 462. does not diffolve the Bond of Matrimony; but is only a Provision for the Woman's Safety, that she may avoid her Husband's Cruelty and ill

Ufage.

# Merchant and Merchandize.

S no one Man can turn his own Industry to all the feveral Varieties that are necessary for a convenient Livelihood, but must by a careful and laborious Diligence in any one Affair, or particular Branch of Business, acquire more than is necesfary for his own Subfiftence; and as the Necessities and Materials of Life are various, and not all of them to be acquired by the Labour of any one particular Person; and as they are likewise perishable, and not long to be preserved without Alteration and Corruption, hence arose the Necessity of Bartering and Exchanging, and that one Man should imploy his Time in one Art and Means of living; and that That which was redundant from such Art of his should be communicated to others, in Exchange for the other Necessaries of Life which he wanted, and wherewith they abounded, and that the perishable Materials should be exchanged for those more permanent and durable, or to receive of the same hereafter, when the Party became old and unfit for Labour.

And as this Necessity of Permutation and Exchange begot at first the Notion of Merchandize, so when the several Ornaments of Life were brought to Light, the Ways of Traffick and Exchange grew more extended and inlarged; and civilized States brought from (a) other Conn- (a) It is Fotries such Materials as they themselves wanted, and which were the reign Trade that renders Produce of those Places; and such as tended to inrich and aggrandize us, says Milthemselves, and were necessary to a polite and adorned Way of living. ley, rich, ho-

and great; that gives us a Name and Esteem in the World; that makes us Masters of the Treafures of other Nations and Countries, and begets and maintains our Ships and Seamen, the Walls and Bulwarks of our Country. Molloy 416.

Hence it is, that in every civilized and well regulated State, and especially in an Island, Trade and Merchandize should be protected and incouraged, and that it should be free to all Perfons; as every one, who would live, is under a Kind of natural Necessity to labour, in which he has a Property, being the means of Livelihood; which to hinder him

from, would be as cruel as to deprive him of Life it felf; and therefore it feems agreed, from the fundamental Principals of our Government, that the King cannot regularly prohibit Trade, nor lay a Penny Impolition on it; but that every Man may use the Sea, and trade with other

Nations, as freely as he may use the Air. And this Freedom of Trade is not only allowed by the Common Law,

but hath also been afferted and established by the Care and Wisdom of Frinces and Parliaments; and to this Purpole it is provided by Magna (a) This re-Charta, cap. 30. 'That (a) all Merchants, (b) (if they were not openly tights Aliens prohibited by the control that have their facts and furn Conduction to the control openly only; which prohibited before) shall have their safe and sure Conduct to depart, come and carry, buy and fell without any Manner of evil Tolls, by the old throughy. proves, that and rightful Customs, &c. the English

had this Liberty before; otherwise they would not have extended it to Aliens, and left the English without it. 2 Inft. 57. (b) This Prohibition must be by Act of Parliament, because it concerns the whole Realm, which is implied in the Word Openly, and relates to Aliens only. 2 Inst. 57.

(c) Skin. 335. 3 1 ev. 352. 4 Med. 176. Sands ver. Child and Lyn b.

But notwithstanding this Freedom of Trade, yet it seems (c) agreed, that the King may in Time of War, and for the Publick Service and Safety, lay an Embargo on Ships, and imploy the Ships of his Subjects in the Publick Service; but this, fays my Lord Chief Justice Holt, ought to be upon great Emergencies, and for the Publick Benefit, and not for the private Interest of any Person or Society: Also it seems agreed, that the King may, by his Writ of (d) Ne exeat regnum, retain a Subject Writ is pro- from going out of the Realm; and may by his (e) Privy Seal command perly grant- any of his Subjects to return out of a foreign Nation, on Pain of having their Lands feifed, &c. It hath likewise been holden, that the King, by his (f) Prerogative, might restrain his Subjects from trading with an (g) Infidel Nation, State or People, without his Licence; and on this Foundation principally it was held, in the Cafe of (b) Sands and The East-India Company, that the King's Charter, which gave them an exclusive Right to trade to the East-Indies, was good; but this Doctrine Justice of feems now exploded, and that nothing can exclude the Subject from Courts here; Trade, but an Act of Parliament.

(il) That this ed upon fome Matter of State; and of late ertended to confine a Person to abide the not to re-

strain a Person from a lawful Act, such as Merchandize; nor is it ever universal, but always particular, and granted upon Oath made concerning a particular Person. Skin. 136. 3 Mod. 127. 4 Mod. 179. (e) For this zide Dyer 128. pl. 61. Lane 42. 3 Mod. 127. (f) In Sir John Davis Rep. 9. it is said, that the Reason of the King's being intitled to Customs, was his permitting Merchants to go beyond Sea when he could prohibit them.—But in F. N. B. it is said, that by the Common Law, every Subject may go out of the Kingdom for Merchandize or Travel, or other Cause, as he pleases, without Leave. (g) In Gretius de bello & pace, lib. 2. cap. 15. parag. 11. it is said, that a Government should take Care that there be no Infection by Correspondence with Infidels; and in Calvin's Case, 7 Co. 6. 17. Infidels are called Perfetui inimici Regis, and in 2 Brownl. 296. it is faid by my Lord Coke, that no Subject of the King may trade with any Realm of Infidels, without the King's Licence, that he might not, fays he, relinquish the Catholick Faith, and adhere to Infidelism; and says, that he had seen such a Licence in the Time of E. 3. — Others say, that Turks and Infidels are not perpetui inimici, nor is there any particular Enmity between them and us; for the there be a Difference between our Religion and theirs, that does not oblige us to be Enemies to their Persons. Salk. 46.—That they cannot be converted, if Conversation with them is not lawful: Holt C. J. to which the Rest of the Court seemed to agree. Skin. 336. — And that it is a Disparagement to the Christian Religion, to think that they should rather be converted by Insidels, than Insidels by them. 3 Lev. 354. (b) Raym. 488. 1 Vern. 127. 2 Chan. Ca. 165. Skin 91, 132, 197, 223.

2 Rol. Rep. And as the Freedom of Trade and Merchandize is supported by the 113. Common Law, so likewise are there certain Customs and Privileges an-3 Mod. 226 7. Nexed thereto by the Common Law, and of which the Judges will take Yelv. 135 Molloy418-9. Notice cx Officio. But these Privileges are not to be extended (i) to (i) There are every one who buys and fells; nor is he from thence, says Molloy, to be four Sorts of denominated a Merchant, which Appellation peculiarly belongs to him viz. Merchants Adventurers, Merchants Dormants, Merchants Travelling, and Merchants Refidents. 2 Brownl. 99. Per Coke. - But it is faid, that a Merchant includes all Soits of Traders, as well and as properly as Merchant Adventurers; and that a Merchant Taylor is a common Term. 2 Salk. 445. Per Helt; & vide Head of Bankrufts.

who

who trafficks in the Way of Commerce by Importation or Exportation; or otherwise, in the Way of Emption, Vendition, Barter, Permutation, or Exchange; and who makes it his Living to buy and fell, and that by a continued Affiduity, or frequent Negotiation in the Mystery of Merchandizing; but those, who buy Goods to reduce them by their own Art or Industry into other Forms than formerly they were of, are properly called Artificers; not Merchants.

It hath been adjudged, that a Gentleman being Abroad on his Tra- Carth. 82. vels, and drawing a Bill of Exchange, that this made him a Merchant 2 Vent. 293. within the Cuftom as to a special Purpose, to make him responsible to the Comb. 45. Party upon Non-payment; and this the rather from the Inconveniencies Sarfield ver. that might enfue, and the Suspicion that might increase amongst foreign Witherly. Merchants upon Bills of Exchange; if Perfons who took upon themselves

to draw fuch Bills should not be liable to the Payment thereof.

But as the Laws and Customs of Merchants are of various Kinds, and most of them chiefly known (a) to Merchants themselves, we shall here (a) The Cuonly infert what we find in our Law Books relating to the following Heads. tom of the Merchants is Part of the Common Law of this Kingdom, of which the Judges ought to take Notice; and if any Doubt arise to them about their Custom, they may send to the Merchants to know their Custom, as they may fend for the Civilians to know their Law. Winch 24. — May direct an Issue for Trial of a Custom amongst Merchants. Hard. 486.

- (A) Of Alien Merchants.
- (B) Of Principals and Factors.
- (C) Of Partners and Joint Traders.
- (D) Of Owners and Matters of Ships.
- (E) Of Mariners.
- (F) Df Aberage.
- (G) Of Hypothecation.
- (H) Of Charter-Parties.
- (I) De Policies of Insurance.
- (K) Of Bottomry Bonds.
- (L) Of Bills of Exchange: And herein,
  - 1. Of the Nature and different Kinds of Bills of Exchange and negotiable Notes: And herein,
    - 1. Of foreign Bills.
    - 2. Of Inland Bills.
    - 3. Of promissory and negotiable Notes.
  - 2. What shall be said a Bill of Exchange, or negotiable Note, within the Custom of Merchants.
  - 3. Who shall be said liable to the Payment thereof, and therein of suing the Drawer, Indorsor, or Acceptor.
  - 4. Who shall be faid intitled to the Money.
  - 5. Of the Indorfement.
  - 6. Of the Acceptance: And herein,
    - 1. What shall be said a good Acceptance.
    - 2. Whose Acceptance shall bind.
  - Whether an Acceptance may be qualified. Vol. III.

- 7. Of the Protest : And herein,
  - 1. Of the Necessity and Validity of the Protest.
  - 2. At what Time to be made, and therein of giving Notice to the Drawer; of the Drawer's Refusal, so as to intitle the Party to Principal, Interest and Costs.
- 8. Of the Action and Remedy on a Bill of Exchange, and Manner of declaring and pleading therein.

## (A) Df Alien Perchants.

Vid. Tit. Alien. (a) The Law of England rather contracts than extends the

L'THO' by the Policy of our Constitution, Aliens lie under seve-A ral Disabilities, and are denied in many Instances the Benefits of our Laws; yet are they (a) here, as in most other Countries, allowed to trade and merchandize, which Privilege is confirmed to them by (b) Magna Charta, and divers (c) other Acts of Parliament.

Disability of Aliens; because the shuring out of Aliens tends to the Loss of People, which laboriously employed, are the true Riches of any Country. I Vent. 427. per Hale, Ch. I.— The King pardons his loving and obedient Subjects; this extends to Aliens, if here at the Time, tho' not made Denizens. Per Hob. 271. (b) For this vid. supra, and 2 Inst. 57. Molloy 417. (c) By the 2 E. 3. cap. 9. Merchant Strangers and others shall go and come with their Merchandize. — By 9 E. 3. cap. 1. All Merchant Strangers, and others, may freely buy and sell their Commodities from whencesoever they came without Interruption, notwithstanding Charters or Usage to the contrary, which Charters or Usage (if any be) the King, Lords and Commons hold to be of no Force, as being to the Damage of the King and his great Men, and to the Oppression of the Commons, &c.

Co.Lit. 129.b. And as Foreigners and Aliens are allowed to trade amongst us, so are 1 And. 25. they allowed to maintain personal Actions; because, otherwise they would Dyer 2. b. be incapacitated to merchandize, but they cannot maintain any real Action, because not necessary that they should purchase Lands, or settle amongst

11E.3.Rot.S7. Margine.

Alien Artifi-

An Alien Merchant may upon a Statute extend Lands, and upon Office Dyer 2. b. in the King shall not have them; and upon Ouster he shall have an Assize; for the main End and Defign of both the Statute Staple and Merchant was to promote and encourage Trade, by providing a fure and speedy Remedy for Merchant Strangers, as well as Natives, to recover their Debts at the Day affigned for Payment.

Co. Lit. 2. b. So an Alien (d) Merchant may take a Lease of a (e) House for his Ha-(d) That he bitation for Years only, and this also is for the Encouragement of Commust be a merce; for if an Alien trade, he must have an Abode amongst us; but Merchant. if he (f) depart the Kingdom, or die, it goes to the King, not to his Poph. 36. 1Rol. 194. Executors or Administrators, because it was only a personal Privilege an--For Leafes nexed to the Alien as a Merchant, for the Encouragement of Merchan-of any dwelling House or dize, and consequently must expire with him, without going to his Ex-Shop, made to ecutors or Administrators.

cer or Handicraftman, are void by 32 H. S. cap. 16. fest. 13. and the Person taking such Lease, forfeits 100 l. and the Perlon letting, 100 l. for which vid. 1 Sid. 308, 309, 357. 2 Keb. 102, 116, 118. 1 Sand. 6, 8. 2 Keb. 315. 3 Mod. 94. (e) But he cannot take a Lease for Years of Land, Meadow, Sec. not being neclfary for his Trade or Traffick. Co. Lit. 2 b. 7 Co. 17. Dyer 2. b. cont. 1 And. 25. Bendl. 36.— By 27 E. 3. caf. 2. it is enacted, that all Merchant Strangers, and not Enemies, may fasely dwell in the Realm, &c. upon which Statute, at a Reading in Lincoln's Inn, 35 Eliz. it was agreed, a Merchant Alien might take a Lease of a Honse with Gardens at Will, but not for Years; per Dyer 2. b. in Margine. (f) Not if he goes beyond Sea, and leaves Servants in his Honse during

his Absence. Dyer 2. b.

A Merchant Stranger shall have an Action for saying he is a Bankrupt, Yelv. 198. for by Law he may have personal Actions; and these Words tend to im- 1 Bulf. 13.8,

pair his Credit in his Trade.

Also by the 21 fac. 1. cap. 19. it is provided, that that Act, and all other Acts heretofore made against Bankrupts, shall extend to Strangers born, as well Aliens as Denizens, as effectually, as to natural-born Subjects, both to make them subject to the Laws as Bankrupts, as also to make them capable of the Benefit or Contribution as Creditors by these

The Sons of an Alien, tho' born here, being Merchants, for the first Lit. Rep. 140. Generation, shall pay alien (a) Customs and Duties, said to be the Prac- Hard. 335. tice of the Exchequer. (a) For this

vid. Molloy 305, 322.

But tho' Alien Merchants, in the Payment of Customs and otherwise, Palm. 14. lie under some Disadvantages different from natural Subjects; yet, in other Respects, are they said to have Advantages above them; in that by the Common Law an Action of Account lay for a Merchant Stranger against Executors; that a Defendant could not wage his Law to an Action of Debt brought by a Merchant Stranger, and that Merchants Strangers were not to be sworn in Leets, &c.

As to Merchant Strangers, whose Prince is in War with the Crown of 7E.4.13, 14. England, if they are found within the Realm at the beginning of the Bro. Tit. War, they shall be attached with a Privilege and Limitation without Harm Property 38. of Body or Goods, until it be known to the King, how Merchants of 2 Inft. 58. of Body or Goods, until it be known to the King, how Merchants of Molloy 417-84 England are used and intreated in their Country, and accordingly they Skin. 204. shall be used in England, the same being Jus belli; but for Merchant Strangers that come into the Realm after War begun, they may be dealt

withal as open Enemies.

If an Alien Enemy comes here fub falvo conductu, he may maintain an 1 Salk. 46, Action; fo if an Alien Amy comes hither in Time of Peace, per licentiam Wells ver. Domini Regis, as the French Protestants did, and lives here sub protec-Williams. tione, and a War afterwards happens between the two Nations, he may maintain an Action; for Suing is but a consequential Right of Protection; and therefore an Alien Enemy, that is here in Peace under (b) Protection, (b) But an may fue a Bond; aliter of one commorant in his own Country.

AlienEnemy who has fuch Protection, must plead it. Farest. 150. Sylvester's Cale.

## (B) Of Principals and Factors.

S no one Person, whose Trade is extensive, can transact all his own Molloy 4210 A Affairs; so it is necessary for him to depute another in his Place, on whose Ability and Honesty he can rely; and such Person so deputed is called a Factor, who is in Nature of a Servant, whose Acts shall bind his Master or Principal, so far as he acts pursuant to the Authority given

If the Commission be general, as to dispose, do, and deal therein as if Molloy 422. it were your own, hereby the Factor is excused if a Loss happens; but if 1 Bulf. 103. the Commission be to fell and dispose, hereby the Factor is not enabled to fell upon Tick, nor can he fell for an unreasonable Time, as ten or twenty Years, tho' there be the Words as if it were your own, but must fell according to the usual Time, for which Credit is given for the Commodities he disposes of.

If in Account the Defendant pleads before Auditors, that the Goods 2 Mod. 100. for which he is to account were bona Peritura, and notwithstanding his adjudged.

# Merchant and Perchandize.

Care in Reeping them were worse, and that they remained in his Hands for want of Buyers, and were in Danger of growing worse, and that therefore he fold them upon Credit to a Man beyond Sea; this is no good (a) It is the Plea, for a Factor cannot fell, even bona Peritura, upon (a) Gredit, without a particular Commission so to do:

Practice to give Pactors Power to fell upon Credit. 1 Bulf. 101.

z H. 4. 12. b In Favour of Trade and Merchandize, an Action of Account lies at Co.90.b.172.a. Common Law against a Factor as against a Bailiff, in which he shall have 11 Co. 90. a. all (b) reasonable Allowances.

2 Rol. Abr. 161. (b) Therefore it is a good Discharge before Auditors for a Factor to say, that in a Tempest, because the Ship was surcharged, the Goods were east over Board into the Sea. 1 Rol. Abr. 124. Bro. Tit. A count, 10.— So, that he was robbed of the Goods without his Default or Negligence. Co. Lit. 89.— So, that he durst not buy for Fear of Loss. 1 Rol. Abr. 124.

Alfo, if a Main by Obligation acknowledges that he has received Mo-Dyer. 20. ney ad proficiendum & computandum, the Obligée may either sue the (c) Where a Bond, or have an Action of Account at his Election.

Man covenanted to render a true Account, &c. and held that an Action of Covenant lay on the Deed. I Rol. Rep. 52. 2. Bulf. 256. - So an Affumpsie will lie on a Promise to dispose of Goods, and to give an Account thereof. 1 Salk, 9. Carth. 89. Comb. 149. — But where the Demand is of Confequence, and the Matter of an intricate Nature, it is most usual to refort to a Court of Equity, where Matters of Account are most commodiously adjusted; and more advantagiously determined for both Parties; the Plaintiff being in that Court intitled to a Discovery of Books, Papers, the Defendant's Oath, Sec. Vide Tit. A.count.

Molley 423. If goods are configned to a Factor, and upon Arrival he makes a false Cro. Jac. 265. Entry at the Custom-House, or land them without paying the Customs, Lan. 65. whereby they become forfeited and are feized, whatever the Principal hereby fuffers, the Factor, must inevitably make good, altho' his Commission were general; but if the Factor makes his Entry according to the Envoice, or his Letter of Advice, and it falls out the same are mistaken, tho' the Goods are lost, yet is the Factor excused.

If a Factor beyond Sea be brought to Account in Equity, he shall be 25. Smith ver. allowed the Customs payable beyond Sea, because he runs the Venture; Oxenden. Two and if the Goods had been loft by Non-payment of fuch Customs, he Merchants, and if the Goods had been lost by No having certi- must have answered the Value of them.

fied the Cuftoms to be so against 2 others, who held that the Benesit belonged to the Principal. 1 Chan. Ca. 76. S. P. and Skin 149. S. P. so held to have been determined by Lord Clarendon. — But North, L. K. said he was not satisfied by the customs, the Factor ventured his own Life, yet the Principal's Goods were ventured also.

1 Chan. Ca. But if a Home Factor be brought to Account, he shall not be allowed 30. Boer ver. the Customs, unless he swear that he hath paid them; because it were a Landall. Matter of great Scandal, that any Thing should pass the Allowance of a Court of Justice, that is gotten by defrauding the Government.

The Principal shall answer for his Factor in all Cases where he is privy to the Act or Wrong; and so in Contracts, if a Factor buy Goods on the Account of the Principal, especially where he has been used so to do, the Contract of the Factor will oblige the Principal to a Performance of the Bargain.

But if A. being posses'd of certain artificial and counterfeit Jewels of the Value of 168 L and knowing them to be such delivers them to B. his Servant, commanding him to transport the said Jewels to Barbary, and them to fell to the King of Barbary, or such other Person as would buy them, but gives B. no Charge to conceal their being counterfeit, Southern ver. and thereupon B. goes into Barbary, and knowing these Jewels to be counterfeit, shews them to C. for good and true Jewels, and affirming to C. that

Molloy 423. & vide Tit. Master and

Servant.

Bridgm. 125, 126. Cro. Fac. 469. 2 Rol. Rep. 5, 26. Pop. 143.

C. that they were worth 810 l. defires C. to fell them to the faid King; whereupon C. does fell them to the faid King for 810 l, which Money C. pays B. and B. thereupon immediately returns to England, and pays the 8101. to A. his Master; and after the Jewels being discovered to be counterfeit, C. is imprisoned by the faid King till he repays the 810 % out of his own Effects; of all which Matter C. gives Notice to A. and demands Satisfaction, &c. yet no Action lies against A. for Jewels are in themfelves of an uncertain Value, and B. was not by A. particularly directed to C. and all that was done quoad C. was the voluntary Act or the Servant, for which the Master is not bound to answer.

It hath been ruled in Equity, that if one employs a Factor, and in- 1 Salk. 162-trusts him with the Disposal of Merchandize, and the Factor receives White omb the Money, and dies indebted, to Debts of a higher Nature, and it ap-ver. Jacob. pears by Evidence, that this Money was vefted in other Goods, and remains unpaid, those Goods shall be taken as Part of the Merchant's Estate, and not the Factor's; but if the Factor have the Money, it shall be looked upon as the Factor's Estate, and must first answer the Debts of superior Creditors, &c. for as Money has no Ear-mark, Equity can't fol-

low that in Behalf of him who employed the Factor.

If A. employs B. as his Factor to fell Cloth, and B. fells the Cloth on 2 Vern. 638. Credit, and before the Money is paid, B. dies indebted by Specialty more Burdett ver. than his Affets will pay; this Money shall be paid to A. and not to the Willet. Administrator of B. as Part of his Assets, but thercout must be deducted what was due to B. for Commission; for a Factor is in Nature only of a Trustee for his Principal.

The Plaintiff, being a Factor in Blackwell-Hall, advanced Money for 2 Vern. 117. his Principal, relying, as was furmifed, on the Credit of Cloths rest- Chabman vering in his Hands to reimburse himself; the Clothier died, his Admini- Derig. strator sued at Law for the Cloth, and the Factor prayed that he might be allowed on Account the Monies he advanced, but was difmiffed; for if there are Debts of a higher Nature, it would be a Devastavit in the Administrator to pay or discount the Plaintiff's Debt.

# (C) Of Partners and Joint Traders.

F two or more ingage in a joint Undertaking in the Way of Trade, I Vern. 217. or enter into Copartnership, it is not necessary to provide against Sur- & vide Tir. vivorship; for, by a Maxim of the Common Law, Ins accrescends inter Joint-Tenants Mercatores locum non babet; and this is for the Benefit of Trade and Conf- and Tenarts in Commons that the Fruits of each Person's Labour and Lydustry should do in Commons merce, that the Fruits of each Person's Labour and Industry should defcend to their Children and Families.

But if two joint Merchants make B. their Factor, and one dies, lea- 2 Salk. 444. ving an Executor, this Executor and the Survivor cannot join in an Action Martin ver. (a) against the Factor; for the Duty does not survive, yet the Re-Crump. medy does; and therefore on Recovery, he must be accountable to the an Executor Executor for that.

viving Mer-

chant be jointly sued, because the first is to be charged de bonis Testatoris, and the other de bonis Propriis. Carth. 170, 171. 3 Lev. 290. 2 Lev. 22S.

The Plaintiff's Husband (to whom the is Administratrix) and the De- 1 Vern. 118 fendant were Copartners for many Years in the Trade of a Druggist; Estuak ver the Plaintist trought her Bill for a Discovery of the Estate, and her Proportion and Dividend thercof, &c. the Defendant answered, and it appearing that many Debts owing to the joint Trade stood out, it was moved Vol. III.

on Behalf of the Plaintiff, that an able Attorney might be appointed to fue for, and recover those Debts; it being alledged in the Bill, that the Defendant carrying on a distinct Trade for himself, with the Persons that were Debtors to the joint Trade, to oblige them, he forbore to call in their Debts; and it was ordered accordingly, unless the Defendant, within a Week, would give Security to the Plaintiff, to answer her Moiety of the Debts that were standing out.

1 Salk. 126. Hall.

By the Custom of England, where there are two joint Traders, and Pinkney ver. one accepts a Bill, drawn on both for him and Partner, it binds both if it concerns the Trade; otherwise, if it concerns the Acceptor only in a distinct Interest and Respect.

2 Vern. 277. Lane ver. Williams.

A. and B. were Partners as Woollen-Drapers, A. received Money in the Shop of S. S. and gave a Note for it figned by himself and Partner; A. and B. being both dead, and A. not leaving fufficient Affets, it was held on a Bill brought by S. S. against the Executors of both the Partners, that this Note being given by one of the Partners, it should bind them both; and that tho' at Law it binds only the Executor of the furviving Partner, yet in Equity the Creditor may follow the Estate of (a) That the the other, tho' no (a) Proof was made, that this Money was brought in-

Act of one to the Stock, or used in Trade.

be prefumed the A& of the other, and shall bind him, unless he can shew a Disclaimer, and a Refusal to be concerned. 1 Salk. 292.

1 Solk. 392. Heydon ver. Heydon; & vide I Show. 173-4. Comb. 217.

A. and B. are Copartners, and a Judgment is had against A. and the Goods of both taken in Execution; and it was held per' cur. that the Sheriffmust seize all, because the Moieties are undivided; for if he seize but a Moiety and fell that, the other will have a Right to a Moiety of that Moiety; therefore he must seize the Whole, and sell a Moiety thereof undivided, and the Vendee will be Tenant in common with the other Partner.

2 Chan. Ca. 228. 2 Vern. 293, 706. Pafch. 4 Georg. 2. Grace ver. Hyam.

But tho' a Moiety of a joint Stock may be taken in Execution on a Judgment against one Partner; yet, if Copartners become Bankrupts, the joint Estate is to discharge the joint Debts in the first place, and the separate Estate to pay the separate Debts; and if there be no separate Estate, then the Residue of the joint Estate, after the joint Creditors are satisfied, to be applied among the separate Creditors, and so vice versa; for the Commissioners of Bankrupts are intrusted both with a legal and equitable Jurisdiction, and may therefore marshal the different Effects, and apply them in Discharge of the different Creditors according to Equity and Justice.

## (D) Of Owners and Masters of Ships.

Molloy 202, 203. Skin. 230. 2 Chan. Ca. 36.

F there are several Part-owners of a Ship, and some of them resule to 1 navigate the Ship, or to fend her to Sea; those, who are willing, may compel the others in a Court of Admiralty, on giving Security to answer for the Ship in case she be lost; also, if a Partner dislikes the Voyage, but does not expressly prohibit it, and the Ship is lost in the Voyage, he shall have no Recompence for his Part; but if the Ship return, he shall have an Account for what is earned, and it shall be intended a Voyage with his Confent, without an express Prohibition proved.

Molley 203.

But if the major Part of the Owners refuse to navigate the Ship, there, fays Molloy, by Reason of the Inequality, they cannot be compelled; but then fuch Veffel is to be valued and fold in like Manner, as where Part of the Owners became deficient, or unable to fet out the Ship.

If there are several Part-owners of a Ship, and the major Part of Carth. 26. them are for fending her a Voyage to Sea, to which the Rest disagree; Knight ver. whereupon, according to the common Usage in such: Cases, the greater Hard. 473. Number suggest in the Admiralty Court the Disagreement of their Part- S P. ners; and then according to their Usage there, they order certain Persons 6 Mod 162. to appraise the Ship, who accordingly set a Value thereon; and then S. P. the major Part, who agreed to the Voyage, enter into a Recognizance, wherein they bind themselves jointly and severally, to the disagreeing Parties, in a Sum proportionable to their Shares, according to the Value fet by the Apprailers, to secure the Shares in the Ship of those who disagree to the Voyage, against all Adventures; tho' there can be no Suit on this Agreement or Stipulation in the Admiralty Court, the Contract being made on Land, and therefore of Temporal Conusance; yet a special Action on the Case hes for the Violation thereof at Common

A Mafter of a Ship is one, who for his Knowledge in Navigation, Fi- Molley 208. delity and Discretion, hath the Government of the Ship committed to  $H_{0b,-11}$ . his Care and Management; but he hath no (a) Property either general (a) But have or special by the constituting of him a Master; yet the Law looks upon usually him as an Officer, who must render and give an Account for the whole Shares or Parts in the Charge, when once committed to his Care and Custody, and upon Fai- Vessel. M.Ilure to render Satisfaction; and therefore if Misfortunes happen, if they loy 203. be either through Negligence, Wilfulners or Ignorance of himself, or He is eligible Mariners, he must be responsible his Mariners, he must be responsible.

Part-owners

in Proportion to their Shares, and not according to the Majority. Melloy 203.

But where a Master of a Ship brought an Action on the Case, and de- 1 Salk. 10. clared, that the Ship was laden with Corn in fuch a Harbour, ready to Gaince. fail for Dantzick, and that the Defendant entered and feifed the Ship, and detained her, per quod impeditus & obstructus fuit in Viago; and it was held that it well lay; for tho' the Master has not the Property of the Ship, but the Owners, and he is only a particular Officer, and can only recover for his particular Lofs; yet he may bring Trefpass, as a Bailiff of Goods may; and then as Bailiff he can only declare on his Poffession, which is sufficient to maintain Trespass.

If the Master of the Ship takes Goods on board for Hire, and is 1 Vent. 190, robbed in Port, he must answer the Damage; otherwise it is if he be 238. robbed by Pirates on the High Sea; for then the Owner must be the Raym. 220. 3 Keb. 72, Loser; for if he undertakes for Hire to carry the Goods, the Common 3 Aeo. 12, 135. Law cannot look upon him in a different Aspect from a common Carrier; 1 Mod. 85. for he cannot be looked upon as a meer Servant to the Owner, but ra- 2 Lev 69. ther as an Officer of the Ship, and to fell the tona peritura, which is S. C. beyond the Condition of a Servant: But the Civil Law of the Admiralty Sluce, 3 Levs excuses the Masters when robbed by Pirates, or on losing the Goods by 259. S. C. any inevitable Accident; for the Dangers of the Sea are so various and cited. so formidable, that a Master shall not be understood to undertake against them, unless it had been included in the express Words of the Contract; for where, in a well-ordered Society, a Man undertakes for the Custody of another's Froperty, he secures him against all Loss; but where a Man is bound to encounter Dangers, which Civil Society cannot guard against, he cannot be supposed to undertake farther than for his Care; and by the general Custom of Commerce, the Merchant is the Person that runs the Venture, and not the Master of the Ship; and it is the Merchant that makes the Gain of the Venture.

And as the Master himself is answerable in the Cases supra, so likewise Carth, 58. hath it been held, that the Owners are liable to the Freighters, in re- 2 Salk 442 fpect of the Freight, for the Embezilments, &c. of the Mafter and Ma-

Bason ver. Sand'ord.

But this proving a great Discouragement to Trade, by the 7 Geo. 2. eap. 15. reciting that, Whereas it is of the greatest Consequence and Importance to this Kingdom, to promote the Increase of the Number of Ships and Vessels, and to prevent any Discouragement to Merchants and others from being interested and concerned therein; and whereas it has been held, that in many Cases Owners of Ships or Vessels are answerable for Goods and Merchandize shipp'd; or put on Board the same, altho' the said Goods and Merchandize, after the same have been so put on board, should be made away with by the Masters or Mariners of the said Ships or Vessels, without the Knowledge or Privity of the Owner or Owners; by Means whereof, Merchants and others are greatly discouraged from adventuring their Fortunes, as Owners of Ships or Vessels, which will necessarily tend to the Prejudice of the Trade and Navigation of this Kingdom; therefore for af-certaining and fettling how far Owners of Ships and Vessels shall be answerable for any Gold, Silver, Diamonds, Jewels, precious Stones, or other Goods or Merchandize which shall be made away with by the Masters or Mariners, without the Privity of the Owners thereof; It is enacled, 'That ono Person or Persons who is, are or shall be Owner or Owners of any Ship or Veffel, shall be subject or liable to answer for, or make good 6 to any one or more Person or Persons, any Loss or Damage by Reason 6 of any Imbezilment, Secreting or making away with, (by the Master or ' Mariners, or any of them,) of any Gold, Silver, Diamonds, Jewels, precious Stones, or other Goods or Merchandize, which from and after the 24th of June 1734. Shall be shipped, taken in, or put on board any Ship or Vessel, or for any Act, Matter or Thing, Damage or Forfeiture done, occasioned or incurred from and after the said 24th Day of June 1734. by the faid Master or Mariners, or any of them, without the Privity and Knowledge of fuch Owner or Owners, further than the Value of the Ship or Vessel, with all her Appurtenances, and the full Amount of the Freight, due or to grow due, for and during the Voyage wherein fuch Imbezilment, Secreting or Making away with, as aforesaid, or other Maleversation of the Master or Mariners, shall

as aforeignd, or other Maleveriation of the Matter or Mariners, shall be made, committed or done; any Law, &c.

And Sect. 2. it is further enacted, 'That if several Freighters or Proprietors of any such Gold, Silver, Diamonds, Jewels, precious Stones, or other Goods or Merchandize, shall suffer any Loss or Damage by any of the Means aforesaid, in the same Voyage, and the Value of the Ship or Vessel, with all her Appurtenances, and the Amount of the Freight, due or to grow due, during such Voyage, shall not be sufficient to make sull Compensation to all and every of them, then such Freighters or Proprietors shall receive their Satisfaction thereout in Average, in Proportion to their respective Losses or Damages; and in every such Case it shall and may be lawful, to and for such Freighters or Proprietors, or any of them, in Behalf of himself, and all other

fuch Freighters or Proprietors, or to or for the Owners of fuch Ship or Vessel, or any of them, on Behalf of himself, and all the other Part-owners of such Ship or Vessel, to exhibit a Bill in any Court of Equity for a Discovery of the total Amount of such Losses or Damages,

and also of the Value of such Ship or Vessel, Appurtenances and
Freight, and for an equal Distribution and Payment thereof amongst
such Freighters or Proprietors, in Proportion to their respective Losses
or Damages, according to the Rules of Equity.

Provided, Sect. 3. that if any fuch Bill shall be exhibited by or on the
Behalf of the Part-owners of such Ship, the Plaintiff or Plaintiffs
shall annex an Affidavit to such Bill or Bills, that he or they do not
collude with any of the Defendants thereto; and shall thereby offer to

pay the Value of such Ship or Vessel, Appartenances and Freight, as
fuch Court shall direct; and such Court shall thereupon take such
Method for ascertaining such Value, as to them shall seem just; and

fhall

fhall direct the Payment thereof in like Manner, as is now used and practifed in Cases of Bills of Interpleader.

Provided also, Self. 3. that nothing in this present Act contained fhall extend, or be construed to extend to impeach, lessen or discharge any Remedy, which any Person or Persons now hath, or shall or may

hereafter have, against all, every or any the Master and Mariners of fuch Ship or Vessel, for or in Respect of any Imbezilment, Secreting or Making away with any Gold, Silver, Diamonds, Jewels, precious

Stones or Merchandize shipped, or loaded on board such Ship or Vessel, 6 or on Account of any Fraud, Abuse or Maleversation of and in such

· Master and Mariners respectively, but that it shall and may be lawful

6 to and for every Person or Persons, so injured or damaged, to pursue and take fuch Remedy for the same, against the said Master and

Mariners respectively, as he or they might have done before the ma-

king of this Act.

# (E) Of Mariners.

Mariners are Persons chosen and appointed by the Master to navi- Molloy 209. gate the Ship, for whose Faults and Miscarriages he must answer; and as they are his Servants, he may correct and punish them according

as the Usage is at Sea.

But tho' the Master must answer for them, yet are the Owners like. I Rol. Abr. wife answerable for their Faults and Miscarriages; as if the Owner of 530. & vide a Ship victuals it, and furnishes it to Sea with Letters of Reprisal, and 1 Ro the Master and Mariners, when they are at Sea, commit Piracy upon 285. a Friend of the King, without the Notice or Consent of the Owner, the Owner shall lose his Ship by the Admiral Law, of which our Law ought to take Notice.

By the Civil Law and Custom of Merchants, if the Ship be cast away, 1 Sid. 179. or perish through the Mariners Default, (a) they lose their Wages; so if 1 Mod. 93. taken by Pirates, or if they run away; for if it were not for this Policy, [1 Vent 140. they would fo fake the Ship in a Storm, and yield her up to Enemies in ther the Exany Danger.

ners, who died before the Ship was east away, may recover the Wages due to their Testators, Q. & vide 1 Sid. 179. 1 Keb. 684

And by the 22 & 23 Car. 2. cap. 11. fest. 7. it is enacted, 6 That if the Ma-' riners or inferior Officers of any English Ship, laden with Goods and Mers chandize, shall decline or refuse to fight and defend the Ship, when they fhall be thereunto commanded by the Master or Commander thereof, sor shall utter any Words to discourage the other Mariners from defending the Ship, every Mariner who shall be found guilty of declining or refusing as aforefaid, shall lose all his Wages due to him, together with fuch Goods as he hath in his Ship, and fuffer Imprisonment, not exe ceeding the Space of fix Months; and shall during such Time be kept to hard Labour for his or their Maintenance.

And Sect. 9. of the faid Statute, ' Every Mariner, who shall have laid violent Hands on his Commander, whereby to hinder him from fight-

' ing in Desence of his Ship and Goods committed to his Trust, shall

' fuffer Death as a Felon.

The Mariners may fue in the Admiralty Court for their Wages, altho' Winth 3. the Hiring was by the Master on Land; and this is allowed of in Fa- 4 biff 14th

343. 3 Med. 244. 1 Salk 3: 80 vide 4 80 5 don. c 16.

Vol. III.

your of Navigation, for here they may all join in the same Libel: Also, by the Law of the Admiralty, they have Remedy against the Ship and Owners, as well as against the Master; and it would be a great Difcouragement to Sea-faring-men to oblige them to bring separate Actions, and those against a Master who may happen to be insolvent.

So of the other Officers under the Masters, as the Mate, Purser, Boat-Raym. 3. 1 Salk. 33. fwain, &c. for tho' they contract with the Master, yet it is on the Credit of the Ship.

1 Rol. Abr. So a Shipwright may fue in the Admiralty for (a) making a Ship.

533.
(a) So for mending a Ship. Cro. Car. 296.

6 Mod. 238. And if a Contract be with Seamen to go on a Voyage, and they in order thereunto work in a Harbour, and after the Voyage is intercepted through the Owner's Fault, as if the Ship be arrested for his Debt, &c. the Seamen shall fue for their Wages for the Work done in the Harbour, in pursuance of the Contract to go on a Voyage, in the Admiralty, as much as if they had gone the Voyage; secus, if the Retainer of them had been only to do the Work in the Harbour.

1 Salk. 31. But if there be any special Agreement, by which the Mariners are to receive their Wages in any other Manner than is usual, or if the Agreement is under Seal, the Mariners cannot sue in the Admiralty. Opy ver. Addison.

Nor can the Master sue in the Admiralty Court; for his Contract is on the Credit of the Owners, and not like that of the Mariners, which 4 Inft. 141. Raym. 3. is on the Credit of the Ship. 1 Salk. 33.

Carth. 518. S. P. altho' the Owner was beyond Sea, and the Ship lay here; & vide 2 Salk. 548.

# (F) Df Average.

Molloy 246, Erc. (b) So likewise Goods infected Towns or

Henever a Ship is in Stress of Weather, or in Danger, or just Fear of (b) Enemies, and the Master and T Fear of (b) Enemies, and the Master, to save Part of the Cargo, 2 Bulft. 290. throws over-board some of the Goods in the Ship, those which are saved shall contribute in Proportion; and this common Calamity shall be ecoming from qually born by all the Parties interested, which is called Average; and is allowed by the Civil Law, the Customs of Merchants, and our Law.

Places may be cast over-board. Molloy 246.

Molloy 250. In this Contribution, not only the Master, Owners, and Freighters of the Ship shall bear a proportionable Share in the Loss, but also Passengers for fuch Wares as they have in the Ship; also Passengers, who have no Wares or Goods in the Ship, yet in regard they are a Burthen to the Ship, Estimate is to be made of his and their Apparel, Rings and Jewels, towards a Contribution of the Loss; and in general it is said, that every Thing shall contribute, except the Provisions of the Ship, and the Men who are necessary to work the Ship.

The Master ought to be careful, that only those Things of the least Alelloy 247. Value and greatest Weight be flung over-board; also he and the Crew, (or most of them) must swear that the Goods were cast over-board for no other Cause but purely for the Safety of the Ship and Lading.

If to avoid the Danger of a Storm, the Master cuts down the Masts At. lloy 249. and Sails, and they falling into the Sea are loft, this Damage is to be made good by Ship and Lading, pro rata; otherwise if the Case happens by Storm, or other Cafualties.

Also, if through the Rifling of the Ship, Casting over-board, and Molley 245. Lightning the Ship, any of the remaining Goods are spoiled, either with Wet or otherwise, those which are preserved must contribute towards the Loss of the Goods impaired, as well as to those which were intirely lost.

The Goods faved and lost are to be estimated according as the Goods Molley 250-11. faved were fold for, Freight and other necessary Charges being first de-

ducted, and in such Proportion the Goods saved are to contribute.

If a Master of a Ship lets out his Ship to Freight, and then receives Molloy 250his Compliment, and afterwards takes in Goods without Leave of the Freighters, and a Storm arifes at Sea, and Part of the Freighters Goods are cast over Board, the remaining Goods are not subject to the Average, but the Master must make good the Loss out of his own Purse.

Also Average is not due, unless the Goods are lost in such a Manner, Moor 29 that thereby the Residue in the Ship are saved; as if Goods are thrown over Board to lighten the Ship, or, by Composition, Part is given to a Pirate to fave the rest; but if a Pirate takes Part by Violence, Average

shall not be paid for them.

So where A. being one of the Owners of a Ship, loaded on board her Show. Par. 210 Tons of Oil, and B. loaded on board her 80 Bales of Silk upon a Cafes, 18, 10 Freight, by Contract, both to be delivered at London, the Ship was pur-fued by Enemies and forced into an Harbour, &c. and the Master or-dered the Silk on Shore, being the most valuable Commodity Color than dered the Silk on Shore, being the most valuable Commodity (tho' they lay under the Oils, and took up a great deal of Time to get at them;) the Ship and Oils were afterwards taken, and the Owner of the Oils brought his Bill in Equity to have Contribution from the Owner of the Silk; but in this Case, as the Loss of the Oils did not fave the Silks, nor the faving the Silks lose the Oils, the Bill was dismissed.

If a Ship happens to be taken, and the Master, to redeem the Ship and Alonoy 249. Lading out of the Enemies or Pirates Hands, promifes a certain Sum of Hard. 183. Money, for Performance whereof himself becomes a Pledge or Captive in the Cultody of the Captor; in this Cale he is to be redeemed at the Costs and Charges of the Ship and Lading, and all are to be contributory

for his (a) Ransom according to each Man's Interest.

(a) And as he may ran-

fom the Ship and Goods, so may be retain the Goods for his Satisfaction, in the same Manner as he may detain the Goods for Freight; but if he once suffers them out of his Possession, he cannot afterwards retake them. 6 Mod. 12, 13.

So, where a Pirate takes Part of the Goods to spare the rest, Contri- Moor 297. bution must be paid; but if a Pirate takes by Violence Part of the Goods, Molloy 249. the rest are not subject to Average, unless the Merchant hath made an

express Agreement to pay it after the Ship is robbed.

If A. and feveral others take their Passage in a Ferry-boat, and being Alien 93. upon the Water, a Tempest arises, so that they are in Danger of being 2 Bull 280. drowned; upon which, to preferve their Lives, several of the Goods are 12 Co. 62cast over Board, among which a Pack of Goods of A.'s of great Value is thrown over; in this Case, there shall be no Average, but the Ferryman must answer for the Goods; because, for his Hire, he runs the Venture of the Voyage.

## (G) Of Hypothecation.

F a Ship be at Sea and spring a Leak, or is otherwise in Danger of 1 Rol. Abs. being lost, or the Voyage deseated for want of Provisions or other 53. Necessaries; in these Cases of Extremity, the Master may pledge or Hob. 11. Alore. 918.

that it is so by the Laws of Oleron, of which our Law takes Notice Adolly 213.

hypothe-

(a) The Mafter may hypothecate either Ship or Goods,

hypothecate the Ship and Goods, or (a) either of them, for such Necessaries as are wanting, which Power is implicitly given him in (b) constituting him Master, and which he may exercise, rather than that the Ship should be lost, or the Voyage defeated.

for the Mafler is intrusted with both, and represents the Traders as well as Owners of the Ship. 1 Salk 34. (b) That he who is reputed Master may do the same. Noy 95.

A1020y 213.

The Master cannot hypothecate the Ship or Goods for any Debt of his own, nor in any Case, but for the Preservation of the Ship and com-

pleating the Voyage.

1 Sid. 453.

per Hale.
(c) But if he cannot hypothecate,
he may fell

Also the Master cannot (c) sell the Ship and broken Tackle, tho' there is no Probability of its being saved, partly in Respect of the Tempest, and partly in Respect of the Barbarity of the Inhabitants, who took away every Thing that was cast on the Shore.

to much of the Lading as is necessary, &c. Molloy 214.

Molloy 214.

If the Vessel happens to be wrecked or cast away, and the Mariners, by their great Pains and Care, recover some of the Ruins and Lading, the Master in that Case may pledge the same, and distribute the Money among the Mariners, or so much as shall be necessary to the defraying of their Expences to their own Country; but if the Mariners no way contributed to the Salvage, then their Reward is sunk and lost with the Vessel; and if there be any considerable Part of the Lading preserved, he ought not to dismis his Mariners till Advice from the Laders or Freighters.

(a) 6 Mod. 79. 1 Salk. 35. cont. 2 Sid. 161. (e) Noy 95.

But altho' Hypothecation of Ships be absolutely necessary for Navigation, without which Masters could not get Credit Abroad; yet a Master cannot make the Owner (d) personally liable by any Contract of his, but (e) the Ship and Cargo shall be liable where he hypothecates for Necessaries, altho' such Necessaries were not actually employed or laid out in the Service of the Ship or Voyage, and the Owners and Freighters must take their Remedy against the Master.

Molloy 214. 6 Mod. 79. & vid. 2 Vern. 643. The Master can only hypothecate, where the Calamity or Want of Necessaries happened after the Ship had put to Sea; and therefore the Admiralty Court is allowed to have Jurisdiction herein, so far as to subject the Ship, but cannot proceed against the Person otherwise, than as it is necessary to make him Party towards the Condemnation of the Ship.

1 Salk. 34. 3 Mod 244. 6 Mod. 12. 25, 79. And therefore where A. contracted with B. for a Cable, which he delivered at Ratcliff upon Thames, and B. fued in the Admiralty, a Prohibition was granted, tho' it was infifted, that the Want of the Cable was occasioned by the Stress of Weather at Sea; for here the Contract was at Land, and a Remedy for the Breach at Common Law; but had the Hypothecation been at Rotterdam, or any other foreign Port, the Remedy had been proper in the Admiralty Court.

# (H) Of Charter-Parties.

Molloy 227, befor.
2 Vent. 196.
Stile 133.
2 Show. 384.
Palm. 399.
2 Rol. Abr.
248. pl. 10.
Lop. 164.

Charter-Party is an Agreement by Indenture, whereby the Owners, Master and Freighters of a Ship covenant with each other, that such a Ship shall be sit and ready to sail, take in such and such Lading, carry and transport the same to such Place or Places, in Consideration whereof, the Freighters or Merchants are to pay so much, &c. and such Charter-Party being only a Covenant or Agreement, shall be construed according

and to the Intention of the Parties, and the usual Customs of Al a marts

An indenture of Charter-Party was made between Scudamore and : Infl. 673. thers. Out in the good Ship called B. whereof Robert Pitman was 2 Lev. 74.

Matter, of the one Part, and Vindefene of the other Part; in which Indenoue th Plaintiff covenanted with the faid Vandestene and Robert Pit- to be Law men, and also Vandehere covenanced with the Plaintiff and Robert Pitman, and bound themselves to the Plaintiff and Robert Pitman for Performan c of Cov names in 600 L and the Conclusion of the faid Indenture was, la Bathoja telescof the Parties abovefaid to these present Indentures are protective to is; and the faid Robert Pitman to the faid Indenture put his Hand and Seal, and delivered the fame; the Defendant, in Bar of the faid Action, pleaded the Release of Pitman, &c. whereupon the Flaintist demurred, and it was adjudged, that the Release of Pitman did not bar the Plaintiff, because he was no (a) Party to the Indenture; (a) 1 Lev. and the Diverfity was taken and agreed between an Indenture reciprocal 235. between l'arties on the one Side, and Parties on the other Side, as this was; for there no Bond, Covenant or Grant, can be made to or with any that is not Party to the Deed; but where the Deed indented is not reciprocal, but is without a letween, &c. as omnibus Christi sidelibus, &c. there a Bond, Covenant or Grant may be made to divers feveral Persons.

So where an Action was brought on a Charter-Party, which was in this 2 Lev. 74. Manner, This indented Charter-Party witnesseth, that Binley, Master, and 3 Keb. 94, Part-Owner of the Nip, with Confent of Cooker, the other Part-Owner, hath 115. let the Ship to Child for fuch a Voyage, and Child covenants with Binley, Child. nee non with Cooker to pay 300 l. Cooker brings the Action, and the De- 3 Lev. 139. fendant Child pleads, that only he and Binley were the Parties to and S. C. eited. fealed the Indenture; whereupon the Plaintiff demurred; & per totam curiam, tho' the Deed be indented, yet, not being inter partes, there may be a Covenant with a Stranger, as if it were a Deed Poll, or in the first Person, Know ye that I, &e. otherwise, where the Deed is between Parties, then no one, that is a Stranger, can take Advantage thereof by Way of Action.

In an Action on a Charter-Party a Breach must be assigned, which the Vide Tit. Co-Party may do in the very Words of the Agreement; and if there be any wonants, Lett. Thing to be done by the Plaintiff, which, in the Nature of the Thing, 2 Jon. 216 is necessary to enable the Defendant to perform his Part of the Agreement, if the Plaintiff hath not done his Part, this will excuse the Defendant's Omission.

If, in an Action of Covenant, the Plaintiff declares upon a Charter- 2 Jon. 186. Party, by which the Plaintiff, being Master of a Ship, was to pay two Bellamy ver. Parts of the Port-Charges, and the Factor of the Defendant the other Ruffel. Part; and the Plaintiff shews, that he sailed from L to C and there paid all the Port-Charges, viz. two Parts for himself, and the other Part for the Defendant; and that the Defendant had not repaid him; this Breach is well assigned; for, when the Plaintiss says he paid the third Part, it shall not be intended the Defendant did, but that the Plaintiff was necessitated to pay it, or otherwise his Ship would be stayed in the Port.

If A. covenants to pay 3 1 per Tun for Goods imported, and for Per- 2 Lev 124. formance thereof binds himself in a Penalty, and in an Action thereupon, Allen 9. S. P. the Plaintiff affigns for Breach the Non-payment for fo many Tuns, and a Hogshead, which came to so much; this is naught, (b) for the Cove- (a) If Goods nant is only to pay by the Ton; tho' it was faid per cur' to be other-board gewife, if the Covenant had been to pay, secundum ratam, 3 l. per Ton.

be according to Freight for the like accustomed Voyage. Molloy 232. - And if a Ship be freighted for 200 Tons or thereabouts, the Addition of thereabouts is commonly reduced to be within 5 Ton more or less, as the Moiety of the Number ten, whereof the whole Number is compounded. Molley 232.

nerally the Freight muß 2 Vern. 210,

If a Charter be so worded, that there can be no Remedy thereon at Law; yet the Party having a just Demand may be relieved in Equity; as where by the Agreement there was no Freight to be paid for the outward bound Cargo, and when the Ship arrived beyond Sea, the Factor had no Goods at all to load the Ship with; and the Court decreed Payment of the Freight.

2 Vern. 727.

So where the East-India Company took Bonds from the Mariners and Officers of a Ship not to demand their Wages, unless the Ship returned to the Port in London; and the Ship arrived at a delivering Port, and was afterwards taken by the French; and it was held by my Lord Chief Justice Holt, in an Action tried by him, and likewise in Chancery, that the Seamen and Officers should have their Wages, to the Time of the Arrival of the Ship at the delivering Port.

2 Vern. 242. Draddy ver. Deacon. The Plaintiff, a Merchant in London, hired the Defendant's Ship to freight for a Voyage to Bourdeaux, at 3 l. 10 s. a Ton; it happened, that an Imbargo was laid on all Merchant Ships for 6 Weeks; the Ship afterwards proceeded on her Voyage to Bourdeaux; and the Defendant not discovering what Agreement he had made with the Plaintiff in England, the Plaintiff's Factors and Correspondents there agree to allow the Defendant 6 l. 10 s. per Ton, upon which latter Agreement, the Defendant recovered at Law. A Bill being exhibited for Relief against this second and underhand Agreement, obtained, as was alledged, by Fraud, was dismissed; for the Defendant was at Liberty to make a new Agreement, by Reason that the Performance of the first was obstructed by the Imbargo after laid on all Merchant Ships.

2 Chan. Ca.

A Master of a Ship, without the Owner, treated with the Plaintist, a Merchant, for the Freight of the Ship at 80 Tons, and accordingly entered into a Charter-Party with him to sail from London to Falmouth, and thence to Barcelona, without altering the Voyage, and there to unlade at a certain Rate per Ton; and for Performance, the Master binds the Ship, Tackle, &c. valued at 300 l. the Master deviates, and commits Barratry, by which the Merchant in Effect loseth his Voyage and Goods. The Merchant had a Sentence against the Master and Ship in Barcelona, which was confirmed in a higher Court in Spain; and the Owner having brought Trover for the Ship, the Merchant exhibited his Bill to be relieved against this Action, and likewise another Action brought for Freight; and it was held by my Lord Chancellor, that the Charter-Party having valued the Ship at a certain Rate, the Owner is not liable further, and the Master is liable for Deviation and Barratry; for should it be otherwise, Masters would be Owners of all Mens Ships and Estates.

1 Rol. Abr.
532.
1 Rol. Rep.
486. S. C.
4 Inft. 135,
139, 142.
Hob. 212.
Moor 450.
like Point.

If a Charter-Party be made in England, to do certain Things on feveral Places on the Sea, tho' no Act is to be done in England, but all upon the Sea; yet no Suit can be in the Admiralty Court for the Non-performance of the Agreement; for the Contract is the Original, without which no Cause of Suit can be; and this Contract is out of their Jurisdiction; for where Part is triable by the Common Law, and Part by the Admiral Law, the Common Law shall be preferred.

## (I) Of Policies of Insurance.

Nsuring is, where a Man, for a certain Sum, takes upon him the Risque that Goods are to run in Transportation from Place to Place; and this Custom or Usage among Merchants, when they make any Adventures at Sea, to give a Premium or Consideration to Corporations erected for that Purpose, or to particular Persons, to have from such Corporations

or particular Persons, Assurance of or upon Ships, Goods or Merchandize adventured, or some of them, at such Rates or Prices as the Parties Affurers and the Parties affured can agree, hath prevailed Time out of Mind; and such Kind of Contract, or Dealing, is commonly called a (1) Policy of Affurance, or Infurance; and was at first introduced, that (1) That the a Merchant having a Loss might not be undone, many bearing the Bur- it ber Specialty, yet then together; and hath divers Times received the Countenance and it is a facred Sanction of feveral (b) Acts of Parliament.

Thing; be-

ing of great Credit, and much for the Support, Conveniency and Advantage of Trade. Stan. 54, 55. Hiz. cap 12. and 14 8 15 Car. 2. cap. 25 by which Commissioners were appointed for deciding of Differences arising upon Policies of Infurance; for which vide 3 Inft. 165 4 Inft. 250. Stile 160. 1 Show 596. — 485 W. & M. cap. 15. Penalties are inflifted on Perfors undertaking, by Way of Infunance, to import prohibited Goods, without paying Customs. —— 10 Ann. cap. 26. By which Policies must be stamped. — 6 Geo. 1. cap. 18. impowers his Majesty to grant two Charters for Insurance of Ships and Merchandize, under the Terms therein declared; by which the Royal Exchange Assurance and the London Affarance, were created. — 11 Geo. 1. cap. 30. enables the Infurance Companies to plead the General Issue to Actions brought against them; enacts, that the Policy shall issue, or be made out, within three Days after the Infurance; that it shall be stamped, on Pain of 100 L and declares all promiffory Notes for Infurances void.

As this Method of Insuring was at first fet up for the Benefit of Trade, Preced Char. fo the Courts have been always careful that no ill Use has been made of 200. ir. Hence it hatli been held, that it a Man has no Interest, and infures, the Infurance is void; altho' it be expressed in the Policy, Interested or not Interested; but it seems, that a Person, having some Interest in the Ship or Cargo, may infure five Times as much; because a Merchant cannot tell how much, or how little, his Factor may have in Readiness to lade on board his Ship.

The Defendant had lent 3001. on a Bottomry-Bond, and afterwards Abr. Eq. 371. insured 450 l. on that Ship with the Plaintiff for six Guineas per Cent. Goddart ver. Prieminia, as interested for Money lent, & e. the Ship out-lived the Time Garret. 2 Vern. 269. at which the Money was payable, and afterwards was lost in the East-S.C. Indies; the Defendant recovered the Money on the Bottomry-Bond; and @vidz aVern. afterwards fued the Infurers on their Policy, who brought their Bill to 717. be relieved; for that the Money infured by the Policy, was the Money lent on the Bottomry; and that the Defendant was no otherwise interested in the Ship; and that the Money being paid, no Use ought to be made of the Policy; and the Court decreed the Policy to be delivered

So where a Policy is a perfect Cheat, as where a Perfon having cer- Molloy 254, tain Intelligence that a Ship is loft, infures fo much, this shall not bind the Infurer.

So where an old Vessel is painted, and Goods of little or no Value Molloy 254. put on board, and a large Sum infured, and the Ship is voluntarily funk, the Infurers cannot recover.

If in the Policy, the Ship is warranted to depart with Convoy, this Carth. 216, must be (c) understood not only departing the first Port with Convoy, 217. must be (c) understood not only departing the min fort with Convoy, but also a Continuance with such Convoy during the Voyage, if possible; <sup>2</sup> Salk 443. but if a Ship leaves the Port with Convoy, and is afterwards separated 4 Abd. 58. by Stress of Weather, and taken by the Enemy, the Insurers are liable. S. C. Fef-

Legendra. (c) That the Clause warranted to depart with Convoy, must be construed according to the Usage among Merchants, 2 Salk. 443.

On a Policy of Infurance, which was to infure the Hilliam-Galley in a 2 Salk 445. Voyage from Bremen to the Port of London, warranted to depart with Eond ver. Convoy, the Case was: The Galley set sail from Bremen under Convoy Genfales, coof a Dutch Man of War to the Lib, where they were joined with two at Guild ball. other Dutch Men of War, and feveral Dutch and English Merchant Ships; whence they failed to the Texel, where they found a Squadron of English Men of War, and an Admiral; after a Stay of nine Weeks

they fet out from the Texel, and the Galley was separated in a Storm, and taken by a French Privateer; taken again by a Dutch Privateer, and paid 80 l. Salvage; and it was ruled by Holt Ch. J. that the Voyage ought to be according to Ufage; and that there going to the Elh, tho' in Fact out of the Way, was no Deviation; for till after the Year 1703. there was no Convoy for Ships directly from Bremen to London; and the Plaintiff had a Verdiel.

2 Salk. 444. Green vcr. Young.

If after a Policy of Infurance a Damage happens, and afterwards in the same Voyage a Deviation; yet the Assured shall recover for what happened before the Deviation; for the Policy is discharged from the Time of the Deviation only.

2 Vern. 716. Farr.

On a Policy of Infurance on Goods by Agreement valued at 600%. Le Pypre ver- and the Infured not to be obliged to prove any Interest; the Lord Chancellor ordered the Defendant to discover what Goods he put on board; for although the Defendant offered to renounce all Interest to the Infurers, yer he referred it to a Master to examine the Value of the Goods faved, and to deduct it our of the Value, or Sum of 600 l. at which the Goods were valued by the Agreement.

2 Salk. 444.

A Ship infured was in her Voyage feifed by the Government, and turned into a Fireship; the Question was, whether the Insurers were liable; Holt Ch. J. thought it was within the Word Detention; but the Cause was referred.

2 Vern. 176. per Hutchins Lord Commissioner.

Where a Policy of Infurance is against Restraint of Princes, that extends not where the Insured shall navigate against the Law of Countries, or where there shall be a Seifure for not paying Custom, or the like.

If a Man pay Money on a Policy of Infurance, supposing a Loss Skin. 411. where there was not any Lofs; this shall be deemed Money received to 412. Tompthe Use of the Insurer, for which he may maintain an Action. kins ver. Barnet.

I Show, 156. S. P. and that an Indebitatus Assumpsit lies for such Money paid on a void Policy; as where the Infured not having any Interest, yet infures so much, &c.

Skin. 243. Per Cur'.

A Policy of Infurance run, Until the Ship shall have ended and be discharged of her Voyage; Arrival at the Port to which she is bound is not a Discharge, until she is unladed.

Skin. 327.

In an Action upon a Charter-party, the Cafe was, That J. S. infured for him, and fuch who should have Goods upon such Ship; and A. B. brought an Action on this Charter-party, and made Averment he had Goods upon the Ship; and held good; but per Holt Ch. J. if the Goods were affured, as the Goods of an Hamburgher, who was an Ally, and the Goods were the Goods of a Frenchmen, who was an Enemy; this is a Fraud, and the Affurance is not good.

3kin. 404.

At Guild-hall, in an Action upon the Case upon a Policy, the which warranted, that the Ship shall have four Passes, viz. a Pass from the King of England, from the King of France, from the King of Poland, and the States of Holland; and the Goods were to be the Goods of fuch a Polish Subject, on board the Ship, vocat' The City of Warsaw; an Action on this Policy being brought, it appeared upon the Evidence, that the Passes bore Date in April or May, and that the Ship, to which they applied these Passes, then was regnant, and vocat' by (a) another stake in the Name, and that she was not named The City of Warfaw before August fol-Name of the Ship, and af- lowing; and therefore these were not good and effectual Passes for this terwardalte- Ship, according to the Guarranty of the Policy, the which intended good red by Con- Passes, and not elusory vain Passes; and they being a Fraud upon the fent, the In-Subscribers, the Policy will not bind them: Also another Objection was fured recovered. 2 Salk. made, the which was, that the Passes were for Goods which belonged vered. 2 Salk. to the Subjects of the King of Poland, and fo restrained only to them; but the Goods on board were not of the Subjects of Poland but of Holland, and therefore not within the Intent of the Policy: It was also

infifted,

(a) A Mi-

444.

infifted, that the Policy being for Goods of fuch a one, without Account, they ought to prove that they had any Goods on board, or had shipped any Goods by Order of a third Person; though being without Account, they need not prove the Particulars; and that so was the Practice; which was not contradicted by Holt Ch. J.

# (K) Of Bottomry-Bonds.

DOttomey, or Fanus nauticum, is so called from the Bottom of the Melloy 293. Ship, a Part being put for the Whole, and is in Nature of a Mort- 5 Co. 70. gage of a Ship; by which the Mortgagor, or Obligor, in Confideration Skin. 153-of a Sum of Money, obliges himself to pay so much on the safe Re-turn of the Ship; but in case the Ship be lost, then the Obligee, or Mortgagee, to lose the whole Money, according to the Condition of the Bond; and these Kind of Contracts are held lawful, and are not usurious, tho' greater Interest be reserved than the Statutes allow, by Rea-son of the Hazard the Lender runs, and being sound useful for Navigarion and Commerce.

As where A. lends B. 100 l. to freight a Ship abroad, and they agree, 2 Rol. Rep. 48. that if the Ship comes home fafe A. shall have 150 L and that if she 5 Co. 70, 50 do not, that he shall lose the 100 L this is not Usury, but good by the Cro. Fac. 200, Custom of Merchants; because of the great Perils of the Sca, and both 1 Keb. 539, Principal and Interest run the same Hazard of being lost; but if the 711. Principal be fecured, and the Interest only depend on an Hazard, if it

be more than is lawful, it is Usury.

So where the Condition of a Bottomry-Bond was, that if the Obling I ev. 54. gor, or the Ship, or the Goods, return fafe, then to pay more than the 1 Sid. 27. legal Interest; this was adjudged good by the Custom of Merchants, tho' it depends on many Contingencies; and tho' the Obligee may be faid to run little Hazard; and tho' any of the Contingencies become impossible; as if the Obligor die before his Return, &c. yet the Bond remains payable, contrary to the general Rule of Law in fuch Cases; for the Law supplies those Words, which shall first happen, and forecloses the Election of the Obligor, and gives it to the Obligee to take his, on which of the Contingencies shall first happen.

The Plaintiff entered into a penal Bond of Bottomry to pay 40 l. per 2 Chan. Cs. Month for 50 l. the Ship was to go from Holland to the Spanish Islands, 130. and so to return for England, but if she perished, the Desendant was to lose his 50 l. she went accordingly to the Spanish Islands, took in Moors at Africk, and upon that Occasion went to Barbadies, and then perished at Sea; the Plaintiff being fued on the Bond and Penalty, fought Relief in Equity, pretending that the (a) Deviation was of Necessity; but his Bill (a) If a Ship

was difmissed, faving as to the Penalty.

and is after loft, the Plaintiff, who had lent Money on her Hull, shall recover. Skin. 262 3.

her Voyage,

J. S. entered into a Bottomry-Bond, whereby he bound himself in 1 Vein. 263. Confideration of 400 l. as well to perform the Voyage within fix Months, Deguilder ver, as at the fix Months End to pay the 400 l. and 40 l. Præmium, in case the Depeister. Vessel arrived safe, and was not lost in the Voyage; and it fell our, that J. S. never went the Voyage, whereby his Bond became forfeited; and he preferred a Bill to be relieved, and in regard the Ship lay all along in the Port of London, so that the Defendant run no Hazard of losing his Principal; the Lord Keeper decreed, that he should lose the Priemium of 401, and be contented with his ordinary Interest.

Vol. III. \_ A Part=

Abr. Eq. 372. Dandy ver. Turner.

A Part-owner of a Ship borrowed Money of the Plaintiff upon a Bottomry-Bond, payable on the Return of the Ship from the Voyage; she was then going in the Service of the East-India Company, and the East-India Company broke up the Ship in the Indies; the Owners brought their Action against the Company, and recovered Damages, but they did not amount to a full Satisfaction; and the Obligee brought his Bill to have his proportionable Satisfaction out of the Money recovered; but his Bill was difiniffed, and he left to recover as well as he could at Law; the Court declaring, that they would never affift a Bottomry-Bond, which carried an unreasonable Interest.

# (L) Of Bills of Exchange: And herein,

1. Of the Nature and different Kinds of Bills of Exchange and negotiable Potes: And herein,

## 1. Di Fozeign Bills.

For the Anriquity of Exchange, vide Molloy 277. Malyne 269. true Meafure of Ex-Value. Molloy

HE Custom of Merchants, in relation to Foreign Bills of Exchange, feems to have prevailed Time out of Mind; and was at first introduced for the Expedition of Trade and its Safety, and to prevent the Exportation of Money out of the Realm; and hath therefore been always countenanced and incouraged, as a Matter of great Ease and Ad--That the vantage to Trade, and is now become Part of the Law of the Land; and as Bills of Exchange are established meerly by the Custom of Merchange is par chants, and for their Benefit; fo their Rules and Customs are allowed to pro pari, or prescribe their Form and several Properties, as to their creating Engage-Value for ments on the Parties that are concerned in them.

274. — Where the King of Portugal lowered his Coin, this not to prejudice the Drawer here. Skin. 274. — That originally there could be no Exchange, without the King's Licence. Molloy 274.

Cro. Car. 301.

By this Custom, if a Merchant abroad draw a Bill on a Merchant here, or Cro. Jac. 306 vice versa, requesting him to pay a certain Sum of Money, and the Drawer sets his Name to it; this amounts to a Promise to pay, and subjects him, tho' but a collateral Engagement, to an Action on the Non-payment.

Cro. Car. 301.

And if the Drawee, or he on whom the Bill is drawn, refuse to accept it, or having accepted it, refuse to pay it, the Payee, or he in whose Favour it is drawn, may protest it, and shall recover against the Drawer, not only the principal Sum, but likewise all Interest, Costs and Damages, by Reason of the Protest or Resultal of Acceptance, or Payment of the Money.

Carth. 3. Renew ver. Axton. 1 Show. 341. Comb. 193. S. P.

But tho' the Custom of Merchants, in relation to Bills of Exchange, be established by the Common Law, and such Bills, being Securities for Money, are of great Credit among them; yet are they not allowed to be Securities of as high a Nature as Bonds or Specialties; and therefore it hath been adjudged, that a Bill of Exchange is within the Statute of (a) Limir (a) Nor are tations, and must be sued for within six Years after it becomes payable.

Bills of Exchange, for Value received, such Matters of Account, as are intended by the Exception in the Starute concerning Merchants Accounts. Carth. 226. But for this, vide Tit. Limitation of Attions.

Vide Head of Also a Bill of Exchange is to be considered as a simple Contract Debt, Executors and in a Course of Administration, which an Executor or Administrator cannot discharge before Debts by Bond, without being guilty of a Devastavit.

So

So, if a Merchant in London draw a Bill of Exchange on his Corre- Carth. 373. fpondent in Newraftle, in Favour of J. S. and the Bill is refused, and reorgans ver. J. S. dies intestate, his Administrator, on Letters of Administration taReadjuster.

Ken out in Durham, cannot bring an Action, on the Custom of Mer- S. C. chants, against the Drawer, and lay the same in Lordon; for that a Bill of Exchange is not equal to a Bond or Specialty, which are the Deccased's Goods, where they happen to be at his Death, but is a simple Contract, which follows the Person of the Debtor, and makes bona notabilia where the Debtor refides; and therefore Administration ought to have been taken out in London.

Also this Custom shall not prevail against the Privilege of Infants, so Cast. 160. as to bind them; and accordingly it hath been adjudged, that if an In-Williams verfant draw a Bill of Exchange, Infancy is a good Plea in Bar to an Action Harrison

brought against him.

Bills of Exchange are usually drawn payable on Sight, so many Days Melley 2-6. after Sight, or after Date, or on fingle, double or treble (d) Ufances; (a) An Land it is frequent to draw 2 or 3 for the fame Sum, and of the fame fance is faid Date, for Fear of Lofs or Micarriage, which carry a (b) Condition with larly a them that only one shall be paid.

loy 277. 1 Show, 317. — But yet varies according to the Customs of particular Countries; and therefore, where the Plaintiff declared on a Bill of Exchange drawn at Amsterdam, payable at London, at two Where the Plaintiff declared on a Bill of Exenange drawn at Amperiam, payone at London, at two Usances, and did not shew what the two Usances were, Judgment was given for the Defendant, for the Court could not take Notice of foreign Usances which varied, being longer in one Place than in another. I Salk 131. Burkley ver. Cambell. (b) Therefore, if there are three Bills for the same Sum, and an Astron is brought on one of them, and the Plaintiff declare, that the Money in Bills, pradicla mentional is not paid; this is sufficient without averring, that it was not paid on the other Bills, because the Sum is the same in all the Bills. Carth. 510. 1 Salk. 130. adjudged.

#### 2. Df Inland Bills.

Inland Bills of Exchange are those drawn by one Metchant residing 6 Med. 29, in one Part of the Kingdom, on another residing in some City or Town within the same Kingdom; and these also being found useful to Trade and Commerce, have been established on the same Foot with foreign Bills; but at Common Law they differed from them in this, that there was no Custom of protesting them, so as to subject the Drawer to Interest and

Damages in Case of Non-payment, as there was on foreign Bills.

To remedy this Inconveniency, by the 9 & 10 W. 3. cap. 17. reciting, that great Damages and other Inconveniencies do frequently happen in the Course of Trade and Commerce, by Reason of Delays of Fayment and other Neglects on Inland Bills of Exchange, It is enacted, 'That e all and every Bill or Bills of Exchange, drawn in, or dated at and from any trading City or Town, or any other Place in the Kingdom of England, Dominion of Wales, or Town of Berwick upon Tweed, of the Sum of 5 l. or upwards, upon any Person or Persons of or in London, or any other trading City, Town, or any other Place (n which faid Bill or Bills of Exchange, finall be acknowledged and expreffed the faid Value to be received,) and is, and small be drawn payable at a cer-' tain Number of Days, Weeks, or Months after Date thereof; that \* from and after Presentation and Acceptance of the said Bill or Bills of Exchange, (which Acceptance shall be by the underwriting the fame under the Party's Hand so accepting;) and after the Expiration of 3 Days after the faid Bill or Bills shall become due, the Party 6 to whom the faid Bill or Bills are made payable, his Servant, Agent or Assigns may, and shall cause the said Bill or Bills to be protested by a Notary Publick, and in Default of fach Notary Publick, by any other substantial Person of the City, Town, or Place, in the · Prefence of two or more credible Witnesses; Resultal or Neglect being first made of due Payment of the same; which Protest shall be made

Month. Ald-

and written under a fair written Copy of the faid Bill of Exchange, in the Words or Form following.' --- Know all Men, that I. A. B. on the at the usual Place of Abode of the said Day of demanded Payment of the Bill, of which the Above is the Copy, which the did not pay; wherefore I the said do hereby protest the ' which Protest so made faid Bill dated at Day of this as aforesaid, shall within 14 Days after making thereof be sent, or otherwise due Notice shall be given thereof to the Party from whom the faid Bill or Bills were received, who is, upon producing fuch Protest, to repay the faid Bill or Bills, together with all Interest and Charges from the Day such Bill or Bills were protested, for which

Protest shall be paid a Sum, not exceeding the Sum of Six-pence; and in Default or Neglect of such Protest made and set, or due Notice given within the Days before limited, the Person so failing or nege lecting thereof, is and shall be liable to all Costs, Damages and Interest,

which do and shall accrue thereby.

Provided, nevertheless, that in Case any such Inland Bill or Bills of Exchange shall happen to be lost or miscarried, within the Time before Ilmited for Payment of the same, then the Drawer of the said Bill or 6 Bills is, and shall be obliged to give another Bill or Bills of the same ETenour with those first given the Person or Persons, to whom they are

and shall be so delivered, giving Security, if demanded, to the said Drawer, to indemnify him against all Persons whatsoever, in Case the

faid Bill or Bills of Exchange, fo alledged to be lost or miscarried, shall

be found again.'

But this Statute was deficient, in that it had no Effect, unless the Party on whom the Bill was drawn accepted it, by underwriting the

fame, which few or none cared to do.

To remedy which, by the 3 & 4 Ann. cap. 9. It is enacted, 'That in Case, upon presenting any such Bill or Bills of Exchange, the Party or Parties on whom the faid shall be drawn, shall refuse to accept the fame by underwriting the same as aforesaid, the Party to whom the faid Bill or Bills are made payable, his Servant, Agent or Affigns, may and shall cause the said Bill or Bills to be protested for Non-acceptance; as in Case of Foreign Bills of Exchange; any Thing in the said Act, or any other Law to the contrary notwithstanding, for which Protest

' Provided, that no Acceptance of any fuch Inland Bill of Exchange 6 shall be sufficient to charge any Person whatsoever, unless the same be

there shall be paid 2 s. and no more.

underwritten or indorsed in Writing thereupon; and if such Bill be not accepted by fuch Underwriting or Indorsement in Writing, no Drawer of any fuch Inland Bill shall be liable to pay any Costs, Damages or Interest thereupon, unless such Protest be made for Non-acceptance ' thereof, and within 14 Days after such Protest the same be sent, or otherwise Notice thereof be given to the Party from whom the Bill was received, or left in Writing at the Place of his or her usual Abode; 6 and if such Bill be accepted, and not paid before the Expiration of 3 Days after the faid Bill shall become due and payable, then no Drawer of fuch Bill shall be compellable to pay any Costs, Damages or In-

ferest thereupon, unless a Protest be made and sent, or Notice thereof be given in Manner and Form abovementioned; neverthelefs, every Drawer of fuch Bill shall be liable to make Payment of Costs, Damages and Interest upon such Inland Bill, if any one Protest be made for

Non-acceptance or Non-payment thereof, and Notice thereof be fent, given, or left, as aforefaid.

Provided, that no fuch Protest shall be necessary, either for Non-ace ceptance or Non-payment of any Inland Bill of Exchange, unless the ' Value be acknowledged, and expressed on such Bill to be received; and unless such Bill be drawn for the Payment of 201. or upwards,

- and that the Protest hereby required for Non-acceptance, shall be made by fuch Perfons as are appointed by the above Statute 9 & 10 W. 3.
- 'And it is further enacted by the faid Statute 3 & 4 Ann. That if any Person doth accept any such Bill of Exchange, for, and in Satis-
- faction of any former Debt, or Sum of Money formerly due to him,
- the same shall be accounted and esteemed a full and complear Payment
- of fuch Debt; if fuch Person accepting of any fuch Bill for his Debt, 6 doth not take his due Courfe to obtain Payment thereof, by endeavour-
- ing to get the fume accepted and paid, and make his Protest as afore-faid, either for Non-acceptance or Mon-payment thereof.
- ' Provided, that nothing herein contained shall extend to Discharge any Remedy that any Person may have against the Drawer, Acceptor

or Indorfor of fuch Bill.'

## 3. Of Promissory and negotiable Notes.

The Increase of Trade, and Necessity of Paper Credit, put Bankers 1 Salk. 24. and others upon an Expedient of bringing promiffory Notes within the 129. Custom of Merchants, and making them negotiable, as Inland Bills of 6 Mod. 29. Exchange; but this the Judges would not admit of, promissory Notes being only confidered, by the Common Law, as Evidences of a Debt.

and not affignable or negotiable in their own Nature.

But it being found necessary to make use of this Kind of Credit, by the (a) 3 & 4 Ann. cap. 9. reciting, that whereas it hath been held, (a) Made that Notes in Writing figned by the Party who makes the same, where- perpetual by by fuch Party promifes to pay unto any other Person, or his Order, any the 7.4m. Sum of Moncy therein mentioned, are not affignable or indorfible over within the Custom of Merchants to any other Person; and that such Perfon, to whom the Sum of Money mentioned in fuch Note is payable, cannot maintain an Action, by the Custom of Merchants, against the Person who sirst made and signed the same; and that any Person to whom such Note should be assigned, indorsed, or made payable, could not, within the faid Custom of Merchants, maintain any Action upon fuch Note against the Person who first drew and signed the same; therefore to the Intent, to encourage Trade and Commerce, which will be much advanced, if such Notes shall have the same Effect as Inland Bills of Exchange, and shall be negotiated in like Manner, It is enacted, 'That all Notes in Writing, that shall be made and signed by any Person or Perfons, Body Politick or Corporate, or by the Servant or Agent of any Corporation, Banker, Goldsmith, Merchant or Trader, who is usually intrusted by him, her or them, to sign such promissory Notes for him her or them, whereby fuch Person or Persons, Body Politick and Coroperate, his, her or their Servant or Agent as aforefaid, doth, or shall promise to pay to any other Person or Persons, Body Politick and Corporate, his, her or their Order, or unto Bearer, any Sum of Money mentioned in such Note, shall be taken and construed to be, by Vertue thereof, due and paya! le to any such Person or Persons, Body Politick and Corporate, to whom the fame is made payable; and also every fuch Note payable to any Person or Persons, Body Politick and Coroporate, his, her or their Order, shall be assignable or indorsible over, in the same Manner as Inland Bills of Exchange are or may be according to the Custom of Merchants; and that the Person or Persons, Body · Politick and Corporate, to whom fuch Sum of Money is or shall be by fuch Note made payable, shall and may maintain an Action for the fame in fuch Manner, as he, she or they might do upon an Inland Bill of Exchange, made or drawn according to the Custom of Merchants, against the Person or Persons, Body Politick and Corporate, who or Vol. III.

' whose Servant or Agent, as aforesaid, signed the same; and that any Person or Persons, Body Corporate and Politick, to whom such Note that is payable to any Person or Persons, Body Politick and Corpo-

rate, his her or their Order, is indorfed or affigned, or the Money

therein mentioned, ordered to be paid by Indorfement thereon, shall and ' may maintain his, her or their Action for fuch Sum of Money, either

' against the Person or Persons, Body Politick and Corporate, who or whose Servant or Agent as aforefaid figned such Note, or against any

' of the Persons that indorsed the same, in like Manner as in Case of ' Inland Bills of Exchange; and in every fuch Action, the Plaintiff or

' Plaintiffs shall recover his, her or their Damages and Costs of Suit; and ' if fuch Plaintiff or Plaintiffs shall be nonfuited, or a Verdict be given

' against him, her or them, the Defendant or Defendants shall recover his her or their Costs against the Plaintiff or Plaintiffs; and every such

" Plaintiff or Plaintiffs, Defendant or Defendants respectively recovering, ' may fue out Execution for fuch Damages and Costs by Capias, Fieri

facias, or Elegit.

And it is further enacted by the faid Statute, 'That all and every fuch Actions shall be commenced, fued, and brought within such Time, as is ' appointed for commencing or fuing Actions upon the Case, by the Statute 21 Jac. 1. Of Limitations.

' Provided, that no Body Politick or Corporate shall have Power, by ' Virtue of this Act, to iffue or give out any Notes by themselves or their

Servants, other than fucli as they might have iffued, if this Act had

' never been made.'

It hath been adjudged, that a Note wrote by the Plaintiff, and fub-Trin. 6. Ann. Alb ver. Ba- scribed by the Defendant, is a Note made and figured by the Defendant ron, in B. R. within this Act; for the Signing or Subscribing is the Lien, and the Writing or making is only the mechanical Part of it.

#### 2. What hall be faid a Bill of Erchange, or negotiable Note, within the Cultoni of Merchants.

Carth. 510. 1 Salk. 128. Starky ver. Cheefeman.

As the Custom of Merchants hath established these Bills and Notes, so hath it prescribed their Form, and required that the same should be in Writing, and drawn by the Party, or those having legal Authority from him; and fuch Drawing raifes a Contract to pay the Money without any express Promise.

Ca. Law and Eq. 287.

As to the Form of the Bill, it is faid, that the same Strictness and Nicety are not required in penning of Bills current between Merchant and Merchant, as in Deeds, Wills, &c. on the other Hand, it may happen, that a Writing may have the Form of a Bill of Exchange, and yet be otherwife.

Pafeb. 10 Georg. 1. Jenney vor. Hale, in B. R. adjudged.

As if A. draw a Bill upon B. in this Form, Sir, you are to pay S. S. so much of the Money belonging to the Governours and Company of Devonshire Miners, &c. this is no fuch Bill of Exchange, as will intitle S. S. to an Action against the Drawer on the Custom of Merchants; for 'tis only a Direction or Appointment to the Cashier to pay the Money, and that out of a particular Fund, and doth not answer the Necessity of Trade, not being a negotiable Bill, or made indorfible over; and charging the Drawer on such a Note, would be liable to this further Inconveniency, that hereby every one, who gives his Steward an Order or Authority to pay Money, might be charged for Non-payment.

Pafeb. 1 Geo 1.

So a Bill drawn by A. upon B. requiring him to pay C. 71. every Jefelyn ver. Month out of the Annuity, or growing Fund of the Drawer, is no Bill Lacies, in B. Month out of the Annuity or growing Fund of the Drawer, is no Bill Lacies, in B. Readjudged, of Exchange, nor the Drawee liable, tho' he accepted fuch Bill; for it concerns neither Trade, nor Credit, but is to be paid out of the growing

Subfiftence

Subfiftence of the Drawer; fo that if the Party die, or the Fund be

taken away, the Payment is to cease and determine.

Also it hath been refolved, that if A. give a Note to B. for the Pay- 4 Mod 252. ment of a Sum of Money when he the faid A. should marry such a S.C. Pears one; B. cannot tring an Act on on such Note, and declare as on a Bill ver. Garret. of Exchange, fetting forth the Custom of Merchants, &c. for that in Truth there is no such Custom, being only an Agreement founded on a Marriage-Brokage, and to pay Money on a collateral Contingency; which Contingency cannot be called Trading, fo as to come within the Cuftom of Merchants.

But it hath been held, that a Note drawn in these Words, I premise to Account with J. S. or his Order, for 501. Value received by me, &c. is a good 1. Morres negotiable Note, within the Statute (a) 3 & 4 Ann. and that the Word ver. Lea. Account shall be construed the same as to pay, and not to render an (a) But it is Account, as Factor or Bailiss; and the rather, because he is not only accountable to f. S. but likewise to his Order; which he cannot be as Factor or Bailiss, and therefore it must be to pay the Money to the Case of Smith Indorsee, or Order of 7. S.

a Promise to pay J S. 701 or Surrender the Principal, is not a negotiable Note within this Statute. — So in the Case of Appleby ver. Eddoleh, a Note in these Words, I promise to pay J. S. so much Money, if my Brother dath not pay it within such a Time, was held not to be a negotiable Note within the said Statute; because the Prawer's becoming a Debtor depended on a Contingency, and was not so originally. t Mod. Ca. L. & E. 362 3.

It hath been refolved, that a Bill of Exchange drawn by a Gentle-Carth S2. man, who is no Trader, shall notwithstanding make him responsible with- 1. Sh.w. 125-Walerly ver. in the Custom of Merchants; for otherwise, Persons of Distinction tra- Surfield. velling abroad would suffer in there Credit; and it might bring a ge- Comb. 45, neral Inconveniency on Trade it self; when it came to be known to Fo- 152. S. C. reign Merchants, that there were some who, tho' they took upon themfelves to draw Bills of Exchange, yet were not liable to the Payment thereof.

#### 3. Who mall be faid liable to the Payment thereof; and therein of fuing the Drawer, Indorsor or Acceptor.

It is clear, that (b) every Drawer of a Bill is liable to the Payment (b) That thereof, as is every (c) Acceptor and Indorfor; also, (d) if there are if several feveral Indorfors of the same Bill, the last Indorfee may bring his Ac-Drawers subtion against the first Indorsor, or any of them; for the Indorsement is are liable, quasi a new Bill, or at least a Warranty, as some Books express it, by the Mosley 278. Indorfor, that the Bill shall be paid.

(c) And having onceae-

cepted it, cannot afterwards revoke it. Melloy 283. (d) Skin. 343.

So if a Bill be drawn upon A, and he accepts it, and afterwards refuses Milloy 273. Payment, upon which the Bill is protested, the Person to whom it is payable may bring feveral Actions against the Acceptor and the Drawer; for the Protest is no Discharge of the Acceptor.

But tho' the Drawer, Acceptor and Indorfor, are all liable, yet the 3 Mod. 86.
Party can have but one Satisfaction; yet until fuch Satisfaction is actuliable, yet the 3 Mod. 86.
Party can have but one Satisfaction; yet until fuch Satisfaction is actuliable, yet the 3 Mod. 86.
Party can have but one Satisfaction; yet until fuch Satisfaction is actuliable, yet the 3 Mod. 86.
Party can have but one Satisfaction; where the Cafe was An Indorfoe fined skin. 255. judged in the Exchequer-Chamber, where the Case was, An Indorsee sued Co 4. 32. S.C. the Drawer, and had Judgment against him; and he also brought an Charton ver-Action against the Indorsor, to which the Indorsor pleaded the Judg- Swift ment against the Drawer; but the Plea was held ill; for that the Judg-

ment was no Satisfaction, without which the Party could not be barred of the Remedy which he had against the other.

Molley 281, 285.

(a) So if one subscribe for the Honour of him who subscribes for the Honour of the Drawer.

Carth. 129, 130.

Lut. 196.

And not only the Drawer, Acceptor and Indorfor are liable, but also by the Custom of Merchants, if one Merchant draw a Bill which is protested, and another hearing thereof declare that he, for the Honour of the (a) Drawer, will pay the Contents; and thereupon subscribes in these or the like Words, I the under-written do bind my self as Principal, according to the Custom of Merchants, for the Sum mentioned in the Bill of Exchange, whereupon this Protest is made, &c. this shall as effectually bind him, as if he had been the original Drawer; and by this the Person to whom the Bill is payable hath his Remedy, both against such Person, as Surety, and also against the Principal; but the Principal, or original Drawer, is liable to him who thus subscribes for his Honour.

Ca. L. & Eq. Loviere ver. Laubray.

If A draw a Bill on B, who has Effects of his in his Hands, and B accepts the Bill, which is afterwards protested for Non-payment, and the Bill is afterwards indersed to A the Drawer, he may maintain an Action as Inderser against B, but if there had been no Effects of A's in the Hands of B. so that the Acceptance was only for the Honour of A the Drawer, he could have no Action; for thereby the Money would be recovered only to be repaid again.

1 Salk. 126. cont. ± Salk. 133. It hath been held by some Opinions, that tho' an Indorsor be liable, that yet, in an Action against him, it must be alledged in the Declaration, that the Money was demanded of the Drawer, he being the Principal Debtor, and the Indorsor only a Surety, warranting Payment in case the Drawer made Default; but the better Opinion seems to be, that this is not material, every Indorsor being to be considered as making a new Bill, or Note, on whose Credit alone perhaps the Money was given, and the Drawer not at all known to the Indorse; but it seems to be more advisable, to give it in Evidence, that there was a Demand on the Drawer, or an Endeavour to find him out; but this also has been thought by (h) some not to be necessary

(b) The has been thought by (b) some not to be necessary.

stices Holt, Raymond and Eyre held, that a Demand on the Drawer was requisite to be given in Evidence, the Indotfor's Engagement being only conditional. — But Parker, Pratt and King held it not to be necessary; said by Lord Hardwick, Mich. to Geo. 2. to have been so ruled by them at the Sittings; and of the latter Opinion he seemed to be himself; and held it clearly, not to be necessary to alledge it in Pleading.

## 4. Who wall be faid intitled to the Money.

Carth. 130.

The Money is to be paid to him in whose Favour the Bill is drawn, or to the Indorsee, in case it be indorsed over; of which Indorsement it seems the Drawer, Acceptor and Drawee must take Notice at their Peril; also, if there are several Indorsers and Indorsees, the last Indorsee is intitled to the Money.

1 Show. 163. Dekers ver. Harriat. If a Bill of Exchange is made payable to A. who indorfes it to B. who indorfes it to C. which is protested for Non-payment; B. may bring an Action on this Bill, notwithstanding his Indorsement.

Carth. 5. Skin. 264. 18how 5. S.C. Evans ver. Cramlington, adjudged and affirmed

If A. draw a Bill of Exchange, payable to B. for the Use of C. and B. for valuable Consideration, indorses it over to D. D. may bring an Action against A. the Drawer; and he cannot plead, that the Money was extended in his Hands at the Suit of the King, for a Debt due from C. for C. being only Cessus que Trust, had only an equitable Inte-

in the Exchequer Chamber. 2 Vent. 309. S. C. adjudged; it appearing that the Bill was inderfed before any Scifure, or Writ of Extent issued out, and that an Inderfement on such Bill was good, by the Custom of Merchants.

reft

rest, and no (a) legal Remedy for the Money; and B. is only responsible in Equity to C. for the Breach of Trust.

Debt on a fingle Bill

made to A. to the Use of him and B. the Defendant pleads a Release made to him by B. and on Demurrer it was adjudged for the Plaintiff without Difficulty; for E. is no Party to the Deed, and therefore can neither sue nor Release it; but it is an equitable Trust for him, and suable in the Chancery, if A. will not let him have Part of the Money; and the Book of E. 4. cited, that he might release in such Case, was denied to be Law. 1 Lev. 235. Offly ver. Ward.

#### 5. Of the Indozsement.

Indorsement is a Term known in Law, which, by the Custom of Mer-Molley 281. chants, transfers the Property of the Bill or Note to the Indorsee; and Farest. 86,87 is usually made on the Back of the Bill, and must be in Writing; but the Law hath not appropriated any set (b) Form of Words, as necessity to this Ceremony; and therefore it hath been held, that if a Man dorsement set and the Back of a Bull of Exphange this is to be need to I.S. or, the write on the Back of a Bill of Exchange, this is to be paid to J. S. or, the Words, In-Content of this Bill is to be paid to J. S. and fets his Hand to it, this is dorfavit fuger a good Indorsement.

content' billa illius folvend', is sufficient after Verdict, without showing that it was subscribed. 1 Salk. 130.

So if A. having a Bill of Exchange, writes his Name on the Back of 1 Salk. 126. it, and fends it to J. S. his Friend, to get it accepted, which is done Clark ver. accordingly; A. notwithstanding his Name, may bring an Action against Molloy 281. the Acceptor; altho' objected, that the Property was transferred to J. S. S. P. and for J. S. had it in his Power, either to act as Servant or Affignee; and faid to be an if he had filled up the Blank Space, making the Bill payable to him, usual Practice among that would have witnessed his Election to have received it as Indorsee; Merchants. but that being omitted, his Intention is prefumed to act only as Servant to  $\mathcal{A}$  whose Name he would use only in order to write the Acquittance over it.

A Bill payable to a Man's Order is payable to himself, and he may 1 Salk 130. Comb 401.

bring an Action thereon, averring that he made no Order, &c.

So where a Bill of Exchange was indorfed in this Manner, Pay the Carth. 403. Contents of this Bill unto the Order of J. S. who brought his Action as In-Fisher ver. dorsee, averring he had made no Order to any Body to receive the Pomfrett. Money; and on Demurrer, because that J. S. could not maintain an Action, because the Indorsement was not to him, but to his Order, the Court held the Action well brought against the Indorsor; and that among Tradesmen, this Form of Indorsement is commonly used, altho' it is intended to be made payable to the Person whose Order is mentioned.

As to the Indorsing of Bills, a Difference has been taken between a t Salk. 125. Bill payable to J. S. or Bearer, and J. S. or Order; that the first is not 3 Lev. 299 assignable by the Contract, so as to enable the Indorsee to bring an Actional Salk. 133. tion, if the Drawer refuse to pay; because there is no such Authority Comb. 204, given to the Party by the first Contract; and the Effect of it is only to 466. discharge the Drawee, if he pays it to the Bearer, tho' he comes to it by Trover, Thest or otherwise; but when the Bill is payable to J. S. or Order, there an express Power is given to the Party to assign, and the Indorsee may maintain an Action.

Also, tho' an Assignment of a Bill, payable to J. S. or Bearer, be no 1 Salk. 125, good Affignment to charge the Drawer with an Action on the Bill, yet it is 133 a good Bill between the Indorfor and Indorfee, and the Indorfor is liable to an Action for the Money; for the Indorfement is in Nature of a new Bill an Action for the Money; for the Indorsement is in Nature of a new Bill.

So it hath been adjudged, that an Indorfee of a Bill, payable to J. S. 1 Salk. 129. or Bearer, may maintain an Action against the Drawer; on alledging a 3 Lev. 299. special Custom, that such Bill should bind him; which Custom is so sound, or confessed by the Desendant. Alfo

Vol. III

1 Salk. 128. Also, in Cases of Bills purchased at a Discount, there is said to be this Difference, that if it be a Bill payable to A or Bearer, it is an absolute Purchase; but if to A. or Order, and it is indorsed Blank, and filled up with an Affignment, the Indorfor must warrant it as much as if there had been no Discount.

1 Salk. 126.

A Bank-Bill payable to A or Bearer, being given to A and lost, was found by a Stranger, who transferred it to C. for a valuable Confideration; C. got a new Bill in his own Name; and per Holt Ch. J. A. may have Trover against the Stranger who found the Bill, for he had no Title; tho Payment to him would liave indemnified the Bank; but A cannot maintain Trover against C. by Reason of the Course of Trade, which creates a Property in the Assignee, or Bearer.

Ca. L. and Eq. 246.

A Note payable to a Feme Sole, or Order, who afterwards marries,

can only be indorfed by the Husband.

Earth. 466. Cardy. 1 Salk. 65. S. C. where it is faid, that the Plaintiff should have

It hath been adjudged, that a Bill of Exchange, or promissory Note, Hawkim ver. cannot be indorsed over for Part, so as to subject the Party to several Actions; as if A. having a Bill of Exchange upon B. indorfes Part of it to J. S. J. S. cannot bring an Action for his Part; altho' he alledge a Custom amongst Merchants for such Kind of Indorsements; for the Contract being intire, and subjecting him only to one Man's Action, no Custom can make him liable to two or more Actions for the same Debt.

acknowledged Satisfaction for the Rest.

## 6. Df the Acceptance: And herein,

## 1. What wall be faid a good. Acceptance.

It hath been already observed, that an Acceptance, by the Custom Cro. 7ac. 308. of Merchants, as effectually binds the Acceptor, as if he had been the Hard. 487. original Drawer; and that having once accepted it he cannot afterwards revoke it; fo that herein only we are to fee, what Act of his will amount to an Acceptance.

Molloy 278.

And herein it is faid, that every small Matter will amount to an Acceptance; and that any Words will be sufficient for that Purpose, which shews the Party's Assent or Agreement to pay the Bill; as if, upon the Tender thereof to him, he subscribes accepted, or, accepted by me A. B. or, I accept the Bill, and will pay it according to the Contents; these clearly amount to an Acceptance.

Comb. 401.

So if the Party under-writes the Bill presented such a Day, or only the Day of the Month; this is fuch an Acknowledgment of the Bill as amounts to an Acceptance.

Molloy 280.

So if the Party fays, Leave your Bill with me, and I will accept it, or Call for it to Morrow, and it shall be accepted; these Words, according to the Custom of Merchants, as effectually bind, as if he had actually figned or fubicribed his Name according to the usual Manner.

Ch. J.

Melloy 279, But if a Man fays, Leave your Bill with me, I will look over my Ac-280. faid to counts and Books between the Drawer and I, and call to Morrow, and accordhave been so ingly the Bill shall be accepted; this does not amount to a compleat Acruled by Hale ceptance; for the Mention of his Books and Accounts, shews plainly that he intended only to accept the Bill, in case he had Effects of the Drawer's in his Hands.

Mich. 1 2 Geo. 1. But where the Drawce wrote a Letter to the Person, in whose Faat Nifi Prius.

Wilkinson ver. vour the Bill was drawn, to this Purport, That if he would let him write Raymond C.J. to Ireland first, he would pay him; this was held a good Acceptance.

So where a Foreign Bill was drawn on the Defendant, and being re-Car ver. Cole turned for Want of Acceptance, Defendant faid, That if the Bill came back again, he would pay it; this was ruled a good Acceptance. It

It feems clear, that a parol Acceptance is fufficient at Common Law Mich. 8Geo. 2 to charge the Acceptor; also it hath been adjudged, fince the Statute Lumley ver 3 & 4 Annæ, supra, that an Indorsee of an Inland Bill of Exchange may B. R maintain an Action against the Acceptor, on a parol Acceptance, as to the principal Sum, tho' not as to Interest and Costs; for the Act being made to give a further Remedy, for Interest, Damages and Costs against the Drawer, cannot be supposed to take any Advantage from the Payee which he had before; and therefore the true Construction of the (a) Act is, that to charge the Drawer with Interest and Costs, the (a) So on the Drawee must refuse to accept it in Writing; nevertheless, if he accepts Statute of the Bill by Parol, he is liable to the principal Sum in the Bill accepts 9 Se to W.3. the Bill by Parol, he is liable to the principal Sum in the Bill, as he which gives would have been before the Act.

and Cotts, in

case of a Protest, it hath been held, That that Statute did not take away the Party's Remedy against the Drawer, if there was no Protost, as to the principal Sum, but only as to the Damages and Cafts 6 Med So, St. 1 Salk 131. Brough ver. Parkins.

## 2. Whole Acceptance Gall bind.

A Bill drawn on two, must regularly have a joint Acceptance; but Melley 279, if there are two joint Traders, and one accepts a Bill drawns on both, 284. 126. for him and Partner, this shall bind both, if it concerns the Frade; Pinkney ver. otherwise if it concerns the Acceptor only in a distinct Interest and Re- Hall. ipect. () ALL :

If a Book-keeper or Servant having Authority, or usually tradicting Milloy 282. Business of this Nature for his Master, accept a Bill of Exchange, this odd Tit Master.

Business of this Nature for his Master, accept a Bill of Exchange, this odd Tit Master.

A Bill of Exchange was drawn by A. Agent to the Tork Buildings Mab 1Geb. 2. Company in Scotland, on B. their Cashier in London, in the Words follow- Thomas ver. , Cashier to the Honourable Governor and Assistants of the Bishop in York-Buildings Company, at their House in Winchester-street: Sir, Pray B. R. pay to J. S. or his Order 2001. and place it to the Account of the Company, for Value received, as per Advice from your humble Servant. ter of Advice, referred to, was directed to the Governor and Company, informing them of the Draught made upon B. in Favour of J. S. but it did not appear, that this was the usual Method of drawing Bills on the Company; B. accepted the Bill generally; and this Bill having been indorsed over, and an Action thereon brought by the Indorsee against B. the Question was, whether this Acceptance should charge him in his own Right, or not. And it was held that it should; this being in every Respect a good Bill of Exchange; and only the Drawer, Payee and Acceptor concerned in it, as far as appears on the Face of the Bill; for tho' it may be for the Advantage of the Company, yet they are not liable to the Payment of it; nor is the Person in whose Favour it was drawn, or the Indorfee, obliged to take Norice of fuch Advantage, or of any Transactions between them and their Cashier, or how they stand . liable to each; for were it allowed, that an Indorsee must be put to seek a Pay-mafter that bears no visible Part in the Transaction, this would be such a Prejudice to Trade, and Paper-Credit made so blind and hazardous a Thing, that no Man in his Senfes would ever be ingaged in it; and as to the Letter of Advice, this was held to be only a private Transaction between the Drawer and a Stranger; which it is not to be imagined the Payee or Indorfee could be privy to, and therefore cannot be any Prejudice ro them; nor a Circumstance sit for the Consideration of a Jury, before whom nothing ought to be laid, in Cales of this Kind, but what all Persons concerned in the Transaction may be reasionably supposed to know; and those are all Things visible on the Bill; but no Circumstance extrinsick to it.

3. Whicher

## 3. Whether an Acceptance may be qualified.

Comb. 452. Petit ver. Benfon. It is held, that an Acceptance may be qualified, as thus; I accept this Bill, Half to be paid in Money, and Half in Bills, and this is good by the Custom of Merchants; for he, who may refuse the Bill totally, may accept it in Part; but he to whom the Bill is due, may refuse such Acceptance, and Protest is so to charge the Drawer. Also it is said, that after such Acceptance and Resusal of Payment, he hath the same Liberty of charging the Drawer, that he had in Case the Bill had been accepted absolutely and Payment resused.

1 Molloy 283.

So the Drawee may accept the Bill, to pay it at longer Day than that on which it is made payable, and this shall bind him; but herein Care must be taken, that the Drawee, by such Acceptance or Agreement, be not a Sufferer.

Molloy 285. per Pemberton, Ch. J. A Bill was drawn payable the first of January; the Person on whom the Bill was drawn accepts the Bill, to be paid the first of March; the Servant brings back the Bill; the Master perceiving this enlarged Acceptance, strikes out the first of March, and puts in the first of January, and then sends the Bill to be paid; the Acceptor then resuses; whereupon the Person to whom the Monies were to be paid, strikes out the first of January, and puts in the first of March again; in an Action brought on this Bill, the Question was, whether these Alterations did not destroy the Bill; and ruled it did not.

Carth. 459, 460. 1 Salk. 127, 129. 1 Lutw. 233. Fackfon ver. Pigot. If A. draw a Bill payable fuch a Day, and the Drawee accept it some Time after, he is liable; and in an Action against him the Plaintiff may declare, that secundum tenorem & effective Billie he did not pay, &c. for the Effect of the Bill is the Payment, and not the Day of Payment.

## 7. Dt the Protest: And herein,

## 1. De the Accessity and Malidity of the Protest.

Molloy 279. 6 Mod. 80. 1 Salk. 131. A Protest does not raise any Debt, but only serves to give formal Notice, that the Bill is not accepted, or accepted and not paid; and this by the Common Law was, and is still necessary on every foreign Bill before the Drawer can be charged; but it was not required on any Inland Bill, before the Statute of 9 & 10 W. 3. nor does the Want of it since that Statute destroy the Remedy, which the Party had before against the Drawer, but only deprives him of Interest and Costs against the Drawer, unless there be Notice by Protest, as that Statute prescribes.

Molloy 285. He, to whom the Bill is payable, must regularly resort to the Drawee, and desire him to accept the Bill, before there can be a Protest; but if (a) Alledging he be dead, or cannot be (a) sound, these are good Causes for protesting that the Party, on whom the Bill; also, if after Acceptance the Drawee dies, there is to be a Detay, on whom the Bill was a Protest; and in Case the Money becomes due before an Executor or Administrator can be appointed, yet this Delay is sufficient Cause to protest the Bill.

is sufficient to the Dark to a Protest, without shewing that Enquiry was made after him; for this shall be intended, being according to the Custom of Merchants, and is therefore the usual Form of Pleading in those Cases. Carth. 510.

Molloy 285. But if he, to whom the Money is to be paid, dies, there can be no Protest before Probate of his Will or Administration granted; for none but

his

, minint

his Executors or Administrators can give a legal Discharge or Acquittance for the Money, and consequently none others can sue for or demand the same; and the Security be offered to indemnify the Drawee against the Executors or Admin strators, yet is he not obliged to accept thereof, being a Matter left entirely to his own Discretion, to judge and determine on the Sufficiency of such Security; and in this Case it is said, that if a publick Notary protest the Bill, an Action on the Case lies against him.

If a Bill be left with a Merchant to accept, which is (a) lost or mislaid, Melley 181. he to whom it is payable, is to request the Merchant to give him a Note Bill is easufor the Payment, according to the Time limited in the Bill; otherwise ally lost, and there must be two Protosts, the one for Non-acceptance, and the other no new one for Non-payment; and tho' fuch Note be given, yet, if the Merchant can be had, happens to fail, there must be a Protest for the Non-payment, in order and the Party on whom to charge the Drawer.

it is drawn, does not in-

Aft on having the original Bill, but refuses Payment for another Reason; a Protest made on a Copy is sufficient. I Show. 164.

The Protest is usually made by some Publick Notary, and such Protest Molley 281. is, prima facie, good Evidence that the Bill was not accepted, or if ac-Skin. 272cepted, that it was not paid, and fufficient to put the Proof on the other Side.

And as, by the Custom of Merchants, Publick Notaries usually protest Comb. 153. Bills, it hath been held, that Pleading Protestavit seu Protestari causavit is fufficient; and that the Party may plead Protestavit, and give in Evidence that the publick Notary did it.

#### 2. At what Time to be made, and therein of giving Potice to the Drawer of the Drawee's Refusal, so as to intitie the Party to Principal, Interest and Costs.

A Protest on a foreign Bill of Exchange is absolutely necessary to in- Milloy 284. title the Party to recover against the Drawer, not only Interest and 1 Vent. 45. Costs, but likewise the principal Sum; and for this Purpose the Bill must Skin. 411. be prefented in a reasonable Time; and in Case of Resusal of Acceptance, or in Case the Drawee cannot be found, it must be protested in reasonable Time, and Notice of such Protest, as also Notice of a Protest after Acceptance and Non-payment given to the Drawer in a reasonable Time; for the drawer is bound to the Party to whom the Bill is payable, 'till Payment be actually made, yet it is with this Condition and Proviso, says Molloy, that Protest be made in due Time, and a lawful and ingenious Diligence used for the obtaining Payment of the Money; and the Reason hereof is, that the Drawer might have had Effects, or other Means of his, upon whom he drew, to reimburse himself the Bill, which fince, for Want of timely Notice, he hath remitted or loft, it were unreasonable the Drawer should suffer thro' his Neglect; but as to the exact Time herein, the Law hath not determined it, but the same is to be left to a Jury, who are to govern themselves according to the Customs of Merchants in these Cases, and the Usages of particular (b) Countries.

(b) It is faid, that in France

if a Bill be not prefented in 2 Months, the Drawer is not answerable, and in Holland in formany Posts. 1 Show. 165.

As to Inland Bills, tho' a Protest was not necessary by the Common  $6\,Mol.80, 9\,t$ Law, in order to fue the Drawer, and is only now necessary by the Sta- 1 Salk. 131. tute 9 & 10 W. and 3 & 4 Ain. ut supra, to intitle the Party to In-Comb. 384. terest and Costs; yet convenient Notice must be given by the Party, to Carth. 510 whom the Bill is payable, to the Drawer, of the Drawee's Resultal of Payment, and if any Damages accrue to the Drawer for Want of such Vol. III.

Notice, it must be born by the Person to whom the Bill is payable; but this also must be left to a Jury, who are to determine hercin, according to the Circumstances and the Customs of Merchants.

1 Salk. 12". Allen ver. Do. karra at Juildhall.

A. drew a Bill on B. payable in 3 Days, B. broke; the Person, to whom the Bill was payable, kept it by him 4 Years, and then brought Assump-lit against the Drawer; & per Treby, Ch. J. When one draws a Bill of Exchange, he subjects himself to the Payment, if the Person on whom it was drawn, refuses either to accept or pay; yet that is with this Limitation, that if the Bill be not paid in convenient Time, the Person to whom it is payable shall give the Drawer Notice thereof; for otherwise the Law will imply the Bill paid, because there is a Trust between the Parties; and it might be prejudicial to Commerce, if a Bill may rife up to charge the Drawer at any Distance of Time, when in the mean Time all Reckonings and Accounts are adjusted between the Drawer and Drawee.

Afolloy 284. the Day of Grace. 6 Mod. 136. ter cur

Merchants generally allow 3 Days after a Bill becomes due for the 1 Show. 164 Payment, and for Non-payment within 3 Days Protest is made, but is s. r. that the not fent away till the next Post after the Time of Payment is expired, and if Saturday be the 3d Day, no Protest is made till Monday.

Interest upon a Bill of Exchange commences from the Demand made; and therefore if there was no Demand made till Action brought, Defendant may plead Tender and Refufal, and uncore Prift, and fo discharge himself of Interest; but if it be the Defendant's Fault, that Demand could not be made, as if he were out of the Kingdom, there Want of Demand ought not to prejudice the Plaintiff.

#### 8. Of the Action and Remedy on a Bill of Exchange, and Manner of Declaring and Pleading therein.

Hard. 485 1 Mod. 281. 1 Vent. 152. 1 Lev 298 2 Keb. 695, 713, 758, 1 Salk. 125. 2 Lutw 1594. Skin. 255. 6 Mod. 129. 1 Vent. 153.

It feems to be agreed, that against the Drawer an Action of Debt, or a general Indebitatus Assumpsit will lie, for he having received the Money, the Law raises a Contract, and lays him under an Obligation to pay it; but it hath been adjudged, that neither an Action of Debt, nor an Indebitatus Assumpsit will lie against the Acceptor of a Bill of Exchange, and that therefore the Remedy against him must be by a special Action on the Case, founded on the Custom of Merchants; for the Acceptance is only a collateral Engagement to pay the Debt for another, in the same Manner as a Promise by a Stranger to pay, &c. if the Creditor will forbear his Debt.

(a) So if Goods delivered.

But tho' a general Indebitatus Assumpsit will not lie against the Acceptor of a Bill of Exchange, yet, if A. delivers Money to B. to pay over to C. and gives C. a Bill of Exchange drawn upon B. and B. accepts it, C. may have an *Indebitatus Affumpsit* against B. (a) as having received Money to his Use, but must not declare only upon the Bill of Exchange 1 Rol. Abr. 32. accepted.

Co. Lit. 182. 2 Inst 404. Yelv. 136. 4 Co. 76. Cro. Car. 301. Hard. 486. Salk. 125, 127. Lutw. 233. Carth. S3,

As to the Manner of declaring on a Bill of Exchange, this is faid to have varied the Declaration in some Cases being general, sometimes special, and laid with an express Promise, and at other Times without; but it feems to be now fettled, that the Custom of Merchants, concerning Bills of Exchange, being Part of the Common Law, of which the Judges will take Notice ex efficio, it is unnecessary to set forth the Custom specially in the Declaration, and that it is sufficient to say, that such a Perfon, according to the Usage and Custom of Merchants, drew the Bill.

5 Mod. 367. 1 Show. 127. 3 Mod. 226.

# Misnomer and Addition.

HE Names of Men, at this Day, are only Sounds for Distinction Sake, tho' perhaps they originally imported something more, as some natural Qualities, Features or Relations; but now there is no other Use of them, but to mark out the Families or Individuals we speak of, and to difference them from all others; since therefore they are the only Marks and Indicium of Things that human Kind can understand each other by, we must see what Certainty the Law requires herein, and what the Effects and Consequences are of the Omission of the Name, or false Specification of the Party; and this we shall do under the following Heads.

- (A) What Pames are the same, and may or may not be missaken.
- (B) What Pames and Additions are required by Law, and must be truly inserted: And herein,
  - 1. Of the Difference between the Christian Name and Surname.
  - 2. Of the Addition of the Estate or Degree.
  - 3. Of the Addition of the Mystery.
  - 4. Of the Addition of the Town, Hamlet, Place or County.
  - 5. Of Additions which are only Conveyances to the Action.
- (C) Where the Pame is truly put at first, and after-wards baried from.
- (D) Of the Difference between a Mistake in Grants, Obligations, &c. and Judicial Proceedings.
- (E) At what Time the Mistake must be taken Advantage of, and how the same is salved.
- (F) Of the Manner of taking Advantage of, and Pleadsing a Missiomer of Want of Addition.
- (G) Who may take Advantage thereof.

## (A) What Pames are the same, and may or may not be mistaken.

Cro Fac. 425. 2 Rol. Abr. Piers Griffith ver. Hugh Middleton.

F two Names are in an original Derivation the same, and are taken promiseuously to be the same in common Use, tho' they differ in Sound, yet there is no Variance; and therefore where Piers Griffith brought an audita Querela, to which an Outlawry was pleaded by the Name of Peter Griffith, the Plea was allowed; for it appears by Acts of Parliament, that Piers and Peter have been used promiseuously, as signifying the same Person. So Saunders and Alexander, Jane and Joan, Jean and John, Garret,

2 Rol. Abr.

2 Rol. Abr.

135. 1 Leon. 147. Gerat and Gerald, are the same Names.

But Ralph and Randall, Randulphus and Randalphus, Sibel and Isabella, have been held to be distinct Names; and so of others, in which there is a fubftantial Variance in Sound, Original, and common Use.

135. Palm. 71. 2 Rol. Abr.

Amendment.

2 Rol. Abr. 136. 3 Keb. 278. 1 Mod. 107.

So Agnes and Anne are different Names; and therefore if one declare against 7. S. and Agues his Wife, and on the Record of Nisi prius it is Vid. Head of Anne his Wife, this is a material Variance and not amendable.

If there are two English Names that are distinct, and one Latin Name for them both, such Name shall serve for both, as Jacobus for James and Jacob, altho' two distinct English Names.

## (B) What Names and Additions are required by Law, and must be truly inserted: And herein,

#### 1. Of the Difference between the Christian Name and Surname.

Orven 107. Dyer 279. 5 Co. 43. Poph. 57. Noy 135. Cro. Eliz. 57, 222.

Cro. Fac. 558, F the Christian Name be wholly mistaken, this is regularly satal to all 640. I legal Instruments, as well Declarations and Pleadings, as Grants and Obligations; and the Reason is, because it is repugnant to the Rules of the Christian Religion, that there should be a Christian without a Name of Baptism, or that such Person should have 2 Christian Names, since our Church allows of no Re-baptizing; and therefore if a Person enters into a Bond by a wrong Christian Name, he cannot be declared against by the Name in the Obligation, and his true Name brought in an Alias, for that supposes the Possibility of two Christian Names; and you cannot declare against the Party by his right Name, and aver he made the Deed by his wrong Name; for that is to fet up an Averment contrary to the Deed; and there is this Sanction allowed to every folemn Contract, that it cannot be opposed but by a Thing of equal Validity; and if he be impleaded by the Name in the Deed, he may plead that he is another Person, and that it is not his Deed.

But tho' Perfons cannot have two Christian Names at one and the same Time, yet they may, according to the Institution of the Church, receive one Name at their Baptism, and another at their Confirmation; for tho' it allows no Re-baptizing to make double Names, yet it doth not force was christen- Men to (a) abide by the Names given them by their Godfathers, when they come themselves to make Prosession of their Religion.

135. Judge Gawdy's Cafe, who ed by the Name of

Go. Lit 3. 2 Rol Abr.

(a) But a Person, by taking a new Name of Con-Themas, and confirmed by the Name of Francis. firmation, does not lose his Name of Baptism. 6 Mod. 115-6.

The

The Mistake of the Surname does not vitiate, because there is no Re- 3 H 6. 25. pugnancy that a Person should have different Surnames; and therefore if 2 Rol. Abr. John Gape enters into an Obligation by the Name of John Gate, he may 146. be impleaded by the Name in the Deed, and his real Name brought in by an Alias, and then the Name in the Deed he cannot deny, because he is eslopped to say any Thing contrary to his own Deed.

The Declaration must be of the Name in the Obligation, with an Alias Dyer 273. of the real Name; for the Declaration must shew the Cause of Com- 1 Euls. 216. plaint as it is; therefore it must in all Things follow the Obligation, and the Intent of the Alias is only to shew he has been differently called from the Name in the Obligation; and therefore, if a Man oblige himself by the Name of J. S. Esq; and afterwards he is made a Knight, the Plain-

tiff may declare against J. S. Knight, alias J. S. Esquire.

A Person cannot take Advantage of a mistaken Surname in an Indict- 2 Hawk. P. ment, either by Plea in Abatement or otherwife, notwithstanding such C. 233. Surname have no Affinity with his true one, and he was never known by it; and in this Respect an Indictment differs from an Appeal, whereof it is certain, that a Misnomer of a Surname may be pleaded in Abatement, as well as any other Misnomer whatsoever.

## 2. Of the Addition of the Effate og Begree.

It feems, that the Common Law in no Cafe required any other De- 2 Infl. 669. feription of a Person, than by his Christian Name and Surname, unless 2 Rol. Abr. he were of the Degree of a Knight or some higher Dignity; but the 469.

Names of Dignity were always required being Marks of Distinction in 1 Show. 392. Names of Dignity were always required, being Marks of Distinction impofed by publick Authority, and therefore make up the very Name of the Person to whom they are given, and they are of two Sorts; 1st, Such Marks of Distinction as exclude the Surname, so that the Persons may not feem to be of any common Family; and fuch are the Names of Earls, Dukes, &c. 2dly, Such Marks of Distinction as are also imposed by the supreme Power, and Parcel of the Name itself, but do not exclude the Surname, fuch as Knight, Baronet, &c. and these Marks of Distinction were always to be made use of as Part of the Name in all legal Proceedings; and so curious was the Law herein, that if a Plaintiff in any Action, gained a new Name of Dignity, hanging a Writ, he made it abatable; but this Inconvenience is remedied by I E. 6. cap. 7. feet. 3. by which it is enacted, 'That if any Plaintiff, in any Manner of Ac-' tion, shall be made a Duke, Archbishop, Marquels, Earl, Viscount, Baron, Bishop, (a) Knight, Justice of either Bench, or Serjeant at (a) But it I aw depending the same Action, that such Action for such Course that hath been Law, depending the same Action, that such Action for such Cause shall holden, that f not be abatable or abated.'

the Dignity of a Baro-

net is not within the Statute, because there was no such Dignity at the Time of the making of it. 1 Sid. 40. Lit. Rep. St. Cro. Car. 104.

But Names of Worship, such as Esq; Gentleman and Yeoman, since 2 Infl. 666. they are only Names of Distinction in popular Use, and not given by the publick Authority of the supream Power, the Law doth not count them Parcel of the Name, and therefore were not necessary at Common Law.

In the Time of H. 5. it was perceived, that the Christian and Sur- 2 Inft. 670. name were not sufficient Determinations of Persons, and did not sufficient Rep. ently avoid the Confusion that might happen by the Mistake of Persons; 225. and that an innocent Person might, upon a Process or Execution, be distrained upon having the same Name, with the real Defendant; and therefore by the 1 H. 5. cap. 5. It is enacted, 'That in every original Writ 6 of Actions, personal Appeals and Indictments, and in which the Exi-Vol. III.

gent shall be awarded, to the Names of the Defendants in such Writs

Original, Appeals and Indictments, Additions shall be made for their Estate or Degree, or Mystery, and of the Towns or Hamlets, or Places

' and Counties of the which they were or be, or in which they be or ' were converfant; and if by Process upon the said Original Writs, Ap-

peals or Indictments, in the which the faid Additions be omitted, any Outlawries be pronounced, that they be void, frustrate and holden for

' none; and that before the Outlawries pronounced, the faid Writs and

' Indictments shall be abated by the Exception of the Party, wherein

the faid Additions be omitted.

(a) But it is Fault to give

By this Law the Name of (a) Worship was made equally necessary in taid to be no these Actions, as the Name of Dignity was before.

an Eig, the Addition of Gentleman, & fie e converso. Bro. Addition 44.

z Inft. 665. 6 Alod. S5.

This Law doth not extend to the Names of Plaintiffs, for they were in no Mischief or Danger to be mistaken, nor does it extend to real or mixt Actions; because here the Possessors were impleaded who were sufficiently specified, and so no other Mark of Distinction is needful; befides, no Man can in the Process possibly be grieved, because there is no Process but of Distress upon the Land, and no (b) Imprisonment at all

(b) In an Affize, if the in these Actions.

Disseisin be

found with Force, so that a Capias pro Fine and Exigent lies for the King; yet, because the Original is in the Realty, the Defendant shall have no Addition within this Act. 2 Inst. 665. — So there needs none in an inferior Court where Process of Outlawry does not lie. Moor 354. pl. 478. — Nor needs there any in any Action where Outlawry does not lie. Bro. Addition 2.

> As to the Estate and Degree required by the Statute to be added, we must observe, that State is defined by the Civilians the Capacity of moral Persons; for, as natural Persons have a certain Space in which their natural Existence is placed, and in which they perform their natural Actions; so have Persons in a Community, a certain State or Capacity, in which they are supposed to exist, to perform their moral Acts and exercife all civil Relations; and therefore where one, who is neither by Birth, Office, Creation or Reputation, an Efq; or Gentleman, is named with either of these Additions; or where a Gentleman, by Birth, who follows a Trade or Husbandry, is named with the Addition of the Trade or Husbandry, and not of Gentleman; or where a Peer who has more than one Name of Dignity, is not named by the most noble; or where a Gentleman or Gentlewoman is named Spinster, or a Yeoman is named Gentleman; and such Matter is pleaded in Abatement, and found for the Person who pleads it; the Writ shall abate.

2 Hawk. P. C. 135.

2 Inft. 669.

It hath been adjudged to be a good Plea in Abatement to a Writ or Indictment against one by the Name of J. S. Knight, that he is a Baronet and no Knight.

Vit. 6 Mod. 105. Carth. 14. Fefferies ver Snow. Comb. 65. S. C.

Cra. Car. 371. 1 Fon. 346.

> So in Trespass against the Defendant by the Name of William Snow, Baronet, who pleaded in Abatement, that at the Time of the Bill purchased he was, and yet is a Knight and Baronet; and because he is not called Knight as well as Baronet, he prayed Judgment, &c. and upon Demurrer to this Plea, the Court were of Opinion that it was good.

1 Leon. 249. Cro. Eliz. 542.

So if a Man be impleaded by the Name of J. S. where he is Garter King at Arms; this is not good, because it is not only a Name of Office, but of Dignity and Grant, made to him by the Words, Creamus, Coronamus and Nomen imponimus, &c.

2 Inft. 666.

A Bishop may be described by the Name of his Bishoprick, without the Addition of his Surname; but a Parson must be impleaded by Christian and Surname, and not John, Parson of D. because Bodies Politick are founded by Publick Authority to Political Ends; therefore the Bishop, the Superintendant of the Diocese, is made a Body Politick to sub-

ferve all the Purpofes of Government in the Care of Religion; and it is

not thought necessary to give every Person such a Capacity.

A Bishop of an Irish Diocese may be as well described by the Addition 2 Inst. 668. of his Bishoprick, as an English Bishop may by the Addition of an En- Theol. lib. 6. gliss one; but it seems clear, that no one can be well described by the cap. 15. seet.

Addition of a Temporal Dignity in Ireland, or any other Nation, besides our own; because no such Dignity can give a Man a higher Title

here than that of Esquire.

The Degree of a Scrieant at Law is certainly a good Addition; and 2 Irst. 667. fo, as is generally holden, is a Degree in either University; yet a Doctor 2 Hawk P.C. in Divinity may be described by the Addition of Clerk, as well as by that of Doctor. Armiger, Generofus, Yeoman, Labourer, are good Additions of the Estate and Degree of a Man, but not for that of a Woman. Generefa. Widow, fingle Woman, Wife of J. S. Spinster, are good Additions of the Litate and Degree of a Woman; and, as some say, Spinster is a good Addition for the Estate and Degree of a Man; but neither Burgess, Citizen nor Servant, are good Additions, as being too general.

If several Defendants, of different Names, have the same Addition, it 1 Salk is safest to repeat the Addition after each Name; and if a Pather have 2 Hawk P.C. the same Name and Addition with his Son, the Writ against the Son is 187. abatable; unless the Addition of Puisne be added to the other Additions: But if a Father be a Defendant, there is no need of the Addition of Eigne: Also, if the Son be declared against in Custodia Marefeballi, there is no need of the Addition of Puisize, unless the Father be also in

the Custody of the Marshal.

It hath been held a fatal Fault, to apply the Addition to the Name 2 Leon. 183. which comes under the Alias dictus only, and not to the first Name; Cro. Eliz. 583. but it is said not to be material, whether any Addition be put to the Dier 88. Name which comes under the Alias dictus, or not; because what is so

expressed is not material.

The Additions of the Estate, Degree and Mystery of the Party are 2 Hauk P.C. not fufficient, unless they be the same which he had at the Time of the 187. Writ; and in this Respect such Additions differ from that of Place, which is fufficiently shewn, by naming the Defendant late of such a

Also, it must plainly appear that the Addition is referred to the Par- 2 Inft. 670. ty; and therefore it is not well expressed by the Addition of his My- 2 Hawk. P.C. ftery, naming him B. A. fonof A. of B. Butcher; because Butcher re-  $^{187}$ . fers to A. rather than to the Son.

P. C. 17-,

## 3. Of the Addition of the Mystery.

It feems agreed, that the Word Mystery includes all lawful Arts, Trades 2 Inft. 668. and Occupations; and that if one, under the Degree of a Gentleman, have divers of fuch Arts, Trades or Occupations, he may be named by

any of them.

The Additions of this Kind, which are faid to be clearly good, are 2 Hawk.P.C. those of Husbandman, Merchant, Broker, Taylor, Point-maker, Smith, 188.

Miller, Carpenter, Cook, Brewer, Baker, Butcher, Parish-Clerk, Mer-2 Hale, Hist.

P. C. 176. cer, Fishmonger, Dyer, Schoolmaster, Scrivener, and such like.

The Additions of this Kind, which are faid to be clearly infufficient, 2 Hawk. P.C. are those of Maintainer, Extortioner, Thief, Vagabond, Heretick, Com- 188.

mon Informer, and fuch like.

But the following Additions of this Kind are faid to be questionable: 2 Hawk P.C. 1st, Farmer; which by the better Opinion seems to be an insufficient 188 Addition; because if any Mystery be implied in the Notion of it, it is that of Husbandry, of which Husbandman is the proper Addition.

2 Hawk P C. veral Authorities there cited.

2dly, Chamberlain, Butler and Pantler; which are holden to be infuf-188. and fe-ficient Additions; because they denote only a special Kind of Officer or Servant; and imply nothing which, in the common Understanding of the Words, comes under the Notion of a Mystery; and from this Ground it feems to follow, that neither Groom nor Page are good Additions; and yet in some of the old Books they feem to have been so admitted.

2 Hacek. P.C. 158 9.

3dly, Hostler; which hath been holden to be a good Addition, and feems properly enough to come under the Notion of a Mystery; and tho' it hath been refolved, that any one who keeps an Inn, may be fued by the Addition of a Labourer, upon the Custom of the Realm, for Want of due Care of the Goods of his Guests; because whoever keeps a common Inn, is in that Respect liable to answer for such Defects, by whatfoever Addition he may be stilled; yet this does by no Means prove that fuch Person may not as well be sued by the Addition of Hostler, but only that he may be fued as well under any other Addition.

## 4. De the Addition of the Town, Hamlet, Place or County.

It is a good Addition of this Kind, to name the Party late of fuch 2 Hawk. P.C. a Town; in which Respect this Addition differs from that of the Estate, 2 Hale's Hift. Degree or Mystery; and it is said, that if a Defendant be named of P. C. 175.

A. and late of B. it is sufficient to prove either Addition.

2 Inft. 669. Dyer 213. Cro. 7ac. 167. 2 Hawk P.C. 189.

The Addition of Place is sufficiently shewn by naming the Defendant de Londino, or de Norwico; but not by naming him Londini or Bristolia, for that imports only that he belongs to fuch Town, but not that he lives there; nor by naming him of a Town which is not a County of it felf, without shewing the County. If it name him of a Parish which contains feveral Towns, he may plead such Matter in Abatement; for the Statute fays, that the Addition shall be of the Town or Hamlet; but a Parish shall be intended to contain no more than one Town, unless the contrary be shewn.

2 Hawk, P.C. 189.

If there be two Towns in a County, the one called Great Dale, the other Little Dale, and the Defendant be named only of Dale; he may plead, that there are two Dales in the County, called Great Dale and Little Dale, and none without an Addition; and as some say, he may plead that there is no fuch Town as Dale, either in this Cafe, or where there is but one Town called Little Dale, and he is named of Dale.

2 Hawk. P.C. 189.

If a Defendant live in a Hamlet, which is so far Part of a Town, that those who live in it are indifferently stiled sometimes of the Hamlet, and fometimes of the Town; it feems to be in the Election of the Plaintiff, to name him either of the Hamlet or of the Town.

2 Harvk. P.C.

If a Defendant live in a Place known by a special Name, out of a Town or Hamlet, he may be named of such Place.

2 Hawk. P.C. 189.

The Habitation of the Wife is fufficiently shewn by shewing that of the Husband.

#### 5. Df Additions which are only Conveyances to the Action.

Vide Tit. Executors and Administrators.

When any particular Character or Relation gives any Person Rights and Privileges, or makes him subject to any Burthen to demand the one or be liable to the other, the particular Character or Relation ought to be set forth; for fince it is the Cause of the Action, it must certainly be material; and therefore when Perfons sue or are sued, as Heirs, Executors or Administrators, they must be named as such, for these are neces-

fary

fary Conveyances or Inducements to the Action, which if mistaken is fatal.

But where the Inducement is not necessary, but Surplufage only, as Vide Tit. if an Action of Detinue of Charters be brought against 7. C. and the Heir and An-Writ is Præcipe J. C. filio & heredi of R. C. and he counts of cessor. a Bailment to the Desendant himself; the Desendant pleads, that he was Son and Heir to U'. C. and not to R. C. this is no good Plea, because he is charged with an Injury done by himself; but if he had been charged upon any Covenant of his Ancestors, as their Representative, there the Periphrasis, or Inducement, must have been rightly formed; for otherwise the Plaintiff doth not intitle himself to his Action; and there this had been a good • Plea.

If this Inducement be not at first in a Declaration, yet if it after-1 Saund 111, wards appears, that the Party is charged as Executor, this is sufficient; Dean ver. as if an Action of Covenant be brought against J. S. Executor, and he be not named at first J. S. Executor of the Last Will and Testament; but afterwards it is shewn, that the Testator did covenant and bind himfelf, his Executor, &c. and made J. S. his Executor, and died; and af-

figns a Breach; this is sufficient without a formal Nomination.

If an Action of Account be brought against a Parson, they need not 2 Inft. 666. call him Parson of Dale; but if an Assize be brought against a Parson or Prebend, for Land that he hath in Right of his Church, he must be named Parson or Prebend of the said Church.

So if an Attorney of the Common Pleas brings a Writ of Debt, he Vide Head of need not name himself Attorney; but if he brings a Writ of Privilege, Privilege. he ought.

### (C) Mahere the Name is truly put at first, and afterwards varied from.

THE Name must be truly put at first; for if that be omitted, there Cro. Eliz. 913. is a Complaint against no Person; therefore, where in an Assumptit Law ver. J. Law declares thus; J. L. queritur de Thom' Saunders, &c. cum in Saunders, consideratione quod idem J. L. would marry the Daughter of the said Thomas Saunders, super se assumptit to pay him 100 l. the Declaration is bad, who' after a Yardish have said to pay him 100 l. tho' after a Verdict; because it does not say, Prædict' Thom. Saunders super se, &c. for no Body is expressly charged with Assuming; and when it is indifferent, whether there can be an Injury, or no, it is not by the Court to be supposed.

But if the Plaintiff counts against J. S. quod præd' J. S. was seised of Cro. Eliz. 192. the Manor of Dale, without saying predist J. S. or de manerio prædist; Wattr's Cate. this after a Verdict, shall be taken to be so; for he being named to be seised, and this by Verdict being sound, it is necessary it should be intended J. S. mentioned, for here it cannot possibly be taken indisfe-

rently either Way. If J. W. declares against T. W. and the Judgment is Quod prædic? T. Hob 327. recuperet, T. shall be amended and made John; and Note, that by the Cro. Jac. 662, Statute 16 & 17 Car. 2. cap. 18. it is expressly provided, that Judgment Vide Tit. Ashall not be reversed for any Mistake in Christian Name, or Surname, in mendment any Declaration, Plaint or Pleading.

But this must be understood where the Record is before them, for o- Cro. Eliz. 459, therwise it may be very fatal to a just Cause; as if A. brings an As-Franson versumpsit against B. and declares he was Bail for him at the Suit of W. Ad-Delamere. derly; and the Defendant assumed to save him harmless, and that the Vol III.

Plaintiff was taken in Execution and paid the Debt; upon non Assimplet pleaded it was found, that the Defendant was arrested by the same William Adderly, but they declared against him by the Name of William Adderly, and the Plaintiff became Bail for him, &c. In this Case the Opinion of the Court was, that the Desendant was not chargeable; for Adderly and Adderly shall not be intended the same Person, at whose Suit the Plaintiff became Bail; for the Verdict hath no Credit against a Record, and therefore it cannot reconcile the Difference that appeared to be between the Records; but in this Case, if it had been before the Court, it might have been amended.

Ero. Eliz. \$65. Hob. 327. Cro. Fac. 632.

If the Surname in the Judgment differs from the Surname in the Declaration, yet it shall be amended; for in the Judgment the Christian Name need only be mentioned, and the Surname is redundant, and then utile per inutile non vitiatur; as if a Declaration be against John Morgan Wolf, and the Judgment be against John Morgan, this is well enough; so if a Declaration against Henry Skunner, and Judgment be entered quod Henricus Soiner recuperet 10 l. assessed by the Jury, and 5 l. eidem Hen-

rico Skinner de Incremento, this is well enough.

Cro. Eliz. 57. Deply ver. Sprat. The Variance of the Surname in the Process to the Sheriff destroys not the Verdict, otherwise it is in the Variance of the Christian Name; for, when any Man is named by two different Surnames on Record, it shall be intended he has two different Surnames, as by Law he may have; therefore if a Venire facias be to one by the Name of George Thompson, and in the Distringas he be named Gregory Thompson, and he appear and is sworn, the Verdict is not good; but if there be two different Surnames in the Record, they shall be intended his real Names, and then the Verdict shall not be avoided; as if a Man be named in the Venire facias, Thomas Barker of B. and in the Distringas, Thomas Carter of B. and he appears and is sworn, and tries the Issue, the Verdict is good notwithstanding.

1 Rol. Abr. 196. 2 Bulf. 18. Hob. 64. 1 Erownl. 174.

So if the Christian Name be wrong in the Distringas, or in the Panel returned, or in the Panel of the Jury sworn, if it can be proved to be the same Man that was intended to be returned in the Venire, having there his right Christian Name, it may be amended:

# (D) Of the Difference between a Mistake in Grants, Obligations, &c. and Judicial Prosectedings.

Co. Lit. 3. F the Christian Name be wholly mistaken, this, as has already been Dyer 279-pl 9. To observed, is not only satal in Judicial Proceedings, but also in Grants, Cro. Fac. 558. Obligations, &c. and therefore if Edward obliges himself by the Name of Edmund it is ill.

Ev. Lit. 3. But in Grants, &c. if there be fuch fufficient Marks of Distinction, 2 Rel. Abr. 43. that the Grant would be good without any Name at all, there a Mistake of the Christian Name or Surname, being only Surplusage, will not vitiate, according to the Rule Utile per inutile non vitiatur; and therefore a Grant to George, Bishop of Norwich, where his Name is John, or to Henry,

Earl of Pembroke, where his Name is Robert, is good.

2 Rol. Abr. 43. So a Grant to a Man and his Wife is good, without naming her by the Name of Baptism; so if a Grant be made to T. and Elen his Wife, where in Truth her Name is Emlyn, yet the Grant is good; for being called the Wife of T. reduces it to a sufficient Certainty.

So

0

So in a Devile, tho' the Christian Name be mistaken, yet, if there be I Leon 18. a sufficient Specification of the Party, the Devise is good; Lecause it must that Tit. be construed according to the Intent of the Devisor; and therefore if a Devisor. Devise be made to Abraham, the eldest Son of B. where his Name is William, this is a good Devife.

But in Pleading in these Cafes, the Christian Name ought to be shewn; Co. Lit. 3. for the Death of the Individual is a good Plca in Abatement, which often falls out where the same Office, Dignity or Relation continues in

If there be Father and Son of the same Name, and the Father grants Poli Selligi an Annuity by his Name without any Addition, it shall be intended the Grant of the Father; and if the Son, being of the same Name with his Father, grant an Annuity without any Addition, yet the Grant is good, for he cannot deny his own Deed.

If A. be created an Herald, and in the Patent he is called Chaffer, a 2Rd Abr 44. a Grant or Obligation made to him by the Name of Chefter is good, for

this fufficiently distinguishes him from other Men.

If a Grant be made to a Father and his Son, he having but one Son, Cro Ja. 374. the Grant is good for the apparent Certainty of it; but if the Father has feveral Sons, or if a Grant be made to a Man's Coufin or Friend, thefe are void for Uncertainty.

If 7. S. reciting by his Deed, that his Name is 7. S. by the same Deed Perk. Seed 40. grants an Annuity by the Name of Tho. S. this is a good Grant, for the

Writ shall be brought upon the whole Deed.

So if J. S. Knight, reciting by his Deed, that he is a Yeoman, Perk. Seff. 40.

grants an Annuity, the Grant is good.

A Grant to a Duke's eldest Son by the Name of a Marquess, or to the Carth. 440. eldest Son of a Marquess by the Name of an Earl, &c. is good, because

of the common Curtefy of England, and their Places in Heraldry.

So where a Conveyance was made of a Reversion to Ralph Evers, 1 Bull. 21. Knight, Lord Evers, and he brought an Action of Covenant, to which Cro. Car. 240. the Defendant pleaded, that at the Time of the Grant he was not Cog- Lord Evers nitus & reputatus per nomen Mil', and it was held to be no good Plea; for ver. Strickthe Person is sufficiently expressed by Lord Evers, and the Addition of land. Knight, tho' false, doth not take away the Description of the true Person.

Person.

But it was adjudged in C. B. and affirmed by 3 Judges in B. R. where 5 Mod. 297. the Party set forth his Title to an Advowson, by Virtue of Letters Pa. 2 Salk. 560. tent granted to A. tune Armigero & postea Militi, and upon Oyer of the Letters Patent it appeared, that the Grant was made to A. Knight, that of Chester, it could not be intended the same Person, because Knight is a Name of But Rokeby Dignity, but Armiger or Esquire a Name of Worship; and if he is af-Jullice terwards made a Knight, the Name of Esq; is thereby extinguished, and held, that he confequently that a Grant made by the King to A. Knight, when there by a Grant was no fuch Man a Knight, was a void Grant.

made unto

him by the Name of Knight, & sic vice versa, si constat de Persona, ut res magis valeat, &c. And Note; this Judgment was reversed in Parliament, because it was only a Mistake in the Pleader, the Party being in Truth a Knight at the Time of the Grant. Carth. 440.

### (E) At What Time the Mikake must be taken Advantage of, and how the fame is folved.

T feems agreed, that he who would take Advantage of a Misnomer, 1 Rol. Abr. or the Want of a proper Addition, must do it before he pleads to Issue; 780. for the Addition is ordained by the Statute, that the Party who happens Cro. Jac. 629.

2 Ro. Rep. 225. to be outlawed may have Notice; but if he appears and takes no Excep-Tohnfon's tion, constat de Persona, and he thereby waves any Benefit he may have 1 Hawk. P. by the Misnomer or Want of Addition.

2 Hal. Hift. P. C. 175. 1 Sid. 247. 1 Keb. 885. 1 Show. 394. Comb. 188.

Pafch. 7Geo. 2.

The Defendant was served with Process by the Name of Dubois, in B. R. Hal- Plaintiff entered an Appearance for him, and obtained Judgment by Decock ver. Du- fault; and on Motion to set aside the Judgment, upon an Assidavit that his Name was Davois, the Court refused it, and said, that such Kind of Motions would destroy all Pleas in Abatement; since the late Act enabling the Plaintiff to appear for the Defendant, his Appearance by the Name of Dulois is the same, as if entered by the Defendant himself.

### (F) Of the Hanner of taking Advantage of, and Pleading a Misnomer of Want of Ad= dition.

LTHO' a Defendant may, by pleading in Abatement, take Ad-9H. 5.1. pl. 3. A vantage of a Missonner when there is a Missake in the Writ or De-(a) That the claration, as to the Name of Baptism or (a) Surname, yet in such a fasest Way in Plea he must set forth his right Name, so as to give the Plaintiff a better CriminalCa-fes, is to al-

low the Par ty's Plea of Misnomer, both as to his Surname and as to his Christian Name; for he that pleads Misnomer for either, must in the same Plea set forth what his true Name is, and then he concludes himself; and if the Grand Jury be not discharged, the Indistment may presently be amended by the Grand Jury, and returned according to the Name he gives himself. 2 Hal. Hist. P. C. 176.—That the Party accused may take Advantage of the Missoner, or Want of Addition, but yet must plead over to the Felony; but tho' such Plea be found for him, he is not to be discharged, but must be indisced over again; neither shall such Plea, if found against him, be peremptory, but he shall be tried on his Plea in chief. 2 Hawk. P. C. 367.

Gouldsb. 86. Skin. 620.

Also he who pleads in Abatement, must not only set forth his right 1 Salk. 6, 63. ealled at the Time of the Purchase of the Writ. Name, but must also alledge, that by such Name he was known and

I Hal. Hift. P. C. 175.

He, who will take Advantage of the Misnomer of his Christian Name, Addition, or Surname, must do it upon his Arraignment, and the Entry must be special, viz. Super quo venit Robertus Williams, qui indictatus est per nomen Johannis Williams, & dicit quod ubi in indictamento supponitur quod quidam Johannes Williams vi & armis, &c. Ipsius nomen est Robertus & non Johannes; for if he should say, venit prædictus Johannes Williams, he concludes himself, and cannot plead that his Name is Robert.

Carth. 207. Tallant ver. Germyn.

So where the Defendant pleaded Misnomer in Abatement in this Form, & pradict 7. Germyn (with an n at the End) venit & defend', &c. & dicit, that his Name is Germy (without an n) and not Germyn prout, &c. and upon Demurrer to this Plea it was adjudged against him; for that he had admitted his Name to be Germyn, by his appearing and making Defence by that Name; but that if he would have taken Advantage of the Mifnomer, he should have pleaded in this Manner, & preditt Johannes Germy, qui per nomen J. Germyn superius implacitatur, venit & dicit quod, and for this Default a Respondens Ouster was awarded.

Mich. 9. Geo. 2. in E. R. Hamber-Gen ver. Cotteral.

So where the Defendant was fued by the Name of Edward Cotteral, and pleaded in Abatement that his Name was John, but introduced his Plea, and the aforefaid —— Cotteral (leaving out his Christian Name) comes and defends the Force and Injury, when and fo forth; and it was

held, that the Defendant faying & prædist' ---- Cotterel, must be understood & pradict Edwardus Cetteral, by which he confesses his Name to be Edward; and if he would have taken Advantage of the Missioner, he should have said & pradict febaunes, who was such by the Name of Edward.

If there be a Mistake in the Christian Name and Surname, the De- TransoGeo: fendant may take Advantage of both, and his Plea on that Account shall in B. R. not be held to be double; as where Trover was brought against the De-Read ver fondant by the Name of Christopher Mature, and by pleaded in Alexanders. fendant by the Name of Christopher Mature, and he pleaded in Abatcment, that his Name was John Metter, and that he was known by that Name; absque boc, that he was named by the Name of Christopher Miture; and on Demnrrer to this Plea, because of Duplicity, and because no Venue was laid where he was baptized, it was held, ift, That there being a Mistake in both Names, the Defendant could not take Advantage thereof, in a better Manner than he has done; for he is not bound to admit one of the Names right, which if he did, he would not then give the Plaintiff a better Writ, the Prienomen and Cognomen being only one Description of the same Person; and tho' there is no Precedent, where Misnomer has been pleaded both in the Christian Name and Surname, yet that may be because it is a Matter that has rarely happened; and for this were cited I Lutw. 10. Thom. Ent. 1. I Salk. 6. zdly, That there was no Necessity of laying a Venue, this being a Matter relating to the Person, which must be tried where the Action is laid; and for this were cited Raft. Ent. 29. Hern's Plead. 9. 1 Salk, 6. 6 Mod. 115.

### (G) Tho may take Advantage thereof.

THE Defendant, tho' his Name is mistaken, is not obliged to take

(a) Advantage of it; and therefore if he be impleaded by a wrong (a) f. Vill.urs, who pretends the may plead in who pretends. Name, and afterwards impleaded by his right Name, he may plead in ed himself Bar the former Judgment, and aver, that he is una & cadem persona.

to be Earl

ham, was arrested by the Name of J. Villars, Armiger; and, on Motion, the Court gave him Leave to put in Bail, without joining in the Recognizance, and thereby not estop himself. 1 Salk. 3, 7. Farest. 38.

So if a Person be indicted and acquitted of a Crime, and afterwards 2 Hawk. P.C. he is indicted for the same Offence, in which second Indictment the 369. Crime is described to be the same in Substance with some Variation of the Name, Addition, &c. he may make good the Variance, by averring, that he was the same Person meant in both.

If a Person killed be described by his proper Name and Surname in 2 Hawk. P.C. the first Indictment, and by a different Surname in the second, such Va- 369. riance may also be help'd, by an Averment, that the Person so differently named was one and the same Person; to which it is advisable to add, that he was known as well by the Name in the first, as by that in the second Indictment.

If a Defendant appear gratis, and by Attorney, to an Information, he 2 Hawk P.C. may plead a Misnomer in Abatement, as well as if he had appeared in 367. Person; for if he be not the Person intended, his Plea may be rejected, and Judgment figned by Nibil dicit; but the Attorney General, by accepting his Plea, admits him to be the Defendant, and shall not after-Vol. III.

7. U wards

wards fay, that it doth not appear but that the Plea might be put in by a Stranger.

1 Lutw. 36.

One Defendant cannot plead Misnomer of his Companion; for the other Defendant may admit himself to be the Person in the Writ.

2 Hale's Hift. P. C. 177.

So if several Persons be indicted for one Offence, Misnomer, or Want of Addition of one, quasheth the Indictment only against him, and the Rest shall be put to answer; for they are in Law as several Indictments.

## Monopoly,

- (A) Monopoly, what it is, and how refrained by the Common Law.
- (B) How rectrained by Statute.

### (A) Monopoly, what it is, and how restrained by the Common Law.

3 Inft. 181. Noy 182. (a) Monopoly and Ingroffing differ only in this, that the first is by Patent

Monoply is described by my Lord Coke to be an Institution or Allowance by the King by his (a) Grant, Commission or otherwife, to any Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate, of or for the fole Buying, Selling, Making, Working or Using of any Thing, whereby any Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate, are fought to be restrained of any Freedom or Liberty they had before, or hindered in their lawful Trade.

from the King, the other by Act of the Subject, between Party; and Party; but are both equally injurious to Trade, and the Freedom of the Subject, and therefore are equally restrained by the Common Law. Skin. 169.

1 Hawk. P. C. 231. Townsend's Collection of Proceedings in Par-

And therefore all Grants of this Kind, relating to any, known Trade, are made (b) void by the Common Law; as being against the Freedom of Trade, and discouraging of Labour and Industry, and restraining Persons from getting an honest Livelihood by a lawful Employment, and putting of it in the Power of particular Perfons to fet what Prices they liament 244, please on a Commodity; all which are manifest Inconveniencies to the Publick.

(b) And it is held to be further restrained by the Common Law, by subjecting those who are guilty thereof to a Fine and Imprisonment for the Offence, as being Malum in fe, and contrary to the antient and fundamental Laws of the Kindom; and it is said, that there are Precedents of Prosecutions of this Kind. in former Days. 3 Inst. 181. 2 Inst. 47, 61.

And upon this Ground it hath been refolved, that the King's Grant 2 Role Alive to any particular Corporation, of the fole Importation of any Merchan- 214 dize, is void, whether fuch Merchandize be prohibited by Statute or not.  $\frac{3}{2} \frac{\ln \theta}{\ln \theta}$ ,  $\frac{182}{61}$ .

Hence also it seems, that the King's Charter, impowering particular Raym. 480. Persons to trade to and from such a Place, is void, so far as it gives 2 Chan. Ca. fuch Persons an exclusive Right of trading, and debarring all others; 165. and it seems now agreed, that nothing can exclude a Subject from Trade, S.inds ver. but an Act of Parliament. Company. Skin. 165, 226, 234. 3 Atod. 126

Also it hath been adjudged, that the King's Grant of the sole Making, 11 Co. 84. Importing and Selling of playing Cards, is void; notwithstanding the Moor 671.

Pretence, that the Playing with them is a Matter meerly of Pleasure and 2 Infl. 4. Recreation, and often much abused; and therefore proper to be restrained; for fince the Playing with them is, in it self, lawful and innocent, and the Making of them an honest and laborious Trade, there is no more Reason why any Subject should be hindered from getting his Livelihood by this than any other Employment.

And for the like Reasons also it hath been resolved, that the Grant of 2 Rel Abr. the fole Ingrossing of Wills and Inventories in a Spiritual Court, or of 214. the fole Making of Bills, Pleas and Writs in a Court of Law, to any 1 fon. 231 3 Mod. 75.

particular Person, is void.

But it feemeth clear, that the King may, for a reasonable Time, make Noy 182. a good Grant to any one of the fole Use of any Art invented, or first 1 Hawk. P.C. brought into the Realm, by the Grantee.

Also it seems to be the better Opinion, that the King may grant to particular Persons the sole Use of some particular Employments; (as of 3 Keb. 792. (a) Printing the Holy Scriptures, and Law-Books, &c.) whereof an un- 3 Mod. 75. restrained Liberty might be of dangerous Consequence to the Publick. (a) The Reasons

hereof given are, that the Invention of Printing was new; that it concerned the State, and was Matter of Publick Care; that it was in the Nature of a Proclamation, and none could make Proclamations but the King; that as to Law-Books, the King has the Making of Judges, Scrieants and Officers of Law; that they are printed in a particular Language and Character, with Abbreviations, &c. Vide 2 Chan. Ca. 67. Skin. 234.

### (B) How restrained by Statute.

**B** Y the 21 fac. 1. cap. 3. it is declared and enacted, c That all Monopolies, and all Commissions, Grants, Licences, Charters and Letters Patents to any Person or Persons, Bodies Politick or Corporate whatfoever, of or for the fole Buying, Selling, Making, Work-6 ing or Using of any Thing within this Realm or Wales, or of any other Monopolies, and all Proclamations, Inhibitions, Restraints, Warrants of Affistance, and all other Matters whatsoever, any way tending to the Instituting, Strengthening, Furthering or Countenancing of the same, or any of them, are altogether contrary to the Laws of this Realm, and so are and shall be utterly void, and of none Effect, and in no wife to be put in Ure and Execution.

And Sect. 2. 'That all Persons, Bodies Politick and Corporate whatfoever, shall be disabled and uncapable to have, use, exercise or put in

• Ure any Monopoly, or any fuch Commission, Grant or Licence, &c. or 6 other Thing tending as aforefaid, or any Liberty, Power or Faculty,

grounded or pretended to be grounded upon them, or any of them.

And it is further declared and enacted, Sed. 3. 'That all Monopolies.

' and all fuch Commissions, Grants and Licences, &c. and all other 'Things tending as aforefaid, and the Force and Validity of them

ought to be, and shall be examined, heard, tried and determined by and according to the (a) Common Laws of this Realm, and not

(a) In the Construction otherwise.

hereof it is held by my Lord Coke, that all Matters of this Kind ought to be tried in the Courts of Common Law only, and not at the Council-Table, or in the Court of Chancery, or any other Court of like Nature. 3 Inft. 182. But for this, vide Jurisliction of the Court of Chancery, Tit. Courts and their Furifdiction.

> And it is further enacted, Sect. 4. 'That if any Person shall be hin-' dered, grieved, disturbed, or disquieted, or his Goods or Chattels any way feiled, attached, distrained, taken, carried away or detained by 6 Occasion or Pretext of any Monopoly, or of any such Commission, 6 Grant or Licence, &c. other Matter or Thing, tending as aforesaid, and 6 will sue to be relieved in any of the Premisses, he shall have his Ree medy for the same at the Common Law, by Action grounded on the 6 faid Statute, to be heard and determined in the King's Bench, Com-6 mon Pleas or Exchequer, against the Party by whom he shall be so ' hindered or grieved, &c. or by whom his Goods shall be so seifed or 6 attached, &c. wherein every fuch Person, which shall be so hindered or grieved, &c. or whose Goods shall be so seised or attached, &c. 6 shall recover three Times so much as the Damages which he sustained by Means of such Hinderance, &c. and double Costs; and in such Suits, or for the Staying or Delaying thereof, no Essoin, Protection, Wager of Law, Aid Prayer, Privilege, Injunction, or Order of Reftraint shall be in any wife prayed, granted, admitted or allowed, nor sany more than one Imparlance; and if any Person shall, after Notice be that the Action depending is grounded upon the faid Statute, cause or s procure any Action at the Common Law grounded thereon to be flayed or delayed before Judgment, by Colour or Means of any Order, Warrant, Power or Authority, fave only of the Court wherein fuch Action shall be depending; or after Judgment shall cause or procure the Execution to be stay'd or delayed by Colour or Means of any Order, Warrant, Power or Authority, save only by Writ of Error or Attaint, that then the said Person or Persons so offending shall incur a Præ-· munire.

3 Inft. 183.

ly brought

It is faid, that the first Branch of this last Clause, relating to the Delay of Causes of this Kind before Judgment, not only extendeth to the Privy Council, Chancery, Exchequer Chamber, and the like, but also to those who shall procure any Warrant from the King for such Purpose; and it is faid, that the latter Branch, relating to the Delaying of Execution after Judgment, extendeth even to the Judges of the Court where the Cause is depending.

But it is provided, Sef. 6. 'That no Declaration, in the Statute men-

stioned, shall extend to any Letters Patents, and Grants of Privilege for the Term of fourteen Years, or under, of the fole Working or ' Making of any Manner of (b) new Manufactures within this Realm, factures new. 6 to the true and first Inventor and Inventors of such Manufactures, 6 which others, at the Time of making fuch Letters Patents and Grants, ' shall not use; so as also they be not contrary to the Law, nor mischie-Realm from beyond Sea vous to the State, by raising Prices of Commodities at home, or

are included, 'Hurt of Trade, or generally inconvenient; the faid fourteen Years to tho they be accounted from the Date of the first Letters Patents, or Grant of had been long practifed there before; for the Statute speaks of new Manufactures within this Realm, and was made to encourage new Devices useful to the Kingdom; and whether learned by Travel or Stu-

dy, it is the same Thing. 2 Salk. 447.

6 fuch

' fuch Privilege, but that the fame shall be of fuch Force, as they should

be if the faid Act had never been made, and of none other.

It hath been refolved, that no new Invention, concerning the Working 3 Inft. 184. of any Manufacture, is within the Meaning of this Exception, unless it be fubstantially new, and not barely an additional Improvement of an

Alfo it hath been holden, that a new Invention to do as much Work 3 Inft. 1841 in a Day by an Engine, as formerly used to imploy many Hands, is not within the faid Exception; because it is inconvenient, in turning fo many labouring Men to Idleness.

Also it seems clear, that no old Manufacture, in Use before, can be 3 lnst 1843

prohibited in any Grant of the fole Use of any such new Invention.

And it is farther provided, Sect. 7. That nothing in the said Act
contained shall extend to any Grant or Privilege, Power or Authority whatfoever, before the faid Act made, granted, allowed or confirmed by any Act of Parliament, fo long as the same shall continue in Force.

Provided also, Sett. 9. 'That nothing in the said Act contained shall be in any wife prejudicial to any City, Borough or Town Corporate within this Realm, concerning any Grants, Charters, or Letters Parents, to them made, or concerning any Custom used by or within them, or unto any Corporations, Companies or Fellowships of any Art, Trade, Occupation or Mystery, or to any Companies or Societies of Merchants within this Realin, erected for the Maintenance, Enlargement or or-dering of any Trade or Merchandize, but that the same Charters, Customs, Corporations, &c. and their Liberties and Immunities shall be of fuch Force and Effect, as they were before the making of the faid ' Act, and of none other; any Thing before in the faid Act contained to

the contrary in any wife notwithstanding.

And it is further provided, Sect. 10. That nothing in the said Act contained shall extend to any Letters Patents, or Grants of Privilege concerning Printing, nor to any Commission, Grants, or Letters Patents concerning the Digging, making or compounding of Salt-Peter or Gun-Powder, or the casting or making of Ordnance, or Shot for Ordnance; nor to any Grant or Letters Patents of any Office, erected before the making of the said Statute, and then in Being and put in Execution, other than such Offices as had been decried by Proclamation; but that all such Grants, &c. shall be of the like Force and Effect, and no other, as if the said Act had never been made.

But'it is enacted by 16 Car. 1. cap. 21. 'That it fhall be lawful for 6 all Persons, as well Strangers as natural-born Subjects, to import any Quantities of Gun-Powder whatsoever, paying such Customs and Du-ties for the same as by Parliament shall be limited; and that it shall be lawful for all his Majesty's Subjects of this his Realm of England, to make and sell any Quantities of Gun-Powder at his Pleasure, and also to bring into this Kingdom any Quantities of Salt-Peter, Brimstone, or any other Materials for the making of Gun-Powder; and that if any Person shall put in Execution any Letters Patents, Proclamations, · Edict, Act, Order, Warrant, Restraint, or other Inhibition whatso-' ever, whereby the Importation of Gun-Powder, Salt-Peter, Brimstone, or other the Materials aforementioned, shall be any ways prohibited or

restrained, shall incur a Præmunire.

And it is further provided by the faid Statute of 21 Jac. 1. cap. 3. Sect. 11, 12. 'That nothing in the faid Act contained, shall extend to any Commission or Grant concerning the Digging, compounding or making of Allum or Allum Mines, &c. nor concerning the licencing of
the keeping of any Tavern or selling of Wines, to be spent in the
Mansion-House, or other Place in the Tenure or Occupation of the \* Party felling the same; and a further Provision is made in the latter Vol. III. 7 X \* Part

### Mörtgage.

Spart of the Statute, for some particular Grants to particular Corpora-

fions and Persons, as Newcastle upon Tine, &c.'

But it is faid, that the faid Clause relating to Allum was needless; because all such Mines belong of Course to the Persons in whose Grounds they are, and therefore no Privilege concerning them can be granted but in the King's own Ground.

### Moztgage.

- (A) Of the Dziginal and seberal Kinds of Moztgages.
- (B) What thall be deemed a Moztgage, oz an Ettate redeemable.
- (C) Of the Pature of a Mortgage, as to the diffinit Instreets of the Mortgagor and Mortgagee.
- (D) Of the legal Performance of the Condition.
- (E) Of the Equity of Redemption and Foreclosure: And herein,
  - 1. Who may redeem, and by whom the Mortgage Money fhall be paid.

2. To whom the Mortgage Money shall be paid.

3. Of the Precedency and Right of Redemption, where there are feveral Mortgagees or Incumbrancers; and therein of their Remedies against each other, as well as against the Mortgagor.

4. How far the Purchasing in a precedent Mortgage or Incumbrance will protect such Purchasor, and intitle him to

a Precedency of Redemption.

5. Of the Equity which must be done by him, who would redeem, to the Person against whom a Redemption is prayed.

6. At what Time the Redemption must be.

- 7. Of the Manner of Redeeming and Foreclosing.
- (F) Mortgagees and their Allignees, how to account, and what Allowances to make.

### (A) Of the Diginal and several Kinds of Mortgages.

HE Notion of Mortgaging and Redemption feems to be of Cumaus 11, Jewish Extraction, and from them derived to the Greeks and 12. Romans; the Plan of the Mofaick Law constitutes a just and equal Agrarian, that the Lands may continue in the same Tribes and Families, and the People might not be diverted by any exotick Acts and Inventions from the Exercise of Agriculture, in which innocent Employment they were to be continually educated; and therefore whoever were compelled by Want to fell, could transfer no Estate in the Lands, farther than to the next general Jubile, which returned once in 50 Years; wherefore they computed till the Jubile, and according to the Distance from thence, such was the Interest that could be transferred to the Buyer; but the Vendor had Power at any Time to redeem, paying the Value of the Lands to the Jubile; but tho' he did not redeem at the Year of Jubile, yet the Lands came back again free to the Vendor and his Heirs.

But our Notion of Mortgaging and Redemption feems to have come Justin. 592-more immediately from the Civil Law, and therefore it will be necessary herein to confider the Distinctions in that Law between Pledges and Things hypothecated.

The Pignus or Pledge was, when any Thing was obliged for Money

lent, and the Possession passed to the Creditor.

The Hypotheca was, when the Thing was obliged for Money lent, and Vid. Tit. the Possession remained with the Debtor. Now in Case of Goods pigno-Bailment. rated, the Creditor was obliged to the same Diligence in keeping them, as he used about his own; so that if the Goods were lost by the Negligence of the Creditor, an Action lay as for a Depolit; for the Property being transferred to the Creditor for a particular Purpose, he was to keep them as his own.

If the Debtor did not redeem the Thing pledged, the Creditor was Digeft. Lib. to foreclose the Redemption of the Debtor; and if the Money was not 20. Tit. 6. paid, the Creditor had his Aslio Pignoritia, or Hypothecaria, which, when Corvin. 269, he had pursued, and obtained Sentence thereon, he might sell as his own 270-271. Property; but there was this Difference between the Actio Pignoritia and Hypotheearia; that the Asio Pignoritia was only on the Person of the Debtor to foreclose him, because the Pignus was already in the Possession of the Creditor; but the Actio Hypotheearia was tam in rem, quam in Per-fonam, and was given ad Pignus Prosequendum contra quemcunque Possessorem; because herein the Creditor had not the Possession of the Pledge, but it remained to the Debtor; and 'till Sentence was obtained in these Actions, the Creditor could not obtain the Property of the Pledge; and if the Money was paid before Sentence, the Pledge was subject to Redemption; and where the same Thing was pledged to several, those were faid to be Potiores in Pignore, to whom the Things were first hypothecated.

If the Money was tendered or paid to the Creditor, the Contract of Digest. Lib. Pignoration was diffolved, and the Debtor might have the Pledge back, 20. Tit 6 as a Thing lent; fo that feems to have introduced the Notion among us of the Debtor's Right to Redemption, and with them the Usucaption, or the Right of Prescription, did not extinguish the Pledge, unless a Stranger had held it for 30 Years, or the Debtor had held it for 40

In the Feudal Law the Rule was, Feudalia, invito Domino, aut Agnatis, Corvin. 268 non recle subjiciuntur Hypotheca, quamvis fructus posse effe receptum est, and

the Reason of this Rule was, because the Feud was filled with a Tenant from the Lord's original Bounty, on whom he depended for his perfonal Service in War and Peace; and therefore the Feudiary could not obtrude a Tenant on him without his Leave, who might be less capable of those Services; and therefore as the Tenant could not originally alien without Licence, fo he could not mortgage.

But when a Licence of Alienation was given about the Time of H. 3. and it became a Maxim in Law, that the Purity of a Fee Simple imported a Power of disposing of it as the Owner pleased; there were two Ways of mortgaging Lands introduced, which Littleton distinguishes by

the Names of Vadium Vivum and Vadium Mortuum.

Co. Lit. 205. Vid. Madd. formatur. 136.

The Vadium Vivum is, where a Man borrows 100 l. of another, and makes an Estate of Lands to him, 'till he hath received the said Sum of the Issues and Profits of the Lands; and it is called Vadium Vivum, because neither the Money nor the Land dieth; for the Lands are conflantly paying off the Money, and the Lands are not left as a dead Pledge, in Case the Money be not paid. This seems to have been the antient Way of pledging Lands; for they held, that Lands could not be hypothecated; and therefore they used to subject the Usufructus, which continued originally during the Life of the Feudiary; but when there was a free Liberty given of Alienation, then the Feudiary could pledge the Ufu/ructus of the Land at pleasure; but because in this Way of Pledging, the Lender received his Money by Degrees, and in small Parcels, which was very troublesome; and those that put Money to Usury, are generally willing to receive the Whole in a groß Sum; and therefore this Way of Pledging is now out of use.

Lit. Sect. 332.

The Vadium Mortuum is so called by Littleton, because it is doubtful, Co Lit. 205, whether the Feoffor will pay the Money at the Day limited or not; and if he do not pay, then the Land, which is but in Pledge upon Condition, for the Payment of the Money, is taken from him for ever, and fo dead to him; and if he do pay it, then the Pledge is dead to the Tenant of the Land.

Maddox 318, 319.

Of these Mortgages there are again two Sorts; 1st, Of the Freehold

and Inheritance; and 2dly, Of Terms for Years.

1/2, Of the Freehold and Inheritance, and here the ancient Way was to make a Charter of Feoffment, on Condition, that if the Feoffor, or his Heirs, paid the Sum to the Feoffee or his Heirs, he should re-enter and reposses; and sometimes the Condition was contained in the Charter of Feoffment, and sometimes it was defeazanced by another Charter, as may be feen in the old Forms.

Co. Lit. 226,

227.

For as a Man might annex a Condition to his Feoffment, for cujus eft dare, ejus est deponere, so he might annex a Condition by another Deed, bearing Date and executed at the fame Time; for being executed at the same Time, it is really but one and the same Disposition, que incontinenti funt inesse videntur; but a Deseazance or Condition annext after the Feoffment executed comes too late; because the Livery Corani Paribus attesting the Infeudation, in which there is no Condition, the Tenant must hold the Land according to the Tenure of the Investiture; but Rents, Annuities or Warranties, that are Things executory, may be defeated by Defeafances made at the Time of their Creation, or any Time after; because there is not any Necessity of the Notoriety of Livery to make an Investiture; and therefore being created by Deed only, they may be defeated or destroyed by Deed alone.

Co. Lit. 221, 222.

These Sorts of Conveyances were subject to these Inconveniencies; that if the Money were not paid at the Day, fo that the Estate became absolute, the Estate was thenceforth subject to the Dower of the Feossee, and all other his real Charges and Incumbrances; for the' if the Feoffer performed the Condition, then he might re-enter, and re-possess himself in his former Estate, and consequently was in above all the Charges and

Incum-

Incumbrances of the Feoffee; yet, if he did not literally perform the Condition, by Payment of the Money at the Day, then the Estate was legally subject to the Charges and Incumbrances of the Feoffee, tho' the Money were afterwards paid, and the Estate re-conveyed to the Feosffor.

But the Courts of Equity, as they grew in Power, have fet this Matter Hard. 465. right, and have maintained the Right of Redemption, not only against Tenant in Dower, and the Persons who come in under the Feossee, but even against the Tenant by the Curtefy, and Lord by Escheat, that are in the Post; because the Payment of the Money doth, in the Consideration of Equity, put the Feoffor in statu quo, fince the Lands were origi-

nally only a Pledge for the Money lent.

As to Mortgages by Way of creating Terms, this was formerly by Way of Demife and Re-demife. As for Example; A. borrowed Money of B. thereupon B. would demise the Land to A. for a Term of 500, &c. Years absolutely, with common Covenants against Incumbrances, and for farther Assurance, and then A would the Day after re-demise to B for 499 Years, with Condition, to be void on Nonpayment of the Money at the Day to come; this Manner of Mortgaging came in after the 21 H. 8. for falfifying Recoveries, when there was a fixed Interest settled in Terms for Years; and was esteemed best for the Mortgagor, to avoid all Manner of Pretension from the Incumbrances and Dower of the Feoffee in Mortgage; and was reputed best for the Mortgagee, to avoid the Wardship and Feudal Duties of the Tenure, and was only inconvenient in this; that if the 2d Deed were lost, there appeared to be an absolute Term in the Mortgagee.

And this is now the common Method, viz. By a Demise of the Land for a Term, under a Condition to be void on the Payment of the Mortgage Money and Interest; and a Covenant is inserted at the End of such Deeds, that till the Default shall be made in the Payment of the Money, that the Mortgagor shall receive the Rents, Issues and Profits without

This has been ruled to create a Tenancy at Will to the Mortgagor; Raym. 147. but if the Mortgagor dies, the Tenancy at Will is determined, 'till there is a Receipt of Interest from the Heir, which seems to make him also

Tenant at Will to the Mortgagee.

But now the last and best Improvement of Mortgages seems to be, that in the Mortgage Deed of a Term for Years, or in the Affignment thereof the Mortgagor should covenant for himself and his Heirs, that if Default be made in the Payment of the Money at the Day, that then he and his Heirs will, at the Costs of the Mortgagee and his Heirs, convey the Freehold and Inheritance of the mortgaged Lands to the Mortgagee and his Heirs, or to fuch Person or Persons (to prevent Merger of the Term) as he or they shall direct and appoint; for the Reversion, after a Term of 50 or 100 Years, being little Worth, and yet the Mortgagee for Want thereof, continuing but a Termor and subject to Forseiture, &c. and not capable of the Privileges of a Freeholder; therefore where the Mortgagor cannot redeem the Land, 'tis but reasonable the Mortgagee should have the whole Interest and Inheritance of it, to dispose of as absolute Owner.

### (B) Withat thall be deemed a Mortgage, or an Estate redecinable.

1 Vern. 183. 268, 394. 95.

EREIN we may observe in general, that whatever Clauses or Co-I venants there are in a Conveyance, tho' they feem to import an Preced. Chan absolute Disposition or conditional Purchase; yet, if upon the Whole, it appears to have been the Intention of the Parties, that fuch Conveyance should only be a Mortgage, or pass an Estate redeemable, a Court of Equity will always conftrue it fo.

1 Vern. 33, 2 Chan. Ca. 147. S. C. Howard ver. Harris.

As where the Condition of a Mortgage is, that the Mortgagor should redeem during his Life, or that the Mortgagor and the Heirs of his Body should redeem, yet Equity will admit the general Heir of such Mortgagor to a Redemption; because this can be no Purchase, since there is a Clause of Redemption; and when the Land was originally only a Pledge for Money, if the Frincipal and Interest be offered, the Land is free; and it would be very hard, that it should be in the Power of the Scrivener, or griping Usurer, by such impertinent Restrictions, to elude the Justice of the Court.

1 Vern. 193.

But if a Man borrows Money of his Brother, and agrees to make him per North, L. a Mortgage, and that if he has no Issue male, his Brother should have the Land; such an Agreement made out by Proof, will be decreed in

Equity.

1 Vern. 7, 214, 232. Newcomb ver. Bonham. 2 Vent. 364. Lord North's Decree was affirmed in Lords.

A. in Consideration of 1000 l. made an absolute Conveyance to B. of the Reversion of certain Lands after two Lives, which, at that Time, were worth little more; and by another Deed of the same Date, the Lands are made redeemable any Time during the Life of the Grantor only, on Payment of S. C. where 1000 l. and Interest; A. died, not having paid the Money; and it was it is said, that held by my Lord Nottingham, that his Heir might redeem, notwithstanding this restrictive Clause, and that it was a Rule, once a Mortgage and always a Mortgage, and that B. might have compelled A to redeem in his the House of Life-time, or have foreclosed him; but on a Re-hearing, Lord K. North reversed the Decree on the Circumstances of this Case; for it appeared by Proof, that A. had a Kindness for B. and that he had married his Kinswoman, which made it in the Nature of a Marriage Settlement; he likewife held, that B. could not have compelled A to redeem during his Life, which made it the more strong.

1 Vern. 488. Willet ver. Winnel.

If A. mortgage Lands to B. worth 15 l. per Annum, for fecuring 200 l. and at the same Time B. enters into a Bond conditioned, that if the 200 l. and Interest is not paid within a Year, then he to pay to A. his Executors or Administrators, the further Sum of 78 l. in full for the Purchase of the Premisses, &c. and A. dies within the Year, and the Money is paid the next Day after, the Mortgage is forfeited to his Administrator; yet A.'s Heir may redeem, paying the 200 L and likewise the 78 L that was paid the Administrator.

2 Vern. S4. Ball

So where A. for 550 l. made an absolute Assignment of a Church Lease Manlove ver. for three Lives to B. and B. by writing under his Hand agreed, that if A. paid 600 l. at the End of the Year, B. would reconvey, B. died, leaving C. his Son and Heir; two of the Lives died, and the Leafe was twice renewed by C. and his Father; and tho' it was near twenty Years fince the Conveyance was made, yet the Master of the Rolls decreed a Redemption on Payment of the 550 L and the two Fines.

2 Pern. 520. Wand.

A. lends Money to B. to earry on certain Buildings, and takes a Mort-Fennings ver. gage from him to secure 1600 l. with Interest; and, by another Deed executed at the same Time, takes a Covenant from B. that he should convey to him, if he thought fit, Ground-Rents to the Value of 1600 1. at the Rate of 20 Years Purchase; and on a Bill brought to redeem, the

Mafter of the Rolls decreed a Redemption on Payment of Principal, Interest and Costs, without Regard to that Agreement, but set aside the fame as unconfcionable; for a Man shall not have Interest for his Money and a colliteral Advantage besides for the Loan of it, or clog the Re-

demption with any Lye Agreement.

But the these and such like Restrictions are relieved against, to make Preced. Cha. them answer the primary Intention of the Parties; yet if A. on a Mort- 160. gage, lends Money at 5 l. per Cent. but agrees in the Deed, that if the Fery ver-Money were paid within 3 Months after it became due, that he would cox. accept of 41. per Cent. and the Mortgagor neglects to pay the Interest within the Time, Equity will not relieve him, but he must pay 5 l. per Cent. for tho' the Court relieves against unreasonable Penalties, yet this is not fo, for the Mortgagee might have refuled to lend his Money under 51. per Cent.

So if the Mortgagee devifes, that the Mortgagor should be remitted Part 1 Chain, Rep. of his Mortgage Money, provided he pays the Principal and Interest 52within 3 Days after his Decease; if the Condition be not performed, the Remittance is loft; because this being a voluntary Bounty, and not ex debito Justitiæ, the Party must take it as it is limited, for cujus est dare, ejus est disposere; and the Court cannot relieve in this Case after the Day.

But where in a Mortgage there was a Proviso, that if the Interest was 2 Salk. 449. behind 6 Months, that then the Interest should be accounted Principal Lord Osfuland carry Interest; this by my Lord Cowper was decreed to be a vain server. Lord Cowper was decreed to be a vain server. Clause, and of no Use; and he said, that no Precedent had ever carried the Advance of Interest so far, and that an Agreement made at the Time of the Mortgage, will not be sufficient to make suture Interest Principal; but to make Interest Principal, it is requisite that Interest be first grown Due, and then an Agreement concerning it may make it Principal.

### (C) Of the Nature of a Mortgage, as to the distinct Interests of a Mortgagor and Mortgagee.

HE Mortgagor before Forfeiture, and whilst it remains uncertain, whether he will perform the Condition at the Time limited, or not, hath the legal Estate in him; also after Forseiture he hath an Equity of Redemption; so that he is still considered as Owner and Proprietor of the Estate, until the Equity of Redemption be foreclosed, and therefore may make Leases or (a) any Settlement thereof, which will bind that a Tehis Equity of Redemption.

nant in Tail of an Equity

of Redemption, may devise it for Payment of Debts. 1 Vern. 41 Turner ver. Gwinn.

Therefore, if a Man mortgages his Land, and, as is usual, still continues 1 Sid. 460. in Possession, and levies a Fine, and 5 Years pass, yet the Mortgagee is not 1 Vent. 82. barred; for the Mortgagee be in Reality out of Possession, yet when Carth. 101, that is done by the Consent of both Parties, and the nature of the Con-414. track requires it should be to while the Interest is paid, it is against the original Defign of the Contract, that any Act of the Mortgagor, except the Payment of the Money, should deprive the Mortgagee of his Security, and is no less than a Fraud, which the Law will not countenance.

And as the Mortgagor, being confidered only as Tenant at Will to the Palm 135. Mortgagee, cannot, by his Act, defeat the Interest of the Mortgagee, Cro Fa. 593, otherwise than by Payment of the Mortgage Money; so neither can the Mortgagee

Mortgagee defeat the Mortgagor of his Equity of Redemption; therefore if a Mortgagee in Fee suffers a Recovery, this, even at Law, shall not bind the Morrgagor's Right of Entry, upon Performance of the Condition; but if the Mortgagor had been a Party to the Recovery, then his Right had been bound, not only on Account of the Recompence in Value, but because he is estopped by the Recovery to claim the Land against the Recoverer or his Heirs, when he was called in before the Judgment given to defeat his Title, and could not do it.

Plow. 373. a.

So if a Mortgagee be diffeifed, and the Diffeifor levies a Fine, and five Years pass after the Proclamations, tho' the Mortgagee is hereby barred, yet if the Mortgagor pays or tenders his Money, he has 5 Years to profecute his Right, by the fecond Saving in the Statute 4 II. 7. cap. 24.

because his Title did not accrue 'till Payment of the Money.

Preced. Chan.

And as the Mortgagor, 'till the Equity of Redemption be foreclosed, is confidered as Owner of the Land, it was ruled, where a Bill for a Redemption was brought against a Mortgagee in Possession, and a Decree accordingly, that the Mortgagee, before the Account taken, having prefented to a Church that became void, should revoke his Presentation, and prefent fuch a Person as the Mortgagor or his Vendee (he having contracted to fell) should appoint.

By the 7 W. & M. cap. 25. it is enacted, 'That no Person or Per-6 fons shall be allowed to have any Vote in Election of Members to · ferve in Parliament, for or by Reason of any Trust Estate or Mort-

egage; unless such Trustee or Mortgagee be in actual Possession, or Receipt of the Rents and Profits of the same Estate, but that the

6 Mortgagor, or Cestui que Trust in Possession, shall and may vote for 6 the same, notwithstanding such Mortgage or Trust.

And by the 9 Ann. cap. 5. which requires, that Knights of the Shire should have 600 l. per Annum; and every other Member 300 l. per Annum; it is enacted, 'That no Person shall be qualified to sit in the House of Commons, within the Meaning of the Act, by Virtue of any Mortgage, whereof the Equity of Redemption is in any other Perfon, unless the Mortgagee shall have been in Possession of the mortgaged Premisses for seven Years before the Time of his Election.

(D) Df the legal Performance of the Condition.

7 E. 4. 3. 9 H 6. 12. 22 H. 6. 37. 47 E. 3 26. Plow. 173. 5 Co. 114. Co. Lit. 209.

THE Condition must at Law be strictly performed, otherwise the Mortgagor loses all Benefit of Redemption; but if upon a Mortgage a Tender be made of the Money, at the Place, at any Time of the Day specified in the Condition, and the Mortgagee refuses, the Condition is faved for ever.

Cc. Lit. 209.

And upon fuch Refusal the Land is discharged, because upon the Tender the Demise is void; and if it be upon a Feoffment, the Condition is performed, and the Feoffor may re-enter; but the Money lent doth yet remain a Debt or Duty, because it was a Debt by the original Lending of the Money, whether it had been fo fecured or not; and because the Security fails, according to the Words of the Agreement, yet there is the fame natural Justice that the Money should continue: But if a Feoffment were made, on Condition of Payment of a Sum gratuitously, to re-enter, if it were refused, there is no Remedy.

The legal Tender, or Payment, must be made to the Parties mention'd in the Condition; because to make such a Tender as will be a legal

Perfor-

Performance, it must be made according to what the Parties have ex-

prefly agreed on in the Condition.

Therefore, if a Man bargams and fells Lands, with Proviso, that if Dier 130, the Vendor, before such a Day, pay so much Money to the Vendee, 1811 his Heirs or Assigns, that the bale shall be void; the Vendee before the Day makes his Executors and dies, and the Vendor tenders the Money to the Executors, this is not good; because the Word Assigns must be understood to be Assigns of the Land, in its primary and original Signistication; and where there is an express Provision to whom the Tender and Payment is to be made, the Executor is excluded; for Expression facility costare Tacitum.

But if a Man make a Feoffment in Fee, upon Condition, that the Co. Let. 210. Feoffee shall pay 201. to the Feoffer, his Heirs or Assigns; here the pri- 5 Co. 96. mary Signification of the Word Assigns sails; because there can be no Assignment of the Land of which he hath enseoffed another; and since the original Sense of the Word sails, lest it should be wholly insignsficant, the secondary Sense of the Word is to be taken, viz. the Assignces in Law, which the Executors are quoad the personal Estate; and there-

fore the Payment is good either to the Executor or the Heir.

If the Condition be to pay the Money to the Feoffee, in Mortgage, Co. Lit. 210. his Heirs or Assigns; and he makes a Feosfment over; it is in the Election of the Feosffor to pay the Money to the first or second Feosffee; because by the Words he may pay it either to him or the Assignee; so if the first Feosffee dies, in this Case he may pay it to his Heir or the Assignee, for the same Reason; nor is he obliged to take Notice of the Validity of the second Feosfment, to which he is a Stranger.

But if the Condition was, that the Feoffer should pay it to the Feoffee Lit Seeft. 5390 at such a Day, and the Feoffee die before the Day; it shall be paid to Co. Lit. 2090 the Executor, and not to the Heir, tho' the Land descend to the Heir; for during the Suspension of the Condition, which is till the whole Time is elapsed, the Land is wholly taken to be a Pledge for the Money; and the Money to be a personal Duty to the Feoffee, and consequently is to be paid to such Person as represents him; but then this Payment must be to the Executor of the whole Sum; for a partial and fraudulent Payment, tho' accepted by the Executor, is really no Personance of the Condition, and therefore the Interest remains in the Heir at Law.

If the Condition were, that the Feoffor should pay so much Money Lit. Sect. 337. to the Feoffee, without Limitation of Time, the Feoffor hath Time during Life to pay the Money to the Feoffee, during his Life; but if either die before the Time is elapsed, which is set by the Parties for the Performance of the Condition, the Feoffment is absolute; but if the Payment were to be made to the Feoffee, his Heirs or Executors, then the Feoffor hath Time during Life.

If a Man make a Feoffment in Fee, upon Condition, that the Feoffor, 5 Co. 96. within a Year after the Death of the Feoffee, pay to his Heirs, Executors or Administrators, 100 l. that then the Feoffor should re-enter; the the Feoffee makes a Feoffment over and dies; the Feoffor paid the 100 l. within the Year, and the Heir paid back 30 l. this is a partial and fraudulent Payment, and no good Performance of the Condition, to defeat the Estate of the Feoffee; but if the whole Money had been paid, it had been good; because the Payment is to be made to the Persons mentioned in the Condition, and not to the Assignee of the Land, who is not named therein.

### (E) Of the Equity of Redemption and Fore= closure: And herein,

1. Who may redeem, and by whom the Mortgage Honey Gall be paid.

Hard. 465.

LTHO', after Breach of the Condition, an absolute Fee-simple A is vested at Common Law in the Mortgagee; yet a Right of Redemption being still inherent in the Land, till the Equity of Redemption be foreclosed, the same Right shall descend to and is vested in fuch Persons as have a Right to the Land, in case there had been no Mortgage or Incumbrance whatfoever; and as an equitable Performance as effectually defeats the Interest of the Mortgagee, as the legal Performance doth at Common Law, the Condition still hanging over the Estate, till the Equity is totally foreclosed; on this Foundation it hath been held, that a (a) Person, who comes in under a voluntary Conveyance, may redeem a Mortgage; and tho' fuch Right of Redemption be inherent in the Land, (b) yet the Party claiming the Benefit of it, must not only fet forth such Right, but also shew that he is the Person intitled to it.

(a) 1 Vern. 193.

(b) 1 Vern. 182.

1 Chan. Ca. 2 Chan. Ca. 5. Vide Tit. cofter.

As the Heir at Law is regularly intitled to the Benefit of Redemption, he is also intitled to the Assistance of the personal Estate of the Mortgagor for that Purpose; according to the Doctrine established in the Courts Heir and An. of Equity, that the personal Estate, in the Hands of the Executor, shall be imployed in Ease of the Heir, by whatever Means the Heir becomes indebted as Heir; for the personal Estate having received the Benefit by contracting the Debt, and the Real confidered only as a Pledge for it, it is but reasonable that Satisfaction should be made out of it; according to the Common Rule, Qui sentit commodum sentire debet & onus.

2 Salk. 449.

And on this Foundation it hath been frequently held, that if a Man mortgage Lands, and covenants to pay the Money, and dies, the perfonal Estate of the Mortgagor shall, in Favour of the Heir, be applied in Exoneration of the Mortgage

Also it is held by some Opinions, that this Benefit shall not only ex-

tend to the Heir at Law, or Hæres natus, but also to an (c) Hæres factus

from a Presumption, that it is the Intention of the Testator, that he

should have all the Privileges of the Hæres natus: And (d) some even

held, that an ordinary Devisee shall have this Benefit; but as to this last Point it hath been (e) held otherwise; and that if a Man mortgages

his Land, and then devises it to 7. S. or to A. for Life, the Remainder in Fee to B. that there the Charge doth pass with the Estate, there appearing no Intention of the Testator, that he should have it discharged.

So if the Mortgagor conveys away the Equity of Redemption, the

Purchaser shall not have the Benefit of the personal Estate, but must

(c) 2 Chan. Ca. 84.

(d) 1 Vern. 36.

(e) I Chan.

Ca. 271.

2 Salk. 450. 1 Vern. 37.

2 Salk. 449.

1 Vern. 436. Preced. Chan.

It has likewise been held by some Opinions, that the Heir of the Mortgagor shall have the Benefit of the personal Estate to pay off the Mortgage, tho' there be no Covenant in the Mortgage-Deed for the Payment thereof; because the Mortgage-Money is a Debt, whether there be any express Covenant for the Payment of it or not.

Preced. Chan. 423. 2 Vern. 701. Howel ver. Pilic

But where a Mortgage in Fee was made, redeemable at Mich. 1702. or any other Mich. Day following, on fix Months Notice; and there was no Covenant for Payment of the Mortgage-Money; it was held by my Lord Chancellor Cowper, that the Mortgagor having devised his personal Estate to his Wife and Daughter, and having during his Life

take it cum onere.

paid the Interest of the Mortgage, the personal Estate should not be anplied in Ease and Exoneration of the real Estate, for the Benefit of the Heir at Law; for, as he faid, there being no Covenant for Payment of the Money, there was no Contract at all between them, neither express nor implied; nor would any Action lie against the Mortg gor to subject his Person, or compel him to pay this Money; but this was in Nature of a conditional Purchase, subject to be defeated on Payment by the Mortgagor, or his Heirs, of the Sum stipulated between them, at any Mich. Day, at the Election of the Mortgagor, or his Heirs; so that here was an everlafting fublifting Right of Redemption, descendible to the Heirs of the Mortgagor, which could not be forfeited at Law like other Mortgages; and therefore there could be no Equity of Redemption, or any Occasion for the Affistance of this Court; but the Plaintiffs might even at Law defeat the Conveyance, by performing the Terms and Conditions of it; which were not limited to any particular Time. but might be performed on any Michaelmas-Day, to the End of the World; and fince here was no Covenant or Contract, either express or implied, to charge the personal Estate of the Mortgagor, he thought there was no Reason to lay the Load of this Debt upon that which was given to other Persons.

Also, if the Grandfather mortgages, and covenants to pay the Mort- 2 Salk. 450. gage-Money, and the Lands descend to his Son, and his Son dies, having a personal Estate and a Son; the Son's personal Estate shall not go in Aid

of this Mortgage.

So if an Heir has Land descended to him, incumbered with a Mort- Abr. Eq. 270. gage, and he, before any Application made by him to have Aid of the Wood ver. personal Estate, disposes of them, he cannot afterwards come upon the Fenwirk. personal Estate; for the Equity, which an Heir has, is that the Lands may defcend clear to the Family.

If one devise Lands which are in Mortgage to A. for Life, Remain- 1 Chan. Ca. der to B. in Fee; A. shall contribute one Third towards the Discharge 271.

The Mortgage and B. the other two Thirds

of the Mortgage, and B. the other two Thirds.

2 Vern. 117.

But if Lands in Mortgage are devised to A. for Life, Remainder to 1 Vern. 40.4. B. in Fee, and A. takes an Assignment of this Mortgage in a Trustee's Clyat ver. Name; tho' B. might have compelled A. to contribute one Third to- Entirion. wards Payment of the Mortgage, in respect of his Estate for Life; yet if A. be dead, and the Bill is brought against his Executor, he shall be obliged to contribute only in Proportion to the Time that A. his Testator injoyed it.

A. mortgaged his Lands, upon Condition, that if he or his Heirs repaid Cro. Car. 87. 100 l. at such a Day, he should re-enter; before the Day he dies, leaving 1 Co. 99 Issue a Daughter, his Wise enseint with a Son; the Daughter pays the Money at the Day, and then the Son is born; the Daughter shall keep the Lands, and the Son shall not recover against her; for the Daughter is in Nature of a Purchaser; where she hath regained the Land by her own

Vigilance; which otherwise had lapsed at Law to the Mortgagee.

If a Man enters into a Bond, in which he binds himself and his Heirs, Abr. Er. 319. and dies, leaving a real Estate to descend to his Heir, subject to a Mort-Bateman vergage for Years, and the Heir sells the Equity of Redemption; the Ob- Eateman. ligee cannot redeem the Mortgage, without first having a Judgment at Law against the Heir.

A Dowress may redeem a Mortgage, paying her Proportion of the Abr. Eq. 219. Mortgage-Money; and as to the rest; she may hold over till she is sa- Palmer ver.

So if a Jointure is made of Lands which are mortgaged; the Wife may 1 Chan. Ca. redeem, and her Executor shall hold over till repaid with Interest; be- 271 cause such Tenant for Life ought to be reimbursed the Money she paid to 2 Vent. 243fet her Estate free, and in the Condition she ought to have been in 2 Chan Cal. fet her Estate free, and in the Condition she ought to have been in.

100, 191:

1 Chan. Ca. 271. 2 Chan. Ca. 99, 100.

But if a Jointress after Marriage join with her Husband in a Fine, and mortgage the Land, and the Husband dies; there her Land is charged, and she shall pay her Part towards the Disburthening the Land; and her Executors shall not hold the Lands till satisfied thereof; because she herself concurred in laying on the Charge, and therefore must join in the Disburthening of it, according to the Value of her In-

1 Vern. 294. Dolin ver. Coltman.

If the Wife joins in a Mortgage, and levies a Fine, with an Intent to bar her Dower; and in Confideration thereof the Husband agrees, that fhe shall have the Equity of Redemption in lieu of her Dower, and he afterwards mortgages the same Estate twice more; tho' this Agreement be fraudulent against the subsequent Mortgagees, so as to intitle the Wife to the whole Equity of Redemption; yet she shall have her Dower, if the furvives her Husband, and shall not be put to her Writ of Dower; because the Estate may be so conveyed away by some of the Mortgagees, that possibly she may not know against whom to bring her Writ of Dower.

1 Vern. 213. Brend ver. Brend.

If a Man marries a Jointress of Houses which are burnt down, and the Husband and Wife borrow 1500 l to build on the Ground, and levy a Fine fur Concessit for ninety-nine Years, if the Wife lived so long, and a Deed is made between the Conusee and the Husband, wherein the Husband covenants to repay the Mortgage-Money, with Interest; and the Equity of Redemption is limited to the Husband and his Heirs, and the Husband expends 3 or 4000 l. in Building on this Ground, and dies; the Wife shall redeem, and not the Heir of the Husband; for the Wife was no Party to the Deed of Re-demise, by which the Redemption was limited to the Husband; and the Wife being a Jointress, and having granted a Term for Years only, out of her Estate for Life, there rests a Reversion in her, which naturally attracts the Redemption.

2 Vern. 480. AHon ver. Pierce.

A. on his Marriage agreed to leave his Wife 1000 l if the furvived him; the Drawing of the Agreement was left to the Parson of the Parish, who made a Bond from A. to his intended Wife in 2000 l. conditioned to leave her 1000 l. if she survived him; the Marriage was had, and A. died leaving a Freehold and Copyhold Estate in Mortgage, and which were mortgaged together; and it was held, that the Wife should redeem as well the Freehold as Copyhold, and hold over till she was sa-

2 Vern. 437. The Countels of Huntington.

A. joins with B. her Husband in making a Mortgage for Years of her The Earl ver. Inheritance for 4500 l. to supply the Husband's Occasions, and to pay for the Place of Captain of the Band of Pensioners, and subject to the Mortgage the Estate on A for Life, Remainder to her Son in Tail; B. in the Mortgage-Deed covenants to pay the Money, and the Proviso was, that on Payment of the Mortgage-Money the Term was to cease; the Mortgage was feveral Times affigned, and particularly in 1683. and the Wife joined in it, and there the Proviso was, that on Payment of the Money to them, or either or them, the Mortgage-Term was to be affigned as they, or either of them, should direct or appoint; a few Days after the Mortgage was made, B. by Letter thanked his Wife for having fealed it, and added, that the Profits of the Office should be religiously applied to pay off the Incumbrance; but afterwards when Money came in, tho' he paid off the Mortgage, yet he took an Assignment thereof in Trust for himself; and by Will devised his personal Estate, and the Benefit of this Mortgage, to his second Wife; and on a Bill by the Son of the first Wife, to have this Mortgage assigned him, it was declared by my Lord Keeper, that he could not decree for him, but upon the usual Terms of Redemption, on Payment of Principal, Interest and Costs, discounting Profits; but upon an Appeal to the Lords, the Son obtained a Decree to have the Mortgage affigned to him.

So where A and his Wife mortgaged the Wife's Effate, and A cove- 2 Very 6-3 nanted to pay the Money, but the Equity of Redemption was referred Prock ver to them and their Heirs; the Husband dying, it was Decreed, that the Ien Mortgage should be discharged out of the Husband's Estate.

### 2. To whom the Mostgage Money hall be paid.

Mortgages being Part of the personal Estate belong to the Executors to Chain Car. or Administrators, tho' it was formerly held, that if a Feoffment in Fee SS. were made upon Condition, that if the Mortgagor paid the Sum to the Smith ver Mortgagee, his Heirs, Executors or Administrators, that then the Mortgagor should re-enter, and the Day passed without Payment, and the Mortgagee died, whereby the Lands descended to his Heir; in such Cafe, the Heir being named in the Condition, and no Bond or Covenant given to make it appear a personal Matter, and no Desiciency of Assets to pay Creditors, that the Heir parting with the Benefit descended to him, should have the Money on the Mortgage.

But afterwards it was truly fettled by the Lord Chancellor Finch, that I Chan. Ca. the Money should go to the Executors or Administrators, and not to the 283 Heir; and the Reason was, because Equity follows the Law; and at Common Law, if Conditions or Defeazances of Mortgages are so penned, as 2 Vent. 348, no Mention is made either of their Heirs or Executors, in that Case, 351. the Money ought to be paid to the Executors, because the Money came Hard 467. out of the personal Estate, and therefore ought to return thither again; 412 but if the Defeazance appoints the Money to be paid the Heir or Exe- Preced. Char. cutor disjunctively, there, as by the Common Law already mentioned, ii. if the Mortgagor pay the Money precisely at the Day, he may elect to pay it either to the Heir, or to the Executor; but where the precise Day is past, and the Mortgage forseited, all Election is gone in Law, for in Law there is no Redemption; and when the Case is reduced to an Equity of Redemption, it were perfectly against Equity to revive the Election of the Mortgagor; because that would only tend to the Delay of the Payment of the Money as long as he pleased, and end in Compositions to pay the Money into that Hand which would use him best; and to fay that the Election should be in the Court, would be to place an arbitrary Power in it, which would tend to the Inconvenience of the Subject; fince no Man could fafely pay the Money in such Cases, without applying to the Court in a Suit in Equity; and therefore, fince there ought to be a certain Rule, a better cannot be chose, than to come as near as can be to the Rule and Reason of the Common Law; now the Law always gives the Money to the Executor where no Person is named, and where the Election to pay either to the Heir or the Executor is gone and forfeited in Law, it is all one, as if neither Heir nor Executor were named in the Condition; and then in natural Justice and Equity, the principal Right of the Mortgagee is to his Money, and his Right to the Land is only as a Deposit or Pledge for his Money; and therefore the Money ought to be paid into the proper Hand, that the Mortgagee hath appointed Receiver of his Money, and that is his Executor; and then the Heir, that is only a Trustee to keep the Pledge, ought to deliver it back to the Mortgagor; and tho' the Heir has the Use and Benefit of the Land 'till redeemed, yet he has it only as a Plcdge, and therefore is a Trustee to restore it when the Money is paid to the proper Hand; and the Heir himself, tho' he be proper to keep the Pledge, being Land, yet he is not proper to receive the Money, it being purely Personal; and it is not hard, that the Heir should part with the Land, without having the Money that comes in Lieu of it; because we are to consider, that the Money was originally parted with from the personal Estate, and had Vol. III.

I Vern. 170,

immediately come into the Hands of the Executor, had it not been placed out on this real Security; and therefore decreed, whether the Executor has Affets or not, that the Mortgage Money should be paid to him; but the Mortgagee, by any Conveyance in his Life-time, or by his last Will and Testament, may dispose of it otherwise to whom he pleases.

2 Vern. 66.

If the Heir of the Mortgagee forecloses the Mortgagor, the Executor being no Party, upon a Bill by the Executor against the Heir of the Mortgagee and the Mortgagor, the Land will be decreed the Executor.

2 Vern. 67.

But if the Executor of the Mortgagee, after a Foreclosure by the Heir, brings a Bill to have the Benefit of the Mortgage, the Heir, if he thinks fit, may take the Benefit of the Foreclosure to himself, paying the Executor the Mortgage Money and Interest.

2 Vern. 367. Tabor ver. Greaves. If there be a Mortgage in Fee of a long standing, and there are two Descents cast since the Mortgage was made; and tho' the Mortgagor, by Answer says, he will not redeem, yet the Mortgage shall go to the Executor, and not to the Heir, the Equity of Redemption not being fore-closed or released.

1 Vern. 271. Cotton ver. Iles. But if a Mortgagee in Fee enters for a Forfeiture, and after 7 Years. Enjoyment absolutely fells the Land to J. S. and his Heirs, the Estate shall not be looked upon to be a Mortgage in the Hands of J. S. so as to make it Part of his personal Estate, but it shall be for the Benefit of his Heir.

Preced. Chan. 265. Noys ver. Mordant. A. being in Fossession of an Estate that was a Mortgage in Fee, by Will devises it to his Daughters B. and C. and their Heirs, and dies, B. marries, and dies; the Question was, whether the Share of B. should be decreed real or personal Estate, and consequently go to her Heir, or to her Husband as her Administrator; and it was decreed against the Husband; and my Lord Keeper put this Case: A Man seized of Lands in Fee, which were only mortgaged to him, devises them to his Son and Heir, and his Heirs; surely these Lands shall descend as an Inheritance, or tho' the Mortgage be paid off, shall not the Money be considered as Lands, and go to the Heir and his Heirs, as the Lands would have done, and this purely by the Intention of the Testator; and did not the Testator, who had a governing Power, intend in the present Case, that the mortgaged Lands should be considered as any other Lands of Inheritance, and be subject to, and be directed by the same Rules that other Estates are?

3. Of the Precedency and Right of Redemption, where there are several Mortgagees or Incumbrancers; and therein of their Kemedies against each other, as well as against the Mortgagor.

albr. Eq 320.

Herein we must observe, as a sure and established Rule, that he who hath the first Mortgage, having the legal Estate, shall prevail before all other subsequent Mortgagees and Incumbrancers; but if a Man mortgages Land by a desective Conveyance, and afterwards mortgages by an Assurance which is good and essectual, without Notice, the second shall prevail, because that carries the legal Title; and Equity will not interpose, when both are equally upon valuable Consideration; but if a Man mortgages by a desective Conveyance, and there be subsequent Debts that do not originally affect Lands, there the Desect of such a Conveyance shall be supplied against a subsequent Incumbrancer, that acquires a legal Title afterwards; for since the subsequent Incumbrancer did not originally take the Lands for his Security, nor had in his View an Intention to affect them, when afterwards the Lands are affected, and he comes in under the very Person that is obliged in Conscience to make the Se-

curity

curity good, he stands in his Flace, and shall be postponed to such defective Conveyance.

This Rule and Diffinction being grounded on the following Cafe, we

shall here infert it at Large.

Henry Francis, Father of the Defendant Henry, in Confideration of Eleanor Victor 400 l. Money lent by Feofiment, 17 July, 1665, mortgaged to the Plain- Gal ver. tiff's Testator in Fee, a Piece of Ground called Pursefield, in the Parish is Gal, 19 of Gibs, but no Livery thereon, and covenants for him and his Heirs, Decemb, 1672 that he was lawfully feized in Fee of the Premisses, and for quiet Enjoyment, free from Incumbrances against him and his Heirs, and all Persons claiming under him, with Covenant for farther Affurance within feven Years; Henry Francis, the Father, I Mich. 1669. borrowed of the Testator 77 l. on Bond, and promifed that the mortgaged Premisses should be Security for it; Henry Francis, the Father, in 1670, made his Will, and thereof made Henry Francis, his Son, Executor. The Testator, Robert Burgh, died, and the Plaintiff Eleanor proved his Will; the Defendant, Henry Francis, confesses several Judgments, on Bonds entered into by his Father (to wit) 7 Judgments, as Heir, and one as Executor to his Father; one of these 7 Judgments was obtained by Heyman, a Defendant, on an Action brought the first or second Day of Hillary Term, 1670, for 400 L and all the other Judgments were entered about the fame Time; this Cause came to be heard by Sir Heneage Finch, Lord Keeper, assisted by Judge Wild, who declared, that the Court was fully fatisfied, that the Plaintiff ought to be relieved, and that the faid Judgments ought not to incumber the Fremisses, till the Mortgage Money was fully paid; wherein the Court did not ground it's Judgment upon the Manner of obtaining the Judgments all in a Term, and most of them together, nor on the special Way, whereby the Heir charged the Lands, by pleading Riens per Discent, nor on the Priority of the Teste of the Subpana's before the Teste of the Original, on which the Judgments were grounded; but upon the true Nature of the Cafe the Court declared, that the Debt due by the Mortgage, did originally charge the Lands, which the Bonds did not, 'till they were reduced to Judgments; and it ought not to be in the Heir's Power, by confessing Judgments, to charge the Lands in Prejudice of that Equity, and the rather because of the Covenant for further Affurance; and tho' the Mortgage was defective in Law for want of Livery, yet Equity, which supplied that Defect, charged the Lands; and the' the Creditors had no Notice, yet they shall be bound in this Cafe, because they are put in no worse Condition than they ought to be, viz. to be postponed to the Mortgage; therefore it was decreed, that the Defendant Henry, the Heir, should convey to the Plaintiff, or her Affigns, in Fee, in Manner as a Mafter should direct, but redcemable on the Payment of the faid 400 l. due on the former defective Mortgage, and the Premisses to be held quietly against the Plaintiss, and all claiming under them, fince the Date of the Mortgage; and he who has the Equity of Redemption, may, in convenient Time, bring a Bill to redeem; and in Default thereof, the now Plaintiffs may bring one to foreclose; and a perpetual Injunction was also awarded, to quiet the Plaintiffs and their Assigns in Possession against all the Defendants and the aforetaid Incumbrances, and to stay all Proceedings at Law, but the Plaintiffs to have no Costs of this Suit, unless some come to redeem; then the now Plaintiffs to have all the Costs of this, and such Suits, as is usual in the Redemption of Mortgages.

From this Cafe, which hath been a governing Cafe in the Courts of Equity, they have stated the Difference beforementioned; for these Bond Creditors did not originally pitch upon the Land as a Pledge and Security for their Money; and when they came afterwards, and reduced their Securities into Judgments, to affect the Lands; yet fince they affect it in the Hands of the Heir, who was subject to this Equity, and obliged

in Conscience to supply the Defect in the Execution of the Deed, they can only stand in his Place, and therefore must be subject to the defective Security; but otherwise it had been, if there had been a subsequent Mortgage duly executed, and without Notice of the former; because the Lands being then originally pledged for the Money, and he having the legal Title, the defective Securities that could not prevail at Law, should not overturn, in Equity, a Security that was equally upon valuable Confideration; but the Bonds in the former Case did not originally take hold on the Land at all; and when they were reduced to a Judgment, they only took hold of the Land, together with other Things; and therefore Equity doth not look on them, as fuch Charges on the Land as are to take hold fo immediately on it, that a prior defective Security is not to be relieved and fet up against them; especially, since such Incumbrancers did not take the Land as an original Security, but came in afterwards under the Person, who was obliged in Conscience to supply that Defect; for the Difference between the two Cases turns upon this, that in the Case of a 2d valid Mortgage, we must, in all Manner of Justice suppose, that the Mortgagee would not have lent, if the Land had not been offered to fecure his Money; and therefore when he hath the Title at Law, it is no Equity to overturn it, or to postpone him to a defective Security; but in the Case of the Bonds, they lent their Money upon the personal Security, and not on the Credit of Lands; and therefore when they come to affect the Lands, they must stand in the Place of the Perfon, that had laid himfelf liable in a Court of Equity, to answer and make good the defective Security.

2 Vern. 564. 2 Salk. 449. Taylor ver. Wheeler.

Thus it was also ruled by the Lord Cowper, where the Case was, A. furrenders his Copyhold by Way of Mortgage for Money lent, and the Surrender is not presented at the next Court, according to the Custom of the Manor; A. becomes a Bankrupt, and the Affignees, &c. are admitted, and bring their Ejectment, and the Surrendree of the Copyhold brings his Bill in Equity to be relieved; and in this Case, the Court decreed a perpetual Injunction in Behalf of the Surrendree; and tho' it was faid, that the Creditors of the Bankrupt were equally valuable as the Surrendree, and having the Title at Law, they ought to be preferred; yet it was over-ruled, because the other Creditors of the Bankrupt did not lend on the Credit of the Land, as the Mortgagee did; and therefore when fuch Creditors come under the Bankrupt to charge the Land, they ought to stand in his Place, and come under the same Obligation of Conscience to make good the defective Security.

Oxwick & al' ver Plamer

The Cafe of Oxwick and Plumer turns upon the Reverse of this Judgment, and was thus: Richard Wifeman, Esq; Son and Heir apparent of & al', Pafeb. Sir Richard Wifeman, intermarried with Winefred Barrington, intitled to a 3 May, 1708. Portion of 4000 l. and brings his Bill against the Trustees of his Wife; whereupon a Decree was had, to pay unto him the Fortune of his Wife, upon making a competent Settlement; and upon Failure thereof, the Fortune to be invested in Lands by the Approbation of the Master; but upon the Master's Report, that no competent Settlement could be made by Richard the Son, it was, by Choice of Parties, invested in Lands of Sir Richard the Father, of equal Value, Part of which Lands happened to be 8 Acres of Copyhold, which in the Settlement were limited, and declared apart from the Freehold, to be to the Use of the Issue of the Marriage in common Form, and afterwards in Fee to the Son, with a Covenant from Sir Richard to furrender the Copyhold; accordingly the Wife dies without Issue, and the Son mortgages both Copyhold and Freehold together, for a valuable Confideration to Oxwick and others, Plaintiffs; but without any Surrender the Son dies, and the Lands descend to Fliz. his Sifter and Heir at Law; the Mortgagees foreclose Eliz. by a Decree of the Court, and enter and take Possession; to whom being in Possession Eliz. releases, and confirms the Estate in Fee; Sir Richard the Father be-

ing then out of Possession of the Premisses from the Time of the Settlement, which was made thirteen Years past, surrenders the Copyhold Land to the Defendant Plumer, for a valuable Confideration; Plumer is admitted, and brings his Ejectment, and the Mortgagees bring their Bills to be relieved; and the Master of the Rolls, on solemn Argument, dismiffed their Bill with Costs; and held, that this Court would not supply the Defect of a Surrender against a Person that came in by Title, upon Surrender of the same Premisses; and this Case coming on to be re-heard before my Lord Cowper, he was of the fame Opinion; and he took this Difference, that when there are two Persons that have equal I .quity, there those that have the legal Title shall prevail; because there is no Equity to take from such Person the Title that he hath gained at Law; as where A. B. and C. are three Mortgagees, and C. purchases in the Mortgage of A. to secure his own Money bena fide lent; Equity will never take from him the legal Interest he hath gained; but if the contending Parties in Equity have not equal Equity, then those that have the greatest Equity shall prevail against the legal Title; as if a Creditor takes hold of the Land by a Feoffment in Mortgage, with Livery, Equity will supply the defective Conveyance against a subjequent Judgment Creditor; because the Judgment Creditor not relying on the Land for his Security, he hath not equal Equity to have that Land applied for the Payment of his Debt, as he that took it in Mortgage; but in this Cafe, where Plumer had equally lent Money, and taken hold of the Estate by a Mortgage made with a legal Surrender; fo that the legal Interest was in him; the Covenant to furrender, tho' prior, cannot be fet up against him who had no Notice of it; but Oxwick must pursue his Remedy at Law, for the Breach of the Covenant.

A precedent Mortgagee discounts his Mortgage-Money by Purchase of 1 Chan. Rep. Parcel of the Land, and the subsequent Mortgagee, having also a Judg- 36 ment, comes to be relieved; the precedent Mortgagee pleads this Purchase; and without Notice it is good; for having a legal Title by the first Mortgage, kept on Foot precedent to the second, this Court will not destroy it; and the Judgment on Record is no Notice, without ex-

press Notice from the Party in Interest.

If a Man makes a voluntary Deed, and mortgages the fame Lands; 1 Chan. Rep. this Deed, tho' fraudulent as to the Mortgagee is good to pass the E-59. quity of Redemption, because the voluntary Conveyance binds the Party and his Heirs.

Tenant in Tail demistrh the Lands for ninety-nine Years, by Way of 1 Chair. Rep. Mortgage, under a Condition of Redemption; and on his Marriage 119, 120. Suffers a Recovery, and in Consideration of the Portion settles a Jointure; then the Husband borrows more Money of the Mortgagee, and appoints the Term as a Security; the Recovery enures to make good the Term; and if the Mortgagee had no Notice of the Jointure, he shall be allowed his whole Money, for the Entail is destroyed by the Recovery; because every Recovery places the Fee in the Recoverer; and neither the Husband nor Wise, that comes in by Title under him, can vacate this Act precedent; so that the subsequent Recovery of Tenant in Tail makes good all precedent Incumbrances.

A Man makes a Mortgage, and afterwards makes a Marriage-Settle- 1 Cian. Rep. ment of the Equity of Redemption, wherein he limits it on the Wife; 217, &c. and then on the Issue of his Body, with Remainder in Tail to his Brother; the Mortgagee exhibits his Bill against the Mortgagor, to have his Money, or that he may stand foreclosed, without making the Brother a Party; and has a Decree accordingly; and afterwards the Mortgagor dies without Issue, and the Lands remain to the Brother by the Marriage-Settlement, who prefers his Bill to redeem; and it was dismissed; for having made those Parties to the Bill of Foreclosure, that were Parties to the Mortgage, the Mortgagee did as much as was possible; and Vol. HI.

since at Law a Fine or other Conveyance extinguishes an Equity of Redemption, which is but a Chose in Action, tho the modern Course hath allowed it to be transferred; yet it ought not to be so allowed, that the Mortgagee should not know from whom to seek a Foreclosure, in order to keep him an eternal Bailiss to the Mortgagor; therefore after Length of Time, and in Behalf of a meer Volunteer, they would not open the Account, after such Decree to foreclose had against the Person that was Party to the Mortgage; for possibly the Mortgagee, since the Foreclosure, hath kept no Account, since he was not bound to do it.

1 Chan. Rep.

If a Man mortgages Lands, and then confesses several Judgments, and some of the Persons, who have Judgments, give the Mortgagee Notice, and after he obtained, against the Mortgagor, a Decree to foreclose; such Persons, that gave Notice of their Interest shall notwithstanding redeem; because they are Creditors for a valuable Consideration, and the Mortgagee had Notice of them, that he might have made them Parties to his Bill; but the Persons, who gave no previous Notice of their Judgments, are totally barred of all Redemption, by the former Decree.

1 Chan. Rep. 123. Brent ver. English.

A mortgages to B, and C, obtains a Judgment in Debt against A, and then A, mortgages to D, then B, D, and A, account together for what was due to B, and D, pays the Money, and B, assigns the Mortgage to D. C, such sor his Debt, and to have an Account of what was really due to B, and D, on both Securities; D, pleads the Account thus made up in Bar to C, but it was disallowed; because their Account being voluntary shall never conclude a third Person, so that he shall not come into the Redemption; for it were unjust, that their Accounts should shut him out of his Security, where he had no Opportunity to litigate or examine the Account.

1 Chan. Ca. 299. Needler ver. Deeble.

But it hath been held, that if a first Mortgagee brings a Bill to foreclose the Mortgagor, and an Account is directed and taken between them, such Account shall bind the second Mortgagee, tho' he was no Party to the Bill, if there was no Fraud or Collusion in the taking of it.

2 Chan. Rep. 57, 58.

If a Man mortgages his Estate to two, who each of rhem lend several Sums upon the Estate, as appears by Notes under their Hands, and one of them dies, there shall be no Survivorship; for it is considered as a Sum of Money still subsisting apart, for which the Lands are only a Pledge and Security; so that the Money being distinct Sums, and the Interest in it being distinct and separate, there can be no Survivorship between them.

Abr. Eq. 320. Bentham and Haincourt.

The Mortgagor, being Son-in-Law to the Mortgagee, having entered, and afterwards fuffered the Mortgagor to take the Profits for several Years, without requiring Interest, it was held by the Court, that the Interest of the first Mortgagee should not affect the Lands, so as to keep out the second Mortgagee longer than he would have been, had the Interest been duly paid; it was likewise held, that if a Mortgagee, after Notice of a subsequent Mortgage, joins with the Mortgagor in a Sale of the Lands to a Stranger, the Money received by either for the Purchase, shall sink so much of the Mortgage-Money.

2 Vern. 370, 554:

If A, being about to lend Money to B, on a Mortgage, fends C, to inquire of D, who had a prior Mortgage, whether he had any Incumbrance on B.'s Estate, and it is proved that C, went to him, and spoke to him accordingly; D.'s Mortgage shall be postponed.

Abr. Eq. 321, 322. Peter ver. Russel.

One Goff being possessed of the Thatched House at St. James's, on a Building-Lease for fixty Years, mortgages it to Dr. Lancaster and one Habbersheld, for securing 600 l. which the Desendant afterwards paid off, and advanced to Goff 600 l. more, and took an Assignment of this Mortgage, but had not the original Lease delivered to him till some Days after the Assignment; Goff afterwards, being in a declining Way, proposed to borrow of the Plaintist 350 l. on a Mortgage of a Vault and two Rooms, Part of the mortgaged Premisses; and on a Treaty for that

Purpole, one Remington, who acted for the Plaintiff, defired to see the original Lease; Goff told him, that he had it not by him, but that his Lawyer kept all his Writings for him, as not thinking it fafe to trust them in his own House, where all Sorts of Company reforted; upon which Goff goes to the Defendant, who was an Attorney in the City, tells him he was about agreeing with a Person for the rebuilding Part of the Premisses, at fo much a Foot-square, which would better his Security, and defired him to let him have the original Leafe, that he might fee the Dimensions of the House; the Defendant would not trust him with the Lease in his own Power, but goes along with him to the Thatched House; and after he had been there some Time, Goff sends for the Plaintiff and Remington, told them he had now the original Leafe, which they might fee; and upon their coming to his House, Goff goes into the Room where the Defendant was, and defires him to let him have the Leafe, to shew the Perfon he had mentioned, for that he was now in the House; and accordingly the Defendant lets him have the Lease, which he carries to the Plaintiff and Remington; and they being satisfied therewith lend him the Money, and took a Mortgage of the Vault and two Rooms, infifting at the same Time to have the original Lease delivered to them; but Goff urging, that it concerned much more than the Plaintiff had in Morrgage, and that he could not part with it, the Plaintiff permitted him to keep it; and he thereupon, in about an Hour's Time, delivered it again to the Defendant, without acquainting him with what he had done; and the Defendant swore expresly in his Answer, that he had no Notice of this Transaction, or of the Plaintiff's Mortgage; afterwards the Plaintiff lent Goff a further Sum of Money, and he prevailed on the Defendant to let him have the original I case a second Time; but there was no Proof that the Defendant knew the Occasion of it, and he, by his Answer, exprefly denied his having Notice of it; afterwards Goff fail'd, and thereupon the Defendant brought his Ejectment and recovered; and this Bill was brought to have the Defendant's Mortgage postponed, upon Pretence, that here was a manifest Fraud on the Plaintiff, and that the Defendant was privy to it; and at the Rolls the Plaintiff had a Decree accordingly; but on Appeal the Decree was reverfed; but my Lord Chancellor faid, that if a Man makes a Mortgage, and afterwards mortgages the same Estate to another, and the first Mortgagee is in Combination to induce the fecond Mortgagee to lend his Money, this Fraud will, without Doubt, in Equity postpone his own Mortgage; fo if such Mortgagee stands by and sees another lending Money on the same Estate, without giving him Notice of his first Mortgage, this is such a Misprisson as shall sorfest his Priority; but here is no Manner of Proof that the Defendant knew any Thing of the Plaintiff's lending his Money; nay, if there had, yet the Plaintiff appears guilty of to much a groffer Neglect, that he ought not to prevail; for the Defendant intrusted Goff with his original Lease but for a very little while; the Plaintiff takes his Word, that he could not part with it, and leaves it wholly in his Power to go on in defrauding whom else he had a Mind to; besides, it appears the Defendant was imposed on by Goff, for he parted with the Lease only to better his own Security, and had the most specious Pretence that could be for it; and therefore it cannot be, without manifest Proof, objected to him; that he let Goff have the Lease to shew the Plaintiff, or with a Design to draw in the Plaintiff to lend his Money; and dismissed the Bill with Costs, unless the Plaintiff should, within such a Time, redeem the Desendant.

By the 4 & 5 W. 3. cap. 16. reciting, that great Frauds and Deceits are often practifed by necessitous and evil disposed Persons, in borrowing of Money, and giving Judgments, Statutes and Recognizances privately, for securing the Repayment of the said Money; and the same Persons do afterwards borrow Money, upon Security of their Lands, of other Persons, and do not acquaint the later Lender thereof with the same;

whereby fuch late Lender is very often in Danger to lofe his whole Money, or forced to pay off the Debts secured by the said Judgments, Statutes and Recognizances, before they can have any Benefit of the faid Mortgages; and that divers Persons do many Times mortgage their Lands more than once, without giving Notice of their first Mortgage; whereby Lenders of Money upon fecond or after Mortgages do often lofe their Money, and are put to great Charges in Suit and otherwise; for Remedy whereof it is enacted, 'That if any Person shall borrow any Money, or for any other valuable Confideration for the Payment thereof voluntarily give, acknowledge, permit or fuffer to be entered against him, or them, one or more Judgment or Judgments, Statute or-Statutes, Recognizance or Recognizances, to any Person or Persons, <sup>6</sup> Creditor or Creditors; and if the faid Borrower or Borrowers, Debtor or Debtors, shall afterwards take up or borrow any other Sum or Sums 6 of Money of any other Person or Persons, or for other valuable Consi-6 deration become indebted to fuch Perfon or Perfons, and for fecuring the Repayment and Discharge thereof shall mortgage his, her or their Lands or Tenements, or any Part thereof, to the faid second or other Lender or Lenders of the faid Money, Creditor or Creditors, or to any other 4 Person or Persons, in Trust for or to the Use of such second or other Lender or Lenders, Creditor or Creditors, and shall not give Notice to the faid Mortgagee or Mortgagees of the faid Judgment or Judg-6 ments, Statute or Statutes, Recognizance or Recognizances, in Wri-6 ting under his her or their Hand or Hands, before the Execution of the faid Mortgage or Mortgages; unless such Mortgagor or Mortgagors, 6 his, her or their Heirs, upon Notice to him, her or them given by the Mortgagee or Mortgagees of the faid Lands and Tenements, his, her or their Heirs, Executors, Administrators or Assigns, in Writing, under his, her or their Hands and Seals, attested by two or more sufficient Witnesses, of any such former Judgment or Judgments, Statute or Statutes, Recognizance or Recognizances, shall within fix Months pay off and Discharge the said Judgment or Judgments, Statute or Statutes, Recognizance or Recognizances, and all Interest and Charges due thereupon, and cause or procure the same to be vacated, or discharged by Record; that then the Mortgagor or Mortgagors of the faid Lands and Tenements, his, her or their Heirs, Executors, Administrators or Assigns, shall have no Benefit or Remedy against the said Mortgagee or Mortgagees, his, her or their Heirs, Executors, Administrators or Assigns, or any of them, in Equity or elsewhere, for Redemption of the faid Lands and Tenements, or any Part thereof; but the faid Mortgagee and Mortgagees, his, her or their Heirs, Executors, Administrators and Assigns, shall and may hold and enjoy the said Lands and Tenements for fuch Estate and Term therein, as were or was granted and fettled to the faid Mortgagee or Mortgagees against the faid Mortgagor or Mortgagors, and all Person and Persons lawfully claiming from, by or under him, her or them; freed from Equity of Redemption, and as fully, to all Intents and Purpoles whatfoever, as if the same had been purchased absolutely, and without any Power or Liberty of Redemption. And it is further enacted, 'That if any Person shall mortgage any Lands

or Tenements to any Person or Persons, for Security of Money lent, or otherwise accrued or become due, or for other valuable Considerations; and if the said Mortgagor or Mortgagors shall again mortgage the same Lands or Tenements, or any Part thereof, to any other Person or Persons for valuable Considerations, (the said former Mortgage being in Force and not discharged,) and shall not discover to the said second or other

Mortgagee or Mortgagees, or some or one of them, the sormer Mortgage or Mortgages, in Writing under his or their Hands, that then, and in these Cases also, the said Mortgagor or Mortgagors, his, her

r

or their Heirs, Executors, Administrators or Assigns, shall have no Relief, or Equity of Redemption, against the said second or after Mortgagee or Mortgagees, his, her or their Heirs, Executors, Administrators or Affigns, upon the faid after Mortgage or Mortgages; but that fuch Mortgagee or Mortgagees, his, her or their Heirs, Executors, Administrators and Assigns, shall and may hold and enjoy such more than once mortgaged Lands and Tenements, for such Estate and Term therein, as were or was granted and conveyed by the faid Mortgagor or Mortgagors, against him, her or them, his, her or their Heirs, Executors or Administrators respectively, freed from Equity of Redemption, and as fully, to all Intents and Purpofes, as if the fame had been an abfolute Purchase, and without any Power or Liberty of Redemption. Provided always, and be it further enacted by the Authority aforefaid, 6 That, nevertheless, if it so happen there be more than one Morrgage at the same Time made, by any Person or Persons, to any Person or Persons of the same Lands and Tenements, the several late or under Mortgagecs, his, her or their Heirs, Executors, Administrators or As-6 figns, shall have Power to redeem any former Mortgage or Mortgages, upon Payment of the principal Debt, Interest, and Costs of Suit to the prior Mortgagee or Mortgagees, his, her or their Heirs, Executors,

· Administrators or Assigns. Provided always, 'That nothing in this Act contained shall be confirmed, deemed or extended to bar any Widow of any Mortgagor of Lands or Tenements from her Dower and Right in or to the faid

Lands, who did not legally join with her Husband in fuch Mortgage, or otherwise lawfully bar or exclude herself from such her Dower or Right.

It hath been held, that if a Man mortgages certain Lands to one 2 Vern. 589, Man, and mortgages those Lands with some others to another; tho' 590this feems to be a Case omitted out of the above Statute against clandestine Mortgages; yet if it appears to be a Contrivance to evade it, as if an Acre or two of Land were only added, this will not exempt it: Also a Person, who will take Advantage of the Statute, must be an honest Mortgagee; and therefore, if a Man has used any Fraud or Practice in obtaining a fecond Mortgage, he shall not have the Benefit of the Statute.

4. How far the Purchaling in a precedent Mortgage of Incumbrance will protect such Purchaser, and intitle him to a Precedency of Bedemption.

It hath been established as a Rule in the Courts of Equity, that if 2 Vent. 337. a Man mortgages Lands to A. and afterwards makes a subsequent Mort- 1 Chan. Ca. gage to B. without Notice at the Time of his making the Mortgage, 162, 166. and B, purchases in a precedent Mortgage, which stands out at Law, Hird.~173. tho' nothing on it be due in Equity, or a Statute whereon Money is due, 2 Chan Ca. which he extends, he shall hold the Land till he is satisfied what is due 208. which he extends, he shall hold the Land till ne is satisfied what is due i Vera. 187. upon both Securities, tho' he had Notice of A.'s Mortgage before his second 2 Vera. 157. Purchase of the prior Security; because, having at first innocently lent the Money, he may do what he can to secure that Money from being lost; and when he hath purchased in the prior Incumbrance, it is but just, that Equity should leave it in the same Manner that it stood at Law; for there is no Room for Equity to interpose, to take away the Security the Law had given, where the Person that has the Security comes into the Title without any Corruption at all; and it were Partiality, and not Equity, to interpose, where the Security gives the fair Lender a goodand legal Title; and it is all one whether such third Lender or Purchafer takes in a Mortgage that is an Interest vested, or a Statute, that is only a Charge; for both are real Liens, and sufficient to overthrow the Vol. III.

Title of the mesne Incumbrance; or whether Money be due on the first

Security or not, fince that does not alter the legal Title.

1 Chan. Ca. 201. 3 Chan. Rep. 67. S. C. Sir Ralph Bovey

A Man mortgages the Manor and Rectory of D, to A, and afterwards mortgages the Rectory to B. without Notice of the Mortgage to A. and then B. purchases in a precedent Incumbrance on both the Manor and Rectory; and the Question was, when B. had received all the Money ver. Skipwith. due on the first Security, whether he should receive any more Profits of the Manor, or only keep the Incumbrance on Foot to protect the Rectory; this was argued before Sir Heneage Finch Lord Keeper, in the Presence of Wild and Twisden; and the two Judges held, that B. should not receive the Profits of the Manor after the first Incumbrance was satisfied; because he had taken the Rectory only for his Security of that Sum; and it would be unreasonable, to give him a Security beyond what he had in his original Intention; but the Lord Keeper over-ruled it; for that when he had purchased the precedent Incumbrances, that comprehended both the Manor and the Rectory, and were forfeited at Law, it was but reasonable that the Estate should not be taken away by the mesne Incumbrancer here in a Court of Equity, which by no Methods could be evicted at Law; unless such Person that seeks Relief would do Equity, and pay the whole Money due on both Securities.

i Chan. Ca. 166.

But if B. the second Mortgagee, had Notice of the Mortgage of A. at the Time of his first Lending the Money, then he could not purchase in a prior Incumbrance, fo as to growd out A. because he lent it on the Prospect that A. was first to be paid, and under that immediate Expectation; and tho' the Estate would bear more Money at the Time of the Loan, yet if by prior Debts appearing, or any Accident, it is likely to fall short, it feems he cannot crowd out A of whose Interest he had Notice, since he took the Estate with his Eyes open, under Notice of A.'s Interest; and therefore, on his original taking the Security, ran all the Hazards of that Nature; for it is Corruption in B. to purchase after such Notice, with an ill Intention of destroying A.'s prior Security.

2 Chan. Ca. 20.

A Man mortgages Lands subject to an Annuity to A. and then mortgages the fame Lands to B. the Mortgagor and Annuitant borrow more Money of A. for which the Annuity is affigned, and the Lands farther charged; A. shall be allowed the whole Money, if he had no Notice of B.'s Mortgage; if he had, then only what was paid to the Annuitant.

Breerton ver. Jones, June S. 1709. Per Mafter of the Rolls.

A. mortgaged his Estate to B. and then assigned the Equity of Redemption to C. afterwards D, obtained a Judgment against A, and B, the Mortgagee assigns to D. his Mortgage; and then C. tenders the Money due on the first Mortgage to D. who had Notice of the Assignment of the Equity of Redemption, upon his purchasing in of his first Mortgage; and it was here objected, that D. having the legal Estate in him by the Assignment of the forseited Mortgage, and C. having only an equitable Interest, not supported by the legal Estate, that if C. would have Equity, he ought to do Equity, by paying off both Monies to C. But it was answered and resolved by the Court, that C. should redeem, paying only the Money due on the Mortgage, and not what was due on the Judgment; because the Equity of Redemption was never bound by the Judgment; for the Judgment was not confessed, so as to become a real Lien upon the Estate, at the Time when the Equity of Redemption was conveyed away; but it only subsisted upon Bond, which was a Security in personam, not in rem, at the Time when this Equity was assigned; and therefore the Judgment could never charge or affect it; and consequently C. purchased an Estate not bound by the Judgment; and by Consequence the Judgment Creditor, by purchasing in the prior Mortgage, could never defeat the Interest of C. It was also declared, that if a Person who had a first Mortgage, without the Consent of the Mortgagor, should purchase in a subsequent Judgment, without the Con-

fent of the Mortgagor, that a mefne Mortgagee, or Affignee of the Equity of Redemption, should not be obliged to pay the Money due on both Securities, in order to redeem, because such Transaction of the Mortgagee, was only to load the Estate without the Consent of the Owner, and had no Prospect of bettering his own Security; as in the Case where a Mort-

gagee at a third Hand purchases in the first Incumbrance.

Beeching made a Mortgage of his Estate, and became indebted to Hay- Ste, Lerson ward in 60 l. and then convey'd to Streater, another Defendant, in Trust to ver. His pay the Debt of Streater, and then all his other Debts in Average; then ward, Fib. 9, Streater tendered the Money to the Mortgagee, which he refused, and Lord Keeper afterwards affigned the Mortgage to Hayward; and then Hayward obtain- Harcourt. ed Judgment against Becebing, on his Bond of 601. and then Streater fold to the Plaintiffs, who not having paid their Purchase Money, preferred their Billagainst the Mortgagees and Hayward to redeem; the Lord Keeper ordered, that the Plaintiffs should redeem Hayward's Mortgage, and deduct their Costs out of the Mortgage Money, and that the Judgment should be paid but in Proportion; for tho' Hayward had a Title at Law, and it was infifted, that his Judgment would affect the refulting Equity in Beeching, if there was more than sufficient to pay his Debts; and none of the Creditors of Beeching were made Parties to the Suit; yet the Lord Keeper thought, that the Conveyance made for the Payment of all Beeching's Debts was a good Confideration, and that being prior to the Judgment, the subsequent Judgment could not affect the Estate; and tho' no Creditors of Reeching's were made Parties, yet they might be brought in before the Master.

If a Man lends 600 l. on a Mortgage, and afterwards discovering that 2 Fern. 279. the Estate is pre-mortgaged to J. S. he gets in an old satisfied Incumbrance, and brings his Bill against J. S. to redeem or be foreclosed, he need not prove the actual Payment of any Money for such precedent In-

cumbrance, the having the Deed or Acquittance being sufficient.

If a prior Mortgage or Statute be bought in, pending a Bill brought by <sup>2</sup> Vern. 29.

A. against the Mortgagor, and B. who buys in such precedent Statute or Leigh.

Mortgage to foreclose; tho' this Purchase be Pendente lite, yet it will protect B. he being at Liberty to do what he can for his own Security.

But where A. made a Mortgage to B. and afterwards a Commission of <sup>2</sup> Vern. 157,

Bankruptcy was taken out against him, and the Commissioners made an 160. Assignment of his Estate, and then C. lent the Bankrupt 2000 l. on a second Mortgage, having no Notice of the Bankruptcy, tho' he afterwards got in the sirst Mortgage; yet it was held by 2 Lords Commissioners against one, that this prior Mortgage should not protect the Mortgag gage subsequent to the Bankruptcy; for every one is bound to take Notice of a Commission of Bankruptcy.

And tho' a Purchaser or Mortgagee may buy in an Incumbrance, or 2 Vern. 271. lay hold on any Plank to protect himself, yet he shall not protect himfelf by the taking a Conveyance from a Trustee, after he had Notice of the Trust; for by taking such Conveyance, he becomes the Trustee

himfelf.

5. De the Equity which must be done by him, who would redeem, to the Person against whom a Redemption is prayed.

It is a Rule in Equity, that he, that will have Equity to help where the Law cannot, shall do Equity to the Parry against whom he feeks to be relieved; and that therefore where there is an Estate substituing in Law, as there is in the Mortgagee after Forseiture, Equity will not destroy it,

unless the Party redeeming will satisfy, all equitable Demands out of the Estate.

2 Chan. Ca. 164 1 Vein. 245. 2 Chan. Ca. 194.

7 Vern. 41. Reafon ver.

Sacheverel.

And on this Foundation it hath been frequently adjudged, that if a Mortgagor borrows more Money of the Mortgagee upon Bond, where 2 Chan. Rep. the Heir is bound, and dies, the Heir of the Mortgagor shall not redeem without paying the Bond-Debt, as well as that fecured by the Mortgage; because, when the Condition is broken, so that the Term or Interest becomes absolute in the Mortgagee, if the Heir of the Mortgagor will have Equity, he must do Equity by the Payment of the whole Money due to the Mortgagee; and this is called a Rebutter; but if the Bill was exhibited by the Mortgagee to foreclose, there if the Heir of the Mortgagor tender Principal and Costs, it sufficeth, without Tender of the Money due on the Bond; because such Bond was not originally any Lien on the Land itself; and if that be tendered, for which the Land was originally pledged, there is no Reason to debar the Heir of his Right of Redemption.

So where a Husband and Wife levy a Fine of the Wife's Land, to enable them to take up the Sum of 400 L and they make a Mortgage for it, and after the Mortgage is forfeited, the Husband pays in Part of the Mortgage Money, but afterwards borrows again the same Sum of the Mortgagee; and it was decreed, that the Mortgagee having the Estate in Law in him, by the Forfeiture of the Mortgage, he should hold the Land against the Heir of the Wife until the whole Money was paid; and if the Heir would not pay in the whole Principal, Interest and Costs,

he should be foreclosed.

2 Vern. 1 - 7. Preced. Chan. 1 S. S. C.

So if a Leffee for Years mortgages his Term, and afterwards borrows Money of the Mortgagee on Bond, and dies, his Executor shall not redeem without paying the Bond as well as the Mortgage.

Pre ed. Chan. 419-2 Vern. 691. Demandry ver. Metcalf.

So where a Man borrowed 200 l. on the Pawn of some Jewels, which were worth about 600 l. and took a Note from the Pawnee, acknowledging the Jewels to be in his Hands for securing of the 200 1. and afterwards the Pawner borrows at feveral Times 3 feveral other Sums of Money of the Pawnee, and gives his Note for each Sum, without taking any Manner of Notice of the Jewels, and dies; and his Executors having brought their Bill to redeem the Jewels, on Payment of the 200 l. first lent thereon and Interest; it was held, that to intitle them to such Redemption, they must pay all the Money due on the several Notes, on this Foundation, that he who will have Equity must do Equity; and that therefore fince the Plaintiffs could not have back these Jewels without the Affistance of this Court, it is reasonable and just he should pay the Defendant all Monies due to him, it being natural to suppose, the Pawnee would not have lent him those Sums, but on the Credit of the Pledge he had in his Hands before.

y Chan. Ca. ver. Halford.

If A is bound in feveral Bonds with B, as his Surety for 4000 L and 97. St. John A. conveys the Manor of C. to B. by Way of Mortgage, to counter-fecure him against the Bonds for 4000 l, and A dies, and after D, the Son and Heir of A. becomes bound with B. for 2000 l more; but there is no Agreement that the Mortgage should be a Security to D. against the Bonds for 2000 l. and after B. dies, his Heir shall not be permitted to redeem upon Payment of the 2000 l. only, but must save D. harmless, as well touching the 2000 l. as the 4000 l. for he, that would have Equity to help where the Law cannot, must do Equity to the Party against whom he feeks to be relieved.

Hard. 318. growth and Primate.

If A, acknowledge a Statute to B, for Payment of 800 l, with Interest, Sir John Hed. which being forfeited, and the Lands extended upon it, A. for a valuable Confideration fettles the fame Lands in Tail, and after borrows Money of B. and by Articles it is agreed, the Statute and Extent shall stand a Security for the last Money, and after A. dies, and the 800 l. with In-

tereft

terest is satisfied by Reception of the Profits; yet the Issue in Tail shall not be relieved against the Penalty of the Statute; for the' the Heir has an Equity, by Reason of the Tail made upon a Confideration, yet the Money lent raises an Equity for B. so that B. hath both Law and Equity, whereas the Issue in Tall hath Equity only till the Penalty is satisfied.

The Plaintiff, as Affignee of a Statute of Bankruptcy, brought his a tronger Bill to redeem a Mortgage of the Manor of Newington in Kent, made Pareven by the Bankrupt to the Defendant; the Defendant by Answer infitted, Order that he first lent the Bankrupt 200 L on a Mortgage of a particular Tenement, and afterwards lent him 300% on a Mortgage of the Manor of Newington, which was of greater Value than the Money due, but the first Mortgage was deficient in Point of Value; and it was held, that if the Plaintiff will redeem one he must redeem both.

So if a Man make two feveral Mortgages of feveral Lands, and dies, 1 Vern. 29, and one of the Mortgages is of an entailed Estate, or is deficient in Va- 245. lue, the Heir of the Mortgagor shall not be admitted to redeem one 2 Vern. 207, without the other; neither shall the Mortgagor himself redeem the one, and leave the defective Mortgage, but he mult take both together.

If a Man has a Debt owing to him by Mortgage, and another on Abr Fy 325. Bond from the fame Person, he cannot tack them together against the Challes ver. (a) Mortgagor, but he shall be let into a Redemption without Payment Cashon. of both; because the Land in his Hands is chargeable with the Rond (a) In t Vern. even at Law; and (b) fince the Statute against standulent Devises, the 244 it is held, that Devisee of the Equity of Redemption is in the same Case with the Heir, the Mortgaand cannot redeem without Payment of both; because the Statute makes gor houself fuch Devife void, as against Creditors, and then the Devisee stands in the most pay both Bond Place as the Heir must have done if no Devise had been made; but te- and Morrfore that Statute, such Devisee would not be liable to the Bond-Debt.

Chan. 419. it is faid by my Lord Chancellor, that if a Sum be secured, by a Mortgage of Lands, the Mortgagor shall not be admitted to redeem after the Day of Payment is lapled without paying likewise all that is due to the Mortgagee on Notes or simple Contract; but that it is otherwise, it such subsequent Debts had been secured by Bond. (b) But before the Statuse, the Devisee of the Equity of Redemption was not obliged to pay both. Abr. Eq. 325. Preced. Chan. 89.

Also it hath been held, that if the Heir of the Mortgagor alien the Preced. Class. Lands, the Purchasor, on a Bill brought by him for a Redemption after 511. Colon an Forfeiture, shall not be obliged to pay both the Mortgage Money, ver. Won.e. and also a Bond-Debt due from the Mortgagor; for the' the Heir must have paid both in such a Case; yet the Reason of that is; because the Heir is expressly bound, and his Person is become: Debtor, and not the Lands, and confequently the Lands in the Hands of the Alienee can be charged with nothing but what is an immediate Lieu thereon, which the Bond is not.

So if a Man, possessed of a Term for Years, mortgages it, and dies Proced Clan. indebted to the Mortgagee in a Bond-Debt, if the Executor brings a 5.2-per cap Bill to redeem, he must pay both; because the Equity of Redemption of the Term is Affets in his Hands; but if he alien the Equity of Redemption of his Term, tho' he shall be answerable for the Value, as it is to far a Devaffavit, yet the Purchasor shall be charged with no more than was immediately borrowed upon it.

If a Bill is brought by an Heir at Law, or any other Person, against a Mortgagee, whereby the Party would avoid the Mortgage, under Pretence his Ancestor was only Tenant for Life, and he seeks for a Discovery of Deeds and Writings to avoid the Title of the Mortgagee, he shall never have such a Discovery, unless he, by his Bill, submits to confirm the Title, and then he shall.

Father Tenant for Life, Remainder to the Son in Tail; the Father 2 Char. Ca. mortgages the Land, and dies; the Mortgagee, by a third Hand, procures 23. Brendy the Son to borrow. Money of him, as Tenant in Fee, on a Mortgage of ver. Hamthe mond. Vol. III.

gage. - And in Proced.

the Premisses; this shall not inure to make good the Money lent the Father; for tho' the Mortgagee hath got the legal Estate, yet 'tis only pledged for Money lent to the Son, and the Money lent to the Father was on another Estate, to which the Son is an absolute Stranger; and therefore the Court will not compel the Son to pay the Debt of the Father, from whom he did not claim. But if the Tenant in Tail had mortgaged without Notice of the Entail, and the Mortgagee had got the Deed into his Possession, Equity will not compel him to discover such Deed to overthrow his own Possession, since his Estate arises upon a valuable Consideration, and the Heir in Tail claims under the Ancestor who made the Mortgage, especially if the Mortgage had worked a Discontinuance.

1 Vern. 262. Foster ver. Merchant. So where a Lunatick, before he became fuch, made a Mortgage of a good Part of his Estate for 50 l. and the Committee transferred this Mortgage, and took up 3 or 400 l. more upon it; and my Lord Chancellor declared, the Mortgage should stand a Security for the 50 l. only.

#### 6. At what Time the Redemption must be.

1 Chan. Ca.

When a Man made a Feoffment in Fee upon Condition, that if the Feoffor paid a Sum of Money at a Day he should re-enter at Law; if the Money was not paid at the Day, the Estate was gone for ever; this made Pledging, according to the Rules of the Common Law, very infecure, and also made it necessary for the Court of Equity to interpose; because the Words of the Condition bound down the Construction at Common Law to the Payment at the precise Day; yet a Trust is supposed between the Mortgagor and Mortgagee, that in Case the Payment was afterwards made, the Mortgagor might have up the Lands; and this the rather, because the Land was esteemed only a Pledge for Money, and that it would be a very unconscionable Thing, that the Mortgagee should take Advantage of the Non-payment at the precise Day, when Lands were generally pledged but for half Value; and in this the Chancellors, who were Ecclesiasticks, were more generally confirmed from the Reasonings of the Civil Law herein beforementioned.

1 Chan. Ca. 102. 1 Chan. Rep. 97, 184, 206. Abr. Eq. 313, 314. 2 Vern. 377.

But tho' a Redemption has been allowed, yet no Time has been limited when the same may be; but when a Man comes in at an old Hand, it hath been sometimes decreed, that the Possessor should account no farther, than for the Profits made in his own Time, to discourage the stirring in such dormant Titles; but 'tis the common Doctrine in the Courts of Equity, that there is no Time limited; for 'tis not within the Statute of Limitations, and the Courts of Equity are tender of settling any set Time; because a Man can never be injured, if he receives Principal, Interest and Costs; and the Proprietor is injured, if he parts with his Possessor under the true Value; but sometimes the Court hath allowed Length of Time to be pleaded in Bar, where the mortgaged Estate hath descended, as a Fee, without Entry or Claim from the Mortgagor, and where the Possessor would be entangled in a long Account.

2 Vent. 340. Ewre ver. White. And therefore, at a Rehearing before my Lord Keeper, affisted with Justice Vaughan and Turner, concerning the Redemption of a Mortgage, which had been made above 40 Years, my Lord Keeper declared, that he would not relieve Mortgages after 20 Years; for that the Statute of Limitations did adjudge it reasonable to limit the Time of one's Entry to that Number of Years, unless there are some particular Circumstances that may vary the ordinary Case, as Infants, Femes Covert, &c. who are provided for by the very Statute; tho' these Matters in Equity are to be governed by the Course of the Court, and that it is best to square the Rules of Equity as near the Rules of Reason and Law as may be.

A Bill

A Bill was exhibited to redeem a Mortgage; 'to which the Defendant 1 Korr 4 % demurred; because, by the Plaintiff's own snewing it appeared the firming. Mortgage was fixty Years old; but upon Argument the Demurrer was over-ruled; because it was charged in the Bill, that the Mortgagor agreed the Mortgagee should enter and hold till he was satisfied, which is in the Nature of a (a) H'elsh Mortgage.

(a) In a Co

Lease and Release there was a Proviso, that if A. his Heirs or Assigns, should on Asico Day then next ensuing, or any other Mich. Day following, pay to B. his Heirs or Asingns, the Sum of 300 L. (the Mortgage-Money) and all Arrears of Rent or Interest which should be then due, then the faid College veyance was to cease, without any other Covenant for Payment of the Money; this was held to be a Well Mortgage, being in Nature of a conditional Purchase, subject to be defeated on Payment, by the Mertgagor, or his Heirs, of the Sum flipulated between them at any Alich. Day, at the Election of the Mortgagor or his Heirs; and that here being an everlafting fubfilling Right of Redemption, defeendible to the Heirs of the Mortgagor, the fame could not be forfeited at Law, like other Morra gages; and this was faid to be a common Practice in Wales, (proceeding from their Pride,) being done with a Design to keep the Estate for ever in their Family. Preced. Chan 423-4.

A Mortgage was made to A. in the Year 1639, to indemnify him against 2 Vern. 418. Debts for which he was ingaged for the Mortgagor; and in the Year 1649. Abr. Eg. 314. Bentered into the mortgaged Premisses, and had Possession, and afterwards ver. Turner. conveyed away feveral Parts of the mortgaged Premisses to feveral Perfons; and feveral Sales and Marriage Settlements had been made of them; in the Year 1663, a Bill was brought to redeem; but all the Affignees were not Parties; and a Decree to Account, and a Report made, and Exceptions taken to that Report; and fo it rested for about eighteen Years; and then another Bill was brought; and another Decree to redeem; but no l'rosecution upon it from the Year 1676, till 1697, and then the Plaintiff, having purchased the Equity of Redemption of those Lands (inter alia) from the Heirs of the Mortgagor, brought his Bill to redeem. The Objections against it were the Length of Time, the many derivative Titles that had been made, and when no Suit was depending, and the Difficulty of taking the Account. To which it was answered, that there had been fresh Pursuits, and that the Dissignity of the Account had been occasioned by the Mortgagees themselves, and that there were Infants in the Case. My Lord Keeper held there ought to be no Redemption; and that Length of Time excuses the Mortgagee for taking the Estate as his own, and using it accordingly; and none that have come under him have done amifs; and tho' there were Infants in the Case, yet the Time having begun on the Ancestor, it shall run even upon Infants, as it is at Law in the case of a Fine; and there is one great Objection to a Redemption in this Case, that it does not appear that the Plaintiff paid any Thing for this Equity of Redemption, only had it thrown into his Bargain.

The Plaintiff's Grandfather in the Year 1686, had made a Mortgage of Abr. Eq. 316. the Estate in Question, which was proved to be about nine or ten Pound's Knowles ver. per Annum, for securing about 1001. in the Year 1696. this Mortgage Spence. was affigued over to the Defendant; who by Agreement was then let into Possession, and had continued so ever fince, and was now about ninety Years of Age; the Mortgagor died several Years since, leaving the Plaintiff's Father his eldeft Son and Heir of full Age, who likewife died in the Year 1714. leaving the Plaintiff his eldest Son and Heir, then about twelve Years of Age; who brought this Bill for an Account, and to be let into a Redemption of the Estate in Question; but which the Defendant had been in Possession of thirty-three Years, and so was greatly over-paid his Principal and Interest. But my Lord Chancellor dismissed his Bill; and ordered it to be entered down as one of the Reasons for dismissing the Bill, that the Plaintiff had no Remedy by Ejesiment at Law, to recover the Possession, being barred by the Statute of Limitations; and he thought that a reasonable Guide for this Court to sollow, as to the Redemption in Equity; and tho' the Plaintiff was an Infant at

his Father's Death, yet the Computation of Time began long before, when there was no Infancy in the Case, and therefore will run on against Infants after.

#### 7. Of the Manner of redeeming and lozeclosing.

7 Geo. 2. cap. more cafy Redemption

The Methods of Redemption and Foreclosing being dilatory and expen-20. For the five, and inconvenient, not only to the Mortgagee but also to the Mortgagor, the same seem now remedied by the 7 Geo. 2. cap. 20. which reciting, that whereas Mortgagees frequently bring Actions of Ejectment fure of Mort- for the Recovery of Lands and Estates to them mortgaged, and bring Actions on Bonds given by Mortgagors to pay Money fecured by fuch Mortgages, and for performing the Covenants therein contained; and likewise commence Suits in his Majesty's Courts of Equity to foreclose their Mortgagors from redocming their Estates; and the Courts of Law, where fuch Ejectments are brought, have not Power to compel fuch Mortgagees to accept the principal Money, and Interests due on such Mortgages, and Costs, or to stay such Mortgagees from proceeding to Judgment and Execution in fuch Actions, but fuch Mortgagors must have Recourse to a Court of Equity for that Purpose; in which Case the Courts of Equity do not give Relief until the Hearing of the Cause; for Remedy thereof, and to obviate all Objections relating to the same, it is enacted, 'That from and after the first Day of Easter Term 1734. where any Action shall be brought on any Bond for the Payment of the Money fecured by fuch Mortgage, or Performance of the Covenants therein contained; or where any Action of Ejectment shall be brought in any of his Majesty's Courts of Record at Westminster, or in the Court of Sessions in Wales, or in any of the Superior Courts in the Counties Palatine of Chefter, Lancafter or Durham, by any Mortgagee or Mortgagees, his, her or their Heirs, Executors, Administrators or Assigns, for the Recovery of the Possession of any mortgaged Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, and no Suit shall be then depending in any of his Majesty's Courts of Equity, in that Part of Great Britain called England, for or touching the foreclosing or redeeming such mortgaged Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments; if the Person or Persons having Right to redeem such mortgaged Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, and who shall appear and become Defendant or Defendants in fuch Action, shall, at any Time pending such Action, pay unto fuch Mortgagee or Mortgagees, or in case of his, her or their Refusal, shall bring into Court, where such Action shall be depending, all the principal Money and Interest due on such Mortgage, and also all such Costs as have been expended in any Suit or Suits at Law or in Equity upon fuch Mortgage, (fuch Money for Principal, Interest and Costs, to be ascertained and computed by the Court where fuch Action is or shall be depending, or by the proper Officer, by fuch Court to be appointed for that Purpose,) the Monies so paid to ' fuch Mortgagee or Mortgagees, or brought into fuch Court, shall be deemed and taken to be in full Satisfaction and Discharge of such 6 Mortgage; and the Court shall and may discharge every such Mortgagor or Defendant of and from the same accordingly; and shall and may, by Rule or Rules of the fame Court, compel fuch Mort-6 gagee or Mortgagees, at the Costs and Charges of such Mortgagor or Mortgagors, to affign, furrender or re-convey fuch mortgaged Lands, 'Tenements and Hereditaments, and such Estate and Interest, as such 6 Mortgagee or Mortgagees have or hath therein, and deliver up all Deeds, Evidences and Writings in his, her or their Custody, relating to the 6 Title of fuch mortgaged Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments; and

fuch Mortgagor or Mortgagors, who shall have paid or brought such Monies into the Court, his, her or their Heirs, Executors or Administrators, or to such other Person or Persons as he, she or they shall for that Purpose nominate or appoint.

Sed. 2. And it is further enacted by the Authority aforefaid, ' That from and after the faid Easter Term 1734. where any Bill or Bills, Suit or Suits shall be filed, commenced or brought, in any of his Majesty's Courts of Equity in that Part of Great Britain called England, by any Person or Persons having or claiming any Estate, Right or Interest in any Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, under or by Virtue of any Mortgage or Mortgages thereof, to compel the Defendant or Defendants in fuch Suit or Suits, (having or claiming a Right to redeem the same,) to pay the Plaintiff or Plaintiffs in such Suit or Suits the principal Money and Interest due on any such Mortgage; or the principal Money and Interest due on such Mortgage, together with any Sum or Sums of Money due on any Incumbrance or Specialty, charged or chargeable on the Equity of Redemption thereof; and in Default of Payment thereof, to forcelofe such Desendant or Desendants of his, her or their Right or Equity of Redeeming fuch mortgaged Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments; and upon his or their, admitting the Right and Title of the Plaintiff or Plaintiffs in fuch Suit, may and ' shall, at any Time or Times before such Suit or Cause shall be brought to Hearing, make such Order or Decree therein, as such Court or Courts might or could have made therein, in cafe fuch Suit or Caufe had then been regularly brought to Hearing before such Court or Courts; and all Parties to fuch Suit or Suits shall be bound by fuch Or-6 der or Decree so made to all Intents and Purposes, as if such Order or Decree had been made by fuch Court at or fublequent to the Hearing of fuch Cause or Suit; any Usage to the contrary thereof in any wise notwithstanding.

Sect. 3. Provided always, 'That this Act, or any Thing herein contained, shall not extend to any Case, where the Person or Persons, against whom the Redemption is or shall be prayed, shall (by Writing under his, her or their Hands, or the Hand of his, her or their Attorney, Agent or Solicitor, to be delivered before the Money shall be brought into such Court at Law to the Attorney or Solicitor for the other Side) insist either that the Party praying a Redemption has not a Right to redeem, or that the Premisses are chargeable with other or different principal Sums than what appear on the Face of the Mortgage, or shall be admitted on the other Side; nor to any Case where the Right of Redemption to the mortgaged Lands and Premisses in Question, in any Cause or Suit, shall be controverted or questioned, by or between different Desendants in the same Cause or Suit; nor shall be any Prejudice to any subsequent Mortgagee or Mortgagees, or subsequent Incumbrancer; any Thing in this Act to the contrary thereof

in any wife notwithstanding.

# (F) Mortgagees and their Assignees how to account, and what Allowances to have.

THE Mortgagee is answerable in Equity, when he comes into the Pof- 1 Chan. Ca. fession of Lands, for the Profits that he made of the Lands, and not 258. for the Profits which he might have made, unless there were Fraud; 1 Vern. 476. for it is the Fault and Laches of the Mortgagor, that he would let the Lands lapse into the Hands of the Mortgagee, by the Non-payment of Vol. III.

8 E

the

the Money, and when it doth, he is only a Bailiff for what he doth receive, but is not bound to the Trouble and Pains of making the best of what is another's.

[ Vern. 45.

And therefore a Mortgagee shall not be bound by any Proof, that the Land was worth so much, unless it can likewise be proved, that he did actually make so much of it, or might have done so, had it not been for his wilful Default, as if he turned out a sufficient Tenant that held it at so much Rent, or refused to accept a sufficient Tenant that would have

given fo much for it.

3 Chan. Ca. 3.

If a Mortgagee in Possession assigns over his Mortgage without Assent of the Mortgagor, the Mortgagee is bound to answer the Profits both before and after the Assignment, tho' assigned only for his own Debt; for he is under a Trust to answer the Profits of the Pledge, and it is a Breach of Trust to assign such Pledge to a Person insolvent; but Quære, if the Mortgagor hides, so that he cannot be served with a Subpana to foreclose, whether the Mortgagee may not affign, and not be answerable for the Profits after Affignment.

1 Chan. Ca. 67, 258. 1 Vern. 169. 2 Vern. 135.

If the Mortgagee affigns his Mortgage, and the Mortgagor comes to redeem against the Assignee, all Monies really paid by the Assignee, either as principal or Interest, shall be Principal to the Assignee, and shall bear Interest; otherwise it is, if the Assignee had not paid the Money, and the Affignment was only colourable, in order to load the Mortgagor

with compound Interest:

If a Stranger get an Affignment of a Mortgage for less than is due, the Mortgagor, or his Heir, shall not redeem without paying all the Money due; but if a Man purchases the mortgaged Lands, without Notice of this Incumbrance, whether he has not an Equity to redeem them for what was really paid by the Stranger is made a Quere.

But if there are subsequent Incumbrancers, or Creditors in the Case, a Man who buys in a prior Incumbrance, shall against them be allowed "only what he really paid, tho' there was in Truth a greater Sum due.

2 Vern. 536. Langley.

If an Infant, by his Guardian, endeavours to overthrow the Mortgage Ramsden ver. by a supposed Intail, and after a special Verdict, and great Agitation at Law, the Mortgagee prevails, and the Infant brings his Bill to redeem, the Mortgagee having sworn he paid and expended above 1201. in defending his Mortgage at Law, altho' he had but 60 l. Costs allowed him there, shall not be held down to the Taxation at Law, but shall on the Account be allowed all he laid out or expended; and if the Mortgagee in this Case, searing that his Mortgage would be defeated at Law, gets Administration, as principal Creditor, in the spiritual Court, he shall be allowed the Costs expended there also.

1 Vern. 270.

The Mortgagee obtained Judgment in Ejectment, and entered on the mortgaged Premisses, and thereby prevented other Creditors that had subsequent Incumbrances from entering, and yet permitted the Mortgagor to take the Profits, and the other Incumbrancers coming to redeem him, the Court ordered the Mortgagee should be charged with all the

Profits he had, or might have received fince his Entry.

1 Vern. 258, 267.

So where a Bankrupt, before he became fuch, having made a Mortgage of his Estate, and the Assignees of the Statute brought an Ejectment for Recovery of the Lands comprized in the Mortgage, and the Mortgagee refused to enter, but suffered the Bankrupt to take the Profits, and to fence against the Assignees with the Mortgage; and it was held, that the Mortgagee should be charged with the Profits from the Time of the Ejectment delivered.

2 Vern. 401. Daveling.

A. mortgaged the Manor of  $\mathcal{I}$ . to B. to which an Advowson was ap-Amburst ver. pendant; B. brought a Bill to foreclose, the Church became void, and he likewise brought a Quare Impedit at Law; and on a Motion to stay the Proceedings on the Quare Impedit, the Court held, that tho' A. had no Bill, yet being ready, and offering to pay the Principal, Interest and

Coits:

Costs; if B. will not accept his Money, Interest shall cease, and an Injunction to stay Proceedings on the Quare Impedit granted; for the Mortgagee can make no Benefit by prefenting to the Church, nor can account for any Value in Respect thereof to fink or lessen his Debt; and the Mortgagee therefore, in that Case, is but in the Nature of a Trustee for the Mortgagor.

It was decreed, that a Mortgagee having received 8 l. per Cent. fince the 50. Walker Year 1660, should account for the 21. per Cent. over Value, to fink the ver Penrin. principal Mortgage Money; but if the Principal and Interest were over Vid. paid, the Parties must shake Hands, for there shall be no Refunding.

A. makes a Jointure of an Equity of Redemption, and afterwards becomes a Bankrupt, the Commissioners assign this Equity of Redemption, I Vern 179, and the Assignees state an Account. The Jointress brings her Bill to be Rambsfield.

The Jointress brings her Bill to be Rambsfield.

Bambsfield. gee, and that they had allowed more Money than was due on the Morrgage. Lord Keeper, the Assignees stand in the Place of the Husband, and the Account stated by them ought to be as conclusive as if stated by the Husband, and the Charge is not right in the Bill, being too general; however the Plaintiff had Leave to amend her Bill.

Mortgagor and Mortgagee fettle an Account before a Master, and now 1 Cha. Ca. a subsequent Mortgagee sues for a new Account, supposing the former Ac-299.

Needler ver. count to be false, and made by Consent, but did not insist upon any Deeble. Particulars; and the Lord Chancellor declared, that the Account should bind the second Mortgagee, if the Fraud and Collusion were answered.

7. S. mortgaged his Estate to the Plaintiff, and died, leaving the De- Abr Fq. 287. fendant his Daughter and Heir, who was an Infant, and had nothing to Earl of Chef-Subsist on but the Rents of the mortgaged Estate; and the Interest be-terfield, ver. ing suffered to run in Arrear 3 Years and a Half, the Plaintiff grew un- well. easy at it, and threatened to enter on the Estate, unless his Interest might be made Principal; upon which the Defendant's Mother, with the Privity of her nearest Relations, stated the Account, and the Defendant herself, who was then near of Age, figned it; and the Account being admitted to be fair, it was held by my Lord Chancellor, that tho' regularly Interest shall not carry Interest, yet that in some Cases, and upon some Circumstances, it would be Injustice if Interest should not be made Principal; and the rather in this Case, because it was for the Infant's Benefit, who, without this Agreement, would have been destitute of Subfistence.

If A. mortgages for 450 l. payable at the End of 5 Years with Interest, 2 Vern. 135. at 51. per Cent. in the mean Time, and about two Months before the End Gladman ver. of the 5 Years, the Mortgagee assigns over the Mortgage for 5601. being Henchman. the Principal and Interest then due, the 5601. Shall carry Interest, tho' the 5 Years were not elapsed, the Mortgage being forfeited by the Nonpayment of Interest.

If the Mortgagor tenders the Moncy, and the Mortgagee refuses, he i Chan. Ca. loses the Interest from the Time of the Tender; because it is but a Pledge 29; for the Money, and if the Money be tendered, he ought not to keep the 2 Chan. Ca. Pledge; and no Man ought to pay for the Forbearance, when he hath the Money ready.

The Plaintiff had made a Mortgage in Fee of his Estate, which by Abr Eq. feveral mefne Affignments was come to Sir William Dodwell, and there be- 318-9. Sir ing likewise two several Terms for Years standing out, they were assigned Fobn Augen to Trustees, in Trust for Sir William Dodwell, to protect the Inheritance, tors of Sir and subject to the same Equity of Redemption; the Plaintiff and Sir William Dod-William fettled an Account of what was due; and there appearing to be well. due thereon 44.00 l. principal Money, the Interest was then paid off, and at the same Time Sir Hilliam Doda ell gave a Note, whereby he promised, that on Payment of the Sum of 4479 L or thereabouts, on the 23 October then next, being the Interest computed to that Time, he would reconvey the Inheritance to the Plaintiff and his Heirs, and would procure

Presed Chairs

his Trustecs to assign the two Terms for Years, as the Plaintiff should direct. In August following Sir William Dodwell died, and the Defendants were his Executors; and he likewise lest the Desendant Mary, his only Child and Heir at Law, an Infant of about 8 Years of Age; the Plaintiff provided the Money, and on the 23 October tendered a Bank-Bill of 4500 l. to one of the Executors (there being 4 in all) for him to take thereout what was then due for Principal and Interest; but the Executors, having none of them proved the Will, he refused to accept the Tender; upon which the Plaintiff asked him, if he objected to the Len gality of the Tender, being in a Bank-Bill and not in Money, and that if he did, he would immediately turn it into Money; to which the other answered, he had no Objection to the Tender, but not having proved the Will, he would not accept of the Money. Afterwards the Plaintiff made the like Tender to another of the Executors, who likewise refused to accept of it, not having proved the Will; but he objected to the Legality of the Tender, not being in Money. Afterwards all the 4 Executors proved the Will; and the Bill was brought to redeem, on Payment. of 4400 l. and Interest, to the 23 October, being the Time mentioned in the Note, and that the Plaintiff might not be obliged to pay Interest beyond that Time, as the Executors infifted he ought; and 'twas held by my Lord Chancellor, that this Tender in a Bank-Note was not, strictly. speaking, a legal Tender; but since it was proved the Plaintiff offered to turn it into Money, that made it a good Tender. 2dly, It was clearly. agreed, that any, or either of the Executors, before Probate, might have received, and given a good Discharge for the Money, especially when, as appeared in this Case, they afterwards proved the Will, and so were Executors, ab initio. 3 dly, That tho' they were Executors only in Trust for the Daughter, who was an Infant, yet none of them could be in a better Case than Sir William Dodwell himself would have been, if he had been living; and fuch Tender, under these Circumstances, would have bound him; fo it will his Executors and Devisee; and therefore decreed a Redemption on Payment of the 4400 l. and Interest to the 23 October, the Time mentioned in the Note, and no longer, and no Costs on either. Side; and the Infant, Heir at Law, on Payment of the Money to the Executors, was to convey the Inheritance descended to her, according to the Act 7-Ann. for obliging Infant Trustees to assign and convey.

# Murder and Homicide.

. . . )

HE taking away the Life of another, whether it amount to Felony or not, is called by the general Name of Homicide, and is thus branched out and distinguished by our Law.

1. Into Murder, which is usually defined the wilful Killing Bratt. 1341 of a Person thro' Malice prepense; and it is said, that anciently it sig- Stamf. 17. nified only the private Killing of a Man, for which, by Force of Law, Keeling 121. introduced by King Canutus, for the Preservation of his Danes, the Town or Hundred, where the Fact was done, was (a) amerced, unless it could to Absolute be (b) proved, that the Person slain was an Englishman, or unless they and Limited could produce the Offender; and this Law was provided to avoid the Monarchy, fecret Murder of the Danes, who were hated by the English, and often- (a) The A. times privily murdered by them.

was 46

Marks. Wilk. Sax. Law 280. (b) This Proof was called Englesbire, and was various according to the Custom of several Places, but most ordinarily it was by the Testimony of two Males, of the Part of the Father of him that was slain, and by two Females of the Part of the Mother. I Hal. Hist. P. C.

But this Law having been abolished by 14 E. 3. the Killing of any 1 Hal. Hist. Englishman or Foreigner through Malice prepense, whether committed P. C. 450. openly or fecretly, was by Degrees called Murder, and punished with Hawk. 78. Death; but by the Common Law, as also by the Statute of 25 E. 3. cap. 4. Clergy was promiscuously allowed, as well in Case of Murder, as of Homicide or Manslaughter, before the Statutes of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. 25 H. 8. cap. 3. 1 E. 6. cap. 12. 5 & 6 Ed. 3. cap. 10. by which Clergy is taken away from Murder ex Malitia Præcogitata.

2. Manslaughter, by which is understood such Killing, as happens either 3 Inst. 55. on a sudden Quarrel, or in the Commission of an unlawful Act, without Date cap. 94. any deliberate Intention of doing any Mischief at all, and in which the 1 Hal. Hist. Offender is allowed his Clergy; tho' it be Felony, and differs from Murder only in Degree and Quality. Hence it is, that upon an Indictment Hawk. 76. of Murder, the Party offending may be acquitted of Murder, and yet found guilty of Manslaughter, as is every Day's Practice; and as it is done without Premeditation, it is held, that there can be no Accessaries to it before the Fact.

3. Homicide per Infortunium or Chance-Medley, is, where a Man in do- 1 Hal. Hift. ing a lawful Act, without any Intent of Hurt, unfortunately chances to P. C. 417. kill another; and tho' this be not Felony, yet as the King hath lost a 1 Hawk. P. Subject, and in order to make Men the more careful of their Actions, the Law punishes the Offender with the Loss of his Goods.

4. Homicide se defendendo is, where one who has no other possible 1 Hal. Hift. Means of preserving his Life from one who combats with him, on a sud- P. C. 478. den Quarrel, kills the Person, by whom he is reduced to such an inevita- 1 Hawk. 73. ble Necessity; and in this Case as in the former, the Party forfeits his Goods, tho' it be not Felony.

Justifiable Homicide is, 1st, Where in Defence of a Man's House, he 1 Hal. Histo kills one who attempts to burn it, or to commit in it Murder, Robbery, P. C. 424. or other Felony. 2dly, Where in Defence of a Man's Person, he kills 1 Hawk. F. one who affaults him in the Highway, with an Intent to murder or rob C. 70. him. 3dly, Where the Killing happens in the Advancement and due Execution of publick Justice, as where a Felon flies from those who endeavour to apprehend him, &c. and this is fo far from being Felony, that it causes no Forfeiture whatsoever.

But for the better understanding these several Species of Homicide, it will be necessary to consider.

- (A) In what Cales a Man may be said to hill ano-
- (B) Tuho are fuch Persons, by killing of whom a Person may be said to commit Wurder.
- (C) What hall be deemed Hurder: And herein,
  - 1. Where it shall be faid to be express Murder, and of Malice prepense.
  - 2. Where the Malice shall be said to be implyed, or by Prefumption of Law: And herein,
    - 1. Where the Homicide being voluntarily committed, and , without Provocation, the Law implies Malice.
      - 2. When done on an Officer or Minister of Justice. -
      - 3. When done by Perfons in the Execution of some other unlawful Act,
- (D) Of Manclaughter, and therein of Manclaughter exempt from Clergy by the Statute of 1 Jac. 1.
- (E) Of Justifiable Homicide: And herein,
- As it happens in the due Execution and Advancement of Tallpublick Juffice.
- 2. As it happens in the Defence of a Man's Person, House or Goods.
- (F) Df Excufable Homicide: And herein,

i. Of Homicide per Infortunium, or Chance-Medley. 

# (A) In what Cales a Man may be said to kill another.

3 Inft. 48. Palm. 548. 1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 431-2. 1 Hawk. P. C. 78.

'S there are as many Ways of Killing, as there are Modes by which A one may die, Moriendi mille Figure, it is laid down in general, that not only he, who by a Wound or Blow, or by Poisoning, Strangling or Famishing, &c. directly causes another's Death; but also in many Cases, he, who by wilfully and deliberately doing a Thing, which apparently endangers another's Life, thereby occasions his Death, shall be adjudged to kill him.

Cromp. 24. b. Hence in the Case of that unnatural Mother, who left her Child in an Dalt cap. 93. Orchard covered only with Leaves, in which Condition it was struck by 1 Hal. Hift. a Kite, and died thereof, it was adjudged Murder. ied thereof, it was adjudged made ... So 432.

1 Hawk. 78.

1

So in the Case of that unnatural Son, who earried his fick Father, Cromp. 24. b. against his Consent, in cold frosty Weather from one Town to another, Cromp. 24. by Reason whereof he died.

1 Hale's Hift. 432. 1 Hawk. 78.

So if by Duress of Imprisonment a Prisoner die, it is Murder in the Britt. cap. 11. Gaoler; and this Duress is said to be inflicted on every one, that by the fett. 38. Usage of his Keeper is brought nearer to Death and further from Life; Fitz. Indistand therefore it is faid, not to be material whether it proceeds from the nent 3.

Neglect and Carelefness of the Gaoler, or from any actual Violence; Lamb. 243. Neglect and Carelesness of the Gaoler, or from any actual Neglect and Care

466. — And that therefore where any Person dies in Gaol, the Coroner ought to be sent for to me quire of the Manner of his Death. 1 Hale's Hist. 432.

So where one, by Duress of Imprisonment, compels a Man to accuse Stanf. 36. an innocent Person, who on his Evidence is condemned and executed; 3 left. 91.

this is Murder. Nil resert an quis mortem inserat, aut causam mortis prebeat.

So in Judgment of Law, a Man may be said to kill one, who in Ploto 19. a. Truth is killed by another, or by himself; as where a Man incites a Dalt. cap. 93. Madman to kill himself, or another; or where A. by Force takes the Hale's High Arm of B. and the Weapon in his Hand, and therewith stabs C. where- 434. of he dies, this is Murder in A.

So if a Man lays Poison with an Intent to kill one Man, which is ac- 9 Co. St. cidentally taken by another, who dies thereof, this is Murder. i.i. . . . Plow. 474.

So if a Woman be with Child, and a Person gives her a Potion to Hale's Hist. destroy the Child within her, and she takes it, and it works so strongly 429. that it kills her, this is Murder.

Also a Person, who wilfully neglects to prevent a Mischief, which he Fitz. Coron may and ought to provide against, is answerable for any ill Consequences 311. that may infue his Neglect: And on this Foundation it is held by fome Stamf. 17.

Opinions, that if a Man have an Ox, Horse, &c. which he knows to be mischievous by heing used to gore or strike those who some pain them.

I Hawk P.C. mischievous, by being used to gore or strike those, who come near them, 49% and he neglects to tie them up, by which they kill a Person, that the Cwner may be indicted, as having himself seloniously killed him; which feems agreeable to the (a) fewish Law; but herein my Lord Hale lays (a) Exod. car. down the following Particulars, which he fays feem to him to be agree- xxi. v. 29. able to Law.

1. If the Owner have Notice of the Quality of his Beaft, and it 1 Hale's Hift.

doth any Body Hurt, he is chargeable with an Action for it. 1: 430
2. Tho' he have no particular Notice that he did any such Thing 1 Hale's Hift. before, yet if it be a Beass that is Feræ Nature, as a Lion, a Bear, a 430. Wolf, yea an Ape or Monkey, if he get loose and do Harm to any Perfon, the Owner is liable to an Action for the Damage; as was adjudged in Andrew Baker's Case, whose Child was bit by a Monkey that broke his Chain and got loofe.

3. And therefore, in case of such a Wild Beast, or in ease of a Bull or 1 Hale's Hist-Cow that doth Damage, where the Owner knows of it, he musty at his 430. Peril, keep him up safe from doing Hurt; for tho' he use his Diligence to keep him up, if he escape and do Harm, the Owner is liable to anfwer Damages.

4. But as to the Foint of Felony, if the Owner have: Notice of the 1 Hale's Hift. Quality of the Ox, &c. and use all due Diligence to keep him up, yet the 431. Ox breaks loofe and kills a Man; this is no Felony in the Owner, but the Ox is a Deodand.

5. But if he did not use that due Diligence, but thro' Negligence the 1 Hale's Hift. Beaft goes abroad, after Warning or Notice of his Condition, and kills 431. a Man, it is Manslaughter in the Owner.

6. But if he did purposely let him loose, or wander abroad, with Defign to do Mischief, nay, tho' it were with Design only to fright People, and make Sport, and it kill a Man, it is Murder in the Owner; and this, he fays, he had heard had been fo ruled at the Affizes held at St. Albans; but he adds, this is only a Hearfay.

1 Hale's Hift. 429.

If a Physician gives a Person a Potion, without any Intent of doing him any bodily Hurt, but with an Intent to cure or prevent a Difease, and, contrary to the Expectation of the Physician, it kills him, this is

no Homicide; and the like of a Chirurgeon.

Stamf. 16. b. Pult. 22. b. Crom. 27. 43 E. 3. 33. b. Fitz. Coron. 1 Hawk. P.C.

But some hold, that if a Person, not duly authorized to be a Physician or Surgeon, undertake a Cure, and the Patient die under his Hand, he is guilty of Felony; but this Opinion, fays my Lord Hale, is erroneous; for Physick and Salves were before licensed Physicians and Surgeons; and therefore, if they be not licensed according to the Statutes of 3 H. 8. cap. 1 Hale's Hift. 11. or 14 H. 8. cap. -. they are liable to the Penalties in the Statutes, but are not guilty of Murder or Manslaughter; and herewith agreeth Hawkins, who fays, that the charitable Endeavours of those Gentlemen, who study to qualify themselves to give Advice of this Kind, in order to assist their poor Neighbours, can by no Means deserve so severe a Construction from their happening to fall into some Mistakes in their Prefcriptions, from which the most learned and experienced cannot always be fecure. But as it is highly rash and presumptuous for unskilful Persons to undertake Matters of this Nature, the Law cannot well be too severe in this Case; in order to deter ignorant People from endeavouring to get a Livelihood by fuch Practice; which cannot be followed, without the manifest Hazard of the Lives of those that have to do with them.

1 Hale's Hift. 432.

If a Person, who is infected with the Plague, having a Plague-sore running upon him, goes abroad to the Intent to infect another, and another is thereby infected, and dies; this it feems is Murder by the Common Law; but if no fuch Intention evidently appear, tho' de facto by his Conversation another be infected, it is no Felony by the Common Law,

tho' it be a great Misdemeanor.

1 Hale's Hifl. 429.

If a Man, either by Working upon the Fancy of another, or possibly by harsh or unkind Usage, put another into such Passion of Grief or Fear, that the Party either die suddenly, or contract some Disease, whereof he dies; tho', as the Circumstances of the Case may be, this may be Murder or Manslaughter in the Sight of God, yet in foro humano it cannot come under the Judgment of Felony; because no external Act of Violence was offered, whereof the Common Law can take Notice; and fecret Things belong to God.

But in all these Cases it is agreed, that no Person shall be adjudged, Stanif. 21. by any Act whatever, to kill another, who doth not (a) die thereof Dalt. cap. 93. by any Act whatever, to all the Computation whereof the whole 1 Hawk. P.C. within a Year and a Day after; in the Computation whereof the whole

Day on which the Hurt was done shall be reckoned the first. (a) Antient-

ly a barbarous Affault with an Intent to murder, fo that the Party was left for dead, but yet recovered again, was adjudged Murder and Petit Treason; but that holds not now; for the Stroke without the Death of the Party stricken, nor the Death without the Stroke, or other Violence, makes not the Homicide or Murder. 1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 425 6.

3 Inst. 53. Kely. 26. 1 Keb. 17.

If a Person hurt by another, die thercof within a Year and a Day, it is no Excuse for the other, that he might have recovered, if he had

not neglected to take Care of himself.

1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 428.

But if the Wound or Hurt be not mortal, but with ill Applications by the Party, or those about him, of unwholsome Salves or Medicines, the Party dies; if it can clearly appear that this Medicine, and not the Wound, was the Cause of his Death; it seems it is not Homicide; but then that must appear clearly and certainly to be so.

### (B) Who are such Persons, by Killing of Whom a Person may be said to commit Durder.

T is agreed, that the malicious Killing of any Person, whatsoever t Hawk P. C. (a) Nation or Religion he be of, or of whatsoever (b) Crime attainted, So. is Murder. kill an Alien

Enemy within this Kingdom, yet it is Felony; unless it be in the Heat of War, and in the actual Exereise thereof. 1 Hale's Hist. 433. (b) Tho' outlawed of Felony, or attainted in a Preminire, for the Execution of the Sentence must be by a lawful Officer lawfully appointed; and therefore, if a Person be condemned to be hanged, and the Sherist behead him, this is Murder, and the Wise may have an Appeal. 1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 433.

If a Woman be quick or great with Child, if she take or another 1 Hale's Hist. give her any Potion, to make an Abortion, or if a Man strike her, where- P.C. 433by the Child within her is killed; it is not Murder nor Manflaughter by the Law of England; because it is not in rerum natura; tho' it be a great Crime, and, by the Judicial Law of Moses, was punishable with Death; nor can it be legally known whether it were killed or not: So it is (c) if after fuch Child were born alive and baptized, and after die of (c) But this the Stroke given the Mother, this is not Homicide.

Murder, notwithstanding some Opinions to the contrary. 1 Hawk P. C. So.

But if a Man procure a Woman with Child to destroy her Infant when 7 Co. 9. born, and the Child is born, and the Woman, in Pursuance of that Pro- Dier 186. curement, kill the Infant; this is Murder in the Mother, and the Procurer is Accessory to Murder; and this, whether the Child were baptized 1 Hawk P.C. or not.

### (C) What hall be deemed Durder: And herein,

1. Celhat hall be said to be express Murder, and of Malice prepense.

HEREIN it seems to be agreed, that any (d) formed Design of do- t Hawk. P.C. ing Mischief may be called Malice; and therefore that not such 80. Killing only, as proceeds from premeditated Hatred or Revenge against (d) My Lord the Person killed, but also in many other Cases, such as is accompanied Malice in Whith those Circumstances that the Malice in whith those Circumstances that shew the Heart to be perversly wicked, is Fact to be a adjudged to be of Malice prepenfe.

Intention of

doing any bodily Harm to another, whereunto by Law he is not authorized; and the Evidences of fuch a Malice, tays he, must arise from external Circumstances discovering that inward Intention; as Lying in wait, Menacings, antecedent former Grudges, deliberate Compassings, and the like, which are various, according to Variety of Circumstances. 1 Hale's Hist. P. C. 451.

If two Persons in cool Blood meet and sight on a precedent Quarrel, 1 Rol. Rep. and one of them is killed, the other is guilty of Murder; and this the 360. Law adjudges to be of Malice, and that the Party cannot help himself 2 Bulg. 147. by alledging, that he was first struck by the Deceased, or that he had often declined to meet him, and was prevailed upon to do it by his Importunity; or that it was his only Intent to vindicate his Reputation; or that he meant not to kill, but only to difarm his Adversary; for fince Vol. III.

he deliberately ingaged in an Act highly unlawful, in Defiance of the Laws, he must at his Peril abide the Consequences thereof; and not only he who kills, but also his Seconds are guilty of Murder; and some (a) By Rea-hold, (a) that the Seconds of the Deceased are also equally guilty.

fon of the

Countenance they give, and it being done by Compact and Agreement; but this Construction is said to be too rigid; and that it would be hard to make a Man, by such Reasoning, the Murderer of his briend, to whom he was so far from intending any Mischief, that he was ready to hazard his own Life in his Quarrel. I Hawk. P. C. S2. I Hale's Hist. 453.

Keil. 56. 1 Sid. 177. 1 Lev. 180. A Man is efteemed to fight in cool Blood, when he meets in the Morning on an Appointment over Night; or in the Afternoon on an Appointment in the Morning; or as some say, if he fell into other Discourse after the Quarrel, and talked calmly upon it; or if he have so much Consideration, as to observe that it is not proper or safe to fight at present for such and such Reasons, which shew him to be Master of his Temper.

1 Pawk. P.C. S1. If A, on a Quarrel with B, tell him he will not strike him, but that he will give B, a Pot of Ale to strike him, and thereupon B, strike, and A, kill him, he is guilty of Murder; for he shall not elude the Justice of the Law by such a Pretence to cover his Malice.

3 Hawk P.C. \$1. 1 Hale's Hift. 453.

In like Manner, if B, challenge A, and A, refuse to meet him, but in order to evade the Law tells B, that he shall go the next Day to such a Town about his Business; and accordingly B, meets him the next Day in the Road to the same Town, and assaults him, whereupon they fight, and A, kills B, he seems guilty of Murder; unless it appear by the whole Circumstances that he gave B, such Information accidentally, and not with a Design to give him an Opportunity of fighting.

Cromp. 22. b. Dalt. cap. 93. Keil. 58, 129.

And at this Day it seems to be settled, that if a Man assault another with Malice prepense, and after be driven by him to the Wall, and kill him there in his own Desence, he is guilty of Murder, in respect of his first Intent.

Keilyng, The Queen ver. Mawgridge. 1 Hawk. P. C.

And it hath been adjudged, that even upon a sudden Quarrel, if a Man be so far provoked by any Words or Gestures of another, so as to make a Push at him with a Sword, or to strike at him with any such Weapon, as manifestly indangers his Life, before the other's Sword is drawn, and thereupon a Fight ensue, and he who made such Assault kills the other, he is guilty of Murder; because that by assaulting the other in such an outragious Manner, without giving him an Opportunity to defend himself, he shewed that he intended not to sight with him, but to kill him; which violent Revenge is no more excused by such a slight Provocation, than if there had been none at all.

Keil. 55, 61, 131. 1 Hawk. P.C.

But it is faid, that if he, who draws upon another in a fudden Quarrel, make no Pass at him till his Sword is drawn, and then fight with him and kill him, he is guilty of Manslaughter only; because that by neglecting the Opportunity of Killing the other, before he was on his Guard, and in a Condition to defend himself, with like Hazard to both, he shewed that his Intent was not so much to kill as to combat with the other, in Compliance with those common Notions of Honour; which prevailing over Reason, during the Time that a Man is under the Transports of a sudden Passion, so far mitigate his Offence in Fighting, that it shall not be adjudged to be of Malice prepense.

: Hawk. P.C. 82.

And if two happen to fall out upon a fudden, and prefently agree to fight, and each of them fetch a Weapon, and go into the Field, and there one kill the other, he is guilty of Manslaughter only; because he did it in the Heat of Blood.

Hawk P.C.

And such an Indulgence is shewn to the Frailties of Human Nature, that where two Persons, who have formerly sought on Malice, are afterwards to all Appearance reconciled, and fight again on a fresh Quarrel,

5

15

it shall not be presumed that they were moved by the old Grudge; un- (a) If upon less it appear by the whole (a) Circumstances of the Fact.

pears, that the Reconciliation was but pretended, or counterfeit, and that the Hurt done was upon the Score of the old Malice, then it is Murser. 1 Hale's Hift. 452.

If a Man be fo far provoked by a Breach of Promile, or by a Tref-1 Hawk P.C. pass on his Lands or Goods, or by any Words or Gestures whatsoever, as thereupon immediately to push at another with a Sword, or strike him with a dangerous Weapon before his Sword is drawn, and thereupon a therefore the Fight insue, and the Person assaulted be slain, the Assaulted is guilty of Murder, tho' he was driven to the Wall when he gave the mortal Wound; for by assaulting the other in such abusive Manner, he shews that his Intent was not to sight with him, but to k.ll him; but if he had made no Pass till the other's Sword had been drawn, or had only beaten him in such Manner, as made it appear that he meant only to chastize him, he would have been guilty of Manssaughter only.

So if a Person, seeing two others fighting together on a private Quar- 1 Hawk P C- rel, whether sudden or malicious, takes Part with one of them, and kills S2-

the other, it is but Manslaughter.

So if two strive for the Wall, and one happen to kill the other, or a Hawk P.C. Man happen to kill another, who, claiming a Title to his House, attempts forcibly to enter it, &c. or to kill one who endeavours unlawfully to arrest him; or to force him from his Possession of a Room in a Publick House; or if a Man immediately kills one whom he finds in Bed with his Wise; or that pulls him by the Nose; or fillips him in the Forehead, or actually strikes him; in all these Cases the Party is at most only guilty of Manslaughter.

So where A, the Son of B, and C, the Son of D, fall out in the Field 12  $C_0$ , 87, and fight, A, is beaten, and runs home to his Father all bloody, B, pre- $C_{00}$ ,  $F_{ac}$ , 296, fently takes a Staff, runs into the Field, being three Quarters of a Mile  $C_{ac}$ ,  $C_{ac}$ ,

in a fudden Heat and Paffion.

If a Person in cool Blood, by Way of Revenge, deliberately beat an- 1 Hawk P.C. other in such a Manner that he dies of it; or if a Man, upon a sudden 83. Provocation, execute his Revenge in such a Manner as shews a cruel and deliberate Intent of doing a personal Hurt, he is guilty of Murder; as (b) where the Keeper of a Park, finding a Boy stealing Wood, (b) Cro. Car. tied him to a Horse's Tail, and beat him, whereupon the Horse ran 131.

Hilloway's away and killed him.

Case. Keil. 127. S. C. cited. 1 Hale's Hist. 454. S. C. cited and agreed; because the Correction was excessive, and it was an Ast of deliberate Cruelty.

# 2. Where the Malice Gall be said to be implied, or by Presumption of Law: And herein,

1. Where the Pomicide being voluntarily committed, and without Provocation, the Law implies Das lice.

Herein it is laid down, that when one voluntarily kills another, with- 1 Hale's Hist. out any Provocation, it is Murder; for the Law prefumes it to be ma- P. C. 445. licious, and that he is Hestis Humani Generis; and therefore it is neceffary for him, who happens to kill another, to shew such a Provocation as will take off the Presumption of Malice.

He that wilfully gives Poison to another, whether he had provoked i Hale's Hist. him or not, is guilty of wilful Murder; because it is an Act of Delibera- P. C. 455.

tion, odious in Law, and presumes Malice.

If

1 Hile's Figl. If A. comes to B. and demands a Debt of him, or comes to ferve him with a Subpana ad respondendum, or ad testificandum, and B. thereupon kills A this is Murder; for herein there is no Provocation.

Cro. Eliz. 78.

Brains's Case.

I Halt's High.
Provocation as would abate the Presumption of Malice in the Party ted.

Responses to the provocation of Malice in the Party killing.

1 Hale's Hift. If A be passing the Street, and B. meeting him, there being a convenient Distance between A and the Wall, takes the Wall of A and thereupon A kills him, this is Murder; but if B had justled A this Justling had been a Provocation, and would have made it Manslaughter; and so it would be, if A riding on the Road, B had whipped the Horse of A out of the Track, and then A had alighted and kill'd B it had been Manslaughter.

1 Hawk. P.C. It feems agreed, that no Affront by bare Words or Gethures, however flighting, or however false and malicious they may be, and aggravated by the most provoking Circumstances, will excuse him from being guilty of Murder, who is so far transported thereby, as immediately to attack the Person who offends him, in such a Manner as manifestly indangers his 1 Hale's Hist. Life; but if A. gives indecent Language to B. and B. thereupon strikes P. C. 456.

A. but not mortally, and then A. strikes B. again, and then B. kills A.

this is but Manslaughter; for the second Stroke made a new Provocation; and so it was but a sudden Falling-out; and tho' B. gave the first Stroke, and after a Blow received from A. B. gives him a mortal Stroke; this is but Manslaughter, according to the Proverb, The second Blow makes the Affray.

A and B are at fome Difference, A bids B take a Pin out of the Sleeve of A intending thereby to take Occasion to strike or wound B which B doth accordingly, and then A strikes B whereof he died; this was ruled Murder; 1. Because it was no Provocation, when he did it by the Consent of A. 2. Because it appeared to be a malicious and deliberate Artistice, thereby to take Occasion to kill B.

1 Hade's Hist. If there be chiding between Husband and Wise, and the Husband P. C. 457. It is Murder; and the Chiding will not be a Provocation to extenuate it to Manslaughter.

Hawk, P. C. If a Person happen to occasion the Death of another unadvisedly, doing an idle wanton Action, which cannot but be attended with the manifest Danger of some other, as by riding with a Horse, known to be used to kick, among a Multitude of People, by which he means no more than to divert himself by putting them into a Fright, he is guilty of Murder.

#### 2. Then done on an Officer oz Minister of Justice.

It hath been adjudged, and hath frequently been agreed, that if a Justice of Peace, Constable, Watchman, &c. be killed in the Execution of their Offices, he, by whom any such Person is killed, is guilty of Murder; for herein the Law implies Malice; and the Indictment need not be special but general, Ex malitia sur præcogitata interfecit & murdravit; because the Malice in Law maintains the Indictment.

Kel. 66. So if (a) a private Person be killed in endeavouring to part those whom a Hawk PC. he sees fighting, the Person by whom he is killed is guilty of Murder; (a) Killing the Assistant of the Constable is as well Murder, as the Killing of the Constable himself; to those who came to the Constable's Assistance, tho' not specially called thereune, are under the same Protection, as they that are called to his Assistance by Name. I Hale's Hist. P. C. 463

and he cannot excuse himself by alledging, that what he did was in a sudden Affray, in the Heat of Blood, and through the Violence of Passion; but if such Person do not give Notice for what Purpose he comes, by commanding the Parties in the King's Name to keep the Peace, or otherwise manifeltly shewing his Intention to be not to take Part in the Quarrel, but to appease it; he who kills him, is guilty of Manslaughter only.

Whoever kills a Sheriff, or any of his Officers, in the lawful Execution Thawk. P. of a Civil Process, as on arresting a Person, a Capias, &c. is guilty of c. 86.

Murder.

Nor is it any Excuse to such Person, that the Process was erroneous, thank. I. (for it is not void by being so) or that the Arrest was in the Night, or C. 86. that the Officer did not tell him for what Cause he arrested him, and out of what Court, (which is not necessary when prevented by the Party's Resistance) or that the Officer did not shew his Warrant, which he is not bound to do at all, if he be a Bailiss commonly known, nor without a Demand if he be a special one.

But where the Warrant, by which he acts, gives him no Authority to 1 Hawk P. arrest the Party, as (a) where a Bailiss arrest f. S. Baronet, who never C. 86. was Knighted, by Force of a Warrant to arrest f. S. Knight, it is but Name of the

Manslaughter.

Bailiff,

Defendant be interlined, or inserted after the Scaling thereof by the Bailiss himself, or any other; if such Bailiss be killed it is but Manslaughter. I Hal. Hist. P. C. 457. — So if the Process be executed out of the Jurisdiction of the Court, the Killing of the Officer is only Manslaughter. I Hal. Hist. P. C. 458. — The Constable of the Vill of A. comes into the Vill of B. to suppress some Disorder, and in the Tumult the Constable is killed in the Vill of B. this is only Manslaughter, because he had no Authority in B. as Constable. I Hal. Hist. P. C. 459. — But it seems, that if the Constable of the Vill of A. had a particular Precept from a Judice of Peace directed to him by Name, or by the Name of the Constable of A. to suppress a Riot in the Vill of B. or to apprehend a Person in the Vill of B. for some Missemeanor, and within the Jurisdiction and Conuzance of the Justice of Peace, and in Pursuance of that Warrant, he go to arrest the Party in B. and in Execution of his Warrant is killed in B. this is Murder; for tho, in such Case, the Constable was not bound to execute the Warrant out of his Jurisdiction; neither could he do it singly, Virture Officis, as Constable of A. yet he may do it as Bailist or Minister, by Virtue of the Warrant, and the Killing of him is Murder, as well as if he had been Constable of the Hundred wherein A. and B. lie; or Sherist of the County; for a Justice of the Peace may, for a Matter within his Jurisdiction, issue his Warrant to a private Person as Servant, but then such Person must shew his Warrant, or signify the Contents of it. I Hal. Hist. P. C. 459.

And as to the Point of Notice, it is herein further laid down by my 1 Hal. Hig. Lord Hale, that if he be a Bailiff, Constable or Watchman Jurus & Conus, P. C. 460. the Killing of him is Murder, tho' the Party does not know him to be such; also it is not necessary for him to notify himself to be such by express Words; but it shall be presumed that the Offender knew him.

But if it be a private Bailiff, either the Party must know that he is so, or there must be some such Notification thereof, whereby the Party may P. C. 461. know it; as by saying I arrest you, which is of itself sufficient Notice; and it is at the Peril of the Party, if he kill him after these Words, or Words to that Effect pronounced; for it is Murder, if de fasto it

fall out that he were a Bailiff and had a Warrant.

A Constable coming to appease a sudden Affray in the Day-time, in that Hist. Hist. the Village whereof he is Constable, it seems every Man, ex Officio, is P. C. 461. bound to take Notice that he is the Constable; because he is to be chosen and sworn in the Leet, where all Resiants are to attend; but it is not so in the Night-time, unless there be some Notification that he is the Constable.

But whether it be in the Day or Night, it is sufficient Notice, if he i Hal. Hist. declare himself to be the Constable, or command the Peace in the King's 46. Name; and the like for any who come in his Assistance, or for a Watchman, &c. and therefore, if any of them are killed after such Notification, it is Murder in them that kill him.

Vol. III.

3

i Hali, Hift. P. C. 465.

A Press-Master scized B. for a Soldier, and with the Affistance of C. laid hold on him; D. finding Fault with the Rudeness of C. there grew a Quarrel between them, and D. killed C. By the Advice of all the Judges, except very few, it was ruled, that this was but Manshaughter.

1 Hawk. P. C. 86. been Murder before Hal. Hift .457.

If a legal Warrant be executed in an unlawful Manner, as if a Bailiff be killed in breaking open a Door or Window to arrest a Man; or per-(a) This had haps if he arrest one on a Sunday (b) since the Statute 29 Car. 2. cap. 7. by which all fuch Arrests are made unlawful, and he is killed, this is but the Statute. 1 Manslaughter.

#### 3. When done by Persons in the Execution of some other unlawful Act.

Keling 117. Moor S7. Plow 101.

It feems agreed, that wherever a Man happens to kill another in the Dalt. cap. 93. Execution of a deliberate Purpose to commit any Felony, he is guilty of Murder; as where a Person, shooting at tame Fowl with an Intent to steal them, accidentally kills a Man, this is Murder.

3 Inft. 52. 1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 465.

So if A come to rob B in his House, or upon the Highway, or otherwife, without any precedent Intention of killing him; yet if in the Attempt, either without, or upon the Resistance of B. A. kills B. this is Murder.

1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 465.

So if Men come to steal Deer in a Park or Forest, or to rob a Warren of Conies, and the Parker, Forester or Warrener resists, and is killed, this is Murder.

Plow. 473. 9 Co. St. 1 Hawk. P. C. 84.

And not only in fuch Cases, where the very Act of a Person having fuch a felonious Intent, is the immediate Caufe of a third Person's Death; but also, where it any Way occasionally causes such a Missortune, it makes him guilty of Murder; and fuch was the Case of the Husband, who gave a poisoned Apple to his Wife, who eat not enough to kill her, but innocently, and against the Husband's Will and Persuasion, gave Part of itoto a Child, who died thereof. Such also was the Case of the Wife, who mixed Ratsbane in a Potion fent by an Apothecary to her Husband, which did not kill him, but afterwards killed the Apothecary, who to vindicate his Reputation tafted it himself, having first stirred it about; neither is it material in this Case, that the Stirring of the Potion might make the Operation of the Pollon more forcible than otherwise it would have been; for in as much as a murderous Intention, which of itfelf perhaps, in Strictness, might justly be punished with Death, proves now in the Event, the Cause of the King's losing a Subject, it shall be as severely punished, as if it had had the intended Effect, the Missing whereof is not owing to any Want of Malice, but of Power.

: Hal. Hift. P. C. 466.

So if A, by Malice fore-thought, strikes at B, and missing him strikes C. whereof he dies; tho' he never bore any Malice to C. yet it is Murder, and the Law transfers the Malice to the Party slain.

Savil 67. Moor S6. Palm. 35. Croni. 24. Dyer 128. 5 Mod. 289. Hawk. P.C. S4.

If divers Perfons refolve generally to refift all Opposers in the Commission of any Breach of the Peace, and to execute it in such a Manner, as naturally tends to raife Tumults and Affrays, as by committing a violent Diffeisin with great Numbers of People, hunting in a Park, &c. and in so doing happen to kill a Man, they are all guilty of Murder; for they must, at their Peril, abide the Event of their Actions, who wilfully engage in such bold Disturbances of the Publick Peace, in open Opposition to, and Designee of the Justice of the Nation.

Crom. 2S. 1 Hawk. P. C. S5.

Yet where divers Rioters, having forcibly Possession of a House, afterwards killed the Perfon whom they had ejected, as he was endeavouring in the Night forcibly to regain the Possession, and to fire the House, they were adjudged guilty of Manslaughter only, notwithstanding they did the

Fact in Maintenance of a deliberate Injury; perhaps for this Reason (says Hawkins) because the Person slain was so much in Fault himself.

But if in such Case, or any other Quarrel, whether it were sudden or i Hawk. P. premeditated, a Justice of Peace, Constable or Watchman, or even a C. 84-5. private Person be slain in endeavouring to keep the Peace and suppress the Affray, he who kills him is guilty of Murder; for tho' it was not his primary Intention to commit a Felony, yet in as much as he persists in a less Offence with so much Obstinacy, as to go on in it to the Hazard of the Lives of those, who no otherwise offend him, but by doing their Duty in Maintenance of the Law, which therefore affords them its more immediate Protection, he seems to be in this Respect equally criminal, as if his Intention had been to commit a Felony.

If A throws a Stone with an Intent to kill the Poultry or Cattle of 1 Hal. High. B. and the Stone hit and kill a By-stander, it is Manslaughter, because P. C. 475-the Act was unlawful; but not Murder, because he did it not maliciously,

or with an Intent to hurt the By-stander.

Murder.

And tho' by the Statute 33 H. 8. cap. 6. no Person not having Lands, 1 Hal. Hist. Sc. of the Yearly Value of 100 l. per Ann. may keep, or shoot in a Gun, 1. c. 475. upon Pain of forfeiting 10 l. yet, if a Person not qualified shoots with a Gun at a Bird or at Crows, and by Mischance it kills a By-stander, by the Breaking of the Gun, or some other Accident, that, in another Case, would have amounted only to Chance-Medley; this will be no more than Chance-Medley in him; for tho' the Statute prohibit him to keep or use a Gun, yet the same was but malum Prohibitum, and that only under a Penalty, and will not enhanse the Effect beyond its Nature.

If a Man, knowing that People are passing along the Street, throws a 1 Hal. His. Stone, or shoots an Arrow over the House or Wall, with an Intent to do P. C. 475. Hurt to People, and one is thereby slain, this is Murder; and if it were without such Intent, yet it is Manslaughter, and not barely per Insortanium, because the Act itself was unlawful; but if the Man were tiling an House, and let fall a Tile knowingly, and gave Warning, and yet a Perfon is killed, this is per Insortanium; but if he gave not convenient Warning, it is Manslaughter, quia non addibut debitam Diligentiam.

So if a Person happen to occasion the Death of another inadvisedly, i Hawk. P. doing any idle wanton Action, which cannot but be attended with the C. 86. manifest Danger of some other; as by riding with a Horse, known to be used to kick, among a Multitude of People, by which he means no more than to divert himself by putting them into a Fright; he is guilty of

# (D) Df Panslaughter, and therein of Panslaughter exempt from Clergy by the Statute of 1 Jac. 1.

Anflaughter, or fimple Homicide (a), is the voluntary killing of t Hal. Hift. P. C. 466. ftance of the Fact, from Murder, but only differs in these ensuing Cir-flaughter is understood fuch Killing

as happens either on a sudden Quarrel, or in the Commission of an unlawful Att, without any deliberate Intention of doing Mischief. 1 Hawk. P. C. 76.

1. In the Degree of the Offence, Murder being aggravated with Ma- 1 Hal. Hift. lice prefumed or implied, but Manslaughter not; and therefore in Manslaughter there can be no Accessaries before. 2. In the Form of the Indictment.

dichment, the former being always Felonice ex malitia præcogitata interfecit & Murdravit, the latter only Felonice interfecit. 3. In the Point of Clergy, Murder being by the Statute of 23 H. 8. cap. 1. exempt from the Benefit of the Clergy, but not Manflaughter. 4. In the Form of the Pardon of Murder; for the at Common Law a Pardon of all Felonies had pardoned Murder, yet, by the Statute of 13 Rich. 2. cap. 1. the Pardon of Murder must either be by the express Word of Murder, or else it must be a Pardon of Felonica interfectio, with a special Non Obstante of the Statute of 13 Rich. 2.

(a) It is generally Keling 55. J Hawk. P. C. 77.

But there is a particular Kind of Manslaughter, from which the Benefit of the Clergy is taken away by the (a) I fac. 1. cap. 8. Where any holden, that 'Perfon fhall ftab or thrust any Person or Persons, that hath not then any this Statute & Weapon drawn, or that bath not then first stricken the Party that shall is but declafo ftab or thrust, so as the Person or Persons, so stabbed or thrust, shall rative of the Common thereof die within the Space of fix Months then next following; altho Law. 1 Bulf. 6 it cannot be proved, that the fame was done of Malice forethought; ' the Offender is oufted of Clergy, provided it shall not extend to him that kills fe Defendendo, or by Misfortune, or in preferving the Peace, or chastizing his Child or Servant.

In the Construction of this Statute, the following Opinions have been

holden.

That wherever a Person, who happens to kill another, was struck by him in the Quarrel before he gave the mortal Wound, he is out of the Statute, tho' he himfelf gave the first Blow.

C. 77. Allen 44. 1 Salk. 542. 1 Hawk. P. C. 77. 1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 468.

1 Fon. 240. 3 Lev. 266.

1 Hawk P.

That he only who actually gives the Stroke, and not any of those who may be faid to do it by Construction of Law, as being present and aiding and abetting the Fact, are within the Statute; from whence it follows, that if it cannot be proved by whom the Stroke was given, none can be found guilty within the Statute, but the Indictment, tho' formed specially upon the Statute, and concluding contra formam Stat. is yet a good Indictment of Manslaughter against them that were present aiding and abetting; and upon such a special Indictment of Manslaughter upon the Statute, the Prisoner may be convict of simple Manslaughter, and acquitted of Manslaughter upon the Statute, and the Indictment serves for a common Manilaughter, as well as a Man upon an Indictment of Murder may be acquit of Murder, and convict of Manslaughter.

That the Killing of a Man with a (b) Hammer, or fuch like Instrument, which cannot come properly under the Words thrust or stab, is not a Killing within the Statute; but it feems that the discharging a (c)Piftol, or throwing a Pot, or other dangerous Weapon at the Party, is within the Equity of the Words having a Weapon drawn; for penal Statutes are confirmed strictly against the Subject, and favourably and equi-

tably for him.

(b) If the stabbing or thrusting

1 Fon. 432.

3 Lev. 266.

1 Hawk. P.

C. 77.

were with a Sword, or with a Pikestass, it is within the Statute; but if by a Shot of a Pistol, Blow with a Sword or Staff, Quare. 1 Hal. H ft. P. C. 470. (c) So if the Party flain had a Cudgel in his Hand, it is a Weapon drawn within this Statute; but this must be intended of such a Cudgel as might probably do Hurt, not a small riding Rod or Cane. 1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 470.

1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 463.

The Indictment to ous the Prisoner of his Clergy, must be specially formed pursuant to the Statute, viz. that he did with a Sword, &c. stab the Party dead, he having no Weapon drawn, nor having struck first; otherwife it will be but a common Manslaughter, and the Party will have his Clergy.

Stil. \$6. 1 Hal. Hift. P. C. 468.

The Indistment need not conclude contra Formam Statuti, no more than in Burglary or Robbery; for the Statute doth not make the Offence to be Felony, but outls the Prisoner of his Clergy, where the Crime is so circumstantiated as the Statute expresseth.

Cro. Fac. 283. ı Hal. Hijl P. C. 465.

But yet it dorn not vitiate the Indichment, tho' it do conclude & sie interjecit contra Ferman Statuti, and accordingly, for the most part, to

this Day the Indictments upon this Statute do conclude contra formam statuti; fo it is good with or without fuch Conclusion; but it is best to follow the common Usage, because every Man doth not readily observe the Reason of the Omission of that Conclusion.

Also the Use hath been, in Cases of this Nature, to prefer two Indict- 1 Hale's Hist. ments against Offenders in this Kind, viz. one of Murder, another upon P. C. 468. this Statute, and put the Prisoner to plead to both; and to charge the Jury first with the Indictment of Murder, and if they find it not to be Murder, then to charge them to inquire upon the other Bill; because, if

convict upon either, the Offender is ousted of Clergy.

In the Year 1657, at Newgate, before Glyn, who then fat as Chief Ju- 1 Hale's Hift. stice, a Man was indicted upon this Statute, and a special Verdict found, P. C. 470. that a Bailiff, having a Warrant to arrest a Man, pressed early into his Chamber with Violence, but not mentioning his Bufiness, nor the Man knowing him to be a Bailiff, nor that he came to make an Arrest, snatched down a Sword that hanged in his Chamber and stabbed the Bailisf, whereof he prefently died; there was fome Diversity of Opinion among the Indges, whether this were within the Statute; but at Length the Prisoner was admitted to his Clergy; for tho' this Case was within the Words of the Statute, and not within the particular Exceptions, yet it was held, that this Case was never intended in the Statute; for the Prifoner did not know but that the Party came in to rob or kill him, when he thus violently broke into his Chamber, without declaring his Business.

### (E) **Df** justifiable homicide: And herein,

#### 1. As it happens in the due Execution and Advancement of Publick Juffice.

SUCH Killing as happens in the due Execution and Advancement of Publick Justice, is deemed justifiable Homicide; the Ministers of Ju
Bro, Coron. 87, stice being under the special Protection of the Law; and therefore if a 89. Person, having actually committed a Felony, will not suffer himself to be Stams. 13. arrested, but stand on his own (a) Defence, or sly, so that he cannot 3 lnst. 221. possibly be apprehended alive by those who pursue him, whether private Dalt. cap. 98. Crempt. 30. Persons or publick Officers, with or without a Warrant from a Magistrate, Fitz. Coron. he may be lawfully flain by them.

P. C. 489. 1 Hawk. 70. (a) But if the Prisoner makes no Resistance, but slies, yet the Officer, either for fear that he, or some other of his Party, will rescue the Prisoner, strikes the Prisoner whereof he dies; this is Murder. 1 Hale's Hist. P. C. 481.

So if an innocent Person be indicted of Felony, where in Truth no 1 Hawk. 71. Felony was committed, and will not suffer himself to be arrested by the Officer who has a Warrant for that Purpose; he may lawfully be killed by him, if he cannot otherwise be taken; for there is a Charge against him on Record, to which at his Peril he is bound to answer.

So if a Prisoner, endeavouring to break the Gaol, affault his Gaoler, 9 Co. 68. 1 Hale's Hift. he may lawfully be killed by him in the Affray. P. C. 481.

So if those who are ingaged in a Riot, or forcible Entry or Detainer, 1 Hawk P. C. stand in their Defence, and continue the Force, in Opposition to the 71. Command of a Justice of Peace, &c. or result such Justice endeavouring to arrest them, the Killing of them may be justified; and so perhaps Poph. 121. may the Killing of dangerous Rioters by any private Person, who can-Vol. III. 8 I Vol. III.

not otherwise suppress them, or defend himself from them; in as much as every private Person seems to be authorized by the Law to arm himfelf for the Eurpoles aforefaid.

Cromp. 30.

Dyer 326. 1 Hawk. P.C.

So if Trespassers in a Forest, Chase, Park or Warren, or any inclosed Ground wherein Deer are kept, will not render themselves to the Keepers, upon an Hue and Cry made to stand to the King's Peace, but fly from, or defend themselves against them; they may be slain by Force of the Statute De malefactoribus in parcis, and 3 & 4 W. & M. cap. -.

Plow 9 b. Dalt. cap. 98. 3 Inft. 221.

If either of the Parties fighting in a Combat, allowed by Law for the Trial of some special Cases, be slain, he who kills him is justified; and 37H. 6.21.a. the Death of the other is imputed to the just Judgment of God, who is prefumed to give the Victory to him who fights in the Maintenance of Truth.

1 Rol. Rep. 189. 3 Inft. 56. Cromp. 24.

If a Sheriff, being relifted by one whom he attempts lawfully to arrest in a Civil Action, or to retake after he has arrested him, unavoidably kill him in the Affray, he may justify it; tho' he never gave back, but stood his Ground and attacked the Party; but if a Person barely sty Dalt cap 98. from the Execution of (a) Civil Process, the Sheriff cannot justify killing 1 Hawk. P.C. him.

(a) Herein, says my Lord Hale, the Difference is between Civil Actions and Felonies; that if a Man be in Danger of Arrest by a Capias in Debt or Trespass, and he slies, and the Bailist kills him, it is Murder; but if a Felon sly, and he cannot be otherwise taken, if he be killed, it is no Felony; and in that Cafe the Officer fo killing forfeits nothing, but the Person so assaulted and killed forseits his Goods. 1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 481.

> Homicide may be justified in the due Execution of Publick Justice; but herein these Rules must observed.

10 Co. 76. 1 Hawk P.C.

1. That the Judgment, by Virtue whereof the Party was put to Dalt. cap. 98. Death, be given by one who had Jurisdiction in the Cause; for other-22E.4.33.4. wife both Judge and Officer may be guilty of Felony; as if the Court of Common Pleas give Judgment on an Appeal of Death; or Justices of Peace on an Indictment of High Treason, and award Execution, which is executed; but if Justices of Peace condemn a Man to Death, on an Indictment of Trespass, and he be executed, they only, and not the Officers, are guilty of Felony; because they had a Jurisdiction over the Offence; and therefore their Proceedings are erroneous only, and not void.

1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 454.

A Man hath the Liberty of Infangthief, the Steward of the Court gives Judgment of Death against a Prisoner against Law; this was a Cause of Seizure of the Liberty, but was not Murder in the Judge, quia factum judicialiter licet ignoranter. 2 R. 3. 10. a. The Case of the Steward of the Liberty of the Abbot of Crowland.

1 HAwk. P.C. Hale's Hift. 455.

The Judgment must be executed by the lawful Officer; for those antient Opinions, that any one may kill a Person attainted of Felony, and that a Man condemned in an Appeal of Death, is to be executed by the Relations of the Deceased, are now obsolete; and at this Day, even the Judge, who condemns a Man, cannot execute his own Sentence; neither can the proper Officer do it, but by a lawful Command, without being guilty of Felony.

The Execution must pursue the Judgment; therefore if the Sheriff be-1 Hale's Hift head a Man, where Beheading is no Part of the Sentence, it is the ge-

neral Opinion, that he is guilty of Felony. Recause an

A& of deliberate Cruelty.

4

#### 2. As it happens in the Desence of a Man's Person, House or Goods.

It is clear, that the Killing of a Person in the Defence of a Man's 1 Hawk P.C. Person, House or Goods, is justifiable in the following Instances; as 71. and se-where a Man kills one who assaults him in the Highway to rob or mur-rities there der him; or the Owner of a House, or any of his Servants or Lodgers, cited. &c. kills one who attempts to burn it, or to commit in it Murder, Rob- 1 Hale's Hift. bery or other Felony; or a Woman kills one who attempts to ravish P.C. 484. her; or a (a) Servant coming suddenly and finding his Master robbed (a) So of a and slain, falls upon the Murderer immediately and kills him; for he does it in the Height of his Surprise, and under just Apprehensions of his wise, a the like Attempt upon himself; but in other Circumstances he could not Child of his have justified the Killing of such a one, but ought to have apprehended Parent, & converse; for

theA& of the Affistant shall have the same Construction, in such Cases, as the Act of the Party assisted should have had, if it had been done by himself. 1 Hale's Hist. P. C. 484.

But a Man cannot justify the Killing another in Defence of his House Hawk P. C. or Goods, or even of his Person, for a bare private Trespass; and there- 12. Hale's Hist. fore he who kills another, who, claiming Title to his House, attempts to 485 enter it by Force, and shoots at it, or that breaks open his Windows in order to arrest him, or that persists in breaking his Hedges, after he is forbidden, is guilty of Manslaughter; and he, who in his own Defence kills another that affaults him in his House in the Day-time, and plainly appears to intend to beat him only, is guilty of Homicide fe defendendo, for which he forfeits his Goods, but is pardoned of Course; yet it feems, that a private Person, and a fortiori an Officer of Justice, who happens unavoidably to kill another in endeavouring to defend himfelf from, or suppress dangerous Rioters, may justify the Fact, in as much as he only does his Duty, in Aid of the Publick Justice.

If a Man be dangerously affaulted by another, as with a drawn Sword, 1 Hawk. P.C. &c. without any previous Affray, tho' in a Town or other Place where 72. Help may be expected, and use the same Caution to avoid fighting, as would make the Killing the Affailant Homicide fe defendendo only, if there had been a previous Affray, and then unavoidably kill the Affai-

lant, it seems reasonable that he may justify it.

It seems also, that in some special Cases, a Man may justify even kil- Dalt. cap. 98. ling an innocent Person; as where in a Ship-wreck two Persons get upon the fame Plank, which will not support them both, and one thrusts the other off.

So if a Man be awakened in the Night with an Alarm that Thieves Cro. Car. 538. are in his House, and searching for them in the Dark, with his Sword March 5. drawn, happen to kill a Person lying hid in Part of the House, who in Truth had no ill Defign, and was brought thither by a Servant in order to affift in cleaning the House; it seems he may justify the Fact, in as much as it hath not the Appearance of a Fault.

But a Man shall never justify himself under a Necessity which he I Hawk P.C. brought upon himself by his own Fault; and therefore, if Rioters, wrong- 73fully detaining a House by Force, kill the Party ejected, or any of his Affistants who attack it from without, and endeavour to burn it, they are guilty of Manslaughter.

It feems a reasonable Opinion, and countenanced by the old 1 Hawk.P.C. Books, that a Fact amounting to justifiable Homicide, being specially 69. (a) pleaded,

(a) But here- (a) pleaded, and proved to the Court on an Indichment or Appeal of in my Lord Murder, the Party shall be difinissed without being arraigned, &e. But Hale fays, it it is certain, that a Fact amounting to excusable Homicide cannot be fo pleaded; but the Party must plead Not guilty, and give the special to be obser-Matter in Evidence: Also it is certain, that where a Fact amounting to ved, that in case of any justifiable Homicide is found by a Jury, the Party is to be dismissed, without being obliged to purchase a Pardon, &c. Indictment or Charge of

Felony, the Prisoner cannot plead any Thing by Way of Justification, as that he did it in his own Defence, or per infortunium, but must plead Not guilty; and upon his Trial the special Matter is to be found by the Jury, and thereupon the Court gives Judgment. 1 Hale's Hift P. C. 478.

### (F) De excusable Homicide: And herein,

#### 1. De Pomicide per infortunium oz Chance: Medley.

Hale's Hist. The Xcusable or involuntary Homicide is of two Kinds. 1st, When it is pure-P. C. 471. If involuntary and casual; as the Killing of a Man per infortunium. 2dly, When it is partly involuntary and partly voluntary, but occasioned by a Necessity which the Law allows, which is commonly called Homicide ex necessitate, as killing a Man in his own Defence.

1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 472.

Homicide per infortunium is where a Man is doing a lawful Act, and without Intention of bodily Harm to any Person, and by that Act Death of another enfues; as if a Man be shooting at Butts or Pricks, and by Cafualty his Hand shakes, and the Arrow kills a By-stander.

1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 477.

And tho' the Killing of another per infortunium, be not in Truth Felony, nor subjects the Party to a Capital Punishment; and therefore, in fuch Cases the Verdict usually concludes quod interfecit per infortunium & non per feloniam; yet the Party forfeits his Goods; and tho' he ought to have, Quasi de jure, a Pardon of Course, upon the Certificate of the Conviction, yet he is not to be discharged out of Prison, but bailed to the next Term, or Sessions, to sue out his Pardon of Course; for tho' it was not his Crime, but his Misfortune, yet because the King hath lost his Subject, and that Men may be the more careful, he forfeits his Goods; and is not prefently absolutely discharged of his Imprisonment, but bailed.

Also it is agreed, that no one can excuse the Killing of another, by 1 Hazvk. P.C. 76. fetting forth in a special Plea, that he did it by Misadventure, or se defendendo, but that he must plead Not guilty, and give the special Matter in Evidence.

1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 472.

As where, without any Intent of doing Hurt, a Person chances to kill another by the Head of a Hatchet flying off at Work; this being proved in Evidence, the Party is guilty of Homicide per infortunium only.

I Hale's Hift. P. C. 473. 1 Hawk. P.C.

So where a Person happens to kill another by a Piece of Timber slung down from a House standing out of any Road, after loud Warning to all Perfons to fland clear; or by a Gun discharged at Wild Fowl; or by an unlucky Fall or Kick at Wreftling or Footbal, or other fuch like Sports; or in fighting at Barriers; or tilting by the King's Command; or by moderate Correction of a Child, Scholar or Servant; but if the Correction be immoderate, the Offence will be Manslaughter at least; and if the Instrument be such as apparently endangers Life, as an Iron-Bar, &c. it will be Murder.

So if a Man whip a Horse on which another is riding, whereupon he 1 Hawk P.C. fpings out and runs over a Child and kills him, the Rider is guilty of Ho-:4. micide per Insertunium, the other of Manslaughter.

But

But regularly, if the Act, which occasions the Death of a Man, be a 1 Hale's Hig. Trespass, or cannot but be attended with the manifest Danger of Hurt to P.C.473, &c. the Person of some Man, or be of such a Nature, that it cannot be used Hawk. P.C. without manifest Hazard of Life, and there were no deliberate Intent of Mischief, the killing is esteemed Manslaughter; as if a Man kill another by shooting at Deer in a third Person's Park; or by slinging down a Piece of Timber into a common Street or Highway, tho' in Work, and after Warning to stand clear; or by throwing Stones at another wantonly at Play; or by tilting without the King's Command; or by parrying with naked Swords covered with Buttons at the Points, or with Swords in the Scabbards.

But if a Man happen to kill another, in the Execution of a deliberate 1 Hawk. P. C. Purpose to commit a Felony, or to do a personal Hurt to another, or to 74. 75. do any unlawful Act, which cannot but manifestly be attended with 1 Hale's Hist., P. C. 475. Danger of great personal Hurt to some other, tho' it be not intended against any one in particular, he is guilty of Murder; as where a Man kills another by maliciously Beating or Wounding him; or by Shooting at tame Fowl, with an Intent to steal them; or by knowingly and deliberately Discharging a Gun; or throwing a great Stone or Piece of Timber; or riding with a Horse, used to strike, among a Multitude, tho' he do it only with an Intent to divert himself by frighting them; or by engaging in a Riot; or robbing in a Park, &c.

#### 2. Df Homicide se defendendo.

Homicide (a) se defendendo is where one is forced to fight, on a sudden Affray, retreats as far as he can without endangering his own Life, <sup>1</sup> Hawk P.C. and then, and not before, in order to fave his Life, or to defend his (a) In Ho-Person from a Battery, (especially if the Assault were in his own House,) micide se degives the other a mortal Wound; and it is faid by fome, not to be ma- fendendo there. terial who struck first; but if a Man attack another upon Malice, in such seems needa Manner as endangers his Life, and then fly to the Wall, and kill him, Act to be he is guilty of Murder.

for if he be meerly passive, this will make it only a Killing per infortunium; and the it be not Felony; not being accompanied with a felonious Intent, yet it subjects the Party to a Forseiture of his Goods and Chattels. 1 Hale's Hift. P.C. 478, &c.

Regularly, it is necessary that the Person, who kills another in his own 1 Hale's Hist. Defence, fly as far as he may to avoid the Violence of the Affault, be- P. C. 481. fore he turn upon his Assailant; for tho' in Cases of Hostility between two Nations, it is a Reproach and Piece of Cowardice to fly from an Enemy; yet in Cases of Assaults and Assrays between Subjects under the same Law, the Law owns not any such Point of Honour; because the King and his Laws are to be the Vindices injuriarum; and private Persons are not trusted to take capital Revenge one of another.

There is Malice between A. and B. they appoint a Time and Place to 1 Hale's Hift. fight, and meet accordingly, A. gives the first Onset, B. retreats as far P. C. 479. as he can with Safety, and then kills A. who had otherwise killed him, this is Murder; for they met by Compact and Defign, and therefore neither shall have the Advantage of what they themselves each of them created.

There is Malice between A and B, they meet casually, A affaults B, 1 Hale's Hift and drives him to the Wall, B. in his own Defence kills A. this is fe de- P. C. 479. fendendo, and shall not be heightened by the former Malice into Murder; for it was not a Killing upon the Account of the former Malice, but upon a Necessity imposed upon him by the Assault of A.

Vol. III.

§ Hale's Hift. P. C. 483. In Fleet-firect A. and B. were walking together, B. gave some provoking Language to A. A. thereupon gave B. a Box on the Ear, they closed, B. was thrown down and his Arm broken, he runs to his Brother's House presently, which was hard-by, C. his Brother, taking the Alarm, came out with his Sword drawn and made towards A. who retreated ten or twelve Yards, C. pursued him, A. drew his Sword and made a Pass at C. and kill'd him; A. being indicted at Newgate Sessions for Murder, the Court directed the Jury upon the Trial to find this Manslaughter; not Murder, because upon a sudden Falling-out; not se descendendo, partly because A. made the first Breach of the Peace, by striking B. and partly because, unless he had sled as far as might be, it could not, by Way of Interpretation, be said to be in his own Defence; and it appeared plainly upon the Evidence, that he might have retreated out of Danger; and his stepping back was rather to have an Opportunity to draw his Sword, and with more Advantage to come upon C. than to avoid him; and accordingly at last it was found Manslaughter, 1671. at Newgate.

# Nonsuit.

- (A) Of the Nature thereof, and how it differs from a Retraxit.
- (B) Who may be nonsuit.
- (C) In what Actions there may be a Ponsuit.
- (D) At what Time a Ponsuit may be.
- (E) How far the Ponsuit of one thall be the Ponsuit of another.
- (F) How far a Ponsuit for Part of the Thing in Demand Hall be a Ponsuit for the Thole.
- (G) Of the Effect of a Konsuit; and therein of its being a peremptozy Bar.

# (A) Df the Nature thereof, and how it differs from a Retraxit.

Co.Lit. 139.4.
2 Lil. Reg.
230.

HERE a Plaintiff is demanded and doth not appear, he is faid to be nonfuit; and this usually happens, where upon the Trial, and when the Jury are ready to give their Verdict, the Plaintiff discovers some Error or Desect in the Proceedings, or is unable to prove a material Point, for Want of a necessary Witness, &c. and thereupon the Plaintiff being demanded, (as he must

must be) his Default is recorded by the Secondary, and the (a) Entry is (a) For the in Misericordia quia non Presecutus oft breve suum; upon which the Defention of the dant recovers his Costs against him; but this arising from some supposed Neglect or Oversight, the Plaintiff, except in some particular Cases, is 2 Leon. 17: 4 Mod. 86.

(b) That where a Plaintiff is nonfuit, if he will again proceed in the same Cause, he must put in a new Declaration; for by his being nonsuit, it shall be intended that he had no such Cause of Sunt ar he declared in, and so that Declaration is void, and he hath no Day in Court. 2 Lit Reg. 221.—
But a Nonsuit by Mistake may be set aside, and a Distringus de novo awarded, for which vid. Car 203. Cro. Fac. 669. Godb 328. Raym 38, 73. 2 Salk. 455.— A Motion to set aside a Nonsuit occasioned by the Judge's mistaking the Law. Ca. Law and Eq. 315.— Nonsuit discharged, being entered on Niss Prius without Habeas Corpus. 1 Sid. 164.

A Retraxit is when he is present in Court (as regularly he is ever by Co. Lit. 139. Intendment of Law, 'till a Day be given over, unless it be when a Ver-a. dict is given, and then he is but demandable); and this is either privative, when the Entry is quod solemniter exactus non venit, sed a secta sua in Contemptum Curiæ se retraxit, &c. or positive, when the Entry is qued sactur se, seu cognoscit se ulterius nolle Prosequi, &c. It is called a Retraxit, because 62. S. P. laid down as a tions of the like or inferior Nature.

A Retraxit is always of the Part of the Plaintiff or Demandant, and 8 Co. 58. cannot be, unless the Plaintiff or Demandant be in Court in proper Deer Ler's Case.

Person.

Cro. Jac. 211 S. C. Co. Lit. 13S. b. S. P.

It is held, that a Retraxit cannot be entered (d) before the Plaintiff Dalf. 78. hath declared, and if entered before, it hath but the Effect of a Non- 3 Leon. 19. (d) Whether fuit.

may be entered after a general Verdiff. Con Film a Retraxit

may be entered after a general Verdict. Cro. Eliz. 465. dubitatur.

Debt was brought upon a Bond against A. wherein A. and B. were jointly and severally bound, and after Plea pleaded, the Plaintiff entered a Retraxit, and in an Action after brought against B. upon the same Bond, whether this should be a Bar, between (e) Dennis and Paine, Cro. (e) I Jon. Jac. 551. dubitatur, & adjornatur. It was said, that a Retraxit was in Na-451. S. C. ture of a Release, and a Release to one joint Obligor discharged the other; but on the other Side it was said to be a Bar only by Way of for the Estoppel between the Parties, whereof no other should take Advantage.

Defect in the Plea. March 95. S. C. dubitatur, but varies in the stating it; for by this Report, Debt was brought both against A and B and the Plaintiff entered a Retravit against A and whether this was a Discharge of B is made the Question. Vid. Cro. Eliz. 762.

#### (B) TUho may be nonsuit.

T is every where agreed, that the King being in Supposition of Law Bro. Nonfunt always present in Court, cannot be nonfuit in any Information or 63. Action wherein he himself is the sole Plaintist; but it is held, that any Co. Lit. 153. Informer qui tam, or Plaintist in a popular Action, may be nonsuit, and thereby wholly determine the Suit, as well in Respect of the King as 131.

If an Infant bring an Affize by Guardian, altho' that the Infant dif- 39 Aff pl. 1. avow the Suit in proper Perfon, yet no Nonfuit shall be awarded. 2 Rol. Alr. Where 150. S. C.

680

#### Ponsuit.

Where an Executor need not name himfelf Executor, he shall pay Costs 6 Mod. 181. upon a Nonfuit, and the naming himself Executor shall not exempt him from it.

20 H. 6.44.b. 1 Rol. Abr. 581. S. C.

If an Attorney of the Common Pleas fues an Action there, he shall not be demanded, because he is supposed always present aiding the Court.

## (C) In What Adions there may be a Monsuit.

2 Rol. Ab. 130. Person may be nonsuit in a Writ of Error. 1 Sid. 255.S.P.

20 H. 6. 18. b. 2 Rol. Abr. 130. S. C.

A Person may be nonsuit in a Writ of salse Judgment.

22 E. 4. 10.

One cannot be nonfuit in any Action in which he is not an Actor or Demandant; and tho' he afterwards becomes an Actor, yet not being originally fo, he cannot be nonfuit as an Avowant; fo of Garnishees who become Actors, but were not to originally.

2 Rol. Abr. 130.

So if a Person outlawed hath a Charter of Pardon, and sues a Scire facias against the Party, tho' hereby he is an Actor, yet he cannot be nonfuit.

2 Rol. Abr. 130.

So if a Man traverse an Office he cannot be nonfuit, altho' he is an

Actor, for he hath no Original pending against the King.

Dyer 141. pl. 47. this is made a Quare.

11 H. 4. 52. But in a Petition of Right against the King the Plaintiff may be 2Rol.Ab. 130. nonfuit.

47 E. 3. 5. b. So in an Audita Querela, to avoid a Statute, the Plaintiff may be nonfuit, for he is Plaintiff in this Action.

45 E. 3. 16. If to 2 Nihils returned on a Scire facias on a Charter of Pardon, the Plaintiff does not appear, he shall be nonsuit; for the Statute ordains, that upon his appearing he ought to count against the Defendant.

### (D) At what Time a Nonsuit may be.

T the Common Law, upon every Continuance, or Day given over b. that if at A before Judgment, the Plaintiff was demandable, and upon his Non-Law he did appearance might have been nonfuit. not like the Damages given by the Jury, he might be nonfuit. 5 Mod. 208.

But now by the 2 H. 4. cap. 7. it is enacted in the Words following:

Whereas, upon Verdict found before any Justice in Assise of Novel ' Disseisin, Mordancestor, or any other Action whatsoever, the Parties

before this Time have been adjourned upon Difficulty in Law, upon the Matter fo found; it is ordained and established, that if the Verdict

pass against the Plaintiff, that the same Plaintiff shall not be nonfuited." But notwithstanding this Statute it hath been held, that the Plaintiff

Co. Lit. 139. 2 Fon. 1. may be nonfuited after a special Verdict, or after a Demurrer and (a) 2 Rol. Abr. Argument thereupon.

3 Leon 28. & vid. 2 Hawk. P. C. 184. (a) In Debt upon an Obligation, upon Demurrer, the Case being urgued, the Opinion of the Court was against the Plaintiff, and Rule given, that Judgment should be entered for the Defendant; and the Plaintiff prayed that he might be nonfuited, and because he had the same Term appeared, and argued by his Counsel, and had prayed Judgment, he could not be nonfuited the same Term. Cro. Jac. 35.

If

If there be Judgment to account, and Auditors affigned, and there- 2 Rol. Abr. upon a Capias ad Computandum, the Plaintiff cannot be nonfuited on the 131. Vid. Co. Original, because the Original is determined by the Judgment to ac-Lit. 139. b. count.

If the Defendant wages his Law, and a Day is given him over to ano- 3 H. 6. 13, ther Term to make his Law, if the Plaintiff does not appear that Day 2 Rol. Albr he will be nonfuited; otherwise, if he wages his Law immediately, or, 131 as fome hold, on a Day in the same Term.

#### (E) How far the Ponsuit of one shall be the Ponsuit of another.

N real or mixt Actions, the Nonfuit of one Demandant is not the Co. Lit. 139 2 Infl. 563.

Nonfuit of both; but he that makes Default fliall be fummioned and 2 Rol. Ab. 132 fevered; but regularly in perfonal Actions, the Nonfult of the one is the SeveralCales Nonfuit of both.

But in personal Actions, brought by Executors, there shall be Sum-mons and Severance, because the best shall be taken for the Benefit of the a. Vid Head Dead; and to it is in Action of Trespass, as Executor for Goods taken of Execuout of their own Possession. Like Law in Account, as Executors by the tors. Receipt of their own Hands.

In an (a) Audita Querela concerning the Perfonalty, the Nonfuit of the Co. Lit. 139. one is not the Nonfuit of the other; because it goeth by Way of Dif- (a) In an charge, and freeing themselves, and therefore the Desault of the one rela, Scirefa-Andita Queshall not hurt the other. cias, Atraint, the Nonfuit

of one shall not prejudice the other. 6 Co. 26.

In a Quid Juris clamat, the Nonsuit of the one is the Nonsuit of both, Co. Lit. 139. because the Tenant cannot attorn according to the Grant.

Some Actions follow the Nature of those Actions, whereupon they are Co. Lit. 139 grounded; as the Writs of Error, Attaint, Scire facias, and the like. If a a. b real Action be brought by several Precipe's against two or more, if the 133 Demandant be nonfuit against one, he is nonfuit against (b) all; for as to (b) But the Demandant, it is but one Writ under one Teste. where a Plaintiff

may enter a Nolle Profequi against one, and have Judgment against the rest, vid. 2 Rol. Abr. 101. Cro. Car. 239, 243. Hob. 70, 180. Carth. 19. 3 Med. 101.

In an Appeal against divers, whether they plead the same or several Cro. Eliz. Iffues, it hath been adjudged, that a Nonfuit against one, at the Trial 460. pl. 6. of any one of the Issues, is a Nonsuit as to all, because such a Nonsuit Dyer 120. operates in Nature as a Release of the Whole.

A Latitat was fued out against a Desendants in Trespass, the Plaintiff 2 Salk. 455. was nonfuit for (c) Want of a Declaration, and the Defendants Attor- (c) There is ney entered 4 Nonfuits against him; and it was held to be irregular, be- a Nonfuit cause the Trespass is joint; and tho' the Plaintiff may count severally before Apagainst the Defendants, yet it remains joint 'till it is severed by the pearance at Count.

or after Appearance at some Day of Continuance. Co. Lit. 138. r

Vol. III.

8 L

(F) **How** 

#### (F) how far a Monsuit for Part of the Thing in Demand thall be a Nonsuit for the Wilhole.

2 Leon. 177 Hob. 180.

T is laid down as a general Rule, that a Nonsuit for Part is a Nonfuit for the Whole; but it hath been held, that if a Defendant plead to one Part, and thereupon Issue is joined, and demur to the other, the Plaintiff may be nonfuit as to one Part, and proceed for the other.

2 Rol. Abr. 154.

If in Debt the Defendant acknowledges the Action as to Part, and joins Issue as to the Residue, and the Plaintiff hath Judgment for that which is so confessed; but there is a Cessat Executio, by Reason of the Damages to be affeffed by the Jury; if the Plaintiff be nonfuited in this Issue, this shall not be a Nonsuit for the Damages to be given, because

that he had Judgment.

2 Lcon 177 Sir Tolm Sands ver. Packfal Ero 605

If in Trover for divers Goods the Defendant pleads, that as to some of the Goods they were fixed to his Freehold, as to others that he had them of the Gift of the Haintiff, and as to the rest Not guilty; and as to the first, the Plaintiff enters non vult ulterius Prosequi, this amounts only to a Retraxit, and is no Nonfuit, so as to bar the Plaintiff from proceeding on the other Parts of the Plea, on the Rule, that a Nonfuit for Part is a Nonfuit for the Whole.

### (G) Of the Effect of a Nonsuit; and therein of its being a peremptory Bar.

Nonfuit, as hath been observed, is regularly no peremptory Bar; but the Plaintiff may, notwithstanding, commence any new Action of the same or like Nature; but this general Rule hath the following Exceptions.

Co. Lit. 139.

1. It is peremptory in a Quare Impedit; and in that Action a Difcontinuance is also peremptory; and the Reason is, for that the Defendant had, by Judgment of the Court, a Writ to the Bishop; and the Incumbent, that cometh in by that Writ, shall never be removed; which is a flat Bar to that Presentation.

2. Nonfuit in an Appeal of Murder, Rape, Robbery, &c. after (a) Co. Lit. 139. Appearance, is peremptory, and this in favorem Vita; (b) but the Non-(a) But the fuit of the Plaintiff in an Appeal is not fuch an Acquittal, on which the bare taking Defendant shall recover Damages against the Abettors, by West. 2. cap. 12. unless, after the Nonsuit, he were arraigned at the King's Suit upon of Appeal, and caufing the Appeal, and acquitted.

it to be delifivered of Record to the Sheriff, and a Nonfuit upon it, is no Bar of a second Appeal; because it doth not appear of Record, but that it might be done by a Stranger; and therefore the Nonfuit must be after an Appearance in proper Person of Record. 2 Hawk. P. C. 193-4. (b) 2 Inft. 385.

3. So if the Plaintiff, in an Appeal of Mayhem, be nonfuit after Appearance, it is peremptory; for the Words therein are Felonice Maybe-

Co.Lit. 139 a. 4. A Nonsuit after Appearance is also peremptory in a Nativo Habendo, Cro.El.z.881. and the Nonsuit of one Plaintiff in that Action nonsuits both in Favo-

rem Libertatis; for in a Libertate Probanda such Nonsuit is not peremptory, neither is the Nonsuit of one Plaintiff the Nonsuit of both.

5. Such Nonsuit is also peremptory in an Attaint, but a Discontinu- Co. Lit. 139. ance in an Attaint is not; because there is a Judgment given upon the an Nonsuit, but not upon the Discontinuance.

# Nusances.

Common Nusance is an Offence against the Publick, either <sup>2</sup> Rol. Abraby doing a Thing which tends to the Annoyance of all <sup>83</sup>. the King's Subjects, or by neglecting to do a Thing which the C. 197 common Good requires.

Under which Description we shall consider.

(A) What wall be faid a Pufance.

(B) How far the Indiament must charge it to be an Annoyance to all the King's Subjects.

(C) How a Pusance is to be removed or abated.

(D) How the Offence is punichable.

For Nusances relating to the Highways, cide Title Highways

For those relating to Bridges, Tit. 132inges.

For those relating to publick Houses, Tit. Inns and Inn-Keepers.

## (A) What thall be said a Nusance.

I T is clearly agreed, that keeping a Bawdy-House is a common Nu- 3 Infl. 205. fance, as it endangers the publick Peace, by drawing together dissolute Kitchen 11. and debauched Persons; and also has an apparent Tendency to corrupt 1 Hawk P. the Manners of both Sexes, by such an open Profession of Lewdness.

Also it hath been adjudged, that this is such an Offence, of which a Salk 384. Feme Covert may be guilty as well as if she were sole; and that she, The Queen together with her Husband, may be indicted and condemned to the Pilver. Williams lory for keeping a Bawdy-House; for the keeping the House does not necessarily import Property, but may signify that Share of Government which the Wife has in a Family as well as the Husband; and in this she is presumed to have a considerable Part, as those Matters are usually managed by the Intrigues of her Sex.

It is clearly agreed, that all common Gaming Houses are Nusances in 1 Hawk. P. the Eye of the Law, being detrimental to the Publick, as they promote C. 198

Cheat-

(a) Trin. 2 Kins ver. Dixon.

. Mod. 76. Keh. 846. , Keb. 404. . Vost. 169. 5 A'od. 141. i Harok 1. C. 198.

Ruffrworth's PoZ. Part 1. Vol. 1. Pol. 220, 247. 1 Rol. Rep. 109. 5 Mod 142. Skin. 625.

Cheating and other corrupt Practices, and incite, to Idleness and avaricious Ways of gaining Property, great Numbers, whose Time might otherwise be employed for the general Good of the Community; also it hath been (a) adjudged, that this is fuch an Offence, for which a Feme Georg. 1. The Covert may be indicted; for as in the preceding Case, the Wife may be concerned in Acts of Bawdry; fo here the may be active in promoting Gaming, and furnishing the Guests with all Conveniencies for that Purpofe.

It feems to be the better Opinion, that all common Stages for Rope-Dancers, &c. are Nusances, not only because they are great Temptations to Idleness, but also because they are apt to draw together Numbers of diforderly Persons, which cannot but be very inconvenient to the

Neighbourhood.

But it feems the better Opinion, that Playhouses having been originally instituted with a laudable Design of recommending Virtue to the Initiation of the People, and exposing Vice and Folly, are not Nusances in their own Nature, but may only become fuch by Aceident; as where they draw together such Numbers of Coaches or People, &c. as prove generally inconvenient to the Places adjacent; or when they pervert their original Institution, by recommending vicious and loose Characters under beautiful Colours to the Imitation of the People, and make a Jest of

Things commendable, ferious and ufeful.

And now for the better-Regulation of Players and Flay-houses, by the 10 Georg. 2. it is enacted, 'That every Person, who shall for Hire, Gain, or Reward act, represent or perform, or cause to be acted, represented or performed any Interlude, Tragedy, Comedy, Opera, Play, Farce, 6 or other Entertainment of the Stage, or any Part or Parts therein, in · Case such Person shall not have any legal Settlement in the Place where 6 the fame fhall be acted, reprefented or performed, without Authority by Virtue of Letters Patent from his Majesty, his Heirs, Successors or Predecessors, or without Licence from the Lord Chamberlain of his · Majesty's Houshold, for the Time being, shall be deemed to be a Rogue and a Vagabond, within the Intent and Meaning of the 12 Ann. and ' shall be liable and subject to all such Penalties and Punishments, and by ' fuch Methods of Conviction, as are inflicted on, or appointed by the faid Act, for the Punishment of Rogues and Vagabonds, who shall be found wandering, begging, and misordering themselves, within the Intent and Meaning of the faid Act.

And Sest. 2. it is further enacted, 'That if any Person having, or not 6 having a legal Settlement as aforefaid, shall, without such Authority or Licence as aforefaid, act, represent or perform, or cause to be acted, e represented or performed for Hire, Gain, or Reward, any Interlude, 'Tragedy, Comedy, Opera, Play, Farce, or other Entertainment of ' the Stage, or any Part or Parts therein, every fuch Person shall, for ' every such Offence, forfeit the Sum of fifty Pounds; and in Case the

6 faid Sum of 501. fhall be paid, levied or recovered, fuch Offender shall onot, for the same Offence, suffer any of the Pains or Penalties inflicted

by the faid recited Act.

And Sect. 3. it is further enacted, 'That no Person shall for Hire, Gain or Reward, act, perform, represent, or cause to be acted, per-6 formed or reprefented any new Interlude, Tragedy, Comedy, Opera, EPlay, Farce, or other Entertainment of the Stage, or any new Probe logue or Epilogue, unless the Copy thereof be fent to the Lord Chamberlain of the King's Houshold for the Time being, 14 Days at least

6 before the acting, representing or performing thereof, together with an Account of the Playhouse, or other Place where the same shall be, and

6 the Time when the same is intended to be first acted, represented or ' performed, figued by the Master or Manager, or one of the Masters or

· Managers of fuch Playhouse, or Place, or Company of Actors therein

And Sect. 4. it is further enacted, 6 That it shall and may be lawful to and for the faid Lord Chamberlain for the Time being, from Time to Time, and when and as often as he shall think fit, to probibit the Acting, Performing or Representing any Interlude, Tragedy, Comedy, Opera, Play, Farce, or other Entertainment of the Stage, or any Act, Scene or Part thereof, or any Prologue or Epilogue; and in cafe any Person or Persons shall for Hire, Gain or Reward, act, persorm or represent, or cause to be acted, performed or represented, any new Interlude, Tragedy, Comedy, Opera, Play, Farce, or other Entertainment of the Stage, or any Act, Scene or Part thereof, or any new Prologue or Epilogue, before a Copy thereof shall be tent as aforefaid, with fuch Account as aforefaid; or shall for Hire, Gain or Reward, act, perform or represent, or cause to be acted, performed or reprefented any Interlude, Tragedy, Comedy, Opera, Play, Farce, or other Entertainment of the Stage, or any Act, Scene, or Part thercof, or any Prologue or Epilogue, contrary to fuch Prohibition as aforefaid; every Person so offending shall, for every such Offence, forfeit the Sum of 50 l. and every Grant, Licence and Authority, (in case there be any fuch,) by or under which the faid Mafter or Mafters, or · Manager or Managers, fet up, formed or continued fuch Play-House, or fuch Company of Actors, shall cease, determine, and become abso-• Jutely void to all Intents and Purposes whatsoever.

Provided, Sect. 5. 'That no Person or Persons shall be authorized, by Virtue of any Letters Patent from his Majesty, his Heirs, Successors or Predecessors, or by the Licence of the Lord Chamberlain of his Majesty's Houshold for the Time being, to act, represent or personn for Hire, Gain or Reward, any Interlude, Tragedy, Comedy, Opera, Play, Farce, or other Entertainment of the Stage, or any Part or Parts therein, in any Part of Great Britain; except in the City of Hesteminster, and within the Liberties thereof, and in such Places where his Majesty, see's Heirs or Successors, shall in their Royal Persons reside,

and during such Residence only.

And Sect. 6. it is further enacted, 6 That all the pecuniary Penalties inflicted by this Act, for Offences committed within that Part of Great Britain called England, Wales, and Town of Rerwick called Tweed, 6 shall be recovered by Bill, Plaint or Information in any of his Majesty's · Courts of Record at Westminster; in which no Essoin, Protection or Wager 6 of Law shall be allowed: And for Offences committed in that Part of 6 Great Britain called Scotland, by Action or fummary Complaint before the Court of Sessions or Justiciary there; or for Offences committed in any Part of Great Britain, in a summary Way, before two Justices of the Peace for any County, Stewartry, Riding, Division or Liberty, where any fuch Offence shall be committed, by the Oath or Oaths of one or more credible Witness or Witnesses, or by the Confession of the 6 Offender; the fame to be levied by Diffress and Sale of the Offender's Goods and Chattels, rendring the Overplus to fuch Offender, if any ' there be, above the Penalty and Charge of Diftress; and for Want of ' fufficient Distress, the Offender shall be committed to any House of <sup>k</sup> Correction in any fuch County, Stewartry, Riding or Liberty, for any 'Time not exceeding fix Months, there to be kept to hard Labour, or to the common Gaol of any fuch County, Stewartry, Riding or Liberty, for any Time not exceeding fix Months, there to remain without Bail or Mainprise; and if any Person or Persons shall think him, her or themselves aggrieved by the Order or Orders of such Justices of the · Peace, it shall and may be lawful for such Person or Persons to appeal ' therefrom to the next General Quarter-Seffions, to be held for the faid County, Stewartry, Riding or Liberty, whose Order therein shall be final and conclusive; and the faid Penaltics against this Act shall belong one Moiety thereof to the Informer, or Person suing or prose-Vol. III.

cuting for the same, the other Moiety to the Poor of the Parish where

fuch Offence shall be committed.

And Sed. 7. it is further enacted, 'That if any finterlude, 'Fragedy, ' Comedy, Opera, Play, Farce, or other Entertainment of the Stage, or any Act, Scene or Part thereof, shall be acted, represented or per-

formed, in any House or Place where Wine, Ale, Beer or other Liquors shall be fold or retailed, the same shall be deemed to be acted,

represented and performed for Gain, Hire and Reward.

• Provided that every Profecution, for any Offence within this Act, shall be commenced within fix Kalendar Months after the Offence committed.

It was formerly held, that the Erecling a Dove-house on a Man's own Frank-Tenement was a Nufance; because the Pigeons and Doves were Poph. 141. tendi; and that therefore whoever creeked fuch Houses, were answerable for the Damages done by them; and because they were not liable to every Man's Action, to avoid Multiplicity of Suits, it was thought a Matter indictable in the Leet; but the contrary Opinion prevailed; because it was allowed the Lord of the Manor might erect, or permit by his Licence any Person to erect a Dove-house; which he could not do, if it were a Nufance; every Nufance being Malum in fe; besides, these Animals are rather to be accounted Tene nature; and by Consequence, the only Remedy any Person had, for the Damage sustained by the Birds feeding on his Ground, was to kill them and take them to himfelf, which was the proper Relief according to the Common Law; in as much as the Birds were accounted no Man's Property. But it is faid, that a Dovecote newly erected in a Manor, without the Lord's Licence, is a good Ground for an Action on the Case, at the Suit of the Lord.

It is clearly agreed to be a Nusance to dig a Ditch, or make a Hedge over-thwart a Highway, or to erect a new Gate, or to lay Logs of Timber in it; or generally to do any other Act which will render it less Cro. Car. 184. commodious: But it feems that a Gate, which has continued Time out of Mind, is no Nufance; but that the same may be justified by Prescription, being at first intended to have been set up by Consent, on a Composition with the Owner of the Land, on the Laying out the Road; in which Case, the People had never any Right to a freer Passage than

what they still enjoy.

And as navigable Rivers are deemed Highways, it is a Nusance to divert Part of the River, whereby the Current of it is weakened, and made unable to carry Vessels of the same Burthen as it could before; also the laying of Timber in a common River, tho' the Soil belong to the Party, is equally a Nusance, as if the Soil was not his, if thereby the Passage of Boats, &c. is obstructed; and from hence also it seems to follow, that private Stairs, from those Houses that stand by the Thames into it, are common Nufances; but it seems, that where there are Cuts made in the Banks, that are not Annoyances to the River, the Timber lying there is no Nusance.

It hath been holden to be a common Nusance, to divide a House in a Town for poor People to inhabit in; by Reason whereof it will be more dangerous in the Time of Infection of the Plague.

Bringing a great Ship of 300 Tuns into Billing sate-Dock, tho' a common Dock, yet being only fo for small Ships coming with Provision to the Markets of London, is a Nusance, in the same Manner, as a Man using with his Cart a common Pack and Horse Way, so as to plow it up, and thereby render it less convenient to Riders, is a Nusance indictable.

It feems the better Opinion, that a Brew-house, Glass-house, Chandler's Shop or Stie for Swine, set up in such inconvenient Parts of a Town, Cro. Car. 510. that they cannot but greatly incommode the Neighbourhood, are common Nusances.

1 Vent. 26. 1 Keb. 500. 3 Mod. 138. Salk. 458, 460. 1

2 Rol. Abr. 13S. Poph. 141. Guab. 259. Cro Eliz. 548. 1 Rol. Rep. 156, 200. 2 Rol. Rep. 3, 4, 34. 5 Co. 104. Moor 238.

Letter (E). I Fon. 221. 1 Bulft. 203. 2 Rol. Abr. 137.

Noy 103. 3 Keb. 640,

759.

Vide Tit. Highways.

2 Rol. Abr. 139. pl. 3.

6 Mod. 145. The Queen

ver. Leich.

2 Rol. Abr. 139. Hutt. 136. Palm. 536.

(B) Tow

#### (B) How far the Indiament must charge it to be an Annoyance to all the King's Subjects.

VERY Nufance, punishable by a publick Profecution, must be 2 Reliable 8: charged to be ad commune nocumentum, or to the general Annoy- 1 Hawk P.C. ance of all the King's Subjects; for if they are only Injuries to particular Persons, they are left to be redressed by the private Actions of the Par-

ties aggrieved by them.

And therefore an Indichment for Surcharging fuch a Common, or Inclo- 1 Harele P. C. fing fuch a Piece of Ground, or Diffurbing fuch a Water-course, or doing feveral Auany other Act, not apparently of a publick Nature, to the Nusance of the thornes Inhabitants of fuch a Town, or of J. S. and his Tenants, is not good. there cited.

So an Indictment in a Court-Leet for keeping a Glass-house ad maxi- 1 Vent, 26. mum nocumentum was quashed; because it was not a Nusance, unless it a Keb. 500, had been ad commune nocumentum.

So an Indictment for Stopping a Water-courfe was quashed, being only 4 Add. 10laid ad nocumentum omnium prope inhabitantium, without faving & tranfe- 3 Keb. 284.

But it hath been held, that an Indictment for not repairing a Bridge, 2 Leon 183, per quod ligei Domini Regis transire nen pessunt, &c. ad nocumentum et- 184. per quod liger Domini Regis transire new pessions, & c. aa novamentum co- 9 Co. 113. rundem is sufficient; for by the King's Liege People shall be understood all 1 Vent. 208. his Liege People.

Also an Indictment for doing a Thing which plainly appears imme- 2 Rol. Abr. diately to tend to the Prejudice of Religion, or of the King; as for 83-4. breaking the Walls of a Church, or imbezilling the King's Treafure, &c. 1718. is good, without exprcfly laying it as a common Grievance.

So an Indictment of a common Scold, by the Words Communis rixa- 6 Med. 11, trix, hath been held good, tho' it concluded ad commune nocumentum di- 178, 213, versorum instead of Omnium; because, says Hawkins, from the Nature of 239, 311. the Thing, it cannot but be a common Nusance; and for the same Rea- 2 Keb. 410. fon, fays he, an Indictment with fuch a Conclusion, for a Nusance to a 1 Keb. 161. River, plainly appearing to be a publick and navigable River, or to a 1 Rol. Rep. Way, plainly appearing to be a Highway, is sufficient; and perhaps, says 1 Hawk, P.C. he, the (a) Authorities, which feem to contradict this Opinion, might go 198. upon this Reafon, that in the Body of the Indichment it did not appear, (a) Viz. Cop. with sufficient Certainty, whether the Way, wherein the Nusance was alledged, were a Highway, or only a private Way; and therefore it shall 2 Keb. 461. 2 Rol. Altr S. be intended, from the Conclusion of the Indichment, that it was a private Way.

### (C) how a Pulance is to be removed or avated.

EREIN it is laid down by Hawkins, that any one may pull t Hawk. P. C. down or otherwise destroy a common Nusance; as a new Gate, 1999, for or even a new House erected in a Highway, &c. for if one, whose E-cited 2 Rol. state is or may be prejudiced by a private Nusance actually erected, as Abr. 144 5. a House hanging over his Ground, or stopping his Lights, &c. may Cro. Car. 184justify the Entring into another's Ground, and pulling down and destroying such a Nusance, whether it were erected before or since he
came to the Estate; surely it cannot but follow a fortieri, that any one 9 Cro. 54transfer to the Lights desired a common Nusance, and as the Law is now hell. may lawfully destroy a common Nusance; and as the Law is now hol- Salk. 458 % den, it scems, that in a Plea, justifying the Removal of a Nusance, the Party need not flow that he did as little Damage as need be.

\_ Rol. Abr. St.

6 Mod. 11,

178, 213. Salk. 382.

200.

110.

2 Rol. Abr.

140, 141. Moor 180.

4 Co. 18.

If a River be stopped to the Nusance of the Country, and none ap-3 Aff. 10. pear bound by Prescription to clear it, those who have the Piscary, and 1 Rol. Abr. the neighbouring Towns, who have a common Passage and Eastement 1 Hawk P.C therein, may be compelled to do it. 200 faid to have been adjudged.

It feems to be the better Opinion, that the Court of King's Bench (a) A Writ to prohibit a may, by a (a) Mandatory Writ, prohibit a Nusance, and order that Eowling Al- the same shall be abated; and that if the Party disobeys the Writ, ly erefted he subjects himself to an Attachment; but upon such Attachment, for near St. Dun proceeding after the Writ of Prohibition, there ought to be a Declarajaid by Hale tion, fetting forth the Nature of the Offence, and that the fame is a to have been Nusance, and that, notwithstanding the Writ of Prohibition, the Defengranted dant proceeded or continued it; to which, if the Defendant can in Plead-8 Car. 1. on ing set forth a sufficient Justification, his Proceeding post probabitionem re-Noy's Motion giam will be good in Law, and himself discharged of all Contempt and 1 Med. 76. Costs against the Complainant. — So a Prohibition

restraining Jacob Hall a Rope-Dancer, who had erected a Stage at Charing-Cross. I Vent. 169. 2 Keb. 845. 1 Mod. 76. & vide Skin 625. 5 Mod. 142.

### (D) how the Offence is punishable.

LL common Nusances to the Publick are regularly punishable by Hawk. P.C. A Fine and Imprisonment, at the Discretion of the Judges; but in fome Cases, Corporal Punishment may be inflicted; as in the Case of a common Scold, who is faid to be properly punishable, by being put into the Ducking-Stool; also the Offence of keeping a Bawdy-house is punishable, not only with Fine and Imprisonment, but also with such infamous Punishment, as to the Court in Discretion shall seem proper.

2 Rol. Abr. S4. Also a Person convicted of a Nusance, done to the King's Highway, Hawk. P.C. may be commanded by the Judgment to remove the Nusance at his own Costs; and per Hawkins, it is but reasonable that those, who are convicted of any other common Nusance, should also have the like Judgment. Co. Lit. 56 a.

But it is clearly agreed, that common Nufances against the Publick 1 Rol. Abr. 88, are only punishable by a publick Profecution; and that no Action on the Case will lie at the Suit of the Party injured; as this would create a Multiplicity of Actions, one Man being as well intitled to bring an Action as another; and therefore, in those Cases, the Remedy must be by Indictment at the Suit of the King.

9 Co. 113. 2 Brownl. 147. Vaugh. 341. Cro. Eliz 664. 3 Mod. 294. Carth. 191. 1 Salk. 15.

But if by such a Nusauce, the Party suffer a (b) particular Damage, Co Lit. 56. Cro. Jac. 446 as if by stopping up a Highway with Logs, &c. his Horse throws him, 1 Keb. 847. by which he is wounded or hurt, an Action lies. 2 Fon. 157

1 Salk 15 (b) But if a Highway is stopped, that a Man is delayed in his Journey a little while, and by Reason thereof he is damnified, or some important Affair neglected; this is not such a special Damage, for which an Action on the Case will lie.; but a particular Damage, to maintain this Action, ought to be direct, and not consequential; as for Instance, the Loss of his Horse, or some Corporal Hnrt, in falling into a Trench in the Highway, &c. Carth. 194

I Salk. 10. Also an Action lies for continuing a Nusance; as where, for erecting a Nusance 2 die Febr', the Defendant pleaded a prior Action, brought for erecting a Nusance 20 die Martii, and a Recovery thereupon, and averred these to be the same Nusance and Erection; and on Demurrer the Plaintiff had Judgment; for the cannot have a new Action for the same Erection, yet he may for the Continuing the same Nusance.

Obliga:

# Obligations.

- (A) Of the Nature of the Security, called a Bond of Obligation.
- (B) What Words create such a Security.
- (C) Of the Ceremonies requisite to a Bond di Oblisgation; and herein of Signing, Sealing, Wate and Belivery.
- (D) Of the Parties to the Obligation: And herein,
  - 1. Who may bind themselves, or be Obligors:

2. Who may take fuch Security or be Obligees.

3. Who shall be said the Obligee; and therein of making feveral Obligees.

- 4. Where there are feveral Co-obligors or Sureties; and herein, where they shall be faid to be jointly and severally bound, and of the Obligee's Remedy against all or any of them.
- 5. Of their Remedies against each other.

# (E) Of the Condition and Consideration of the Obligation: And herein,

1. Of possible and impossible Conditions.

2. Of repugnant Conditions.

3. Of lawful and unlawful Conditions.

# (F) Of the Breach and Performance of the Condition of an Obligation: And herein,

- 1. What shall be a Breach or sufficient Performance.
- 2. Where there are disjunctive Conditions, how to be performed.
- 3. By and to whom to be performed.

4. At what Time to be performed.

5. At what Place to be performed.

6. What the Obligee must do, in order to intitle him to take Advantage of the Breach; and herein of Notice, Request, &c.

7. How the Breach must be assigned and set forth, and the Manner of pleading Performance, and in Bara

# (A) Of the Nature of the Security, called a Bond or Obligation.

Co. Lit. 172. a.

BLIGATION, fays my Lord Coke, is a Word of its own Nature of a large Extent, but is usually taken in the Common Law for a Bond, containing a Penalty with Condition for Payment of Money, or to do or suffer some Act or Thing, &c. and a Bill, says he, is most commonly taken for a single Bond without Condition.

This Sccurity is also called a Specialty; the Debt being therein particularly specified in (a) Writing, and the Party's Seal, acknowledging the Debt or Duty, and confirming the Contract; rendering it a Security of a (b) higher Nature than those entered into without the Soment or Paper, and in loose Parchment or Paper, or in a loose Parchment or Paper, and in loose Parchment or Paper loose Parchment

Parchment or Paper sowed in a Book, and either Way, it is good; but if it be made on a Talley, Piece of Wood, or any other Thing, but Paper or Parchment, (altho' it be sealed and delivered, it is void. Bro. Oblig 67, 30.) — Because these are least subject to Alteration or Corruption. Co. Lit. 229. a. — May be in a Letter, or other Writing, so it be sealed. Comb. 87. 3 Mod. 154. — But note, That by the late Statutes it must be on stamped Paper or Parchment. (b) Therefore, if a Man accepts an Obligation for a Debt due by simple Contract, this extinguishes the simple Contract Debt. 1 Rol. Abr. 604. 2 Leon. 110. — So if a Man accepts a Bond for a Legacy, he cannot after sue for his Legacy in the Spiritual Court; for by the Deed the Legacy is extinct, and it is become a meer Duty at Common Law. Yelv. 38. — Also from its being of a higher Nature than a simple Contract, the Desendant cannot plead Nil debet, but must plead Solvit ad diem, or Non est factum; for the Scal of the Party continuing, it must be dissolved eo Ligamine quo Ligatur. 2 Inst. 651. Hard. 218. (c) For this, vide Head of Executors and Administrators. (d) And therefore it is held, that if the Obligor in a Bond, without any new Consideration, as Forbearance. See, promises to pay the Money, an Assumpse will not lie, but the Obligee must still pursue his Remedy by Action of Debt. 1 Rol. Abr. 8. Hutt. 34. Cro. Eliz. 240.

Cro. Eliz.773. A Bond or Obligation is a Debt or Duty which adheres to the Obligor or Debtor, let it be contracted where it will, and let the Debtor fly to what Place he pleases; and being chargeable every where, it need not be dated from any particular Place; and therefore usually begins with Noverint universi; but yet the Plaintiff in his Declaration must lay a Place where it was made, that it may receive Trial, if it be denied.

Co. Lit. 232.

(e) That being entered into to a Feme Sole, who after
A Bond is (e) a Chose in Action, which cannot be assigned over, which to a Chose in Action, which cannot be assigned over, which the Assignment fuch a Title to the Paper and Wax, that he may keep or cancel it.

wards marries, and the Husband dies, it shall survive to her, being a Chese in Asion, which the Husband might have reduced into Pottession.— So if the Wife, who is the Obligee, dies, her Husband is no otherwise intitled to it than as Administrator to his Wife. Noy 149. Stile 205. for this, vide Tit. Baron and Fense. (f) And by the modern Practice, he may sue for it in the Name of the Obligee, as his Attorney; but quare, whether this can be done without an express Authority.

Also in Equity a Bond is affignable for a valuable (g) Consideration paid, and the Affignee alone becomes intitled to the Money; so that if the Obligor, after Notice of the Affignment, pays the Money to the Obligor, after Notice of the Affignment, pays the Money to the Obligor, he will be compelled to pay it over again.

paid. 3 Chan. Rep. 90. (g) 2 Vern. 540. But Payment to the Obligee, without Notice of the Assignment, is good. 1 Chan. Ca. 232.

<sup>2</sup> Vern. 428, The Assignee must take it, subject to the same Equity that it was in the Hands of the Obligee; as if, on a Marriage-Treaty, the intended Husband

Husband enters into a Marriage Brokake Bond, which is afterwards affigned to Creditors, yet it still remains liable to the same Equity, and is

not to be carried into Execution against the Obligor.

Bonds are to be confidered as Securities for the Performance of Con- Yelv. 192. tracts, and are usually entered into with (a) Penalties, which are to be 2 Mod. 201 confidered as (b) Compensations for the Breach of the Contract, as that 1 Sand. 66. considered as (b) Compensations for the Breach of the Contract; as that (a) That the a Man shall pay 200 l. if he omits to pay 100 l. within such a Time, that Reservation he shall pay to much if he does not perform such and such Covenants, of a greater do or omit such and such Acts; and in those he may cedere such such allowed by the Statute lick, &c.

for Interest,

for the Non-payment of the Principal at the End of the Year, is not usurious within the Statute; because it is in the Power of the Borrower to avoid the Payment of the Money so reserved, by paying the Principal at the Day appointed, 5 Co. 69. Cro. Fac. 509. (b) A Contract or Covenant to give Bond sor the Payment of a certain Sum of Money, without shewing of what Sum the Obligation shall be, is good, and shall be intended of double the Sum. 5 Co. 77. b. 78. a. 1 Lev. 88.— So where there was an Agreement to enter into certain Covenants, and to enter into a Bond for the Personance of the Covenants; and upon an Action, Breach was laid that he did not enter into Bond, &c. and a Verdict for the Plaintiff; and in Arrest of Indowent it was moved that this Partsof the Agreement was upon for the Plaintiff; and in Arrest of Judgment it was moved, that this Part of the Agreement was uncertain and void, because it was not expressed of what Sum the Bond should be, and here was no Certainty to guide it, as in the above Case; but fer Windham Justice, the Sum in the Bond must be to the Value of the Agreement; & per cur, you should have entered into Bond, the the Sum were never so small, and why did you not tender such a one. 1 Sid. 270. 1 Keb. 776.

If a Man enters into a Bond of fuch a Sum, on Condition to be void Cre. Car. 490. on Payment of a leffer Sum; or if a Man bind himself in the Penalty of 1 Vent. 354. 100 l. that he will pay 50 l. by fuch a Day, after the Day of Payment is 3 Lev. 368. past, the Penalty or Sum of 100 l. is the legal Debt; and for so much it Geor. 2 in B. hath been (c) resolved, an Executor of an Obligor of such forfeited R the Bank Bond, may cover the Affets of his Testator.

And as the Penalty, by the Bond's being forseited, becomes the legal Debt; so there was no Remedy against such Penalty, but by Applica- Ca 15. tion to a Court of Equity, which relieves in those Cases, on Payment of Abr. Ez. 91, Principal, Interest, and Costs; also tho' at Law there can be no Reme- 92. Principal, Interest, and Costs; also tho at Law there can be no recined by beyond the Penalty; because in that the Obligee seems to have taken 1 Salk. 154.

1 Vern. 342, up his Security; yet, as it is on the Foundation of doing equal Justice 350. to both Parties that Equity proceeds, it will, on any Application for a 2 Vern. 509. Favour from the Obligor, compel him to pay the Principal, Interest and Costs, tho' exceeding the Penalty.

And this Rule of compelling the Party to do Equity who feeks Abr. Eq. 92, Equity, feems to be the Reason why an Obligee shall have Interest after 288. he has entered up Judgment; for tho' in Striciness it may be accounted his own Fault why he did not take out Execution, and therefore not en-

titled to Interest; yet, as by the Judgment he is intitled to the Penalty, it does not feem reasonable that he should be deprived of it, but upon paying him Principal and the Interest, which incurred as well before as

after the entring up of the Judgment.

Also by the 4 & 5 Ann. cap. 16. it is enacted, 6 That where any 6 Action of Debt shall be brought on any single Bill; or where an Action of Debt, or Scire facias, shall be brought upon any Judgment; if the Defendant hath paid the Money due on fuch Bill or Judgment, fuch · Payment shall and may be pleaded in Bar of such Action or Suit; and where an Action of Debt is brought upon any Bond, which hath a 6 Condition or Defeazance to make void the same, upon Payment of a 6 leffer Sum at Day or Place certain; if the Obligor, his Heirs, Execu-6 tors or Administrators have, before the Action brought, paid to the 6 Obligee, his Executors or Administrators, the Principal and Interest due 6 by the Defeazance or Condition of fuch Bond, tho' fuch Payment was 6 not strictly made according to the Condition or Defeazance; yet it 6 shall and may be pleaded in Bar of such Action, and shall be as ef-

fectual a Bar thereof, as if the Money had been paid at the Day and

of Erglandver. Morris.

Show. Par.

Place according to the Condition or Defeazance, and had been fo pleaded.

And it is further enacted by the faid Statute, Sett. 14. 'That if at any Time, pending an Action upon any fuch Bond with a Penalty, the Defendant shall bring into Court, where the Action is (a) depending Proceedings upon a Bond upon Payment of Principal, Interest and it is further enacted by the faid Statute, Sett. 14. 'That if at any Time, pending an Action upon any such Bond with a Penalty, the Defendant shall bring into Court, where the Action is (a) depending any Principal Money and Interest due on such Bond, and also all such Costs, as have been expended in any Suit or Suits in Law or bed and taken to be in full Satisfaction and Discharge of the said Bond; and the Court shall and may give Judgment to discharge every such Defendant of and from the same accordingly.

Costs, till Bail be put in; for till then the Parties are not in Court. 6 Mod. 11.

### (B) What Words create such a Security.

Yelv. 193. 2 Rol Abr. 146 7. Herein we must observe, that the Law does not seem to require any particular set Form of Words, as essentially necessary to create an Obligation, but that any Words, which declare the Intention of the Party, and denote his being bound, will be sufficient; because such Obligation is only in Nature of a Contract, or a Security for the Performance of a Contract, which ought to be construed according to the Intention of the Parties.

Tyer 22. b.

Therefore if a Man useth this Form of Words, viz. This Bill witnesseth, that I A. B. have borrowed 10 l. of C. D. or this Form, Memorandum quod talis debet to B. ten Pounds; or thus, Memorandum all Things reckoned and accounted between A. and B. A. cognovit se debere to B. ten Pounds; all these Forms are good, and shall as effectually bind the Party and his Executors, as if the most formal Words were made use of, provided the Writing be sealed and delivered.

1 Leon. 25.

So a Writing in this Form, Memorandum I A. B. bave agreed to pay J. S. 20 l. tho' this be in the preterperfect Tense, yet if it hath all other Ceremonies essential, it shall amount unto an Obligation.

Cro. Eliz.

So in this Form, This Bill witnesseth, that I R. S. have received of T. P. 40 l. to the Use of R. and J. S. Children of, &c. equally to be divided between them; which Sum I confess to have received to the Uses aforesaid, and the same to repay at such Time as shall be thought best for the Profits of the said R. and J. S and this was resolved to be a good Obligation.

Cro. Eliz. 561. & vide Cro. Eliz. 758. So a Writing in this Form, Memorandum that I bind myself to J. M. to pay bim as much Money as my Brother owes him; and in the End of the Bill is wrote the Sum of 40 l. which is said to be the Debt due from the Brother; this is a good Obligation.

Cro. Eliz. \$86. the

Memorandum, that I owe and promise to pay to A. 10 l. at any Time after the Feast, &c. when thereto required, for Payment whereof I bind myself to J. H. by these Presents; this is a good Bill to A. by the first Words, and the latter being Surplusage are void, and to be rejected.

Moor 537. Parry ver. Woodward adjudged. And that the Words It is held in Moor and Cro. Eliz. that a Bill in this Form, Be it known, &c. that I owe to B. 141. to be paid at the Feafis, &c. together with 61. which I owe him upon Bills and Reckonings subscribed with my Hand, amounts only to a Bill for 141. but (b) Dyer holds it a good Obligation for the whole Debt of 201.

together with 61. which I owe by Bills, &c. are only an Explanation of the precedent Debt. Cro. Eliz. 537. S. C. adjudged, and that that which comes after the Solvendum is void, as that which comes after an Habendum. (b) Dyer 22. b. in Margine.

In Debt for 201. the Plaintiff declared, that the Defendant concessis se vent. 238. teneri per Scriptum juum Obligatorium, &c. and the Words of the Deed Watson verwere, I do acknowledge to Edward Watson by me twenty Pounds upon Sneed. Demand, for doing the Work in my Garden; and upon Demurrer to the Declaration, it was adjudged a good Bond.

These Words, I am content to give to W. 101. at Mich. and 101. at 3 Leon 119. our Lady-day, amount to an Obligation, and an express Ingagement 2 Rol. Abr.

to pay, &c.

It hath been held in Variety of Cases, that a seeming Latin Word, But for this not properly expressing the Quantity of the Sum, in which the Party in-vide 10 Co. tended to be bound, should, notwithstanding, be so construed, as to an-133. a. Telv. 96, 193, sweet the Intention of the Parties, rather than that the Obligation should and 1940, 96, 193, fwer the Intention of the Parties, rather than that the Obligation should 206 be void; as Quinquagessimis Libris, for Quinquaginta Libris, has been held Hob. 119. good; so Trigintate for Triginta, Sexingents for Sexaginta; and it is said in Cro. Fac. 290, general, that in most Cases where the Gent or Gint, or the Sex or Sept are 603, 607. right, the Obligation has been held well.

Cro. Car. 147.

2 Rol. Abr 146. 5 M.d. 154. 2 Fon. 58. Comb. 60, 86, 187, 226, 477.

A Bond in viginti novilis has been held a good Bond for 6 l. 8 s. for Cro Fac. 203. tho' nobiles be not a Latin Word, yet it being a Term fignifying 6 s. and 2 Rol. Ab. 146. 8 d. it may properly be made use of.

Debt brought upon a Bond for 60 1. the Bond was in Italian, and the Cro. Fac. 208. Sum therein expressed was in these Words, viz. in Cossanta Libris, and Parker ver.

adjudged to be good.

In Debt upon a Bill obligatory, demanding thirty-two Pounds four Cro. Fac. 607. Shillings and 7 Pence; the Defendant demanded Oyer of the Bill, and 'twas Hulbert vex. threty-two Ponds four Shillings and 7 Pence, fo threty for thirty, and Long. Ponds for Founds; and on Demurrer for this Caufe, it was adjudged for the Plaintiff.

So a Bill, in which the Party bound himself in the Sum of Sewtene 10 Co. 133. a. Pounds, has been held a good Obligation for 171. in order to answer the in Osborn's Case. Intention of the Parties.

Burchin ver. Vaughan.

2 Rol. Ab. 147. S. P. cited.

## (C) Of the Ceremonies requilite to a Bond or Obligation; and herein of Signing, Scal= ing, Date and Delivery.

I T is faid, that there are only three Things effentially necessary to the 2 Co. 5. a. making a good Obligation, viz. Writing in Paper or Parchment, Seal-Case. ing and Delivery; but it hath been (a) adjudged not to be necessary, that Noy 21, 85. the Obligor should sign or subscribe his Name; and that therefore if in Meer 28. the Obligation the Obligor be named Frlin, and he signs his Name Erl- Stil. 97. win, that this Variation is not material; because Subscribing is no essen- 5 Med. 281. tial Part of the Deed, Sealing being sufficient.

5 Mod. 281.

And tho' the Seal be necessary, and the usual Way of declaring on a Dyer 19. a. Bond is, that the Defendant per Scriptum suum Obligatorium Sigillo suo Si- Cro Eliz. 571, gillatum acknowledged, &c. yet if the Word Sigillat' be wanting, it is 737. cured by Verdick and pleading over; for when he faith per Scriptum fuum 2 Co. 5. Obligatorium, &c. all necffary Circumstances shall be intended; and if it 1 Vent. 70. were not fealed, it could not be his Decd or Obligation.

3 Lev. 348. 1 Salk. 141. Also 6 Med. 306.

Vol. III.

110.

Hob. 246.

I Vent. 9.

Also tho' Scaling and Delivery be essential to an Obligation, yet there 2 Co. 5. A. is no Occasion in the Bond to mention that it was (a) sealed and deli-Obligation is vered; because, as my Lord Coke says, these are Things which are done good, tho'it afterwards. want in cujus

Rei Testimonium. Moor 3. 1 Leon. 25. 2 Co. 5. a.

2 Co. 5. God-dard's Cafe. An Obligation is good tho' it wants a Date, or hath a false or impossible Date; for the Date, as hath been observed, is not of the Substance Noy 21, 85, of the Deed; but herein we must take Notice, that the Day of the De-86. livery of a Deed or Obligation is the Day of the Date, tho' there is no Hob. 249. Day fet forth; and if a Deed bear Date one Day, and be delivered at Stil. 97. Cro. Fac. 136, another, it was really dated when delivered, the Clause of (b) Gerens Dat' be otherwife. Telv. 193.

1 Salk. 76. (b) A Difference has been taken between Gerens Dat' and Cujus Dat', that the first refers to the express Date, but that Cujus Dat' is always intended of the real Date, which is the Delivery. 5 Mod-285. Comb. 477. 2 Salk. 463.

If a Man declare on a Bond, bearing Date such a Day, but does not Cro. Eliz. 773. 3 Lev. 348. fay when delivered, this is good; for every Deed is supposed to be deli-1 Salk. 141. vered and made on the Day it bears Date; and if the Plaintiff declare on a Date, he cannot afterwards reply, that it was Primo deliberat' at another

Day; for this would be a Departure.

1 Brownl. But if a Bond bear Date such a Day, but was really delivered at a 104. Day after, the Obligee may declare on a Bond of fuch a Date, but Primo 3 Lev. 196. deliberat' at a Day after; and if the Obligee declare on a Bond of fuch a Date generally, the Obligor may plead it was Primo deliberat' on fuch a Day after; but then he must traverse that it was delivered on the Day of the Date.

If the Bond was delivered before the Date, on Issue, non est factum, 2 Co 4, 6. 3 Keb. 332. joined on fuch a Deed, the Jury are not estopped to find the Truth, viz. that it was delivered before the Date, and it is a good Deed from the Delivery.

1 Vent. 9, In Debt on an Obligation, the Defendant pleads that he delivered it as an Escrow, & boc Paratus est verificare; this is ill, for he ought to show 1 Salk. 274. to whom he delivered it, and conclude issur nient son fait; & de hoc ponit ſe, &c.

> So pleading that he delivered it to the Obligee as an Escrow, to be his Deed on certain Conditions, is ill; for by the Delivery of it to the Obligee, it is become his Deed absolutely.

A Bond or Deed may be delivered by Words, without any Act of De-

Co. Lit. 36. a. livery; as where the Obligor fays to the Obligee, go and take the faid Cro. Eliz. Writing, or take it as my Deed,  $\mathcal{C}c$ . fo an actual Tradition, without 835. speaking any Words, is sufficient; otherwise, a Man that is mute could (c) Legn. 193. not deliver a Deed; but (c) where on an Issue of non est factum, the Cro. Eliz. Jury found that the Defendant figured and fealed the Obligation, and laid 122. it on a Table, and that the Plaintiff came and took it up, this was held not to be the Defendant's Deed, without other (d) Circumstances found (d) On an by the Jury. Iffue nor eft

factum, the Evidence was, that the Obligation was written in a Book, and that in the same Leaf the Defendant put his Hand and Seal thereto; and this was held to be sufficient Evidence for the Jary to find it his Deed, which they having accordingly done, it was held good without Question. Cro. Eliz. 613. Fox ver. Wright.

If an Obligation be delivered to another to the Use of the Obligee, 5 Co 119, A. and the same is tendered, and he refuses, the Delivery has lost its Force.

 $(D) \mathfrak{D}f$ 

5

## (D) Of the Parties to the Obligation: herein,

#### 1. Who may bind themselves, or be Obligors.

LL Perfons who are enabled to contract, and whom the Law fup- 5 Co. 119. poses to have sufficient Freedom and Understanding for that Pur- 4 Co. 1241 - 1Rol. Abr. 340.

pose, may bind themselves in Bonds and Obligations.

But if a Person is illegally restrained of his Liberty, by being confined Co. Lit. 253. in a common Gaol or elsewhere, and, during such Restraint, enters into 2 Inst. 482.

2. Roud to the Person who earlies the Restraint, the same may be avoid. Vide Tit. a Bond to the Person who eauses the Restraint, the same may be avoid- Vide To ed for Duress of Imprisonment.

So in Respect of that Power and Authority which a Husband has over Vide Tit. Bahis Wife, the Bond of a Feme Covert is ipso sado void, and shall neither ron and Feme.

bind her nor her Husband.

So tho' an Infant shall be liable for his Necessaries, such as Meat, Drink, Dott. & loaths. Physick, Schooling, Edg. vet if he hind himself in an Obligation, Stud. 113. Cloaths, Physiek, Schooling, &c. yet if he bind himself in an Obligation, Co. Lit. 172. with a (a) Penalty for Payment of any of these, the Obligation is Cro. Jac. 494,

1 Sid. 112.

I Salk. 279 (a) For this Incapacity of Infants arises from his being Incapable of contracting for any Thing but for his Benefit; but it never can be for his Benefit to enter into a Penalty. Cro. Eliz. 920. Vide Head of Infants.

Also tho' a Person non compos Mentis shall not be allowed to avoid his 4 co. 124. Bond, by Reason of Infanity and Distraction; because no Man can be Beverly's allowed to stultify himself, because of the ill Consequences that might at- Head of Itend counterfeit Madness; yet may a Privy in Blood, as the Heir, and deots and Privies in Representation, as the Executor and Administrator, avoid such Lunaticks. Bonds; also if a Lunatick after Office found enters into a Bond, it is merely void.

But if an Infant, Feme Covert, Monk, &c. who are difabled by Law to 1 Rol. Rep. 416 contract and to bind themselves in Bonds, enter together with a Stranger, who is under none of these Disabilities, into an Obligation, it shall bind

the Stranger, tho' it be void as to the Infant, &c.

If a Servant makes a Bill in this Form, Memorandum, that I have re- Yelo. 137. ceived of Ed. Talbot, to the Use of my Master Serjeant Gaudy, the Talbot ver Sum of 101 to be traid at Michaelmas following and thereto set his Seal Godbolt. Sum of 401 to be paid at Michaelmas following, and thereto fet his Seal, this is a good Obligation to bind himself; for tho' in the Beginning of the Deed, the Receipt is faid to be to the Use of his Master, yet the Repayment is general, and must necessarily bind him who sealed; and the rather, because otherwise the Obligee would lose his Debt, he having no Remedy against Serjeant Gaudy.

#### 2. Who may take such Security, or be Obligees.

Infants, Ideots, as also a Feme Covert may be Obligees; and as to this 5 Co. 119. b. the Husband is supposed to affent, being for his Advantage; but if he Co. Lit. 3 a. disagrees, the Obligation has lost its Force; so that after the Obligor may plead non est factum; but if he neither agrees nor disagrees, the Bond is good, for his Conduct shall be esteemed a tacit Consent, since it is a Turn to his Advantage.

But a Feme Covert can neither be Obligor nor Obligee to her Hus- But for this band, nor vice versa, being but one Person in Law; also by the better vide Tit. Ba-Opinion, Letter (E).

Opinion, a Bond entered into to a Feme Sole, by the Person whom she

afterwards marries, is, by the Marriage, at Law extinguished.

6. Lit. 129. An Alien may be an Obligee; for fince he is allowed to trade and traffick with us, it is but reasonable to give him all that Security which is necessary in his Contracts, and which will the better enable him to carry on his Commerce and Dealings amongst us.

Cro. Car. 9. 1 Salk. 46. Farest. 15. Vide Head of Alien.

Sole Corporations, fuch as Bishops, Prebends, Parsons, Vicars, &c. annot be Obligees, and therefore a Bond made to any of these, shall enure to them in their natural Capacities; for no sole Body Politick can take a Chattel in Succession, unless it be by (a) Custom; but a Corporation aggregate may take any Chattel, as Bonds, Leases, &c. in its Political Capacity, which shall go in Succession, because it is always in being.

Chamberlain of London, whose Successor, by Custom, may have Execution of a Bond or Recognizance acknowledged to his Predecessor for Orphanage Money. 4 Co. 65. Cro. Eliz. 464, 682.

## 3. Who shall be said the Obligee; and therein of making several Obligees.

If A by his Bill obligatory, acknowledges himself to be indebted to B.

in the Sum of 10 k to be paid at a Day to come, and binds himself and his Heirs in the same Bill in 20 k but does not mention to whom he is bound, yet is the Obligation good, and he shall be intended to be bound to B. to whom he acknowledged before the 10 k to be due.

Dyer 167. a.

Taw's Case.

N. Bendl. 75.

Co. Ent. 145.

1 Rol. Abr.

1 Salk. 301.

If A. enters into an Obligation to B. which he delivers to C. to the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately, upon the Execution and Delivery to C. to the Use of B. tho' this immediately.

S. C. cited. (b) In 3 Co. 26. b. where my Lord Coke cites this Case, he says, that peradventure in an Action brought on the Bond, A cannot plead non est factum, because that it was once his Deed.—But in 5 Co. 119. b. he says, that in such Case the Obligee may plead non est sactum, in Regard the Obligation, by the Resultal of the Obligee, loses its force.

Dyer 350. 4. Tho' there may be feveral Obligees, yet a Person cannot be (c) bound to several Persons severally; and therefore an Obligation of 200 l. to two, Solvend' the one Hundred Pound to the one, and the other to the other, is a void Solvend.'

Yelv. 177.
(c) But a Man may covenant with 2 feverally, for that founds only in Damages. March 103.

Cro. Jac. 251.

A Bond was worded in the Words following, Be it known that I A.

Foxball ver.

Sands.

A Bond was worded in the Words following, Be it known that I A.

do acknowledge myself to owe and be indebted to B. and C. in the Sum of

911. 12 s. 8 d. for which Payment to be made I bind myself to B. in 1001.

and whether B. alone should bring the Action for the 100 l. or both

should join in an Action for the 91 l. 12 s. 8 d. dubitatur & adjurnatur.

If an Obligation be made to 3 to pay Money to one of them, they must all join in Suit, for they are but as one Obligee; and if he to whom the Money is to be paid dies, the others must sue, altho' they have no Interest in the Sum contained in the Condition.

1 Sid. 238, So if an Obligation be made to 3, and 2 bring their Action, they ought to shew the third is dead.

1 Vent. 34
1 Sil. 295.
2 Keb. 81.

If A bind himfelf in a Sum to B. Solvendum to C. who is a Stranger, a Payment to C. is a Payment to B. and in an Action upon it the Count must be upon a Bond Solvend to B.

In

5

In Debt the Declaration was, that the Defendant became bound in a 6 Mod 228. Bond of—, for the Payment of—— to him, his Attorney or Af-Robert ver. figns, and on Oyer of the Bond it appeared, that the Solvendum was to the Plaintiff's Attorney or Affigns, without Mention of himself; and on Demurrer for this Variance it was held good; and that the Declaration must not be according to the Letter of the Obligation, but according to the Operation of the Law thereupon.

So if A. make a Bond to B. Solvendum to fuch Person as he shall ap- 6 Mod. 228. point; if B. does appoint one, Payment to him is a Payment to B. and

if B, appoint none, it shall be paid to B, himself.

4. Where there are several Co-obligoes of Sureties; and herein, where they hall be said to be jointly and sebecally bound, and of the Obligee's Remedy against all or any of them.

It is clearly agreed, that two or more may bind themselves jointly in 2 Rol. Abr. an Obligation, or they may bind themselves jointly and severally; in 148. which last Case the Obligee may sue them all jointly, or he may sue Dyer 19,310. any one of them, at his Election; but if they are jointly, and not fe- 5 Co. 19 verally bound, the Obligee must sue them jointly; also, in such Case, if Dalis. 85. one of them dies, (a) his Executor is totally discharged, and the Survi- 1 Salk. 393. vor and Survivors only chargeable. Carth. 61.

(a) If two are bound jointly, and one dies, the Survivor only is liable in Equity; but it is otherwife if they were bound jointly and severally. 2 Vern. 99.

If three enter into an Obligation, and bind themselves in the Words Dyer 19. b. following, Obligamus nos & utrumque nostrum per se pro toto & in solido, pl. 114.

these make the Obligation joint and several.

So where two bound themselves, or any of them, their Heirs, Executors Cro. Jac. 322. or either of their Heirs, &c. and the Obligation was sealed and delivered Haukinson by both of them jointly; this was held to be a joint and feveral, and lans. and not a joint Bond only; and that the Word vel should be understood the same as et; and that therefore the joint Delivery and Acceptance could not make that joint only, which by the Words was joint or feveral, at the Election of the Obligee.

If two jointly and feverally bind themfelves in an Obligation, which 8 H. 6. 31. they severally deliver at different Times and Places, yet is the Obliga- 2 Rol. Abr.

tion joint or several, at the Election of the Obligee.

If three are bound in a Bond by these Words, Obligamus nos & quem- Moor 260. libet no firum conjunctim; this is a joint Obligation, and one of them alone pl. 407. cannot be fued; for the Word Conjunction makes the Obligation joint, 3 Leon. 206 Wigmore vers which the Word Quemlibet cannot make several; being inserted, for no Wells. other Purpose, but to express more strongly that they should be all bound,

not that they were to be feverally bound.

If by Indenture between three on the one Part, and two of the other, the two covenant jointly and severally to perform a certain Act, and the 149. adjudgthree likewise covenant jointly and severally with the said two, that ed by three after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two a Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two a Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two a Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said Act, they would pay the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said two as Judges after the Performance of the said two as Judges after the said two as J certain Sum of Money, &c. and then follow these Words, viz. Pro vera & gainst Rolle, reali performatione omnium articulorum & agreamentorum prædictorum alternatim una partium prædictorum obligavit se, hæredes, executores, administraand several; tores & assignatos suos, in & subter penalitatem sexaginta librarum sterlin- and of that gorum; and Action of Debt for the 60 % on this last Clause, cannot be Opinion he brought against one of the three only, being only joint, and not joint says were divers of the and several, like the precedent Covenant.

Judges and

Serjeants, at the Table in Serjeant's Inn in Fleet-street, on its being proposed to them.

Vol. III.

Altho' two or more may bind themselves jointly, or jointly and seve-10 H. 7. 16. rally, in which Case the Obligee may sue them all jointly or severally, 1 Sid. 238. (a) Unless it at his Election; yet if three or more bind themselves jointly and severally, yet the Obligee cannot fue two of them (a) only jointly. appear to

the Court that the other Persons are dead. Hard. 198 Cro. Eliz. 494. 1 Sand. 291. 1 Sid. 238, 420. Allen 21, 41. 1 Lutw. 696. Cro. Jac. 152. 1 Kebi 840, 936.

Alfo, if two be bound in a Bond jointly, and one is fued alone, tho Co.Lit. 283.a. he may plead this Matter, in Abatement of the Writ, yet he cannot. 5 Co. 119. Whelpdale's plead Non est factum; for it is his Deed, tho' not his sole Deed. Cafe.

Dott. Pl. 198. Cro. Fac. 152. 1 Vent. 34. Poph. 161. 9 Co. 110. 3 Mod. 323.

And therefore in Debt against one, on an Obligation wherein two are jointly bound, after Imparlance and Oyer, the Defendant cannot plead 1 Vent. 76, 135. 2 Keb. 795. that the other sealed and delivered; for as that must come on the Defendant's Side, and it is too late to plead it after Imparlance, it shall be taken, that the other did not feal, &c. nor will the Oyer help it, for it does not appear by it, without special Averment; but of (b) Records (b) And

therefore in Oyer is fufficient, without Averment.

a Scire facias brought against three Bailees or Sureties, upon a Recognizance acknowledged by them and the Principal jointly and severally; on Demurrer the Writ abated; because, this being sounded upon a Record, the Plaintiff ought to fet forth the Cause of the Variance from the Record; as that one was dead: But if an Action be brought upon Bond in the like Case, there the Desendants ought to shew that it was made by them, and others in sull Life not named in the Writ; because the Court shall not intend that the Bond was fealed and delivered by all that are named in it; and therefore the Defendants cannot demur upon it, tho' it be entered in hac Verbs. Allen 21. Blackwell ver. Albton.

So in Debt against one, on a Bond wherein two are jointly bound, 1 Saund. 291. 1 Sid. 420. after Oyer the Defendant demurred, and the Plaintiff had Judgment; Cabel ver. for the another be named in the Bond, yet it does not appear, without Vaughan. Averment, that he sealed, and then the Bond is single, but it ought to

have been pleaded in Abatement. 9 Co. 119. a.

Also, if two or more be jointly bound, tho' regularly one of them alone cannot be sued, yet if Process be taken out against all, and one of them only appears, but the others stand out to an Outlawry, he who

appeared shall be charged with the whole Debt.

If two are jointly bound, and there is Judgment against both, Execu-Cro. El.z. 648. tion likewise must be taken out against both, and must be of the same nature: Also, if two are jointly and severally bound, and there is Judgment in a joint Action against both, the Execution must be joint against both, and of the same Nature; so that you cannot take out a Capias against one, and an Elegit, &c. against the other; for tho' the Plaintiff might have fued them feverally, yet by fuing them jointly he has made his Election, and the Execution must ensue the Nature of the Judgment; and tho' they be several Persons, yet they make but one Debtor, when 7. S. sues them jointly; but if the Obligee sues them severally, he may fever them in their Kinds of Executions; for the Obligation be but one, yet the Originals, Suits, Pleadings, Judgments and Executions, are as different as if they were upon feveral Obligations.

But if there be a joint Judgment against two, and one dies, a Scire facias lies against the other alone, reciting the Death; and he cannot plead, that the Heir of him that is dead has Affets by Descent, and demand Judgment, if he ought to be charged alone; for at (c) Common Law, the Charge upon a Judgment being (d) personal survived; and the Statute of Westim. 2. that gives the Elegit, does not take away the Rejudged 1E.3. medy of the Plaintiff at the Common Law, and therefore the Party may

13. pl 41.
3 E. 3. pl. 37. & vide 29. If. pl. 37. 29 E. 3. 29. (d) For the Difference between a real and personal Execution; and that a personal Execution will survive, the a real will not, vide 3 Co. 14. Yelv. 209.

The content of Mad 215. 2 Keb. 205. 1 Salk. 319, 320. 1 Show 402.

(c) So ad-

Raym. 26. 1 Lev 30.

1 Keb. 92,

123. S. C.

Edfat ver.

Smart.

in Whelfdale's Cafe.

Hob. 59.

2 Sid. 12.

1 Mod. 2. Rol. Ahr.

SSS-9.

take

take out his Execution which Way he pleases; for the Words of the Statute are, Sit in electione; but if he should, after the Allowance of this Writ and Revival of the Judgment, take out an Elegit to charge the Land, the Party may have Remedy by (a) Suggestion, or else by Andita quercla. (a) For this,

vide F. N. B. 166. 44 E. 3. 14.

If two are bound in an Obligation jointly and feverally, and Judgment Hob. 2. given against each in two several Actions, one in Banco, the other in Gro Face 338 Banco Regis, and after one is taken in Execution in Banco Regis, and af- 2 Bulgh 97, ter an Execution is taken in Banco against the other by Elegit, and Land and Goods (b) delivered in Execution thereupon; he, that is in Execution by his Body in Banco Regis, shall te delivered upon an Audita que- 8,9 S.C. adrela; because the Execution upon an Elegit is a Satisfaction.

tween Crass-

ley and Lidgeat. (b) But if, after Execution by Elegit, the Judgment in Banco is reversed, perhaps the other shall not have an Audita querela; per Crike, contra Dodderidge. 2 Bulst. 100. — And my Lord Coke says, that if upon an Audita querela, the other be once discharged, altho afterwards the Judgment in Banco be reversed, yet he shall not be taken in Execution again. 1 Rol. Rep. 10. 2 Bulft. 101,

If A and B are bound in an Obligation jointly and feverally, and  $5 \frac{Co. 86}{.}$ Judgment given against each upon several Actions brought, and both Cro. Eliz. 478, taken in Execution, and after A. escapes; yet B. shall not be delivered 60. Ent. 85. upon an Audita quercla; for the Obligee may have an Action against vide Tit. Es the Sheriff for the Escape; yet, till he is actually satisfied, the other scape. shall not have an Andita querela, nor the Obligee be compelled, whether he-will or no, to take his Remedy against the Sheriff, who may die or be infolvent.

If feveral Obligors are bound jointly and feverally, and the Obligee 8 Co. 136. make one of them his Executor, it is (c) a Release of the Debt; and 1 Salk. 300. the Executor cannot fue the other Obligor. So vide 1 700.

(e) But tho' it be a Release in Law, in Regard it is the proper Act of the Obligee, yet the Debt by this is not absolutely discharged; but it remains Assets in his Hands, to pay both Debts and Legacies. Cro Car. 373. Yelv. 160. & vide Tit. Evidence, Letter (G).

A. and B. were jointly bound to  $\mathcal{J}$ . S. who made the Wife of A. Hamond & Executrix, and died;  $\mathcal{A}$  and his Wife brought Debt against B, who Ux' ver. Beneficially this Matter in Abstement; It was argued by Series of Ux' ver. Beneficially the Matter in Abstement; It was argued by Series of Ux' ver. pleaded this Matter in Abatement: It was argued by Serjeant Tur- 26 Car. 2. ner, for the Defendant, that by making the Wife of one of the Obligors Rot. 712. Executrix, the other Obligor is discharged. Hob. 10. Fryer ver. Gildridge. 21 E. 4. 81. b. Bro. Exec. 118. And that it would be fo, if they were bound jointly and severally; Plow. 38. a. Platt's Case; Kelw. 63. 8 Ed. 4. 3. Bro. Debt 156. the Reason is, because a Debt, or personal Thing, once suspended is gone for ever; and here the Plaintiff, one of the Obligors, is discharged, for he and his Wise cannot sue himself; and of that the other shall take Advantage. Dyer 140. Co. Lit. 264. b. It is a Release in Law, of which his Companion shall take Advantage, notwithstanding the Opinion 21 H. 7. 37. But if it was but suspended for the Time of the Executorship, yet it is for the Defendant, having pleaded in Abatement. 11 H. 7. 4. b. 1 Rol. Abr. Tit. Extinguishment, 940. Moor 855. pl. 1174. 1 Cro. Dorchester ver. Webb, 272. Telv. 160. Flud ver. Ramfey. 8 Co. 136. Per Cur': The Plea being pleaded in Abatement, it is for the Defendant; for during the Coverture and Executorship there is a Suspenfion of the Debt; but it was agreed, that this Debt due by the Baron was Affets in his Hands, and liable to the Creditors, tho' it should be adjudged an Extinguishment; for as North said, this is a Release, but it is but by Will; and therefore in Nature of a Legacy, which shall not be preferred to a Debt; but it was doubted, if it had been pleaded in Bar, if it should be for the Defendant; and North, Ellis and Windham thought not; but that, after the Death of the Baron, it might be fued for; for the Suspension is but during the Coverture, and the Baron is

Executor only in Right of his Wife; but of this Atkins doubted; but in the principal Case there was Judgment for the Defendant.

S Co. 136. a. March 128.

If a Feme Sole Obligee take one of the Obligors to Husband, this is

faid to be a Release in Law of the Debt, being her own Act.

Hob. 10.

If one Obligor makes the Executor of the Obligee his Executor, and leaves Assets, the Debt is decimed satisfied; for he has Power, by Way of Retainer, to satisfy the Debt; and neither he nor the Administrator de bonis non, &c. of the Obligee can ever sue the surviving Obligor.

2 Lev. 73.

But if two are bound jointly and feverally to A. and the Executor of one of them make the Obligee his Executor, yet the Obligee may fue the other Obligor.

Co. Lit. 232. a.

If two are jointly and feverally bound in an Obligation, and the Ob-

ligee releafe to one of them, both are discharged.

2 Lev. 220. Seaton ver. Henson. 2 Show. 28.9 S. C. adjudged, nifi. (a) Where

Three were bound jointly and severally in an Obligation, and an Action was brought against one of them, who pleads, that the Seal of one of the others was torn off, and the Obligation cancelled, and therefore void against all; upon Demurrer it was adjudged, that the Obligation, by the Tearing off the Seal of one of the Obligors, became void against all, notwithstanding the Obligors were (a) severally bound.

icveral Merchants covenant feparatim, and the Scal of one of them being torn off, it was held, that this should avoid the Covenant, as to him whose Seal was so torn off only, but not as to the others. 5 Co. 23. Mattheevson's Case. March 126. S. C. cited, and a Difference there taken between a Bond and a Co-

venant.

So where three were bound in a Bond jointly and feverally, and the March 125. Seals of two were eaten by the Rats; and the Court inclined that the Bayly ver. Bond was void against all. Garford.

2 Show. 29.

S. C. cited, as adjudged to have been void.

But where two were bound jointly and feverally, and it was found by Owen 8. Mispecial Verdict, that after Issue joined, and before the Nisi prius, the chael's Case. Seal of one of the Obligors was taken off the Bond; it was held, that 12, 13. S. C. this being after Issue joined, the Bond was good. and S. P.

where the Jury were directed to try, whether it was his Bond at the Time of the Plea pleaded.

If A, be bound in a Bond for Payment of Money, and B, be bound Abr. Eq. 93 with him, as his Surety only, and the Bond happens to be loft; Equity Sheffield ver. Lord Caffleton, will set up the Bond, as well against the (b) Surety as against the Princi-(b) Especial pal, because the Bond was once a legal Charge against both.

ly if the Money was lent principally upon the Surety's Credit. 1 Chan. Ca. 77. & vide 2 Chan. Ca. 22. 1 Vern. 196.

Abr. Eq. 93. Maure Vcr. Harrison.

In Equity, a Bond-Creditor shall have the Benefit of all Counter-Bonds or collateral Securities given by the Principal to the Surety; as if A. owes B. Money, and he and C. are bound for it, and A. gives C. a Mortgage or Bond to indemnify him, B. shall have the Benefit of it to recover his Debt.

1 And. 121. Mioor 752. pl 1035. Cro. Fac. 32, Xelv. 47.

A Bond made to fecure a just Debt, payable with lawful Interest, shall not be avoided by Reason of Usury, or any corrupt Agreement between the Obligors, to which the Obligee was no Way privy; as where A. being indebted to B. in 100 l. agrees to give him 30 l. for the Forbearance of that 100 l. for a Year, and gives him a Bond of 60 l. for Payment of the 30% and for the Payment of the 100% enters into a Bond of 2001, together with B. for the Payment of a true Debt of 1001. due from  $B_i$  to  $C_i$ 

#### 5. Of their Remedies against each other.

If one of the Sureties pays all the Bond, yet the Obligee is not compellable by Law to affign the Bond to him, but the Surety's Remedy must be in (a) Chancery.

(a) Or perhaps he may have Remedy by Writ De plegiis acquietandis. 1 Lev. 72.

And on this Foundation, that there is a Remedy in Equity, it hath 1 8id. 89. been adjudged, that if A together with B is bound to C for the pro-  $\frac{1}{2}$  Lev. 71. per Debt of B. &c. and A. pays the Money, and B. dies, and makes D. Scot and his Executor, and D. in Confideration that A. will forbear to fue him till 1 Rel. Rep. fuch a Time, assumes and promises to repay him; this Consideration is 27. S. P. per good, tho' D. was liable in Equity only.

Alfo it is held clearly in Equity, that one Surety may compel another 1 Chan. Ca. to contribute towards Payment of a Debt, for which they were jointly 246. bound.

I Chan. Rep. 34, 120, 150,

Therefore it hath been held, that if the Obligee fue in Chancery the 2 Vent. 348. Executor of one Obligor to discover Assets, he must make all the Obligors Parties, that the Charge may be equal; but it is made a Quere, whether he may not fue the Principal, and leave out them which are bound only as Sureties.

But it is held, that if a Judgment be had at Law against one Obligor, 2 Vent. 348. you may sue the Executor of him alone, to discover Assets, because the Bond is drowned in the Affets.

If the Principal in a Bond, being arrested, gives Bail, and Judgment 2 Vern. 608. is had against the Bail, and the Sureties are afterwards sued on the Parson ver. original Bond, and are obliged to pay the Money; the Sureties shall Priddock. have the Judgment against the Bail assigned to them, in order to reimburse them what they had paid, with Interest and Costs; and the Sureties in the original Bond are not to be contributory, for the Bail stands in the Place of the Principal.

## (E) Df the Condition and Confideration of the **Dbligation**: And herein,

#### 1. Of pollible and impollible Conditions.

PONDS, as hath been observed, are either single, as for the Payment of a certain Sum of Money, or with a Condition annexed, that under a certain Penalty, mentioned in the Bond, the Obligor shall perform such and such Acts, pay double the Sum, if he neglects to pay the principal Sum by fuch a Dav; and herein we must take Notice, that Co. Lit. 206. as to fuch Conditions being possible or impossible, that if the Condition Savil 96. of a Bond be impossible at the Time of the making thereof; as for the <sup>2</sup> Leon. 189. Obligor to go to Rome the next Day, the Bond is single, being the same 1 Rol. Abr. Obligor to go to Rome the next Day, the Bond is fingle, being the fame 420. as if there were no Condition at all.

So if the Condition be, that the Pope shall be at Westminster to Mor- 22 E 4. 26. row; this is a good Condition.

1 Rol. Abr. 420.

Vol. III.

#### Obligations.

T Rol. der. So if the Condition be, guod delet pluere cras, this is a good Condi-410. tion; for tho' the Obligor is not certain thereof, yet if he will take this

upon himself and run the Hazard, he may at his Peril, for this is not

impossible of it self.

1 Rol. Abr. 419.

So if the Condition of an Obligation be, that the Obligor shall affign to the Obligee a Commission of Bankruptcy; this is an impossible Condition, and therefore void, and the Obligation single, for it is impossible

to affign the Commission.

So if the Condition of an Obligation be to sustain and maintain an House Savil 96 Wood ver Ave- in sufficient Repairs, and so to leave it at the End of the Term; if at the ry, adjudg'd. Time of the Entry into the Bond, the Timber was fo rotten that it 2 Leon. 189 was impossible to sustain and maintain it in Repairs, yet the Obligation S.C. adjudg- is good.

tied by his own A&; but the Law never binds Men to Impossibilities.

But if the Condition of a Bond is possible at the Time of making, Co.Lit. 206.a. but before it can be performed becomes impossible by the Act of God,

of the Law, or of the Obligee, the Obligation is faved.

T Salk. 170. But it hath been adjudged, that if the Condition of an Obligation be, that the Obligor shall make the Obligee a Lease for Life by such a Day, or pay him 100 l and the Obligee die before the Day, that his Executor shall have the 1001. and the Ground of (a) Laughter's Case was de-(a) 5 Co. 21.

Where it is nied by Treby C. J. to be universal.

held, that

if the Condition of an Obligation confifts of two Parts in the Disjunctive, both possible at the Time of the making, and one of them becomes impossible by the Act of God; the Obligor is not bound to perform the other; the Condition being inferted for the Benefit of the Obligor, and he having it in his Election to perform which he pleases; & vide Raym. 373, where likewise this Doctrine laid down in Laughter's Cafe seems to be a little shaken.

Abr. Eq. 18. So where the Condition of a Bond was to fettle certain Lands in such Haltbam ver. a Manor by fuch a Day, and the Obligor died before the Day; tho Ryland. the Bond was faved at Law, yet Chancery decreed an Execution in Specie.

#### 2. De repugnant Conditions.

2 Saund 78. 1 Sid. 456. 1 Mod. 35. 2 Keb. 625.

If the Condition of an Obligation be made in this Manner, viz. The Condition of this Obligation is such, that if the Obligor shall appear coram Dom' Rege apud Westmonasterium, such a Day, ad respondend', &c. then the Condition of this Obligation shall be woid, or else the same shall be in ver. Hauxby, full Force and Virtue; this is a good Condition; for the Sense is perfect without these last Words, and they shall be rejected for their Absurdity

and Repugnancy.

1 70n. 180. Palm. 552. Eaton ver. Butler.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, that if the Obligor should die without Issue, that then if he, by his last Will or otherwise in his Lifetime, shall lawfully affign and convey certain Lands to the Obligee and his Heirs, that then the Obligation shall be void,  $\mathcal{E}c$ , this Condition is not repugnant, but shall be construed according to the Intention of the

Parties, to be collected out of the Words of the Condition.

1 Lev. 77. Rayni. 68. lefs, and

If an Obligation is conditioned for the Payment of 71. by 2s. per Vern ver. Al- Week, till 7 1. is paid; and that if he fails of Payment of the 2 s. at any of the Days on which it ought to be paid, that the Obligation shall be void, or else remain in Force; this Condition shall be taken distribued; because tively, reddendo singula singulis, viz. that if he pays the 7 1. the Obligation shall be void, but that if he fails in Payment of the 2s. at any of tion is sense the Days, it shall be in full Force; for the Obligation shall not be taken

therefore the Obligation is fingle. 1 Sid. 105 S. C. adjudged; and that the Obligation was fingle, and the Condition repugnant and void. 1 Keb. 356, 415, 451.

to be of no Effect, if by any Means it may be made good; and accordingly adjudged upon Demurrer to the Defendant's Plea, that he did not

pay 2s. at one of the Days.

So in Debt on a Bond for 1001. Defendant demands Oyer of the Con- 2 Salk. 463 dition, which was, Whereas the Defendant is truly indebted to the Plain- Well- vertiff in 50 l. now the Condition is fuch, that if the Defendant do not pay Treguism the faid 50 l. on or upon,  $\mathfrak{S}c$ , then the Obligation to be vo.d,  $\mathfrak{S}c$ , and pleads, that he did not pay the faid 501. the Plaintiff demurred, and had Judgment; for when the Condition recites a Debt, and after lays an Obligation not to pay it, it is in that (a) repugnant and void.

(a) That it

is just to supply Mistakes in Conditions of Bonds, 2 Show. 16, & vide 39 H. 6. 10. 1 Rol. Abr. 419;

#### 3. Of lawful and unlawful Conditions.

A Condition to do any lawful Act is clearly good; as to make a Re- Bro. Obligatleafe, perform a Covenant not to play at Cards, Dice, not to be Surety, tion 20. &c. but a Condition against Law, or such as obliges a Person to the Performance of a Thing which is Malum in sc, or obliges him to omit or Perk 139Palm. 172. neglect what is his Duty, or incourages him in the Commission of an Hob 12. evil Act, (b) is void: Also it is (c) said, that by the Law of Nature, all (b) But useless Agreements are void, as not to wash one's Hands, &c. being for wherever no Body's Advantage.

there can be

a Way found out to perform the Condition, without Breach of the Law, the Bond shall be esteemed good. Perk. 778. Hob. 12. Cro. Eliz. 705. (c) Puffenderf de Jur. Nat. lib. 5. cap. 2.

A Bond, with Condition to rob or kill 7.8. is void; but a Feoffment Co. Lit. 206. on like Condition is good, and vests the Estate absolutely in the Feosffee; 1. Rel. Air. and the Reason of the Difference is, lest the Party should have any 418. Temptation to do the Act, the Law fecures to him the Possession of the Land, without performing the Condition, and in the other, frees him from the Penalty of the Bond; fo that the Law has the same End in from the Penalty of the Bond; so that the Law has the same End in (d) Also it is View in making the Feoffment good, and the (d) Bond void, viz. the faid to be an Prevention of the Fact.

nishable in the Party who takes such Bond. 2 Vent. 109.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, that the Obligor shall be always Cro.Eliz. 705 ready to give Evidence and to testify the Truth, in any of the King's Dobson ver. Courts, in all Things which shall be demanded of him, and that he shall judged. not hurt, endanger or molest the Obligee in his Lands or Goods, relatione alicujus rei; this is a good Condition, and not against Law; for as to the first Part, if he had not been obliged thereto, he had been compellable by Law; and by the last Part it shall be intended, that he shall not hurt tortiously, but not to restrain him from pursuing the Obligee for Felony, or other just Cause.

But if A. is imprisoned for Felony, and B. bound by Recognizance to 1 Vent. 106. profecute him; if B. after gives Bond to C. conditioned, that B. will not Mason ver. give Evidence against A. the Condition is against Law, and the Bond Watkins.

So a Condition to do a Thing that will be Maintenance is void; as to 18 Ed. 4. 28. fave harmless from such an Appeal of Robbery as B. hath against him. 1 Rol. Abr. 417. Carter 229. Allen 60. S.P

If the Condition of an Obligation be, not to fell the Apparel of the I Rol. Rep. Wife, this is good; though it was objected it was against Law; (e) be- 334. per Coke. cause against the Liberty of the Husband. that a Man

shall not plough his Land, or that a Man shall not go out of his House, are said to be void; because a Man must ferve the King, and do his Duty with his Liberty and his Labour. 7 E. 3. 64. Mar. b 191.

So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (a) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (a) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (a) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (a) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (a) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (a) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (b) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (a) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (b) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (b) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger, conditioned for the (b) Payagast. So if a Man gives Bond to a Stranger.

(a) But a Condition to enfeoff the Wife is void, because against a Maxim in Law. Co. Lit. 206.

If a Parson, on his being presented to a Living, gives a Bond condition of fac. 248, 274. Simony; as if the (b) Condition be to restrain the Incumbent from Non-Cro. Car. 180. Rep. 135. Kinsman or Friend, become qualified to take the Living.

1 Jon 220: 2 Keb. 445. 1 Sid. 389. Raym. 175. Comp. Incumb. 40, 41. (b) So a Bond conditioned for the Payment of Money to the Son of the last Incumbent, so long as he should continue a Student in Cambridge unpreferred, &c. is good. Noy 142. — So where a Patron took a Bond from his Presentee to pay 5 l. yearly to the Wife and Children of the last Incumbent: Earl of Siffex's Case, cited by Foster Judge. Noy 142. — But 1er Comp. Incumb. 39. these charitable Resolutions, if any such there were, do not teem to be Law.

But if the Condition be for a Leafe of the Glebe or Tithes, or a Sum of Money; this is clearly Simony within the Statute, and therefore the Comb. 394.

That

the Condition must be averred to have been entered into for a Simoniacal Purpose, vide Cro. Jac. 274. Hutt. 110. Moor 64. — And where a special Averment may be, that the Obligation was made for a Matter against Law. 1 Leon. 73, 203. Go.b. 29. Moor 158.

Also it hath been ruled in Equity, that where a Bond of Refignation is general, as to refign upon Request, some special Reason must be shewn to require a Resignation; for the such Bonds may, in Strictness of Law, be good, yet if they are made an ill Use of, as to extort Money from the Incumbent, &c. Equity will grant a (c) perpetual Injunction against them.

(c) Also the Ordinary may refuse to accept of a Resignation made by the Restraint of such Bonds. Comp. Incumb. 31.

If the Sheriff of a County make B. his Under-Sheriff, and takes a

417.

Hob 12.

Moor 856.

Godb. 212.

Bond or Covenant from him, that he will not ferve Executions above
20 l. without his special Warrant; this is a void Covenant, because it is
against Law and Justice; in as much as, when he is made Under-Sheriff,
1 Brownl. 65. he is liable by the Law to execute all Process, as well as the Sheriff is.
2 Brownl. 282.

Hob. 12, 13.

Moor 856.

Godb. 212.

1 Brownl. 65.

But if an Under-Sheriff covenants with the High Sheriff, to discharge and save him harmless from all Escapes of Prisoners arrested by the Under-Sheriff, or any by him appointed; this is a good Covenant; for since the High Sheriff transfers his Authority, it is but reasonable he should take Security for the faithful Execution of it; and there is nothing intended against Law, but rather to prevent than connive at Escapes.

If A, being a Custom-house Officer by Patent, makes B, his Deputy, A, A and covenants inter alia to surrender the old Patent, and procure a new one to B, and himself before a Day, and that if B, dies before A, that A so A, shall pay A and so A. The executors of A and gives A and so A so A shall pay A and so A and so A shall pay A A shall pay

of the Party, 3 Co 82. S. C. cited. (d) So where a Sherist takes a Bond for a Point against 23 H. 6. and also for a just Debt; the whole Bond is void, according to the Letter of the Statute; for a Statute is a strict Law, but the Common Law divides according to common Reason, and having made that void which is against Law, lets the rest stand. Hob. 14. Moor 856. pl. 1175. Godb. 213. 10 Co. 100. Latch 143. 1 Mod. 35. 2 Brown. 282. 1 Vent. 237. Carter 230.

4

As to Bonds entered into in Restraint of Trade, it seems to have been Cro Eliz, S72 always admitted, and hath been frequently adjudged, that a Bond reffrain- Mortis ing Trade in general, as that a Person shall not follow such a Trade in any pl 259, 242. Part of the Kingdom, is void; the Reasons whereof are, that such Bond pl 379 2 Leon. 210. tends to a Monopoly, and is against the Publick Good; deprives the 3 Leon. 217. Party of his Means of Livelihood; enables Masters to lay Hardships upon March 191. their Servants, Apprentices, &c. tends to Oppression; and is attended Owen 143. with immediate and apparent Damage to the one Side, only to free the said by Another from the Fear of a distant Damage that may or may not hap- derson, that pen: But it feems to be now agreed, that a Condition restraining Trade he might as in a particular Place, if done fairly, and upon a good and lawful Consideration, and with no ill Intention, is good; also it seems to be now fether would not be supposed to the supposed of the supposed o tled, that there is no (a) Difference between a Bond and Promise in these goto Church. Cases, viz. That a Bond should be void, and a Promise good; but that the 3 Lev. 241. true Distriction in these Contracts, whether by Bond, Covenant or Pro- (a) The Distriction, and those entered into upon a just, fair and reasonable Confideration, and those entered into upon no Consideration, or a vicious deration, and those entered into upon no Consideration, or a vicious was, that in one, that the former will be good, the latter void.

Promife, all

being to be recovered in Damages, the Jury may affers them, in regard to the Confideration; but otherwise of a Bond; because then the whole Sum must be recovered, be the Damages or Confideration never fo fmall. 3 Lev. 242.

And therefore where A. and B. living in the same Town, and being both  $Cro. \mathcal{F}ac_v 596$ . And therefore where A, and B, availing in the table A only, and being been Mercers, A, defired B, to buy his old Goods, which B, did, at fuch a March 77. Price, upon Condition, that he would not follow his Trade within the 2 Rol. Rep. faid Town; and this was held a lawful Contract. 1st, Because it was 201. a voluntary Restraint, and the Rule is volenti non fit injuria. 2dly, That Jostiffe ver. it was made upon a valuable Consideration, the Use of his Trade being Bride. compenfited by the Price given him for his old Goods. 3dly, That the Agreement was neither Mahum in se, nor Mahum probibitum. 4thly, That a Man may bind himself not to live in such a Place, and by Consequence not to trade there. 5thly, That these Kind of Bonds are very frequent in London.

So where the Condition of a Bond was, that whereas A. had taken Ca. in Law the Shop of B. who was a Baker, for the Term of so many Years, and and Eq. 27, had given B. so much Money for it, the Condition of the Obligation \$5, 130, &c. was fuch, that if, during the Term aforefaid, B. should not exercise the Reynolds. Trade of a Baker within the (b) Parish where the Shop was, that then (b) So of a the Bond should be void, otherwise to remain in full Force; and this was Street, Comb. held a good Bond.

If the Condition of a Bond is, that the Obligor shall not buy any 1 Show. 2. Sheeps-Trotters of any Person of whom the Obligee had or should buy, Comb. 121.

nor above such a Quantity: this is void being in Restraint of Trade. Thompson very nor above such a Quantity; this is void, being in Restraint of Trade, Harrey. and tending to a Monopoly.

A Bond conditioned to fave the Plaintiff harmless against all Escapes, 6 Mod. 225. which he had fuffered, as Warden of the Fleet Prison, was held good; Fox ver. Tilly. and herein the Court took this Diversity, that a Bond to save harmless 2 Salk. 653. against future Escapes would be void, otherwise of a Bond to save harm- the S.P. less against past Escapes; and that tho' it were unlawful to suffer them, yet one may contract to indemnify one against a Penalty already incur'd against Law.

## Of the Breach and Performance of the Condition of an Obligation: And herein,

1. What hall be a Breach or sufficient Performance.

Bro Condition TN the Performance of the Condition of an Obligation, the Intention 15S. I of the Parties is chiefly to be regarded; and therefore a Performance 17 E. 4. 3. in (a) Substance is sufficient, tho' it differ in Words or some immaterial 1 Rol. Abr. Circumstance; as if one be bound to deliver the Testament of the Testa-426. (a) A Man tor, if he plead that he had delivered Literas Testamentarias, it is suffibound by cient. Obligation

to leave the Obligee the third Part of his Estate, making him and 2 others Executors, seems a good

Performance. 2 Show. 69.

If the Condition of an Obligation be to procure a lawful Discharge, 1 Keb. 739. this must be by a Release, or some Discharge that is pleadable, and not by Acquittance, which is but Evidence.

Hob. 304. Hutt. 40. I Rol. Abr. 429.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, that he shall not be aiding and affifting to E. in any Action to be profecuted against L. the Obligee, and after the Obligor joins in a Writ of Error with E. and another against L. upon a Judgment in Trespass against them three, which is apparently erroneous; this is not any Breach of the Condition; for this is not properly an Action, but a Suit to discharge himself of a tortious Judgment, in which they ought all to join.

Cro. Fac. 525. If the Condition of an Obligation be, that the Party shall not conti-2 Rol. Rep. nue such an Action, and he by his (b) Attorney, but without his Privity, 63. by 2 continues; this is faid to be no Breach of the Condition. Judges a-

gainst one, who said, that the A& of the Attorney was his own A&. (b) So if a Man lease Land, upon Condition that the Lessee shall not do Waste, and after a Stranger does Waste; yet this is not any Forseiture, because a Condition shall be taken strictly. 1 Rol. Abr. 428. 1 Leon. 64. 4 Leon. 39.

Co. Lit 221, Poph. 110. 1 Co. 25. a. 1 Rel. Abr. 447. 5 Co. 21. a. (c) A Feme Sole enters conditioned that she would from Time to Time, and at all Times

If the Party, who is bound to perform the Condition, disables himself, this is a Breach; as where the Condition is, that the Feoffee shall reinfeoff, or make a Gift in Tail, &c. to the Feoffor, and the Feoffee, before he performs it, makes a Feoffment or Gift in Tail, or Leafe for Life, or Years in prefenti or futuro to another Person, or (c) marry or grant a (d) Rent-Charge, or be bound in a Statute or Recognizance, or become professed; in all these Cases the Condition is broken; for the Feossee has into a Bond, either disabled himself to make any Estate, or to make it in the same Plight or Freedom in which he received it, and being once disabled he is ever disabled, tho' his Wife should die, or the Rent, &c. should be discharged, or he should be deraigned, &c. before the Time of the Reconveyance.

upon Request, do all such Acts for the assuring of Lands, &c. at the Charge of the Obligee, and after marries, and whether this was a Breach, Hard. 463. dubitatur; it was said, that by the Marriage, her Husband had a Possibility of being Tenant by the Curtefy, and that now an Assurance could not be made without Fine, and so the Obligee must be a greater Charge then intended. (d) Tho the Grantce brings a Writ of Annuity, by which the Land is discharged ab initio. Co. Lit. 222. a.

Cro. Eliz. 7. If A is bound to B in an (e) Obligation, conditioned that A fhall deli-Moor 709. Goulf. 177. ver to B. before fuch a Day, an Obligation, in which B. is bound to  $A_i$ if A, fues B, upon the Obligation, and recovers, and after before the Day 1 Leon. 52. delivers it to  $\hat{B}$ , this is no Performance of the Condition; for, notwith-(e) Like Point in Case standing the Delivery of the Obligation he may take Benefit of the Judgment, and fo the Intent of the Condition is not performed. nant. 1 Sia

48. Raym. 25. 1 Keb. 103. - So in Case of a Promise. 1 Rol. Abr. 448.

If

If  $\mathcal{A}$ , being a common Brewer, covenants that B. Shall have foven Expresses Parts of all his Grains made in his Brewhouse for seven Years, and after 2 from the grain of the Grains of Hops into his Valt of which the Grains Grains A. puts in great Quantities of Hops into his Malt, of which the Grains Goldan were made, by Means whereof the Grains are spoiled; this is a Breach, because in all Contracts the Intention of the Parties is to be confidered; and here it was the Intention of the Parties, that B. Should have the Grains pure, and in fuch a Manner as to be useful to his Cattle.

So if a Person covenant to deliver so many Yards of Cloth, and he cut Raym. 461 it in Pieces, and then deliver it, this is a Breach; for the Law regards the (a) real and faithful Performance of Contracts, and discountenances all (a) Therefuch Acts as are done in fraudem Legis.

fore if the

of a Bond be to pay 50 h tho' it is not faid of Money, yet it must be so intended, and the Obligeo cannot tender fif y Pounds Weight of Stone. 1 Sid. 151. said by Twisden, that he remembered 10 to have been so adjudged. — But if a Man covenants that his Son, then infra Annos nubiles, shall marry the Daughter of B. before such a Day, and he marries her accordingly, but at the Age of Consent diffugrees to the Marriage, yet is the Covenant performed; for it was a Marriage, tho subject to be defeated by Difagreement, and no other could be had within the Time. Owen 25. adjudged.

If one be obliged to assure 20 Aeres of Lands, the Aeres shall be ac- Cro. Eliz. 476, counted according to the Estimation of the County where the Land lies, 665. and not according to the Measure limited by the Statute. Po. b. 55.

If one is bound to make to another a fure, fufficient and lawful Estate 5 Co. 23 b. in certain Lands, by the Advice of J. S. if he makes an Estate to him according to the Advice of J. S. tho' it be infusficient, and not a lawful Estate, yet is he excused from the Obligation.

If by the Condition of an Obligation, the Obligor is to deliver a Re- 2 Rol. Rop. 23 leafe to the Obligee, it is not enough to fay, that it was writ and wax affixed to it, and that he was ready to feal and deliver it, and that the Offigee refused to accept, &c. but he ought to have done all that was in his Power, and ought to have put his Seal to it.

If A, and B, are bound in 60 l, to C, and A, binds himself in another  $C^{o}$ , Eliz 369. Obligation to B. upon Condition to acquit, discharge and save harmless Owen 19. the said B. from the said Obligation; and after C. sues B. upon the 432.

Obligation of 60 l. and hath Judgment against him upon nihil dicit, and Bopwright after before Execution sued, A. delivers to B. the 601. for which, &c. ver. Harvey. yet his Obligation is forfeited; for he hath not acquitted B. as he ought, Cro. Eliz. 350. for he is damnified by the Suit and (b) Judgment, by which his Lands, like Point. Goods and Body are subjected to an Execution.

(b) So in Cafe A. at-

fumes to fave B. harmless from a Recognizance entered into by B. for the Appearance of A. if A. doth not appear, this is a Breach; for the Recognizance being forfeited, the not fued, he is subject ed to be fued thereupon. Telv. 287. & vide 1 Brownl. 24.

So if the Condition of an Obligation be, that whereas the Obligee be- 5 Co. 24, came bound for the Obligor in 200 l. for the Payment of 100 l. to J. S. Eroughton ver. Pretty, if therefore the Obligor shall fave and keep harmless the Obligee from & 2 Bulf. all Suits, Grants, Demands, touching and concerning the faid Bond of 115. 200 L then this Obligation to be void; and at the Day of Payment of 3 Bulf 233 the 100 L the Obligee comes to the Flace where the hundred Pound is to 1 Vert. 201 be paid, and perceiving no Body there present to pay the 100 L for the S. P. be paid, and perceiving no Body there present to pay the 100% for the Obligor, he to save the Penalty pays it; the Counterbond is forseited; for the Payment of the 1001. is a Damage and Harm, and if he had not paid it, greater Harm would have enfued, and it is not necessary the Obligee should be fued.

In Debt upon an Obligation, conditioned to fave the Plaintiff harmless 3 Keb 346. from an Obligation, in which he was Surety for the Payment of fuch a 1 Vent. 26: Sum such a Day; the Desendant pleads non damnificatus; Plaintiff replies, No. 12, Wh. 12. that the Desendant had not paid the Sum at the Day of Payment; Defendant rejoins, that he had tendered the Money at the Day, which was refused; alfque bec, that the Plaintiff is overabilis to faid Obligation, and

on Demurrer it was adjudged for the Hantiff, tho' it was objected, that the bare Forfesture of the Obligation, and the Fear of an Arrest, was no Damnification, unless Process were fued out.

#### Where there are disjunctive Conditions, how to be performed.

1 Rel. Abr. 444. Qwen 52. 1 Leon. 74. Goulf. 71.

Where the Condition is in the Conjunctive, regularly both Parts must be performed; yet, to supply the Intention of the Parties, it is held, that if a Condition in the Conjunctive be not possible to be performed, it shall be taken in the Disjunctive; as if the Condition be, that he and his Executors shall do such a Thing, this is in the Disjunctive, because he cannot have an Executor in his Life-time; so if the Condition be, that he and his Assigns shall sell certain Goods, this is in the Disjunctive, because both cannot do it.

1 Mod. 265. 2 Mod. 200. Baffet ver. Baffet.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, that if the Obligor, within 6 Months after the Death of B, thall affure a Rent of 20 l, yearly to C. as the Counsel of C. shall advise, at the Costs and Charges of C. if C. require the fame; or if the Obligor shall not grant the Rent, if then he shall pay to C. 3001. the Obligation shall be void, and B. dies, and C. tenders no Grant of the Rent within the Time, the Obligee is not bound to pay the 300 l. by 3 Judges against one, who said the Condition is not disjunctive, 'till Request to seal a Deed of Annuity, and that therefore the Obligor; ought to pay the 300 l.

1 Leon. 69. Moor 241. pl. 377.

If the Condition of an Obligation be to pay 30 l. or 20 Kine, within a Month after the Death of K. at the Election of the Obligee, he must at his Peril make his Election within the Time limited; for the Obligor is not bound to tender both; but in such Case, or where the Condition is to pay fuch a Day 10 l. in Gold or Silver at the Election of the Obligee, if he does not make his Election before the Day, yet the Duty remains

payable, being Parcel of the Penalty.

2 Med. 304. Wright ver. Bull adjudged.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, that the Obligor shall work out 40 1. at the usual Prices in Packing, when the Obligee shall have Occafion for himfelf or Friends to employ him therein, or otherwise shall pay 40 l. if the Obligee hath no Occasion to make use of him in Packing, he must pay the 40L

Cro. Fac. 594.

If an Obligation be conditioned to pay B, or his Heirs annually 12 L at Mid/ummer and Christmas, or to pay him or his Heirs at any of the said Feafts 150 L the Obligor hath Election to pay the 12 L or the 150 L tho' he may at any Time determine the Payment of the 12 l by Payment of the 150*l*.

= Sid. 107. Sir Paul Neale ver. Reeve adjudged.

If A covenants with B, that A or his Son C or either of them, shall work with B. at the grinding and polifhing of Glass, B. paying to each of them so much,  $\mathcal{C}c$ , and B. requests C. to work with him,  $\mathcal{C}c$ , if he doth not, the Covenant is broke; for B. had the Election to require both, or any one of them, to work with him.

1 Lev. 54. Sayer Ver. Glean adjudged.

If an Obligation be conditioned to pay Money if a Ship puts to Sea, or the Goods or the Obligor return fafe, and the Obligor dies before his Return, yet the Money is payable; for all those Things being contingent and uncertain which of them will happen; the Law supplies the Words which skall first happen, and forecloses the Election of the Obligor; and is not like the Case, where a Man is bound to pay Money at Lady-day or Michaelmas, and he dies after Lady-day and before Michaelmas.

1 Vent. 58. Rofvile ver. Coats.

So where the Condition of a Bond was, that the Obligor should bring the Son and Daughter of J. S. at their full Age, to give such Releases as a third Person should require; the Desendant pleads, that the Son is

alive

alive and under Age; and on Demurrer to this Plca it was held, that the Force of the Bond was not suspended 'till they are both of age; because it is to be taken not conjunctively, but respectively and distributively; for the Obligor undertakes, that the Daughter shall release at her full Age as well as Son, and if she does not, the Condition is broken.

So if one enters into an Obligation or Contract to pay Money, &c. on rid. Tir. Extwo feveral Contingencies, the Obligee may have an Action of Debt on lection. the happening of either of them; for the putting, in that he shall pay at the one or other, must be taken to have been inserted for the Benefit of the Obligee, and the rather because every Contract is to be construed most strongly against the Obligor.

#### 3. By and to whom to be performed.

The regularly the Condition must be performed by, and to those only 9 E. 4. 12. who are specified in the Contract; yet, if there be no Specification, or Perk. Sect. it be doubtful who was meant, the Law will construe it according to the 785. Intention of the Parties; as (a) if the Condition of an Obligation be, (a) 1 Lev. 93, that the Obligor shall make all the Linen the Obligee shall wear during Oles ver. his Life, the Obligee must deliver to the Obligor the Cloth of which it is to be made; for all Contracts are to be interpreted according to the Intent and the subject Matter; and this seems the most genuine Interpretation in the present Case; especially, as it did not appear that the Obligor was a Sempstress, or such Person that used to make Linen, and find the Materials.

So if a Taylor is bound, or promises to make a Suit of Cloaths, the Theo 93. Obligee ought to deliver him the Cloth; because this is usual, and not ser our. for him to find it.

But if a Shoemaker is bound to make a Pair of Shoes, he is also bound 1 Lev. 93.10 to find the Leather, because that is usual.

If the Condition of an Obligation be to pay a lefs Sum, if my (b)  $\frac{1}{2}$  H. 6. 3. b. Servant by my Command tenders it to the Obligee, this is sufficient.

(b) So if a Stranger tenders for, and by the Assent of an Infant above fourteen. Moor 222. 11. 137

So if the Condition of an Obligation be to pay 10 l. &c. it is a good 42 E 3 13 l. Performance if he pays it to his Attorney, or the Perfon whom he has 1 Rol. Albr. deputed to receive all Money due to him.

So if a Bond, conditioned for the Delivery of 40 Pair of Shoes at Holborn Bridge, within a Month to J. S. a common Carrier, for the Use of the Obligee, and J. S. did not come to London within the Month, but the Obligor delivered them to his Porter; and 'twas adjudged a good Performance; for that the Delivery to the Man was a Delivery to the Master, within the Intent of the Condition.

If the Condition of an Obligation is to pay 20 l. to the Obligee and  $M_{cor}$  68. pl. others, the Parishioners of D. (c) it may be paid to any two of them.

the Condition is to pay Money to Baron and Feme, it may be pleaded to have been paid to the Baron only. Goulf. 73. So vide 2 Sid. 41.

If the Condition of an Obligation be to pay 10 l. per Ann. after the Hetl. 115. Death of the Obligee, to the Executors of the Obligee, for the Use of Lit. Rep. 1566 his Children, and he dies without making any Executors, the Money shall be paid to his Administrators.

But if a Man be bound in 20 l. upon Condition to pay 10 l. to fuch 1 Rel. Abr. Person as the Obligee shall name by his last Will, and after the Obliged shall name by his last Will, and after the Obliged shall name by his Will, the Obligor is not bound to pay Lit. Rep. 173.

Vol. III.

8 S

Meer 8 45. En it to his Executors, because the Condition hath Reference to his Nomiter Ceke. nation.

Diverbity, where the Condition is to pay 10 L to the Affignee of the Obligee, and where to the Obligee or his Assigns; for in the last Case it vests as a Duty in the Obligee, and shall go to his Exe-CHIOUS.

I Rol. Abr. i Rol. Rep. 373. 3 Bulf. 168. Bridg. 39.

But if the Condition be to lease certain Lands for 3 Lives to the Obligee or his Affigns, and after the Obligee demands a Leafe to be made to 3 Strangers for their Lives, he ought to make it them accordingly, or otherwise the Condition is broke; for here by the Word Assigns, is intended Affigns by Nomination; for he cannot have other Affigns, in as much as the Estate is not affignable before he hath it.

#### 4. At what Time to be performed.

Co. Lit. 208. If the Condition of an Obligation be to do a local Act to the Obligee, to which the (a) Concurrence of the Obligor and Obligee is necessary; (a) But the as to make a Feoffment, and no Time is limited, the Obligor hath Time the Condi- during his Life to perform it, if not (b) hastened by Request. tion is legal,

yet, if it may be performed for the Benefit of the Obligee in his Ahsenee, as the Acknowledgment of Satisfaction on Record, &c. it ought to be done in convenient Time. Co. Lit. 208. 6 Co. 30. b. Hard. 10. (b) But when by the Condition the Obligor, Feoffer, Feoffee or Stranger are to do a lole Act or Labour, which in no Manner concerns the Obligee, Feoffer, Etc. nor their Benefit; as to go to Rome, &c. they shall have Time during Life, and cannot be hastened by Request. Co. Lit. 208, 209. b. 6 Co. 3t. 1 Leon. 125.

But when the Act, by the Condition of an Obligation to be done to 6 Co. 31. Co. Lit. 208. the (c) Obligee, is of its own Nature transitory, as Fayment of Money, 1 Rol. Abr. Delivery of Charters, and the like, and no Time limited, it ought to be 436. Several Ca- performed in (d) convenient Time. ses to this

(d) Where the Condition (c) So if to be performed to a Stranger. 1 Rol. Abr. 437. Purpose. was, in convenient Time to affure the Land for the Maintenance of a School, and the Party did not do it in 8 Years, adjudged a Breach. 1 Co. 25. b.

Cro. Eliz. 798. So if the Condition of an Obligation be to pay a less Sum, and no Day 1 Rol. Abr. of Payment limited, he ought to pay it prefently, scilicet, within a conve-436. nient Time.

If the Condition of an Obligation be to pay fo much to the Obligee, Co. Lit. 212. or to a Stranger such a Day, if he pays it before the Day, this is a good 1 Rol. Abr. Performance; because Payment before, contains Payment at the Day; 440. Cro. Car. 284. and upon pleading folvit ad Diem, such Payment may be given in Evi-Cro. Fac. 435. dence. Moor 367.

pl. 502. Cro. Eliz. 142. 1 And. 198. S. rvil 96. Owen 45. Dyer 222. Godb. 10. 2 Sid. 78.

2 Saund. So. 1 Sid. 444. 1 Mod. 43. 2 Keb. 612. Hodges.

But after a Breach the Defendant cannot plead his Being ready to pay; as where in Debt on a Bond, conditioned to fave a Parish harmless concerning a Bastard Child, which the Obligor was forced to Father, he olg. plead non damnificat'; they reply that the Child was ready to starve, and Richards ver that therefore they put it out to nurse, which cost them 4 l. Defendant rejoins, that he was ready to repay the Money, and fave the Parish harmless; upon this they demurred, and had Judgment, because the Rejoinder is a Departure; for the Defendant ought to have taken Issue upon the Child's being ready to starve; for if the Plaintiffs were once at Expence about the Child, and were actually damnified, the Defendant's being ready to pay the Money, will not fave the Condition of the Bond.

If the Condition of an Obligation be to do a Thing within a certain 8 H 4. 14 Time, the Obligor may perform it on any Part of the last Day, before but for this Sun-fet of the Time appointed.

Alcor 122. pl. 166. 1 Roj. Abr. 442.

If the Condition of an Obligation be to deliver to the Obligee 20 1 Leon. 121. Quarters of Corn, the 29th of February next following the Date, and adjudged. the next February liath but 28 Days, he is not bound to deliver it till a Leap-year.

If an Obligation bear Date the 1st of May, and the Condition is to Cro. Fac. 646, pay a Sum of Money the 15th Day of May next enfuing, this shall have adjudged, Relation to the Day, and not to the Month; fo as to be payable the but a Writ 15th Day of May following, and not to 15th of May next come Twelve- ing brought, month, being (a) a Fortnight after the Date.

compounded.

(a) But where being payable the next Day, the Court held, that it should have Relation to the Month, Cro. Fac. 677.

So where an Obligation was made the 17th Day of November, and the 1Rol. Ab. 442. Condition was to pay 5 l. the 21st of November following, and 5 l. the 2 roth of December next after, it was held, that the 1st 5 l. ought to be 2 Rol. Abr. 251. S. C. paid the 21st Day of November next enfuing, and that it referred to the Day, and not to the Month.

But it hath been lately adjudged, that a Bond dated 12 May, with Hill. 5. Geo. 2. Condition to pay a certain Sum on the 13th of May next following, in BR. Kettle thould have Relation to the Month, and not to the Day: for it is faid, ver. Fores. should have Relation to the Month, and not to the Day; for it is faid, the Month following as well as the Day following, which the present Month cannot be, and therefore the Money not payable before the 13th of May come Twelvemonth; for these Contracts are to be construed fecundum subjectam Materiam and the Meaning of the Parties.

If a Man enters into a Bill obligatory, for the Payment of feveral 292. b. Sums of Money at (b) feveral Days, an Action of Debt will not lie till 3 Co. 22. a. the last Day is past.

128. b.

Cro. Car. 241. (b) If to pay 20 l. in Manner following, viz. 10 l. at one Day, and 10 l. at another Day, Debt lies not till after the last Day, because one entire Duty; but if a Man binds himself to pay f. S. 10 l. at one Day, and 10 l. at another Day, after the first Day Debt lies for 10 l. because it in itself a several Duty. Owen 42.—So if A makes a Bill to B. for the Payment of 20 l. viz. 10 l. Erc. and thereby covenants and grants with B. that if he makes Default in either of the said Payment of the whole stall be uppered after Default at the first Payment. ments, that he will then pay what of the Whole shall be unpaid; after Default at the first Day, Debt lies for the Whole. 1 Leon. 208. adjudged.

So upon a Contract Debt lies not till all the Days of Payment are past; Co. Lit. 292. for where there is but (c) one Contract there can be but one Debt, and 3 Co. 22. consequently but one Action of Debt for the Recovery of it. 5 Co. 51. S. P.

(c) But it a Recognizance be to pay Money at 5 several Days, after the first Day of Payment Execution lies for the Sum that then ought to be paid; for it is in the Nature of several Judgments. Co. Lit. 292. b and the Law is the same of such a Covenant or Promise to pay Money, &c. for as often as the Money is not paid according to the Covenant and Promise, so often is there a Breach of the Covenant and Promise. nant or Promife, and consequently so often an Action lies. Co. Liz. 292. b.

If a Bill of Debt be brought against an Attorney upon 3 several Obli- Hob. 178. gations, and upon Demand of Over it appears by the Condition of one 1 Rol. Abr. of the Obligations, that the Day of Payment, thereof is not use some 785. of the Obligations, that the Day of Payment thereof is not yet come; 185. after a Verdict for the Plaintiff, upon Conditions performed pleaded, S. C. cited, and Costs and Damages given, tho' the Plaintiff cannot have Judgment for this Obligation, of which the Day of Payment is not yet come; yet upon his Release of Costs and Damages, he shall have Judgment for the other Obligations.

If by Bond Money be payable by Instalments, and in such Manner, Michig Geo 2 that the Non-payment of a particular Sum, at a particular Day, makes Webb ver. a For- Phile,

a Forfeiture of the whole Bond; and accordingly for the Non-payment of fuch Sum, there is a Verdict for the Phintiff, finding it the Deed of the Party; tho' in Strictness the whole Bond is forseited; yet, upon the Defendant's bringing into Court all that the Mafter shall hold to be due, and letting the Verdict stand as a Security for future Payment, the Court will by Rule flay all further Proceedings on the faid Bond.

#### 5. At what Place to be performed.

Cro Eliz. 14. A-cor 122. Hinvley ver. Simpfon, adju iged. Co Lit. 211. Salk. 140.

S. P.

If by the Condition of the Obligation Money be to be paid to the Obligee at or before the 20th of September, at fuch a Place, it cannot be tendered at the Place before the last Day, unless the Obligee is there ready to receive it; but if the Obligor meet the Obligee at a Place before the Day, he may there tender it, and the Obligee ought to receive it.

Moor 545. Marsh ver. Edmunds. Co Eliz.549. Flea was naught; be-

If an Obligation be conditioned to be at A. at a certain Day, there to chuse two Arbitrators, to be joined to two others to be chosen by the Obligee, to arbitrate all Matters between them, he ought to be there in S.C. adjudg fuch a Time, that the Arbitrators may be chosen and all ended that ed, that the Day; and therefore his Pleading, that he was there the last Instant to make his Choice, is not fufficient.

cause he shewed not what Hour of the Day he came, nor how long he continued there, nor that his Arbitrators were present there also.

21 E. 4. 6 h. 3 Leon. 260.

If the Condition of an Obligation be to pay a small Sum, and no Co Lit. 210 h. Place is limited, he ought to feek the Obligee wherever he may be found; but if the Condition be to deliver twenty Quarters of Wheat, or twenty Load of Timber,  $\mathcal{C}_c$  to the Obligee, the Obligor is not bound to carry the same about and seek the Obligee; but the Obligor, before the Day, must go to the Obligee, and know where he will appoint to receive it, and there it must be delivered.

I Rol. Abr. 445.

If a Place, be limited and agreed on by the Parties where the Condition is to be performed, the Party, who is to perform it, is not obliged to feek the Party to whom, &c. elfewhere; nor is he, to whom it is to be performed, (a) obliged to accept of the Performance elsewhere.

(a) But he may accept

it at another Place, and it is good. Moor 367. pl. 502.

21 E. 4 52. Bro. Cond. tron

If the Condition of an Obligation be to pay 101. at D. fuch a Day, or 10 l. at S. fuch a Day, if he tenders it at D. the first Day, the Condition is saved.

Co. Lit. 210.b. If the Condition of a Bond be to make a Feoffment, it is sufficient to Allen 24, 25 tender it upon the Land, because the Estate must pass by Livery. Stile 61.

ı R.l. Abr. 445. Muf. grave ver. Robinfon.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, to appear coram Justiciariis apud Westmonasterium, he ought to appear in C. B. and not in B. R. for this is not the Stile of the King's Bench.

Co. Lit. 211.a. Dyer 354. pl. 32. Latch 158. S Co. 92. b. Salk. 214.

If a Man is bound to pay 201. at any Time during his Life, at a Place certain, the Obligor cannot tender the Money at the Place when he will, for then the Obligee should be bound to perpetual Attendance; and therefore the Obligor, in respect of the Uncertainty of the Time, must give the Obligee Notice, that on such a Day, at the Place limited, he will pay the Money; and then the Obligee must attend there to receive it.

By the Condition of an Obligation, a Master is bound to make his 6 Mod. 227, Apprentice free, on Request, at the End of seven Years; and in Debt 259. Edzon this Obligation the Master pleads, that ad finem of the said seven Demington. Years, or after, till the Time of Action brought, he was not requested; 2 Salk 585 and it was held, that in this Cafe, the Request was material, being Part S.C. adjudge of the Condition; and Holt held, that the Request here ought to have ed per totam been on the last most convenient Time of the last Day of the seventh Year, Writ of Erand that it would come too late the next Day; but Powell inclined, that ror of a a Request in a Day or two after the seven Years would do well.

Judgment in

shal's Court for the Plaintiff, and the Judgment there reversed.

#### 6. What the Obligee must do, in order to intitle him to take Advantage of the Breach; and herein of Notice, Request, &c.

Herein we must take Notice of a Diversity in the Books, between a Brownl. 13. Bond for doing a collateral Act and a Bond which creates a Duty of it Hob. 10. felf; that in the first Case, Notice or Request must be averred, tho' not 6 Mod. 2272 in the last: Also, whenever the Condition is to do a Thing when thereunto required, or if thereunto required, there the Request is Part of the Condition, and to be averred.

As if the Condition of an Obligation be, to account before fuch Audi- Bro. Notice 13. As if the Condition of an Obligee, upon his affigning Auditors, 8 Co. 321. 8 Co. 92. b. ought to give Notice to the Obligor; so where a Man is obliged to account when he shall be thereunto required; there must be a reasonable Time and Place appointed upon the Request.

So if one be bound by Obligation to enfeoff fuch Perfons as the Ob- 8 E. 4. 15. ligee shall name; the Obligee is bound to name the Persons, and give Notice thereof to the Obligor.

In Debt upon a Bond conditioned to fave harmless from twelve Bonds 1 Vent. 35,788 entered into to the King's Majesty for Customs; and so Debt against an 1 8id. 442. Under-Sheriff, upon a Bond conditioned to fave harmless the High She- 2 Keb. 525 King ver. riff, and a small Breach alledged; resolved, that it was not requisite, that Atkins. the Defendant should have Notice of the Damage, for he must save harmless at his Peril.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, to pay a Sum at a certain Day, 1 Rol. Abr. the Obligor must tender it without any Demand; so if a Person be 458. bound to be attendant on another at all Times when he comes to his Manor of D, he is bound to take Notice when he comes to his Manor of D. at his Peril.

Also, where the Obligor or Covenantor has disabled himself to per- 5 Co. 21. form the Act, tho' before Notice and Request were necessary, yet here- Moor 452. by are they dispensed with, and the Obligation forseited. Cro Eliz. 450. 479. Poph. 109. Hutt. 48. Winch 29, 30.

As if A leafes to B. for twenty-one Years, and covenants, at any 5 Co. 20, 21. Time during the Life of B. upon Surrender of the old Lease, to make a Sir Anthony new Lease, for the Residue of the Term; and after A. leases to a Stran-Maine ver. ger; though B. by the Words of the Indenture, ought to do the first Cro. Eliz. 450. Act, yet, because A. hath disabled himself to make a new Lease, B. shall Poph. 109. not be obliged to surrender his old Lease, without Possibility of a new Moor 452. Lease; but may bring Covenant, without making any Surrender. that Covenant lay, without making any Request, tho' by the Covenant the new Lease was to be made upon Request.

So if A. covenants to enfeoff J. S. upon Request, and after enfeoffs an- 5 Co. 21. 188 Cur'. other, J. S. may have Covenant, without making any Request. Vol. III.

If the Condition of an Obligation be (a) to levy a Fine to the Ob-1 Rol. Abr. ligee, he is not bound to levy it, if the Obligee does not fue a Writ of 45S. Covenant against him. I Rol Abr.

422. S P. cont. (a) So if the Condition be, that a Stranger shall levy a Fine to the Obligee. Hutt. 48. Winch 30. - So if the Condition be to acknowledge a Judgment to J. S. he must sue out an Original. Wimb 30. Hutt. 48.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, to pay all fuch Costs as shall be 1 Vent. 71. flated by two Arbitrators, by the Obligor and Obligee to be chosen; the (b) So if the Obligee (b) must chuse an Arbitrator before he can shew any Fault in the Condition be Obligor. to inrol a

Deed in Guild-hall, he is not bound to do it before Request made by the other. 39 E. 3. 5. 1 Rol. Abr. 458.

1 Rol. Abr. 465. Bridg. 128. Linghen ver.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, that whereas the Obligor is Leffee for Years from the Obligee of certain Lands, if he renders up the Possession of the Land at the End of the Term to the Lessor, his Heirs Pain, adjudg- or Assign's, upon Request, then the Obligation shall be void, &c. and after the Lessor assigns over his Reversion, and the Assignee, at the End of the Term, requests him to render up the Possession to him; he is bound to do it, without any Notice given him that he is Assignee; for he ought to take Notice thereof at his Peril, in as much as he hath bound himself to render it up to the Assignee.

1 Rol. Abr. 465 Stooke's like Point adjudged.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, that if the Obligor, with two others, make, an dupon Request feal to the Obligee an Obligation of Cro. Fac. 652. 40 1. then, &c. it is sufficient for the Obligee to make Request only, without tendering any Writing to them, for he ought to do it at his Peril.

#### 7. How the Breach must be assigned and set forth, and the Manner of pleading Performance, and in Bar.

Cro. Fac. 420. 3 Lev. 348. 1 Salk. 141. 6 Mod. 306.

The usual Way of declaring and setting forth the Breach on a Bond Cro. Eliz. 773 is, that the Defendant per scriptum suum obligatorium sigillo suo sigillatum, acknowledged, &c. and therein to lay a Place where it was made, that it may receive Trial, in case it be denied; also it is usual to say, that the Bond was sealed and delivered; but this has been held not to be of Necessity, and to be cured by pleading over, the calling it feriptum fuum obligatorium implying so much; but it hath been held, not to be sufficient for the Plaintiff to declare quod reddat ei fo much, without adding quas ei debet & injuste detinet.

(c) Cro. Fac. 499. Cro. Car 436 Allen 57. 2 Vent 129. (d) 3 Bulft. 244. 1 Rol. Rep. 423.

(c) In an Action of Debt for Part of a Debt upon Contract or Obligation, the Plaintiff must acknowledge Satisfaction of the Residue; for there must be no Variance from the Specialty; but (d) in Debt upon two Bonds, the Plaintiff in his Declaration may acknowledge the Payment of 10 l. in Part, without shewing upon which Bond it was paid; for it is immaterial, and can no way prejudice the Defendant; also the Money might have been paid generally, without any Application to

1 Lev. SS. Salk. 326.

In Debt on a Bond with Condition, the Plaintiff may declare generally, and it is on the Defendant's Part to shew the Condition, which goes by Way of Defeafance; and if he demand Oyer and demur, the Plaintiff shall have Judgment.

Error upon a Judgment in Debt, upon an Obligation of 600 l. the Er-Cro. Fac. 315. ror affigned was, that there was not a sufficient Breach alledged; for the Kirby ver. Hanfaker. Condition being that he should enjoy such Lands without Eviction, 1 Atod. 298.

S. C. cired, and allowed to be Law; and so in 3 Mod. 135. I Vent. S4. 2 Lev. 37. hut 3 Lev. 325. 1 Mod. 66. seems contra; and there said by Fones, that, since this Case, the Statutes 21 Fac. 1. and 16 & 17 Car. 2. have greatly strengthened Verdicts.

the

the Breach was affigned in the Recovery by Verdict in Ejectment, upon a Leafe made by one E, and does not fliew what Title  $\check{E}$ . had to make the Lease, but avers, that E. had good Title; and it might have been, that he had Title from the Plaintiff himfelf after the Obligation made; and therefore he ought to have shewed a good and Eigne Title before the Lease made; & per Cur', The Replication is ill; for it ought to (a) com- (a) That in prehend a full and manifest Breach, otherwise it is not good.

Debt upon a Bond to per-

form Covenants, the Replication must shew a certain Breach; but in Covenant, it is sufficient to affign a general Breach. 1 Salk. 139.

If the Condition of an Obligation be to deliver, before the 5th of 7an, 1 Salk. 146, twenty Quarters of Corn out of a Ship into a Barge, to be brought by the Plaintiff to receive the faid Corn; and he affigns for Breach, that he did not deliver it 5 7an, it is good; for when one is obliged to deliver and the other to accept, it shall be presumed, that the Plaintiff was there before the Time, ready to accept the Corn with his Barge.

If the Breach be affigned after the Action brought, it is ill; as if in 1 Sid. 307. Debt on an Obligation for Non-performance of Covenants, the Plaintiff Champion ver. replies, and assigns a Breach in Non-payment of Rent the 20th of June, but the Re-17 Car. 2. and the Bill was filed Trin. 17 Car. 2. which Term ended the porter says, 14th of June; this was held ill.

that if the

be for Payment of Money, and the Money becomes payable pending the Action, this makes the Action good, fecus where the Condition is for Performance of Covenants; & vide Cro. Eliz. 325. 4 Leon. 98. 1 Keb. 106.

It is faid, that in an Obligation for Performance of Covenants, the 1 Sid. 30. Breach ought to be more precise and particular than in Actions of Co- Feekins ver. venant; but that yet if what is material, and the Substance is alledged, Hancock. it is fufficient; as where the Condition of an Obligation was, that the Defendant, a Bailiff, should not let at large any Prisoner arrested, without Licence of the Plaintiff an Under-Gaoler; and the Breach affigned was, that the Defendant had let at large such a one, whom he had arrested at Westminster, without Licence, &c. this was held sufficient, tho' the particular Time and Place were not fet forth, the Escape being the material Part of the Covenant or Condition.

If the Replication be repugnant to the Declaration, it makes the De- cro. Jac. 264. claration ill, because the subsequent Pleading falsifies the Declaration; as if 1 Sand. 116, a Man declares on a Bond made 10 Martii, if the Plaintiff replies, that 226. the Bond was delivered 30 Martii, this fallifies the Declaration; because it could not be made the first; so if the Rejoinder falsifies the Bar, the

Bar is vicious. In Debt on a Bond, with Condition for Performance of several Things, 2 Vent. 156. the Defendant pleads quod conditio ejusdem sacti nunquam infracta suit per je ipsum, &c. and held an ill Plea; because, for faving the Bond, it is neceffary for the Defendant to shew how he hath performed the Condition; and this Sort of Pleading was never admitted.

So if he had pleaded performavit omnia, it had been ill; for the Parti- 1 Lev. 302. culars being expressed in the Condition, he ought to plead to each particularly; but if the Condition were for Performance of Covenants in an Indenture, Performance generally were a good Plea.

Debt on a Bond conditioned to deliver Goods on fuch a Day; the De- 1 Lev 145. fendant pleads, that he delivered them according to the Form of the Con- Nell Lutar. dition; the Plaintiff demurred, because he ought to have pleaded expressly, 268. according to the Words of the Condition, that he delivered them on the Day; and the Court inclined to that Opinion. — (b) So in Debt on a Bond (b) 3 Lev. conditioned to pay a Sum of Money at D. fuch a Day, the Defendant 245. pleads Payment at the Day secundum effectum conditionis; the Plaintiff demurred specially, because he does not say where he paid it; and it was

(a) 2 Salk. 520.

held to be Form at least; the Plaintiff having demurred specially had Judgment; (a) and generally, where Performance is pleaded, it ought to be pleaded in the Words of the Condition.

5 Lev. 164.

In Debt on an Obligation for Payment of Money, &c. the Defendant pleads, that at the Time and Place paratus fuit to pay the Money, but that no Body was there to receive it; and held ill, on a general Demurrer, for Want of an adtulit solvere; for the Tender only is traversable, not the Paratus.

1 Vent. 196. Cro. Eliz. 913. Salk. 26; & vide Tit. A. Feofails.

In Debt on an Obligation by a Master of a Ship against a Merchant, for performing of Agreements in a Charter-party; the Declaration was, & ad performationem conventionum ex parte disti mercatoris obligasset se disto mendment and magistro, &c. adjudged insufficient, for Want of the Word Ipse, or Ipse priedict' mercator obligaffet, &c.

1 Lev. 55, S4.

In Debt on a Bond with Condition, the Defendant pleads a collateral 5 Lev. 17,24 Plea, which is insufficient; the Plaintiff demurs, and had Judgment, without affigning a Breach; for the Defendant by pleading a defective Plea, by which he would excuse his Non-performance of the Condition, saves the Plaintiff the Trouble of affigning a Breach, and gives him Advantage of putting himself on the Judgment of the Court, whether the Plea be good or not; but if the Plaintiff had admitted the Plea, and made a Replication which shewed no Cause of Action, it had been otherwise; but if the Replication were idle, and the Defendant demurred, yet the Plaintiff should have Judgment, without affigning a Breach.

Solk. 138. vide Tit. Arbitrament.

And in all Cases of Debt on an Obligation with Condition, (that of a Bond to perform an Award only excepted,) if the Defendant pleads a a special Matter, that admits and excuses a Non-performance, the Plaintiff need only answer, and falsifie the special Matter alledged; for he that excuses a Non-performance admits it, and the Plaintiff need not

fhew that which the Defendant hath supposed and admitted.

1 Salk. 138.

\* 1 Lev 55,

But if the Defendant pleads a Performance of the Condition, tho' it be not well pleaded, the Plaintiff in his Replication must shew a Breach; for then he has no Cause of Action, unless he shew it; and this Difference will give the true Reason, and reconcile the Cases in the \* Margin.

84, 226. 1 Saund. 102, 159, 317. 3 Lev. 17, 24. 1 Vent. 114. Cro. Eliz. 320. Yelv. 78.

1 Lev. 194.

In Debt on an Obligation to pay for what Goods an Apprentice shall waste; the Plaintiff in Pleading need not shew what the Goods were, for he is to recover the Penalty of the Bond; otherwise in Covenant.

Saund. 116. 2 Vent. 278. 1 Lev. 194.

Pleading the Breach of a Condition of a Bond, eo quod it was not paid, &c. is a good Affirmation; as in Avowry, & quia the Rent was Arrear, is good; fo in all Assumpsits on collateral Promises to pay on Request, licet such a Day and Place he requested, is an Affirmation, and traverfable.

Pleading a Bond by a Testatum existit is not good, tho' it be with a 2 Lev. 12, 75. 1 Saund. 275. bic in Curia prolat'.

3 Lev. 50.

Debt on an Obligation conditioned to perform Articles, the Defendant demands Oyer, and then pleads the Articles and Performance; the Plaintiff prays they may be entered in bac verba, and then demurs; because the Defendant in pleading the Articles omitted Part; and the Plaintiff had Judgment; for perhaps he might have affigned the Breach in those

omitted, of which Advantage he is by this Means deprived.

1 Sak. 172.

In Debt on a Bond, with Condition to exhibit an Inventory to the Ecclefiaftical Court before such a Day, it is not enough for the Defendant to plead, that there was no Court held, but he must plead also that he was there ready; for he must shew that he has done all that could be on his Side toward a Performance. So if the Condition were to levy a Fine in Oct. Hill. by which the Obligee is to fue out the Writ of Covenant; it is not enough for the Defendant to plead, that no Writ of Co-

venant was fued out, but he must plead that he was there ready at the Day, and no Writ of Covenant was sued out; so if the Condition were to pay Money to J. S. at a certain Time and Place, it is not enough for the Desendant to say that the Obligee came not, without saying that he was there ready and offered, &c.

In Debt on a Bond, the Defendant may have feveral Pleas in Bar; as i Salk 1800 if the Plaintiff fue as Executor, the Defendant may plead the Release of the Testator for Part, and for the Residue the Release of the Plaintiff; so he may plead Payment as to Part, and as to the rest an Acquittance.

In Debt on an Obligation, if Not guilty be pleaded, and there be a Noy 56. Verdict for the Plaintiff, it is aided by the 16 & 17 Car. 2. because being Cro Eliz. 773. an ill Plea, and a false one, the Plaintiff ought to have his Judgment, both for the Badness of the Plea, and for its falshood; but tho' the Verdict had been for the Defendant, yet the Plaintiff should have Judgment, because the Declaration is not answered by the Plea.

In Debt on a Bond conditioned for the Payment of 105 l. the Defen-Cro. Fac. 585. dant pleads Payment of 100 l. fecundum formam & effectum Conditions; Cro. Car. 593. the Plaintiff replies, non folvit predictions is an immaterial Issue not aided by the Statute; for the Plaintiff has not traversed the same Payment that is in the Desendant's Plea.

In Debt on an Obligation, the Defendant pleads Payment of 501. Cro. Jac. 549. 14 Junii, 11 Jac. according to the Condition; the Plaintiff replies, quod non 1 Saund. 282, folvit 501. prædict 14 Aug' anno 11. Jupradict, quasi ad eundem diem solvisse debuisset, & hoc, &c. the Verdict found, quod non solvit prædict 14 Juni, prout the Defendant had alledged. The Objection here was, that no Issue was joined; because they do not meet in the Time the Money was paid, but the Word August being plainly Surlpusage; for when he said quod non solvit prædict 14 die, it is a sufficient Traverse, without the Word August is plainly repugnant to the Word prædict, for prædict refers to June; and such Surplusage, being a Repugnancy to what was before material, was idle and void.

If one declare on a Bond made 10 Martii, if the Plaintiff replies, that Cro. Fac. 264 the Bond was delivered 30 Martii, this falfifies the Declaration, because 1 Saund. 116, it could not be made the first, and is therefore vicious.

In Debt on an (a) Obligation the Defendant cannot plead Nibil debct, Hard. 332. but must deny the Deed by pleading Non est factum; for the Seal of the Hob. 218. Party continuing, it must be dissolved eo Ligamine quo Ligatur.

(a) But if the Debt be

due by simple Contract, then he may plead Nil debet; for it does not appear that there is any Debt continuing. 2 Inst. 651. Hob. 218.

If the Condition of an Obligation be, that the Defendant shall discharge Carth. 375 or acquit, or free the Plaintiff of or from such a Bond, or Rent or Action, or from any other particular Thing ascertained in the Condition, there the negative Plea, Non damnificatus, is not good; because the Defendant hath undertaken to do an Act in Discharge of the Plaintiff; but where the Condition is only to free, or to discharge or indemnify the Plaintiff from any Damage, or Cost, or Trouble which shall or may happen by Reason of such Bond, Rent or Action, or other particular Thing therein mentioned; in such Case the negative Plea is sufficient, because it doth not appear that any Damage hath happened to the Plaintiff; and if no Damages have happened, then it is impossible that the Defendant should shew in the Affirmative the Manner how he had freed or discharged the Plaintiff; therefore it lies on the Part of the Plaintiff, by Way of Reply wherein he was damnified.

Vol. III. 8 U

Offices

# Offices and Officers.

- (A) Df the Nature of an Office, and the several Kinds of Offices.
- (B) Offices, by what Authority created.
- (C) Who hath a Right of granting or alligning an Office; and therein of one Office's being incident to another.
- (D) Of the Grant of Offices by Ecclesiaffical Persons.
- (E) Dt the Ceremony requisite to a compleat Creation or Grant, and of the Daths required by Statute.
- (F) Of the Offence of Buying and Selling an Office, and what Offices are prohibited to be thus disposed of.
- (G) What Remedies a Person having a Right to an Office must pursue, to be let into the Enjoyment of it, and how a Disturbance is punishable.
- (H) Of the Nature of Offices as to their Duration and Continuance; and therein of their being grantable in fee, to, Life, Years, at Will and Reversion.
- (1) Offices by whom to be executed, and who are incapable thereof.
- (K) Of the Manner of executing them; and therein of Offices that are incompatible, and where an Office may be executed by two or more Persons.
- (L) Of the Execution of an Office by Beputy; and therein of Superiors being answerable for their Desputies.
- (M) Of the forfeitures of an Office.
- (N) Where for Corruption and oppressive Proceedings Officers are punishable; and therein of Bribery and Extortion.

## (A) Of the Nature of an Office, and the several Kinds of Offices.

Carth. 478.
(a) Signifies a Place of Trust, and therefore the Statute

4

T is faid, that the Word Officium principally implies a Duty, and in the next Place the (a) Charge of fuch Duty; and that it is a Rule, that where one Man hath to do with another's Affairs against his Will, and without his Leave, that this is an Office, and he who is in it is an Officer.

Fac. 1. enacts, that no Popish Recusant shall exercise any Office or Charge. 5 Mod. 431.

There

There is a Difference between an Office and an Employment, every 2 Sid. 142 Office being an Employment; but there are Employments which do not come under the Denomination of Offices; fuch as an Agreement to make Hay, plough Land, herd a Flock, &c. which differ widely from that of Steward of a Manor, &c.

By the antient Common Law, Officers ought to be honest Men, le- 2 Inj2- 52, gal and fage, & qui melius sciat & possit officio illi intendere; and this, 456-fays my Lork Coke, was the Policy of prudent Antiquity, that Officers \* did ever give Grace to the Place, and not the Place only to grace the

Officers are distinguished into Civil and Military, according to the Na- Carth. 479. ture of their several Trusts.

Offices are distinguished into those which are are of a Publick, and Carth. 479. those which are of a private Nature; and herein it is said, that every Man is a Publick Officer, who hath any Duty concerning the Publick; and he is not the less a Publick Officer, where his Authority is confined to narrow Limits; because it is the Duty of his Office, and the Nature of that Duty, which makes him a Publick Officer, and not the Extent of his Authority.

It hath been held, that the Commissioners for purging Corporations 1 8id.94,152. could not take Notice of or remove an Attorney of a Court, it not be- 1 Iev 75. ing a Publick Office in which the Government was concerned.

It hath been doubted, whether the Cenfor of the College of Physi- 5 Aled 431. cians, be such an Officer as is compellable to take the Oaths prescribed by Carth. 478. the Statute 25 Car. 2. it being urged, that the Over-fight and Inspection The King ver. of Medicines was of a private Nature; and that no Offices were within Dr. Burnell. the Intent of that Statute, but fuch as related to the Revenue, or to the Confervation of the Peace; and that particular Powers created for particular Purpofes were not within that Statute.

Also Offices are distinguished into antient Offices, and those which are 9 Co. 97. of (a) new Creation; and herein it is observable, that constant Usage Cro Eliz. 636. hath not only fanctified the first Establishment of such antient Offices as 2 Rel. Abr. have existed Time out of Mind, but also hath prescribed and settled the 182. Manner in which they have and are to continue to exist, in what Man- 2 Co. 16. ner to be exercised, how to be disposed of, &c.

(a) No Of-

Raym. 94. Harft's Cufe

ficer, that is conflituted by A& of Parliament, hath more Authority than the A& that erestes him, or some subsequent Act of Parliament, doth give him; for he cannot preserbe as an Officer at Com-Law may do. 4 Inft. 267.

There is also another Distinction of Offices into such as are Judicial, 1707 109 and such as are Ministerial Offices only; the first, relating to the Adminiftration of Justice, or the actual Exercise thereof, must be executed by Persons of sufficient Capacity, and by the Persons themselves to whom they are granted; and herein also ancient Usage and Custom must govern.

## (B) Offices, by What Authority created.

HE King is the universal Officer and Disposer of Justice within 12 Co 116. this Realm, from whom all others are said to be (/) derived; but yet 1 Rol. Rep. 206. he cannot create any new Office inconfiftent with our Constitution, or Carth. 478. (b) Offices prejudicial to the Subject. are faid to

be in the King, where the King may grant or nominate to the Office, but hath not the Office in him to use er execute. Co. Lit 3. b. 2 Vent. 270.

There

2 Inft. 540.

There are three Things, fays my Lord Coke, which have fair Pretences, yet are mischievous; 1st, New Courts; 2d, New Offices; 3d, New Corporations for Trade; and as to new Offices, either in Courts or out of them, these, he says, cannot be erected without Act of Parliament; for that under the Pretence of the common Good, they are exercised to the intolerable Grievance of the Subject.

1 Fon. 231. Lyfler.

An Office granted by Letters Patent for the fole Making of all Bills, Mounfon ver. Informations and Letters missive in the Council of York, was held unreasonable and void.

1 Co. 116. and several Cases there cited to this Purpofe. (a) It hath been held

One Chute petitioned the King to ercct a new Office for registring all Strangers within the Realm, except Merchant Strangers, and to grant the faid Office to the Petitioner with or (a) without a Fee; and it was refolved by all the Judges at Serjeants-Inn, that the Erection of fuch new Offices, for the Benefit of a private Man, was against all Law of what Nature soever.

clearly, that in the Constitution of a new Office or Officer, it is not necessary that an annual or casual Fee should at first be annexed to such Office. Moor Sog.

4 Inft. 200. 1. Bishop of Salisbury's Cafe. Moor SoS. S. C.

King E. 4. by his Letters Patent bearing Date 10 Oct. Anno 15. of Pasch 6 fac his Reign, reciting, that where there was no Office of the Chancellor of the Garter, that there should be such an Office of the Chancellor of the Garter, and that none should have it but the Bishop of Salisbury for the Time being, we will and ordain, that Richard Benchamp, now Bishop of Salisbury, should have it for his Life, and after his Decease, that his Successors should have it for ever; and amongst divers other Points it (b) So if the was resolved unanimously, that this Grant was void; (b) for that a new King grants Office was erected, and it was not defined what Jurisdiction or Authority un Office by the Officer should have, and therefore for the Uncertainty it was void.

an Office cum feodis inde spectantibus, and it appears to the Court to be new, the Grant is void. 9 E. 4. 10. 2 Sid. 141.

Hob. 63.

The King cannot grant to any Person to hold a Court of Equity, tho he may grant tenere placita; for the Dispensation of Equity is a special Trust committed to the King, and not by him to be intrusted with any other, except his Chancellor.

## (C) Who hath a Right of granting or allign= ing an Office; and therein of one Office's being incident to another.

4 Co. 32. Mitton's Case. (c) If a House or of the Office

Where-ever one Office is (c) incident to another, fuch incident Office is regularly grantable by him who hath the principal Office; and on this Foundation it hath been held, that the King's Grant of the Land belong to an Office of Sheriff, and could not by any Law or Contrivance be taken by the Grant away from him.

by Deed the House or Land passeth, as belonging thereunto. Co. Lit. 49. a. Vaugh. 178. cited.

So the Office of Chamberlain of the King's Bench Prison is insepa-I Salk. 439. Per Holt C.J. rably incident to the Office of Marshal; and therefore a Grant of the 1 Leon. 320, Office of Marshal with a Refervation of the Office of Chamberlain, 321. Like Point. is void.

So

So it bath been refolved, that the Office of Exigenter of London and Dyer 175. a. other Counties in England, is incident to the Office of Ch. Just. of C. B. pt 25; and that therefore a Grant thereof by the King, though in the Vacancy & vide Show. of a Chief Justice, is null and void. Rowland Holt's Cafe.

Par. Ca. Sir

My Lord Coke fays, that the Justices of Courts did ever appoint their 2 Inft. 425. Clerks, some of which after by Frescription grew to be Officers in their 4 Mod. 173. Courts; and this Right which they had of constituting their own Officers, is surther confirmed to them by Westm. 2. cap. 30. the Reasons whereof are twofold. 1st, For that the Law doth ever appoint those that have the greatest Knowledge and Skill, to perform that which is to be done. 2dly, The Officers and Clerks are but to enter, inrol, or effect that which the Justices do adjudge, award or order; the infusficient doing whereof maketh the Proceeding of the Justices erroneous, than the which nothing can be more dishonourable and grievous to the Justices, and prejudicial to the Party.

## (D) Of the Grant of Offices by Eccleliastical Persons.

ERE it will be proper to take Notice how far Bishops, and other Co. Lit 44. à. Ecclesiastical Persons, may grant Offices to bind their Successors, 1 Co. 58, 61. Bishop of Saram's tient, and of Necessity to be excercifed by some other Person, they may Case. grant, together with the antient Fee for exercifing thereof; and as these Cro. Car. 49-Offices are not within 32 H. 8. to be granted by the Bishops or other Ley 71, 79. Ecclefiaftical Perfons folely, fo neither are they construed to be within the Restraint of 1 & 13 Eliz. and therefore remain perfectly at the Common Law, and by Consequence, to bind the Successor, must be confirmed in the same Manner as all other Grants or Alienations of Ecclefiastical Persons at Common Law must have been; and these Grants appear generally to have been made for the Life of the Grantees; for it were too fevere and rigid a Construction, to confine them to be made determinable on the Death, Translation, or other Promotion of the Bishop, Dean, &c. who made them; and would discourage Men of Ability and Capacity to undertake the Exercise thereof; and to grant such Offices for twenty-one Years, or any other Term of Years, would introduce many Inconveniences, by letting in Executors, Strangers, and other unqualified Persons, to the Exercise thereof; and therefore any Grant of fuch Offices for Years, feems against the Policy of the Common Law and the Benefit of the Successors, which those Statutes intended to provide for, and by Consequence will not bind them.

But if such Offices have been anciently granted to one for Life, this 10 Co. 61. induces no Necessity of their being granted to two for their Lives; and Cro. Car. 49. therefore such Grant to two for their Lives, will not bind their Successor, 11 Co. 4. tho' one of the Grantees should die in the Life of the Grantor, so as there were but one Life in Being against the Successor; because by such Grant to two the Grant was faulty in its Foundation, and therefore shall not be helped by ony Accident after: So if the Office hath been antiently granted to one with an antient Fee, and after a Grant is made to another in Reversion, after the Death of the first Grantee; this shall not bind the Successor, for there can be no Necessity urged to justify this; besides that, the Grantee in Reversion, by Sickness or other Accidents may become incapable to exercife such Office before it comes into Posses

Vol. III.

fion; and if the Bishop, or other Spiritual Person, might grant such Offices to two, or grant them in Reversion, they might abuse that Power, and grant them to twenty, or for twenty Lives in Reversion one after another, which as they cannot be justified from any Necessity, fo they would be inconvenient, by tying up the Successor's Hands from choosing such Officers as he thought necessary and proper for the Difcharge of fuch Offices; and therefore no Confirmation will make good fuch Grants against the Successor.

Cro. Eliz 636. Watts. 10 Co 61. Cro. Car. 50. Ley 74 Dyer So. b. in Margine.

Cro. Eliz 636. The Bishop of Norwich, having the Office of High Steward of his Scambler ver. Courts, to which a Fee of 101. per annum appertained, and also the Office of Under-Steward of the same Courts, to which a Fee of 41. per annum appertained, granted the Office of Under-Steward to three for their Lives, whereof one was within Age, and the other two being dead, the Infant grants over the Office to the Defendant, and then the Bishop grants both these Offices to the Plaintiff with the Fees; and by the Books, both these Grants secm to be void; the first, because it was granted to three, where the Custom warranted a Grant thereof only to one; and also, because the surviving Grantee was an Infant, and so not capable of a judicial Office, as the Steward of a Court is: The 2d, because both were granted to one Person, where they had usually been granted to two severally, with distinct Fees, and therefore the Grant of both to one Perfon neither necessary nor convenient, and by Consequence not binding against the Successor; also such Grant of either the said Offices in Reverfion would not bind the Successor, for the Reasons before given.

But altho' the Bishoprick, Deanery, &c. were founded but of late Times, yet the Grant of fuch Offices as are necessary, and cannot be exercised by the Bishop, Dean, &c. in Person, may be granted, together with a reasonable Fee for the Exercise thereof; (the Reasonableness whereof the Court where the Cause depends is to be Judge;) for these cannot be said to tend to the Impoverishment of the Successor, but rather for his Benefit, by providing Officers fit and qualified to take Care of the Revenues; and therefore such Grants are not within the Restraint of 1 & 13 Eliz. but not being warranted by 32 H. 8. they must be confirmed by all Persons interested therein; because they remain at Common Law,

In an Action upon the Case, for disturbing him of his Office of Register

untouched by any of the Statutes.

2 Lev. 136. 3 Keb. 472, 506. Ridley ver. Parmal.

10 Co. 61. b.

Cro. Car. 48. 2 Brownl.

137. Bishop

of Ely's Cafe. Ley 78, 80.

to the Bishop of Bristol, which was a new Bishoprick taken out of the Bishoprick of Sarum, and founded in the Time of H. 8. and which had been granted separalibus temporibus after the Foundation to one, and his Assigns for three Lives,  $\mathcal{C}_c$  these Differences were taken and agreed to by the Court. 1ft, That the Bishop of a new Bishoprick may grant Offices of Necessity for Life. 2dly, If an Office hath been usually granted by the Bishop of a new Bishoprick, for three Lives, with the Consent or Confirmation of the Dean and Chapter, (a) before I Eliz. it may be now granted accordingly. 3dly, Be the Bishoprick new or old, if it was not fo granted, but granted always before I Eliz. for one or two Lives, it cannot be granted by the Bishop after I Eliz. for three Lives. 4thly, If it was granted before I Eliz. for three Lives, and after the Statute but before i Eliz for one Life, yet this shall not abridge the Power of the Bishop, but he may grant it for three Lives, &c. And in the principal Case, the Verdict, finding that it had been granted feparalibus temporibus after the Foundation to one and his Affigns for three Lives, was held defective, because it might be so granted after the Foundation, and yet be after I Eliz. and therefore a Venire facias de novo was awarded to supply that Defect.

258, 279. 1 Fon. 311. March 38. accord, that fuch Grant is a Badge of their having been antiently fo granted.

(a) Cro. Car.

The Bishop of Chichester was seised in Fee of a Park in Right of his Bridg. 30, 32. Cro. Car. 47. Bishoprick, and had the Office of Park-keeper, which he by Deed 44 Eliz.

Bushop of Chichester ver. Freedland. Cro. Car. 16. Cook ver. Younger. Ley 75.

grants

grants to the Defendant for his Life, & ulterius concessit pro executione officii prædie? five Marks, with a Clause of Distress, una cum a Livery, or 13 s. 4 d. per annum, nec non pasturam pro duobus equis in the said Park yearly, una cum the Windfalls, with Clause of Distress for the said Rent, or Annuity of five Marks, and Livery, or 13 s. 4 d. and this Grant was confirmed by the Dean and Chapter; and for Non-payment of the five Marks the Defendant distrains, and avers the Office and Fee of five Marks to be antiently granted, but makes no Averment for the Residue. The Plaintiff, in Bar of the Avowry, pleads the Statute I Eliz. and fays, that the Pasturage was never granted before, and derives a Title to himfelf under the present Bishop, Successor to the Grantor; and in this Case it feems to be agreed by all, that if the new additional Fees had been in another Clause, distinct from the Grant of the Office and five Marks; or had been granted for another Confideration; or if the Bishop had granted the Office and five Marks for him and his Succeffors, and had granted the Pasturage, and other additional Fees, during his own Life only; that in these Cases the Grant had been good, for the antient Office and Fee, to bind the Successor, but not for the additional Fees; or if the Grant of the Office had been with a Fee of 5 l. where the antient Fee was but five Marks; there the Grant being intire would have been void in toto against the Successor; but whether these Grants were diffinct or intire feems the only Dispute in the Case; wherein the Court was divided; two Judges holding that they were feveral and diffinct, and two, that they were intire and depending on each other, and therefore void in the whole against the Successor; but a Variation in the Days of Payment of the antient Fee, as if it were formerly payable at one Day, and is now referved payable at two, will not vitiate the Grant.

In Replevin, Defendant avows upon a Grant to him by the Dean 1 Brown 1.182. and Chapter of, &c. of the Office of Catership of the Church for Life, Humphry ver. with an Annuity of 61. per annum, for the exercifing thereof, and a Parfel. Clause of Distress; and avows for the Annuity, and avers, that it was an ancient Office pertaining to the Dean and Chapter, &c. but does not aver that the Annuity was an antient Annuity; the Defendant pleads 13 Eliz. &c. and shews the Death of the Dean Grantor, and the Election of another,  $\mathcal{C}_c$  and upon Demurrer adjudged, that the Grant was void.

The Bishop of Landass in 1672. granted the Office of Chancellor of 4 Mod. 16, his Diocese to A. and B. and the Survivor of them for Life, and this was 17, 18 Jones confirmed by the Dean and Chapter; and now A. being dead it became ver. Beans a Question, whether this Grant to two were good against the Successor. And the Court held, that if it had been anciently fo granted, it would bind the Successor; and to prove it antiently so granted, four Grants were produced, three of which were made in the Time of one Bishop, and the last in the Year 1620. from which Time to this present Grant in 1672. no fuch Grant to two had been made, and the first of these Grants was about 50 Years after 1 Eliz. yet the Court held this fufficient Evidence to prove this Office antiently grantable to two before the Statute i Eliz. (as the Prescription must be to warrant it;) and one Ridley's Case was mentioned of the Office of Register of Bristol, wherein my Lord Hale was of Opinion, that if it could be shewn, that such Grants were made some Time after the first Eliz. it would be an Evidence that such were also made before the Statute; and upon a special Verdict finding this Matter, the Court gave Judgment accordingly, that the Grant was fufficiently warranted by antient Usage; and had no great Regard to the Objection of its being a judicial Office, which cannot by Law be granted to two, being supported by such Usage, and no Diminution of the Successor's Revenue, which those Statutes were made to secure.

10 Co. 58-9. but Quere; for others hold, that make Averbecause he is

Some hold, that when fuch antient Office and ancient Fee are granted together, with some new additional Fees, yet if the Distress and Avow-ry be only for the antient Fee, that the Avowant need only make Averment, for that Fee for which he distrains, that it was antient; and ant ought to the Plaintiff, if the other Fees were new, ought to shew it in Avoidance of the Grant; for the Avowry, being only for the antient Fee, needs ment for all, meddle with no more, that being only in Question.

in the Nature of a Plaintiff, and is to make a Title. Vide Cro. Car. 48 9, 50. Ley 73, 77.

Cro. Car 258. 1 Fon 263. Walker ver. Sir Fohn Lamb.

In an Action upon the Case, for disturbing him in the Exercise of the Office of Commissary of the Bishop of Lincoln, and of Official to the Archdeacon of Leicester, upon Not guilty pleaded, it was found that thefe were antient Offices of Judicature, always granted to one Person for Life, the one by the Bishop and the other by the Archdeacon, till 1609, when they were granted by the Bishop and Archdeacon severally to A. and B. for their two Lives; and after 1614. were likewise granted to B. and C. with Confirmation of the Bishop's Grant, by the Dean and Chapter, and of the Archdeacon's Grant by the Bishop, Dean and Chapter; and that after the Death of that Bishop and Archdeacon their Successors severally granted both the said Offices to the Plaintiff; who being disturbed by C. brought this Action and had Judgment; for all the Court held thefe Offices Parcel of the Possessions of the Bishoprick and Achdeaconry, and expresly within the Word Hereditaments in the 1 & 13 Eliz. and therefore being usually granted in Possession, the Grant thereof in Reversion is without warrant, and no Necessity can be urged for so doing; and the Acceptance by B. of the second Grant, where C. was joined, was no Surrender of the first, and by Consequence the respective Successors were not bound by these Grants, but might lawfully, as they have done, grant both these Offices to the Plaintiff for his Life; and therefore he had Judgment.

Cro Car. 279, 555. 1 Jon. 310. 2 Rol. Abr. 153. Young ver. Stowel. March 38. 3 Leon. 31. 4 Mod 279.

But where the Office of Register of a Bishop hath been usually granted as well in Reversion as in Possession, there a Grant to one of such Office for Life, where by the Death or Surrender of the prefent Officer it shall become void, is good, and shall bind the Successor, and is not within the Restraint of either of the said Statutes; because, being usually so granted, it might proceed at first from a Reason of Convenience and Necessity, that the Office might always be kept full, and a Person always ready to execute it, for the Benefit of the King's Subjects; for the there is no Reversion of an Office, unless it be an Office of Inheritance, yet it may well be granted in Reversion, babend' after the Death of the present Officer; which is no more than a Provision of a Person to supply it, when it becomes void; and if such Provision has been usually made, the Custom and Usage gives Sanction to it; but then such Grant must be confirmed, as is said before; and therefore where some Books hold such Grant of Offices in Reversion not good, it must be taken with this Diversity, that they have not usually been so granted, but only in Possession, and then to grant them in Reversion is not warranted by the Custom, nor shall bind the Successor.

Cro. Car. 279, 555, 557. 1 Fon. 310. 2 Rol. Abr. 123.

But in the last Case, where the Office of Register was granted by the Bishop to an Infant, then about eleven Years of Age, babend' after the Death or Surrender of the present Officer, exercend' per se vel suffic' Deput' sum cum vadiis, &c. and when the Tenant for Life died, the Grantee in Reversion was then thirty Years of Age; all the Court held his Infancy, at the Time of the Grant, no Cause to avoid it; because, at the Time it fell into Possession, he was of sufficient Age to execute it; and tho' it had fallen vacant during his Minority, yet as this Case is, the Grant would have been good, because it is to be exercised per se vel per fuffic' Deputat', &c. and therefore tho' he were not capable of exercifing

it himself, (as Writing and a little Latin would sufficiently qualify him for; it being only to write and register Acts done in Court,) yet he might have put in a sufficient Deputy; and therefore they denied the Opinion Co. Lit. 3. b. that the Grant of the Office of Steward of the Court of a Manor, either in Possession or Reversion, to an Infant was void, as uncapable, and wanting Knowledge to exercife it, unless it were to be understood that there was no Clause of exercising it per se vel sistis Deputat', and that the Infant himself was of such tender Age, that by no Intendment he was capable of exercifing it himself; but they held, that (a) if there were fuch Clause, then it would be good, and he might ap- (a) Vide Cro. point a sufficient Deputy; and if he did not, it would be a Forseiture Ja. 18. of his Office, notwithstanding his Infancy; and of the Sufficiency of the Hob. 148.

Deputy the Lotd of the Manor, or Judge of the Court, were to be Judges; 11 Co. 87.

S Co. 44. and if the Deputy should misdemean himself, or prove unskilful in his Office, it would be a Forfeiture at the Infant's Peril; and this feems to be the Diversity taken in the Books; besides, if the Case in Co. Lit. be meant of the Office of Steward of a Court-Leet, it may be good Law, because that is a Judicial Office, which perhaps cannot be executed by Deputy; but if it be meant of a Court-Baron, then the general Opinion (b) Fide 9 Con of the (b) Books is against it, which held, that the Steward of a Court- 48, 49. Baron may make a Deputy, tho' there were no express Power given <sup>2</sup> Lev. <sup>245</sup>. him for that Purpose; for that it is an Office purely ministerial, (for the Hob. 148. Suitors are Judges in the Court-Baron) and confifts in entring Plaints, 11 Co. 87-Surrenders, Admittances, &c. in the Nature of a Register; and tho' an Cro. Fac. 18. Infant should have the Stewardship of both Courts, viz. the Court-Leet and Court-Baron together, and that he should be incapable to exercise that of the Court-Leet, and therefore the Grant thereof to him should be void; yet for the Court Baron the Grant would continue good, and he might either exercise it in Person, or by a sufficient Deputy; and perhaps upon this Diversity the Books may be reconciled; for they agree, that if an Office of Judicature or Learning be given to a Man utterly incapable of it, no Clause of exercising it by Deputy, or otherwise, will mend the Cafe, or make the Grant good; for it must radically west in the Grantee before it can go in Title of Procuration, or Deputation, to another.

But where the Dean of Windfor, having Ordinary Jurisdiction, by Noy 153. Deed made fuch a one his Commissary, which was confirmed by the Prebend of Dean and Chapter, yet after his Death it was held the Successor was Hat kerley's not bound thereby, because this was a Judicial Office and Authority; which tho' it may be exercised by a Substitute, yet it is in Law in the Ordinary himself; and Excommunication, Probate of Testaments, and fuch like, they may be transacted by the Commissary, yet it must be in the Name of the Ordinary, and if the Ordinary offends, the Substitute shall be punished; and therefore this Grant can continue no longer than the Ordinary himself who grants it; for if it should bind the Successor, then he could not remove him, tho' he were answerable for his Acts and Offences; which would be hard; therefore this Grant determines with the Death or Remotion of the Ordinary, and then no Confirmation can make it good after; and the Archbishops, in their several Provinces, have the Ordinary Jurisdiction sede vacante; and the Archbishop, Dean and Chapter, cannot grant the Juridiction of Guardian of the Spiritualties 17 E. 3 23. after the Death of the Bishop; which is a stronger Case.

## (E) Of the Ceremony requilite to a compleat Creation or Grant, and of the Daths required by Statute.

1 Rol. Abr. 152. 1 Co. 121. 2 Sid. 137. 14 Dyer 200. b. pl 62. Hard. 351.

Here-ever the Right of granting and erecting new Offices is vested in the King as the Hood and Francisco in the King as the Head and Fountain of Justice, he must use proper Words for that Purpole; as in the Erection of a new Office the Words erigimus, constituimus, &c. must be made use of; and it hath been adjudged, that the Word Concessions is not sufficient, unless there be an Office already in Being, and then a Grant by the Word Concessimus is good.

1 Med. 123.

If an Officer be created by Letters Patent, he is a compleat Officer before he is fworn, and before any Investiture.

1 Leon. 24S. 1 Mod. 123. Cent. 1 Rol. Abr. 154.

But if a Person be created Herald at Arms, Investiture is necessary before he is a compleat Officer.

1 Leon. 219. 3 Alod. 147. 5 Mod. 388.

An Office, being a Thing which lies in Grant, cannot regularly be granted or transferred from one to another, but by Deed duly executed, which is an Instrument the Law hath appointed instead of Livery.

Co. Lit. 61. b. (a) That a may make

But it is faid, that one may retain (a) a Steward to keep his Court-Baron and Court-Leet without Deed, and that fuch Retainer shall con-Corporation tinue until he be discharged.

a Bailiff without Deed, Title Corporations, Letter (E).

Carth. 426. 2 Salk. 467. 5 Med. 386. Sunders ver. Owen.

(b) It hath

been ad-

Also it hath been adjudged, that a parol Appointment of Clerk of the Peace by the Cuftos Rotulorum, by the Words following, spoken in open Court, is good, I do nominate the said Philip Owen to be Clerk of the Peace, according to the Act of Parliament; but in B. R. tho' the parol Appointment was held good, yet that Court reversed the Judgment, because the Form of the Words used in the Nomination were insufficient; for he did not name any certain County of which he should be Clerk of the Peace, nor diftinguish which Statute he intends; for there are two Statutes which concern this Matter, viz. 27 H. 8. and 1 W. 3. and moreover by his adding this Word, viz. faid *Philip Owen*, it is altogether infensible; but the Judgment of B. R. was reversed in the House of Lords. By the 13 Car. 2. Stat. 2. cap. 1. it is enacted, 'That no Person shall

be placed, elected or chosen to any Office or Place of Mayor, Alderman, 6 Recorder, Bailiff, Town-Clerk, Common-Council Man, or other Office of Magistracy, Place of Trust, or other Imployment relating to the Go-' vernment of any City, Corporation, Borough, Cinque-Port or other

Fort-Town, who shall not have received the Sacrament according to the Rites of the Church of England, within one Year next before such Election; and that every Person so placed or elected shall take the

6 Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy at the same Time when the Oath ' for the due Execution of the said Office, &c. shall be administer'd; • and that the faid Oath shall be administer'd and (b) tendered by those judged, that 'who administer the Oath of Office; and in Default of such, by two it is no Ex- '(c) Justices of Peace of the Corporation; and that in Default hereof

cuse that the every such Election, Placing and Choice, shall be void.

Oaths were

not tendered. 5 Mod. 316. 2 Fon. 121. Salk 428. (c) Which makes it necessary in a Return to a Mandamus, setting forth that the Party did not take the Oaths before the Mayor, &c. to add, that he did not take them before two Justices of Peace. 5 Mod. 317. Salk. 428.

> By the 25 Car. 2. (commonly called the Test-Act,) it is enacted, That all Officers Civil and Military, (except those of Inheritance ap-6 pointing sufficient Deputies,) and all who have any Fee, &c. by Patent

- from the King, (except fuch as shall be granted for valuable Considera-
- t on for Life or Years, and not relate to any Office or Place of Trust)
- and also all who have any Place of Trust or Employment in the King's 6 Houshold, shall take the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, and Test,
- the next Term, (in the King's Bench or Chancery, or Quarter-Seffiens,)
- and receive the Sacrament within three Months, and give in a Certificate
- thereof, proved by two Witnesses, to the Court wherein they take the
- ' faid Oaths; and in case of Neglect shall be disabled to hold the faid Of- (a) It hash
- fices, &c. and (a) forfeit five hundred Pounds, except Feme Coverts, &c. been adjudge

Persons so disabled lose only their Right to the Profits of their Offices from the Time of such Disability, but that they lose nothing vetted in them before. Lutw. 910.

But it is provided by the last mentioned Statute, 'That the said Act fhall not extend to Constables or Church-wardens, or (b) such like infe-

e rior Civil Officers, or to a Bailiff of a Manor or Lands, or fuch like Quare, when private Officers.

tends to the Cenfor of the College of Physicians. 5 Med. 431.

Tho' the Words of the first of these Acts are so very strong, as to  $2 \mathcal{F}^{on. 81}$ , make fuch Election,  $\mathcal{C}c$  void, and those of the second, to make such  $\frac{137}{7}$ Persons disabled in Law to all Intents and Purposes whatsoever to have, 242. occupy or enjoy the said Offices; yet it hath been strongly holden, that 2 Mod. 193. the Acts of one under tuch a Disability, being intailed in such an Office, 3 Keb. 606, and executing the same without any Objection to his Authority, may be 665,682,721. val.d as to Strangers; for otherwise, not only those who no way infringe 1 Hawk. P.C. this Law, but even those whose Benefit is intended to be advanced by it, might be Sufferers for another's Fault, to which they are no Way privy; and one Chaim in a Corporation, happening thro' the Default of one Head Officer, would perpetually vacate the Acts of all others, whose Authority in respect of their Admission into their Offices, or otherwise, may depend on his.

## (F) Of the Offence of Buying and Selling an Office, and What Offices are prohibited to be thus disposed of.

THE taking or giving of a Reward for Offices of a publick Nature is faid 3 Inft. 148.

To be Bribery; and furely, fays Hawkins, nothing can be more pul- 1 Hawk. P. C. pably prejudicial to the Good of the Publick, than to have Places of the 168 9.— It highest Concernment, on the due Execution whereof the Happiness of Malam in fe, and Doorle dorly depended disposed of not to those who are and indist. both King and People doth depend, disposed of not to those who are and indictmost able to execute them, but to those who are most able to pay for able at Comthem; nor can any Thing be a greater Difcouragement to Industry and mon Law. Virtue, than to see those Places of Trust and Honour, which ought to Moor 781. be the Rewards of those who by their Industry and Diligence have qualified themselves for them, conferred on such who have no other Recommendation, but that of being the highest Bidders; neither can any Thing be a greater Temptation to Officers to abuse their Power by Bribery and Extortion, and other Acts of Injustice, than the Confideration of the great Expence they were at in gaining their Places, and the Necessity of sometimes straining a Point to make their Bargain answer their Expectations.

For the Exof, vide the Earl of Macclesfield's

For which Reasons, among many others, it is expresly enacted by 12 position here Ric. 2, cap. 2. 6 That the Chancellor, Treasurer, Keeper of the Privy ' Seal, Steward of the King's House, the King's Chamberlain, Clerk of the Rolls of the Justices of the one Bench and of the other, Barons of the Exchequer, and all other that shall be called to ordain, name or make Justices of the Peace, Sheriffs, Escheators, Customers, Comptrollers, or any other Officer or Minister of the King, shall be firmly fworn that they shall not ordain, name or make any of the above-men-6 tioned Officers for any Gift or Brokage, Favour or Affection; nor that none that fueth by himself, or by others, privily or openly, to be in any Manner of Office, shall be put in the same Office, or in any other, but that they make all fuch Officers and Ministers of the best and most lawful Men, and sufficient to their Estimation and Knowledge.

And by the 4 H. 4. cap. 5. it is enacted, 'That no Sheriff shall let 6 his Bailiwick to farm to any Man for the Time that he occupieth fuch 6 Office.

But the principal Statute relating to this Matter is the 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 16. which is verbatim as follows, Sect. 1. For avoiding of Corruption which may hereafter happen to be in the Officers and Ministers ' in those Courts, Places or Rooms, wherein there is requisite to be had the true Administration of Justice, or Services of Trust, and to the Intent that Perfons worthy and meet to be advanced to the Place where Justice is to be ministred, or any Service of Trust executed,

shall hereafter be preferred to the same, and no other. Sect. 2. 6 Be it therefore enacted, That if any Person or Persons at any 'Time hereafter bargain or fell any Office or Offices, or Deputation of any 6 Office or Offices, or any Part or Parcel of any of them, or receive, have or take any Money, Fee, Reward or any other Profit, directly or indirectly, or take any Promise, Agreement, Covenant, Bond, or any Assurance to e receive or have any Money, Fee, Reward or other Profit, directly or in-6 directly, for any Office or Offices, or for the Deputation of any Office or 6 Offices, or any Part of any of them; or to the Intent that any Person should have, exercise or enjoy any Office or Offices, or the Deputation of any Office or Offices, or any Part of any of them, which Office or Offices, or any Part or Parcel of them, shall in any wife touch or concern the Administration or Execution of Justice, or the Receipt, Controlment, or Payment of any the King's Highness Treasure, Money, Rent, Revenue, Account, Aulneage, Auditorship, or Surveying of any of the King's Majesty's Honors, Castles, Manors, Lands, Tenements, Woods or Hereditaments, or any the King's Majesty's Customs, or any Administration or necessary Attendance to be had, done, or executed in any of the King's Majesty's Custom-House or Houses, or the Keeping of any the King's Majesty's Towns, Castles or Fortresses, being used, occupied or appointed for a Place of Strength and Defence; or which shall concern or touch any Clerkship to be occupied in any Manner of Court of Record wherein Justice is to be ministred; that then all and every fuch Person and Persons, that shall so bargain or fell any of the faid Office or Offices, Deputation or Deputations, or that shall take any Money, Fee, Reward or Profit, for any of the faid Office or Offices, Deputation or Deputations, of any of the faid Offices, or any Part of any of them, or that shall take any Pro-6 mise, Covenant, Bond or Assurance for any Money, Reward or Profit, to be given for any of the faid Office or Offices, Deputation or Deputations of any of the faid Office or Offices, or any Part of any of 6 them, shall not only lose and forfeit all his and their Right, In-' terest and Estate, which such Person or Persons shall then have of, in 6 or to any of the said Office or Offices, Deputation or Deputations, or any Part of any of them, or of, in or to the Gift or Nomination of 6 any the said Office or Offices, Deputation or Deputations, for the which

Office or Offices, or for the Deputation or Deputations of which Office or Offices, or for any Part of any of them, any fuch Perfon or Perfons shall so make any Bargain or Sale, or take or receive any Sum of 6 Money, Fee, Reward or Profit, or any Promife, Covenant, Bond or Affurance to have or receive any Reward, Money or Profit; 6 but also that all and every such Person or Persons that shall give or pay any Sum of Money, Reward or Fee, or shall make any Pro-6 mife, Agreement, Bond or Affurance for any of the faid Offices, or for 6 the Deputation or Deputations of any the faid Office or Officers, or any Fart of any of them shall immediately, by and upon the same Fee, 6 Money, or Reward given or paid, or upon any fuch Promife, Coveannt, Bond, or Agreement had or made for any Fee, Sum of Money or Reward, to be paid as is aforefaid, be adjudged a difabled Person in the Law to all Intents and Purpofes to have, occupy or enjoy the faid Office or Offices, Deputation or Deputations, or any Part of any of them, for the which fuch Person or Persons shall so give or pay any Sum of Money, Fee or Reward, or make any Promise, Covenant, 6 Bond, or other Assurance to give or pay any Sum of Money, Fee or Reward.

Self. 3. It is farther enacted, that all and every fuch Bargains, Sales, 6 Promifes, Bonds, Agreements, Covenants and Assurances, as be be-6 fore specified, shall be void to and against him and them by whom 6 any fuch Bargain, Sale, Bond, Promise, Covenant or Assurance shall 6 be had or made.

Sect. 4. ' Provided always, that this Act, or any Thing therein constained, shall not in any wife extend to any Office or Offices whereof any Person or Persons is or shall be seised of any Estate of Inheritance; 6 nor to any Office of Parkership, or of the Keeping of any Park-house, Manor, Garden, Chase or Forest, or to any of them; any Thing in this Act heretofore mentioned to the contrary thereof in any wife

notwithstanding.

Sect. 5. Provided also, that if any Person or Persons do hereafter offend in any Thing contrary to the Tenor and Effect of this Act, yet that notwithstanding all Judgments given, and all other Act or Acts, executed or done, by any such Person or Persons so offending by Authority or Colour of the Office or Deputation, which ought to 6 be forfeited, or not occupied, or not enjoyed, by the Person so offending, as is aforefaid, after the faid Offence to by fuch Person com-6 mitted or done, and before such Person so offending for the same Of-6 fence be removed from the Exercise, Administration and Occupation 6 of the said Office or Deputation, shall be and remain good and sufficient in Law to all Intents, Constructions and Purposes, in such like 6 Manner and Form as the fame should or ought to have remained and been, if this Act had never been had or made.

Provided also, that this Act shall not extend to be prejudicial or hurt-ful to any of the Chief Justices of the King's Courts, commonly called the King's Bench or Common Pleas, or to any of the Justices of Affise that now be, or hereafter thall be; but that they and every 6 of them may do in every Behalf, touching or concerning any Office or Offices to be given or granted by them or any of them, as they or any of them might have done before the making of this Act; any Thing above mentioned to the contrary in any wife notwithstanding.

In the Construction of the last mentioned Statute the following Opinions have been holden:

1. That the Offices of Chancellor, Register and Commissary in Ec- Cro. Fac. 2690 clesiastical Courts are within the Meaning of the Statute, in as much as 3 Inft. 148. those Courts do not only determine Matters which are brought before 12 Co. 78.

Vol. III. 8 Z. them 329.

them pro salute animæ, but also have the Decision of Disputes concern-2 Vent. 187, ing the Lawfulness of Matrimony, and Legitimation of Children, which touch the Inheritance of the Subject; and also hold Plea of Legacies

and Tithes, &c. in which Respects they are Courts of Justice.

2 Lev. 151. Ellis ver. Ruddle.

2. It hath been adjudged, That Offices in Fee are out of the Statute; fo if the King be seised in Fee of a Bailiwick, and he demise the same to A. who demises to B. rendring the Demise to B. is not within the Statute; for Offices in Fee being excepted out of the Statute, under Leafes of such Offices are also excepted inclusively.

3. It hath been resolved, that the Place of Cofferer is within this Sta-3. Bulft. 91.
Sir Arthur In- tute, and a Person having once purchased this Place is for ever disabled

gram's Case. to enjoy the same; and that the King is bound by this Statute.

Co. Lit. 234. S. C. and there faid, that the King could not dispense with this Statute by any Non obstante. Cro. Fac-385. S. C. cited.

4. It hath been agreed, that the Sale of a Bailiwick of a Hundred is 4 Leon. 33. 4. It hath been agreed, that the bate of a Damini-Godbolt's Case. not within the Statute, for such an Office doth not concern the Administration of Justice, nor is it an Office of Trust. S. C. cited.

2 And. 55, 107. Smith ver. Cotleshill:

5. If A, being Surveyor of the Customs agrees with B, that B, shall be his Deputy, and that in Confideration thereof B. shall pay A. 600l. and 100 l. annually, and it is further agreed, that A. will furrender his Patent, and procure a new one in the Names of A. and B. which is done accordingly, and B. gives A. a Bond for Performance of the whole Agreement; the Bond is void, as being within this Statute; for tho' Part of the Condition, such as procuring a new Patent, &c. may not be void within the Statute, yet being joined with that which is fo, it makes the whole void.

Pafeh. 26 Sparrow ver. Reynolds.

6. It hath been adjudged, that a Seat in the Six-Clerks-Office is not Car. 2. in C.B. within the Statute, being a ministerial Office only; and they are but Under Clerks, who have so much a Sheet for Copying, &c. but one Judge held it not faleable at Common Law, for the following Reasons; Ift, Discouragement of Merit and Industry. 2dly, It is being the Occafion of Extortion and Exaction of excessive Fees. 3dly, From its being a great Charge to Suits. 4thly, It exempts the Persons, who enter by these Means, in a great Measure from the due Regulations under which they ought to be; for they are not so easily removed, as if they were at the Will of him who hath the Disposal of them.

Presed. Chan. 199.

7. It hath been held, that this Statute doth not extend to Military Officers; and that the  $7 H' \otimes M$  which requires, that every Commission Officer, before his Commission is registred, should take the Oath there mentioned, that he had not directly or indirectly given any Thing for procuring the Commission, but the usual Fees extend only to Horse, Foot and Dragoons, but not to the Marines.

8. It hath been adjudged, that the Sale of the Deputation of the Of-A Med. 222. fice of Provost Marshal of Jamaica, is not within this Statute; (a) be-Salk. 411.

Blankard ver. cause this Statute does not extend to the Plantations.

(a) 2 Mod. 45. S. P. undetermined, and there said arguendo, that so good a Law should have as extenfive a Construction as possible.

Tvin. 9 Georg. 2. in B. R. Maccarty ver. Wickford.

9. In a Writ of Error on a Judgment in Ireland, it was held clearly, that the Office of Clerk of the Crown, and Clerk of the Peace, was within the Statute; but that this Law did not extend to Ireland, not being enacted there.

Hob. 75.

10. It hath been held, that one who makes a contract to the Co. Lit. 234. contrary to the Purport of this Statute, is fo far difabled to hold the Cro. Car. 361. fame, that he cannot at any Time during his Life be reftored to a Capacity of holding it by any Grant or Dispensation whatsoever.

11. It is held, that where an Office is within the Statute, and the Sa- Salk. 468 lary is certain, if the Principal make a Deputation, referving a lesser 6 Mod 2348 Sum out of the Salary, it is good; so if the Profits be uncertain ari-Tudor. fing from Fees, if the Principal make a Deputation, referving a certain Conb. 356 Sum out of the Fees and Profits of the Office, it is good; for in these 5. P. Cases the Deputy is not to pay, unless the Profits arise to so much; and tho' a Deputy by his Constitution is in Place of his Principal, yet he has no Right to his Fees, they still continue to be the Principal's; so that as to him, it is only referving a Part of his own, and giving away the rest to another; but where the Reservation or Agreement is not to pay out of the Profits, but to pay generally a certain Sum, it must be paid at all Events; and a Bond for Performance of fuch Agreement is void by the Statute.

12. It hath been held, that this being a publick Law, the Judges ex Trin 9 George officio are to take Notice of it; but yet it seems the more regular and 2. in B. R. fafe Way to plead it; but it hath been resolved, that a Person in plead-Wicksond. ing this Statute need not alledge, that the Party against whom it is pleaded is not within any of the Proviso's or Exceptions in the Statute;

but that if he be, it must come on his Side to shew it.

(G) What Remedies a Person having a Right to an Office must pursue, to be let into the Enjoyment of it, and how a Dikurbance is punishable.

IT is held clearly, that an Affise lay at Common Law for an Office, Secondarian Affise lay at Common Law for an Office, Secondarian Web's and that therefore the the Statute of West. 2. cap. 25. speaks only of Gale. Offices in Fee, yet an Affise lies for an Office in Tail or for Life; but a last of the secondarian Office of Charge Sec this is to be understood of Offices of Profit, for of an Office of Charge S. P. and no Profit, an Affise does not lie.

But a Man shall not have an Assise of the whole Office, unless he be 3 Co. 49. L. diffeissed of the whole; but if a Man be diffeised of Parcel of the Pro- 2 Inft. 412.

fits of an Office, he may have an Affife of that Parcel only.

In an Affise for an Office newly erected and constituted, the De- 8 Co. 49. mandant in his Plaint must shew what Fee or Profit is granted for the Webb's Case. Exercise thereof; for this Office cannot have a Fee or Profit appurtenant to it, as an antient Office may, and for an Office without Fee or Profit no Assise lies.

But in an Affise for an antient Office, the Demandant in his Plaint 8 Co. 49. need not shew what Fee or Profit is belonging to it, for it shall be intended there is some Fee or Profit.

In an Affise for an Office, the Demandant must shew a Seisin; but I Rol. Also, it hath been held, that the taking of 3 d. for a Capias against B. is a 270.

sufficient Seisin of the Office of Filizer de Banco.

So if one by the House of Commons be committed to A. who before 2 Lev 108. and long after was in Possession of the Office of Serjeant at Arms to the Cragg vera House, and the Prisoner compounds with B. for his Fees, and gives him Norfolk adtwenty Shillings; this is a good Seisin of the Office by B. for he cannot judged. be diffeifed thereof, but at his Election; and it was likewise held, that proving that B. being in the Lobby of the House of Commons, took hold of the Door of the House, and laid his Hand upon the Mace, then being in the Hands of A. to take it, but hinder'd by A. was good Evidence both of a Seisin and Disseisin.

But

1 Lev. 198. 122, where such Recoto be a suf-

But where the Serjeant of the Mace to the House of Commons, in an & vide 1 Mod. Action upon the Cafe for a Difturbance, recovered Damages; and whether this was a sufficient Seisin, the Damages being recovered in Savery is held tisfaction of the Fees, and he then being out of Possession of his Office, was doubted; fome of the Judges inclining one way and fome the other; ficient Seifin, and it was intended to have been found specially, but the Plaintiff being unwilling to stand to it was nonsuit.

Also in an Assise for an Office, the Demandant in his Plaint must set

3 Mod. 273. Savier ver. forth a Title.

Lenthal; by which Book it appears, that the Demandant not being ready to fet forth a Title, the Affife was adjourned rill the next Day, when he appeared and fet forth a Title, and Process was prayed against the Defendant.—But by Salk. S2. S. C. the Demandant was nonsuited the second Day for not counting; and the Court told him, he might bring a new Assis. Comb. 173. S. C. and the Plaintiff nonsnited; & vide Dyer 114. pl. 63. 149. pl. 81. 152. pl. 9. 8 Co. 45. b.

An Affise lies for the Office of Register of the (a) Admiralty; for S Co. 47. 2 Inft. 412. tho' their Proceedings are according to the Civil Law, yet the (b) Right 11 Co. 99. b. of their Offices is determinable at the Common Law; so of the Master-Dyer 152. ship of an Hospital being a Lay Fee. (a) So the Right of the

Office of Register to a Bishop is to be determined at Common Law, and not to be tried in the Spiritual Court, tho' the Subject Matter is Spiritual; because the Office it self being Matter of Freehold, is for that Reason of Temporal Cognizance. — For this, vide 1 Rol. Abr. 285. 4 Mod. 27, 28. Carth. 169. (b) So Chancellors, Registers, Proctors, &c. being Officers of Temporal Profit, are to sue 169. for their Fees in the Temporal Courts. - For this vide Title Fees, Letter (D).

A Man may bring an Action on the Case (c) for the Profits of an per Hale C. J. Office, tho' he never had Seisin. (c) An Action

on the Case for disturbing a Person in the Exercise of the Office of Parish-Clerk. 2 Salk. 468. - But not so advisable as an Assiste; because a Jury may not well compute the Damages in Proportion to the Loss of a Man's Livelihood. Carth. 169.

If the King grant the Office of Comptroller of the Customs to A. 2 Mod. 260. adjudged, and B. Durante beneplacito, and A. dies, and afterwards the King grants upon a fpeupon a special Verdict the faid Office to C. and yet B. under Pretence of Survivorship exercises between Ar- the faid Office, and receives the Profits thereof; C. may have an Indebiris ver. Stuke- tatus Assumpsit for so much Money had and received to his Use.

ly.
2 Jon. 126. 2 Lev. 245. S. P. between Haward ver. Wood; where the Defendant, under Pretence of Title, received the Fees belonging to the Plaintiff as Steward of a Court Baron.

> (H) Of the Nature of Offices as to their Duration and Continuance; and therein of their being grantable in Fee, for Life, Dears, at Will and Reversion.

9 Co. 97.

FFICES, in respect to their Duration and Continuance, are distinguished in those which are of Inheritance, or in Fee, or Feetail, those of Freehold or for Life, those for Years or a limited Time, and those which are at Will only; and here we must again observe, that tho' all Offices, in Relation to the Administration of Justice, are originally and inherently lodged in the Crown, yet cannot the King himself grant these in any other Manner than warranted by antient Usage, or so as to be injurious or inconvenient to the Publick.

But where no Inconvenience can ensue to the Publick, there Offices Dyer 285. are allowed to descend as Inheritances; as the Offices of (a) Earl Mar- 1 Co. 33. that of England; to of Park-keeper, Forester, Gaoler, (b) Sheriff, &c. Plow. 2.

2 Rol. Abr. 153. (a) So the Office of Seneschal of England formerly belonged to the Earldom of Leicester, and came ascerwards to the Staffords, and Dukes of Bu kingkam, and the last who had it in Lettester, and the late with had it is rever granted to any the was I dward Duke of Buckingham, who was attainted 13 H. S. but now it is never granted to any Subject only pro hat vice. 4 Inst. 58, 127. Farest. 125. cited. (b) The Mayor and Civizens of London have the Sherivalty of London in Fee, and the Sherists of London are Guardians under them, and removeable from Year to Year. 2 Inft. 382.

And if one hath the Office of Park-keeper, Forester, Gaoler, She- Plow. 379. b. riff, &c. to him and his Heirs, he may grant these Offices to one for Life, 381. a. Remainder to another for Life, &c. for Omne majus continet in se minus; and as they are grantable over in Fee, fo may they be granted in Succession to one for Life, with Remainders over.

So Offices may be intailed, as the Office of Earl Marshal of England, 7 Co. 33. or the Office of Steward, Bailiff or Receiver of a Manor, may be in- Cv. Lit. 20. as tailed; because these are demandable in a Pracipe ut tenementa, and 1 Rol. Abr. being exercifable within the Manor, are therefore looked upon as Members or Branches of it.

So a Woman may be endowed of an Office; as of the Office of the Perk. Sett. Marshalfea to have the third Part of the Profits, and in fuch Case she satisfied to have the third Part of the Charge; so she may be enthall be contributory to a third Part of the Charge; so she may be en1 Rose Abr. dowed de tertia parte exituum provenient' de custodia goale abbatbie 676.

Weston', or of the third Part of the Profits of Courts, Fines, He-Plow. 379. b.
F. N. B. 140

It is faid, that at Common Law, all Officers of Justice had Estates in 4 Mod. 169. their respective Offices during Life, and could not be removed but for 1 Show. 428. Missdemeanors; so was the Office of Clerk of the Crown in B. R. and said arguends. in Chancery; fo are the Clerks in the Exchequer, and the Philazers in C. B. and in this Respect the Wisdom and Policy of the Law was very great; because, when Men held their Offices for Life, it was an Encouragement to the faithful Execution of their Duties; it was then also they endeavoured to acquire Knowledge and Experience in their Employments, having a durable and fixed Estate therein, and not liable to be displaced at the Pleasure of those who put them in.

If an Office be granted to a Man to have and enjoy so long as he Co. Lit. 42. shall behave himself well in it; the Grantee hath an Estate of Freehold Rol. Abr. in the Office; for since nothing but his Misbehaviour can determine his Story Park. Interest, no Man can prefix a shorter Time than his Life; since it must Cases 161. be his own Act (which the Law does not presume to foresce) which only can make his Estate of shorter Continuance than his Life; so if the Office had been granted to a Man quandin se bene gessert tantum, his Estate had not been less for the Word tantum; for a Grant to a Man for so long Time as he shall behave himself well, are of equal Extent, and his Misbehaviour in each Cafe determines his Interest.

Therefore where by the Statutes directing in what Manner the Cuflos 4 Med. 167. Rotulorum shall be appointed, &c. it is among other Things provided, that I Show. 426 the Cuftos shall appoint and nominate the Clerk of the Peace, when void, to 536. who may execute it by himself or Deputy, for so long Time only as he  $\frac{Har}{Ex}$  shall demean himself well; in the Construction of which Words it was held that the Clerk had an Office for Life, and that it did not determine with the Custos.

The Judges of the feveral Courts at Westminster held formerly their a Ing. 74, Places durante beneplacito, but now by the 12 11. 3. their Commissions are 117. quamdiu se tene gesserint, by which they hold their Offices for Life; but upon the Address of both Houses of Parliament it may be lawful to remove them.

It hath been determined, that at Common Law the Patents of the 1 And. 44. Judges, (a) Sheriffs, Escheators, Commissioners of Oyer and Terminer, Gaol-Delivery and of the Peace, and of the Attorney and Solicitor Ge-Dyer 165. N. Bendl 79. (a) But the neral, are determined by the Death of the King, in whose Name they Office of She- are made. riff in fuch

Places where he is chosen by a Corporation, having by its Charter the Inheritance of the Office, does not determine by the Demise of the King. 7 Co. 30. b.— Nor the Authority of a Coroner or Verderor. Dalis. 15. Dyer 165. 2 Inst. 175. 1 Lev. 120.— Nor does any Corporation Officer, who by the Charter is invested with Judicial Authority, lose it by such Demise. 2 Hawk. P. C. 3. Swide the Statutes 7 & 8 W. 3. cap. 27. and 1 Ann. cap. 1. for continuing all Patent Officers for six Months of for such Demise. Title Court. Later (C). Months after such Demise, Title Courts, Letter (C).

9 Co. 97. 1 Rol. Abr. 847. 2 Rol. Abr. Hob. 153. 3 Mod. 145.

It hath been adjudged in Sir George Reynold's Case, that the Office of the King's Bench Prison could not be granted for Years, for that being an Office of great Trust concerning the Administration of Justice, in keeping of Prifoners till they pay their Debts, if it should be granted Cro. Car. 587. for Years might be injurious to the Publick, in that it would go to Exe
1. Jon. 583. cutors or Administrators, or might be in Suspence till Probate of the cutors or Administrators, or might be in Sufpence till Probate of the Will, or Administration taken out; and if the Officer should die indebted, that none would prove his Will, or take out Administration, then there would be no Officer at all, and Executors or Administrators would be in by Act of Law, without Allowance of the Court; also it might be a Question, if such Office should not be forfeited by Outlawry, or be Affets in the Executor's Hands, and many other Inconveniences would follow if fuch Grant for Years were allowed; for the same Reasons it was held likewife, that the Offices of Cuftos Brevium, Chirographer, Clerk of the Pipe of the King's Silver, or of the Crown, Remembrancer, or Chamberlain of the Exchequer, Prothonotaries, and other Officers in the several Courts of Justice, could not be granted for Years.

Hard. 46, 353. Fones ver. Clerk.

But fuch Offices as do not concern the Administration of Justice, but only require Skill and Diligence, may be granted for Years; because they may be executed by Deputy, without any Inconvenience to the Publick; therefore where a Grant for Years was made of the Office of Garbler of Spices in London, it was adjudged to be a good Grant, or at least a good Appointment for Years within the Intent of the Statute of 17ac. 1. сар. 19.

Hard. 351, 850 Hob. 146. Dyer 303. 3 Keb. 80.

The Office of Register of Policies of Assurance in London concerning Merchants, was granted by the King for Years, and adjudged to be a good Grant; because it did not concern the Administration of Justice in any Court, but required only the Skill of Writing after a Copy; fo Wern. 11,12. the Office of making and fealing Subpana's was granted for Years, and allowed to be good; and there feveral Precedents are cited of Offices granted for Years; as first, Offices in which the Safety of the Realm was concerned; as the Office of Warden of a Haven or Port by H. 6. of Gun-powder, 1 Car. 1. of making Gun-powder by Car. 2. Also Offices concerning the Trade of the Realm have been granted for Years; as 1 H. 7. of the Exchange of Money; 18 H. 8. of Gager; 17 R. 2. of Aulnager, tho' a Seal belongs to it, with which the Officer is intrusted; of the Letter-Office 13 Car. 1. Also Offices in Courts of Justice have been granted for Years; as the Office of Surveyor of the Green-Wax; of the 6 d. Writs in Chancery, and Subpana's, of Comptroller and Customer, and making out Process in C. B. All thefe and feveral others have been granted for Years; but no Dispute having been made of the Validity of them, how far some of them would hold at this Day may be a Question.

2 Lev. 245. 2 Fon. 126. 6 Alud. 57.

But where one made a Grant for Years of the Stewardship of a Court-Leet and Court-Baron; this was held void as to the Court-Leet, being a Judicial Office, but good as to the Court-Baron, being only Ministerial, and the Suitors Judges thereof; but the Grant appearing afterwards

afterwards to be for Years, determinable on the Death of the Lessee, it was held good for both; because there was no Danger of its coming to Executors or Administrators.

The King may grant the Office of Sheriff (a) durante beneplacito; and altho' he may determine the Office at his Pleasure, yet he cannot de-4 Co. 33. a. termine it for Part, as for a Vill, &c. nor can he abridge the Sheriff of Sheriff may any Thing incident or appurtenant to his Office. grant to his Under-She-

riff to hold at Will only, for he is his Deputy, and according to the Nature of a Deputation must be removable, as an Attorney is. Hob. 13. Noy 55.

The King may grant the Office of Chirographer of the Common Pleas Dyer 176. pl. quamdiu nobis placuerit, and it is good.

The Office of the King's Marshalfea may be granted at Will.

9 Co. 97. 3 Mod. 149.

If the King grants an Office at Will, and grants a Rent to the Pa- Co. Lit. 42. a. tentee for his Life, for the Exercise of his Office, this is no absolute Estate for Life; because the Rent being granted on Account of the Office, and in Discharge of the Duty of the Place, when-ever his Interest in the Office ceases, the Rent is determined; because it was first granted for the Exercise of the Office, which he is no further concerned in.

A (b) Judicial Office cannot be granted in Reversion; for the' the Co. Lit. 3. b. Grantee be never so fit at the Time of the Grant, he may become unfit (b) So an when it takes Effect.

and partly Judicial cannot be granted in Reversion; as the Office of Auditor of the Court of Wards. 11 Co. 4. 2 R.l. Abr. 152.

The King may grant an Estate in an Office to commence in futuro, or upon a Contingency, which Estate shall arise out of the Inheritance Difference he hath in the Office it felf, for such he may have in Point of Interest hetween the tho' not in Execution.

King's Grant of an Office

in Reversion, and such a Grant in Reversion by a Subject, vide Dyer So. pl. 58. 259. pl. 18. 3 Leon. 31. Hob. 150. 2 Rol. Abr. 154. Cro. Car. 279. 11 Co. 4. 8 Co. 55. b. Carth. 350. 2 Salk. 465. 4 Mod. 275.

It hath been adjudged, that the Office of Register being usually Cro. Car. 279, granted as well in Reversion as Possession, a Grant to one of such Of. 555 fice for Life, when by the Death or Surrender of the present Officer it 1.700. 310 fhall become void, is good; for tho' there is no Reversion of an Office, 153. unless it be an Office of Inheritance, yet it may well be granted in Re- March 38, version, Hatena' after the Death of the present Officer; which is no 3 Leon. 31. more than a Provision of a Person to supply it when it becomes void; and if such Provision has been usually made, the Custom and Usage (i) (a) But usually less there (a) But ungives Sandtion to it. have been

fuch Usage, it is not grantable in Reversion. 2 Vent. 188.

# (1) Offices, by Whom to be executed, and Who are incapable thereof.

IF an Office, either of the Grant of the King or Subject, which con- Co. Lit. 3. b. cerns the Administration, Proceeding or Execution of Justice, or the King's Revenue, or the Commonwealth, or the Interest, Benefit or Sasety of the Subject, or the like; if these or any of them be granted to a Man that is unexpert, and hath no Skill and Science to exercise or execute the tame, the Grant is meerly void, and the Party disabled by Law, and

(a) That an incapable to take the same pro commodo Regis & populi; for only Men of Infant cannot be a Steward, for he cannot by Intendmentex. Reversion.

ecute it, much less may be assign it over. Cro. Eliz. 636-7. per Popham.—But a Ministerial Office may be granted to an Infant, in Possessian or Reversion, for he may exercise it by a Deputy. 1t Co. 4. a.—As where the Office of Register to the Bishop of Rochester was granted to F. S. who was an Infant of twelve Years of Age at the Time of the Grant, Habend' after the Death of F. D. (who was the Register in Possessian) for his Life, to be exercised by him or his Deputy, and asterwards F. D. died, F. S. being of the Age of thirty; this was held a good Grant at the Time of making of it, the Office being to be exercised by him or his Deputy. Cro. Car. 279. 2 Rol. Abr. 153. Young ver. Stowel. Cro. Car. 555-6. March. 38. S. P. adjudged. 4 Mod. 279. 2 Vent. 188. Pollexs. 136. S. P. cited, and adjudged to be Law.

Dyer 150. b. Lord Broke gave the Office of Chief Prothonotary to G. but he appearing unfit, he revoked it, and granted it to W. and a Precedent was flown, where the Office of Clerk of the Crown was granted by the King to one Vintner, who exhibited his Patent and defired to be admitted; and the Justices of the King's Bench refused to admit him, (b) because he never had exercised that Office, nor ever was brought up in it; and remove a Man nt- admitted, and was sworn.

terly infufficient, it is void; and tho' it be to him and his Assigns, or to be exercised by a sufficient Deputy, it mends not the Case, but it must radically vest in the first Grantee, before it can go in Procuration or Deputation to any other. Hob. 148.—If the King should grant an Office in B. R. the Judges may remove such an Officer for Insufficiency, because they are proper Persons to judge of his Abilities. 4 M.d. 30.

The Bishop of Gloucester granted the Office of Chancellor of his Diocese to one S. who, because he was unskilful in the Civil and Canon Law, was adjudged incapable.

Palm. 450.

And in 4 Mod. 27. S. P. was argued where the Grant was to him or his Deputy; in which Case it was infisted, that Insufficiency did not create an original Incapacity, so as to avoid the Grant; because that he might appoint a Deputy learned in those Laws, and that if he appointed one who was unskilful, it would be a Forseiture of the Office.

Cro. Fac. 17. If the King by his Letters Patent grants the Office of Custody of Lady Russel's the Castle of Dunnington to a Woman, to be exercised by her, or her sufficient Deputy, the Grant is good, and it shall not be intended a Castle of War rather than a private House.

(c) How far Differers from the Church are rendered in-capable or By the 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. it is enacted, 'That no (c) Popish Recusant Convict shall exercise any Publick Office or Charge in the Common-capable or capable o

excused from serving any Publick Office, vide 2 Mod. 299. 2 Vent. 247. 2 Lev. 151, 184, 242. 2 Jon. 51, 137. 4 Mod. 269. Salk. 167. Skin. 574. Carth. 306. 5 Mod. 431. Comb. 315.

(K) Of the Manner of executing them; and therein of Offices that are incompatible, and where an Office may be executed by two or more Persons.

FFICES are faid to be incompatible and inconfiftent, fo as to be executed by the same Person, when from the Multiplicity of Bufiness in them they cannot be executed with Care and Ability; or when their

their being subordinate and interfering with each other, it induces a Presumption they cannot be executed with Impartiality and Honesty; and this my Lord Coke says is of that Importance, that if all Officers Civil, Ecclesiastical, &c. were only executed each by a different Person, it would be for the Good of the Common-wealth and Advancement of Justice, and Preserment of deserving Men.

And hence it is, that the King himself, tho' he may grant an Office,  $\frac{1}{2}$  lnft. 100. yet cannot execute it himself; (a) nor can the Ch. Just. of B. R. be Pro- (a) 1 Sid 305.

thonotary nor Clerk of the Papers, that he may dispose of those Places.

So if a Forester, by Patent for his Life, is made Justice in Eyre of  $4 lr \beta$  310. the same Forest hac vice, the Forestership is become void, for these Orfices are incompatible; because the Forester is under the Correction of the Justice in Eyre, and he cannot judge himself; the same Law of a Warden of a Forest, and of a Justice in E) re of the same Forest.

Upon a Mandamus to restore one to the Place of Fown-Clerk, it was 1 Sid. 305. returned, that he was elected Mayor and sworn, and therefore they chose 2 Keb. 92. another Town-Clerk; and the Court were strong of Opinion that the Offices were incompatible, because of the Subordination; a Coroner made Sheriff ceases to be Coroner; so a Parson made a Bishop; a Judge of C. B. made a Judge of B. R. and the Town-Clerk's Office is to be attendant on the Mayor; in Re-disseisin the Sheriff is Minister and Judge, but that is by Act of Parliament; and by the (b) Customs of (b) Where some Places the Mayor has other Offices annex'd to his Place of Mayor, of Error but here they are distinct; and the Court recommended the Case to upon a Judgment Town for an amicable Composure.

and the Error assigned was, that the Venire facias was awarded to the two Bailiss, and the Court was held before the Mayor and the two Bailiss, so that the Bailiss being Judges of the Court could not be Officers; but the Court conceived it might be good by Custom, and not Error; for the Judges are not the Bailiss only, but the Mayor and Bailiss; and it is a common Course in many of the antient Corporations, where the Bailiss are Judges, or the Mayor or they be Judges, yet in respect of executing Process they be the Officers also. Cro. Car. 138. Crane ver. Holland. 4 Mod. 66. S. C. cited.

Ministerial Offices may be granted to two, and so may also some Ju-4 Mod. 17. dicial Offices, which are established by Act of Parliament; but antient 4 lns. 146. 1 Lev. 1. 1 Lev. 1. 1 Keb. 1 Keb.

Therefore a Grant of the Office of Chief Prothonotary of the Com- 2 Rol. Abr mon Pleas to two hath been held void.

152.

Hoh. 153.

3 Mod. 145. 4 Mod. 17. cited

So a Grant to two to be Chief Justices of any of the Benches hath 2 Rol. Abr. been held void; but a Grant to two to be Clerks of the Crown is good. 152

If a Grant be made to two of the Office of one of the Auditors of 1t Co. 2. duthe Court of Wards, it is good; yet it is but one Office, and partly Cafe.

Judicial; but this is by the 32 H. 8. cap. 46.

2 Ret. Abr.

152. 4 Mod. 18. S. C. cited.

The Office of Forester of Waltham Forest was granted to two, and Djer 167 a.

ield good.

The Clerk of the King's Bench Office had granted the Office of Clerk 1 Vent. 296. of the Papers to A. and B. and the longest Liver of them; B. makes 2 Med 95. a parol Surrender, and prays that C. should be admitted in his Room, which was done accordingly; B. dies, A. commenced a Suit against C. supposing that he had no Right; but upon the Trial it appeared that the Vol. III.

9 B

Plaintiff

Plaintiff agreed that C. should be admitted, which was looked upon as a Surrender of the former Grant, and the Taking of a new one; and it

was ruled accordingly.

z Mod. 260. Arris ver. Stukely.

The King granted the Office of Comptroller of the Customs in the Port of Exeter durante beneplacito to two; one died; and the Question was, whether the other should have the whole by Survivorship; & per (a) It is faid Cur', he shall not, for there shall be no Survivorship of an Office of (a)

in general, Trust, if it is not granted to them and the Survivor.

per Cur', that if an Office be granted to two, and one dies, the Office does not survive, but determines; as if two Sheriffs, and one dies, the other cannot act; otherwise, if granted to two and the Survivor of them. 1 Salk. 465.

Carth. 213. Fones ver. Beav. 1 Show. 289. 4 Mod 16. 1 Salk. 465. S. C.

The Bishop of Landass by Deed granted the Ossice of Chancellor or Commissary of his Diocese to Doctor Loyd and Doctor Jones, to hold the same conjunctim & divisim to them and to the Survivor of them; Doctor Loyd died, and the Successor of the Bishop granted the Office to another, who fued Jones; it was agreed by Counfel on both Sides, that this Office had been antiently and usually granted in this Manner; and on a Case stated out of Chancery, and referred to the Judges of B. R. the only Question was, whether this was such a Judicial Office as could be granted in this Manner; and after feveral Arguments it was adjudged, that this was a good Grant; and the principal Reason of the Judgment was, because of the long and constant Usage; and it was said, that the Offices of most of the Bishopricks in England are and have been constantly fo granted.

### (L) Of the Execution of an Office by Deputy; and therein of Superiors being answerable for their Deputies.

S to the Execution of an Office by (b) Deputy, we must observe, (b) A Deputy, We must observe, ty is said to A that there are some Offices which in their Nature and Constitution be one who occupieth in Right of an other, and fuch a Power annexed to the Grant or Institution may be so exercised, for whom re- tho' without fuch an express Provision they could not.

gularly his Superior shall answer. Perk. Sett. 100 .- The Difference, says my Lord Coke, between a De-Superior shall answer. Perk. Sect. 100.— The Difference, says my Lord Coke, between a Deputy and an Assignee is, that an Assignee is a Person who has an Estate or Interest in the Office it self, and does Things in his own Name, for which his Grantor shall not answer, unless in some special Cases; but a Deputy has not any Estate or Interest in the Office, but is as a Servant to the Officer, and does every Thing in the Name of the Officer, and nothing in his own Name, and for whom the Grantor shall answer. 9 Co. 49.——But per Holt C. J. it is said, that a Deputy cannot regularly have less Power than his Principal, cannot be restrained from excressing any Part of the Office by Covenant, or otherwise, must regularly act in his own Name, unless it be in ease of an Under-Sherist, who acts in the Name of the High Sherist, because the Writs are directed to him. 1 Salk. 95.

Offices of Inheritance for Years, and those which require only a Super-2 Inft. 382. intendency, and no particular Skill, may regularly be exercised by Deputy; Plure. 380 fuch as that of (c) Earl Marshal of England, Forester, Park-keeper, &c. 9 Co. 47.

Style 357
(c) The Office of High Constable of England may be exercised by Deputy. Keilw. 171. a.-Wilsbire held Lands in Heydon in Essex by Grand Serjeanty, to hold a Towel when the King should wash his Hands before Dinner the Day of the Coronation; but he having no Dignity was allowed to make a Deputy. Co Lit. 107. b. - Anne, Wife of the Earl of Pembroke, held Lands of the King to perform the Office of Napery at his Coronation; but because a Woman could not do it in Person, she was allowed to make a Deputy: So the Heir of the said Earl was by Tenure to carry the Gold Spurs before the King at his Coronation; but because he was not of Age, he was allowed to make a Deputy. Co. Lit. 107. b.

A She-

A Sheriff, tho' he is an Officer made by the King's Letters Patent, and 1 Rol. Rep. tho' it be not faid that he may execute his Office per fe vel sufficientem 274 Phelpe ver. Deputatum fuum, yet he may make a Deputy, which is the Under-Sheriff, Wirfcombe. against whom Actions may be brought by the Parties grieved.

And it is faid in general, that when an Officer hath Power to make Af- 9 Co. 49. figns, he may (a) implicitly make a Deputy.

(a) A Bishop

rion hath Power of appointing Deputies. 2 Sid. 138. The Office of Clerk of the Outlawries of the Common Pleas belongs to the Attorney General, who exerciseth it by Deputy. 4 Inft. tot.

A Judicial Officer cannot it is faid make a Deputy, unless he hath a 3 Mod. 150. Clause in his Patent to enable him; because his Judgment is relied on cited arguin Matters relating to his Office, which might be the Reason of the Making of the Grant to him; neither can a Ministerial Officer depute one in his Stead, if the Office be to be performed by him in (b) Perfon; but when nothing is required but a Superintendency in the Office, he may (b) Theremake a Deputy.

fore the Esquire of the

King's Person cannot assign his Office; for the Law supposes it to have been given him in Consideration of his Diligence, Fidelity and Skill. 11 E. 4. 1. 2 Rol. Abr. 154.—The Office of Carver being a personal Trust cannot be assigned. Dyer 7. b.

It is clear, that the Judges of Westminster-Hall, as well as all (1) others 9 E. 4 30. having Judicial Anthority, must hold their Courts in their proper Per- 31. a. fons, and cannot act by Deputy, nor any (d) way transfer their Power Ero. Title to another.

Fudges, 11. Perk. Sett.

(c) But the Judges of the Ecclesiastical Courts may all by Deputy, as the antient Custom hath been. Latch, & vide sutra Letter (D). (d) And therefore where the Council of the Marches of Wales referred a Suit to certain Persons to hear and determine it; this was held to be illegal, and a Prohibition awarded to the Court, to flay their Proceedings against the Party for refusing to obey the Order of the Referrees. 1 Rol. Abr. 382. March 102.—So Inflices of the Peace cannot delegate a certain Number of themselves, and invest them with a Power to make Rates and Orders. 6 Mod. 87.

A Coroner cannot make a Deputy, nor an Escheator; because these Lill. Reg. 446-are Judicial Offices, which they must exercise in Person; but it is said, that the King by special Commission may appoint a Deputy Escheator, to inquire by Office of the Death of a Nobleman, or, as the Book feems

to hold, of any other Person, tho' under that Degree.

It is held, that the Office of Constable being wholly Ministerial, and 1 Rol. Abr. no way Judicial, he may appoint a Deputy to execute a Warrant di- 591.

rected to him, when by Reason of Sickness, Absence or otherwise, he Crompt. 222. cannot do it himself; for the Publick Good requires, that there should 3 Bulg. 77. be always some Officer ready at Hand to execute such Warrants; and Dah. cap. 1. the too rigorous Restraint of the Service of them to the proper Of- 1 Rol. Rep. ficer could not but sometimes cause a Failure of Justice; but it is said, 274. that a Constable cannot make a Deputy, without some such special Lev 233. Cause.

March 30. 2 Keb. 309.

It feems the better Opinion, that a (e) Recorder of a Town cannot 1 Keb. 639. make a Deputy, without a special Grant or Custom for that Purpose, per Windham being a Judicial Office relating to the Administration of Justice.

Justice; & vide i Lev.

(e) A Bailiss of a Liberty may have a Deputy. Cro. Fac. 24t-2. adjudged. 76.

And therefore, where a Writ was directed to the Mayor, Alderman 1 Rol. Abr. and Recorder of Lancaster, and the Record was certified by the Mayor, 752, 754. Alderman and Deputy Recorder, without shewing that the Recorder had 203, 219. Power to make a Deputy; the Return was held naught.

It is held, that the Marshal of the King's Bench, having the Inheri- 39 H. 6. 34. tance of the Office, with Power to grant the same for Life, cannot not- 2 Rol. Abr. withstanding 154.

(a) That rei- with standing give Power to such Grantee for (a) Life to make a ther Tenant Deputy.

Tenant for Life can make a Deputy, if in the very Grant made to them there is not an expects Clause for the Execution of the Office per se vel sufficientem Deputatum saum. 3 Mod. 147.

Cro. Fliz. 187. The Office of Aulnage, or Sealing of Cloths, cannot be exercised by Watkins ver. Deputy, being an Office of Trust, unless there be a Clause in the Patent for that Purpose.

Regularly, a Deputy cannot make a Deputy, (b) because it implies 1 Salk. 95. an Affignment of his whole Power, which he cannot affign over; but if Parker ver. A be appointed Steward of a Copyhold Court, to be exercised per se Kett(h) For a De-vel deputatum fuum, and he appoints B. his Deputy, who hath long exputy being ercised the said Office, and B. authorizes C. and D. jointly and severally, only one to take a Surrender of a Copyhold Tenement from 7. S. which is done who is anby C. without reciting his Power, or any Relation had to it, the Surthorized render is good, being only a fingle Act; for the Constitution in this Limfelf, he cannot dele- Manner gives C. the Colour and Reputation of an Authority to act as a eate that authority; and Steward (c) de facto; and what he does as such is sufficient among the Teif a Deputy nants, for they have no Power to examine his Authority, nor is he to might make render them any Account of it. a Deputy, fo

fuch fecond Deputy, and so ad infinitum, which would be highly inconvenient. Lil. Reg. 446. (c) Where the Deputy of a Deputy of a Customer, fitting in the Custom House with other Officers, and acting as an Officer, his Acts were held good as an Officer de facto, tho' not de jure; and that it would be very hard to put those who have to do with Custom-House Officers, to inquire into the Legality of

their Institution. Cro. Eliz. 534.

The Chief Justice in Eyre may by the Statute of 32 H. 8. cap. 35. make his Deputy; yet all the Writs of Summons antient and late are coram the Justice itinerant aut ejus deputato.

It is faid, there cannot be an Officer without Deed, (d) nor can there a Med 147. be any Deputation of an Office without Deed, being a Matter which lies

(d) A Depu- in Grant.

office is in its own Nature grantable by Parol; and therefore the it should happen to be granted by Writing, yet since it is in it self grantable by Parol, it may be revoked by Parol. Ca. Law & Eq. 74.

But the High Sheriff may make an Under-Sheriff, or his Deputy, without Deed, for he claims no Interest in the Office, but as Servant; and therefore (e) where an Action on the Case was brought against the Deputy of a Sheriff for an Escape, who pleaded, that the Sheriff made him his Deputy to take Bail of Prisoners, and that he took Bond, &c. and shewed no Deed of Deputation; yet the Plea was held good on a Demurrer.

4 Inft. 114, 115. 2 Lev. 121. By the Statute of 2 H. 6. cap. 10. it is ordained, that all Officers made by the King's Letters Patents within the King's Courts, who have Power and Authority, by Virtue of their Offices of old Time accustomed, to appoint Clerks and Ministers within the same Courts,

6 shall be charged and sworn to appoint such Clerks and Ministers for 6 whom they will answer at their Peril.

Upon the Rule of Respondent superior, regularly all Officers shall answer for their (f) Deputies, in the same Manner as if the Act were done by themselves, unless it be in Criminal Cases; and therefore Sheriffs shall answer for the Escapes, Americaments, &c. of their Deputies, &c.

Office mil enter any Thing, he himself shall be punished, and not the Master of the Office, because

he takes a Fee for it. 1 Leon. 146. & vide Hob. 13.

If the Coroner be infufficient, the whole County who made Election 2 Inf. 466 and Choice of him shall tanquam elector & fuperior answer for him.

So the Lord of a

Franchise shall answer for a Bailist put in by him. 2 Lev. 160.

If a Person be appointed Customer, or Collector of the Customs in Dyer 238. b. a certain Port, who is impowered by the Statute I Eliz. cap. 12. to appoint a Deputy, and a Deputy so appointed by him conceals the Goods Exchequer of a Merchant, and the Customer himself being ignorant thereof returns on Oath into the Exchequer the Customs of this Port, according as Saunders to the Information of his Deputy; he shall, notwithstanding his Ignorance, answer for the Act of his Deputy, and shall forfeit treble the Value of the Merchandize, and be fined, &c. pursuant to the Statute 3 H. 6. cap 3.

If a Deputy suffers Escapes, it is a Forseiture by the Principal, unless Dyer 278. Such Deputation be made for Life, and then the Grantee for Life only Poph. 119. 2 Lev. 71.

2 Lev. 71. Raym. 216. 3 Mod. 146. 3 Lev. 288. like Point.

It is faid, that if one put in a Deputy, without any Allowance of 6 Mod. 235. Salary, he has no Remedy but by Quantum meruit, and that against his Principal.

It hath been held, That tho' a Mandamus will not lie for a Deputy, I Lev. 306. that yet it lies for him who deputes him, to have fuch his Deputy either admitted or reftored; for that otherwise he might be deprived of his 742. Went 110, Power to make a Deputy; and in this Case, on a Mandamus to restore 111. S. C. adapted a Deputy Secretary of the Courts of Marches, it was held to be no judged, begood Return, that at the Time of the Writ delivered he was not constituted Deputy, for that they might have put him out of his Place before the Writ came to them.

nothing to be pleaded to them.

# (M) Of the Forfeitures of an Office.

IT is laid down in general, that if an Officer acts contrary to the Na- 11 E. 4. 1. b. ture and Duty of his Office, or if he refuses to act at all, that in 2 Rol. Abr. these Cases the Office is forseited.

But herein it will be necessary to consider more minutely, what shall Co. Lit. 235, be said such Acts as are contrary to the Duty of his Office, and how far the same, whether they be Acts of Omission or Commission, amount to a Forseiture; wherein it hath been clearly agreed, that a (a) Gaoler rist suffer by suffering voluntary Escapes, by abusing his Prisoners, by extorting unreasonable Fees from them, or by detaining them in Gaol after they have been legally discharged and paid their just Fees, forseits his Office; for that in the Grant of every Office it is implied, that the Grantee execute it faithfully and diligently.

Co. Lit. 235, 9 Co. 50.

3 Mod. 143, (a) If a Sherrist suffer they have been legally discharged and paid their just Fees, forseits his Office, tho the Office be

for Life or in Fee. Dyer 151. b. Sir John Savage's Cafe. 2 Rol. Abr. 155. 2 Bulft. 58. 3 Mod. 146. S. P.

But it is held, that one negligent Escape is not a Forfeiture, though one voluntary one is, but that two negligent Escapes amount to a For- 2 Roll Alire feiture.

2 Vern. 173. & vide Stat. 8 & 9 W. 3. cap. 27. Tit. Gaoler, Letter (D).

There are, fays my Lord Coke, three Caufes of Forfeiture or Seizure 6 Co. 50. Co Lit. 233 b. of Offices by Matter in Deed. 1st, By Abuser. 2dly, Non-user. 3dly, Refusal. 1st, By Abuser; as by a Marshal or other Gaoler's permitting Non atten-Escapes. 2d/y, By Non-user; in which there is this Difference, when dance or Non user of the Office concerns the Administration of Justice or the Commonwealth, an Office is a the Officer ex officio ought to attend without any Demand or Request, there by Non-user or Non-attendance the Office is forfeited; but vide 2 Rol. where an Officer is not obliged to attend, but upon Demand or Re-Abr. 155. quest made by him whose Officer he is, there without such Demand or Kelav. 194. Request, there can be no Forseiture; and herein also my Lord Coke in Dyer 151. 3 Mod. 146. another Place takes the following Diversity, viz. that Non-user of it 4 Mod. 29. That Nonfelf, without some special Damage, is no Forfeiture of private Offices, but that it is otherwise of a publick one, which concerns the Adminiattendance fration of Justice. 3dly, As to Refusal, he says, that in all Cases Cause of the where an Officer is bound upon Request to exercise his Office, if he rhe Office of does not do it upon Request, he forfeits it; as if the Steward of a Manor be requested by the Lord to hold a Court, if he does not do it, Recorder. 1 Salk. 435. it is a Forfeiture. \_Where to

a Scire facias to repeal the Patent of a Searcher of a Port for Non-attendance, the Officer pleaded that he was fick, and that he was confined in Prison at the King's Suit, zide Cro. Car. 491, 492.

2 Inft. 43.

The King granted to the Abbot of St. Alban to have a Gaol, and to have a Gaol-delivery, and divers Perfons were committed to that Gaol for Felony; and because that the Abbot would not be at the Expence of making Deliverance, but had detained Persons in Prison a long Time, it was resolved, that the Abbot had for that Cause forseited his Franchise, and that the same might be seised into the King's Hands.

Cro. Car. 491. Rocks adjudged. 3 Alod. 146. S. C. eited.

If a Scire facias be brought to repeal the Patent of a Searcher of the The King ver. Customs in a Port-Town for Non-attendance; and upon Evidence it appears, that such a Ship was imported and unladen, and others also were exported beyond Seas, not being fearced, and that when thefe Ships were so imported or exported, neither the Searcher himself, nor any of his Deputies were there, tho' it does not appear to be by Negligence or voluntarily, yet this voluntary Absence and Neglect, so as neither himself nor Servants were there to search, is not only Crassa negligentia, but a voluntary Permission and Forseiture.

So if a Gaoler should leave his Prison Doors unlock'd, and the Pri-Cro. Car. 492. per Cur'. foners escape, it is not only a negligent but a voluntary Escape.

Co. Lit. 233.b. S Co. 44. Cro. Car. 556. Hard. 11.

If Conditions in Law, which are annexed to Offices, be not observed and fulfilled, the Office is lost for ever, for these Conditions are as ftrong and binding as express Conditions; and therefore if the Office of Forester, &c. descend to an Infant or Feme Covert, (where by Law they may so descend) and these are not exercised by sufficient Deputies, they become forfeited.

If a Parker or a Forester cut a Tree, not for Browse or Reparations, 9 Co. 50. A. Cro. Eliz. 385. this is a Forfeiture in Law of his Office; because he breaks the Condi-Poph. 117. Game, and not do any Thing that may impair or destroy them; but Cont. Mloor other Books hold, that the cutting down of Trees is no Forfeiture, if he 2 Mod. 121. leaves sufficient for Browse and Shade for the Deer, and to cover them.

4 Mod 29. arguendo.

Infufficiency is an original Incapacity which creates the Forfeiture of an Office; so if a Superior puts in a Deputy into an Office, which may be exercised by Deputy, who is ignorant and unskilful, this is a Forseiture of the Office.

If the King grants an Office in any of the Courts at Westminster, the 4 Mod. 30. Judges may remove fuch an Officer for Infufficiency, and are the proper -Where an Persons to judge of his Abilities. Officer may

be removed, but cannot be abridged of his Fee. 1 R I. Rep. S2-3.

A Phi-

A Philizer of C. B. being absent two Years, and having farmed out Dyer 114 b. his Office from Year to Year, without the Licence of the Court, was the difference of the Court, and the there was no Record made of the Difference of the Court, was the Difference of the Difference fpoken openly in Court; and tho' there was no Record made of the Difcharge, nor no legal Summons for him to answer to any Accusation, yet the Discharge was held good.

An Officer was turned out, because that he spoliavit quadam records 1 Keb. 597. contra officii sui delitum; and it was objected, 1%, That it was not cer-Pilkington's tain enough, because not shewn what Records; to which the Court an-Clerk of the fwered, that it would be prolix, and then he having spoiled the Records, Peace indictthey are not may be to be had. 2d Objection, That it may be he did it ed and reby Chance, and not wilfully; to which the Court faid, that the Con-moved for clusion contra officii sui debitum included that.

not delivering the Re-

cords to the new Cuftos Rotulorum. 4 Mod. 31, 32.

If A. hath the Custody of a Castle with all Profits, &c. granted to Cro. Jac. 17, him for Life, of which the Inheritance hath been granted to B. and A. 18. refuses B. to let him inhabit in the House, this is a Forfeiture in A.

If Tenant in Tail of an Office commit a Forfeiture, this shall bind the Issue, by Force of the Condition tacitly annexed by Law to such Estate; 11 E. 4. I. Levis on Officer for Life commits a Forsitive which fall as a few states of the condition tacitly annexed by Law to such Estate; 11 E. 4. I. 20 E. 4. 56. but if an Officer for Life commit a Forseiture, this shall not affect him 39 H. 6. 32. who hath the Inheritance.

22 *A∬*. 34∙ 8 H. 4. 18.

2 H. 7. 11. 14 H. 7. 1. 2 Rol. Abr. 155. 7 Co. 34. Poph. 119 2 Lev. 71. Raym. 216. 3 Lev. 288. 3 Mod. 146. Skin. 114. 2 Vern. 173. 2 Vent. 189, 269. Bridgm. 27.

The Arch-bishop of Canterbury granted the Office of Guardian and Plow. 378. Keeper of Alyngton Park to Sir Edward Nevil, and to Henry one of his Sir Henry Ne-Sons, with a certain Fee during their Lives, and the longest Liver of vil's Cale. them, which was confirmed by the Prior of Christ's Church, Canterbury, to be exercised by them or their sufficient Deputy, for whom they shall answer; Sir Edward was attainted; and the Question was, if the King should have the Office by the Attainder; and it was resolved, that being only an Office of Skill and Confidence, the same was not forfeited to the King, but that the Survivor should hold the same with the Profits incident thereto.

But if the King grants an Office which concerns Trust and Diligence Plow. 180. to two, and one of them is attainted, the entire Office is forfeited to the King; for he cannot make one to occupy in Common with another.

Where-ever an Officer who holds his Office by Patent commits a For- But for this, feiture, he cannot regularly be turned out without a Scire facias, nor can vide Dyer 155, he be faid to be compleatly ousted or discharged without a Writ of Dis- 198, 211. the be faid to be compleatly ounted or discharged without a Will of Dir- 9 Co. 98. charge; for his Right appearing of Record, the same must be deseated Co. Lit. 233. by Matter of as high a Nature.

Cro. Car. 60,

1 Sid. S1, 134. S Co. 44. b. 1 Rol. Abr. 580. 3 Mod. 335. 3 Lev. 288.

# (N) Where for Corruption and oppressive Proeccdings Officers are punishable; and therein of Bzibery and Extortion.

THERE can be no Doubt but that all Officers, whether fuch by 5 Mod. 96. the Common Law or made pursuant to Statute, are punishable for That if a Man be made Corruption and oppressive Proceedings, according to the Nature and Hcian Officer by Act of Parnousness of the Offence, either by Indichment, Action at the liament, and Suit of the Party injured, Loss of their Offices, &c.

mishehave himself in his Office, he is indicable for it at Common Law, as is every Publick Officer, who misbehaves himself in his Office. 6 Med. 96.

Dyer 21S. Palm. 564. 1 Salk. 210.

But besides the Punishment by Indichment, Information, &c. all Courts of Record have a discretionary Power over their own Officers, and are to fee that no Abuses are committed by them, which may bring Difgrace on the Court themselves; also the Court of King's Bench, by the Plenitude of its Power, exercifes a Superintendency over all inferior Courts, and may grant an Attachment against the Judges of such Courts for oppressive, unjust, or irregular Tractice, contrary to the obvious Rules of natural Justice.

Co Lit. 368.b. 2 Inft. 209. 10 Co. 102. 2 Rol. Abr. 32, 57-448. Raym. 315.

As to Extortion by Officers, it is fo odious, (being more heinous, as my Lord Coke fays, than Robbery, as it is usually attended with the aggravating Sin of Perjury,) that it is punishable at Common Law by Fine and Imprisonment, and also by a Removal from the Office in the Exčro. Car. 438, ecution whereof it was committed; and is defined to be the Taking of Money by any Officer by Colour of his Office, either where none at all is due, or not fo much is due, or where it is not yet due.

21 H 7. 17. Co. Lit. 368.

But the stated and known Fees allowed by the Courts of Justice to their respective Officers, for their Labour and Trouble, are not restrained by the Common Law, or by the Statute of Westm. 1. and therefore fuch Fees may be legally demanded and infifted upon, without any Danger of Extortion.

2 Inst 210. 3 Inst. 149. Co. Lit. 368.

Alfo it feems, that an Officer, who takes a Reward which is voluntarily given to him, and which has been usual in certain Cases for the more diligent or expeditious Performance of his Duty, cannot be faid to be guilty of Extortion; for without fuch a Premium it would be impossible in many Cases to have the Laws executed with Vigour and Succefs.

But it has been always held, that a Promife to pay an Officer Roll Ren. Money for the doing of a Thing which the Law will not fuffer him 3 Rol. Rep. to take any Thing for, is meerly void, however freely and voluntarily 313. Noy 76. 1 Jon. 65. it may appear to have been made.

Cro. Eliz. 654. Moor 468. Cro. Fac. 103.

If an Indictment of Extortion charges J. S. with the Taking of 50 s. as I Sid. QL. The King ver. Bailiff of a Hundred Colore officii, without (a) shewing for what he took (a) That an it, this is good at least after Verdict, for perhaps he might claim it Information generally as being due to him as Bailiff, in which Case the Taking could for Extornot be otherwise expressed.

tion must set forth the Time on which the Offence was committed. 4 Med. 101, 103 .- That the Court of King's Bench will not quash an Indiament for Extortion or Opprellion, the erroneous, but will oblige the Party to plead or demur to it. 5 M.d. 13.

As to Bribery, it is faid in a large Sense to be the receiving or offering of Fortescine de any undue Reward by or to any Person whatsoever, whose ordinary Profession or Business relates to the Administration of Publick Justice, in order to incline him to do a Thing against the known Rules of Ho-Hob. 9. nesty and Integrity; but that in a strict Sense it signifies the taking of Cro. Fac. 65. any Thing valuable by one in a Judicial Place, of any one who have to do before him any way, for doing his Office, or by Colour of his Office, but of the King only; also it signifies the Taking or Giving a Reward for Offices of a publick Nature, which manifestly tending to discourage Men, and to introduce all Kinds of Corruption, is highly punishable by the Common Law.

And these several Offences are so odious in the Eye of the Law, 3 Inf. 145, that they are punishable not only with the Forseiture of the Offender's Leon. 291 Office of Justice, but also with Fine and Imprisonment; also it is faid, Reflow. Coltant at Common Law Bribery in a Judge, in relation to a Cause de-Icélions, Part i pending before him, was looked upon as an Offence of so heinous a fol. 31. Nature, that it was sometimes punished as High Treason before the Sta-

Also it is said in general, that all wilful Breaches of the Duty of an Co Lib 233, Office are Forfeitures of it, and also punishable by Fine, &c. for since 234-cery Office is intitled, not for the Sake of the Officer, but for the Good of some other, nothing can be more just than that he, who either neglects or resules to answer the End for which his Office was ordained; should give way to others who are both able and willing to take Care of it, and that he should be punished for his Neglect or oppressive Execution; but the particular Instances wherein a Man may be said to act contrary to the Duty of his Office, tho' various, are yet so generally obvious, that it seems needless to endeavour to enumerate them.

# Dutlawzy.

UTLAWRY is a Punishment inflicted on a Person for a Co. Lit. 128.

Contempt and Contumacy, in refusing to be amenable to and Doot. & Sind: abide by the Justice of that Court which hath lawful Authority Dial. 2. cap. 30 to call him before them; and as this is a Crime of the highest 802.

Nature, being an Act of Rebellion against that State or Community of which he is a Member, so doth it subject the Party to divers Forseitures and Disabilities; for hereby he loseth his Liberam legem, is out of the King's Protection, &c.

And as to Forfeitures for refusing to appear, herein the Law distin- 6.7.11.128. guishes between Outlawries in Capital Cases and those of an inferior 3 Infl. 161. Nature; for as to Outlawries in Treason and Felony, the Law interprets the Party's Absence a sufficient Evidence of his Guilt, and without requiring surther Proof or Satisfaction accounts him guilty of the Fact, on which ensues Corruption of Blood, and Forseiture of his whole Estate

Real and Personal.

Vol. III.

Plow. 511. 9 H. 6. 20. b. Show. Parl. Ca. 73.

But Outlawry in leffer Crimes, or in personal Actions, does not occafion the Party to be looked upon as guilty of the Fact, nor does it occasion an entire Forseiture of his real Estate, but yet is very satal and penal in its Consequences; for hereby he is restrained of his Liberty, if he can be found, forfeits his Goods and Chattels and the Profits of his Lands, while the Outlawry remains in Force.

Co. Lit. 128.b.

Also it is faid, that antiently Outlawry was looked upon as so horrid a Crime, that any one might as lawfully kill a Person outlawed as he might a Wolf, or other noxious Animal; but that the Law herein was charged in Ed. III.'s Time, which provides, that a Person outlawed shall be put to Death by the Sheriff only, having lawful Authority for that Purpole.

2 Hile's Hift. P. C. 202. 4 Co. 91. Moer 606. 668. Yelv. 28.

Also from the Heinousness of the Offence the Sheriff may, on a Capias utlagatum, break open the House of the Person outlawed; for it would be unreasonable, that this Privilege or Protestion, allowed of in Cro. Eliz. 908. other Cases, should be extended to him who is declared a Contemner and Violator of the Law; and therefore the Seifing him as an Outlaw, doth imply the Liberty of entring and feifing him wherefoever he has hid.

(ro Car. 537. 4 Leon. 41. 2 fon. 233.

(a) That no be outlawed n si per legem 2 Inft. 47.

And as the Punishment of Outlawry is of a very severe Nature, so the Person is to Law hath provided and taken great (a) Care, that no Person should be outlawed without due Notice and apparent Contempt to the Court; as will appear under the following Heads:

- That three Capias's are required, and the Party to be called in five County-Courts, a Month be-

tween every Court. Bratt. Lib. 3. tratt. 2. cap. 11.

(A) In what Cales Process of Dutlawry lies.

(B) By what Jurisdiction such Processes are to issue. (C) Against whom Process of Dutlawry may be as warded: And herein,

1. Whether it may be awarded against a Peer.

2. Whether Process of Outlawry may be awarded against an Infant.

3. Of awarding Process of Outlawry against a Feme Sole or Covert, and the Proceedings thereon.

4. Of awarding Process of Outlawry against several Defen-

dants, and the Proceedings thereon.

5. Of awarding Process of Outlawry against Principal and Accellary.

#### (D) That Soffeitures and Disabilities an Dutlawzy subjects the Party to: And herein,

1. Where it is of the same Effect with a Sentence or Judg-

- 2. Of the Forfeiture as to Lands, Goods, &c. and therein of the Difference between Outlawries in Criminal and Civil Cases, and of the King's and Party's Interest at whose Suit the Outlawry was had: And herein,
  - 1. Of the Difference between a Forfeiture in a Criminal and Civil Cafe.

2. What

- 2. What Things are forfeited by the Outlawry.
- 3. To what Time the Forfeiture shall relate. 4. Of the King's and Party's Interest at whose Suit the Outlawry was had, in the Estate and Essects of the Party outlawed, and their Remedies for the same.
- 3. Of the Party's Difability to bring any Action.
- 4. What further Difabilities Outlawry subjects the Party to.
- (E) Of the Regularity of the Proceedings on an Outlawry, and for what Errors it may be reversed: And herein,
  - 1. Where, for want of fuch Process as required by Law, the Outlawry may be reverfed.

2. Where for want of Form in fuch Processes the Outlawry may be reverted.

3. Where for Variance in fuch Processes the Outlawry may be reverfed.

4. Where for a defective Execution and Return the Outlawry may be reversed: And herein,

1. To whom fuch Process is to issue and be executed.

- 2. To what Place the Process is to issue; and therein of the Quinto exactus, and Proclamations on an Outlawry. 3. What shall be said a good Execution and Return.
- (F) Of the Manner of reverling an Outlawry; and therein of the Difference between Errors in Kack and in Law.
- (G) What the Party must do in order to intitle him to a Reversal: And herein,
  - 1. Of appearing in Person or by Attorney.

- Of giving Bail.
   Of fuing out a Scire facias.
- (H) The Effects and Consequences of a Reversal: And herein,
  - 1. Where the Proceedings on the Reversal are in the same Plight as if an Outlawry had been.
  - 2. To what the Party shall be restored on Reversal of the Outlawry.

# (A) In what Cales Process of Dutlawry lies.

TT feems, that originally Process of Outlawry only lay in Treason and Bro. Title I Felony, and was afterwards extended to Trespasses of an enormous Outlantry, 26, Nature; and herein it is laid down by Serjeant (a) Hawkins, that Pro-36, 59. (b. Lit. 128 b. cefs of Outlawry at this Day lies in all Appeals, and in all Indictments of Treason or Felony, and in all Indictments of Trespass vi & armis, Dyer 213, and on all Returns of Rescous, and as some say, in all Indictments of (a) 2 Hawk. P.C. 302,303. Conspiracy or Deceit, or other Crimes of a higher Nature than Trespass vi & armis; but it lies not in an Action, nor, as some say, on an Inand feveral Authorities dichment on a (b) Statute, unless it be given by such Statute, either ex-(h) Does not pressly, as in the Case of Pr.cmunire, or impliedly, as in Cases made Trealie on an In. fon or Felony by Statute, or where a Recovery is given by an Action in distinent on which such Process lay before, as in the Case of a (c) Forcible Entry. the Statures

against Fercstalling. 21 Ed. 4. 11. b. 2 Hale's Hist. P. C. 194. (c) On a Conviction by Justices on View of a Forcible Entry Process of Outlawry lies. 1 Keb. 563.

In an Affise a Capias pro fine lies, and upon that Process of Outlawry, if the Affise be found with Force, but being a mixed Action, as favour(d) But a Preing of the Realty, it is out of the Statute of Additions, 1 H. 5. cap. 5.
tentment is which extends only to Personal Actions, Appeals and (d) Indictments.
the same with an Indictment, on which Process of Outlawry lies. 2 Leon. 200.

So Process of Outlawry lies in Replevin, and is given by the Statute 25 E. 3. cap. 17. which gives the Capias in this Manner; when on the Pluries replegiari facias the Sheriff returns Averia elongata, then a Capias in Withernam issues, and on that's being returned Nulla bona, a Capias issues, and fo to Outlawry; but it does not lie on the original Writ of Replevin, which is Vicountiel and determined; and therefore as no Addition is required in such original Writ, so neither ought there to be any in the second Writ; for where a Writ or Process is founded on a former, it must pursue the former, and cannot vary from it.

By the Common Law, in all Actions of Trespass Quare vi & armis, and in which there is a Fine to the King, a Capias was the Process; and herein Process of Outlawry lay by the Common Law.

10 Co. 72. 2 Rol. Abr. So5.

Co. Let. 128 b.

But in Account, Debt, (e) Detinue, Annuity, Covenant, and fuch

3 Co 12.

2 Engl. 143.

Cro. fac. 222,

Felv. 158.

But in Account, Debt, (e) Detinue, Annuity, Covenant, and fuch

Actions as are grounded upon Negligence or Laches merely, no Capias
lay at Common Law, but only Summons and Diffress infinite, and therefore the Capias and Outlawry in these Actions were introduced by

divers Acts of Parliament.

Raym. 128. 1 Keb 890, 908. 1 Sid. 248, 258. (e) Whether Process of Outlawry lies in a Writ of Detinue of Charters. Dyer 223. a. dubitatur.

By the Statute of Marlebridge, cap. 23. the Writ of Monstravit de compoto was given, where before the Process in Account was Summons, Attachment and Distress infinite; and by Westm. 2. cap. 11. Process of Outlawry is given in Account.

By the 25 E. 3. cap. 17. it is accorded, that such Process shall be made in a Writ of Debt and Detinue of Chattels, and taking of Beasts, by Writ of Capias, and by Process of Exigent, by the Sheriff's Return, as is used in a Writ of Account.

And

And by the 19 H. 7. cap. 9. reciting, 'That for as much as before this Time there hath been great Delays in Actions of the Case that have been fued as well before the King in his Bench, as in the Court of his Common Bench, by Reason of which Delays many Persons have been put from their Remedy; it is therefore ordained, enacted and established, that like Process be had hereafter in Actions upon the Cafe as well fued and hanging, as to be fued in any of the faid Courts, s as in Actions of Trespass or Debt.

But it hath been adjudged, that Process of Outlawry lies in no Case 1 Leon. 329. but where a Capias lies; and that therefore where the Proceeding is 2 Roll. Abr. 76. by Bill and not by Original, as there can be no Capias, fo there can be 1 Sid 159no Process of Outlawry, as in a Bill of Privilege by or against an At-

torney.

#### (B) By What Jurisdiction such Processes are to issue.

TT is clear, that the Courts at Westminster may issue Process of Out- 2 Hale's Hist, I lawry, and that the Court of King's Bench, either upon an Indict- P. C. 198. ment originally taken there or removed thither by Certiorari, may iffue Process of Capias and Exigent into any County of England, upon a Non est inventus returned by the Sheriff of the County where he is in-

dicted, and a Testatum that he is in some other County.

Also Justices of Oyer and Terminer may issue a Capias or Exigent, and 2 Hale's Hist. fo proceed to the Outlawry of any Person indicted before them, di- P. C. 31, 199 rected to the Sheriff of the same County where they held their Session at Common Law; and by the Statute of 5 E. 3. cap. 11. they may issue Process of Capias and Exigent to all the Counties of England, against Persons indicted or outlawed of Felony before

But Justices of Gaol-Delivery regularly cannot issue a Capias or Exi- 2 Hale's Hift. gent; because their Commission is to deliver the Gaol de prisonibus in en P. C. 199. existentibus, fo that those whom they have to do with are always intend-

ed in Custody already.

Inflices of the Peace may make out Process of Outlawry upon (a) In- 2 Hale's Hift. dictments taken before themselves, or upon Indictments taken before 199-the Sheriff, and returned to the Justices of the Peace, by the Statute of the Peace of 1 E. 4. cap. 1. but the Power of the Sheriff, to make any Process in their Sesupon Indictments taken before him, is taken away by that Statute. fions may proceed to

Outlawry in Cases of Indiaments found before them, and that by the Common Law; and in Cases of Popular Actions may proceed to Outlawry by the Statute of 21 Fac. 1. cap 4 2 Hale's Hist. P. C. 52.

But they cannot issue a Capias utlagatum, but must return the Record of the Outlawry into the King's Bench, and there Process of Capias utlagatum shall issue. Dalt. 406. 2 Hale's Hist. P. C. 52.

It is made a Quare by Hale, whether a Coroner can by Law make 2 Hale's Hift. out Process of Outlawry against a Man indicted by Inquisition before P. C. 199. –Per Havvhim.

roner may award Process' till the Exigent, on a Bill of Appeal before him; and that by the better Opinion, such Process shall be awarded by him only, and not by him and the Sherist jointly, and that he may preceed thereon to Outlawry; but that since Maona Charta, cap 17. by which it is enasted, That no Sherff, Censtable, Corner, or other Bailest of the King, shall hold Pleas of the Crown, he cannot proceed to the Trial of the Appellee. 2 Hawk. P. C. 51. and several Authorities there eited.

It hath been held, that the the Process in Inferior Courts be a Capias, Yelv. 158. Cro. Fac. 222, that yet they cannot proceed to outlaw the Party.

Raym. 128. 1 Sid. 248, 259. 1 Keb. 890, 908.

2 Hale's Hig. The Process to the Outlawry, viz. the Capias and Exigent, must be P C. 199.
(a) Where the King's Name, and under the Judicial Seal of the King appointed to that Court that issues the Process, and with the (a) Teste of the esse Edmundo Chief Justice or Chief Judge of that Court or Sessions. Anderson,

without a T, and for this Error of Outlawry was reversed; for the Teste is the Warrant of the Writ,

as it is of all Judicial Writs. Cro. Eliz. 592. Grondy ver. Ifcham.

### (C) Against whom Process of Dutlawry may be awarded: And herein,

#### 1. Whether it may be awarded against a peer.

IF a Nobleman, or Peer of the Realm, be indicted and cannot be found, Process of Outlawry shall be awarded against him, and he shall be 2 Inft. 49. 3 Inft. 31. Staunf. 130. 2 Hawk. P. C. outlawed per judicium Coronatorem.

2 Hale's Hist. But in Civil Actions between Party and Party, regularly a Capias or P.C 199,200. Exigent lies not against a Lord of Parliament of England, whether Se-Cro Eliz. 170, cular or (b) Ecclefiastical; yet in case of an Indictment for Treason or Felony, yea or but for a Trespass vi & armis, as an Assault or Riot, Pro-5 Co. 54. cess of Outlawry shall issue against a Peer of the Realm, for the Suit is I Rol. Abr. for the King, and the Offence is a Contempt against him; and therefore, 220. (b) That an if a Rescue be returned against a Peer, or if a Peer be convict of a Dis-Addition or Prior ought feisin with Force, or denies his Deed, and it be found against him, a not to be out. Capias pro fine and Exigent shall issue, for the King is to have a Fine; lawed 3 E 3 and the fame Reason holds upon an Indictment of Trespass or Riot, and 2 Rol. Ab. 805. much more in the Case of Felony.

#### 2. Whether Plocels of Dutlawly may be awarded against an Infant.

3 H. 5 Utlag. An Infant above the Age of fourteen may be outlawed, and the Out-11. Fitz. Title lawry is not erroneous; but an Infant under the Age of fourteen cannot Utlawry, 11. be outlawed, for if he be it is erroneous. 2 Rol. Abr.

805. Djer 104. 2 Hale's Hift. P. C. 207, 208.

But the Outlawry of fuch Infant is not void, it being of Record, but Tyer 239. a. 2 Rol. Abr. it is voidable only by Writ of Error. 805.

#### 3. Of awarding Process of Dutlawry against a Heme Sole or Covert, and the Proceedings thereon.

A Woman is faid to be waived and not outlawed; and the Reason, Co Lit. 122.b. Lit. Sect. 186. fays my Lord Coke, why the Outlawry of a Woman is legally called Waiviaria mulieris is, because Women are not sworn in Leets or Torns, as Men are, who are above the Age of twelve; and therefore, fays he, Men 2

Men are called utlagati, i. e. Extra legem positi, but Women are Waiviata, i. e. Derelicax, left out or not regarded, because they are not sworn to the Law.

Therefore, where a Capias and Exigent were awarded against three Cro. Jac. 358. Men and two Women, and the Return was Utlagati existent, where, as Middleton's Case. to the Women, it ought to have been Waiviata existunt, this was held i Rol. Rep. to be Error.

407. S. P.

1 Rol. Abr. 804. S. P.

If in an Action against Husband and Wife the Husband is outlawed, For this, vide and Wife waived, and she is taken upon the Capias utlagat, the she being to Dyer 271. b. be discharged of the Imprisonment, (because the Plaintiff cannot pro- Cro. Fac. 445. ceed against her alone) yet she still remains waived, and when her Hust- Hust. 86. band is taken he must bring her in.

In an Action for a Debt due by the Wife before Marriage, the Huf- Cro Car. 58, band was returned outlawed and the Wife waived, but before the Re-59. Smith ver. turn of the Exigent an Attorney procured for the Wife a Superfedeas, Alb & ux. furmifing, that the Wife had appeared by him as her Attorney; and Hutt. 86.S.C. on Motion that this Appearance of the Wife should be received, all the Court conceived, that if upon the Exigent the Sheriff had returned Reddidit se, or upon Pluries Capias had returned Cepi Corpus for the Wife, then her Appearance should be entered, but not by Attorney, as it is here; and the Exigent should only issue against the Husband, & idem dies should be given to the Wife; but when the Husband upon the Exigent is returned outlawed, then it shall be entered Aler sans jour for the Wife, for the Process is determined; and if he will purchase his Pardon, he shall not have any Allowance thereupon in a Scire facias, unless he appear for himself and his Wife; but if for the Husband, the Sheriff should return Cepi Corpus upon a Pluries Capias, and a Non est inventa for the Wife, yet an Exigent shall issue against both, because it must be presumed the Husband might bring in his Wife; but if upon the Exigent the Sheriff returned Reddidit se for the Husband and for the Wife, and she is waived, the Husband shall go fine die; but in this Case, because the Exigent was returned against both to be outlawed, the Supersedeas Supposing the Appearance of the Wife is meerly idle and void; whereupon it was disallowed, and the Exigent appointed to be filed against both

#### 4. Of awarding Process of Outlawry against several Defendants, and the Ploceedings thereon.

If two are fued in a joint Action and neither of them will appear, Cro Eliz. 648. Beverly ver. Process of Outlawry must be taken out against both. Beverly.

If an Exigent be awarded against two, and the Return is primo exacti 2 Rol. Abr. fuerunt & non comparuerunt, without faying, nec corum aliquis comparuit, 802. Taverit is erroneous.

ner's Cate. 2 Hale's Hift.

P. C. 204 S. C. cited and S. P. faid to have been often adjudged. — Cro. Jac. 358. S. P. adjudged, and faid to be manifest Error. 3 Mod. 89, 90. S. P. adjudged. 1 Rol. Rep. 406. Palm. 388. S. P. adjudged.

If two in a Writ of Account are adjudged to account, and one is 41 E. 3. 3. after (a) outlawed in the Suit, and the other appears, he shall account 1 Rol. Abr. alone. I Brownl. 25.

(a) But if fined by Bill upon which no Outlawry can be, what Proceedings shall be, Quare; & vide I Sid. 159. I Keb. 577.

41 E. 3. 13. b. 1 Rol. Abr. 127. & vide Moor ISS. 2 Leon. 76.

When two are adjudged to account, and one is outlawed and accounts, if he discharges himself upon the Account, this shall be a Discharge to the other, when he fues a Scire facias upon a Charter of Pardon; and if he be charged by the Account, this shall be a Charge upon

the other, because they were adjudged to account jointly.

Dyer 239. Pl. Hawtry ver. Anger. N. Bendl. Mocr 74 pl. 203. and ed.

x Sid. 173.

1 Keb 642.

Barnard.

If in Debt upon an Obligation against B. and C. Sons and Heirs of the Obligor, and against D. the Daughter and Heir of A. who was another of the Sons and Heirs of the Obligor in Gavelkind, Process is continued till the Uncles are outlawed and the Niece waived, and after the 148 pl. 205. Uncles are pardoned, and bring a Scire Facias against the Plaintiff, who thereupon declares against them fimul eum the Niece; and the Uncles plead, their Niece is but of the Age of feven, unde non intendunt quod S. C. adjudg. durante minori atate sua they ought to answer, &c. yet the Parol shall not demur; for the Niece is out of Court, and quoad her the Original is determined, and at her full Age no Re-fummons could be fued against her, but the Uncles only, because she never appeared in Court.

An Action of Trespass was brought against two, one was outlawed, after the Entry of the Writ it was entered, & sciendum est quod prædict'?
J. S. (one of the Desendants) Utlagat' est, and then counts against one S. C. Guy ver. of them; and on Motion in Arrest of Judgment, the Court held the Declaration naught, and that the Course of pleading in such Cases, after the Entry of the Writ, was to say, & quod prædict' J. S. utlagat' est in Præc' illo, and that the last Words are essential, because that he might be

outlawed in another Writ, and not in this.

#### 5. De awarding Process of Duclawer against Principal and Accessary.

Herein we must first take Notice, that by the Statute of Westm. 1. eap. 14. it is recited, 'That it had been used in some Counties to outlaw Persons being appealed of Commandment, Force, Aid or Receipts within the same Time that he which is appealed for the Deed is outclawed; and thereupon it is provided, that none be outlawed upon Ape peal of Commandment, Force, Aid or Receipt, unless he that is ape pealed of the Deed be attainted, so that one like Law be used therein thro' the Realm; nevertheless, he that will so appeal, shall not by reason of this intermit or leave off to commence his Appeal at the e next County against them, no more than against their Principals which he appealed of the Deed, but their Exigent shall remain until such as be appealed of the Deed be attainted of Outlawry, or otherwise.

In the Construction of this Statute, the following Particulars are laid

down by Serjeant Hawkins as most remarkable.

2 Infl. 183. 306.

If, That it feems agreed, that it extends as well to Indictments as to 2 Hauk P.C. Appeals, not only because the Word Appeal in the Statute may in a large Sense be taken for any Accusation in general; but because Indictments are certainly as much within the Reason of the Statute as Appeals; and the Common Law, for the fettling whereof this Statute was made, did not make any Distinction in this Respect between Appeals and Indictments.

2 Hawk P. C. F. O. 200.

adly, That it feems to be agreed, that where-ever fome of the Defen-2 Hile's Hift. dants are expresly charged as Principals, and others as Accessaries, before the Award of this Exigent, the Outlawry thereon of those charged as Accessaries cannot but be reversible, because it appears upon the Record that the Exigent iffued contrary to the Direction of the Statute; but if several be outlawed on a Writ of Appeal, which chargeth them all alike without any Diffinction, there can be no Advantage taken of the

the Appellant's not having pursued the Statute, since it appears not but that he might have charged them all as Frincipals.

3dly, That it is ftrongly holden, that if an Appellant take out the 2 Hawk P C Exigent at the same Time against all the Defendants, he must, when 306, 82 vide they appear, count against them all as Principals, and shall be concluded from charging any of them as Accessories, because he has taken out such Process as is erroneous where all are not Principals; but he makes a Doubt, whether this be Law at this Day, since all Errors, as the Law seems now to be holden, are salved by Appearance.

4thly, That it feems the better Opinion, that where there are more 2 Hawk. P. C. than one Principal, the Exigent shall not issue till all of them are ar- 306 raigned; and herein it is said by Hale, that if A. and B. be indicted as Principals in Felony, and C. as Accessary to them both, the Exigent against the Accessary shall stay till both be attained by Outlawry or Plea; for that it is said, if one be acquitted, the Accessary is discharged, because indicted as Accessary to both, and therefore shall not be put to answer till both be attaint; but hereof he adds a Dubitatur, because tho' C. be Accessary to both, he might have been indicted as Accessary to one, because the Felonies are in Law several; but if he be indicted as Accessary to both, he must be proved so.

In Treason all are Principals; and therefore Process of Outlawry may \* Hale's Hifts, go against him that receives, at the same Time as against him that did  $P_{\rm i}$  C. 238.

the Fact.

# (D) What Forscitures and Disabilities an Dutlawry subjects the Party to: And herein,

1. Where it is of the same Effect with a Sentence or Judgment.

If a Man be outlawed of Treason or Felony, tho' there be no other 2 Hale's Hist.

Judgment (a) but Utlagatus est per judicium coronatorem, yet it is of P. C. 399.

it self an Attainder, and subjects the Party to such an Award thereupon to be made by the Court where he is brought, as is suitable to pear by the the Offence for which he is indicted and outlawed.

Sherist's Return of the

Exigent, or by the Coroner's Return of a Certiorari to them directed, to certify whether the Party were outlawed or not, the Party is as much attainted, and shall forfeit and lose as much, as if Sentence had been given against him upon Verdict or Confession. 2 Hawk P. C. 446 7, & vide 2 Hale's Hist. 205-6. — That those Malesactors, who wilfully fly from Justice, add a new Crime to their former Offence, and therefore ought to have no Benefit of the Law. 3 Mod. 72.

But if such Outlawry appear to the Court to be erroneous, whereof 2 Hawk P.C. any one as Amicus curi.e may inform them, the Party shall have Coun-447-fel assigned him to take Advantage of the Error; but if he will neither bring a Writ of Error, nor plead in convenient Time, and the Outlawry be voidable only, and not void, the proper Execution shall be awarded against him, but no Sentence pronounced; because the Outlawry is a Judgment, and no Man shall have two Judgments for one Offence.

And herein it is faid by Hale, that tho' the Court ex officio is to prefix <sup>2</sup> Hale's Histore Party a Day to purchase a Writ of Error, and in the mean Time P. C. 408. to respite Execution; yet that must be on his alledging Error in Fact, or Error in Law upon the Outlawry; for if the Court be satisfied that Vol. III.

9 F

it

it is merely a Pretence, they may chuse whether they will allow him a Day to fue forth a Writ of Error, but may award Execution prefently.

2 Hawk P. C. Hale's Hift. P. C 521.

But tho' an Outlawry be an Attainder, and equal to a Conviction or Sentence by Verdict or Confession, yet it does not subject the Party to any severer Punishment than the Crime does for which the Outlawry 2 Hale's Hift. was pronounced; and therefore, if it be in such a Crime for which Clergy is allowable, the Party outlawed shall be allowed his Clergy in the fame Manner as he who is convicted by Verdict or Confession.

2 Salk 494. The King ver. Tippin.

One was outlawed upon an Information for feducing a young Gentleman to marry a young Woman of a lewd Character, and fined 5000 l. and it was moved in Behalf of the Defendant, that he could not be fined upon the Outlawry; because in Misdemeanors the Outlawry does not enure as a Conviction for the Offence, as it does in Cases of Treafon and Felony, but as a Conviction for the Contempt in not answering, which Contempt is punished by the Forfeiture of his Goods and Chattels; and if he might be fined now, he must be fined again upon the principal Judgment; and the first was held to be irregular; and that the Outlawry in these Cases is not a Conviction, as appears by Fleta, Quamvis quis pro contumacia & fuga utlagetur non propter hoc convictus est de fasto principali.

- 2. Df the forfeiture as to Lands, Goods, &c. and therein of the Wifference between Dutlawites in Criminal and Civil Cases, and of the King's and Party's Interest at whose Suit the Outlawly was had: And herein,
- 1. Of the Difference between a forfeiture in a Criminal and Civil Case.

9 H. 6. 20. Staunf. Pre. Co. Lit. 128.

Herein we must observe, that an Outlawry of Treason or Felony is 2 Rol. Abr 85 a Conviction and Attainder of the Offence wherewith the Party is charged; and fuch Outlawry corrupts the Blood, and causes an absolute Forseiture of the Party's Estate both Real and Personal, viz. in case of 2 Hale's Hift. Outlawry of Treason his Lands are forfeited to the King of whomsoever they are held; and in case of Outlawry of Felony, to the Lord by Escheat of whom they are immediately holden.

Plow. 541. 5 Co. 110. Show. Par. C.z. 73, & vide the Authorities fupra.

Also in Civil Cases, the Retiring from the Inquiries of Justice is held fo criminal in the Eye of the Law, that it is punished with the Loss of the Offender's Goods and Chattels, and the Issues and Profits of his Real Estate; but in Outlawries in Civil Cases the King has no Estate, but only a Pernancy of the Profits; nor can he manure or fow the Ground; and his Interest continues no longer than the Party hath an Estate, and determines with the Party's Death; and being originally introduced to compel the Defendant to come in the fooner and answer the Plaintiff's Demand, may more easily be superfeded or reversed, and thereby the King's Pernancy of the Profits discharged, than an Outlawry in a Capital Cafe.

Also if a Person make Default till the Award of an Exigent, either Staunf. Pre. 47, 183. 1 Rol. Abr. upon an Appeal or Indictment of a Capital Offence, he forfeits his Goods, unless he was pardoned before the Exigent was awarded; and it is holden, that the Law is the same, as to such a Default upon an Indictment Cro. Eliz. 472. of Petit Larceny, and that where-ever Goods are fo forfeited, they are Co. Lit. 259. not faved by an Acquittal at the Trial, but by a Reversal of the Award of Cro. Fac. 464 the Exigent they are faved, whether fuch Reversal be for an Error either

vide Tit. Rents.

pay Debts to

in Fact or in Law; as for the Impr. sonment of the Defendant at the Time when the Exigent was awarded, or for a Defect in the Indictment, Appeal or Process.

#### 2. What Things are festeited by the Dutlawsp.

Outlawry in a Capital Cafe being, as has been faid, an Attainder and For which, Conviction, it is clear, that all Lands of Inheritance, as all other the Real vide Title and Personal Estate whereof the Party outlawed is seised or possessed in Forfeiture.

his own Right, are forfeited absolutely.

Also the King hath by the Common Law such a Power to require his 1 Salk 109, Subjects to answer all Demands of Law and Justice, that his not appearing 5 Mod. 114. on Process in a Civil Action, is such a Contempt, that the Party guilty is put out of the Law, forfeits his Goods and Chattels, his Leafes for Years, and his Frust in such Leases, and the Profits of his Lands of Freehold.

But Outlawry in Trespals, or any Civil Action, works no Corruption Bro. Title of Blood; and therefore if the Husband be outlawed in any such Ac-Outl. 82. of Blood; and therefore if the Husband of Outlawed in any fuel Action, the Wife shall notwithstanding have Dower, and the Issue shall in388. herit; for it is a Forfeiture of the Issues and Profits of the Lands only Co Lit. 31. a. during the Life of the Party outlawed, and fo long as the Outlawry remains unreversed; also it seems, that if the Wife herself be outlawed or waived in any fuch Action, yet her Dower is not forfeited.

It is faid to have been agreed by the whole Court, that Arrearages of Hetl. 164. Rent referved upon an Estate for Life are not forfeited by Outlawry, because they are Real, and no (a) Remedy for them but by Distress; o- (a) For this,

therwise if upon a Lease for Years.

Also it is held, that there are other Things which the Party outlawed Cro. Eliz. 851. may have, and are not forfeited to the King; and that therefore an Ex- Hutt. 53, & ecutor or Administrator cannot plead in Excuse of Assets, that his Te-vide 4 Co 93. a. stator or Intestate was outlawed, because he might have Debts (b) due 2Rol. Abr 806. upon Contract; also Goods taken for Trespass before the Outlawry, for Cro. Eliz. 203. which he may have Trespass, and recover the Value of the Goods, Debtors may which shall be Assets in his Hands.

the Executor or Administrator of a Person outlawed, and their Release shall be a good Discharge to them, the' the Executors shall be accountable to the King for them. Hutt. 54.

So if the Testator had mortgaged his Land upon Condition, that if Hutt. 53. the Mortgagee pay not at fueh a Day to him, his Executors or his Heirs, 100 l. that then it shall be lawful for him or his Heirs to re-enter, and after, but before the Day, the Testator is outlawed, and makes his Executor, and dies, and at the Day the Mortgagee pays the Money to the Executors; this is Affets, and not forfeited to the King.

If Tenant for Term of Years be outlawed, the Term is forfeited to 9 H. 6. 21, 2 Rol. Abr. the King, and he may feife it, and use it at his Pleafure. 806.

So if A. being possessed of a Lease for Years grants it over to B. in 2 Rol. Abr. Trust for himself, and afterwards is outlawed in a personal Action, this 807. Trust shall be forfeited to the King.

If Tenant at (c) Will fows the Land, and afterwards is outlawed, 9 H. 6. 21. the King shall have the Corn. 2 Rol. Abr. 806.

(c) If a Man lease at Will, and the Lessee sows the Land, and the Lessor is outlawed, the King shall not have the Corn, and can have only the Rent, for he is intitled to no more than the Leffor himself. 5 Co. 116.

If the Conuzee of a Statute-Staple take the Conuzor into Execu- 2 Rol. Abr. tion upon the Statute, and afterwards is outlawed in a personal Action, 807. the Debt shall be forfeited to the King, and the King may discharge Fines. the Conuzor out of Execution.

2 Rol. Abr. ScS.

So if there are two Conuzees of a Statute, and they take the Body of the Conuzor into Execution, and one of the Conuzees is outlawed in a personal Action, it is said to be a Forseiture of the Debt against both.

If a Man be outlawed in a Personal Action, the King shall present to his Churches, altho' he hath a Freehold or Inheritance in them.

22 Aff. 33. 2 Rol. Abr. 708 S. C.

807.

So if a Person outlawed hath an Advowson, that happens to become 2 Rol Abr. void (a) during the Time the Outlawry is in Force, fuch Avoidance is (c) If after forfeited to the King, whether the Outlawry were in a Capital Cafe, an the Outlaw-Action of Trespass, or other Personal Action.

ry the Party purchaseth any more Goods, the Property is immediately vested in the King. Carth 442.

Reverley ver. Cornavall. Cro. El.z. 44. 1 And. 148. Aloor 269. Saul 89.

If pending a Quare impedit brought by A. he is outlawed, und Judgment is given for him in the Quare impedit, and thereupon the Incumbent refigns, and takes a new Presentation from the Queen by Virtue of the Outlawry, and accordingly he is instituted and inducted, and afterwards A. reverseth the Outlawry, and brings a Scire facias to have Exe-Gouldf 103. cution of the Judgment; tho' the Prefentation was vested in the Queen, and executed before the Outlawry reversed, yet A. shall have Execution of his Judgment; for upon a Recovery in a Quare impedit, any Incumbent that cometh in Pendente placito shall be removed.

So the Trust of a Term granted by a Man for the Use of himself.

Things Personal, settled by way of Trust on the Offender, are as Hob. 214. Cro. Jac. 512. much forfeited as if he had the legal Interest, or were in Possession of them; as if a Bond be taken in another's Name, in Trust for a Person (b) And shall who is afterwards outlawed, this is forfeited in the same (b) Manner as be executed if taken in his own Name.

by an Infor-

mation in the Exchequer Chamber, or in Chancery. 1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 248.

Lane 54, 113. his Wife and Children, &c. is liable in like Manner to be forfeited, if 1 Mod. 16, fraudulently made with an Intent to avoid a subsequent Forseiture; but 38. it shall be forfeited so far only as is reserved for the Benefit of the Party 2 Keb. 564, himself, if made bona fide, whether before or after Marriage for good 608, 644, 763, 772. Confideration, without Fraud, which is to be left to a Jury on the whole 1 Lev. 279. Circumstances of the Case, and shall never be presumed by the Court, Hard. 496. where it is not expresly found. 1 And. 294. Raym. 120. 2 Rol. Abr. 34. 1 Rol. Abr. 343. March 45, 88. 1 Sid. 260. 1 Keb. 909.

3 Co. 82. Pawnce foot ver. Blunt, cired in 295 a.

So where upon an Indistment of Recufancy the Party, intending to go beyond Sea, made a Deed of Gift of all his Goods and Chattels upon fome feigned Confideration, and then he went out of the Realm, and Twine's Case; was afterwards outlawed on the same Indictment; and it was adjudged, & vide Dyer that the Deed of Gift was void to defeat the Queen of the Forfeiture of the Goods, and this by the Statute of 13 Eliz. cap. 5. and that the Queen was intitled to his Leafes and Goods by the Forfeiture.

11 H. 6. 17. The Forfeiture, as has been faid, must be of Goods which the Party has in his (c) own Right, and not in Right of another; and therefore Cro Eliz. 575, an Executor or Administrator outlawed forfeit nothing which they have 851. in Right of their Testator or Intestate. 2 Rol. Abr. 806.

(c) So a Term limited to Executors, and not vested in the Party himself, is Cro. Car. 566. not foifeitable. 2 Levn. 5, 6. 1 And. 19. Moor 100. Dyer 309.

20. H 6 S.b. So if an Executor recovers in Account against the Receiver of the 2 Rol. Abr. Testator, and afterwards is outlawed, yet he shall not forfeit this Debt; 806. for it continues the Debt of the Testator, and is only put in Certainty by the Judgment.

Debts and Duties upon Simple Contract are forfeited to the King 4 Co. 95. by the Outlawry of the Party, tho' the Debtor might have waged his Shade's Cafe. Law on fuch Contract to an Action brought by the Creditor; of which Privilege he is deprived by the Outlawry.

It hath been adjudged, that the Cattle of a Stranger (a) Levant and Carth. 441.

Couchant on Lands extended on an Outlawry, may be taken for the King to Salk. 395.

upon a Levari facias as the Issues and Profits of the Lands; for that 5 Mod. 112.

S. C. Britten otherwise there might be no Issues at all, or the Person outlawed may ver. Cole.

take in other Mens Cattle to agist, and so defeat the Outlawry.

(a) That it is necessary to

aver that the Cattle were Levant and Couchant. Carth. 442.

So if the Person outlawed should after the Inquisition make a Feosffment of his Lands, the Cattle of the Feosffee may be taken for the
per Cur.

Issues of those Lands, for the Land is (b) Debtor to the King.

(b) But if
Tenant for
Life is outlawed, and dies, Q. whether the Issues can be extended on the Reversioner. Carth. 442.

But if the Owner of the Soil is outlawed, the Cattle of a Commoner Carth. 442. cannot be taken as Issues; but if they should be taken, he must plead his Title in the Exchequer, unless his Right of Common is found by Inquisition on the Outlawry.

#### 3. To what Time the Korfeiture hall relate.

If a Man be outlawed upon an Indictment of Felony and Treason, Co. Lit. 13, and pending the Process he alien the Land, yet the King or Lord shall 14. have the Land which he held at the Time of the Treason or Felony committed; for the Indictment contains the Year and Day when it was done, unto which the Attainder by Outlawry relates: But if a Man sue an Appeal by Writ of Felony or Murder, and pending it the Party aliens, and then is outlawed before Appearance, the Lord's Escheat is lost, because it relates only to the Time of the Outlawry pronounced; in as much as the Writ of Appeal is general, and contains no (c) certain (c) But if the Defendant had appear to the Offence committed.

peared, and the Plaintiff had declared upon his Writ, and the Defendant had been convicted and attainted by Verdict or Confession, or if the Appeal had been by Bill, and thereupon the Party had been outlawed, the before Appearance, the Escheat had related to the Time of the Fact committed to avoid mesne Incumbrances; for in the Declaration in the one Case, and in the Bill in the other, the Year and Day of the Felony is set forth. 1 Hale's Hist P. C. 261-2.

As to Goods and Chattels, the very Issuing of the Writ of Exigent in Co. Lit. 288. b. case of Treason or Felony gives to the King, or the Lord of a Fran-4t Ass. 13. chise to whom that Liberty is granted, the Forseiture of all the Goods of the Party so put in Exigent, from the Time of the Teste of the Writ of Exigent.

And as the Award of the Exigent gives the Forfeiture, so if that he Stainf, P. C. well awarded, the Forfeiture shall continue, tho' the Outlawry he re- 184. versed for Error in Law or in Fact, subsequent to the Award of the 41 Ass. Exigent; for the King's Title being by the Exigent, and that being of 4 E. 3-17. Record must be awarded by Matter of as high a Nature; therefore it is necessary for a Party outlawed in Treason to bring his Writ of Error specially, tam in adjudicatione brevis de Exigi facias quam in promulgatione utlagariæ: Also a Writ of Error lies to reverse the very Award of the Exigent; and tho' no subsequent Error to the Award of the Exigent will avoid it, yet if there he Error in the Exigent, or in the Appeal or Indictment upon which it issues, both Outlawry and Exigent shall be reversed.

Vol. III. 9 G And

Co. Lit. 197. And as the Award of the Exigent gives the Forfeiture of the Goods, fo the Outlawry gives the Forfeiture or Lofs of the Lands of the Party outlawed; but the bare Judgment of Outlawry by the Coroners, without

the Return thereof of Record, is no Attainder, nor gives any Escheat, but it must be returned by the Sheriff with the Writ of Exigi facias,

and the Return indorfed.

Reg. 284. Dyer 223. a. 317. a.

And therefore, if there be a Quinto exactus, and thereupon utlagatus est per judicium coronatorum, but no Return thereof is made, there lies a Writ of Certiorari to the Coroners, or to the Sheriff and Coroners, to certify the Outlawry into the King's Bench; but this is only either to ground a Charter of Pardon on it, or to amerce the Sheriff where he returned only a Quarto exactus; but as to the Effect it has otherwise, my Lord Chief Justice Hale thinks as follows,

Dyer 317. a. P. C. 206.

1 ft, That it doth not disable the Party to bring an Action, because in re-2 Hale's Hist. lation to Party and Party it stands as nothing, 'till returned by the Sheriff.

2 Hale's Hift. P. C. 206.

2dly, That confequently, barely upon fuch a Return of an Outlawry upon a Certiorari, without the Writ of Exigent indorsed and returned together with the Certiorari, it seems no Escheat lies for the Lord; but this he makes a Quære.

2 Hale's Hift. P. C. 206 7.

3 dly, But if the Writ of Certiorari be directed to the Sheriff and Coroners, and the Writ of Exigent be extant in Court, and they return this Outlawry; possibly this may be a sufficient Warrant to enter it of Record, as a Return upon the Exigent for the King's Advantage, and to issue upon it a Capias utlagatum to have the Forseiture of his Goods.

2 Hale's Hift. P. C. 207.

4thly, But unless the Writis some Way returned or extant, it gives the King no Title to Land or Goods; for the Writ of Exigi facias is the Warrant of the Outlawry, and that which gives the Coroners their Authority in fuch a Case to give Judgment of Outlawry; and it is not like the Case where there was once a Writ and Return of Outlawry, and the Record fince loft, for that upon Circumstances a Jury, upon the General Issue, may find a Record, tho' not shewn in Evidence; but here the Writ was never in Truth indorsed nor returned.

2 Hale's Hift. P. C. 207.

5thly, But if the Writ of Certiorari were directed to the Coroners alone, tho' it may be a Ground to cause the Sheriff to mend his Return, and make it according to the Truth; yet the Certificate of the Coroners will not make a Record to intitle the King or Lord to any Thing without the Writ of Exigent extant, and the Return upon it amended by the Sheriff; for without the Exigi facias, and the Return of the Outlawry upon it, there is neither Disability, Forseiture nor Escheat; and therefore a Certierari shall not be so much as granted to the Coroners to remove an Outlawry after the Party's Death.

Hard. 101. Attorney General ver. Sir Ralph Freeman.

A. was outlawed, and afterwards made a Lease of his Lands, and afterwards these Lands amongst others were found by Inquisition; and this Lease was pleaded in Bar to bind the King, being before the Inquisition; and the Court held, that a Lease or other Estate made by the Party after Outlawry, and before an Inquisition taken, will prevent the King's Title, if it be made bona fide and upon good Consideration; but if it be in Trust for the Party only, it will not be a Bar; but that no Conveyance whatfoever made after the Inquisition will take away or discharge the King's Title.

Hard. 176. Hammond's Cafe.

A. was outlawed at the Suit of B. and his Lands extended; afterwards C. claiming Title to them brought his Ejectment, and pleaded to the Inquisition; and upon a Bill in the Exchequer, an Injunction was prayed for the King to stay the Proceedings at Law, but denied; for tho' a Person outlawed cannot after an Extent prevent the King's Title by any (a) Any one Alienation whatfoever; yet fuch Outlawry gives no (a) Privilege to the

that has an Estate or a

Right may grant the same over, if his Title be precedent to the Outlawry. Hard 422 -A. owes Money to B. on a Judgment, and to C. on a Bond, A. is outlawed at the Suit of the Obligee, and his Lands

Possession

Possession of a Disseisor, but that the Disseisee may enter and bring his seised on the Ejectment; for by the Outlawry the King had no Interest in the Land Outlawry, it self, but only a Title to recover the Profits.

and the Quethon was,

whether the Conusee of a Judgment could extend those Lands; and it was held, the Outlawry thould be preferred, and that the King's Hands should not be amoved, unless the Conuzor could shew Covin and Practice between the Obligor and Obligee. 2 Salk. 495. Attorney General ver. Baden.

It was found by Special Verdict in Ejectment, that A. being outlawed Raym. 17. in a personal Action levied a Fine, and the King seised the Lands in 1 Lev. 33. the Hands of the Conuzee; and it was resolved, that if the Seisure 1 Keb. 57, 74, 76. Windwas before the Fine levied, the King may well retain against the Co-fir ver. Seynuzee; but if the Fine was levied before the Seisure, the Conuzee may well. well take.

From these Cases the Law seems to be now settled, as laid down in 1 Salk. 395. Salk. viz. That by a bare Outlawry the Party immediately forfeits his Carth. 442. personal Goods, and they are vested in the King, but that he does not S.C. forfeit the Profits of his Lands, nor Chattels Real, 'till Inquisition taken; that if a Perand that therefore an Alienation after Outlawry, and before Inquisition, is do alien his good to bar the King of the Pernancy; but if he makes a Feoffment after Lands before Inquisition, the Feosfee has the Estate, and the King shall have the Profits. any Inquisi-

for the King, which he may lawfully do, yet the Alience must plead off the Extent in the Exchequer, by shewing his Title precedent.

4. Of the King's and Party's Interest, at whose Suit the Dutlawry was had, in the Effate and Effects of the Party outlawed, and their Remedies for the same.

When the Outlawry is returned on the Exigi facias by the Sheriff, and Hard. 422. recorded in Court, Execution may be taken out against the Party out- Carth. 441. lawed, either general, to arrest the Body, or special, to arrest the Body and extend the Goods and Lands, as also Debts and Choses in Action belonging to the Party outlawed; and when fuch Inquifition is returned by the Sheriff, a Transcript of the Outlawry and Inquisition is trans-mitted into the Exchequer; and thereupon, if any Debt be returned due from any one to the outlawed, on Application to the Exchequer a Scire facias issues to such Person, to shew Cause why the King should not have such Sum so found due on the Inquisition to the Outlawed; and the Reason of returning the Transcript of the Record into the Exchequer is, ad ulterior' Execution' prædicto Domino Reg' per eand' Cur' de Scacc' superinde fiend'; for when the Inquisition has returned the Outlawed to be possessed of any Goods or Lands, the Property of these Goods belong to the King, since the Outlawed being out of the King's Protection cannot enjoy any Thing, and the Profits of the Land are to be seised into the King's Hands; but the Lands themselves are not forseited, unless it be in Capital Cases; but in other Cases the Profits are seesed whilst the Party continues outlawed; and therefore the Transcript of this Record is fent into the Exchequer, that the Court of ordinary Revenue may have it in Charge; but the Court of Exchequer (a) usually grants (a) That the a Custodiam to such Person as sued out the Outlawry.

King is to fatisfy the Par-

ry at whose Suit the Outlawry was token out; but this per Popham Ch. J. is de gratia, and not de jure. Yelv. 19, & vide 2 Vern. 314. Show. Parl. Ca 72. The King ver. Eaden, a good Cuse on this Head.

The King by his Prerogative is to have Rona felonum & fugitivorum; 46 E. 3. 16. and (b) tho the Lord of a Manor or other private Person may claim 5 Co. 109. (b) Outlawry in Northumberland for a Debt in Durham, whether the King or Bishop of Durham, he having a Grant of bona fugit in Durham, should have the Goods, vide Lane 90, 91. 2 Rol. Abr. 808

them

them, yet that cannot be by Prescription, but must be by way of Grant; for every Prescription must be immemorial; and the Goods of Felons and Fugitives cannot be forfeited without Matter of Record, which prefup-

poses the Memory of that Continuance.

There is a Difference said to be between an Outlawry on mesne Co. Lit. 288.h. Process and after Judgment; that as to the first the Party hath no In-But in 2 Lev terest, but that the whole Benefit of the Forseiture accrues to the King.

that there is no Difference between Outlawries before and after Judgment.

If a Capias ad satisfaciendum issues upon a Judgment in an Action of Cro. Fac. 619. Moor and Sir Debt, and the Sheriff returns Non est inventus, and after a Capias utlagatum issues, upon which he is taken and imprisoned, and after he is let George Reybut by Bridg. for this Escape against the Sheriff, because of the Prejudice to him, nolds, S. P. pears to have (a) he being in Execution as well for his Benefit as for the King's. 1 Rol. been an Ac- Abr. 810. (b) Leighton ver. Walwin.

(a) But if after the Year (admitting) he could not be in Execution for him without Pray-Cafe. er, yet Case lies; for the Plaintist was prejudiced by the Escape, for he ought not to be discharged, 'till he found Sureties to satisfy the Plaintiff. 5 Co. 89. b. and vide 5 E. 3. c. 13. (b) 5 Co. 88. Garnon's Case, S. C. the Capias utlagatum being taken out executed within the Year. Cro. Eliz. 706, 707. S. C. adjudged. Moor 566. pl. 772. S. C. 1 Rol. Abr. 895. S. C. Yelv. 20. S. C. cited. Comb. 201.

and 5 Mod. 201. S. C. cited.

So if a Capias utlagatum issues upon an Outlawry upon mesne Process, Cro. Eliz 652. and the Defendant is taken and suffered to escape, an Action upon the Bonner ver. Case lies; because the Plaintiff is thereby delayed of his Debt. Stokely adjudged. Moor 641. pl. 882. S. P. adjudged, & vide 1 Lutw. 110, 111.

1 Sid. 380. S. P. adjourned.

(c) 5 Mod.

If within the Year a Capias ad satisfaciendum issues on a Judgment, and the Defendant is thereupon outlawed, and two Years after taken upon a Capias utlagatum, and the Sheriff suffers him to escape, Debt will lie against him; for the Defendant was in Execution at the Suit of the Plaintiff, without Prayer, in as much as the Plaintiff was at the End of his Process, and no Continuance nor Scire facias lay after the Capias utlagatum, which being fued at the Charge of the Plaintiff imported an Election of the Body. Salk. 318. (c) Wolf ver. Davison adjudged.

200. S. C. adjudged. Comb. 373. S. C. adjourned; and Holt Ch. J. said, he never understood the Diversity taken in the Case where within the Year and where after.

Yelv. 19. Fennings ver. Hatley adjudged, by

If A. hath Judgment in Debt against B. for 50 l. and thereupon he takes out a special Capias utlagatum against him, and J. S promises, that in Confideration of his staying any further Proceeding on that Writ, he three Judges the faid 7. S. would fatisfy him the Debt, unless B. did it before such a cont. Popham. Day; an Assumptit lies on this Promise, for the Plaintiff is at the Charge of fuing out the Writ, and hath the Carriage of it; and the Party shall be in Execution at his Suit, and the King is to fatisfy him out of the Goods of the Party outlawed; altho' it was objected, that the Confideration was against Law, being in Delay of Justice, and that the whole Benefit accrued to the King.

2 Vent. 89, 90. London.

But it hath been adjudged, that an Action on the Case will not lie a-Dawson ver. gainst the Sheriff for neglecting to extend or seise the Goods and Lands The Sheriff, of of a Person outlawed upon a Capias utlagatum, because it is the King's Loss; and tho' it was urged, the Sheriff's Extending and Seifing would be a Means to enforce the Defendant to appear to the Plaintiff's Action; this the Court faid was fo remote, as not to be confidered as a Ground to support an Action; but if it had been shewn, that the Sheriff might have taken his Body, and had neglected to do it, there might have been more Reason to support the Action.

When

When after the Extent the Lands are leased out, or a Custodian grant- Hard. 106 ed to him at whose Suit the Outlawry was had, the Lessee shall account Whitesteld. only according to the extended Value; and if they happen to be extended too low, the Party hath no Remedy but by taking out a Melius inquirend', and thereby have them extended at a greater Value.

If by the Inquisition the Lands of the Person outlawed are found in Hard. 6, 7. the particular Occupation of fuch and fuch Persons, but the Value of Cresses Cale; every particular Parcel is not found, but by the Lump that in toto the 58. where it

Lands are of fuch a Value; this is a good Finding.

fuch Inquifi-

tion ought to be as certain as an Indistment or Declaration.

It was found by Inquifition upon an Outlawry, that the Party outlawed Hard. 191. was seised in Fee de sex clauses prati & pastur.e; and it was objected, Wilford ver. that the Inquisition was void for Uncertainty; & per Hale Chief Baron, an Inquisition found de uno messuagio sive tenemento has been held good; because it is not an Office of Intitling, but of Instruction or Information, which does not require fuch precise Certainty as an Office of Intitling does; fo in an Inquifition upon an Extent upon a Statute or Judgment, or in Dower, fuch Certainties suffice, else all such Inquisitions were liable to be quashed, which would annul all such Proceedings; which would be mischievous; and such Inquisitions have not used to be quashed

for Want of such precise Certainty.

A Bill was exhibited by the Attorney General against a Person out- Hard 22. lawed, to discover his Real and Personal Estate, and what secret and The Protestor fraudulent Gifts and Conveyances he had made, because by the Outlawry Lumley his Goods and the Profits of his Land were forfeited; to which the Defendant demurred; quia nemo tenetur prodere seipsum, and to discover his Estate upon a Forseiture; but the Court held, that he ought to answer the Bill; because the King is intitled to his Estate by Course of Law, and the Outlawry is in the Nature of a Gift to the King, or a Judgment for him; and a common Person may have a Bill of Discovery in the like Case to intitle him to take out Execution.

Also in Case of Outlawry, it is said to be the Course of the Exche- 1 Mod. 90. quer to prefer an Information in Nature of Trover and Conversion against him who hath the Goods of a Person outlawed.

3. Of the Party's Disability to bring any Action.

A Person outlawed cannot regularly maintain any Action, for by Lit. Sect. 197. his Contumacy he is out of the King's Protection, and shall have no Co. Lit. 128. Privilege or (a) Benefit from that Law of which he is a Violator, and to (a) But a Perwhich he refuses to be amenable himself. ion outlawed may be fued,

being to his Prejudice. Noy 1. 1 Sid. 60. Legatus Legem Terra amittit. Glanvil, Lib. 2. cap. 3. Respondra a Touts mes nul respondra a luy. Cro. Jac. 426. cited from Britton und Bracton.

This Disability may be taken Advantage of by pleading the same in 28 E. 3. 92. Bar or Abatement, with this Diversity, that it may be pleaded in Abate- 22 Aff. 14.47, ment in all Cases, but it cannot be pleaded in Bar, unless the Ground 63: or (b) Cause of the Action be forseited; as in Felony, where it may be 5 Co. 109. pleaded in Bar to all Actions concerning Lands and Tenements, as well Co. Liu. 29. as Goods and Chattels, because all are forfeited by the Felony. (b) If the Demandant

in a Cessavit be outlawed in a personal Action, this Outlawry may be pleaded in Bar of the Action, because the Arrearages are due to the King. 2 Inft. 298.

But tho' it cannot be pleaded in Bar, unless the Ground or Cause of Dyer 227. in Action be forfeited, nor in Actions where the Damages are incertain; Margine. yet it is now held, that in Actions on the Case, where the Debt to avoid 3 Leon. 197, the Owen 22. Vol. III. 9 H

Cro Eliz. 203. the avoid the Law-Wager is turned into Damages, there Outlawry may, be pleaded in Bar; for it was vested in the King by the Forseiture as a 2 Vent. 282. 3 Lev. 29. Debt certain due to the Outlaw; and the turning it into Damages, whereby it becomes uncertain, shall not devest the King of what he was once lawfully possessed of.

It hath also been held, that Outlawry may be pleaded in Bar after it is pleaded in Abatement; because the Thing is forfeited, and the Plaintiff Lutw. 1604.

has no Right to recover.

The Difability cannot be taken Advantage of until the Exigent be re-1 And. 36. Co. Lit. 128. turned; for the Inquirng after him in the County is in order that he Dyer 317. a. pl. 6. may appear; and therefore if he does appear at the Return of the Exigent, the Law is fatisfied, and the Outlawry must not be recorded against him.

Also this Disability is only pleadable when the Plaintiff sues in his own Dett. Pl. 390. Right; for if he fues in Auter droit as Executor, Administrator, or as Mayor with his Commonalty, Outlawry shall not disable him, because the Person whom he represents has the Privilege of the Law, and Outlawry being no Objection to his Representation, it is no Objection but he should be answered.

But it hath been held, that to an Action qui tam Outlawry in the Informer is a good Plea, tho' objected that he fues in Right of the King; for as to a Moiety he recovers to his own Use, which he cannot do by Reason of this Disability.

So where a Relator in his Information fet forth, that he and the De-13. Attorney fendants were Part-owners of feveral Coal-Mines in Derbyshire, that the the Duchy, at the bushes Colors of Cope out of all the Lead-Mines there; the Relation that by the Custom, if one Owner were at the Expence for the Improof Mr. Verving and Working a Mine, all the Owners ought to contribute and bear their Part of the Charge; that the Relator had been at great Charges in making Soughs and other Things for Working and Improving the Mines, without which they could not be prought. without which they could not be wrought, and fo the King would lofe his Duty; and that the Defendant would not contribute, nor pay any Part of the Charge; therefore to make him account with the Relator, and pay his Part of the Charge, was (amongst other Things) the Scope of the Information. To which the Defendant pleaded an Outlawry in the Relator; and after much Debate the Plea was held good; for tho' which feems Mr. Attorney be Plaintiff, yet the Relator is to have the whole Benefit or Lofs of the Suit, and is himfelf Party to it; for it would abate by his Death, &c. and the King's Name is only made use of by the Form of the Court, and he is not directly concerned at all, and very little by Confequence, and the Suit is not for the King's Duty, but the Relator's Interest.

> If there be two Tenants in Common of a Rectory for Years, and one of them is outlawed, yet the other, on fetting forth this Matter, may have an Action of Debt for a Moiety.

If the Party outlawed bring a Writ of Error to reverse the Outlawry, the Outlawry in that Suit, or any Stranger's, shall not disable him; for if he were outlawed at feveral Men's Suits, and one should be a Bar to another, he could never reverse any of them; and if it be for Error in the fame Outlawry, the Outlawry it felf is no Objection, for that would be  $E_{x}$ ceptio ejusdem rei cujus petitur dissolutio; nor is another Outlawry pleadable in Bar to fuch Writ of Error, for then two erroneous Outlawries would be irreverfible, which would amount to exceptio ejustem rei, &c. So if there be an Attaint brought on a Verdict, Outlawry grounded on that Verdict shall not be pleaded in Bar, for the above Reasons.

As this is a dilatory Plea, when it is pleaded in another Court than Doct. Pl. 396 where the Outlawry issued, the Defendant must bring it in immediately; for this being in Delay, if the Court should give Time, and it should not be brought in, Delay of Justice would be from the Court; and

2 Rol. Abr. So5. Co. Lit. 128.a.

I Fon. 239.

2 Mod. 267. & vide 11 Co. 65.

Hob. 327. Preced. Chan.

in the Duchy Chamber coram Ch. B. Atkins and Just. Ventris ; & ride 2 Bulft. 134. cont. & vide

1 Sid. 49.

1 And. 30.

Co Lit. 128. Raym 46.

6 Co. 53. 5 Co. 88. 3 Co. 142.

19 Aff. 10.

and fince there is a Way of having it immediately, by producing it under the Great Seal, no Time shall be given to bring it (a) fub pede sigilli; (a) ThatOutbut otherwise when it is in the same Court, for then the Record is allawry must ready in Court. villi, otherwise the Plaintiff may refuse it, he shall not afterwards demur for that Cause. 1 Salk. 217.

be pleaded Jub pede si-

In pleading Outlawry in Disability in another Court, the antient Co. Lit. 128. Way was to have the Record of the Outlawry it felf fub pede figilli by Dott. Pl. 392, Certiorari and Mittimus; but this being very expensive, it is now held to 394. be sufficient, to plead the Capias utlagatum under the Seal of the Court from whence it iffues; for the Iffuing of the Execution could not be without the Judgment, and therefore fuch Execution is a Proof to the Court that there is such a Judgment; which is a Proof, that the Defendant's Plea of a Matter of Record is proved by a Matter of Record, and therefore appears to the Court not to be a meer Dilatory; and therefore on shewing such Execution, if the Plaintiff will plead Nul tiel record, the

Court will give the Defendant a Day to bring it in.

Outlawry in a County Palatine cannot be pleaded in any of the Courts Fitz. Coron. at Westminster, for he is only ousted of his Law within that Jurisdiction; 253, a. and it shall not extend to disable a Man in another County where they 12 E. 4 16.

Dott. Pl. 396. have no Power; for the County Palatine being a Royal Jurisdiction Co. Lit. 128. within Bounds, the Losing the Privileges of the Law within that Juris- 2 Ro. Rep. 38. diction can be no Disadvantage to him in another County; and if he Cro. Car. 566. does not live within the Palatine Jurisdiction, he is not obliged to attend there; but it seems, that Outlawry in the County Palatine of Lancaster may be pleaded in the Courts of Westminster; because that County was erected by Act of Parliament in Ed. III.'s Time, but Durham and Chefter are by Prescription.

If Outlawry be pleaded either in Bar or Abatement, and the Plaintiff Doff. Pl. 397. replies Nul tiel record, and the Defendant has a Day given him to bring Moor 73. in the Record, and in the Interim the Plaintiff removes the Record by Dyer 228. Writ of Error, and reverses it; tho' the Defendant fails in bringing in Cro. Fac. 484. the Record, yet this shall not be fatal and peremptory on him; for in the 1 Salk 329 first Case he shall have Liberty to plead a new Bar, and in the second, relv. 36. the Judgment shall only be a Respondeas ouster; because his Plea was a 8 Co. 142 true Plea at the Time of pleading it, and the Plaintiff was actually dif- 1 Brownl. 83. abled from fuing, not having then his Liberam legem.

So that Outlawry does not abate the Writ, but is only a Temporary Co. Lit. 128. Impediment that disables a Plaintiff from proceeding; for upon obtain- Doct. Pl. 397. ing a Charter of Pardon, or reverling the Outlawry, he is restored to his Law, and shall oblige the Defendant to plead to the same Writ.

Audita querela to avoid a Statute upon the Statute of Usury; to Cro. Jac. 425. which the Defendant pleaded Outlawry in the Plaintiff at the Suit of Piers Griffith J. S. and on Demurrer it was infifted, that Outlawry could not be plead-ver. Hugh ed in this Case, the Suit being only by way of Discharge, and not to Middleton. recover any Thing; but it was held, that a Person outlawed is not receivable to fue in any Court, unless it be to reverse his own Outlawry; and the Chief Justice said, that where the Action is ad lucrandum, there ought to be Ability in the Person, and that it is all one to gain by way of Discharge, as by way of Perquisition.

But where Error was brought by fix to reverse a Judgment in Eject- Cro. Fac. 616. ment, and the Defendant in Error pleaded Outlawry in one of the Bythal ver. Plaintiffs; the Plea was held ill on Demurrer, because this was only a Harris, ad-Commission which went in (b) Discharge, and in which all the Plaintiffs judged by were obliged to join; it was also said in this Case, that it would be were obliged to join; it was also said in this Case, that it would be ver. Houghton. very mischievous upon an Outlawry in case of (c) Error, Attaint or Au- (b) But it

That if two Plaintiffs in Debt be barred, and bring Error, the Outlawry against one is a good Bar against the other, because they are to recover. Cro. Fac. 616. (c) But for this, vide Cro. Eliz. 648. 6 Ca. 25. Cro. Fac. 117.

dita

dita querela, which are only by way of Discharge, if this should be any

1 Sid. 43. Falon ver. Kete.

A Person is outlawed in Debt, and taken upon a Capias and committed to the Fleet, the Keeper of the Fleet lets him escape voluntarily, and afterwards the Executor of the Plaintiff in Debt takes him in Execution again upon a new Writ, and upon this fecond Taking he brings an Audita querela; to which Outlawry in the Plaintiff in the Audita querela was pleaded; upon which Plea he demurred; and it was refolved, that Outlawry was a good Plea in this Case in Disability of the Plaintiff; because that this Writ is not directly to reverse the Outlawry, (as a Writ of Error is) but is founded upon a Wrong, viz. upon the Escape, and not upon the Record only.

1 Salk. 178. Moor ver. Green. 5 Mod. 11. S. C.

In Debt upon a Judgment brought in Trinity Term, the Defendant imparled 'till Michaelmas Term, and then pleaded in Bar, that the Plaintiff die lunæ prox' post test' San& Martini was outlawed; to which the Plaintiff demurred; it was urged, that the Outlawry was mesne between the Action brought and the Plea pleaded, and that all Matters in Difcharge of the Action, which happen after the Action brought, ought to be pleaded puis darrein continuance; but the Court compared this to the common Case of a Judgment confessed by an Executor after an Action brought; which is never pleaded after Puis darrein continuance, but as this Case is; and in these Cases the Time of the Outlawry, and the Time of the Judgment, and when it was, appear in themselves.

3 Lev 29.

In pleading Outlawry, it hath been adjudged, that the Defendant must conclude his Plea with a prout patet per recordum, and not bec paratus est verificare.

Cro. Car. 566. Dawson ver. Lee.

If the Defendant after Imparlance pleads Outlawry in Bar, and the Plaintiff replies Nul tiel record, and the Defendant hath a Day to bring in the Record, and fails therein, Judgment shall be given absolutely against him, and not a Respondens ouster.

Earth. 8, 9. Seccomb. 1 Show. So. S. C.

If ten Outlawries on melne Process be pleaded in Disability of the Trevelianver. Plaintiff this is naught for Duplicity; for tho' there be a Difference as to pleading double between Pleas in Bar and Abatement, there is likewife a Difference between a Plea of an Outlawry in Difability and other Pleas in Abatement; and the Court held this Plea ill for Duplicity, because the Plaintiff is disabled as well by one Outlawry as by all the other nine, to which feveral Answers are required.

That this on Oath. 2 Vern. 37.

Outlawry may be pleaded to a Bill in Equity, as well as to an Action Plea must be at Common Law; and in this Case the Desendant need not set down the Plea, as he must other Pleas and Demurrers, in eight Days, or they must fland over-ruled; but the Plaintiff must set it down, if there be any Insufficiency in Point of Form in pleading; for being fub pede figilli it appears, upon shewing of it, to be a good Plea, and therefore not presumed to be necessary to be argued before the Court; also if an Outlawry be not pleaded, yet it may be shewed at the Hearing as a peremptory Matter against the Plaintiff's Demand, if it be personal; because it shews the Right of the Thing in Demand to be in the King. If a Plea of Outlawry stand allowed, whereby the Suit is put fine die, and after the Outlawry is reversed, the Plaintiff must bring his Bill of Revivor; because that Suit being abated, the Defendant has no Day in Court, and therefore must be brought into Court by a new Process.

But if the Bill be for Relief against an Action at Law, and an Outlawry (a) That to be pleaded by the Defendant in the same Action, it will not be allowed; (a) because the Outlawry is Part of the Grievance, and it is exceptio ejusdem rei of Outlawry, because the Ostanis, is a sat Law, an Outlawry in an Executor, Administrator or Guardian, is no good Plea, because they do not claim in their own all that have Right; and the real Actor being the Testator or Infant, the Outlawry in any third Person is no Exception against him why he should not share in judicio.

Defendants. 2 Vern. 199. per Hutchins Lord Commissioner.

avoid Pleas may make Outlawries against him

## 4. What farther Disabilities Outlawzy subjeas the Party to.

Persons outlawed are under several other Disabilities, besides that of Co. Lit. 6.1. bringing an Action; such a one cannot be a Juror, because he is not Liber wide Title & legalis bono, as the Law requires.

But one outlawed in a personal Action may be a Witness, tho' he can-Vide Title Enot be a Juror.

A Person outlawed cannot be an (a) Auditor to take Accounts.

Co. Lit. 6, b.
(a) But a

Person outlawed may be a private Attorney. Co. Lit. 52: a.— May be Executors or Administrators, wide Title Executors and Administrators.—Incapable of executing an Office in a Corporation. Carth. 199. Show. 288.

One outlawed in a personal Action cannot be an Approver; because a Hawk. P. C by his Outlawry he is out of the Law, and his Accusation shall not be of 205, such Credit as to put any Person on his Trial.

If a Man pledge Goods and then is outlawed, he cannot redeem them; 1 Eulf. 29. because then the absolute Property of them is in the King; but if the Outlawry be reversed, then the outlawed Person is re-instated in his Property as if there had been no Outlawry, and therefore may redeem them.

Persons outlawed in Debt, Trespass or other Civil Action, may be Co L.t. 8. b. Heirs.

If a Husband be outlawed in Trespass, or any Civil Action, the Wise Brock 82. shall have Dower, for this works no Corruption of Blood, or Forseiture Perk. 388. of Lands; so likewise it seems if the Wise be outlawed or waived in Co. Liv. 31. a such Actions, yet her (b) Dower is not forseited.

(b) So a Husband shall be

Tenant by the Currefy, tho' he be outlawed in a Civil Action. 5 Co. 110. Co. Lit. 92. b. 391. a.

A being outlawed, the Queen granted him a Lease for Years, ren-Owen 116. dring Rent, he was again outlawed after the Grant, but before any Knowles ver. Seisure there was a Pardon of all Goods and Chattels forseited; and it Moor 237. was adjudged, that a Person outlawed was capable of receiving a Lease, S. C. and that by the Pardon, the Term which was forseited revived, and was restored again.

It is held, that where Clergy is allowable, it shall be as much allowed II Co. 29, 31, to one who is outlawed by Common Law for Felony, as to one who is con- 2 Hawk P C. victed by Verdict or Confession; also a Statute taking away the Benefit 343, 350 of Clergy, from those who shall be found guilty, doth not thereby take it from Persons who are outlawed; neither doth the Statute of 25 H. 8. cap. 1. set. 3. which takes away Clergy from those who are found guilty after the Laws of this Realm, extend to Persons outlawed.

By the Statute of Westm. 1. cap. 15. it is enacted, that if a Person be 2 Inst. 187. attaint by Outlawry of any Felony, he is not bailable; but it is held, 2 Hawk. P. C. that the Court of King's Bench may in their Discretion, in some special Cases, bail a Person upon an Outlawry of Felony; as where he pleads, that he is not of the same Name with the Person that was outlawed, or alledges any other Error in the Proceedings.

(a) ByStature of Recufancy the Outlawry of a Recusant not to be reverfed for Want of Form. 5 Mod. 141.

(E) Of the Regularity of the Proceedings on an Dutlaway, and (a) for what Errors it may be reversed: And herein,

1. Where, for want of such Process as required by Law, the Dutlawly may be reversed.

3 H. 6. 9. 1 Rol. Abr 793. pl. is. Co. Lit. 259.

HE Forfeitures and remaines in an Community of that no Person should great Care hath been taken and Caution used, that no Person should great Contumacy to the Pro-THE Forfeitures and Penalties in an Outlawry being fo fevere, Virich of Law be outlawed without sufficient Notice, and great Contumacy to the Pro-Raft Ent 188 cess of the Court; and therefore the Law requires, that in all Civil Causes and in every Indictment or Appeal for any Crime under the Degree of Capital, there should be three Capias's to the Sheriff of the County where the Action or Profecution is commenced, before the Exigent is awarded; and if any fuch Process is omitted, the Outlawry is erroneous.

40 E. 3. 25. pl. 28. Finch 476. (b) So after Judgment there need not be any Proclamations to the

But (b) after Judgment upon a Capias ad satisfaciendum, an Exigent may be awarded, without an Alias and Pluries, and thereupon the Defendant be outlawed; hecause he having been already in Court before Judgment, and having Conusance of the Debt, ought to pay the Debt on the first suing out of the Capias; otherwise it is a Contumacy in not performing the Judgment of the Court, for which Disobedience he is put out of the King's Protection.

County where he refided. Cro. Jac. 577.—If one is outlawed in Middlefex a Capias utlagat' may be sued out against him in any other County without a Testatum. 1 Vent. 33. 2 Hale's Hist. 198.

(c) But vide 2 Hale's Hift. P. C. 194-5.

2. Harok. P. C.

303.

It is faid to be agreed, that one Capias before the Award of the Exigent hath alway been sufficient in an Indictment or Appeal of Death, or High Treason; but that it seems doubtful whether two Capias's were not required by the Common Law in all Indictments and Appeals of any other Felony; however, fays Hawkins, it is (c) certain, that they are required in all Indictments of any other Felony by 25 E. 3. 14. by which it is recorded, 6 That if after any Man be indicted of Felony before the Juflices in their Sessions, to hear and determine, it shall be commanded 6 to the Sheriff to attach his Body by Writ or Precept, which is called ' a Capias; and if the Sheriff return that the Body is not found, another 6 shall be incontinently made, returnable at three Weeks after, wherein it shall be comprised, that the Sheriff shall cause to be seised his Chattels, and fafely to keep them 'till the Day of the Writ or Precept returned; ' and if the Sheriff return, that the Body is not found, and the Indictee cometh not, the Exigent shall be awarded, and the Chattels shall be ' forfeit, as the Law of the Crown ordaineth; but if he come and syield himself, or be taken by the Sheriff or by other Minister, before the Return of the second Capias, then the Goods and Chattels shall

2 Hawk P.C. 503.

be faved. It is faid to have been the general Opinion, that this Statute extends to Appeals, as well as to Indichments, tho' it mention only the latter; but that it extends not to any Indictment or Appeal of Death, tho' it fpeak of Felony in general.

It is left a Quære, if three Capias's be still necessary in an Appeal of 2 Hawk. P. C. Rape, as they were at the Common Law, notwithstanding it be made 303. Felony by Statute.

#### 2. Where for want of Form in such Processes the Dutlawry may be reversed.

If any Process required in an Outlawry be erroneous, the Outlawry 3 H. 7. 8. b. for this may be reversed; for a Person shall not be subject to any Dispersion advantage in respect of having such Process awarded against him, nor shall he be condemned barely for not appearing, where that which should have compelled him to have appeared is (a) desective.

1 Sid. 100. Dispersion (a) Where, for want of Form in a

Writ of Proclamation, and for improper Abbreviations, the Outlawry was reversed. Stile 182—So where in the Exigent it was Utlest' for Utlagat', the Outlawry was reversed. Stile 227. So where it was Utlest' instead of Utlagat'. 1 Lev. 162.—But it is said, that a Defest in Process in an Ontlawry may be salved by the Defendant's Purchasing a Pardon, and shewing it to the Court; for that supposes that there was such an Outlawry against him as needed a Pardon, which if it were erroneous it would not do. 2 Hawk. P. C. 302.

As where the Capias was efte Edmundo Anderson, without a T, for this Cro. Eliz. 592. Error the Outlawry was reversed; for the Capias and Exigent must be in 2 Hale's Hist. the King's Name, and under the Judicial Seal of the King appointed to that Court that issues the Process, and with the Teste of the Chief Justice or Chief Judge of that Court or Sessions.

Every Capias ought to be returnable the ensuing Term, for the Miss But for this, chief that might otherwise besal the Prisoner in being kept always in Cro. Eliz 467. Dyer 175.

1 Lev. 143.

The Capias utlagatum can issue only in Term-time, being a Judicial Latch. 11. Writ; yet in pleading an Outlawry the Party need not alledge that it 1 Lutw 333. issued in Term-time; for that it shall be so intended, unless the con-

If the Process be against a Feme, and the Words are, Quas recupera- Cro. fac. 577. vit versus Eum, instead of Eam; this is (b) such an Error for which the (b) So an Outlawry may be reversed.

was reversed upon a Writ of Error, for that in the Exigent it was sourteen in Figures, and not in Words. 2 Keb.

So where the Year of the Lord was in Figures, and not in Words. Stile 334.—So where it was ex infinuatione for ex infinuatione, for want of i, the Outlawry was held to be erroneous. Cro. Jac. 577.

If the Writ be Precipipimus volis instead of Precipimus volis, this is Stile 334. erroneous; for without a Command to the Sheriff the Writ is not good, and here there is none; the Word Precipipimus being senseless is of no greater Force than if omitted.

## 3. Where for Clariance in such Processes the Dutlawry may be reversed.

If there be a Variance between the Original and Extent or other Pro- Fitz. Utlagacefs, for this the Outlawry may be reverfed.

77, 41.

870. Variance,
90. Misnomer, 80. Error, 172.

As a Variance between the original Writ and Filazer's Rule. 2 Leon. 120. So where in Error to reverse an Outlawry in Trespass, in the Original Cro. Eliz.240. the Plaintiff was named Barnes, and in the Exigent Bernes; this was held Elden ver. Error; so where in the Original it was Blaba sua, and the Exigent was Barnes. Blada; this was held a plain Variance, and the Outlawry was reversed.

So where in the Original the Party was named Agnes Gargrave of Cro. Jan. 5-6, Kingsly in Com' Ebor', and in the Exigent she is named Nuper de Kingsley; this was held Error.

303.

#### 4. Where for a defective Execution and Return the Dutlawzy may be reversed: And herein,

#### 1. To whom such Process is to issue and be executed.

The Exigent and several Processes in Order to an Outlawry, are to be directed to the Sheriff of the proper County; and fuch Care hath been taken that there might be no Surprize in the Affair, that in Civil Cases there are three several Offices concerned in the Issuing of such Procels; the first is the Chancery, out of which the Original issues; the second, the Philazer, who makes out the Capias, Alias and Pluries; and the third, the Exigenter, who makes out the Exigents; which feveral Process must be legally executed before the Party can be said to be outa Haw! P.C. lawed; therefore if the Sheriff returns a Cepi, if he have not the Body at the Day, the Court will not award an Exigent on the Suggestion of

an Escape, unless the Sheriff will return one.

If the Exigent be directed to the Sheriffs of the City of Lincoln, and Cro Fac. 576. the Direction is Quod Capias Corpus ejus ita quod Habeas Corpus ejus, where (as it was objected) it ought to have been Capiatis & habeatis; yet this is no Error, for they are both but one Officer to the Court, and tho' in the End of the Writ it was Ita quod habeatis ibi hoc breve; this was likewife held to be good, and no way repugnant, being good both Ways.

But if in the Direction of Process of Outlawry to the Sheriffs of Lon-E'etley 93. In Rep. 150. don, it be Preceipimus tibi instead of vobis; this is such an Error for which the Outlawry will be reversed, because that the Court will ex

officio take Notice that there are two Sheriffs in London.

Judgment of Outlawry is given by the Coroner at the fifth County-Tyer 223. fl. Court, upon the Party's not appearing to the Exigent, (which is a Writ, Bro Ceran. commanding the Sheriff to cause the Desendant to be demanded from 166. County-Court to County-Court until he be outlawed, &c.) and fuch 3 Inft. 212. Judgment is entered thus, Ideo, &c. per judicium Coronatoris Domini Regis Comitatus prædic? utlagatus eft.

If the Judgment appear not by the Return of the Exigent to have Co. Lit. 288. Dier 317. pl 6. been given by the Coroner, it is erroneous, except in London, where the Mayor by Custom is Coroner, and the Judgment given by the Recorder. S Co. 126. Cro. Eliz. 6+3.

Palm. 43. Cro. Fac. 358, 531. 1 Rol. Rep. 266.

2 Hale's Hift. If there be two Coroners in a County, the Calling upon the Exigent P. C. 204. may be by one of them, and likewife one alone may give the Judgment of Outlawry; but it feems, the Return must be by two in Ministerial Acts; the Name of the Coroner must be subscribed to the Judgment of Outlawry at the Quinto exactus upon an Outlawry of Felony; and it must be subscribed also by the Name of their Office, A. B. & C. D. Coronatores, unless in London, where the Mayor is Coroner; the Sheriff's Name and Office must also be subscribed to the Return of the Exigent,

e.g. A.B. Armiger vicecomes.

If after the Quinto exactus the Coroners refuse to give Judgment of N.y 113. An Attachment granted against the Goroners of Stafford for such an Offence were fined 10 l.
Coroners of but after the Judgment of the Outlawry pronounced, they may (a) stay the Return of the Exigent for to be advised, if the Case requires it. York.

Certiorari lies to return the Outlawry, which must be returned by the Sheriss on the Exigi facias, and fuch Return recorded in the Court above. Dyer 223. a.

By the Statute of 34 H. 8. cap. 14. The Clerks of the Crown, Clerks 2 Hale's Hift. of Assise, and Clerks of the Peace, are to certify into the King's Bench the Names of all Persons outlawed, attainted or convicted; and upon Letter from the Justices aforesaid, Certificates shall be made of such Persons outlawed, attaint or convict, to the Justices of Gaol-Delivery.

2. To what Place the Process is to issue; and therein of the Quinto exactus, and Proclamations on an Out-lawry.

The Exigent must be sued to the County where the Party really re- sue. Exigent, sides, for there all Actions were originally laid; and because that Out- 26. lawries were at first only for Treason, Felony, or very enormous Trespasses, the Process was to be executed at the Torn, which is the Sheriss's Criminal Court; and this held not only before the Sheriss but before the Coroners, who were ancient Conservators of the Peace, being the best Men in each County, to preside with the Sheriss in his Court, and who pronounced the Outlawry in the County-Court on the Party's being Quinto exactus; and therefore anciently there was no Occasion for any Process to any other County than that in which the Party actually resided; but this Matter being since altered, and the Learning thereof depending on several Acts of Parliament, it will be necessary to take Notice of the Statutes themselves.

And first, it is enacted by the 6 H. 6. cap. 1. That before any Exigents be awarded against Persons indicted in the King's Bench of Treason or Felony, Writs of Capias shall be directed as well to the Sheriff or Sheriffs of the County wherein they be indicted, as to the Sheriff or Sheriffs of the County whereof they be named in the Incictments; the same Capias having the Space of six Weeks at the least, or longer Time, by the Discretion of the said Justices, if the Case require it, before the Return of the same; which Writs so returned, the Justices shall proceed in the Manner as they had done before the Statute; and if any Exigent be awarded, or any Outlawry pronounced against such Persons, before the Return of the said Writs, the same Exigent so awarded, with the Outlawry thereof pronounced, shall be void and holden for none.

And it is farther Enacted by 8 H. 6. cap. 10. 'That upon every In-6 dictment or Appeal, by the which any Subject dwelling in other Coun-6 ties than where such Indictment or Appeal shall be taken of Tre son, Felony and Trespass, before the Justices of the Peace, or before any other having Power to take such Indichments or Appeals, or other Commissioners or Justices in any County, Franchise or Liberty of 6 England, before any Exigent awarded, prefently after the first Writ of \* Capias returned another Writ of Capias shall be awarded, directed to the Sher.ff of the County whereof he who is indicted is or was supopoled to be conversant, by the same Indictment, returnable before the fame Justices, before whom he is indicted or appealed, at a certain Day, containing the Space of three Months from the Date of the ' faid last Writ, where the Counties be holden from Month to Month, and where the Counties be holden from fix Weeks to fix Weeks, the Space of four Months, until the Day of the Return of the faid Writ, by which Writ of fecond Capias the Sheriff shall be commanded to take him which is fo indicted or appealed by his Body, if he can be found within his Bailiwick; and if he cannot be found within his Bailiwick, 6 to make Proclamation in two Counties before the Return of the fame 6 Writ, that he which is fo indicted or appealed shall appear before the faid Justices, &c. at the Day contained in the said Writ, to answer, ' &c. after which Writ fo served and returned, if he which is so indicted or appealed come not at the Day of fuch Writ returned, the Exigent Vol. III,

fhall be awarded; and that every Exigent and Outlawry otherwife awarded or pronounced shall be holden for none and void.

But it is expresly provided, 'That the above recited Statute con-cerning Process to be made before the King in his Bench stand in

- 6 Force, and that this prefent Statute shall not extend to Indictments or Appeals taken within the County of Chefter; and that if any Perfons shall be indicted or appealed of Felony or Treason, and at the
- 'Time of the same Felony or Treason supposed was conversant within
- 6 the County whereof the Indictment or Appeal makes mention, the like

Process to be made against them as was used before.

And it is farther enacted by 10 H. 6. cap. 6. 'That fuch fecond Capias as is required by 8 H. 6. cap. 10. shall be awarded upon Indichments or

4 Appeals removed into the King's Bench, or elsewhere, by Certiorari

or otherwise.

And by the 31 Eliz. cap. 3. it is enacted, ' That in every Action perfonal, wherein any Writ of Exigent shall be awarded out of any Court, one Writ of Proclamation shall be awarded and made out of the same 6 Court having Day of Teste and Return, as the said Writ of Exigent 6 shall have directed, and delivered of Record to the Sheriff of the County where the Defendant, at the Time of the Exigent fo awarded,

- fhall be dwelling; which Writ of Proclamation shall contain the Effect
- of the same Action: And that the Sheriff of the County, unto whom any fuch Writ of Proclamation shall be directed, shall make three Pro-
- clamations in this Form following, and not otherwise; that is to fay,
- one of the same Proclamations in the open County-Court, and one other of the same Proclamations to be made at the General Quarter-
- Seffions of the Peace in those Parts where the Party Defendant, at
- 6 the Time of the Exigent awarded, shall be dwelling, and one other of
- the fame Proclamations to be made one Month at the least before the
- · Quinto exactus by Virtue of the faid Writ of Exigent, at or near the 6 most usual Door of the Church or Chapel of that Town or Parish
- where the Defendant shall be dwelling at the Time of the Exigent so
- 4 awarded; and if the Defendant shall be dwelling out of any Parish,
- then in fuch Place, as aforesaid, of the Parish in the same County, and next adjoining to the Place of the Desendant's Dwelling, and upon
- a Sunday immediately after Divine Service and Sermon, if any Sermon
- there be; and if no Sermon there be, then forthwith after Divine Ser-
- ' vice; and that all Outlawries had and pronounced, and no Writs of ' Proclamations awarded and returned according to the Form of this
- Statute, shall be utterly void and of none Effect.

In the Construction of these Statutes the following Opinions have been holden:

Cro. Iliz. 179. 3 Co. 59. Plow. 137. Hob. 166.

That tho' the Words are express, that any Outlawry pronounced contrary to the Directions of the Statute shall be void; yet it is not to be taken, as if fuch Outlawries were absolutely void, but only voidable by Writ of Error.

2 Hawk P.C.

If a Defendant be expresly named of the same County wherein he is indicted or appeared, and be ano named disconnected in that been adjudged, that there is no need of any Capias, with a Compactor of S. H. 6. because that which comes mand for Proclamation according to 8 H. 6. because that which comes under the Alias distus is no Way traversable nor material: Also if a Defendant be named of B. and late of C. there is no need of any Capias to the Sheriff of the County wherein C. lies; because that it appears, that the Defendant is at present conversant at B. but if a Defendant be named of no certain Place at present, but only late of B. and late of C. and late of D.  $\mathfrak{Se}$ , being all of them in Counties different from that in which the Profecution is commenced, a Capias shall go to the Sheriff of every one of those Counties.

On a Writ of Error to reverse an Outlawry upon the Statute of 5 Eliz. Cro. Fac. 167. of Perjury, the first Error assigned was, that he was indicted by the Leeche's Case, Name of N. L. de parochia de Aldgate, and not shew in what County Aldgate is. 2dly, For that a County-Court was held 23 Feb. and the next County-Court was held 23 March following, fo as there were not twentyeight Days between these two County-Courts, as there ought to be by the Law, exclusive and not inclusive. And for the first Cause it was reversed; altho' it was objected to be well enough, because Middlesex was in the Margin, fo the Parish should be intended to refer thereto; but because an Indictment shall not be taken by Intendment, and because the County in the Margin shall be referred to the Place where the Offence was committed, and not to the Indictment of the Party; and by the Statute of 8 H. 6. there ought to be the Addition of the Place and County where the Party indicted inhabits; therefore it was held to be ill, and reversed for the second Cause; also it was held to be erroneous; but Tanfield faid, that ought to be affigned as an Error in Fait, for it might be Leap-Year, and then it is good, and that Matter issuable.

If an Exigi facias be delivered to the Sheriff, and there are but two 2 Hale's Hift. County-Courts before the Return, and the Sheriff return the first and P. C. 201-2. fe cond Exactus, & non comparait, and that there were no more County-Days between the Delivery of the Writ to him and the Day of the Return, there may iffue a special Exigi facias with an Allocato comitatu, if it be prayed after the Return, and before any new County-Day be past; but if any County-Day be past between the last of the former County-Days and the Return, no Exigi facias shall issue with an Allocato comitatu, but an Exigi facias de novo; for the Demand of the Party must be at five County-Courts fuccessively held one after another without any County-Court intervening; so if after the second Exactus the Offender render himself, and find Mainprize, and at the Day of the Return make Default, no Exigi facias with an Allocato Comitatu shall issue, because three County-Day's intervened, but a new Exigent and a Capias against the Bail.

And therefore it hath been holden, that in London, where the Holding Palm. 287. of the Hustings is uncertain, no Exigi facias shall issue with an allocato 2 Leon. 14.

Hustings, because the Court cannot take Notice of the set Times of holding 2 Hale's High P. C. 202. it, as they may of the Times of holding the County-Courts; but it is now agreed, that if an Exigent issues in London, and they begin Husting de placito terræ (as they may) they shall proceed along at that Hustings to the Outlawry, without mingling their Hustings de communibus placitis; but if an allocato Hufting comes, they shall proceed without omiting any Hufting.

#### 3. What hall be said a good Execution and Return.

Before a Person is pronounced outlawed he is to be Quinquies exactus, for he hath three Days for Appearance, one for Grace, and if he stands in Contempt at all these Days, at the fifth County-Court he is pronounced outlawed by the Coroners; and therefore (a) if a Person be out- (a) Cro Face lawed the Day of the Quinto exactus, this is Error, because he hath all Palm. 280. that Day to appear.

But if an Exigent be awarded against A. and after he is Quinto exactus, Nov 49. and before the Return of the Exigent, he dies, yet the Outlawry shall Hartland ver. stand in its Force, and shall not be reversed; for Judgment was by the Yates. Coroners upon the Quinto exactus, and they may certify the Outlawry; but otherwise (b) if A, had died before the Quinto exactus.

(b) If upon an Indict-

ment of Murder an Exigent be awarded, but before the Return the Party dies, his Executors may by Writ of Error, fetting forth the special Matter, reverse the Proceedings. 5 Co. 111. a. Eaton's Case cited in Foxley's Case.—That an Executor may reverse an Outlawry. 2 Keb. 507.—That an Heir 2 Hawk. P. C. 461.—But a Gaoler or Sheriff cannot take any Advantage of an Error in an Outlawry. Dyer 67. a. 3 Keb. 286.

If

If, on an Outlawry against two, it be returned, that Exacti non comparation, without faying nee aliquis corum comparatit, this is erroneous; Clark's Case. for peradventure one of them did appear.

2 Rel. Rep.
440. S.C. adjudged. 3 M.d. So. S. P. adjudged.

Cro. Fac 358. So where a Capias, and thereupon an Exigent, was awarded against five, viz. three Men and two Women, and the Return was, Quod ad quartum comitatum, &e. non comparuerunt, without faying nee corum aliquis comparuit; and this was held to be manifest Error; and it being likewise returned utlagati existunt, where for the Women it ought to have been Wariata, this was likewise held to be Error.

2 Hale's Hift. The Return must shew where the County-Court was held, and in P. C. 203: what County, and this must be shewn on every Exactus; and therefore (a) Stile 451. (a) an Outlawry was reversed, because the Place where the County-(b) 1 Keb. 50. Court was held was not shewn on the fecund Exactus; so (b) where not shewn on the tertio Exactus.

Cro. Jac. 616. Also the Party must be named of such a Place (c) in Com' Midd', and (c) An Out- not de Midd'.

lawry in Lon-

don was reversed upon a Writ of Error, because the Hustings were set out to be held in, but not sor the City. Trin. 6 Geo. 2. Martin ver. Duckett.

11 H. 7. 10.a. If the Sheriff returns, that ad Comitatum meum S. tent' apud C. and 2 Rol Abr. fays not in Com' pr.ed', or in Com' S. this is erroneous.

802.
2 Hale's Hift. P. C. 203.

So if it be ad Comitatum meum tentum apud S. in Com' Somers, and says not ad Comitatum meum Somers, or ad Comitatum Somers, without saying ad Comitatum meum Somerset; this is erroneous.

So an Outlawry was reverfed, for that the Proclamations were returned to be ad Comitat' meum tent' apud such a Place in Com' prædiæ', and not faid pro Com'; for antiently one Sheriff had two or three Counties, and might hold the Court in one County for another.

2 Shew. 60, 68. 1 Lev. 164.

So if it be Anno Regni Dominæ Reginæ, without saying Elizabethæ, without saying Reginæ, or Anno Regni Domini Regis Jacobi, without saying Regni sayi

So if the Return be ad Husting tent' apud Guild-ball Civitatis London, without faying de communibus Placitis, it is erroneus; because they have two Hustings, one de Communibus Placitis, another de placitis terræ.

If an Outlawry be returned, that the Party was exact at three feveral Times, 10 fac. and that he was Quarto exact 25th Day of Feb' & non comparut, without mentioning any Year, & Quinto exact fuch a Day in March, 10 fac, altho' it may be intended, that he was Quarto exact in 10 fac. yet the Outlawry shall not be good by Intendment; for perhaps the Clerk would have made it Quarto Exact 8 fac, which would have been clearly bad.

2 Rol. Abr. So2. 2 Hale's Hift. P. C. 203. 2 Rol. Abr. So3. Chap-

man's Cale.

#### (F) Of the Manner of reverling an Out= lawly; and therein of the Difference be= tween Errors in Fact and in Law.

Outlawries are regularly to be reversed by Plea by Writ of Identi- 2 Hile's High tate nominis, or by Writ of Error, for any Errors, be they Errors P. U. 207. in Fact or in Law.

As to Errors in Fact; as that in Felony, the Party was an Infant under Co. Lit. 259. the Age of fourteen, was in Prison or beyond Sea; these can regularly <sup>2</sup> Hawk. P.C. be only taken Advantage of by Writ of Error; but it is agreed, that by the Common Law in favorem vitæ an Outlawry of Treason or Felony might be avoided by Plea, that the Desendant was in Prison, or in the King's Service beyond Sea, &c. at the Time of the Outlawry pronounced against him; but that no Outlawry for any other Crime (against a Party rightly described) can be avoided by Plea of any Matter of Fact what-

foever.

As to avoiding of an Outlawry of Felony, because the Party was be- 2 Rol. Abr. yond the Sea, these Differences are laid down by Rolle and Hale, as 804. agreed to by the Court. 1st, That if a Man, having committed a P. C. 208. Felony, goes beyond the Sea voluntarily, or upon his own Occasions, and not in the King's Service, before any Exigent awarded, tho' after the Indictment, and then an Exigent is awarded, and the Offender beyond the Sea is outlawed for the Felony, he may affign it for Error. 2dly, But if after the Exigent awarded upon the Indictment of Felony, then he goes beyond the Sea voluntarily, or upon his own Occasions, and being so beyond Sea is outlawed, he shall not avoid it by such being beyond Sea; because by the Exigent awarded he has Notice of the Profecution, and by fuch a Means he may avoid his Conviction, by staying till all the Witnesses are dead. 3dly, But yet prima facie the Error in that Case is well assigned, by alled ing he was ultra mare tempore promulgationis utlagariæ; and if he were in the Realm after the Exigent issued, it shall come in by the Plea of the King's Attorney to shew it. 4thly, But if he were within the Realm at the Time of the Exigent issued, and went beyond the Sea upon the Service of the King or Kingdom, and then is outlawed, being beyond the Sea, this Outlawry shall be reversed; if the Party alledge generally, that he was ultra mare tempore promulgations utlagariæ, and the King's Attorney reply, that he was in England tempore emanationis brevis de Exigi facias, it is a good Replication for the Plaintiff in the Writ of Error to alledge, that he went out after the Exigent, and before the Outlawry pronounced, upon the King's Command or Service, and shew it specially, and so confess and avoid the Plea.

As to the Avoiding an Outlawry in Treason, on the Party's being beyond Sea, it is enacted by the 26 H. 8. cap. 13. and 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 11. That all Process of Outlawry to be had or made within this Realm ae gainst any Offenders in Treason, being resiant or inhabiting out of the

- 6 Limits of this Realm, or in any of the Farts beyond the Seas, at the 'Time of the Outlawry pronounced against them, shall be as good and
- effectual in Law, to all Intents and Purpofes, as if fuch Offenders had
- been refident and dwelling within this Realm at the Time of fuch Process awarded, and Outlawry pronounced; (a) provided that the Party (a) For this,
- 6 fo to be outlawed shall, within one Year next after the said Outlawry vide Dyer 287-
- pronounced, yield himfelf to the Chief Justice of England for the Pl. 48.
- Fine being, and offer to traverse the Indictment or Appeal whereon 2 for 180.

  the said Outlawry shall be pronounced, as is aforesaid, that then he 4 Med. 366.
- fhall be received to the same Traverse; and being thereupon found Vol. III.

Not guilty by the Verdict of twelve Men, he shall be clearly ac-

quitted and discharged of the said Outlawry, &c.

2 Hawk. P. C. 458-9. and feveral Authori ics there cited.

It is the allowed Practice of the Court of Common Pleas to suffer a Desendant, coming in by Capias utlagatum the same Term on which an Exigent is returnable, to avoid the Outlawry without Writ of Error, by shewing, that he purchased a Supersedeas out of the same Court, and delivered it to the Sheriff before the Quinto exactus, &c. or by shewing any other Matter apparent on Record which makes the Outlawry erroneous; as the Want of an Original, or the Omission of Process, or Want of Form in a Writ of Proclamation, &c. or a Return by a Person appearing not to be Sheriff, or a Variance between the Original and Exigent, or other Process, or the Want of such Addition as required by 1 H. 5. yet it is said in many Books to be the constant Course of the Court of King's Bench never to reverse an Outlawry on the Crown-side, either in the same or a different Term, for these or other Errors of a like Nature, without a Writ of Error.

2 Hawk. P. C. 460, 461.

It is agreed, that any Outlawry whatfoever may be avoided by a Defendant's coming in upon the Capias utlagatum, and pleading a Misnomer either of the Name or Addition in the Writ, &c. as by shewing, that whereas he is called by fuch a Name of Baptism or Surname, he hath been always known by a different one, and not by that in the Writ,  $\mathcal{C}c$  or whereas he is named of such Estate, Degree or Mystery, that he hath some other Addition, and not that in the Writ, &c. also it is said in many Books, that he may plead, that there is no fuch Town as that whereof he is named; and it feems clearly agreed, that he may plead, that at the Time of the Writ purchased, and ever fince, he hath made his Abode at some other Town, and not at that in the Writ, &c. and it is faid, that by fuch Plea the Outlawry shall only be avoided as to the Person who pleads it, (who shall not be intended to be the Person meant) and shall stand in Force against the Person of the Name and Addition in the Record; but it is said, that a Person of the same Name and Addition as are mentioned in a Record of Outlawry cannot avoid it, by averring, that there are two Perfons of fuch Name and Addition, and that the Person intended is the Elder, and he himself is the Younger, but shall be put to his Writ De identitate nominis; which is faid by some to be the only Remedy in such Case, after an Outlawry returned; and it seems, that notwithstanding in Civil Cases, before an Outlawry is returned, one of the same Name may come into Court, and shew that he is not the Person intended; whereupon if the Plaintiff confess it, the Diversity of the Names shall be entered on the Roll, and a new Exigent shall issue, with a fuller Defcription of the Person intended; yet this cannot be done upon an Indictment without a Writ of Identitate nominis, because it would make the Process variant from the Indichment, which cannot be altered without the Confent of the Jurors.

8 Co. 141, 142 Doctor Durry's Cafe. Visuch. 158. S. C. cited.

If A brings an Audita querela against B and declares, that whereas B. had recovered against A. 2001. Debt, &c. and thereupon the said A was outlawed, and upon a Capias utlagatum taken, and in Execution at the Suit of the said B and after from the said Execution was delivered and suffered to go at large, &c. and yet B hath taken out Execution upon the said Judgment, and endeavours, &c. the Defendant may plead and shew how, that after the said Enlargement, and before the Purchase of the Audita querela, the Outlawry was set aside and made void; and so conclude and said and so conclude and said and said said.

(a For this, conclude Quod (1) non habetur tale recordum.

157. 3 Keb. 291. 1 Med. 111. Hern. Ent. 49. Asht. Etn. 143.

2 Vent. 46.
2 Jon. 211.
5 comb. 19.
2 Salk. 495.

If a Person procures another to be outlawed clandestinely, who appears openly and in Publick, the Court will, on Motion, oblige such Person

S. P. where an Outlawry was reversed, on Motion, at the Charge of him who procured it, on Assidation, that the Defendant was actually in the Fleet in Execution for the Plaintiff in another Suit, and

tha

Person who procures the Outlawry to reverse the same at his own Costs; that he know but if it appears, that the Party outlawed had lurked backward and for- it. - But ward between two Counties, and that the Person procuring the Outlawry in the same had dealt openly, and had been regular in fending down the Proclamations to the Sheriff of the County where he fometimes refided; the that the county will not interpose in this summary Manner, but will leave the Party Motions are to his ordinary Remedies by Plea or Writ of Error.

B R because it is a great Charge to reverse an Outlawry there, yet that it is otherwise in C.B. the Charge there being but 16s. 8d.

#### (G) What the Party must do in order to intitle him to a Reversal: And herein,

1. Of appearing in Person of by Attorney.

Egularly in all Outlawries, as well Personal as Criminal, the Party 2 Leon. 22. in order to reverse the same was to appear in Person, and could not appear by Attorney.

the Husband and Wife be-

ing outlawed, and the Wife refusing to appear, the Outlawry could not be reversed. Cro. Eliz. 611. -One outlawed prayed to appear by Attorney, and upon an Affidavit made of his Sickness, the Court ex speciali gratia allowed him to appear by Attorney; but the Clerk was commanded to enter it, Quod senit in propria persona, the Law being clear, that upon an Outlawry he ought to appear in Person. Cro Jac. 462.—Having once appeared in Person, the Residue of the Proceedings may be by Attorney. 2 Keb. 507.—Said that there was a Difference where the Error appeared on the Face of the Record; that in such Case Error may be assigned ter Attorn, without a special Rule of Court for that Purpose. Carth. 7.

But now by the 4 & 5 W. & M. cap. 18. For the more easy and speedy Reversing of Outlawries in the Court of King's Bench, it is enacted, 'That from and after the first Day of Easter Term thence ensuing, 6 no Person or Persons whatsoever, who are or shall be outlawed in the 6 said Court for any Cause, Matter or Thing whatsoever, (Treason and ' Felony only excepted,) shall be compelled to come in Person into or ape pear in Ferson in the faid Court to reverse such Outlawry, but shall or 6 may appear by Attorney and reverse the same without Bail in all Cases, 6 (except where special Bail shall be ordered by the said Court.)

And it is farther enacted by the faid Statute, 'That if any Person or Persons outlawed, or hereaster to be outlawed, in the said Court, (other 6 than for Treason or Felony,) shall from and after the said first Day of 6 Easter Term be taken and arrested upon any Capias utlagatum out of the faid Court, it shall and may be lawful to and for the Sheriff or Sheriffs, who hath or shall have taken and arrested such Person and ' Persons, (in all Cases were special Bail is not required by the said ' Court,) to take an Attorney's Engagement under his Hand to appear ' for the said Desendant or Desendants, and to reverse the said Outlawries, and thereupon to discharge the said Desendant and Desendants from fuch Arrests; and in those Cases, where special Bail is required by the faid Court, the faid Sheriff and Sheriffs shall and may take Security of the said Defendant or Defendants by Bond, with one or 6 more sufficient Surety or Sureties, in the Penalty of double the Sum for which special Bail is required, and no more, for his, her or their 6 Appearance by Attorney in the faid Court at the Return of the faid Writ, and to do and perform such Things as shall be required by the faid Court; and after fuch Bond taken to discharge the faid Defen-6 dant and Defendants from the faid Arrests.

And

And it is farther enacted by the faid Statute, 'That if any Person or Perfons outlawed as aforefaid, and taken and arrested upon a Capias utlagatum, shall not be able within the Return of the said Writ to give

- Security, as aforefaid, in Cases where special Bail is required, so as he or they are committed to Gaol for Default thereof, that whenfoever
- the faid Prisoner or Prisoners shall find sufficient Security to the She-
- ' riff or Sheriffs, in whose Custody he or they shall be, for his or their Appearance by Attorney in the faid Court at some Return in the Term
- then next following, to reverse the faid Outlawry or Outlawries, and
- and to do and perform such other Thing and Things as shall be re-' quired by the faid Court, it shall and may be lawful to and for the
- ' faid Sheriff and Sheriffs, after such Security taken, to discharge and

' fet at Liberty the faid Prisoner and Prisoners for the same; any Law

or Usage contrary notwithstanding.

2 Salk. 496.

It hath been held, that if the Party outlawed comes in by Cepi Corpus, he shall not be admitted to reverse the Outlawry without appearing in Person, as in such Case he was obliged to do at Common Law; or putting in Bail with the Shcriff for his Appearance upon the Return of the Cepi Corpus, and for doing what the Court shall order.

#### 2. Of giving Bail.

2 Hawk P.C. 98. Vide Title nal Causes, Letter (D).

By Westm. 1. cap. 9. it is expresly provided, that those who are outlawed, have abjured the Realm, &c. should be excluded the Benefit of Bail in Crimi- Replevin; yet it hath been always held, that the Court of King's Bench may in their Difcretion, in special Cases, bail a Person upon an Outlawry of Felony; as where he pleads, that he is not of the same Name, and therefore not the same Person with him that was outlawed, or alledges any other Error in the Proceedings.

> By the 3 Eliz. cap. 3. Sect. 3. it is enacted, 'That before any Allowance of any Writ of Error, or Reverfing of any Outlawry be had by

- EPlea, or otherwise, through or by want of any Proclamation to be had or made according to the Form of this Statute, the Defendant and De-
- ' fendants in the original Action shall put in Bail, not only to appear and answer to the Plaintiff in the former Suit in a new Action to be com-
- e menced by the faid Plaintiff for the Cause mentioned in the first Action,
- but also to satisfy the Condemnation, if the Plaintiff shall begin his Suit before the End of two Terms next after the Allowing the Writ of

Error, or otherwise Avoiding of the said Outlawry.

Carth. 459. Mattheres ver. Erbo.

A. who was a foreign Merchant and never in England, was outlawed at the Suit of B. in an Action on several Promises for Goods fold and delivered; and upon a special Capias utlagatum a Ship and other Effects belonging to A. were seised, as forfeited upon this Outlawry; and it was moved, that this Outlawry may be vacated, and Restitution awarded, upon Affidavits produced and read, that the Defendant was never Infra legem, i. e. that he never was in England, and therefore could not be outlawed, because that was putting him extra Legem. Sed per Cur': This Outlawry shall not be vacated upon such Assidavits, but the Defendant may bring a Writ of Error, which he was compelled to do, and thereupon to put in Bail to the Action in which he was outlawed according to the new Statute of 485 II. & M. and then the Plaintiff confented to the Reverfal of the Outlawry.

2 Salk 496.

H. was outlawed in two Actions, one was for 101. the other for 40 s. and upon reverling the Outlawry the Court took special Bail for the first, and an Appearance for the other, upon the Statute 4. & 5 17. & M. and the Recognizance was taken pursuant to 31 Eliz.

3

#### 3. Df fuing out a Scire facias.

It is clearly agreed, that an Attainder of Felony of a Person who had Dyer 34. th any Lands shall never be reversed by Writ of Error, without a Scire 20. facias against all the Ter-tenants and Lords mediate and immediate; 1 Keb. 141. but it is (a) settled, that such Scire facias is not necessary in the Case pl. 11. of High Treason.

3 Mod. 42, 47. 4 Mod. 366. 2 Hale's Hift. P. C. S. P. and that such Writ is to issue returnable at fifteen Days; and if any Lords do appear, they may plead to the Errors; and if the Sheriff return there are no Lands, &c. then the Court proceeds to examine the Errors.

(a) So ruled Mich to Anna, The Queen ver. Strafford, upon Examination of all the Precedents. 2 Hawk. P. C. 461. Ca. Law & Eq. 138.

Also it is said, that it is not necessary in the Case of Felony, when 2 Salk 493. it is suggested on the Roll that the Party had no Lands, and the Attorney General confesses it.

#### (H) The Effects and Consequences of a Reversal: And herein,

1. Where the Proceedings on the Reversal are in the same Plight as it no Dutlawzy had been.

IT is agreed, that after an Outlawry of Treason or Felony is reversed, cro. Fac. 464, the Party shall be put to plead to the Indicament, for that stills re- Cro. Car. 365, mains good, and (b) he may be tried at the King's Bench Bar; or the 3 Mod. 42. Record may be remitted into the Country, if it were removed into the o Mod. 1150 King's Bench by Certiorari, with a Command to the Justices below to Hist. P.C. 209. proceed by the Statute of 6 H. 6. cap. 6.

So if a Man be outlawed by Process in an Information, and comes in 1 Salk 371. and reverses the Outlawry, he must plead instanter to the Information.

Rex ver. Hill. 5 Mod. 141.

The Law is the same in Civil Cases; and therefore if an Outlawry in a March 9.

personal Action be reversed, the Original remains.

Trespass for taking and detaining his Beasts till he made a Fine, the 3 Lev. 245, Action was laid in Suffex; the Defendant pleads, that the Cause of Action Whitwick vers did not accrue within six Years before Suing of the Writ. The Plaintiff Hovenden, replies, that at another Time he brought an Original in Battery in London, intending when the Defendant had appeared to have declared for this Trespass; and that the Defendant was outlawed in London; and that within fuch a Time after the Reverfal of the Outlawry he declared here; the Defendant demurred; and for the Defendant it was infifted, that the Original being laid in London, he could not in this Action declare in another County, tho' the Cause of Action be transitory; but upon Information by the Prothonotaries that the Course of the Court is, that altho' the Original be laid in London for expediting the Outlawry, yet when the Defendant comes in, the Plaintiff may declare against him in any other County, be the Action local or transitory; and the Statute 21 Jac. 1. cap. 16. gives to Plaintiffs generally a Power to commence a new Suit within the Year after the Outlawry reversed; and that so he may do in this Case to warrant his Declaration within the Course of the Court; and Judgment was given for the Plaintiff. Vol. III. 2. **T**O

#### 2. To what the Party wall be reflozed on Reversal of the Dutlawzy.

It hath been adjudged, that if the King grant over the Lands of a 1 And. 1884 Person outlawed for Treason or Felony, and afterwards the Outlawry be (a) Shall, af. (a) reversed, the Party may enter on the Patentee, and needs neither to fue a Petition to the King, nor a Scire facias against the Patentee. ter Outlawry reverfed.

be restored to his Law, and to be of Ability to sue. Co. Lit. 288 b.

If the Goods of a Person outlawed are sold by the Sheriff upon a Ca-5 Co. 90. Hoe's Cafe. pias utlagatum, and after the Outlawry is reversed by Writ of Error, he 1 Rol. Abr. shall be restored to the Goods themselves; because the Sheriff was not 778. S. C. compellable to fell those Goods, but only to keep them to the Use of cited. Cro. Eliz. 278. the King.

S. P. adjudged; where a Termor being outlawed upon the Statute of Recufancy, the Lord Treasurer and Barons of the Exchequer fold the Term; & vide 2 Jon. 101. 2 Show. 68. and 3 Keb. 871. that there shall

be Restitution of Profits actually paid into the Exchequer.

Moor 269. Beverly ver. Cronwal.

If an Advowson comes to the King by Forseiture upon an Outlawry, and, the Church becoming void, the King prefents, and then the Outlawry is reverfed; yet the King shall enjoy that Presentment, because the Presentment there came to the King as the Profit of the Advowson.

Moor 269. agreed per Curiam.

But if the Church be void at the Time of the Outlawry, and the Prefentation is thereby forfeited as a Chattel principally and distinct of it felf, there, upon the Reverfal of the Outlawry, the Party shall be restored to the Presentation.

Cro Eliz. 170.

If a Termor being outlawed for Felony grants over his Term, and Ognel's Case, after the Outlawry is reversed, the Grantee may have Trespass for the 20, 13 Co. 20, Profits taken between the Reversal of the Outlawry and the Affigument; for by the Reversal it is as if no Outlawry had been, and there is no Record of it.

5 Mod. 61.

It is faid, that if a Man be outlawed in the King's Bench, and the Party's Goods are feifed into the King's Hands, and then the Outlawry is reversed, there can be no Restitution; the Reason whereof is, for that the Court of King's Bench cannot fend a Writ to the Treasurer; and the Court of Exchequer have no Record before them to iffue out a Warrant for Restitution.

2 Vern. 312. Peyton ver. Ayliffe; & 2 Lev 49. the Cafe of Pinfold ver. Northey.

It hath been adjudged in Chancery, that if A. being possessed of several Houses for a long Term for Years, mortgages the same, and is outlawed for High Treafon, upon which those Houses are seised into the King's Hands, and the same granted for valuable Consideration to J. S. who likewife gets an Affignment of the Mortgage; that yet the Representative of A. may redeem the Mortgage upon Reversal of the Outlawry; and herein the Lord Keeper faid, that the Judgment upon the Reversal is, that the Party shall be restored to all that has not been answered to the King; which in all Cases has been understood of the mesne Profits answered to the King, and not as to the principal Thing it felf, tho' feifed into the King's Hands; and that it was undoubtedly fo as to a Freehold or Inheritance, and he faw no fubstantial Difference in the Case of a Leasehold.

# Papilts and Popilly Reculants.

HE Laws for restraining the Growth of Popery, and by which Papists are subjected to divers Penalties, Forseitures, Disabilities and Inconveniencies, may be considered in general, as relating to Popish (a) Recusants, such who resuse to (a) i.e. Those make the Declaration against Popery, and such who promote, encourage or profess the Popish Religion; and these Laws, tho made for the Advancement of Religion and the Publick Good, yet being considered as being Persons Penal Laws have, like all other Penal Laws, been construed strictly.

Religion, and convicted of such Refusal or Recusancy, are termed Popish Recusants convict; but the 23 Eliz. cap. 1. extends 10 all Recusants; and the Courts cannot take Notice of the Grounds of their Recusancy, but must punish them for not coming to Church, without examining into the Cause why they did not. Skin. 99. per Sanders Ch. 1. but for this, vide Title Heresy, and Offences against Religion.—And what shall be Evidence 10 prove a Person a Popish Recusant convict, vide 1 Keb. 7.

For the better Understanding of these Penalties, &c. the Laws herein 1 Hawk. P.C. are ranked under the following Heads:

#### -(A) The Disabilities, Restraints, forseitures and Inconveniences which Popis Recusants are subject to: And herein,

- 1. Of their Disability to bring any Action.
  2. Of bearing any Publick Office or Charge.
- 3. Of claiming any Part of a Husband's personal Estate.
- 4. Of claiming an Estate by Curtesy or by way of Dowers after a Marriage against Law.
- 2. Of the Restraints they are put under: And herein,
  - 1. From going five Miles from Honac.
  - 2. From coming to Court.
  - 3. From keeping Arms.
  - 4. From coming within ten Miles of London.
- 3. Of the Forfeitures they are liable to: And herein,
  - 1. That of two Parts of a Jointure or Dower.
  - 2. That of 20 l. for not receiving the Sacrament yearly after Conformity.
  - 3. That of 101. for an unlawful Marriage.
  - 4. That of 100 l. for an Omission of lawful Baptism.
  - 5. That of 20% for an unlawful Burial.

- 4. Of the Inconveniencies they are subject to: And herein,
  - 1. That their Houses may be searched for Reliques, whether they be Men or Women.

2. If they be Women, and married, that they may be committed.

- (B) Of the Offence of not making a Declaration against Popery, and the Restraints it subjects them to: And herein,
  - 1. From fitting in Parliament.

2. Holding a Place at Court.

3. From living within ten Miles of London.

4. From keeping Arms.

- (C) Of the Offence in Promoting or Professing the Popist Beligion: And herein,
  - 1. Of the Offence of faying or hearing Mass or other Popish Service.
  - 2. Of giving or receiving Popish Education.

3. Of buying or felling Popish Books.

4. Of keeping School.

- 5. Of with-holding a competent Maintenance from a Protestant Child.
- 6. Of the Disability of those professing the Popish Religion to present to a Church.

7. Of their Disability to purchase.

- (A) The Disabilities, Restraints, Forfeitures and Inconveniencies which Popish Recusants are subject to: And herein,
  - 1. Df their Disability to bzing any Action.

PY the 3 Jac. 1. cap. 5. fest. 11. it is enacted, 'That every Popish' Recutant convict shall stand to all Intents and Purposes disabled as a Person lawfully excommunicated, and as if such Person had been

- as a Person lawfully excommunicated, and as if such Person had been to denounced and excommunicated according to the Laws of this Realm, until he or she shall conform, &c. and that every Person sued by
- s Realm, until he or the thall conform, &c. and that every Person sued by fuch Person so disabled, may plead the same in disabling of such Plain-
- tiff as if he or she were excommunicated by Sentence in the Eccle-
- 6 siastical Court, except the Action of such Recusant do concern some 6 Hereditament or Lease, which is not to be seised into the King's

6 Hands by Force of some Law concerning Recusancy.

#### Papilts and Popilly Reculants.

In the Construction of this Branch of the Statute it hath been holden, Noy 89.

That the Plea of such a Conviction, like all other Pleas in Disability, Latch 176.

Heth. 18.

ought to be pleaded before (a) Imparlance, and also to conclude with a 1 Hawk. P. C.

(b) Demand if the Plaintiff shall be answered.

be pleaded after a general Imparlance, but may be pleaded Puis darrein continuance, because being in Disability of the Person, may accrue after a Continuance. 1 Mod. Ca. in Law and Eq. 43, 38 to (b) In Debt for Rent by the Plaintists as Executors of J. S. Defendant pleads in Abatement by Pet judicium de brevi, &c. for that one of the Plaintists is a Popish Recusant convict, and Quast excomby this Statute; but it was held, that this, as here, ought not to be pleaded in Abatement, because the Writ is not abated thereby, but only suspended; and the Pleading ought to be Responder in non debent. 3 Lev. 208.—So where Judgment was demanded generally. 1 Mod. Ca. in Law and Eq. 43, 38to

That fuch Plea ought also to shew before what Justices the Conviction Nov So. was, that the Court may know where to fend for a Certificate thereof, Latch 176. if it be denied; and also that the Record it self, or at least a Certificate thereof, ought to be immediately produced, according to the general Rule of Law, as to all dilatory Pleas grounded on Records.

That if after such a Plea it be certified, that the Plaintiff hath con- Hetl. 176. formed, and thereupon the Defendant be ordered to plead in chief, and then the Plaintiff relapse, and be convict again, the Defendant cannot

plead the fame in Difability a fecond Time.

That it must appear, either from the Conviction it self, or by proper 3 Lev. 11, 12, Averments, that the Plaintiff is convicted of Popish Recusancy, because 332 3. no Recusants, except popish ones, are within the said Clause; but this 2 Lntw. 1117. is sufficiently set forth, by alledging, that the Plaintiff being Papalis Recusans was indicted and convicted secundum formam Statuti, &c.

It feems to be the better Opinion, that this being a Penal Law, and 2 Bulft. 155. therefore to be construed strictly, the Words As Persons lawfully excomCawley 216.

municate, &c. mean no more than to disable the Party, in the same 1 Hawk. P.C.

Manner as an excommunicated Person, to bring an Action, but do not 23.4.

Subject the Party to the other Consequences of an Excommunication.

#### 2. Of bearing any Publick Office og Charge.

By the 3 Jac. 1. cap. 5. sed. 8. it is enacted, 'That no Recufant convict shall at any Time practife the Common Law of this Realm as a Counfellor, Clerk, Attorney or Solicitor in the same; nor shall practife the Civil Law as Advocate or Proctor; nor practife Physick, nor use or exercise the Trade or Art of an Apothecary; nor shall be Judge, Minister, Clerk or Steward of or in any Court, or keep any Court; nor shall be Register or Town-Clerk, or other Minister or Officer in any Court; nor shall bear any Office or Charge as Captain, Lieutenant, Corporal, Sergeant, Antient Bearer, or other Office in 6 Camp, Troop, Band or Company of Soldiers; nor shall be Captain, Master, Governor, or bear any Office of Charge of or in any Ship, · Castle or Fortress of the King's Majesty's, his Heirs and Successors, but be utterly disabled for the same; and every Person offending herein fhall also forfeit for every such Offence 100 l. the one Moiety whereof fhall be to the King's Majesty, his Heirs and Successors, and the other 6 Moiety to him that will fue for the same by Action of Debt, Bill, 6 Plaint or Information, in any of the King's Majesty's Courts of Record; wherein no Effoin, Protection, or Wager of Law shall be ad-6 mitted or allowed. And by Sest. 9. of the faid Statute it is farther enacted, 'That no 6 Popish Recusant convict, nor any having a Wife being a Popish Re-

cusant convict, nor any naving a wife being a Popili Recusant convict, shall exercise any Publick Office or Charge in the
Commonwealth, but shall be utterly disabled to exercise the same by
himself or by his Deputy, (except such Husband himself, and his
Vol. III.

9 N

6 Chil-

- Children which shall be above the Age of nine Years abiding with him,
- and his Servants in Houshold, shall once every Month at the least, not having any reasonable Excuse to the contrary, repair to some Church
- or Chapel usual for Divine Service, and there hear Divine Service; and
- the faid Husband, and fuch his Children and Servants as are of meet
- ' Age, receive the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper at such Times as
- are limited by the Laws of this Realm, and do bring up his faid Chil-
- ' dren in true Religion.)

only to those which are particularly enumerated. 2dly, That this latter expressly disables a Popish Recusant to exercise such an Office by himself or his Deputy, but the other says nothing at all of the Exercise of an Office by a Deputy.

## 3. Of claiming any Part of a Husband's Personal Estate.

By the 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. feet. 10. it is enacted, 6 That every Woman 6 being a Popish Recusant convict, (her Husband not standing convicted 6 of Popish Recusancy) which shall not conform herself and remain conformed, but shall forbear to repair to some Church or usual Place of 6 Common Prayer, and there hear Divine Service and Sermon, if any 6 then be, and receive the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, according to 6 the Laws of this Realm, by the Space of one whole Year next before 6 the Death of her said Husband, shall not only be disabled to be Executivity or Administratrix of her said Husband, but also to have or demand 6 any Part of her said Husband's Goods or Chattels by any Law, Cuftom or Usage whatsoever'; and by 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. sect. 13. every Woman is put under the like Disability, being a Popish Recusant, who shall be married otherwise than according to the Church of England.

## 4. Of claiming an Estate by Curtesy, or by Way of Bower, after a Marriage against Law.

By the 3 Fac. 1. cap. 5. fect. 13. it is enacted, 6 That every Man who, 6 being a Popish Recusant convict, shall be married otherwise than in 6 some open Church or Chapel, and otherwise than according to the 6 Orders of the Church of England, by a Minister lawfully authorised, 6 shall be disabled to have any Estate as Tenant by the Curtesy; and 6 that every Woman, being a Popish Recusant convict, who shall be 6 married in other Form than as aforesaid, shall be disabled to claim 6 her Dower, or Jointure, or Widow's Estate.

## 2. Of the Reckraints they are put under: And herein, 1. From going five Wiles from Bome.

To this Purpose it is enacted by 35 Eliz. cap. 2. and 3 Jac. 1. cap. 5. sect. 6, 7. That every Popish Recusant convict shall repair to his Place of Dwelling, &c. and not remove above five Miles from thence, unless he be urged by Process, &c. or have a Licence from the Privy Council, &c. or under the Hands and Seals of four Justices of the Peace, with the Assentia Writing of the Lieutenant of the County, or of the Bishop, &c. (every Licence of which Kind by Justices of Peace must express both the particular Cause and the Time for which it was given,

and ought not to be granted without a previous Oath of some reasonable Cause,) under Pain of forfeiting all his Goods and Hereditaments, (whether Frechold or Copyhold,) for his Life, or of abjuring

the Realm, if he be not worth twenty Marks a Year, or forty Pounds

in Goods, unless he recant before Conviction, and also continue con-

formable.

In the Construction hereof it hath been holden, that the Privy Coun- 1 Hauk. P.C. cil may grant fuch Licence without any fuch special Cause or Oath, 25 &c. but that Justices of Peace cannot. Also it hath been holden, that in pleading a Licence of Justices of Peace, it must be expresly shewn that it was made under their Hands and Seals; also the Cause in particular Cro. Fac. 352. for which it was granted must be set sorth, and the Time for which it was 1 Rel. Rep. limited, and that the Party was fworn to the Truth of fuch Caufe.

It is faid, that if the same Person be both a Justice of Peace and a 1 Hazek, P.C. Lieutenant, he cannot both join in a Licence as Justice of Peace, and 25.

also give his Assent as Lieutenant, but can only act in one Capacity. It feems, that the Miles shall be computed according to the English Cawley 130.

Manner, allowing 5280 Foot, or 1760 Yards, to each Mile; and that Cro. Eliz. 212. the same shall be reckoned not by straight Lines, as a Bird or Arrow may fly, but according to the nearest and most usual Way.

#### 2. From coming to Court.

By the 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. feet. 2. it is enacted, 'That no Popish Recufant convict shall come into the Court or House where the King or his Heir apparent shall be, unless he be commanded so to do by the King, upon Pain of 1001. &c. And it is farther enacted by 3 Car. 2. Stat. 2. feet. 5 & 6. that every Popish Recusant convict, who shall come advisedly into or remain in the Presence of the King or Queen, or shall 6 come into the Court or House where they or any of them reside, shall • be disabled to hold or execute any Office or Place of Trust Civil or Military, or to sue in Law or Equity, or to be an Executor, &c. or capable of any Legacy or Deed of Gift, and shall forfeit for every offence 5001. unless such Person do, within the Term next after such the Coming or Remaining take the Ocales of Allegian. his Coming or Remaining, take the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, and make the Declaration against Transubstantiation and the Invoca-<sup>6</sup> tion of Saints, &c. in the Court of Chancery.

#### 3. From keeping Arms.

By the 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. feet. 27, 28, 29. it is enacted, 'That all such Armour, Gun-powder, and Munition of whatsoever Kinds, as any 6 Popish Recusant convict shall have in his own House, or elsewhere, or in the Possession of any other, at his Disposition, shall be taken from him by Warrant of sour Justices of Peace at their General or Quarter-Seffions, (except fuch necessary Weapons as shall be allowed him by the faid four Justices for the Defence of his Person or House, and that the faid Armour, &c. fo taken, shall be kept at the Costs of such Recusants in such Place as the said four Justices at their said Sessions shall  $\epsilon$  appoint; and that if any fuch Recufant, having fuch Armour,  $\mathfrak{S}c$  or 6 if any other Person who shall have any such Armour,  $\mathcal{C}c$ , to the Use 6 of fuch Recufant, shall refuse to discover to the said Justices, or any 6 of them, what Armour he hath, or shall let or hinder the Delivery thereof to any of the faid Justices, or to any other Person authorised by their Warrant to take the fame, that then every Person so offending shall forfeit his said Armour, &c. and also be imprisoned for three Marrent without Bail by Warrent from any Justice of Peace of Such

6 Months without Bail, by Warrant from any Justice of Peace of such 6 County; and it is farther enacted, that notwithstanding the taking

away fuch Armour, &c. yet fuch Recusant shall be charged with the Maintaining of the same, and with the Providing of a Horse, &c. in

fuch Sort as others of his Majesty's Subjects.

#### 4. From coming within ten Miles of London.

By the 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. sect. 4, 5. it is enacted, 6 That no Popish Recusant, &c. shall remain within the Compass of ten Miles of London,

under Pain of 100 l. except such Persons as at the Time of the said

Act did use some Trade, Mystery or manual Occupation in London, &c.

and fuch as shall have their only Dwelling in London, &c.

### 3. Df the forfeitures they are liable to: And herein,

#### 1. That of two Parts of a Jointure oz Dower.

By the 3 Jac. 1. cap. 5. feet. 10. it is enacted, 'That every married Woman, being a Popish Recusant convict, (her Husband not standing

convicted of Popish Recusancy,) who shall not conform herself and remain conformed, but shall forbear to repair to some Church or usual

Flace of Common Prayer, and there to hear Divine Service and Ser-

o mon, if any then be, and receive the Sacrament of the Lord's Sup-

e per, according to the Laws of this Realm, within one Year next before the Death of her faid Husband, shall forfeit to the King the Pro-

fits of two Parts of her Jointure and Dower of any Hereditaments of

her faid Husband, &c.

## 2. That of 201. for not receiving the Sacrament yearly after Conformity.

By the 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. feet. 1, 2, 3. it is enacted, 'That if any Popish Recusant convict who hath conformed himself to the Church,
Ec. shall not receive the Sacrament in his own Parish-Church, &c.

within one Year after his Conformity, he shall forfeit 20 1. and for

6 the fecond Year 40 l. and for every Year after 60 l. &c.

#### 3. That of 100 l. for an unlawful Marriage.

By the 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. feet. 13. it is enacted, 6 That every Popish 6 Recusant convict, who shall be married to a Woman who is no Inhe-6 ritrix, otherwise than according to the Church of England, shall for-6 feit 100 l.

#### 4. Chat of 100 l. foz an Omission of lawful Baptism,

By the 3 Fac. 1. cap. 5. feet. 14. it is enacted, 'That every Popish Reculant shall, within one Month after the Birth of his Child, cause the same to be baptized by a lawful Minister according to the Laws of this Realm, in the open Church of the same Parish where the Child

#### Papilts and Popilly Reculants.

- ' shall be born, or in some other Church near adjoining, or Chapel where Baptism is usually administred; or if by Infirmity of the Child
- it cannot be brought to such Place, then the same shall within the
- · Time aforesaid be baptized by the lawful Minister of any of the said Parishes or Places aforesaid, upon Pain that the Father of such Child,
- if he be living, by the Space of one Month next after the Birth of
- fuch Child, or if he be dead within the faid Month, then the Mother
- of fuch Child, shall for every fuch Offence forfeit 100%. &c.

#### 5. That of 201, for an unlawful Burial.

By the 5 Jac. 1. cap. 5. feet. 15. it is enacted, 'That if any Popish

- Recufant, not being excommunicate, shall be buried in any other Place
- than in the Church or Church-yard, or not according to the Laws of
- this Realm, the Executors, &c. of fuch Recufant knowing the same,
- or the Party that causeth him to be so buried, shall forseit 20%. &c.

#### 4. Of the Inconveniences they are subject to: And herein,

#### 1. That their Houses may be searched for Reliques, whe ther they be Men or Momen.

To this Purpose it is enacted by the 3 Jac. 1. cap. 5. sect. 26. 6 That

- any two Justices of Peace, and all Mayors, Bailiffs and Chief Officers of Cities and Towns Corporate in their respestive Jurisdictions, may
- fearch the House and Lodgings of every Popish Recusant convict for Popish Books and Reliques, and that if any Altar, Pix, Beads, Pic-
- tures, or fuch like Popish Relique, or any Popish Book be found in the
- · Custody of such Person, as in the Opinion of the said Justices, &c.
- ' shall be unmeet for him or her to have or use, it shall be defaced and
- burnt, if it be meet to be burnt; and if it be a Crucifix, or other Relique of any Price, the fame shall be defaced at the General Quarter-
- Seffions in the County where it shall be found, and then restored to
- ' the Owner.

#### 2. If they be Clomen, and married, that they may be committed.

To this Purpose it is enacted by the 7 Jac. 1. cap. 6. sect. 28. That if any married Woman, being a Popish Recusant convict, shall not

- ' within three Months after her Conviction conform herself, and repair
- to Church and receive the Sacrament, &c. she may be committed to Prison by one of the Privy Council, or by the Bishop, if she be a Baroness; or if under that Degree, by Justices of Peace, whereof one
- to be of the Quorum, there to remain till she perform, &c. unless the
- Husband will pay to the King ten Pounds a Month for her Offence, or
- else the third Part of all his Lands, &c. at the Choice of the Hus-
- band,  $\mathcal{C}_c$ .

#### (B) Df the Offence of not making a Declaration against Popery, and the Restraints it subjects them to: And herein,

#### 1. From litting in Parliament.

Py the 30 Car. 2. Stat. 2. cap. 1. it is enacted, 'That no Peer shall vote or make his Froxy in the House of Peers, or sit there during any Debate; and that no Member of the House of Commons shall vote or sit there during any Debate after the Speaker is chosen, until such Peer or Member shall take the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, and make a Declaration of his Belief that there is no Transubstantion in the Sacrament of the Lord's Supper, and that the Invocation or Adoration of the Virgin Mary, or any other Saint, and the Sacrifice of the Mass, as they are now used in the Church of Rome, are superstitious and idolatrous, &c. on Pain that every such Offender shall be adjudged a Popish Recusant convict, and disabled to hold or execute any Office, &c. or from thenceforth to sit or vote in either House of Parliament, to sue in Law or Equity, or to be Guardian, Executor or Administrator, or capable of any Legacy or Deed of Gift, and shall forfeit for every Offence 500 l.

#### 2. Holding a Place at Court.

By the 30 Car. 2. Stat. 2. fest. 9, 12, 13. it is enacted, 'That every 'Person who shall be a sworn Servant to the King shall take the said 'Oaths, and subscribe the said Declaration in Chancery the next Term after he shall be so sworn a Servant, &c. and that if any such Person 'neglecting so to do shall advisedly come into or remain in the Presence of the King or Queen, or shall come into the Court or House where they are, or any of them reside, he shall suffer all the Penalties expressed in the foregoing Section; unless such Person coming into the King's Presence, &c. shall first have Licence so to do by Warrant under the Hands and 'Seals of six Privy Counsellors, by Order of the Privy Council, upon some urgent Occasion therein to be expressed; which Licence shall not exceed ten Days, and shall be first filed, &c. in the Petty-Bag-Office, for any Body to view without Fee, &c. and no Person to be licensed for above thirty Days in one Year.

#### 3. From living within ten Miles of London.

By the 1 W. & M. cap. 9. it is enacted, 'That every Justice of Peace in London and Westminster, and within ten Miles thereof, shall cause to be arrested and brought before him all reputed Papists, (except Foreigners, being Merchants or menial Servants to some Ambassador or Publick Agent, and except all such as used some Trade, Mystery, or some Manual Occupation at the Time of the said A&, in London, &c. and also except all such Persons as had their Dwelling in London, &c. within six Months before the thirteenth of February 1688, and no Dwelling elsewhere, and certified their Names to the Sessions before the first of August 1689 and that every such Justice shall tender the said Declaration to every such Person; and that every such Person resuling

- the fime, and afterwards remaining in London, &c. or within ten Miles thereof, or being certified to the King's Bench or Quarter-Seffions at
- the next Term or Sessions as having refused to make the said Decla-
- e ration, and neglecting to make the same in such Court, shall suffer as
- 'a Popish Recusant convict, &c.

#### 4. from keeping Arms.

By the 1 11. & M. cap. 15. it is enacted, 'That any two Justices of Peace may and ought to tender the faid Declaration to any Person whom they shall know or suspect, or have Information of as being a Papift, or suspected to be such; and that no such Person so required, and not making and fubscribing the said Declaration, or not appearing before the said Justices upon Notice to him given or lest at his usual Abode, by one authorised by Warrant under the Hands and Seals of the faid Justices, shall keep any Arms or Ammunition or Horse above the Value of 5 l. in his own Possession, or in the Possession of any other Person to his Use, (other than such necessary Weapons as shall be allowed him by the Quarter-Sessions for the Desence of his House or Person,) and that any two Justices of Peace, by Warrant under their Hands and Seals, may authorife any Persons in the Day-time, with the Affistance of the Constable or his Deputy, or Tithingman, to search for all fuch Arms, &c. and Horses, and seise them to the King's Use, and that the faid Justices shall deliver the faid Arms and Ammunition at the next Quarter-Seffions in open Court, and that whoever shall conceal, &c. or shall be aiding to the Concealing any such Arms or Horses, shall be committed to the common Gaol by Warrant under the Hands and Seals of any two Justices of Peace, and also forfeit treble the Value; and that those who discover any such Arms or Ammunition, so as the same may be seised, shall have the full Value thereof, to be awarded to them by the Sessions, &c. and that such Resusers of the said Declaration, &c. shall be discharged when-ever they make the same.

#### (C) De the Offence in Promoting or Professing the Popish Religion: And herein,

## 1. Of the Offence of faying or hearing Mass or other Popish Service.

BY the 23 Eliz. cap. 1. feet. 4. it is enacted, 'That every Person who shall say or sing Mass, being thereof lawfully convict, shall forseit two hundred Marks, and be committed to Prison in the next Gaol, there to remain by the Space of one Year, and from thence- 2 Show 2168

forth till he have paid the faid Sum of two hundred Marks; and that every Person who shall willingly hear Mass shall forfeit the Sum of

one hundred Marks, and fuffer a Year's Imprisonment.

Also it is enacted by the II & I2 W. 3. That every Person who shall apprehend any Popish Bishop, Priest or Jesuit, and prosecute him to Conviction for saying Mass, or exercising any other Part of the Function of a Popish Bishop or Priest, shall receive 100% of the Sherist;

and that every such Popish Bishop, &c. (except, being a Foreigner, he be entered in the Secretary's Office, and officiate only in the House of

a Foreign Minister,) shall be adjudged to perpetual Imprisonment.

#### 2. Of gibing og receibing Popic Coucation.

To this Purpose there are several Statutes, and first by the 1 Jac. 1. eap. 4. sett. 6, 7. it is enacted, 'That if any Person or Persons under the King's Obedience shall go or send, or cause to be sent any Child, or any other Person under their or any of their Government, beyond the Seas out of the King's Obedience, to the Intent to enter into, or reside in, or repair to any College, &c. of any Popish Order, Profession or Calling, to be instructed, perswaded or strengthened in the Popish Resigion, or in any Sort to profess the same, every such Person so sending such Child, &c. shall sorfeit 1001. and every such Person so passing or being sent, &c. shall in respect of him or herself only, and not in respect of any of his Heirs or Posterity, be disabled to inherit, purchase, take, have or enjoy any Profits, Hereditaments, Chattels, Debts, Legacies, or Sums of Money, &c. whatsoever, and that all Estates, Terms and other Interests whatsoever to be made, suffered or done, to the Use or Behoof of any such Person, or upon any Trust or Considence mediately or immediately to or for the Benefit or Relief of any such Person, shall be utterly void.

1 Keb. 263.

And it is farther enacted by 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. sect. 16. That if the 6 Children of any Subject within the Realm, (the faid Children not being 6 Soldiers, Mariners, Merchants or their Apprentices or Factors,) shall be ' fent or go beyond Sea to prevent their good Education in England, or for any other Cause, without the Licence of the King or fix of his Privy 6 Council, (whereof the Principal Secretary to one,) under their Hands ' and Seals, that then every such Child shall take no Benefit by any Gift, Conveyance, Descent, Devise, or otherwise, of or to any Here-6 ditament or Chattel, till such Child being of the Age of eighteen 'Years, or above, take the Oath of Obedience before some Justice of Peace of the County, Liberty, Limit, where the Parent of fuch Child 6 did and shall inhabit; and that in the mean Time the next of Kin to 6 fuch Child, who shall be no Popish Recusant, shall have the said Here-6 ditaments, &c. fo given, &c. until fuch Child shall conform, &c. and take the said Oath and receive the Sacrament, and after such Conformity, &c. he who hath received the Profits of the said Hereditaments, fhall account for the same, and in reasonable Time make Payment thereof, and restore the Value of the said Goods, &c. and that whoever shall send such Child over Seas, shall forfeit 100%. which by 11 6 & 12 W. 3. cap. 4. fest. 6. shall be to the sole Use and Benefit of the · Person who shall discover the Offence.

Also it is enacted by 3 Car. 1. cap. 2. That if any Person under the Obedience of the King shall go, or shall convey or send, or cause to be sent or conveyed, any Person out of the King's Dominions into any Parts beyond the Seas, out of the King's Obedience, to the Intent to enter into or be resident, or trained up in any Priory, Abbey, Nunnery, Popish University, College or School, or House of Jesuits, Priests, or in a private Popish Family, and shall be there by any Popish Person instructed, perswaded or strengthened in the Popish Religion, in any Sort to profess the same, or shall convey or send, or cause to be conveyed or sent, any Thing towards the Maintenance of any Person so going or sent, and trained and instructed as is aforesaid, or under the Colour of any Charity towards the Relief of any Priory, &c. or religious House whatsoever, every Person so send send thereof convicted, &c. shall be disabled to profecute any Suit in Law or Equity, or to be Executor or Administrator to any Person, or capable of any Legacy or Deed of

#### Papiles and Popilly Reculants.

6 Gift, or to bear any Office within the Realm; and shall forfeit all his 6 Goods and Chattels, and shall forfest all his Hereditaments, Offices and

· Estates of Freehold, during his Life.

In the Construction of the 3 fac. 1. it hath been holden, that if E. T. Hob. 73, 74. being the King's Ward of Lands holden of the King by Knights Service Ley 59. Tredin Chief, die the King's Ward, and it is found that A. & B. are his Sisters way's Case. and Heirs, both of full Age, and that A. in the Life-time of her Brother departed this Realm contrary to this Statute, and is a Nun profess'd; the King may retain A.'s Moiety in his own Hands till she, according to the i Eliz. take the Oath of Supremacy required on fuing out Livery; for the Words of the Statute 3 Jac. 1. are, shall take no Benefit by Descent, &c. not that the Party should not take by Descent; and therefore the Estate does not vest absolutely in B. the Sister and next Heir, but her Right is to the Rents and Profits during the Non-conformity of her Sifter, for which in case of Common Lands she might enter; but in this Case the King is interested, and is not obliged to give Livery to the Heir, till such Time as the Oath of Supremacy be taken.

So if such an Heir, being beyond Sea, should bargain and fell his Lands Hob. 74. per to a Stranger, the Bargain in such case will prevent the next of Kin, and Hobart. the Bargainee may take the Lands out of the Hands of the next of Kin, in case he had entered; for the Estate never vested absolutely in such next of Kin; but in such Case the King may resuse to give Livery sued out on such Bargain in the Name of the Heir, except the Heir himself

appears and takes the Oath of Supremacy in his proper Person.

C. Lord Gerrard in the Year 1660, settled the Estate in Question to Hill. 12 Ann. the Use of himself and the Heirs Male of his Body, Remainder to the in C. B. and affirmed in the Heirs Male of the Body of Thomas first Lord Gerard, Remainder to the House his own right Heirs; Charles Lord Gerard, upon the Death of Digby of Lords; Lord Gerard (only Son of the faid C.) without Issue Male, entred, claim- Thornby vering the Estate as Heir Male of the Body of Thomas first Lord Gerard, Fleetwood, by Virtue of the said Limitation in the Settlement; and by Virtue of Duches of this Title enjoyed that Estate above twenty-two Years, and during the Hamilton's Time of his Enjoyment suffered several Recoveries, and settled the Estate Case. upon his Marriage in 1689. and died without Issue in the Year 1707. leaving Philip his only Brother then surviving, who was Heir Male of the Body of Thomas first Lord Gerard; upon the Death of Lord Charles, the Duchess of Hamilton claimed the Estate as right Heir of C. Lord Gerard, notwithstanding the Estate-tail limited to the Heirs Male of the Body of Thomas Lord Gerard subsisted in Philip, alledging, that Lord Charles and his Brother Philip, being fent abroad and educated in a Popish Seminary, were made so utterly incapable of taking any Estate, that she had the Right of Entry in her. It was infifted for her, that the 1 7ac. 1. cap. 4. set. 6. had so far disabled Lord Charles to take the Estate by Descent, that the Recovery suffered by him was void, and that the same Disability being still upon Philip, and there being no Person in Being who could take the Estate-tail, the Duchess as Heir at Law must be intitled to take at present, as if the Estate were actually spent. But it was resolved, that the Words of the Act being, that the Offender shall be disabled as in respect of himself only, and not in respect of any of his Heirs or Posterity, to inherit, purchase, &c. this qualifies and restrains the Disability; fo that the Act does not extend beyond the Person offending, nor beyond the Time of his Non-conformity; fo that the Act hath preserved in the Offender an Ability to inherit, &c. for the Benefit of Posterity; and this Act having made no Application of the Profits during the Disability, and this being a Penalty inflicted for a Publick Offence, the King is intitled to the Penalty; and to create in the Offender a total Disability would be very inconvenient; for in the Case of an Inheritance, it would be difficult to know when or in what Manner the Heir should take; it could not be in the Life of the Ancestor, for no Man can be Heir of a Vol. III.

Person living; and if there be a Son under no Disability who cannot take, it would be meerly by Construction to carry the Estate over his Head for the Benefit of a Remainder-Man, who was not intended to take as long as there was any Issue of a prior Tenant in Tail; and an Heir can intitle himself only through his Ancestors and such as are inheritable; that this is not like the Case of a Monk, for in Times of Popery he was civilly dead; the 3 Jac. 1. gives the Pernancy of the Profits in Cases of Disabilities to the next of Kin, that is not a Popish Recufant; and R. Ow. was the next Protestant of Kin; the 3 Car. 1. does not repeal the 1 7ac. 1. but was made to explain, amend and inforce it; the 1 Fac. 1. was filent how the Penalties of that Act were to arise; the 3 Car. 1. has provided, that it shall be upon Conviction, and expresly makes a Forfeiture for Life, and a Restitution in case of Conformity, in which the former Act was filent; fo that if the former Act were to be put in Execution, under the Explanation of 3 Car. 1. there being no Conviction in the Case, the Duchess could have no Title, but the Land on Conviction would be forfeited for Life, which must be to the King.

#### 3. Of buying og felling Popis Books.

By the 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. feet. 25. it is enacted, 'That no Person shall bring from beyond the Seas, nor shall print, buy or sell any Popish Primers, Ladies Psalters, Manuals, Rosaries, Papish Catechisms, Missals, Breviaries, Portals, Legends and Lives of Saints, containing superstitious Matter, printed or written in any Language whatsoever, nor any other superstitious Books printed or written in the English Tongue, on Pain of forfeiting forty Shillings for every Book, &c. and the Books to be burnt.

#### 4. Df keeping School.

By the 11 & 12 W. 3. cap. 4. feet. 3. it is enacted, 6 That if any Papist, or Person making Profession of the Popish Religion, shall be convict of keeping School, or taking upon themselves the Education or 6 Government, or Boarding of Youth, in any Place within the Realm or 6 the Dominions thereunto belonging, they shall be adjudged to perpetual Imprisonment.

## 5. Of with holding a competent Maintenance from a Protestant Child.

By the 11 & 12 W. 3. cap. 4. it is enacted, 'That if any Popish Pa-

frent, in order to compel a Protestant Child to a Change of Religion, fhall refuse to allow such Child a sufficient Maintenance, suitable to the Degree and Ability of such Parent, and to the Age and Education of such Child, the Lord Chancellor upon Complaint may make such Order therein as shall be agreeable to the Intent of the said Act.

#### 6. Of the Disability of those professing the Popis Religion to present to a Church.

Precedents
of a Title
made under
thefe Statutes. 2 Lutw. 1101. & vide 3 Lev. 332. 2 Lutw. 1117.

By the 3 fac. 1. cap. 5. fett. 18, 19, 20, 21. Popish Recusants convict
are disabled to present to a Church; and by the 1 W. & M. cap. 26.

Performance of this Disability is extended to Persons resulting to make the Declaration

against Popery, mentioned in 30 Car. 2. and by the said Statute 1 W. & M. cap. 26. sect. 4. it is enacted, 6 That if the Trustee, Mortgagee or 6 Grantee of any Avoidance, whereof the Trust shall be for any Popish

Recufant convict, shall present without giving Notice in Writing of the

Avoidance to the University, &c. within three Months after the Avoid-

ance, he forfeits 5001.

And by the 12 Ann. cap. 14. this Disability is extended to all Pcrfons making Profession of the Popish Religion, to which Purpose it is enacted, 'That every Papist or Person making Profession of the Popish 'Religion, &c. and every Mortgagee, Trustee or Person any Ways in-

trufted by or for fuch Papift, &c. with or without Writing, shall be

6 disabled to present to any Benefice, School or Hospital, &c. or to grant

any Avoidance of any Benefice, Prebend or Ecclefiastical Living; and

that in all fuch Cases the Universities shall present.

Also by Force of the said Statute, 'The Ordinary may tender the Declaration against Transubstantiation to any reputed Papist making a Presentation, and upon a Resulal to take the same the Presentation shall be void; also the Ordinary may examine every Presentee upon Oath, whether the Person who presented him be the true Patron, or only a Trustee; and the Court, wherein a Quare impedit shall be brought, may in like Manner examine the Parties, and a Bill may be brought in any Court of Equity to discover such secret Trusts, &c. and

the Answer of such Persons, upon any such Examination or Bill, shall be

• the Antwer of fuch Persons, upon any fuch Examination or Bill, shall be e good Evidence against fuch Patron, in respect of such a Presentation,

but not as to any other Purpose.

In the Construction of the 3 fac. 1. the following Points, which are 1 Hawk. P. C. faid to be likewise applicable to the 1 IV. & M. and 12 Ann. have been 32-holden.

That where a Presentment is pro bac vice vested in the University, by 10 Co. 57. b. reason of the Patron's being a Popish Recusant at the Time when the Church became void, it shall not be devested again by his Conforming himself to the Church.

That fuch a Patron is only disabled to present, and that he continues Cawley 230. Patron as to all other Purposes, and therefore that he shall confirm the

Leases of the Incumbent, &c.

That such a Person, by being disabled to grant an Avoidance, is no 1 Join 19, 20%. Way hindered from granting the Advowson it self in Fee, or for Life or Years, bona side and for good Consideration.

That if an Advowson or Avoidance belonging to such a Person come Hob. 126. into the King's Hands by reason of an Outlawry or Conviction of Re-Wineb 7, 126.

cufancy, &c. the King, and not the University, shall present.

On the Statute 12 Ann. it was resolved by my Lord Chancellor Talbot, <sup>1</sup> Jon. 20. in the Case of Mr. Brett, who was presented by the University of Oxford to a Living belonging to Mr. Fitzherbert of Swinerton in Staffordshire, that a Bill sounded on this Statute cannot be for Relief, but only for a Discovery.

By the 11 Geo. 2. reciting the 3 Jac. 1. and 1 W. & M. and that whereas for the better Discovery of all secret Trusts and fraudulent Conveyances made by Papists, or Persons making Profession of the Popish Religion, of their Advowsons and Right of Presentation, Nomination and Donation to any Benefices or Ecclesiastical Livings, several Provisions were made by the Act 12 Ann. which have been fraudulently evaded by Persons obtaining from such Papists, without a full and valuable Consideration, Grants of such Advowsons, and Right of Presentation, Nomination and Donation, upon Considence only that such Grantees will, at the Request of such Papists, present to such Benefices or Ecclesiastical Livings Clerks nominated by such Papists, who have been presented accordingly, contrary to the true Intent and Meaning of the said Acts, and to

the

the great Hurt of the Protestant Interest of this Kingdom, it is therefore enacted, 'That every Grant to be made from and after the fixth Day 6 of May 1738. of any Advowson or Right of Presentation, Collation, Nomination or Donation of or to any Benefice, Prebend or Ecclefiastical Living, School, Hospital or Donative, and every Grant of any Avoidance thereof by any Papist, or Person making Frosession of the Popish Religion, or any Mortgagee, Trustee, or Person any Ways inintrusted directly or indirectly, mediately or immediately, by or for any such Papist, or Person making Profession of the Popish Religion, whether such Trust be declared in Writing or not, shall be null and void; unless such Grant shall be made bona fide, and for a full and valuable Confideration to and for a Protestant Purchaser, and meerly and only for the Benefit of a Protestant; and that every such Grantee, or Person claiming under any such Grant, shall be deemed to be a Trustee for a Papist, or Person professing the Popish Religion, as aforesaid, within the true Intent and Meaning of the faid Act; and that all fuch Grantees, or Persons claiming under such Grants, and their Presentees, shall be compelled to make such Discovery relating to such Grants and Prefentations made thereupon, and by fuch Methods as in and by the faid Act 12 Ann. are directed, in the Case of Trustees of Papists, or Persons professing the Popish Religion, and that every Devise to be made from and after the said sixth of May by any Papist, or Person professing the Popish Religion, of any such Advowson or Right of Prefentation, Collation, Nomination or Donation, or any fuch Avoidance, with Intent to secure the Benefit thereof to the Heirs or Family of fuch Papist or Person professing the Popish Religion, shall be null and void, and that all fuch Devifees and their Presentees shall in like Manner, and by fuch Methods, be compelled to discover whether, to the best of their Knowledge and Belief, such Devises were not made with the faid Intent.

#### 7. Of their Disability to purchase.

The Statutes relating to Estates conveyed by or to Papists, and the Disabilities they are under to take by Purchase, &c. are the 11 & 12 W. 3. 3° Geo. 1. and 11 Geo. 2.

11 & 12 W.3. cap. 4.

By the 11 & 12 W. 3. eap. 4. it is enacted, 'That from and after the e 29th Day of September, which shall be in the Year of our Lord 1700. ' if any Person educated in the Popish Religion, or professing the same, 6 shall not, within fix Months after he or she shall attain the Age of eigh-6 teen Years, take the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy, and also subfcribe the Declaration fet down and expressed in an Act of Parlia-6 ment made 30 Car. 2. intitled, An Ast for the more effectual preferving the King's Person and Government, by disabling Papists from sitting in either House of Parliament, to be by him or her made, repeated or sub-6 scribed in the Courts of Chancery or King's Bench, or Quarter-Sessions of the County where such Person shall reside; every such Person shall in respect of him or herself only, and not to or in respect of any 6 of his or her Heirs or Posterity, be disabled or made incapable to in-6 herit or take by Descent, Devise or Limitation in Possession, Reversion or Remainder, any Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments within the Kingdom of England, Dominion of Wales, or Town of Berwick upon "Tweed, and that during the Life of such Person, or until he or she do take the faid Oaths, and make, repeat and subscribe the faid Declarafration in Manner as aforclaid, the next of his or her Kindred, which fhall be a Protestant, shall have and enjoy the said Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments, without being accountable for the Profits by him

or her received during fuch Enjoyment thereof, as aforefaid; but in case of any wilful Waste committed on the said Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments by the Perfon fo having or enjoying the fame, or any other, by his or her Licence or Authority, the Party disabled, his or her Executors and Administrators, shall and may recover treble Damages for the same against the Person committing such Waste, his or her Executors or Administrators, by Action of Debt in any of his Majesty's Courts of Record at H'estminster; and that from and after the 10th Day of April 1700, every Papist, or Person making Profession of the Popish Religion, shall be disabled and is here by made incapable to purchase, either in his or her own Name, or in the Name of any other Person or Persons to his or her Use, or in Trust for him or her, any Manors, Lands, Profits out of Lands, Tenements, Rents, Terms or Hereditaments, within the Kingdom of England, Dominion of Wales and Town of Berwick upon Tweed; and that all and fingular Estates, Terms, and any other Interests or Profits whatsoever out of Lands, from and after the faid 10th Day of April, to be made, fuffered or done, to or for the Use or Behoof of any such Person or Persons, or upon any Trust or Considence mediately or immediately, to or for the Benefit or Relief of any such Person or Persons, shall be utterly void and of none Effect, to all Intents, Constructions and Purposes whatsoever.

By the 3 Geo. 1. cap. 18. reciting, that some Doubts have arisen upon 3 Geo. 1. cap. the (a) Act therein recited, as also upon one other Act made and passed 18.
in the Parliament held in the 11 fel 12 H 2 institled 12 Act 6 (a) viz. An in the Parliament held in the 11 & 12 W. 3. intitled, An Alt for the fur- Act patt in ther preventing the Growth of Popery, and upon another Act made in the the Sellions 1 Jac. 1. for the due Execution of the Statutes against Jesuits, Seminary before, in-Priests, Recusants, and other Acts made against Papists and Popish Re-cusants touching the Sale of the Real Estates of Persons professing the piss to register Popish Religion, or incurring the Disabilities and Incapacities in the said their Names Acts mentioned, it is enacted, 'That no Sale for a full and valuable and Real E-6 Confideration of any Manors, Messuages, Lands, Tenements or He-slates. reditaments, or of any Interest therein by any Person or Persons, being reputed Owner or Owners, or in the Possession or Receipt of the Rents or Profits thereof heretofore made, or hereafter to be made, to

or for any Protestant Purchaser and Purchasers, and meerly and only for the Benefit of Protestants, shall be avoided or impeached for or by Reason or upon Pretence of any of the Disabilities or Incapacities in the said Acts or any of them contained, incurred, or supposed to be incurred, by any of the Persons making or joining in such Sale,

or by any other Person or Persons, from or through whom the Title

to fuch Manors, &c. is or shall be derived, or supposed to be derived, unless before such Sale the Person intitled to take Advantage of such Difability or Incapacity shall have recovered such Manors, Messuages,

Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments, by Reason of such Disability or Incapacity, and have entered such Claim in open Court at the Ge-

neral Sessions of the Peace for the County, City, Riding or Division, wherein such Manors, Messuages, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments

lie or arife, and bona fide, and with due Diligence, purfued his Remedy

in a proper Course of Justice for the Recovery thereof.

• Provided nevertheless, that whereas it was amongst other Things enacted by the said 11 & 12 IV. 3. that from and after the tenth Day of April, which should be in the Year 1700. every Papist, or Person making Prosession of the Popish Religion, should be disabled, and was thereby made incapable to purchase, either in his or her own Name, or in the Name of any other Person or Persons to his or her Use, or in Trust for him or her, any Manors, Lands, Profits out of Lands, Tenements, Rents, Terms or Hereditaments, within the Kingdom of England, Dominion of Wales and Town of Berwick upon Tweed; and that all and fingular Estates, Terms, and any other In-Vol. III. 9 Q

terests or Profits whatsoever out of Lands, from and after the said 10th Day of April to be made, suffered or done, to or for the Use or Behoof of any such Person or Persons, or upon any Trust or Considence mediately or immediately, to or for the Benefit or Relief of any such Person or Persons, should be utterly void and of no Effect, to all Intents, Constructions and Purposes whatsoever: It is hereby declared and enacted, that the said recited Part of the said Act of Parliament shall not be hereby altered or repealed, but the same shall be and remain in sull Force as if this Act had never been made.

And it is farther enacted by the Authority aforefaid, 'That from and after the 29th of September 1717. no Manner of Lands, Tenements, Hereditaments or any Interest therein, or Rent or Profit thereout, shall pass, alter or change from any Papist, or Person protessing the Popish Religion, by any Deed or Will, except such Deed within six Months after the Date, and such Will within six Months after the Death of the Testator, be inrolled in one of the King's Courts of Record at Westminster, or else within the same County or Counties wherein the Manors, Lands and Tenements lie, by the Custos Rotulorum and two Justices of the Peace, and the Clerk of the Peace of the same County or Counties, or two of them at the least, whereof the Clerk of the Peace to be one.

The 11 Geo. 2. 6 Whereas Persons professing or educated in the Popish Religion are by divers Acts of Parliament subjected to several Disabie lities and Incapacitics, which may affect Persons conforming from the Popish to the Protestant Religion, and whereas many Persons have already conformed to the Protestant Religion, and are willing to submit to his Majesty's Government in as full and ample Manner as any other of his Majesty's Subjects, and others are likely so to do, it is enacted, that all and every Person or Persons, being reputed Owner or Owners, or in Possession or Receipt of the Rents and Profits of any Manors, · Messuages, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, or of any Interest therein, who having been or reputed to be a Papist or Papists, or educated in the Popish Religion, hath or have conformed to, or hereafter fhall conform to and profess the Protestant Religion, and hath or have taken or shall take the Oaths of Allegiance, Supremacy and Abjuration, and also subscribed or shall subscribe the Declaration fet down and exopressed in an Act of Parliament made the 30 Car. 2. intitled, An Act for the more effectual preserving the King's Person and Government, by c disabling Papists from sitting in either House of Parliament, to be by him her or them, repeated and subscribed in the Courts of Chancery or King's Bench, or Quarter-Sessions of the County where such Person or Persons shall reside, (all which shall be recorded in one of his Ma-'jesty's Courts of Record at Westminster, or such Quarter-Sessions as 'aforefaid,) and all and every Person and Persons, being Protestants claiming under fuch Person or Persons conforming and personning the Requisites as aforesaid, for their own Benefit, or for the Benefit of any other Protestant or Protestants, and not for the Benefit of any Papist or Papists, shall hold, possess and enjoy all such Manors, Messuages, Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments, freed and discharged of and from the Difabilities and Incapacities in the faid Acts or any of them contained, incurred or supposed to be incurred by such Person or Persons 6 so reputed Owner or Owners, or in Possession or Receipt of the Rents and Profits as aforefaid, or by any other Person or Persons by, from or thro' whom the Title to fuch Manors, Meffuages, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, or any Interest therein, was or shall be derived or supposed to be derived for fuch Estate, Right, Title or Interest, as he, she or they had or would have, if no such Disability or Incapacity had been incurred; unless the Person or Persons intitled to take Advantage of 6 fuch Difability, Incapacity or Defect of Title, hath or have actually

- and bona fide recovered, or shall hereafter recover such Manors, Meffuages, Lands, Tenements and Hereditaments, by Judgment or Decree in some Action or Suit already commenced, or hereafter to be com-
- menced, fix Kalendar Months at least before the making of fuch Re-
- cord, and to be profecuted with due Diligence.
- ' Provided neverthelefs that this Act, or any Thing herein contained, shall not take away or prejudice the Right of any Person or Per-
- fons intitled to take Advantage of fuch Difability or Incapacity, who
- now is or are in the actual Possession of, or shall have, precedent to the
- making of fuch Record, been in quiet Possession of any such Manors,
- Messuages, Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, by the Space of two
- Kalendar Months.
- Provided always, and it is farther enacted, that if any fuch Person or
- 6 Perfons, so conforming, as aforesaid, shall after such Conformity return
- 6 to or again profess the Popish Religion, every such Person and Persons
- · shall for ever afterwards be disabled from, and be incapable of, having
- or enjoying any Benefit, Privilege or Advantage of this Act, and shall
- from thenceforth be liable to the same Disabilities, Incapacities and Forseitures, as if he, she or they had not taken the said Oaths and
- fubfcribed the Declaration as aforefaid.
- ' Provided always, that nothing in this Act contained shall extend to take away or prejudice the Right of any Person intitled to any Re-
- mainder or Reversion in any such Manors, Messuages, Lands, Te-
- nements or Hereditaments, in cafe fuch Person shall pursue his or
- her faid Right by fome Action or Suit, to be commenced within the
- Space of twelve Kalendar Months next after the precedent Estate or
- Estates, on which such Remainder or Reversion depends and is expec-
- tant, shall be determined; or within twelve Kalendar Months from and after the 29th of September 1738. if fuch precedent Estate or Estates
- 6 be already determined by the Death or Deaths of any Person or Persons
- whose Deaths have been concealed from or not known to the Person
- 6 intitled to fuch Remainder or Reversion, by reason of their having been
- buried beyond the Seas, or in a private and clandestine Manner at
- Home, and shall profecute such Action or Suit with due Diligence.

On the first of these Statutes there have been the following Cases and Resolutions.

John Roper Esq; being seifed in Fee of several Manors, Lands, &c. by Roter vor. Indentures of Lease and Release, bearing Date respectively the 17th Rad lyffe, and 18th of January 1708. granted and conveyed the same to William Con-Hill. 1713. fiable, Richard Snow and Daniel Hickman, and their Heirs, in Trust to in Can.'s fell the fame, and out of the Purchase-money and Rents till Sale to pay a Debt of 4000 ! due to E. and H. W. by Mortgage of the Premisses, with Interest, and after Satisfaction thereof, then in Trust for Payment of the Debts mentioned in a Schedule annexed to the Indenture of Release, and the Overplus of the Moncy so to be raised, to be paid as the faid 7chn Reper by any attested Writing or by his Will should appoint, and sor Want of such Appointment, in Trust for the Benefit of the said John Reper and his Heirs. The 5th of March 1708, the said John Reper made his Will, and after reciting the faid Leafe and Releafe, and the Power referved to him over the Surplus of the faid Eftate, he bequeathed feveral pecuniary Legacies to his Relations, and the Refidue of all his Real and Personal Estate he gave to William Constable and Thomas Raddlyffe, and to Robert Hewett and Daniel Hickman, and to their Heirs and Affigns for ever, and appointed them joint Executors; the 1st of April 1709, he added a Codicil to his Will, and thereby gave the further feveral Legacies therein mentioned, and all the Remainder, whether in Lands or Personal Estate, he gave to his Executors Mr. Radelysse and Mr. Constable. The said John Roper died soon after; and Mr. Radelysse and Mr. Constable brought their Bill in Chancery against Edward Roper E-

fquire, the Heir at Law of the faid John Roper, and also against Hickman, Hewett, Snow and others, to have the Trust-Estate sold, and for an Account of the Profits, and after the Debts and Legacies paid, to have the Surplus Money arising by Sale equally divided between the Plaintiffs, according to the faid Codicil. The faid Edward Roper by his Answer insisted, that as Heir at Law to the Testator he was intitled to all fuch Real Estate as was undisposed of by him, and that Mr. Radelysse and Mr. Constable were then and at the Testator's Decease Papists, and as fuch, by 11 & 12 W. 3. were incapable of purchasing any Manors, Lands, Profits out of Lands, &c. The faid Hewett and Hickman by their Answer insisted, that the Real Estate devised by the said Will ought to be considered as the remaining Part of the Testator's Lands, (after a fufficient Part fold for Payment of Debts and Legacies,) and not as a Perfonal Estate, and that so much only ought to be sold as would be sufficient to pay the Debts; and that in case Mr. Radelysse and Mr. Constable were incapable of taking them, they as Protestants claimed the said Real Estate, as being the only Devisees capable to take the same; they also insisted, that the Codicil, with Reference to the Devise of the Remainder of the Testator's Lands, did not controul the Devise thereof mentioned in the Will; for that if the Plaintiffs were incapable to take the Lands as Purchasers by the Devise, they were to be esteemed as Persons not in ese, and that the Codicil as to the Lands was void; but if the Plaintiffs were capable, yet such Devise did not give the Remainder of the Premisses to them but for their Lives, and that the Reversion in Fee belonged to them the faid Hewett and Hickman; and they brought a Cross-Bill infisting thereby on the same Matters; and the Legatees brought a Bill for Payment of their Legacies. The 27th of June 1712, the said Causes came on to be heard before the Lord Chancellor Harcourt, who desired to have the Assistance of the Judges; and a Case was made and argued before my Lord Chancellor Parker, Trever Chief Justice of C. B. Justice Powel and the Master of the Rolls, and after Time taken to confider of the Case, my Lord Chancellor, Trevor Ch. J. the Master of the Rolls and Justice Powel, were of Opinion, that the Devise of the Surplus of the Purchase-Money, (after Debts and Legacies paid,) to Mr. Radelyffe and Mr. Constable was good, notwithstanding the said disabling Act; the Surplus Money being a personal Interest in them, and not made void either by the Words or Intention of that Statute; and as to Hewett and Hickman, my Lord Chancellor was of Opinion, that the first Codicil was a Revocation of the Will, as to the Refidue of the Real and Personal Estate. Mr. Roper appealed to the House of Lords, and it was there ordered, before the Appeal was determined, that the Estate should be fold and all Debts and Legacies paid, which was accordingly done; where afterwards the Lords reversed the Decree, principally for this Reason, that (a) if the Devise of the Residue to the Plaintist's was good, they would in Equity be intitled to pay off the antecedent Debts where Lands and Legacies, and when that was done, keep the Estate, which would be a Means of evading the Statute, and enabling a Papist to take an Ein Trustees, state contrary to the Intention of it. It was also resolved in this Case,

(a) But it feems, that are devised to or vefted to be fold for that a Devise is a Purchase within the Meaning of this Act.

particular Sums to several People, some of whom happen to be Papists, that this ASt does not prevent fuch Papists from taking the particular Sums or Legacies intended for them; because they cannot infift upon paying off the other Incumbrances and holding the Estate, as a Person can do to whom the Residue of the Purchase-Money is devised.

The Earl of Derwentwater was Tenant in Tail, with Remainder in Lord Der-Fee to himself, and intending to marry Sir John Webb's Daughter, he quentquater's Case, upon by Advice of Counsel suffered a Common Recovery without declaring the Lords Delegates from the Judgment of the Commissioners for forfeited Estates. Hill 6 Geo, Int

any Uses, it being intended, that he should thereby become Tenant in Fee, and be enabled to make a proper Settlement; accordingly by Indentures of Lease and Release he settled his Estate to the Use of himfelf for Life; Remainder to Trustees for preserving contingent Uses; Remainder in Tail successively to the first and other Sons of the intended Marriage, with Remainders over; the Marriage took Effect, and there was Mue a Son and a Daughter; the faid Earl was attainted of High Treason on Account of the Presson Rebellion, and was executed; and by an Act made thereupon, all the forseiting Persons Lands were vested in Commissioners for the Use of the Publick; and it was expresly provided, that where the forfeiting Person was seised of an Estatetail at the Time of the Forfeitures, the same should be vested in the Commissioners as an absolute Fee, discharged of all Remainders and Reversions. The Commissioners of Forseitures, on a Claim exhibited before them in the Name of the faid Earl's Son, determined that the whole Eftate was in them on this Foundation, that the Earl continued Tenant in Tail notwithstanding the Recovery, and consequently nothing more than an Estate for his own Life past by the Lease and Release; and the Reafon they went upon was, that if by suffering a Common Recovery he could turn his Estate-Tail into a Fee, then he would gain a new Estate by Purchase, which they apprehended he, being a Papist, was disabled to do by the Statute 11 & 12 W. 3. but the Majority of the Judges, upon an Appeal from the Decree of the Commissioners, were of a contrary Opinion, and held, that this was only a new Modelling of the Estate, and not a Purchase or Acquisition within the Act; and that the Earl was capable of taking a new Fee at least for the Benefit of his Heirs and Posterity, and that he was capable of fettling the same by Lease and Releafe; and therefore allowed of the Son's Claim.

It was likewife refolved, by the Delegates appointed to hear Appeals from the Determinations of the Commissioners for the Estates forseited in the Year 1716, that a Papist may be a Trustee for a Protestant, notwith-

standing the Statute 11 & 12 IV. 3.

Vel. III.

Anne Stephenson had two Grandchildren, one the Plaintiff Hill, the Hill ver. Fitother Frances the Wife of the Defendant Filkins, who was educated by kins, Trin. 12 her in the Popish Religion, the Grandmother by he Will made in the Geo. 1. Year 1716, devised the Lands in Question to Trustees, in Trust to be fold for the Payment of her Debts and Legacies, and the Residue of the Money arifing by fuch Sale the devised to her faid Grand-daughter Frances, when the should attain her Age of twenty-one Years, or be married with the Confent of the faid Trustees, and soon after died. The said Frances, at the Age of fifteen, was married to Filkins according to the Ceremony and Usage of the Church of Rome, and a Week afterward by a Minister of the Church of England; at the Age of eighteen she conformed according to the Directions of the Statute; it was held that she was within the first Clause; and that a Devise to a Papist under the Age of eighteen is good, if he conforms within fix Months after he comes to that Age; and the Age of eighteen was a proper Period for them to make their Election, whether they would conform or not; and the Bill exhibited by the Protestant Heir was dismissed with Costs.

7. S. a Papist made a Settlement of his Estate to Trustees, to the Use Carrick verof the Trustees and their Heirs, in Trust for A. for Life; Remainders Errington, to the faid Trustees to preferve contingent Remainders; Remainder to Trin. 9 Geo. 1. the first and every other Son of A. and for Default of such Issue, then in Came. in Trust for B. and his Issue; A. was a Papist and B. a Protestant; B. exhibited his Bill in Chancery, fuggesting that A. was a Papist and had no Son, and that therefore the Truftees might account to him for the Rents and Profits; he also made the Heir at Law Defendant; and on hearing this Cause before the Lord Macclessfield, and afterwards by the Lord King, they both held, that tho' the Trust to A. was vold. In being a Papist,

yet that notwithstanding, the legal Estate was still in the Trustees, because they were Trustees not only for the Papist, but also for B. the Protestant, and for the Sons of A. who were yet unborn; and as they were Trustees to preserve contingent Remainders for such Sons who might be Protestants, they thought that the Estate should remain in the Trustees for that Purpose; and they held, that the Heir at Law was intitled to receive the Prosits during the Life of A. as a Trust undisposed, but that B. the Remainder-Man could have no Right till the Death of A. without a Son capable of taking; and this Decree was affirmed in the House of Lords.

Marwood ver. Dorrel, Hill. S Geo.2. in B R.

The Case upon a Special Verdict in Ejectment was: Thomas Dorrel had one Brother and four Sisters, and being seised in Fee by Will 4 Decemb' 1703. devised the Lands in Question to Trustees, to the Use of them and their Heirs, in Trust for his first and every other Son in Tail Male; and for want of fuch Issue, Remainder to his Brother Arthur for Life, Remainder to his first and every other Son in Tail Male; and for want of fuch Issue, that then the Trustees shall stand and be seised for the fole and proper Use and Benefit of such eldest and first Son lawfully bcgotten or to be begotten of John Dorrel, and shall not be Heir at Law and Inheritor to the said John Dorrel, and the Heirs of his Body; and for Desault of such Issue by him, Remainder to the third, sourth and fifth, and every other Son of the faid John Dorrel, and the Heirs of their respective Bodies. The Trustees, by a Clause in the Will, were impowered, by the Rents and Profits of the Estate, or by Mortgage and Sale, to raise so much Money as would satisfy the Testator's Debts: Thomas and Arthur both died without Iffue, John Dorrel is living and has feven Sons; George the Defendant is the second Son; all the Sons of John are Papists, and educated in the Popish Religion, except his younger Son, who is too young to be faid, as yet, to be of any Religion; George Dorrel was under eighteen Years of Age when the Limitation by the Devise fell upon him, but is now above eighteen Years, and has not taken the Oaths directed by 11 & 12 W. 3. and is married, and has now two Sons very young, for whom, as well as for his Wife, he has made a Settlement of these Lands; the four Sisters of Thomas Dorrel are Lesfors of the Plaintiff, as Heirs at Law; and the Question is, whether George the Son of Arthur, or the Heirs at Law, be intitled to the Lands. For the Plaintiffs the Heirs at Law it was urged, 1st, That George is a Papist, and that Papists who shall refuse, above six Months after they arrive at the Age of eighteen, to take the Oaths of Allegiance, &e. are by the faid Statute expresly disabled from purchasing; and therefore as a Devise is a Purchase, and so held Co. Lit. 18. and by the Lords, in the Case of Roper and Ratclyffe, confequently George Dorrel takes nothing by it. 2dly, That the Devise was void for Uncertainty, being to such eldest and first Son of 7. D. as shall not be Heir at Law to him; but as no one can say who will be Heir to J. D. so it is impossible to say who will not, for Nemo est bæres viventis; and he who is to be Heir is not to take, so that none but a Son who will not be Heir can take, for both Descriptions must coincide. Hob. 29. Hardwick Ch. J. in breaking the Case said, two Objections have been made to the Defendant's Title; 1st, That the Limitation, under which he claims, is void for the Uncertainty of the Defcription. 2dly, That supposing the Description to be certain enough, yet by 11 & 12 W. 3. the Desendant is disabled from taking the Estate, as being a Papist; there seems at present to be a good deal of Weight in the first Objection, and yet it may possibly be reduced to a Certainty, and if so, may be made good; and it seems natural to imagine, that by the Words of the Will the Testator intended the second Son of John Dorrel should take, and the rather, as the Testator has made the next Limitation to the third, fourth, and fifth Sons, &c. of the faid John Dorrel; but if the second Son cannot take, yet if the third, &c. Sons are well

described, the Daughters of Thomas cannot recover, and at present they seem to be certainly described. As to the second Objection, I think my felf bound by the Determination of the House of Lords in the Case of Roper and Ratelyffe, that the Word Purchase extends to a Devise, and therefore that a Papist is incapable of taking an Estate by Will; but yet, be the Desendant's Title as it will, the Plaintiff must recover on his own Strength, and not on the Weakness of the Desendant's Title; and my greatest Doubt is this, the Devise here is to Trustees to the Use of them and their Heirs, &c. I think this would clearly be a Devise to the Use of the Trustees, tho' the Clause of raising Money by Rents and Profits was omitted; so here is a Devise to Trustees, in Trust not only for the second Son of John Dorrel, but for all other his Sons now living, one of which is not found to be a Papist; it has been said indeed, that this Devise being for the Benefit of Papists, the Trust it self is void; but the Question is, if the intire Trust should not be for the Benefit of Papists, in the present Case, the youngest Son of John Dorrel may be able to take for ought appears to the contrary; and therefore I think that this latter Part of the Trust being lawful will support the legal Estate in the Trustees; and here he put the Case fupra of Carrick ver. Errington, and faid, that according to the Refolution in this Cafe, the Lands in Question cannot be in the Heirs at Law, but in the Trustees; because here is a Trust for a Son of John Dorrel, who was not a Papist, as well as for other Children yet unborn, so that the Plaintiffs have no Title to recover in this Action, but have mistaken their Remedy; for if they have any, it feems to be by Bill in Equity against the Trustees for an Account of the Profits; and it is certain, in the above-mentioned Case, that the Estate could not vest in the Remainder-man, because he being then in by Purchase, it could never be afterwards devested for the Benefit of fuch Child as A. should happen to have; but he faid, that he did not give this as his absolute Opinion, but only to point out the Difficulties which stuck with the Court; it was adjourned, and no farther Proceedings was had therein.

A Mortgage was made to a Papist, who assigned to a Protestant for a Pelbam ver. full Confideration; an Ejectment was brought against the Assignee by a Fletcher, fubfequent Mortgagee, who recovered by reason of the Disability of the Mich. 1729. first Mortgagee; all this appeared upon a Bill brought in Chancery; and my Lord chancellor was of Opinion, that a Mortgage to a Papist is void; but in this Case the Assignment to the Protestant, and the Trial in Ejectment, were both before the 3 Geo. 1. which, were it otherwise, would it feems have made an Alteration.

In a Case which came on before my Lord King in the Court of Chan- On Lord Docery, it appeared that my Lord Dever was poffeffed of a long Term for ver's Will. Years, and made his Will, and his Lady who was a Papist Executrix thereof; it was refolved by my Lord Chancellor, that notwithstanding the disabling Act II & I2 W. 3. the Term vested absolutely in her, and that this was not a Purchase within that Act; and he said, that a Papist may be Tenant in Dower, or by the Curtefy; because in all these Cases it is by Operation of Law, and not by any Act of the Party, that the Estate comes to him.

It hath been adjudged, that a Papist may devise to a Protestant; in Mallom ver. which Cafe it was agreed, that where an Ancestor dies seised of an E-Bringlee, ftate of Inheritance, it descends upon and vests in his Heir, (tho' a Pa-Pasch, 1738, pist) for the Benefit of his Heirs, and that the next Protestant a-kin has only a Right to the Perception of the Profits during the Non-conformity of the Heir.

Upon the Marriage of Mr. Paine with one Mrs. Gage, Lands in the Smith ver. County of Surrey were fettled and conveyed to the Use of the Husband Read, Tr z. and Wife for their Lives, and the Life of the Surviuor of them; then 12 Geo. 2. to the Use of the first and every other Son in Tail, Remainder to the

right Heirs of the Husband; the Marriage took Effect, but Mr. Paine the Husband died in the Life-time of Mrs. Paine, without leaving Issue, having first devised all his Lands to his Wife and her Heirs. In 1730. Mrs. Paine the Wife devifed all her Real Estate to the Desendant, subject to a few Legacies mentioned in her Will, but lived and died a Papist; but that being difficult to prove at Law, the Plaintiff Mr. Smith who had married Eliz. Paine, Heir at Law to Mr. Paine, he and his Wife filed their Bill against the Defendant to set aside the Marriage-Settlement and Will of Mr. Paine the Husband, under which Mrs. Paine claimed; and in particular prayed, that the Defendant might discover whether Mrs. Paine the Wife, under whose Will he claimed, was a Papist or not. To which the Defendant pleaded the Statute of 11 & 12 W. 3. Upon arguing this Plea it was infifted upon for the Defendant, that it was a standing Rule in this Court, that no Person was bound to discover what might subject him to the Penalty of an Act of Patliament; that the Statute of 11 & 12 W. 3. was a penal Law, and the Party, who would take Advantage of such Law, would never be affisted in a Court of Equity, which never affifts a Forfeiture; he, who would claim any Thing forfeited, must make out the Forseiture himself; for no Person shall be obliged to discover a Fact that would be a Forseiture of his own Estate. If a Copyholder commits Waste, it is a Forseiture of his Estate to the Lord of the Manor; but if the Lord of the Manor comes into this Court for a Discovery, whether the Copyholder has been guilty of Waste or not, the Copyholder is not bound to answer; for no Law in the World obliges a Man to accuse himself; if an Estate is given to a Woman durante viduitate, she is not bound to discover whether she is married or not; because the Discovery of that Fact might be the Loss of her Estate. That Disabilities and Forseitures were of the same Nature; that a total Incapacity or Difability to hold at all, (which is the Case of Papists) was certainly as much a Penalty, as a Forseiture of an Estate which the Party before was capable of holding; that as Mrs. Paine would not have been obliged in her Life-time to discover whether she was a Papist or not, the Defendant who claims under her ought not to be obliged to discover it. On the other Hand it was infifted by the Counfel for the Plaintiff, that it was not their Business to examine, whether the Acts of Parliament made against Papists were hard Laws or not, they were Laws, and that was sufficient for their Purpose; that this was not the Case of a Forseiture, but it was to discover a Fact, which if true, the Estate was never in Mrs. Paine, because the Act of Parliament makes all Papists absolutely incapable of being Purchasers; if she was a Papist, the Estate never vested in her; and as she was not capable of holding it, fhe could not give it away to the Defendant, therefore could never forseit the Estate; for no Person can be said to forseit an Estate he never had; an Alien is incapable of holding Lands at Common Law, yet he is obliged to discover whether he is an Alien or not; and his Discovery of that Fact, whether he is fo or not, can never be a Forfeiture of his Estate, because he never had a Right to it; so in case of a Bastard who is nullius filius, and incapable of claiming Lands by Descent, he shall discover whether he is so or not, for the same Reason; so a Person claiming under a Bankrupt, whose Goods are vested in the Assignees of the Commission of Bankrupcy for the Benefit of Creditors, must discover whether the Perfon, under whom he claims, was a Bankrupt or not at the Time of the Conveyance: That all these Cases depend upon the same Rea-Yon, and were no Forfeitures, because the Estates were never in them; fo if Mrs. Paine was a Papist, she was incapable of having the Estate herfelf, and could not give it away; and therefore the Defendant could never forfeit it, because the Estate was never in him. But my Lord Hardwick was of Opinion, that the Defendant was not obliged to discover whether Mrs. Paine was a Papist or not; that there is no Rule better established in this Court, than that a Man shall not be obliged to answer to what may subject him to the Penalty of an Act of Parliament; no Person can doubt whether this Act is not a Penal Law, and whether the Claufes relating to Papists are not Disabilities or Incapacities, imposed by way of Penalty upon all Persons exercising that Religion. It is objected, that this is not the Case of a Forseiture, because the Estate was never vested, and therefore can never be develled; yet it all falls under the same Reaton; and an Incapacity or Difability to hold at all by Act of Parliament, is certainly as much a Penalty as the Forfeiture of an Estate by a Person who had a Right to enjoy it before the Forfeiture. That if a Bill is brought against the Person for a Discovery, whether he is a Papist or not, he is not bound to discover; and where is the Difference between him and the Person claiming under him? Here is a Disability imposed by Parliament, by way of Penalty, upon a particular Set of Men upon the Account of their Religion, the Discovery of that Fact subjects them to a Penalty; and this is not like the Cafe of an Alien or Bastard, who are incapable by the general Laws of the Land to inherit; besides, what fways with me much, is the great Inconvenience that would follow, should this Plea be disallowed; we should have nothing in this Court but Bills of Discovery, whether such and such Persons were Papists or not, and no Body knows what Confusion would follow; therefore the Plea must be allowed.

### Pardon.

(A) By whom to be granted.

(B) In what Cases and for what Offences it may be granted.

(C) Where a Pardon is grantable of common Right.

(D) Of the Calidity of a Pardon; and therein by what Cloids Treason, Murder, Jelony and other Offences may be pardoned; and herein of Pardons by Juplication, and where the King hall be said to be deceived in his Grant thereof.

(E) Whether a Pardon may be conditional.

(F) Who may take Advantage of a Pardon, and to whom it Mall be said to extend.

(G) In what Manner a Pardon is to be taken Advanstage of: And herein,

1. In what Manner a general Pardon by Parliament is to be taken Advantage of.

2. In what Manner a particular Pardon under the Great Seal is to be taken Advantage of.

(H) The Effects and Consequences of a Pardon, and to what the Party hall be restozed.

(A) 23 p

Vol. III. 9 S

### (A) By Whom to be granted.

1 Show. 284.

HE Power of pardoning Offences is inseparably incident to the Crown; and this High Prerogative the King is intrusted with upon a special Confidence, that he will spare those only whose Case, could it have been foreseen, the Law it self may be prefumed willing to have excepted out of its general Rules, which the Wisdom of Man cannot possibly make so persect as to Suit every particular Cafe.

Co. Lit. 114. 3 Inft. 233.

But it feems, that anciently the Right of pardoning Offences within certain Districts was claimed by the Lords of Marchers and others, who had Jura regalia by ancient Grants from the Crown, or by Prescription. But now by the 27 H. S. cap. 24. sect. 1. it is enacted, 'That no Person or 6 Persons, of what Estate or Degree soever they be, shall have Power to e pardon or remit any Treasons or Felonies whatsoever, nor any Accessorics to the fame, nor any Outlawries for such Offences, whether come mitted in England or Wales, or the Marches of the same, but that the 6 King shall have the whole and sole Power and Authority thereof united 6 and knit to the Imperial Crown of this Realm, as of good Right and Equity it appertaineth.

### (B) In what Cales and for what Offences it may be granted.

Plow. 487. Keilw. 134. 3 Inst 237. Vaugh. 333.

T is laid down in general, that the King may pardon any Offence what-ever, whether against the Common or Statute Law, so far as the Pub-12 Co. 29,30 lick is concerned in it, after it is over, and consequently may prevent a popular Action on a Statute, by pardoning the Offence before the Snit is commenced; but it feems, that he cannot wholly pardon a Publick Nufance while it continues fuch, because fuch Pardon would take away the only Means of compelling a Redress of it; yet it is said, that such a Pardon will fave the Party from any Fine to the Time of the Pardon.

Dav. 75. 5 Co. 35. 12 Co. 29.

But it feems agreed, that the King can by no previous Licence, Pardon or Dispensation, make an Offence dispunishable which is Malum in fe; as being either against the Law of Nature, or so far against the Publick Good as to be indictable at Common Law; and that a Grant of this Kind, tending to incourage the doing of Evil, which it is the chief End of Government to prevent, is plainly against Reason and the common Good, andt herefore void.

2 Hawk. P. C. 389. (a) 3 H. 7.

15. pl. 30.

And hence it hath been infifted, that the King's Grant to the Bishop of Salisbury and his Successors, having the Custody of a Prison, that they shall be quiet from all Escapes, which hath been (a) adjudged to be a good Grant, is not Law; as being but a fingle Instance, and contrary to this Rule; because a Grant of this Kind, tending to make a Gaoler less diligent in his Duty, by taking off the legal Punishment of his Negligence, is plainly against the common Good.

2 Hawk. P C. feveral Authorities there cited.

But where a Thing in its own Nature lawful, is made unlawful by 389, 390 and Parliament, as the Carrying Bell-metal, &c. out of the Realm, Importing Merchandizes in foreign Ships, Selling Wines beyond a certain Price, or without a Licence, Multiplying Gold, &c. Coining Money of a base Allay, Ec. it was formerly taken as a general Rule, that the King might difpense with it, as to a particular Time or Place, or Person, so far as the Publick was concerned it; unless such Dispensation could not but he attended with an Inconvenience, as the Introducing a Monopoly; or Fruftrating the End for which the Law was made, as the Licensing a particular Person to import foreign Cards or Wines, &c. in which Cases it was commonly taken to be void; also, where a Statute gives a particular Interest or Right of Action to the Party grieved, as the Statutes of Mortmain, those against Maintenance, Forcible Entries, Carrying Distresses out of the Hundred, Escapes, &c. it has been always agreed, that no Charter from the King can bar the Right of the Party grounded on such Statute; also where a Statute is express, that the King's Charter against the Purport of it, tho' with the Clause of Non obstance, shall be void; it seems to have been always generally agreed, that regularly no such Clause could dispense with it.

Also it seems to be agreed, that no Dispensation of any Statute, ex-2 Hawk P.G. cept the Statutes of Mortmain, was of any Force, without a Clause of 391. Non obstante; neither is such Clause of any Effect at this Day; for it is declared and cnacked by 1 W. & M. sess. 2. cap. 2. that no Dispensation by Non obstante of or to any Statute, or any Part thereof, be allowed; but that the same shall be held void, except a Dispensation be allowed in such Statute; but it is provided, that no Charter, Grant or Pardon, granted before 23d of October 1699. shall be any Ways invalidated by that Act, but that the same shall be and remain of the same Force, and no other,

as if the faid Act had never been made.

The King can by no Charter whatfoever bar any Right of Entry or Plow. 487. Action, or any legal Interest or Benefit before vested in the Subject; and Cro. Car. 1998 therefore it seems clear, that he cannot bar any Action on a Statute by Keilw. 134. the Party grieved, nor even a popular Action commenced before his Pardon, nor a Recognizance for the Peace before it is forseited.

Neither can the King pardon an Appeal, except only where it is car-2 Hawk P.C. ried on at his Suit, after a Nonfuit; and therefore if a Perfon attainted, 392-on an Appeal carried on at the Suit of the Party, get the King's Pardon, he must sue a Scire facias against the Appellant before the Pardon shall be

allowed.

And if the Appellant appear on the Scire facias, he may pray Execu-2 Hawk P.C. tion notwithstanding the Pardon; but if the Sheriff return a Scire facias, 392. or two Nihils, and the Appellant appear not on Demand; or if he return the Appellant dead, the Appellee shall be discharged; but some have holden, that in this last Case a Scire facias shall go against the Heirs of the Deceased.

But there is no need of any Scire facias against the Lord by Escheat, <sup>2</sup> Hawk. P. C. because the Pardon no way tends to reverse the Attainder wheron the <sup>392</sup>.

Title of Escheat is sounded.

If feveral Persons be outlawed on an Appeal, and one get his Pardon 2 Hawk. P.C. allowed on the Non-appearance of the Appellant, on a Scire facias, it 393-seems that the Rest can take no Advantage thereof, but must sue their Scire facias, &c. in the same Manner as if there had been no such Default.

It hath been strongly holden, that the King may pardon the Burning But for this, of the Hand on a Conviction of Manslaughter on an Appeal, as being no vide 2 Hawk. Part of the Judgment at the Suit of the Party, but a collateral and exemplary Punishment inslicted by Statute, and intended only by way of Satisfaction to the Publick Justice, like the finding of Sureties by one

convicted on the Statute against Trespassers in Parks.

A Pardon will not only discharge any Suit in the Spiritual Court ex 5 Co. 51. officio, but also any Suit in such Court ad instantiam partis pro reformatione morum, or salute anime, as for Defamation or laying violent Hands Hob. S1. on a Clerk, &c. and if the Time, to which the Pardon has Relation, be Cro Jac. 335. prior to the Award of the Costs to the Party, or as it is generally holden, 2 Hawk. P.C. if it be subsequent to the Award, but prior to the Taxation, it shall discharge them, but not if it be subsequent to the Taxation; and the

fame Rule holds as to Costs taxed to the Party grieved on a Contempt in a Court of Equity; but quære as to Costs taxed by the Prothonotary on an Attachment; for they are not given by the Court of Courfe, but the Offender fubmits only to pay them by way of Composition.

1 3 on. 227. 2 Rol Abr.

If a Person be imprisoned on an Excommunicato capiendo for Non-payment of Costs, and the King pardons all Contempts, it is said, that he Cre. Fac. 159. shall be discharged without any Scire facias against the Party, and that S Co. 68, 69. the Party must begin a-new to compel a Payment of the Costs; because the Imprisonment was grounded on the Contempt, which is wholly par-

5 Co. 51. Latch 190. Cro.Car. 46 7.

But no Pardon will discharge a Suit in the Spiritual Court, any more than in a Temporal, for a Matter of Interest or Property in the Plaintiff; as for Tithes, Legacies, Matrimonial Contracts and fuch like; also it is agreed, that after Costs are taxed in a Suit in such Court at the Prosecution of the Party, whether for a Matter of private Interest, or pro reformatione morum, or pro falute animae, or for Defamation, &c. they shall not be discharged by a subsequent Pardon.

2 Rol. Alr. 304. Noy 85. Latch 155.

If the Offence be pardoned after Costs taxed, and then the Defendant appeal, and the Superior Court give new Costs for or against him, such Costs shall not be avoided; because the Costs in the first Suit being taxed before the Pardon, and therefore not avoided by it, the Appeal was proper for determining whether they were well given or not, and confequently Costs were as properly given on such Appeal as in any other Case; but if the Offence be pardoned, hanging an Appeal, and the Pardon relate to a Time precedent to the Award of the Costs, and then the Appellant defert his Appeal, and the Court award Costs against him in respect of such Desertion, it seems that he may have a Prohibition; because the Pardon, having discharged the Costs of the first Suit, made the Appeal to be of no Purpofe.

By the 12 & 13 W. 3. cap. 2. 'No Pardon under the Great Seal shall 6 be pleaded to an Impeachment by the Commons in Parliament.

#### (C) Where a Pardon is grantable of common Right.

2 Inft. 316.

PY the Statute of Gloucester, cap. 9. it is enacted, 6 That if it be 6 found by the Country, that a Person tried for the Death of a 6 Man did it in his Defence, or by Misfortune, then, by the Report of the Justices to the King, the King shall take him to his Grace, if it please him.

2 Hawk. P.C. But it seems to be settled at this Day agreeably to the antient Com-380, 381. mon Law, in Affirmance whereof this Statute was made, that in such a Case, or where one indicted of Homicide se desendendo confesses the Indictment, if the Party cause the Record to come into Chancery, the Chancellor will of Course make him a Pardon without speaking to the King, and that by fuch Pardon the Forfeiture of Goods may be faved; for these Words, if it shall please the King, shall be taken as spoken only by way of Reverence to him, and not intended to make fuch a Pardon discretionary. But if the Party be found to have fled, it is made a Quære, if the Pardon save the Forseiture for the Flight, for that is not

grounded on the Homicide, but on the Contempt of the Law. 3 Inft. 129. If an Approver convict all the Appellees, whether by Battle or Verdict, 2 Hawk P.C. the King ex merito justitix ought to pardon him as to his Life, and also give 200. 2 Hale's Hift. him his Wages from the Time of the Appeal to the Time of the Conviction. P. C. 233.

By the 4 & 5 IV. & M. cap. 8. it is enacted, 'That if any Person or Persons out of Prison shall commit any Robbery, and afterwards dis-

cover two or more who then had or afterwards shall commit any Rob-

bery, fo as two or more of them shall be convicted, any fuch Difcoverer shall be intitled to a Pardon for all Robberies committed before

the Difcovery, which also shall bar an Appeal.

And by the 6 & 7 W. & M. cap. 17. it is enacted, 'That if any Person or Persons out of Prison shall be guilty of clipping, coining, counter-

feiting, washing, filing or otherwise diminishing the Com of this

Realm, and afterwards discover two or more who then had or after-

wards thall commit any of the faid Crimes, so as two or more of them

fhall be convicted, any fuch Difcoverer shall be intitled to a Pardon for

all his Crimes committed before the Discovery.

By the 10 & 11 W. 3. cap. 23. (which excludes Clergy from those who shall in any Shop, Whare-house, Coach-house or Stable, privately steal any Goods, &c. of the Value of 5s. tho' such Shop, &c. be not broken open, and tho' no Person be therein, or shall assist, hire or command any Person to commit such Offence,) 'If any Person or Persons shall com-' mit any Burglary, House-breaking or Felony, in stealing of any Horse or Horses, or any Money, Wares or Goods from whom Clergy is by that Act taken away, and being out of Prison shall discover two or more who then had or after shall commit any such Felony, and shall be convicted thereof, or cause to be discovered and apprehended two or more, who shall be convicted as aforesaid, every such Discoverer shall be intitled to a Pardon for the Felonies aforesaid committed before such Discovery, &c.

And by the 5 Ann. cap. 31. it is enacted, 'That every Person who shall be guilty of Burglary, or of the felonious Breaking and Entring

a House in the Day-time, and after shall discover two who shall have

committed fuch Felony, so as they be convict, &c. shall have 40 l. and

a Pardon of all Felonies, except Murder, &c.

(D) Of the Ualidity of a Pardon; and there= in by what Moids Treason, Burder, Fe= lony and other Offences, may be pardoned; and herein of Pardons by Implication, and Where the King hall be faid to be deceived in his Grant thereof.

Party stands convicted upon Record, the Pardon is void, upon a Pre- 2 Rol. Abr. fumption that it was gained from the King by Imposition.

Dyer 352. pl.

26. Raym. 13. 1 Sid. 41. 3 Inft. 238.

And upon this Ground it feems agreed, that if a Man attainted of Fe- 2 Hawk P. C. lony get a Pardon, which doth not mention the Attainders, the Pardon 382-31 will be ineffectual; also it hath been holden, that the Pardon of a Perfon convicted by Verdict of Felony is void, unless it recite the Indictment and Conviction; also it hath been questioned, if the Pardon of a Person barely indicted of Felony be good, without mentioning the In-Vol. III.

dictment; but it hath been adjudged, that fuch a Defect is falved by the Words sive indictatus sire non.

2 Hawk. P. C. 383-4. 1 Hale's Hift. P C. 466. P. C. 45.

It hath been holden, that antiently a Pardon of all Felonies, included all Treafons as well as Felonies; and it feems to be taken for granted in many Books, that fuch a general Pardon is even at this Day pleadable to 2 Hale's Hift, any Felony, except Murder and Rape, and Piracy; and that the only Reason why it may not also be pleaded to Murder and Rape is, because 13 R. 2. requires an express Mention of them; and that the only Reafon why it is not pleadable to Piracy is, because it is a Felony by the Civil Law only.

> By the 27 E. 3. cap. 2. it is enacted, 'That in every Pardon of Fe-6 lony granted at any Man's Suggestion, the Suggestion and the Name of him that makes it shall be comprised; and if it be found untrue, the Charter shall be disallowed; and the Justices, before whom the Charter ' shall be alledged, shall inquire of the same Suggestion, and, if they find it untrue, shall disallow the Charter.

6 Co. 13. 384.

No Pardon of Felony shall be carried beyond the express Purport of 2 Hawk P.C. it; and therefore if the King, reciting an Attainder of Robbery, pardon the Execution, he thereby neither pardons the Felony it felf, nor any other Consequence of it besides the Execution.

2 Harrik, P. C. teveral Authorities. there eited.

It is enacted by 2 E. 3. cap. 2. That Charters of Pardon of Manslaughters shall not be granted but where the King may do it by his Oath, that is to fay, where a Man flayeth another in his own Defence, or by Misfortune; neither is there any Frecedent in the Register of the Pardon of any other Homicide, but fuch as is done either in Self-defence or by Mif-adventure, or by Infants or Madmen; and from hence fome have disputed the King's Power of pardoning any other Homicide; but this is contrary not only to the general Tenor of the Books, but also to the plain Purport of 13 Rich. 2. cap. 1. which reciting that Treasons, Murders and Rapes, had been frequently committed, because Pardons had been easily granted in fuch Cases, enacteth, 'That no Pardon shall be allowed for Murder, or 'for the Death of a Man slain by Await, Assault or Malice pre'pensed, Treason or Rape of a Woman, unliss the same Murder, &c. be specified in the same Charter; and if the Charter of the Death of 6 a Man be alledged before any Justices, in which it is not specified that 6 the Party was murdered or flain by Await, Assault or Malice pre-' pensed, the same Justices shall inquire by a good Inquest of the Visue ' where the Dead was flain, if he were murdered or flain by Await, • &c. and if they find that he was murdered or flain by Await, &c. the 6 Charter shall be disallowed.

1 Sid. 366. 1 Shav 283. Keiling 24 3 Mod. 37.

It hath been formerly often adjudged, that Murder might be pardoned under the general Defeription of a felonious Killing, with a Claufe of Non obstante; but by 1 IV. & M. sess. 2. it is declared, That no Dispensation by Non obstance of or to any Statute shall be allowed.

2 Keb. 363, 4 ! 5. Keiling 24. 2 Fon. 56.

But Pardons of Manflaughter remain as they were at Common Law; and therefore the Pardon of the felonious Killing of 7. S. may be pleaded to an Indichment of Manslaughter in killing him; but where such a Pardon is pleaded to a Coroner's Inquest of Manslaughter, the Court may refuse to allow it, till the Fact be found Manslaughter by a Jury directed by a higher Court.

Dyer 50. pl 4. 235. pl. 19. 6 Co. 13.

If a general Act expresly pardon Petit Treasons, and except Murders, it cannot be avoided by indicting a Person guilty of Petit Treason for Murder only, omitting the Word Proditorie; for the less Offence being included in the greater is pardoned by the Pardon of it; and therefore fuch an Exception of Murder is to be intended of fuch Murder only as is specially so called, and doth not amount to Petit Treason.

1 Ico. S, 120. 1 Sid. 150. 1 Keb. 66, 548.

Neither doth the Exception of Murder in a general Act of Pardon of all Felonics extend to a Felo de se; for tho' his Offence be in Strictness Murder, yet in common Speech, according to which Statutes are commonly expounded, it is generally understood as a distinct Offence, the

Word Murder feeming prima facie to import the Murder of another.

It is faid, that a general Act of Pardon of all Felonies, Misdemeanors Plew 401.

Cole's Case.

It is faid, that a general Act of Pardon of all Felonies, Misdemeanors Plew 401.

Cole's Case.

It hale's History the Party died not till after: because P. C. 200. Wound before the Day, whereof the Party died not till after; because P. C. 426. the Stroke being pardoned, the Effects of it are consequently pardoned. Dyer 99. pl.

It is faid, that a Pardon of all Misprisions, Trespasses, Offences and 1 Lev. 106. Contempts, will pardon a Contempt in making a false Return, and a 1 Std. 211
Striking in Wellwinster-Hall, and Barratry, and even a Premusing. 2 Med. 52. Striking in Westminster-Hall, and Barratry, and even a Præmunire; also it is laid down in general, that it will pardon any Crime which is

not Capital.

If A be indicted of Piracy, and refusing to plead hath Judgment of 2 Hale's Hist.

Paine fort & dure, and by the General Pardon Piracies are excepted, but cited from the Judgment of Paine fort & dure is pardoned by the general Words Dier 308. a. of all Contempts; quære whether he may be arraigned for the same Piracy; but by the better Opinion, he may be arraigned of any other Piracy committed before that Award.

### (E) Whether a Pardon may be conditional.

Tr feems agreed, that the King may extend his Mercy on what Terms Co.Lit. 274 b. he pleases, and consequently may annex to his Pardon any Condition 2 Hawk. P.C. that he thinks fit, whether precedent or subsequent, on the Performance 394. whereof the Validity of the Pardon will depend.

Also it hath been held, that in every Pardon for a Capital Offence, Moor pl. 662. where the Party was obliged to give Security, there is a Condition in Law annexed to fuch Pardon, fo that if he forfeits fuch Recognizance, his Pardon becomes void, and he may be taken and executed on the first Judgment.

### (F) Juho may take Advantage of a Pardon, and to Whom it shall be said to extend.

Otwithstanding all Felonies are several, yet the Felony of one Man Cro Eliz. 30, may be so far dependant on that of another, that the Pardon of 31. the one will necessarily enure to the Benefit of the other; as where Dyer 34 pl. the Principal is allowed his Pardon before his Conviction, in which Case 2 Hawk. P. C. the Accessory may by a necessary Consequence take Benefit of it; be-387. canse he cannot be arraigned till the Principal is convicted.

Where a Man is bound to the King as Surety for another's Debt, it 2 Hawk. P. C. is clear, that the Discharge of the Principal is a Discharge of the Surety; 387. but where a Man is bound to the King for another's Performance of a future Act, the Discharging of the other from such suture Act will not discharge the Surety; but quære if both had been bound, and the Subject

no Way interested in the Matter.

The Pardon of A. B. and C. of all Felonies by them done, without Dyer 34 pl. adding or any of them, is void; for it supposes them jointly guilty, 21. 2Hawk P. C. and extends to none but joint Felonies, whereas all Felony is feveral in 388. each Offender, and cannot be joint.

(G) In

### (G) In What Manner a Pardon is to be ta= ken Advantage of: And herein,

1. In what Manner a General Pardon by Parliament is to be taken Advantage of.

(a) That a Advantage of, unless it

2 Hawk P.C. TEREIN we must first observe a Difference between a Pardon by 396. Parliament and that under the Great Seal; that as to a Pardon by (a) Parliament, the same cannot be waived, because no one by his Ad-Pardon can-mittance can give the Court a Power to punish him, where it judicially not be taken appears there is no Law to do it; but a Man may waive a Pardon under the Great Seal, by pleading other Matter, without taking any Notice of it. be taken out and pleaded under the Great Seal. 1 Keb. 707.

2 Hawk P. C. 396 7. and ieveral Authorities there eited.

If the Body of a General Act of Pardon either except divers Perfons by Name, or except all who come under a general Description, as all who adhered to J. S. the Court is not bound (neither ought it, as fome fay,) to give any one the Advantage of it, unless he plead it, and shew, in the first Case, that he is not one of the Persons excepted, and in the other, that he is not included in fuch Description; neither will it be fafe for him, if he be of the same Name with one of those excepted by Name, to aver, that he is not one of the Perfons excepted by Name, without adding, that he is a different Person from such other of the same Name. But if the Body of the Statute except one Person only, or if it be general as to all, and afterwards some be excepted in the Proviso's, it may be pleaded, as some fay, without any Averment that he who pleads it is not one of the Persons excepted, &c. and the Exceptions ought to be shewn of the other Side.

Noy 100. Black ver. Allen. Cro. Car. 449. Moor 620.

Also where a General Act of Pardon excepts certain Kinds of Crimes, there is no need to aver, that the Crime whereof a Person is indicted is not one of fuch excepted Crimes, but the Court ought judicially to take Notice whether it be excepted or not.

Cro. Eliz. 125 2 Jon. 26.

Also where such a Statute excepts only one particular Person, it hath been faid, that there is no need of an Averment that a Person indicted is not fuch Person, but that the Court is to take Notice whether he be or not.

#### 2. In what Manner a particular Pardon under the Great Seal is to be taken Advantage of.

The Party, as has been observed, must insist on the Benefit of this Kind Cro. Eliz. 153. of Pardon; and therefore it hath been held to be Error, to allow a Man the Benefit of a Pardon under the Great Seal, unless he plead it.

2 Hawk. P. C. He who pleads fuch a Pardon ought to produce it (b) Sub pede figilli; be-397. (b) That it cause it is presumed to be in his Custody, and the Property of it belongs is sufficient to him; yet if a Man pleads such Pardon without producing it, it seems, to plead and that the Court may indulge him a faither Day to put in a better Plea.

emplification of the Pardon, &c. because such Exemplification is expresly within the 13 Eliz. cap. 6. 5 Co. 53. Carth. 138. cited.

3 Inft. 240. Krilav. 58. 1 Rol. Rep. 36S. I'yer 34.

If there be a Variance between the Pardon and Record of Conviction, &c. yet if there be no Repugnancy to intend that the fame Perfon is meant in both, it may be supplied by a proper Averment; as if he be called 7. S. Gentleman in the one, and 7. S. Yeoman in the other; or B. the Father in the one, and B. the Son of II. in the other; or if the

Stroke which caused the Death of J. S. &c. be supposed to have been given on the second of August in the one, and on the third in the other; also if such variant Pardon be pleaded without such Averment, the Court may give the Party a farther Day to perfect his Plea.

It feems that such Pardon cannot be pleaded after the General Issue, 2 Hawk P. C. unless it be of a Date subsequent to the Pleading of it; because the ma-395. king Defence, without taking any Notice of the Pardon, seems to amount to a Waiver of it; and quære if a Pardon can be pleaded at the same Time with the General Issue.

The Party is not bound to lay the Stress of his Case on any particular 2 Hawk. P. C. Clause of the Pardon, but may take Advantage of the whole.

After an Amerciament in the King's Bench is estreated into the Ex-2 Hank P.C. chequer, and the Party hath infisted on a Pardon there, and being de-398. nied any Benefit of it, he may be brought by Habeas Corpus cum causa to the King's Bench, because the Record remains there, and plead his Pardon; and if it be adjudged sufficient, have a Supersceeds to the Barons.

While the Statute 10 E. 3. cap. 2. stood in Force, no Pardon of (a) Fe-Plow. 5023 lony could be allowed, without a Writ of Allowance, testifying that the Raym 13. Party had found Sureties according to that Statute; but this is now re-Carth. 121. pealed by 5 & 6 W. 3. cap. 13. which provides, That the Justices, before (b) But there whom a Pardon of Felony shall be pleaded, may in Discretion remand never was or commit the Party to Prison till he shall enter into a Recognizance, any Necessiste with two sufficient Sureties, for the Good Behaviour for any Time not Writ upon a exceeding seven Years; provided that if such Person be an Insant or Pardon of Feme Covert, it shall be sufficient to find two Sureties, who shall enter Treason. into a Recognizance for his or her being of the Good Behaviour as Cro. Eliz. 814. Noy 31.

The Judges may infift on the usual Fee of Gloves to themselves and 2 Jon. 56.

Officers, before they allow a Pardon.

1 Sid. 452.

Keilw. 25.

Where a Prisoner hath a Pardon to plead, and any Difficulty arise 3 Inft. 29. thereon, the Court will of Course assign him Counsel.

#### (H) The Effects and Consequences of a Pardon, and to What the Party shall be restoicd.

T feems agreed, that a Pardon of Treason or Felony, even after an Hob. 67, 81 Attainder, so far clears the Party from the Insamy and all other Mess 863. Consequences thereof, that he may have an Action against any one who I Rol. Abr. 87. shall afterwards call him Traitor or Felon, for the Pardon makes him as Raym. 23. it were a new Man.

Also a Pardon restores a Man to his Credit, so as to enable him to be 2 Hale's Hist.

a Witness; but yet his Credit must be left to the Jury.

278, & vide
Tit. Evidence.

And it hath been admitted, that the King's Pardon of the Burning of 2 Hawk. P.C. the Hand on a Conviction of Manslaughter hath the same Effect, as to 395 this Purpose, as the Burning would have had, which is agreed to restore the Party to his Credit.

But it hath been adjudged, that a Pardon is of no Manner of Force, 2 Hawk. P. C. as to this Purpose, till it have passed the Great Seal.

as to this Purpose, till it have passed the Great Seal.

It is said, that the Pardon of a Felony will not make an Arrest for it by Hob. 67, 82. one who did not know of the Pardon unlawful; because such Arrests, being for the Publick Good, are to be favoured, and therefore shall not be actionable by Reason of such a Pardon, as scandalous Words shall be, because they deserve no Favour.

Vol. III. 9 U

If a Man be convicted or deprived, or otherwise punished for an Of-2 Hawk. P. C fence during a Seffion of Parliament, and at the same Seffion an Act passeth which pardons the Offence, it seems agreed, that the Conviction or Deprivation, &c. are ipso facto avoided; because the Act taking Effect from the first Day of the Session, it now appears, that the Offence was pardoned at the Time of the Conviction, &c. Also it hath been adjudged, that where an Act of Parliament expresly pardons such and such Crimes from a certain Day before the Sessions, it thereby avoids all Convictions and Deprivations, and Awards of Costs and Amerciaments, &c. for such Crimes, whether fuch Convictions, &c. were before or after the Seffion; because it appears to be the Intent of the Parliament that such Crimes shall no Way be punished, which cannot take Effect, if such Convictions, &c. continue in Force.

1 Lev. S, 120. 2 Mod. 53. 1 Saund. 362. 3 Mod. 101.

But as no Pardon from the King shall devest any Interest vested in the Subject; fo neither shall it, without Words of Restitution, even devest any Thing from the King; yet a Pardon prior to a Conviction shall prevent all Forfeitures of Lands or Goods.

1 Sid. 167. 1 Lev. 120.

It hath been adjudged, that the Release of all Judgments and Execu-1 Saund. 362. tions in a General Pardon extends to Debts due to the King by Affignment or Forfeiture; and that it doth not restore them to him who assigned or forseited them, but extinguishes them in the Hands of the Debtor.

Owen S7. Hetl. 104. Co Lit. 120. 3 Bulft. 90,9 L 3 Inft. 154.

It feems agreed, that notwithstanding the King's Pardon to a Simonist coming into Church contrary to the Purport of 31 Eliz. cap. 6. or to an Officer coming into his Office by a corrupt Bargain contrary to the Purport of 5 & 6 E. 6. cap. 16. may fave such Clerk or Officer from any Criminal Profecution in respect of the corrupt Bargain; yet shall it not enable the Clerk to hold the Church, nor the Officer to retain the Office,

because they are absolutely disabled by Statute.

Co. Lit. S. 1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 358.

A Restitution of Blood, in its true Nature and Extent, can only be by Act of Parliament; and therefore if a Man attainted be pardoned by Act of Parliament, he is totally restored and inheritable to all Persons; but if he be pardoned by Charter, he may thenceforth purchase Lands, but cannot inherit his former Relations; for the King's Charter cannot alter or take away the Right of others, or restore the Relation that was lost.

Noy 170. Co. Lit. 391. 1 Hale : Hift. P. C. 358.

If a Man be attainted, and after pardoned by Charter, the Children born before such Pardon shall not inherit; but if they fail, the Children born after such Pardon may inherit him; for the Pardon makes him capable of new Relations as well as of new Purchases, tho' all the old legal Benefits and Relations are loft.

I Hale's Hift. P. C. 358.

Restitutions by Parliament are of two Kinds; one a Restitution only in Blood, which only removes the Corruption thereof, but restores not to the Party attaint, or his Heirs, the Manors or Honours lost by the Attainder, unless it specially extend to it; the other is a general Restitution, not only in Blood but to the Lands, &c. of the Party attaint.

1 Hale's Hift. P. C. 358.

A Restitution in Blood may be special and qualified; but generally a

3 Inft. 233

358-9.

Restitution in Blood, is construed liberally and extensively.

A. hath Issue B. a Son, and is attaint of Treason and dies, B. puri Hale's Heft. chafeth Lands in Fee-simple, B. by Parliament is restored only in Blood, and enabled as well as Heir to A. as to all other Collateral and Lineal Anceftors, provided it shall not restore B. to any of the Lands of A forfeited by the Attainder; B. dies without Issue; it was ruled, that the Lands of B. shall descend to the Sisters of A. as Aunts and collateral Heirs of B. 1st, Because the Corruption of Blood by the Attainder is removed by the Restitution. 2dly, Altho' the Words of the Act of Restitution be to rotore B. only as Heir to A. &c. yet this doth not only remove the Corruption, and restore him and his Lineal Heirs in Blood, but also his Collateral Heirs, and removes that Impediment which would have hindered the Descent to them.

### Pauper.

- (A) Of the Right to fue in forma Pauperis, and the Wattner of Admittance.
- (B) Whether a Besendant may be allowed to desend, as well as a Plaintiff to sue, in forma Pauperis.
- (C) In what Cases to be so admitted.
- (D) In what Cales to be dispaupered and to pay Coffs,

# (A) Of the Right to suc in forma Pauperis, and the Hanner of Admittance.

Y the 11 Hen. 7. cap. 12. it is enacted in the Words following, Prayen the Commons in this present Parliament affembled, that where the King our Sovereign Lord, of his most gracious 6 Disposition, willeth and intendeth indifferent Justice to be had and ministred according to his Common Laws to all his true Subjects, as well to the Poor as Rich, which poor Subjects be not of Ability ne Power to fue according to the Laws of this Land, for the Redress of Injuries and Wrongs to them daily done, as well concerning their · Persons and their Inheritance as other Causes; for Remedy whereof, in the Behalf of the poor Persons of this Land not able to sue for their Remedy after the Course of the Common Law, be it ordained and enacted, that every poor Person or Persons, which have or hereafter shall have Cause of Action or Actions against any Person or Perfons within this Realm, shall have, by the Discretion of the Chancellor 6 of this Realm for the Time being, Writ or Writs original and Writs of Subpana, according to the Nature of their Causes, therefore nothing paying to your Highness for the Seals of the same, nor to any Person for the Writing of the same Writs to be hereaster sued; and that the faid Chancellor for the Time being shall assign such of the • Clerks, which shall do and use the Making and Writing of the same Writs, to write the fame ready to be fealed; and also learned Counsel and Attornies for the same, without any Reward taking therefore; and after the faid Writ or Writs be returned, if it be before the King in his Bench, the Justices there shall assign to the same poor Person or Persons Counsel learned, by their Discretions, which shall give their Counsel, nothing taking for the same; and likewise the Justices shall appoint Attorney and Attornics for the same poor Person or Persons, and all other Officers requifite and necessary to be had for the Speed of the said Suits to be had and made, which shall do their Duties without any Reward for their Counfels, Help and Business in the same; and the same Law and Order shall be observed and kept of all such Suits to be made afore f the King's Justice of his Common Place and Barons of his Exchequer, and all other Justices in the Court of Record where any fuch Suit fhall be.

Before

Lil. Reg. 633. Before a Person is admitted to suc in forma Pauperis, he must have a Counsel's Hand to his Petition, certifying the Judge to whom the Petition is directed, that he conceives the Petitioner hath good Cause of Action; he must also annex an Affidavit to his Petition, that he is not worth 51. all his Debts paid, except wearing Apparel and his Right to the Matter in Question.

2 Salk. 507. On a Motion to dispauper a Person who was Plaintiff in an Action, because he had a Living of 40 l. per Annum; Turton and Gould Justices were against it, because he swore he was in Debt more than it was worth; but Holt C. J. differed from them; for his being indebted, or his Estate being mortgaged, is no Reason, it is enough that he has a considerable Estate in Possession.

Lil. Reg. 633. A Person admitted to sue in sorma Pauperis can only sue in that Cause for which he is admitted, so that if any other Cause arises, he must sue de novo to be admitted, & sie totics quoties.

# (B) Withether a Defendant may be allowed to defend, as well as a Plaintiff to sue, in forma Pauperis.

It feems that, after the Statutes which introduced Costs, neither Plaintiffs nor Defendants could sue or defend in forma Pauperis, for that would be a Means of depriving the other Party of the Costs given him by Statute; and as the above mentioned Statute 11 H. 7. enables Persons only to sue as Paupers; and as the Statute 23 H. 8. hereafter set forth, excepts only Plaintiffs who are Paupers from paying of Costs, it seems that a Defendant cannot be admitted in a Civil Action to defend as a Pauper. But it hath been (a) adjudged, that a Person may be admitted to defend an Indictment in forma Pauperis for a Misdemeanor, such as a Conspiracy, keeping a disorderly House, &c. for in such Proceedings there being no Costs, the Judges have a discretionary Power of admitting or resusing them by the Common Law.

(a) Pasch. 9 Geo. 2. The King ver. Wright.

> Also by the 2 Geo. 2. cap. 28. fest. 8. it is enacted, 'That in case any e Person, arrested and imprisoned by Virtue of any Writ of Capias or Information relating to the Customs, shall make Affidavit before the Iudge or Judges of fuch Court where fuch Action or Information shall 6 be brought, or before any other Person commissioned by such Court to take Affidavits, that he is is not worth, over and above his wearing • Apparel, the Sum of 51 (which Affidavit the faid Judge or Judges of fuch Court, and fuch Person so commissioned, is and are hereby authorifed and required to take) and fuch Person shall thereupon Peti-'tion fuch Court to be admitted to defend himself against such Action or Information in forma Pauperis, that then the Judges of fuch Court 6 shall according to their Discretions admit such Person to defend him-6 felf against such Action or Information in the same Manner, and with 6 the fame Privileges, as the Judges of fuch Court are by Law directed and authorifed to admit poor Subjects to commence Actions for the Recovery of their Right; and for that End and Purpose it shall be 6 lawful for the Judges of fuch Courts to affign Counsel learned in the Law, and to appoint an Attorney and Clerk of fuch Court to advise and carry on any legal Defence that fuch Person can make against such · Action or Information; which faid Counfel, Attorney and Clerk fo af-6 figned and appointed, is and are hereby required to give his and their 6 Advice and Affiftance to fuch Person, and to do their Duties, without Fee or Reward.

> > (C) In

### (C) In What Cases to be so admitted.

T is faid, that none ought to be admitted to sue in forma Pauperis in Lil. Reg. 053-an Action on the Case for Words.

Also it is said, that a Person who sues in forma Pauperis ought not to 1 Med. 263, have a new Trial granted him; because having had once the Benefit of per North.

the King's Justice he ought to acquiesce in it.

And it is faid, that Paupers ought not to be admitted to remove 1 Mod. 263. Caufes out of Inferior Courts, but ought to fatisfy themselves with the per North. Jurisdiction within which their Actions properly lie.

### (D) In What Cases to be dispaupered and to pay Costs.

Y the Orders of the Courts, if the Party admitted to fue in forma Ord. Cur. 94. BY the Orders of the Courts, it the Land Pauperis give any Fee or Reward to his Counfel or Attorney, or make any Contract or Agreement with them, he shall from thenceforth be dispaupered, and not be afterwards admitted again in that Suit to prosecute in forma Pauperis.

Also, if it shall be made appear to the Court, that any Person prose-Ord. Cur. 951 cuting in forma Pauperis hath sold or contracted for the Benefit of the Suit, or any Part thereof, while the fame depends, such Cause shall be

from thenceforth totally dismissed the Court.

It is faid, that if a Pauper gives Notice of Trial, and does not pro- 1 Salk. 506.

ceed, he shall be disprupered.

In the Statute 23 H. 8. eap. 15. there is a Provision, 'That whoever fucs in forma Pauperis shall (a) not pay Costs, but shall suffer such other (a) Tho Punishment as the Judge of the Court shall think fit. Lands descend to him

after Cause tried, yet shall not pay Costs. 1 Mod. Rep. in Law & Eq. 344.

But notwithstanding this Statute, if he be dispaupered or nonfuited, I Rol. Rep. the (b) usual Practice is to tax the Costs, and for Non-payment to order 2 Salk 506. him to be whipped. Stile 386.

(b) But the the usual Course in such Cases is to tax the Costs, and if not paid to whip the Plaintiff, yet upon Consideration of the Circumstances of the Case, it is in the Discretion of the Court to spare both. 1 Sid. 261. And per Holt C. J. on Motion to whip a Pauper who had been nonfinited, there is no Officer for that Purpose, nor did he ever know it done. 1 Salk. 506.

A. brought a Bill in forma Pauperis, to which the Defendant put in a Abr. Eq. 125. Plea and Demurrer, which were both over-ruled; and it was infifted upon, that he should have no Costs, being at none; but my Lord Somers, after long Debate and Inquiry of all the antient Counfel and Clerks, who agreed that he should have Costs, ordered him his Costs (c) like other (c) But vide Suitors; for tho' he is at no Costs, or but small Costs, yet the Counsel Preced. Chan. and Clerks do not give their Labour to the Defendant, but to the Pauper. 219. where

ving a Decree to recover with Costs, it was held on Motion per Curiam to be unreasonable, that any one should have more Costs than he was out of Poeket; and thereupon ordered the Plaintiff and his Solicitor to make Oath before the Master, and what they swore they had paid, or were to pay, was to be allowed, but no farther.

Vol. III.

9 X

Perjury.

# Perjury.

1 Hawk. P.C. 172.

ERJURY by the Common Law is defined a wilful false Oath by one who, being lawfully required to depose the Truth in any Proceeding in a Court of Justice, swears absolutely in a Matter of some Consequence to the Point in Question, whether he be believed or not.

57. Yelv. 72. 2 Keb. 399. 3 Mod. 122.

Subornation of Perjury by the Common Law is an Offence in procuring a Man to take a falfe Oath amounting to Perjury, who actually Cro. Fac. 158. takes such Oath; but it seemeth clear, that if the Person, incited to take fuch an Oath, do not actually take it, the Person by whom he was fo incited is not guilty of Subornation of Perjury; yet it is certain, that 1 Hawk. P.C. he is liable to be punished, not only by Fine, but also by infamous Corporal Punishment.

For the better Understanding the Nature of Perjury, we shall consider,

(A) What it is by the Common Law, and how re-Arained and punished.

(B) How restrained and punished by Statute.

### (A) What it is by the Common Law, and how restrained and punished.

5 Mod. 350.

If, T is necessary, to constitute the Offence Perjury, that the salse Oath be taken wilfully, viz. with some Degree of Deliberation, and not meerly owing to Surprize or Inadvertency, or a Mistake of a true State of the Question.

I Hawk. P. C. rities there cited.

2dly, The Oath must be taken either in a Judicial Proceeding, or in 173. and se- some other Publick Proceeding of the like Nature, wherein the King's veral Autho Honour or Intercst are concerned; as before Commissioners appointed by the King to inquire of the Forfeitures of his Tenants, or of defective Titles wanting the Supply of the King's Patenes; but it is not material whether the Court, in which a false Oath is taken, be a Court of Record or not, or whether it be a Court of Common Law or a Court of Equity, or Civil Law, &c. or whether the Oath be taken in the Face of the Court, or out of it before Persons authorised to examine a Matter depending in it; as before the Sheriff on a Writ of Inquiry, &c. or whether it be taken in Relation to the Merits of a Cause or in a collateral Matter; as where one, who offers himself to be Bail for another, fwears that his Substance is greater than it is, &c. but neither a false Oath in a meer private Matter, as in making a Bargain, &c. nor the Breach of a prom sfory Oath, whether publick or private, are punishable as Perjury.

3dly, The Oath ought to be taken before Perfons lawfully authorifed 1 Hawk. P.C. 173-4. to administer it; for if it be taken before Persons acting meerly in a pri-

vate Capacity, or before Persons pretending to a legal Authority of administring such Oath, but having in Truth no such Authority, it is not punishable as Perjury; yet a false Oath taken before Commissioners, whose Commission at the Time is in Strickness determined by the Demise of the King, is Perjury, if taken before such Time as the Commissioners had Notice of such Demise; for it would be of the utmost ill Consequence in such Case to make their Proceedings wholly void.

4thly, The Oath ought to be taken by a Person sworn to depose the I Hawh. P. C. Truth; and therefore a salse Verdict comes not under the Notion of 174. Perjury, because the Jurors swear not to depose the Truth, but only to judge truly of the Depositions of others; but a Man may be as well perjured by an Oath in his own Cause, as in an Answer in Chancery, or in an Answer to Interrogatories concerning a Contempt, or in an Affidavit,

&c. as by an Oath taken by him as Witness in another Cause.

5thly, It is not material, whether the Thing fworn be in it felf true 1 Hawk P C. or falfe, where the Person who swears it in Truth knows nothing of it. 175.

6thly, The Oath must be taken absolutely and directly; and therefore 1 Hawk. P. C. if a Man only swears as he thinks, remembers or believes, he cannot be 175.

guilty of Perjury.

7thly, The Thing sworn ought to be some Way material; for if it be 1 Hawk. F.C. wholly foreign from the Purpofe, or altogether immaterial, and neither 175any Way pertinent to the Matter in Question, nor tending to aggravate or extenuate the Damages, nor likely to induce the Jury to give the readier Credit to the substantial Part of the Evidence, it cannot amount to Perjury, because it is wholly idle and infignificant; as where a Witness introduces his Evidence, with an impertinant Preamble of a Story concerning previous Facts, no way relating to what is material, and is guilty of a Falsity as to such Facts; but it seems a reasonable Opinion, that a Witness may be guilty of Perjury in respect to a false Oath concerning a meer Circumstance, if such Oath have a plain Tendency to corroborate the more material Part of the Evidence; as if in Trespass for spoiling the Plaintiff's Close with the Defendant's Sheep, a Witness fwears that he faw fuch a Number of the Defendant's Sheep in the Close; and being asked how he knew them to be the Defendant's, swears that he knew them by fuch a Mark, which he knew to be the Defendant's, where in Truth the Defendant never used any such Mark.

8thly, It does not feem material, whether the false Oath were credited I Hawk. P. C. or not, or whether the Party, in whose Prejudice it was taken, were in 177. the Event any ways damaged by it; for the Prosecution is not grounded on the Damage to the Party, but on the Abuse of Publick Justice.

### (B) How restrained and punished by Statute.

PY the 5 Eliz. cap. 9. it is enacted, 'That whoever shall unlawfully and corruptly procure any Witness or Witnesses by Letters, Rewards, Promises, or by any other sinister and unlawful Labour or Means whatsoever, to commit any wilful and corrupt Perjury in any Matter or Cause whatsoever depending in Suit or Variance by any Writ, Action, Bill Complaint or Information in any wise concerning any Lands, Tenements or Hereditaments, or Goods, Chattels, Debts or Damages in any of the King's Courts of Chancery, Winte-ball or elsewhere, within any of the King's Dominions of England or Wales, or the Marches of the same, where any Person or Persons shall have

Autho-

4 Authority by Virtue of the King's Commission, Patent or Writ, to ' hold Plea of Land, or to examine, hear or determine any Title of Lands, or any Matter or Witneffes concerning the Title, Right or Inf terest of any Lands or Tenements, or Hereditaments, or in any of the King's Courts of Record, or in any Leet, View of Frankpledge or Law, Antient Demesne Court, Hundred-Court, Court-Baron, or in the Court or Courts of the Stannary in the Counties of Devon or " Cornwall; or shall unlawfully and corruptly procure or suborn any Wit-6 ness or Witnesses, who shall be sworn to testify in perpetuam rei memoriam, A shall for such Offence, being thereof lawfully convicted or attainted, forfeit the Sum of 40 l. And if any such Offender, so being convicted or attained, shall not have any Goods or Chattels, Lands or Tenements, to the Value of 40 l. that then every fuch Person shall suffer · Imprisonment by the Space of one Half Year, without Bail or Mainprife, and stand upon the Pillory the Space of one whole Hour in Gome Market-Town next adjoining to the Place where the Offence was committed, in open Market there, or in the Market-Town it 6 felf where the Offence was committed.

And Sed. 5. it is farther enacted, 'That no Person, being so convicted 6 or attainted, shall from thenceforth be received as a Witness in any 6 Court of Record in any of the King's Dominions of England, Wales or the Marches of the fame, till fuch Judgment against him shall be reversed by Attaint, or otherwise, and that upon every such Reversal the Party grieved shall recover Damages against the Party who did pro-

cure the faid Judgment so reversed to be first given.

And Sect. 6. it is farther enacted, 'That if any Person or Persons shall either by the Subornation, unlawful Procurement, sinister Per-' swafion, or Means of any other or by their own Act, Consent or Agreement, wilfully and corruptly commit any Manner of wilful Perjury by his or their Deposition in any of the Courts before-mentioned, or being examined in perpetuam rei memoriam, that then every fuch Offender being duly convicted or attainted shall forfeit 201. and have Imprisonment by the Space of fix Months, without Bail or Mainprize, and the Oath of such Offender shall not from thenceforth be received in any Court of Record in England or Wales, until fuch Judgment shall be reversed, &e. on which Reversal the Party grieved shall recover Damages in the Manner before-mentioned.

And Sed. 7. it is farther enacted, 'That if fuch Offender shall not have Goods or Chattels to the Value of 20 L that then fuch Person 6 shall be set on the Pillory in some Market Place within the Shire, City or Borough where the Offence shall be committed by the Sheriff or his Ministers, if it shall fortune to be without any City or Town Corporate; and if it happen to be within any fuch City or Town Corporate, then by the Head Officer of such City, &c. where he shall have both Ears

And Sect. 8 & 9. it is farther enacted, 'That one Moiety of the faid Forfeitures shall be to the King, and the other Moiety to such Person as shall be grieved, hindered or molested by Reason of any of the Offences before-mentioned, that will fue for the same, &c. and that as well the Judge and Judges of every fuch of the faid Courts where any fuch Suit shall be, and whereupon any fuch Perjury shall be committed, 6 as also the Justices of Assise and Gaol-Delivery, and Justices of Peace at their Quarter-Seffions both within the Liberties and without, may inquire of, hear and determine all Offences against the said Act.

But it is provided Sect. 11. 'That the faid Act shall no way extend to any Spiritual or Ecclefiastical Court, but that every such Offender, as 6 shall offend in Term as aforefaid, shall be punished by such usual and 6 ordinary Laws as are used in the said Courts.

' Provided also Sect. 13. that the said Statute shall not restrain the

Authority of any Judge having (a) absolute Fower to punish Perjury (a) And there

before the making thereof, but that every fuch Judge may proceed in the Court

the Punishment of all Offences punishable before the making of the faid of King's

Statute, in such wise as they might have done and used to do to all

Purposes so that they set not on the Offender less Punishment there is proceeding

e Purposes, so that they set not on the Offender less Punishment than is upon an In-

contained in the faid Act.

distment or

of Perjury, or Subornation of Periury at Common Law, may not only fet a difcretionary Fine on the Offender, but also condemn him to the Pillory, without making any Inquiry concerning the Value of his Lands or Goods. 1 Hawk P. C. 178.

In the Construction of this Statute the following Opinions have been holden:

That every Indicament or Action on this Statute must exactly pursue Cro. Eliz. 147. the Words of it; and therefore if it alledge, that the Defendant depoted Hett 12. fuch a Matter falso & deceptive, or falso & corrupte, or falso & voluntarie, Savil 43. without faying voluntarie & corrupte, it is not good, tho it conclude, that 3 Leon. 230. fic Voluntarium & corruptum commist perjurium contra formam Statuti, &c. 1 Slow. 190. Also it is (b) faid to be necessary expressy to shew, that the Defendant (b) Cro Eliza was fworn; and that it is not fufficient to fay, that tallo per fe jacro 105.  $Evangelio\ depotatio$ 

But there is no need to shew, whether the Party took the false Oath 3 BAGG. 147. through the Subornation of another, or of his own Act, tho' the Words of the Statute are, If Perfons by Subornation, &c. or their own Ast, &c. shall commit wilful Perjury; for their being no Medium between the Branches of this Distinction, they seem to be put in ex abundenti, and to express no more than the Law would have implied, and therefore ope-

rate nothing.

It hath been adjudged, that a Man cannot be guilty of Perjury within 5 Co. 99. this Statute, in any Case wherein he may not possibly be guilty of Sub- Cro. Fac. 120. ornation of Perjury w thin it; for it is reasonable to give the whole Sta- 3 lnfl- 164. tute the same Construction; neither can it be well intended, that the relv. 120. Makers of the Statute meant to extend its Purview farther as to Perjary, Cro. Eliz. 148. which they feem to esteem the lesser Crime, than to Subornation of 2 Rol. Abr. 77 Perjury, which they feem to effeem the greater; and therefore fince the Clause concerning Subornation of Perjury mentioning only Matters depending by Writ, Bill, Plaint or Information, concerning Hereditaments, Goods, Debts or Damages, &c. extends not to Perjury on an Indictment or Criminal Information; the Claufe concerning Perjury, tho' penned in more general Words, have been adjudged to come under the like Restriction: Also since the Clause concerning Subornation of Perjury relates only to Perjury by Witnesses, that concerning Perjury shall extend only to the like Perjury; and therefore not to Perjury in an Anfwer in Chancery; or in Swearing the Peace against a Man; or in a Presentment by a Homager in a Court-Baron; or in a Wager of Law, or in Swearing before Commissioners of Inquiry of the King's Title to Lands; and by the Opinions of some, a false Affidavit against a Man in a Court of Justice is not within the Statute; but if such Affidavit be by a third Person, and relate to a Cause depending in Suit before the Court, and either of the Parties in Variance be grieved, hindered or molested, in Respect of such Cause, by Reason of the Perjury, it may strongly be argued that it is within the Purview of the Statute; also it feems the better Opinion, that a false Oath before the Sheriff on a Writ of Inquiry of Damages is within the Statute.

It hath been collected from the Clause which gives an Action to the 1 Hawk. P.C. Party grieved, that no false Oath is within the Statute, which doth not 181, and segive some Person a just Cause of Complaint; and therefore, that if the rities there. Thing sworn be true, tho' it be not known by him that swears it to be cited 9 Y Vol. III.

fo, the Oath is not within the Statute, because it gives no just Cause of Complaint to the other Party, who would take Advantage of another's want of Evidence to prove the Truth; also from the same Ground no falfe Oath can be within the Statute, unless the Party against whom it was fworn fuffered fome Difadvantage by it; and therefore in every Profecution on the Statute, you must set forth the Record wherein you suppose the Perjury to have been committed, and must prove at the Trial, that there is such a Record, either by actually producing it, or an attested Copy; also in the Pleadings you must not only set forth the Point wherein the false Oath was taken, but must also shew how it conduced to the Proof or Disproof of the Matter in Question; and if an Action on the Statute be brought by more than one, you must shew how the Perjury was prejudicial to each of the Plaintiffs; but it seems that a Perjury, which tends only to aggravate or extenuate the Damages, is as much within the Statute as a Perjury that goes directly to the Point in Issue; and a Perjury, in a Cause wherein an erroneous Judgment is given, is a good Ground of a Profecution upon the Statute till the Judgment be reversed.

2 Hale's Hift.

If Perjury be committed, that is within this Statute, but concludes not P. C. 191-2. contra formam Statuti; yet it is a good Indictment at Common Law, but not to bring him within the Corporal Punishment of the Statute.

By the 2 Geo. 2. cap. 25. feet. 2. the more effectually to deter Persons from committing wilful and corrupt Perjury, or Subornation of Perjury, it is enacted, 'That besides the Punishment already to be inflicted by Law for so great Crimes, it shall and may be lawful for the Court or Judge before whom any Person shall be convicted of wilful and corrupt e Perjury, or Subornation of Perjury, according to the Laws now in Being, to order such Person to be sent to some House of Correction within the same County, for a Time not exceeding seven Years, there 6 to be kept to hard Labour during all the faid Time, or otherwise to 6 be transported to some of his Majesty's Plantations beyond the Seas, for a Term not exceeding feven Years, as the Court shall think most proper; and therefore Judgment shall be given, that the Person convicted shall be committed or transported accordingly, over and beside fuch Punishment as shall be adjudged to be inflicted on such Person agreeable to the Laws now in Being; and if Transportation be directed, the fame shall be executed in fuch. Manner as is or shall be provided by Law for the Transportation of Felons; and if any Person so committed or transported thall voluntary escape or break Prison, or return from 'Transportation before the Expiration of the Time for which he shall 6 be ordered to be transported, as aforefaid, fuch Person being lawfully convicted shall suffer Death as a Felon without Benefit of Clergy, and 6 shall be tried for such Felony in the County where he so escaped, or where he shall be apprehended.

### Piracy.

IRACIES and Depredations at Sea are Capital Offences by Staumf. P. C., the Civil Law; also Piracy is faid to have been punishable at 10.

Common Law, before the 25 E. 3. as Petit Treason, if commit-3 lnst. 112.
2 Hale's Hist. ted by a Subject, and as Felony if committed by a Foreigner; P. C. 369, but it seems agreed, that after that Statute, by which all Treason is con-370. fined to the Particulars therein set down, it was cognizable only by the 1 Hawk. P. C. Civil Law.

But this proving very inconvenient, because by that Law no Offender 28 H. S. cap. shall have Judgment of Death without his own Confession, or direct Proof 15. by Eye Witnesses, it was cnacted by 28 H. S. cap. 15. That all Felo-'nics and Robberies, &c. upon the Sea, or in any Haven, River, Creek or Place where the Admiral or Admirals have or pretend to have Power, ' Authority or Jurisdiction, shall be inquired, tried, heard, determined and judged in such Shires and Places in the Realm as shall be limited by the King's Commission or Commissions to be directed for the same, in like Form and Condition as if any fuch Offence or Offences had been ' committed or done in or upon the Land, and fuch Commissions shall be had under the King's Great Seal, directed to the Admiral or Admirals, or to his or their Lieutenant Deputy and Deputies, and to hree or four such other substantial Persons as shall be named or appointed by the Lord Chancellor of England for the Time being, from Time to Time and as oft as need shall require, to hear and determine fuch Offences after the common Course of the Laws of this Land used for Felonies, and Robberies,  $\mathcal{C}c$  done and committed upon the Land within this Realm.

And it is further enacted by the faid Statute, 'That if any Person or Persons happen to be indicted for any such Offence done or hereaster to be done upon the Seas, or in any other Place above limited, that then such Order, Process, Judgment and Execution shall be used, had, done and made to and against every such Person and Persons, so being indicted, as against Felons, &c. for any Felony, &c. upon the Land, by the Laws of the Land is accustomed.

And it is farther enacted by the faid Statute, 6 That such as shall be convict of any such Offence by Verdick, Consession, or Process by Authority of any such Commission, shall have and suffer such Pains of Death,

Losses of Lands, Goods and Chattels, as if they had been attainted and convicted of such Offence done upon the Land, and also that they shall be excluded from the Benefit of the Clergy.

In the Construction of this Act the following Opinions have been holden:

That it does not alter the Nature of the Offence, so as to make that, 3 Inft 112. which was before a Felony only by the Civil Law, now become a Felony 2 Hale's Hift. by the Common Law; for the Offence must still be alledged as done P.C. 370. upon the Sea, and is no way cognizable by the Common Law, but only by Virtue of this Statute; which, by ordaining that in some Respects it shall have the like Trial and Punishment as are used for Felony at Common Law, shall not be carried so far as to make it also agree with it in other Particulars which are not mentioned; and from hence (a) it follows (a) Moor 756. that this Offence remains as before, of a special Nature, and that it shall 3 Inst-112. Co. Int. 391. 2 Hale's Hist. From P. C. 379.

3 Inft. 112.

From the same Ground also it follows, That no Persons shall in respect 1 Hawk P. C. of this Statute be construed to be or punished as Accessories to Piracy before or after, as they might have been, if it had been made a Felony by the Statute, whereby all those would incidentally have been made Accelfories in the like Cafes in which they would have been Accessories to a Felony at Common Law; and from hence it follows, that Accessories to Piracy, being neither expresly named in the Statute, nor by Construction included in it, remain as they were before, and were triable by the Civil Law, if their Offence were committed on the Sea; but if on the Land, by no Law, until 11 & 12 11. 3. cep. 7. for 2 & 3 E. 6. cap. 24. which provides against Accessories in one County to a Felony in another, extends not to Accessories to an Offence committed in no County, but on the Sea; but by the faid Statute of 11 & 12 11.3. they are triable in like Manner as the Frincipals are by the Statute of 28 H. 8.

3 Mg. 112. 99.

From the same Ground also it follows, that an Attainder for this Of-I Hawk P.C. fence corrupts not the Elood, in as much as the Statute only fays, that the Offender shall suffer such Pains of Death, &c. as if he were attainted of a Felony at Common Law, but fays not that the Blood shall be cor-

3 Inft. 114. Djer 241. pl.

Yet it has been resolved, that an Offender standing Mute on an Arraignment, by Force of this Statute, shall have Judgment of Paine fort 49. 308 pl 73. & dure; for the Words of the Statute are, That a Commission shall be dirested, &c. to hear and determine such Offences after the commen Course of the Laws of the Land.

3 Inst. 112 1 Rol. Rep. 1 Hawk. P. C.

100.

100.

It has been holden, that the Indichment for this Offence must alledge the Fact to be done on the Sea, and must have both the Words Felonice and Piratice; and that no Offence is punishable by Virtue of this Act as Piracy, which would not have been Felony if done on the Land, and confequently that the Taking of an Enemy's Ship by an Enemy is not within the Statute.

Moore 756. 1 Rol. Rep. 175. 1 Hawk. P. C.

It is agreed, that this Statute extends not to Offences done in Creeks or Poits within the Body of a County, because they are and always were cognizable by the Common Law.

11 8º 12 W tinued by 1 Geo. 1. for five Years, petual.

By the II & I2 W. 3. cap. 7. it is cnacked, That all Piracies, Felonics 3. cap. 7. con. and Robberies committed in or upon the Sea, or in any Place where ' the Admiral has Jurisdiction, may be tried and determined at Sea or ' upon the Land, in any of his Majesty's Islands or Plantations, &c. to be appointed by the King's Commission under the Great Scal or the and by 6 Geo. Seal of the Admiralty, directed to any of the Admirals, &c. and fuch Creations and Officers by Name or for the Time being, as his Majesty shall 6 think fit, who shall have Power jointly or severally, by Warrant under 6 Hand and Seal of any of them, to commit any Person against whom 6 Information of any fuch Offences shall be given upon Oath, and to 6 call a Court of Admiralty, which shall consist of seven Persons at the e least, and shall proceed in the Trial of the said Offenders according to 6 fuch Directions as are fet forth at large in the faid Statute.

And it is farther enacted by the faid Statute, Sell. 8. 'That if ' anv of his Majesty's natural-born Subjects or Denizens of this King-6 dom shall commit any Piracy or Robbery, or any Act of Hostility, against other his Majesty's Subjects upon the Sea, under Colour of any 6 Commission from any Foreign Prince or State, or Pretence of Authoerity from any Perion whatfoever, such Offender and Offenders, and every of them, shall be deemed, adjudged and taken to be Pirates, Fe-6 lons and Robbers, and they and every of them, being duly convicted thereof according to this Act, or the aforefaid Act of II. 8. shall have and fuffer fuch Pains of Death, Loss of Lands, Goods and Chattels, 6 as Pirates, Felons and Robbers upon the Seas ought to have and fuffer.

And it is farther enacted by the faid Statute, 'That if any Commander or Master of any Ship, or any Seaman or Mariner, shall in any Place, where the Admiral hath Jurisdiction, betray his Trust and turn Pirate, Enemy or Rebel, and piratically and feloniously run away with his or their Ship or Ships, or any Barge, Boat, Ordnance, Ammunition, Goods or Merchandize, or yield them up voluntarily to any Pirate, or bring any feducing Meffuage from any Pirate, Enemy or Rebel, or confult, combine or confederate with or attempt, or endeavour to corrupt any Commander, Master, Officer or Mariner, to yield up or run away with any Ship, Goods or Merchandize, or turn Pirate, or go over with Pirates, or if any Person shall lay violent Hands on his Commander, whereby to hinder him from fighting in Defence of his Ship and Goods committed to his Trust, or that shall confine his Master, or make, or endeavour to make, a Revolt in his Ship, shall be adjudged to be a Pirate, Felon and Robber, and being convicted thereof according to the Directions of this Act, shall have and suffer Pains of Death, Loss of Lands, Goods and Clinttels, as Pirates, Felons and Robbers upon the Seas ought to have and fuffer. And it is farther enacted by the faid Statute, 'That all and every Person and Persons whatsoever, who shall either on the Land or upon the Seas wittingly or knowingly fet forth any Pirate, or aid and affift, or maintain, procure, command, counsel or advise any Person or Per-6 fons whatfoever to do or commit any Piracies or Robberies upon the Seas, and fuch Person or Persons shall thereupon do or commit any fuch Piracy or Robbery, then all and every fuch Person or Persons what soever to as aforefaid setting forth any Pirate, or aiding or affisting, maintaining, procuring, commanding, counfelling or advising the fame, either on the Land or upon the Sea, shall be adjudged to be Accessory to fuch Piracy and Robbery done and committed. And farther, that after any Piracy or Robbery is or shall be committed by any Pirate or Robber whatfoever, every Perfon or Perfons, who, knowing that fuch Pirate or Robber has done or committed fuch Piracy and Robbery, shall upon the Land or upon the Sea receive, entertain or conceal any fuch Pirate or Robber, or receive or take into his Custody any Ship, Vessel, Goods or Chattels which have been by any fuch Pirate or Robber piratically and fe-6 loniously taken, shall be by this Statute likewise adjudged to be Accesfory to fuch Piracy and Robbery, and that all fuch Accessories to such · Piracies and Robberies shall be inquired of, heard and determined, and adjudged according to the common Course of the Law, according to the faid Statute of 28 H. 8. as the Principals of such Piracies and Robberics may be, and no otherwise, and being thereupon attainted shall fuffer such Pains of Death, Loss of Lands, Goods and Chattels, and in like Manner as the Principals of Such Piracies, Robberies and Fe-Ionies ought to fuffer according to the faid Statute of H. 8. which is declared to be in full Force; any Thing in this Act to the contrary not withstanding. And by 4 Geo. 1. cap. 11. All Perfons, who shall commit any Offence 4 Geo. 1. cap. for which they ought to be adjudged Pirates, Felons or Robbers by 11 11. and 12 11. 3. may be tried and judged for every fuch Offence, according 6 to the Form of 28 H. 8. and shall be excluded from their Clergy. By the 8 Geo 1. cap. 24. for the more effectual Suppressing of Piracy, 8 Geo. 1. cap. it is declared and enacted, 'That if any Commander or Master of any 24. Ship or Vessel, or any other Person or Persons, shall any wise trade with any Pirate by Truck, Barter, Exchange or in any other Manner, or shall surnish any Pirate, Felon or Robber upon the Seas with any Ammunition, Provision or Stores of any Kind, or shall fit out any

Ship or Vessel knowingly, and with a Design to trade with or supply, or correspond with any Pirate, Felon or Robber upon the Seas; or if Vol. III.

9 Z

any

any Person or Persons shall any ways consult, combine, confederate or correspond with any Pirate, Felon or Robber on the Seas, knowing him to be guilty of any fuch Piracy, Felony or Robbery; fuch Offender and Offenders, and every of them, shall in each and every of the faid · Cases be deemed, adjudged and taken to be guilty of Piracy, Felony and Robbery, and he and they shall and may be inquired of, tried, heard and adjudged of and for all or any of the Matters aforefaid, according to the Statute made 28 H. S. for Pirates, and the Statute made 11 & 12 W. 3. and he and they being convicted of all or any of the Matters aforefaid, shall fuffer such Fains of Death, Loss of Lands, Goods and Chattels, as Pirates, Felons and Robbers upon the Seas ought to fuffer; and in case any Person or Persons belonging to any Ship or Vessel what-6 foever, upon meeting any Merchant Ship or Vessel on the High Seas, or in any Port, Haven or Creek whatfoever, shall forcibly board or enter into fuch Ship or Veffel, and, tho' they do not feife and carry off 6 fuch Ship or Vessel, shall throw over board or destroy any Part of the Goods or Merchandizes belonging to fuch Ship or Veffel, the Perfon and Persons, who shall be guilty thereof, shall in all Respects be deemed and punished as Pirates, as aforefaid.

And Sect. 2. it is farther enacted, 'That every Ship or Veffel, which

fhall be fitted out with a Defign to trade with, or supply or correspond with any Pirate, and all and every Goods and Merchandizes put on

6 Board the same for any Intent or Purpose to trade with any Pirate, Felon or Robber on the Seas, shall be ipfo facto forfeited, one Moiety

thereof to the Use of the King's Majesty, his Heirs and Successors,

the other Moiety to the Person or Persons who shall first make Discovery, and give Information of fuch Intent or Defign; and fuch Person

or Persons, who shall first make such Discovery, shall and may sue for and recover the said Ship or Vessel, and all and every the Goods and

6 Merchandizes on board the fame, in the High Court of Admiralty.

And Sect. 3. Whereas there are some Defects in Laws for bringing 6 Persons who are Accessories to Piracy and Robbery upon the Seas to 6 condign Punishment, if the Principal who committed such Piracy and Robbery is not or cannot be apprehended and brought to Justice, be it therefore enacted, That all and every Person and Persons whatsoever, who by the faid Statute made 11 & 12 W. 3. are declared to be Accesfory or Accessories to any Piracy or Robbery therein mentioned, are hereby declared and shall be deemed and taken to be Principal Pierates, Felons and Robbers, and shall and may be inquired of, heard, determined and adjudged in the same Manner, as Persons guilty of Piracy and Robbery may and ought to be inquired of, tried, heard, deter-' mined and adjudged by the faid Statute 11 & 12 W. 3. and being there-

upon attainted and convicted shall suffer such Pains of Death, Loss of 6 Lands, Goods and Chattels, and in like Manner, as Pirates and Robbers ought by the faid Act to fuffer.

And Sect. 4. it is farther enacted, 'That all and every Offender or 6 Offenders convicted of Piracy, Felony or Robbery, by Virtue of this

6 Act, shall not be admitted to have the Benefit of Clergy, but be utc terly excluded of and from the fame.

And Sect. 5. 'To the End that a farther Encouragement may be given to 6 all Seamen and Mariners to fight and defend their Ships from Pirates, it is farther enacted, That in case any Seaman or Mariner on Board any · Merchant Ship or Vessel, or any other Ship or Vessel, shall be maimed in Fight against any Pirate, every such Seaman and Mariner, upon due Proof of his being maimed in fuch Fight, shall not only have and receive the Rewards already appointed by a Statute made the 23 Car. 2. intitled, " An Ast to provent the Delivering up of Merchant Ships, and for the Increase 6 of good and ferviceable Seamen, but shall also be admitted into, and pro-

vided

• vided for in *Greenwich Hofpital*, preferable to any other Seaman or Mariner, who is disabled from Service or getting a Livelihood meerly by 6 his Age.

And Sect. 6. it is farther enacted, 'That in case any Commander, 6 Master or other Officer, or any Scaman or Mariner of any Merchant Ship or Veffel, which carries Guns and Arms, shall not, when they are attacked by any Pirate, or by any Ship or Vessel on which any such Pirate is on Board, fight and endeavour to defend themselves and their faid Ship or Veffel from being taking by the faid Pirate, or shall utter any Words to discourage the other Mariners from defending the Ship, and by reason thereof the said Ship or Vessel shall fall into the Hands of fuch Pirate, then, and in every fuch Case, every such Com-6 mander or Master, or other Officer, and every Seaman or Mariner who 6 shall not fight and endeavour to defend and fave the said Ship or Vessel, or who shall utter any such Words as aforesaid, shall lose and forfeit all and every Part of the Wages due to him and them respectively to the 6 Owner and Owners of the faid Ship or Vessel, and shall not be per-6 mitted to fue for or recover the same, or any Part thereof, in any · Court either of Law or Equity, and as a farther Punishment shall suffer fix Months Imprisonment.

And Sect. 7. 'For Prevention of Seamen or Mariners deferting Merchant 6 Ships or Vessels abroad in the Plantations, or in any other Parts beyond 6 the Seas, which is the chief Occasion of their turning Pirates, and of great Detriment to Trade and Navigation, and is chiefly occasioned by the Owner or Owners of Ships or Vessels paying Wages to the Seamen or Mariners when abroad, it is enacted, That no Master or Owner of any Merchant Ship or Veffel shall pay or advance, or cause to be paid or advanced to any Scaman or Mariner, during the Time he shall be 6 in Parts beyond the Seas, any Money or Effects upon Account of Wages, exceeding one Moiety of the Wages which shall be due at the 'Time of such Payment, until such Ship or Vessel shall return to Great 6 Britain or Ireland, or the Plantations, or to some other of his Majesty's 6 Dominions whereto they belong, and from whence they were first fitted out; and if any fuch Master or Owner of such Merchant Ship or Vessel fhall pay or advance, or cause to be paid or advanced any Wages to any Seaman or Mariner above the faid Moiety, fuch Mafter or Owner fhall forfeit and pay double the Money he shall so pay or advance, to be e recovered in the High Court of Admiralty by any Person who shall first discover and inform for the same.

### BOOKS printed for H. LINTOT.

ASES argued and adjudged in the High Court of Chancery. Published from the Manuscripts of Thomas Vernon, late of the Middle Temple, Esq; by Order of the High court of Chancery. In 2 Vol.

Precedents in Chancery; being a Collection of Cases argued and adjudged in the High Court of Chancery, from the Year 1689 to 1722.

An Institute of the Laws of England, or the Laws of England in their Natural Order, according to common Use: Published for the Direction of Young Beginners, or Students in the Law, and of others that desire to have a general Knowledge in our Common and Statute Laws. In four Books. By Thomas Wood, LL. D. and Barrister at Law. The Fisth Edition, wherein are inserted under their respective Titles the Acts of Parliament which have been made in Alteration of the Law since the Author wrote, some Marginal References are added; and in many Places where the Law is differently holden, Notice is taken of it.

A General Abridgment of Cases in Equity, argued and adjudged in the High Court of Chancery, &c. with several Cases never before published. Alphabetically digested under proper Titles, with Notes and References to the Whole. And three Tables, The First of the Names of the Cases, the Second of the several Titles, with their Divisions and Subdivisions, and the Third of the Matter under General Heads. By a Gentleman of

the Middle Temple. The Third Edition Corrected.

Maxims of Équity, collected from and proved by Cases out of the Books of the best Authority in the High Court of Chancery. To which is added, the Case of the Earl of Coventry concerning the Defective Execution of Powers, lately adjudged in the High Court of Chancery. By

Richard Francis of the Middle Temple, Efq;

The Accomplished Conveyancer, Vol. I. Containing the Nature and Kinds of Deeds and Instruments used in Conveyancing, and an Abridgment of the Law relating to all Sorts of Conveyances of Estates, with every Thing belonging to them, proved by many Law Cases and Resolutions thereupon: And also all Manner of Precedents made use of in Conveyancing, under the Heads of Bargain and Sale, Gists, Grants, Articles, Special Conditions, Covenants, Exchanges, Deeds of Partition, Partnerships, Special Releases, Letters of Attorney, Licenses, Bills of Sale, Charterparties of Affreightment, Leases, Settlements of Leases, and also of Personal Estates, Annuities, Money in Funds, &c. instead of Jointures of Lands, &c. Vol. II. Containing Variety of Precedents of Special Gists, Grants, Assignments, Mortgages, and all Kinds of Securities, &c. Vol. III. Containing Special Conveyances, Deeds to lead the Uses of Fines and Recoverics, Settlements, Jointures, Wills, &c. By G. Jacob, Gent.

The Compleat Attorney's Practice in English, in the Courts of King's Bench and Common Pleas at Westminster, Containing the best Rules and Methods of Practice therein, from the Commencement of the Action to the Execution; and also Forms of Original and Judicial Writs and Processes, and the greatest Variety of Precedents of Declarations, Pleadings, Demurrers, Issues and Records for Trial, Judgments, Writs of Error, Haleas Corpus's, Prohibitions, Informations, &c. of any Book hitherto published. Likewise the Law of Actions; whether Personal, as Debt, Case, Covenant, Trespass, Trover, Slander, &c. or Real, as Ejechments, Assis, Formedons, Dower, &c. with particular Directions how to prosecute them; all regulated and compleated agreeable to the late Statutes

for Altering and Amending the Law. In 2 Vol.

The Statute Law Common-plac'd; or, a General Table to the Statutes; Containing the Purport and Effect of all the Acls of Parliament in Force from Magna Charta down to the Reign of King George II. in a Method perfectly new and regular, with the numerous Proviso's and Additional Clauses inserted under their proper Titles. The whole very Useful to Counsellors, Attornics, Solicitors, Justices of the Peace, Mayors, Sheriffs, Coroners, Clergymen, Merchants, and all Trading Persons. The Fourth Edition, with large Additions, continued in one Yolume, to the Twelsth Year of King George II. By G. Jacob, Gent

Cleaned & Oiled

		4		
			·	
			3	

### Iohn Adams Library,



THE TODY OF THE BOSTON PUBLIC LIBRARY



